Ex. D

1354 County Highway 21
Halstad, MN 56548
(218) 456-2568
January 8, 2008

Charles R. Bappert
100 West Chicago Street
Coldwater, Michigan 49036

Dear Mr. Bappert:

This letter is in reply to your written response of December 10, 2007, to my subpoena in Case No. 07-40098-FDS filed in the U.S. District Court in the District of Massachusetts, and in response to our phone conversations.

I suppose that one thing that stands out is that your response is a bit out of date or deficient. As you mentioned to me on the phone, you have taken the position that how much royalties plaintiff Danny Shelton received from Remnant Publications is irrelevant to the allegations in the plaintiffs' complaint and our answers to those allegations. Your written response does not reflect that position.

Another difficulty is that your written response largely relies on the claim that our subpoena was overly broad, though you agreed with us on the phone that it probably wouldn't be all that time consuming after all since Dwight and Dan Hall would easily know what business Remnant has done with entities and individuals associated with the plaintiffs. Yet your response does not reflect that acknowledgment.

As far as the relevancy of our requests is concerned, your position is untenable. The plaintiffs have included in their complaint the issues of whether Danny Shelton properly disclosed his royalty income in his financial affidavit of July 2006, whether he properly disclosed those royalties to the 3ABN Board (their language on that one is a bit garbled), and whether he has improperly enriched himself in his 3ABN activities, one prominent activity being the 2006 *Ten Commandments Twice Removed* campaign. Thus, to object to the disclosure of royalty information on the basis of irrelevancy is absurd.

You expressed the need for a protective order. By all means feel free to petition the court for such whenever appropriate. But bear in mind that if, contrary to what Dwight Hall told me, it requires a motion to compel for us to obtain information relevant to our case, we will expect reimbursement from Remnant for the expenses we incur in so doing.

Sincerely,

Bob Pickle, *pro se*