EXHIBIT F

AFFIDAVIT

NOW COMES Laird J. Heal, Esq., of 78 Worcester Road, P. O. Box 365, Sterling, Worcester County, Massachusetts, who deposes and testifies to the following under pain and penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am the attorney representing debtor Gailon Arthur Joy in the currently open case 07-43128-JBR (D. Mass. Bkcy);
- 2. I appeared on Mr. Joy's behalf on Monday, November 12, 2007, at the Status Conference held in the case 07-40098-FDS (D. Mass.) and objected to the proceedings as a violation of the Automatic Stay;
- 3. Present at that hearing before Magistrate Judge Hillman were attorneys Robert Pucci and Gerald Duffy, representing the plaintiffs Three Angels Broadcasting Networks, Inc. and Danny Lee Shelton;
- 4. The aforementioned attorneys elected to continue with the proceedings, in which they sought to obtain access to the business equipment debtor Gailon Arthur Joy, without qualifications, after my objection to the proceedings as a violation of the Automatic Stay;
- 5. The Automatic Stay provisions of the Bannkruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. 362 state quite clearly that the commencement of a case acts as a stay against all entities against the commencement or continuation of all actions against the debtor, with certain enumerated examples, of which the plaintiffs' action is not one;
- 6. The enforcement provision, 11 U.S.C. 362 (h), gives an individual aggrieved by a violation of the Automatic Stay standing to bring an action against the violator. The Debtor Gailon Arthur Joy is such an individual.
- 7. The attorneys Pucci and Duffy informed the Court during the November 12, 2007, status conference hearing that a motion for relief from the Automatic Stay had been brought that morning. In fact it was not brought until after 12:30 P.M., a time making it impossible for the debtor to have had any notice prior to the Status Conference in the case

07-40098-FDS.

- 8. The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay did not request retroactive relief and it detailed examples of violations of the Automatic Stay. The plaintiff creditors having admitted their liability, and not having asked the Bankruptcy Court to excuse their intentional conduct, which by bringing the Motion they further admit should only be done after the motion is allowed, the Adversary Proceeding against them becomes one which is virtually mandatory to file and prosecute.
- 9. The attorneys for the plaintiffs now have an obvious conflict of interest which should lead them to disqualify themselves. This is highlighted by their bringing an emergency motion in which they state that it is the <u>Debtor's</u> position that the actions ordered by this Court are further violations of the Automatic Stay which is not stated in the Adversary Proceeding Complaint, which asks for relief from the violations that are detailed with specific exhibits and are already admitted by the plaintiffs but the only change in the situation is that the objection of the Debtor Gailon Arthur Joy to the violation of the Automatic Stay has become a claim against those attorneys.
- 10. The Motion for Relief from the Automatic Stay was brought with a request for expedited determination, and a hearing was held on November 15, 2007. The hearing was continued until November 21, 2007, because of the failure of the attorney for the creditors to properly notice, either before the motion was brought per local rule, or by telephone or facsimile to give notice of the expedited (two-day) scheduling of the hearing, also per local rule.
- 11. The bankruptcy judge ended the proceedings by saying that nothing that had transpired during the hearing was to affect the Order of <u>any</u> other court.
- 12. No Motion to Remove the Reference has been brought in either the bankruptcy or civil case. Either would have the effect of transferring the decision to the consideration of the district court judge and unify the administration of the various matters, but the plaintiff creditors clearly prefer the status quo.

13. The Trustee in the Bankruptcy Case has made a report of No Distribution and there is no possibility that the Debtor Gailon Arthur Joy would be unintentionally deprived of the use or possession of any of his office equipment. The plaintiffs, however, are indeed seeking with their orders to deprive him of that equipment, asking even to take his telefax machine for their testing, when it contains no copies of anything, and their taking of such equipment merely works to deprive the debtor of his ability to do business in a most intrusive manner.

FURTHER DEPONENT TESTIFIES NOT.

Signed and sealed this 16th day of November, 2007.