



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Save 3abn Website

Posted by: inga Jan 12 2007, 11:01 PM

Here is the link to the Save 3ABN web site:

<http://www.save3abn.com/>

So far, there is only one article on the New Year's tribute to Tommy Shelton.

I wish that, instead of just posting re Tommy Shelton that there were an introduction to the topic -- something to the effect of a house-cleaning being needed in order to Save 3ABN. Then posting the info on Tommy would make more sense.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 12 2007, 11:35 PM


This is the first time I have seen Tommy. Y'all know I had no use for 3ABN ever, so I wouldn't know any of these people if they walked up and slapped me.

*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT ON*****

What in the world is that Tommy has on his head? Can they just hire a decent stylist? They are on TV world wide, for heaven's sake!! If they weren't so scandalous, I would apply for the job! Plus they would allow somebody like me who would go Sista' Gurl on them and they foolishness, and then call Oprah! LOL!!

*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT OFF*****

On a serious note, did CA Murray have a stroke or something?

Ok Sorry for going  . Back to our regularly schedule programming.....

Posted by: Nuggie Jan 13 2007, 08:06 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 13 2007, 12:35 AM)


This is the first time I have seen Tommy. Y'all know I had no use for 3ABN ever, so I wouldn't know any of these people if they walked up and slapped me.


*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT ON*****

What in the world is that Tommy has on his head? Can they just hire a decent stylist? They are on TV world wide, for heaven's sake!! If they weren't so scandalous, I would apply for the job! Plus they would allow somebody like me who would go Sista' Gurl on them and they foolishness, and then call Oprah! LOL!!

*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT OFF*****

On a serious note, did CA Murray have a stroke or something?

Ok Sorry for going  off . Back to our regularly schedule programming.....

.LOLO!! Di, that's funny.... 

Posted by: watchbird Jan 13 2007, 08:46 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 13 2007, 12:35 AM)

This is the first time I have seen Tommy. Y'all know I had no use for 3ABN ever, so I wouldn't know any of these people if the walked up and slapped me.


*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT ON*****

What in the world is that Tommy has on his head? Can they just hire a decent stylist? They are on TV world wide, for heaven's sake!! If they weren't so scandalous, I would apply for the job! Plus they would allow somebody like me who would go Sista' Gurl on them and they foolishness, and then call Oprah! LOL!!

*****HAIRSTYLIST HAT OFF*****


'ssst, Di... it's a rug. You probably don't do rugs anyhow... (I can think of some other comments on rugs... but oh well.... nuf sed....


Posted by: Clay Jan 13 2007, 08:55 AM

so we have Tommy with a bad haripiece and Lomacang with plastic hair.... I think there are some hair issues at 3ABN..... 


Posted by: inga Jan 13 2007, 10:39 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 13 2007, 09:55 AM)


so we have Tommy with a bad haripiece and Lomacang with plastic hair.... I think there are some hair issues at 3ABN..... 


Wish that were all! 

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 13 2007, 11:18 AM

Tommy is also a hairstylist... 

Posted by: Clay Jan 13 2007, 11:50 AM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 13 2007, 11:18 AM)
Tommy is also a hairstylist... 

no he's not..... 

Posted by: beartrap Jan 13 2007, 12:15 PM



Posted by: Skyhook Jan 13 2007, 12:34 PM

It looks like CA Murray might have a mild case of Bells Palsy. Probably not a stroke.

Posted by: Ed White Jan 13 2007, 01:34 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 13 2007, 11:50 AM)
no he's not..... 

Seeing this "no he is not" and "yes he is" on a lot of Adventist forums I found a clipping in my 103 year old mother's bible that still can sing most every song in hmynal from memory these words between two arguers.

=====

M R ducks!
M R not!
M R too!
C M wings?
Why, M R ducks!

=====

But rarely are Adventist forum members willing to admit to futher light when shown documented evidence. "C M wings"

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 13 2007, 02:19 PM

I haven't noticed anything about CA Murray and I saw him last week. Can you give more info please?

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 13 2007, 12:50 PM)

no he's not.....

rofl

He even does his wife's hair.

Posted by: lookin4truth Jan 13 2007, 02:54 PM

Please folks,

I am requesting that everyone please refrain from personal attacks.

It breaks my heart to see a search for facts turn to making fun of a man, and bringing his family into it. Even if a man is found guilty, his family should not be ridiculed.

Please put yourself in the place of those who are truly suffering because of the facts, which are being brought to light.

I have only posted a few times. I don't think any of the comments I have made have been made in such a way as to crush, destroy, or embarrass. If any of my comments have been taken that way, I apologize first to God, then to all who have read them.

I hope we will all try to act in love, even though sometimes love has to be tough.

Posted by: calvin Jan 13 2007, 03:30 PM

Tommy wearing a rug and CA talking out the side of his mouth like he's had a stroke is the truth. This is the BSDA way lookin4truth, we have fun here and often don't take everything seriously. Or maybe it's a Black thang that the rest don't get

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 13 2007, 02:54 PM)

Please folks,

I am requesting that everyone please refrain from personal attacks.

It breaks my heart to see a search for facts turn to making fun of a man, and bringing his family into it. Even if a man is found guilty, his family should not be ridiculed.

Please put yourself in the place of those who are truly suffering because of the facts, which are being brought to light.

I have only posted a few times. I don't think any of the comments I have made have been made in such a way as to crush, destroy, or embarrass. If any of my comments have been taken that way, I apologize first to God, then to all who have read them.

I hope we will all try to act in love, even though sometimes love has to be tough.

Posted by: Johann Jan 13 2007, 03:43 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 13 2007, 11:30 PM)

Tommy wearing a rug and CA talking out the side of his mouth like he's had a stroke is the truth. This is the BSDA way lookin4truth, we have fun here and often don't take everything seriously. Or maybe it's a Black thang that the rest don't get

I learned to comprehend that thang in college, and the more I hear it the more I feel at home. Although I am not able to verbalize it myself, I just love it. Would love to hear it next door in heaven. A lot of solid Christianity can be preached in that thang.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 13 2007, 04:07 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 13 2007, 03:54 PM)

Please folks,

I am requesting that everyone please refrain from personal attacks.

It breaks my heart to see a search for facts turn to making fun of a man, and bringing his family into it. Even if a man is found guilty, his family should not be ridiculed.

Please put yourself in the place of those who are truly suffering because of the facts, which are being brought to light.

I have only posted a few times. I don't think any of the comments I have made have been made in such a way as to crush, destroy, or embarrass. If any of my comments have been taken that way, I apologize first to God, then to all who have read them.

I hope we will all try to act in love, even though sometimes love has to be tough.

Making an observation is not a personal attack. Accusing anyone here of doing so does not make it the truth.

Also, bear in mind if you are going to take an offering for forgiveness to the Lord you must "first" go to those you have offended, according to scripture. Then, take his offering to the Lord after you have made things right with the one(s) you have offended.

Be Blessed

Posted by: Skyhook Jan 13 2007, 04:45 PM

My statement about CA Murray was in no way meant as an attack. When I first saw him on TV the first thing I noticed was that his face is asymmetrical. One side seems to slightly droop down. This is what happens when a person has Bells Palsy. I have known people with that condition, and I have seen the symptoms gradually subside. I understand it is a real drag to have it, but CA's is not nearly as bad as I have seen. IF that is what he has; I don't really know, and it is not really

important, it is just that it is very noticable at first.

Posted by: paleface Jan 13 2007, 04:46 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 13 2007, 10:50 AM)

no he's not.....



Clay,

What do you mean "Lomacang's plastic hair?"


Posted by: Clay Jan 13 2007, 07:07 PM

QUOTE(paleface @ Jan 13 2007, 04:46 PM)

Clay,

What do you mean "Lomacang's plastic hair?"

on t.v. it looks plastic.....



like that.....

Posted by: paleface Jan 13 2007, 07:36 PM

OK. At least it is neat and well groomed and is not flying in his eyes and is under control.
Next page.

Posted by: sister Jan 13 2007, 07:58 PM

QUOTE(paleface @ Jan 13 2007, 08:36 PM)

Next page.

I watched the video. It is strange when Tommy and Danny refer to Tommy one and one half hours commute to work. Why doesn't Tommy live where he works? It would seem reasonable if he has such a long commute he would want to move closer to 3ABN. Doesn't anyone wonder why?

Posted by: Ed White Jan 13 2007, 08:03 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 13 2007, 07:58 PM) □

I watched the video. It is strange when Tommy and Danny refer to Tommy one and one half hours commute to work. Why doesn't Tommy live where he works? It would seem reasonable if he has such a long commute he would want to move closer to 3ABN. Doesn't anyone wonder why?

Maybe the state of Ill has a wanted poster out on him???

Posted by: Clay Jan 13 2007, 08:03 PM

QUOTE(paleface @ Jan 13 2007, 07:36 PM) □

OK. At least it is neat and well groomed and is not flying in his eyes and is under control.
Next page.

that's cause it's plastic..... □

Posted by: watchbird Jan 13 2007, 08:11 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 13 2007, 08:58 PM) □

I watched the video. It is strange when Tommy and Danny refer to Tommy one and one half hours commute to work. Why doesn't Tommy live where he works? It would seem reasonable if he has such a long commute he would want to move closer to 3ABN. Doesn't anyone wonder why?

I think you explained this to us once.... it's been awhile. Some have maybe forgotten the details. Some maybe never found that chapter. Perhaps you could tell the story again?

Thanks.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 13 2007, 08:37 PM


QUOTE(Skyhook @ Jan 13 2007, 03:45 PM) □

My statement about CA Murray was in no way meant as an attack. When I first saw him on TV the first thing I noticed was that his face is asymmetrical. One side seems to slightly droop down. This is what happens when a person has Bells Palsy. I have known people with that condition, and I have seen the symptoms gradually subside. I understand it is a real drag to have it, but CA's is not nearly as bad as I have seen. IF that is what he has; I don't really know, and it is not really important, it is just that it is very noticable at first.

And, oh should you hear that man preach! You want to talk annointed? CA preaches that way. Reminds me of my college days when our choir would go out to churches in the SF East Bay.

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 13 2007, 06:07 PM)

on t.v. it looks plastic.....



like that.....

My baby brother used to do things with his hair to draw attention away from his ears also.

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 13 2007, 06:58 PM)

I watched the video. It is strange when Tommy and Danny refer to Tommy one and one half hours commute to work. Why doesn't Tommy live where he works? It would seem reasonable if he has such a long commute he would want to move closer to 3ABN. Doesn't anyone wonder why?

Perhaps he enjoys the scenery he can see on his drive between the states.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 13 2007, 08:54 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 13 2007, 09:37 PM)

My baby brother used to do things with his hair to draw attention away from his ears also.

Did your baby brother's head look like a '55 Buick with the doors open?

Jes' wonderin'...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Snoopy Jan 13 2007, 09:24 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 13 2007, 07:58 PM)

I watched the video. It is strange when Tommy and Danny refer to Tommy one and one half hours commute to work. Why doesn't Tommy live where he works? It would seem reasonable if he has such a long commute he would want to move closer to 3ABN. Doesn't anyone wonder why?

I had heard that he was not allowed to live in Illinois. I surely do not know how true that is... I am still reading all of this history. I have been reading for over a week now, and do not see an end in sight...just a newbie...

Posted by: princessdi Jan 13 2007, 10:39 PM

Stop it, HOTY!!!! No he ain't! Revoke his license now!!! rofl

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 13 2007, 09:18 AM)

Tommy is also a hairstylist...

Posted by: Ed White Jan 13 2007, 10:44 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 13 2007, 08:54 PM)

Did your baby brother's head look like a '55 Buick with the doors open?


Jes' wonderin'...

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J. with your bag of jokes more so in the thelogy section than here, I was wondering if you are moonlighting and writing jokes for the world richest caveman? His material looks kinda like your style, sorta empty.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 13 2007, 10:57 PM

What I said about CA was said in all seriousness. Because I was thinking a stroke or bell palsy. I first notice his right eye.

And now that I know he is also a cosmetologist, I wil talk about that bad rug Tommy has, he knows better.....  Just a ruckus!!!

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 13 2007, 12:54 PM)

Please folks,

I am requesting that everyone please refrain from personal attacks.

It breaks my heart to see a search for facts turn to making fun of a man, and bringing his family into it. Even if a man is found guilty, his family should not be ridiculed.

Please put yourself in the place of those who are truly suffering because of the facts, which are being brought to light.

I have only posted a few times. I don't think any of the comments I have made have been made in such a way as to crush, destroy, or embarrass. If any of my comments have been taken that way, I apologize first to God, then to all who have read them.

I hope we will all try to act in love, even though sometimes love has to be tough.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 14 2007, 01:29 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 13 2007, 07:54 PM)

Did your baby brother's head look like a '55 Buick with the doors open?

Jes' wonderin'...

In His service,
Mr. J

Nope, more like a '56 Chevy with the doors ajar.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jan 14 2007, 08:33 AM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 13 2007, 11:24 PM)

I had heard that he was not allowed to live in Illinois. I surely do not know how true that is... I am still reading all of this history. I have been reading for over a week now, and do not see an end in

sight...just a newbie...

'es, I did the same thing when I first came here.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 14 2007, 03:15 PM

QUOTE

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 13 2007, 11:24 PM) *

I had heard that he was not allowed to live in Illinois. I surely do not know how true that is... I am still reading all of this history. I have been reading for over a week now, and do not see an end in sight...just a newbie...

think you are correct in that. I think that was one of the stipulations of the whole thing of no charges being pressed at the time if memory serves but from what I was told. I don't think he should even be allowed to work in the state or around children period.

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 06:53 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 12 2007, 11:01 PM)

Here is the link to the Save 3ABN web site:

<http://www.save3abn.com/>

So far, there is only one article on the New Year's tribute to Tommy Shelton.

I wish that, instead of just posting re Tommy Shelton that there were an introduction to the topic -- something to the effect of a house-cleaning being needed in order to Save 3ABN. Then posting the info on Tommy would make more sense.

New Year's Eve Tribute to Tommy Shelton

While serving as a Church of God minister, Danny Shelton's brother, Tommy Shelton, was accused by multiple people in two states over multiple decades of sexual misconduct and child molestation involving men and teenage boys. Just recently in Virginia another alleged victim has come forward where Tommy pastored from 1995 to around late 2000. This alleged victim was a minor at the time of the alleged incident(s), and thus this allegation possibly might put Tommy behind bars. The pastor here of that Church of God congregation issued a statement about the new allegations on December 3, 2006, which was made public two days later.

The following weekend Tommy was to have joined other 3ABN staff at the Lauderhill, Florida, Seventh-day Adventist Church for a 3ABN rally, but he was unable to attend because of an alleged sudden illness. (In 2004 or 2005, a letter sent by an alleged victim in Illinois accused Tommy of having illnesses whenever these sorts of allegations arise, and of suddenly recovering when everything settles down again.) **On December 9, a close family member to Tommy wrote and essentially attributed his sudden health problems to these old and new allegations**

Dear Friends Do not put your trust in this statement . You are being deceived. The family member referred to by Gailon is a very close friend of mine and have receipt of this very document. Gailon has twisted the statement to suit his agenda. I can prove what I am saying.

Posted by: sister Jan 15 2007, 07:05 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 07:53 PM)

On December 9, a close family member to Tommy wrote and essentially attributed his sudden health problems to these old and new allegations

Dear Friends Do not put your trust in this statement . You are being deceived. The family member referred to by Gailon is a very close friend of mine and have receipt of this very document. Gailon has twisted the statement to suit his agenda. I can prove what I am saying.

The burden of proof is upon you, since you claim you can prove what you are saying. I think the expression is, "put up or shut up". Which is it eyewitness?

Sister

Posted by: Clay Jan 15 2007, 07:06 PM

then prove it....

Posted by: calvin Jan 15 2007, 07:10 PM

This is a tough crowd eyewitness. You can't just wave a smiley and throw stuff out here. We will call you on it everytime or rather throw back in your face to prove your point.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 15 2007, 07:18 PM

Is it not true that Teresa attributed Tommy's health problems to "gossip" on Dec. 9?

And given the fact that these new and old allegations were made public just four days previously, would that not be attributing his health problems to the allegations, which on this very board have been called gossip by Danny's supporters?

And would her statement also qualify as being contradictory to the claim that Tommy's health problems were due to a "tremendous schedule," "weekend travelling," "driving" from Kentucky,

7

and the worst thing of all, that "ferry"?

I've been told by someone who has ridden that ferry that it is a very relaxing ride. They thought perhaps that a *different* sort of ferry was meant by Danny and Tommy. Given the fact that when Shelly Quinn on August 10 said Salome she really meant Linda's daughter, I'd say that's possible.

Your thoughts?

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 08:27 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 15 2007, 07:05 PM) □

The burden of proof is upon you, since you claim you can prove what you are saying. I think the expression is, "put up or shut up". Which is it eyewitness?

Sister □

[b][color=#993399]Sister you were very quick to respond. I am not very good on the automation but can fill your request. I Know my friend who sent this email. She is very spiritual. A kind person. This is the email that she sent me. Now do you find anything in this email that points the finger of petophilia or child molestation as the source of Tommy's stress ? I sure don't. Looks to me like someone is reading something that is not there![color=#993399][b]
Eye Witness

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: [SPAM] Looks like a good address
From:
Date: Sat, December 09, 2006 8:04 pm
To: linda@lindashelton.org

I do know that Tommy is in very, very, bad health. His blood pressure is way out of control way past stroke stage and its not coming down. Whomever is causing him grief will have his blood on their hands if he passes. I suppose ----- is really having a ruff time to, no one wants to lose their loved one. Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 15 2007, 08:34 PM

VERY interesting.....

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 09:27 PM) □

[b][color=#993399]Sister you were very quick to respond. I am not very good on the automation but can fill your request. I Know my friend who sent this email. She is very spiritual. A kind person. This is the email that she sent me. Now do you find anything in this email that points the finger of petophilia or child molestation as the source of Tommy's stress ? I sure don't. Looks to me like someone is reading something that is not there![color=#993399][b]
Eye Witness

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: [SPAM] Looks like a good address

From:

Date: Sat, December 09, 2006 8:04 pm

To: linda@lindashelton.org

I do know that Tommy is in very, very, bad health. His blood pressure is way out of control way past stroke stage and its not coming down. Whomever is causing him grief will have his blood on their hands if he passes. I suppose ----- is really having a ruff time to, no one wants to lose their loved one. Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be.

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 08:39 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 07:18 PM)

Is it not true that Teresa attributed Tommy's health problems to "gossip" on Dec. 9?

And given the fact that these new and old allegations were made public just four days previously, would that not be attributing his health problems to the allegations, which on this very board have been called gossip by Danny's supporters?

And would her statement also qualify as being contradictory to the claim that Tommy's health problems were due to a "tremendous schedule," "weekend travelling," "driving" from Kentucky, and the worst thing of all, that "ferry"?

I've been told by someone who has ridden that ferry that it is a very relaxing ride. They thought perhaps that a different sort of ferry was meant by Danny and Tommy. Given the fact that when Shelly Quinn on August 10 said Salome she really meant Linda's daughter, I'd say that's possible.

Your thoughts?

Pickle I guess the statement I just sent to Sister you need to read it. In context not trying to prove anything. I am sure Linda knows there was no finger pointing toward Tommy. Why did you add that part. Honestly I don't think a family member would point such a finger! DO YOU?

Posted by: princessdi Jan 15 2007, 08:53 PM

I don't think that there was any question of Tommy being in poor health. I think it was mentioned that he attended some place down south for his conditions at one point. However, there is always a cause. Stress being a huge factor in any illness especially BP and heart diseases. Plus, it is just too darn convenient. With all these allegations flying around, Tommy is a liability at this point. they should have just sidelined him until it was all settled, if it was false, then he can come back, If true, well they will have to cross that bridge when they came to it.

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 06:27 PM)

[b][color=#993399]Sister you were very quick to respond. I am not very good on the automation but can fill your request. I know my friend who sent this email. She is very spiritual. A kind person. This is the email that she sent me. Now do you find anything in this email that points the finger of petophilia or child molestation as the source of Tommy's stress ? I sure don't. Looks to me like someone is reading something that is not there![color=#993399][b]
Eye Witness

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: [SPAM] Looks like a good address

From:

Date: Sat, December 09, 2006 8:04 pm

To: linda@lindashelton.org

I do know that Tommy is in very, very, bad health. His blood pressure is way out of control way past stroke stage and its not coming down. Whomever is causing him grief will have his blood on their hands if he passes. I suppose ----- is really having a ruff time to, no one wants to lose their loved one. Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 15 2007, 08:55 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 08:39 PM)

Pickle I guess the statement I just sent to Sister you need to read it. In context not trying to prove anything. I am sure Linda knows there was no finger pointing toward Tommy. Why did you add that part. Honestly I don't think a family member would point such a finger! DO YOU?

Eye Witness,

Assume for the sake of discussion that at least some of the allegations against the Shelton clan are true. Then we have the possibility that anything said by any of them could be a half-truth or a bald-faced lie. I'm not saying that what you quoted is. I'm just saying that if we are going to objectively look at this, we have to leave that possibility open.

I think you should have included the following sentence also found in the same paragraph that you quoted from that Shelton family member: "Then, I don't see why people want to murder others with their tongues." And here is a sentence you did quote: "Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be."

If nothing else, it does sound as if this Shelton family member is saying that some of the negative comments made about Linda are nothing more than gossip.

Now Eye Witness, what "gossip" and murdering with the tongue was this Shelton family member referring to in regard to Tommy, if she was not referring to the new and old allegations against Tommy? That is the critical question. And if you can't come up with any other possibility, then what Gailon has on his website is 100% accurate.

7

Posted by: inga Jan 15 2007, 09:09 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 09:27 PM)

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: [SPAM] Looks like a good address

From:

Date: Sat, December 09, 2006 8:04 pm

To: linda@lindashelton.org

I do know that Tommy is in very, very, bad health. His blood pressure is way out of control way past stroke stage and its not coming down. Whomever is causing him grief will have his blood on their hands if he passes. I suppose ----- is really having a ruff time to, no one wants to lose their loved one. Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be.

I've read this before somewhere. And it still says the same thing as I remember it saying... Tommy is in very bad health, including high blood pressure. And the statement implies that "gossip" is contributing to the current stress or poor health.

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 09:29 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 15 2007, 09:09 PM)

I've read this before somewhere. And it still says the same thing as I remember it saying... Tommy is in very bad health, including high blood pressure. And the statement implies that "gossip" is contributing to the current stress or poor health.

Inga my dear friend we do know that stress is bad and causes health problems. However the quote above does not even hint toward any of the allegations named by Pickle and Gailon Joy. What it does say is that gossip can kill and I am sure Linda can verify that herself. Probably Linda has aged and found heartaches and pains from all this gossip about her, whether true or false. While trying to prove her innocents. Gossip does work on one! Can you imagine if she had the heart problems, high blood pressure, cholestrol, two stints in the main arteries and a seddantary kind of job? All of these conditions spell an early death.

Eye Witness

Posted by: Pickle Jan 15 2007, 09:47 PM

Eye Witness,

You're not making sense.

First of all, Tommy's accusers claim that he puts on an act whenever these allegations surface,

and so, if you are going to be objective, you have to keep the possibility open that Tommy isn't having much in the way of health problems at all.

Now if you can produce documentation that he has indeed had stints and such, that would be most helpful. But we certainly can't just take Danny or Tommy's word for it since we have proven where they have been less than truthful.

Secondly, it is quite clear what "gossip" Teresa was referring to on December 9, since the new allegations went public on December 5, and since you haven't suggested anything other than the child molestation allegations, you yourself must certainly know that that was what she was referring to.

And yet you are so bold as to say that that quote does not hint at all at those allegations. Thus, you aren't making any sense whatsoever.

If that wasn't what Teresa was referring to, she shouldn't have written something so vague, because Johann called me and told me that that was how Linda took what Teresa had written.

Now if Teresa meant something else, then by all means find out what she meant and post it here so Gailon can make sure he has his facts straight.

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 09:50 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 08:55 PM)

Eye Witness,

Assume for the sake of discussion that at least some of the allegations against the Shelton clan are true. Then we have the possibility that anything said by any of them could be a half-truth or a bald-faced lie. I'm not saying that what you quoted is. I'm just saying that if we are going to objectively look at this, we have to leave that possibility open.

I think you should have included the following sentence also found in the same paragraph that you quoted from that Shelton family member: "Then, I don't see why people want to murder others with their tongues." And here is a sentence you did quote: "Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be."

If nothing else, it does sound as if this Shelton family member is saying that some of the negative comments made about Linda are nothing more than gossip. Of course everything that has been said is not true. Haven't you played the gossip game when you were a child? Did the end always sound like the beginning? I don't think so.

Now Eye Witness, **what "gossip" and murdering with the tongue was this Shelton family member referring to in regard to Tommy, if she was not referring to the new and old allegations against Tommy?** That is the critical question. And if you can't come up with any other possibility, then what Gailon has on his website is 100% accurate.

Pickle I have bolded at the top what I am referring to. I guess that you really have read alot into this email when you have the whole email, as obviously I do also. Yet does this email not also talk about the stress that she and Linda have in their personal lives, yet you have assumed she is making application toward Tommy's allegations. You have put words into this statement that were not there. I have read them on this chat site and in Gailon Joys web page. She did not say those things! One minute you quote almost accurately then another time or place you are inaccurate. The quote is the quote so let the quote be quoted.

About the whole email do you also have the part where it talks about Linda not visiting the chatsites? That it is also out of her control? Her only prayers is the Lord's will be done? Are you the LORD? I question this because what was written to her personally was posted here, on this site, so either she does come here or you are her mouth piece! Then if you are her mouth piece how can you present an unbiased view for all these dear people on this chat site?

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 15 2007, 10:09 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 07:50 PM)

Pickle I have bolded at the top what I am referring to. I guess that you really have read a lot into this email when you have the whole email, as obviously I do also. Yet does this email not also talk about the stress that she and Linda have in their personal lives, yet you have assumed she is making application toward Tommy's allegations. You have put words into this statement that were not there. I have read them on this chat site and in Gailon Joys web page. She did not say those things! One minute you quote almost accurately then another time or place you are inaccurate. The quote is the quote so let the quote be quoted.

About the whole email do you also have the part where it talks about Linda not visiting the chatsites? That it is also out of her control? Her only prayers is the Lord's will be done? Are you the LORD? I question this because what was written to her personally was posted here, on this site, so either she does come here or you are her mouth piece! Then if you are her mouth piece how can you present an unbiased view for all these dear people on this chat site?

....there's something very familiar about this all....anyone else sense it?

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 10:22 PM

[quote name='Pickle' date='Jan 15 2007, 08:55 PM' post='170209']
Eye Witness,

Assume for the sake of discussion that at least some of the allegations against the Shelton clan are true. Then we have the possibility that anything said by any of them could be a half-truth or a bald-faced lie. I'm not saying that what you quoted is. I'm just saying that if we are going to objectively look at this, we have to leave that possibility open.

State v. Joy, 149 Vt. 607, 549 A.2d 1033 (Vt., 1988) from Fastcase

Well this tells me that all these such confidential informants can't truly be trusted. For instance you use Gailon Joy as one for your sources for truth. Now it has been posted on BSDA that he had been acquitted of embezzlement. Please refer to the link above that I found on the world wide web. There is a guilty verdict here, so does this mean everything he says is a lie? After all you said lets leave the door open!

Eye Witness

P.S. I try my best to have proof before proceeding forward.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 15 2007, 10:29 PM

Eye Witness,

Tell us clearly what the gossip was that Teresa referred to that was affecting Tommy's blood pressure. After you do that, maybe we can move on to another topic, but not till then.

Eye Witness,

Sure, since Gailon was convicted for stealing his own money, if we oversimplify the picture a bit, then we have to keep open the possibility that he may steal his own money at other times too.

But for your information, in these various issues, I have not made Gailon my source of truth.

For example, when Tommy Shelton writes, "As you well know, I had a big problem and should have gotten help years ago or got out of the ministry. ... I have been honest with her that some inappropriate things happened ...," I take his word for it. And so should you.

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 10:40 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 10:23 PM)

Eye Witness,

Tell us clearly what the gossip was that Teresa referred to that was affecting Tommy's blood pressure. After you do that, maybe we can move on to another topic, but not till then.

Brother Pickle I would have to say that I would think that Teresa could have been talking about all the gossip about the family, and then well lets face it Pickle you have literally made some huge accusations. Lets just say for argument sake that Tommy is 100% innocent! Now lets look at the damage that has been done to his life, his family, his wife, his children, grandchildren, and the list can go on and on. The commandments say THOU SHALT NOT KILL! Do you find that only murder can be committed with an object. Yet the tongue is refered to in the bible as lethal. So just consider Pickle the man's life being torn apart. Now lets look at the other side. If he is 100% guilty we are not to take the Law of the land into our own hands. God, my friend knows every detail of your heart, my heart, and Tommys heart and our lives and the hairs on our head. Even if you or anyone else thinks poorly of them.

As far as proving the stints and health problem exist well maybe I can get the name of the hospital where the work was done. Of course we may all get to see the autopsy report instead. Blessing in your house hold.

Eye Wintess

Posted by: princessdi Jan 15 2007, 10:54 PM

I already said they need to send in the next team, this on is failing miserably. Now, we got one who is providing emails that are very clear, and then they are saying that is not what they are saying. Folsk is getting awful desperate.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 15 2007, 08:09 PM)

....there's something very familiar about this all....anyone else sense it?

Posted by: eye witness Jan 15 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 10:29 PM)

Eye Witness,

Tell us clearly what the gossip was that Teresa referred to that was affecting Tommy's blood pressure. After you do that, maybe we can move on to another topic, but not till then.

Eye Witness,

Sure, since Gailon was convicted for stealing his own money, if we oversimplify the picture a bit, then we have to keep open the possibility that he may steal his own money at other times too.

I am sorry the document that I have here says that he took the money from Stacey Fuel and Lumber Company, he deposited the money into his own personal account. I think maybe you need to do some follow up on this. No one is convicted of stealing from themselves. Please. Gailon says that he was not convicted at all but . . .that's not what the court says. I do trust the system! I wish you would check it out and see if you have been snowed. You know I have had some other hits that seem to take my thoughts to even, well maybe more charges.

But for your information, in these various issues, I have not made Gailon my source of truth. That's Wise my Friend!

For example, when Tommy Shelton writes, "As you well know, I had a big problem and should have gotten help years ago or got out of the ministry. ... I have been honest with her that some inappropriate things happened ...," I take his word for it. And so should you.

will believe that Tommy is guilty if Tommy is guilty. Believe me it won't be you or anyone on here that will convince me of that. I will not call condemnation on someone. I hope that you did not edit the above statement to fit your agenda. Condemnation? This comes when solid concrete proof is given on judgment day! Court documents are pretty concrete. I really don't know who is worthy of working in any of God's ministries. We, you and I are far from worthy. We were born with the flesh and we will lie in the flesh.

Eye Witness

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 15 2007, 11:26 PM

eye witness,

There was a nice young man on the 3abn Today show January 11th named Nyse Collins who has a ministry called Nyse Lifestyle. He described his lifestyle counseling ministry and it might be prudent for you, Danny, Teresa, and the Tommy Shelton family to review what he said are the three main reasons for illness. I can't remember the exact wording he used but the three are:

1. Some illnesses happen, like in Job's case, to bring glory to God.
2. Some illnesses come due to poor health habits.
3. Some illnesses are caused by unrepented, cherished sin.

Nyse Collins gave numerous instances about #3. They were very compelling.

Posted by: Observer Jan 16 2007, 05:09 AM

Re: "Now it has been posted on BSDA that he [Mr. Joy] had been acquitted of embezzelment."

I believe that you meant that Mr. Joy had been convicted of embezzelment.

Yes, he has. The story has been told. You can draw your own conclusions. Let me ask you: What conclusions do you draw from the fact that Mr. Joy filed a lawsuit against the agency that accused him, and won a \$40,000.00 judgement against that agency, which was paid to him?

The law is strange, is it not?

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 16 2007, 05:11 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 16 2007, 01:29 PM)

Inga my dear friend we do know that stress is bad and causes health problems. However the quote above does not even hint toward any of the allegations named by Pickle and Gailon Joy. What it does say is that gossip can kill and I am sure Linda can verify that herself. Probably Linda has aged and found heartaches and pains from all this gossip about her, whether true or false. While trying to prove her innocents. Gossip does work on one! Can you imagine if she had the heart problems, high blood pressure, cholestrol, two stints in the main arteries and a seddantary kind of job? All of these conditions spell an early death.

Eye Witness

There were many references to stress made by Danny, as he praised Tommy for all his hard work in the **11 - NO 12** years he had been at 3ABN, during that pathetic New Year's Eve interview.

There were also references made to all the travelling he had to do. A jolly long way to travel all the time! Why not move closer to his employment? No sympathy from me on that score. I used to travel 1 1/2 hours into the city to work, years ago. That just goes with the territory.

And there were several references to coming back on a 'voluntary basis', which left me with the distinct impression that this was a **'fake farewell'**, along with 'false testimonies by his associates, and that there were other matters waiting in the wings. **STRESS** is always a convenient excuse to

blc

use, if one wants to run from accountability.

Posted by: Observer Jan 16 2007, 05:18 AM

Re: "I am sorry the document that I have here says that he took the money from Stacey Fuel and Lumber Company, he deposited the money into his own personal account. I think maybe you need to do some follow up on this. No one is convicted of stealing from themselves. Please. Gailon says that he was not convicted at all but . . .that's not what the court says. I do trust the system! I wish you would check it out and see if you have been snowed. You know I have had some other hits that seem to take my thoughts to even, well maybe more charges."

Let us establish the facts as I understand and remember them:

1) Yes Mr. Joy took money and deposited it into his personal account. [NOTE: I will take your word that it was the lumber company that you mentioned.]

2) Yes, Mr. Joy was convicted of embezzlement.

3) Mr. Joy had clearly stated that he was so convicted. I do not know where you got your information that he denies it.

4) Under the law in the State where the conviction took place, one may be convicted of embezzling money that one is entitled to, if one has not yet been given that money. That was the case. Mr. Joy should have passed that money on to the other, and then waited for them to send it back to him, as they owed him the money that he took.

Posted by: sister Jan 16 2007, 07:10 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 15 2007, 11:54 PM)

I already said they need to send in the next team, this on is failing miserably. Now, we got one who is providing emails that are very clear, and then they are saying that is not what they are saying. Folsk is getting awful desperate.

I happen to agree with you entirely, Princessdi. I see the same desperate attempts to side track the issues as has been done before, and failed. The more truth that is revealed, the greater the desperation becomes. If eyewitness is so close to the situation, perhaps he should ask Rick Shelton his opinion of the allegations made against his father and publish that email. It might make a very interesting read.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 16 2007, 08:05 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 16 2007, 06:10 AM)

I happen to agree with you entirely, Princessdi. I see the same desperate attempts to side track the issues as has been done before, and failed. The more truth that is revealed, the greater the desperation becomes. If eyewitness is so close to the situation, perhaps he should ask Rick Shelton his opinion of the allegations made against his father and publish that email. It might make a very interesting read.

sister, eye witness is a "she".

think it would be quite prudent for her to speak to Rick Shelton as you suggest. If he is at liberty to tell her the truth perhaps she will have the ears to hear the truth. Unfortunately, there is this thing called "denial" that can be at play in some dysfunctional systems so that even direct, first person testimony can't pierce through. I know this from personal experience.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 09:53 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 16 2007, 07:10 AM) □

I happen to agree with you entirely, Princessdi. I see the same desperate attempts to side track the issues as has been done before, and failed. The more truth that is revealed, the greater the desperation becomes. **If eyewitness is so close to the situation, perhaps he should ask Rick Shelton his opinion of the allegations made against his father and publish that email.** It might make a very interesting read.

Oh my what on earth can you possibly be saying. My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father??? I can't possibly believe this! Where did you hear such, such a malicious tale. Sister have you considered the verses in Psalm 64:7&8 "But God shall shoot at them with an arrow; suddenly shall they be wounded. So they shall make their own tongue to fall upon themselves: all that see them shall flee away."

God does not change, he does not lie. So repeating such evil will find you in a serious way.

Teresa Reiman

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 10:08 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 08:27 PM) □

[b]Sister you were very quick to respond. I am not very good on the automation but can fill your request. I know my friend who sent this email. She is very spiritual. A kind person. This is the email that she sent me. Now do you find anything in this email that points the finger of pedophilia or child molestation as the source of Tommy's stress? I sure don't. Looks to me like someone is reading something that is not there![b]
Eye Witness

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: [SPAM] Looks like a good address

From:

Date: Sat, December 09, 2006 8:04 pm

To: linda@lindashelton.org

I do know that Tommy is in very, very, bad health. His blood pressure is way out of control way past stroke stage and its not coming down.

Whoever is causing him grief will have his blood on their hands if he passes. I suppose ----- is really having a ruff time to, no one wants to lose their loved one. Gossip, we both know how the pressure from that can be.

QUOTE

On December 9, a close family member to Tommy wrote and essentially attributed his sudden health problems to these old and new allegations

color=#003300]Well the two statements are different that is for sure. We all know that Tommy has had health problems. We also know that it hereditary, because his father died at 51 after his 3rd heart attack. The whole immediate family fight against this disease. Tommy Sr. was a very big worrier (stress). This was not from allegations. This was from LIFE.
Teresa Reiman

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 16 2007, 10:39 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 09:08 AM)

Well the two statements are different that is for sure. We all know that Tommy has had health problems. We also know that it hereditary, because his father died at 51 after his 3rd heart attack. The whole immediate family fight against this disease. Tommy Sr. was a very big worrier (stress). This was not from allegations. This was from LIFE.
Teresa Reiman

f Tommy's health problems are hereditary and the stress is from being a big worrier and not from allegations as you state, why are there those writing emails saying that if he passes the blood will be on the gossipers? I guess I may be getting confused but things are just not adding up in this area.

Posted by: Johann Jan 16 2007, 10:46 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 05:53 PM)

Oh my what on earth can you possible be saying. My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father??? I can't possible believe this! Where did you hear such, such a malicious tale. Sister have you considered the verses in Psalm 64:7&8 "But God shall shoot at them with an arrow; suddenly shall they be wounded. So they shall make thier own tongue to fall upon themselves: all that see them shall flee away."
God does not change, he does not lie. So repeating such evil will find you in a serious way.
Teresa Reiman

Welcome, Terresa. It is a little hard for me to understand what you are hinting at. Could you explain it somewhat clearer? What evil is being repeated?

Posted by: watchbird Jan 16 2007, 10:48 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:53 AM) □

Oh my what on earth can you possible be saying. My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father??? I can't possible believe this! Where did you hear such, such a malicious tale. Sister have you considered the verses in Psalm 64:7&8 "But God shall shoot at them with an arrow; suddenly shall they be wounded. So they shall make thier own tongue to fall upon themselves: all that see them shall flee away."
God does not change, he does not lie. So repeating such evil will find you in a serious way.
Teresa Reiman

Your chosen BSDA name is intriguing. While it is beyond the bounds of propriety on BSDA to ask for the identity of a poster who is using a pseudonym.... it does seem as though, since the name you are using APPEARS to be a real name, and since the name "Teresa" has recently been mentioned here as the sender of a certain letter.... perhaps you would be willing to confirm or deny that you are that same "Teresa". And if you are the same, then perhaps you would be willing to clarify the questions that have been raised about what you meant in that letter.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 16 2007, 10:59 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 16 2007, 11:48 AM) □

Your chosen BSDA name is intriguing. While it is beyond the bounds of propriety on BSDA to ask for the identity of a poster who is using a pseudonym.... it does seem as though, since the name you are using APPEARS to be a real name, and since the name "Teresa" has recently been mentioned here as the sender of a certain letter.... perhaps you would be willing to confirm or deny that you are that same "Teresa". And if you are the same, then perhaps you would be willing to clarify the questions that have been raised about what you meant in that letter.

Is Teresa Reiman, in fact, Mrs. Tommy Shelton? Hiding behind a maiden name, peut-etre, in an attempt to look somewhat objective?

Possibly... and the question is rhetorical; I dont expect an answer... truthful or otherwise.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: sister Jan 16 2007, 11:16 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:53 AM) □

Oh my what on earth can you possible be saying. My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father??? I can't possible believe this! Where did you hear such, such a malicious tale. Sister have you considered the verses in Psalm 64:7&8 "But God shall shoot at them with an arrow; suddenly shall they be wounded. So they shall make thier own tongue to fall upon themselves: all that see them shall flee away."

God does not change, he does not lie. So repeating such evil will find you in a serious way.
Teresa Reiman

can only rely by asking the same questions as Johann:

'It is a little hard for me to understand what you are hinting at. Could you explain it somewhat clearer? What evil is being repeated?'

sister

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 11:37 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 16 2007, 10:59 AM) □

Is Teresa Reiman, in fact, Mrs. Tommy Shelton? Hiding behind a maiden name, peut-etre, in an attempt to look somewhat objective?

Possibly... and the question is rhetorical; I dont expect an answer... truthful or otherwise.

In His service,
Mr. J

As I have scrolled these many sites. I find, if you wanted an answer, you could get banned pretty quick. So we best just leave that alone. What do you think?
There is a letter with the name Teresa mentioned on it. I see that Eye Witness knows her. Sounds like pretty well, she produced the document! Looks to me like someone, Gailon Joy added some of his own thoughts. I understand that Pickle has done the same thing as well and on many other documents as well.
Its incredible to see all of these individuals who have a point they must prove talk but don't listen. Post but don't read. They also want to control. Eye Witness addressed some other issues and Pickle just didn't respond. I wonder why? Is there some concern now that Eye Witness may have a whole lot more? Could it be Eye Witness has more than a title to a car? And if Eye Witness does then why wouldn't Pickle want to hear it? People say they want truth but do they?
Mr. J the object to look at is not the identity of who is who but, is this truth and as I have noticed can this be verified!
Teresa Reiman

Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 11:41 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 15 2007, 10:40 PM) □

... Pickle you have literally made some huge accusations.

Pardon me?

First of all, I'm not the one making the accusations. Roger Clem, Brad Dunning, and too many other alleged victims are the ones who've made the accusations, not me.

Secondly, Tommy admitted doing things, as I already pointed out. Thus, he admits that at least some of these accusations are true. Want to see where? Try <http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm>.

Thirdly, even if Tommy was lying and the accusations really are untrue after all, that doesn't get Danny off the hook from his reprehensible, ungodly, abominable deceit about and covering up of these allegations, and his threats against those who have concerns about these things.

7

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 11:42 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 09:37 AM)

As I have scrolled these many sites. I find, if you wanted an answer, you could get banned pretty quick. So we best just leave that alone. What do you think?

Teresa Reiman

Dear "Teresa"

You have clearly misunderstood what happens on "these many sites". Here at BSDA, you don't get banned when you tell the truth. You don't even get banned when you tell lies. You will get banned if you break the rules as Calvin has stated. Maybe you should read those? They don't mention telling the idea of honesty - although some are not capable of honesty - as something to ban you. So maybe you can think of another excuse? And, asking for answers is one of the main reasons this site exists...again, can't get banned for wanting answers. You are clearly confused, or something, IMO.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 16 2007, 11:47 AM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:37 PM)

As I have scrolled these many sites. I find, if you wanted an answer, you could get banned pretty quick. So we best just leave that alone. What do you think?

There is a letter with the name Teresa mentioned on it. I see that Eye Witness knows her. Sounds like pretty well, she produced the document! Looks to me like someone, Gailon Joy added some of his own thoughts. I understand that Pickle has done the same thing as well and on many other documents as well.

Its incredible to see all of these individuals who have a point they must prove talk but don't listen. Post but don't read. They also want to control. Eye Witness addressed some other issues and Pickle just didn't respond. I wonder why? Is there some concern now that Eye Witness my have a whole lot more? Could it be Eye Witness has more than a title to a car? And if Eye Witness does then why wouldn't Pickle want to hear it? People say they want truth but do they?

Mr. J the object to look at is not the identy of who is who but, is this truth and as I have noticed can this be verified!

Teresa Reiman

Whatever, lady... you and those of your ilk keep running with the same tactic, trying to defame the messenger in the hope that folk will stop listening to what they are saying...

Prove them wrong... if you can... or admit that you cant.

If you could, I believe it would have been done a long time ago... since it was requested a long time ago and nothing has been produced aside from the ad hominem games and the " oh we have proof but you'll just have to trust us" foolishness.

Cut to the chase.

Put up or shut up.

Your move.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 11:53 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 16 2007, 10:46 AM)

Welcome, Terresa. It is a little hard for me to understand what you are hinting at. Could you explain it somewhat clearer? What evil is being repeated?

There is so much on here that is fabricated. Just read all the stuff. The Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about slander, gossip and talebearing.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 11:56 AM

Tommy's wife's name is Carol. Ronnie's wife's name is Teresa. I have no idea what her maiden name is.

Teresa, if Walt Thompson is correct that Danny told him that the allegations are 30 years old, what sort of disciplinary action do you feel should be taken against Danny, since the allegations are clearly not just that old?

I just want to make sure we're on the same page as far as the seriousness of everything goes. Then we can proceed to evaluate the credibility of the various witnesses.

And those witnesses include one that claims to have been in the house with Tommy laying on the floor and with Danny standing there about 21 years ago when an alleged victim and his parents were bringing a gift car back to Tommy. This witness says Tommy was beside himself and saying, "I can't believe he's doing this to me. Call Alison. He's the only one who can fix this." So we have an account that puts Danny in the know 21 years ago, and that's a lot sooner than 30 years ago.

And then there's the victims who say that Tommy has never apologized, contrary to what Walt says that Danny told him.

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 11:53 AM)

There is so much on here that is fabricated. Just read all the stuff. The Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about slander, gossip and talebearing.

Which has? I for one would much appreciate your sharing what you know for sure and can prove for sure has been fabricated about Tommy.

And if you are that much in the know, I would hazard a guess that you must indeed be Teresa Shelton.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 12:05 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 16 2007, 11:47 AM) □

Whatever, lady... you and those of your ilk keep running with the same tactic, **trying to defame the messenger in the hope that folk will stop listening to what they are saying...**

Prove them wrong... if you can... or admit that you cant.

If you could, I believe it would have been done a long time ago... since it was requested a long time ago and nothing has been produced aside from the ad hominem games and the " oh we have proof but you'll just have to trust us" foolishness.

Cut to the chase.

Put up or shut up.

Your move.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J you are a very direct talker. Your words lack emotion but I will assume you are a kind person. I believe that the True Messenger has already defamed your messenger. Please take sometime today for a daily devotional and read what the True Messenger has to say about your messenger. □

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 12:10 PM

This is just a point of reference. I just want to ask exactly how many Sheltons there are. i.e. brother, sisters, and are we talking cousins, etc?

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 16 2007, 05:10 AM) □

I happen to agree with you entirely, Princessdi. I see the same desperate attempts to side track the issues as has been done before, and failed. The more truth that is revealed, the greater the desperation becomes. If eyewitness is so close to the situation, perhaps he should ask Rick Shelton his opinion of the allegations made against his father and publish that email. It might make a very interesting read.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 16 2007, 12:16 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 01:05 PM)

Mr. J you are a very direct talker. Your words lack emotion but I will assume you are a kind person. I believe that the True Messenger has already defamed your messenger. Please take sometime today for a daily devotional and read what the True Messenger has to say about your messenger.



whah! Green eggs and wham? snε

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 16 2007, 12:20 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 01:05 PM)

Mr. J you are a very direct talker. Your words lack emotion but I will assume you are a kind person. I believe that the True Messenger has already defamed your messenger. Please take sometime today for a daily devotional and read what the True Messenger has to say about your messenger.



I don't have a messenger... and what is demanded of you is no different than was was required of the other side. If you had actually bothered to read what is here, you'd know that.

Still waiting for you to give specific facts that refute specific things stated.

If you are unwilling or unable to do that, just say so and the things you say will be given the proper value that they merit.

Still your move... and the clock is ticking.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 12:23 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 11:56 AM)

Tommy's wife's name is Carol. Ronnie's wife's name is Teresa. I have no idea what her maiden name is.

Teresa, if Walt Thompson is correct that Danny told him that the allegations are 30 years old, what sort of disciplinary action do you feel should be taken against Danny, since the allegations are clearly not just that old?

I just want to make sure we're on the same page as far as the seriousness of everything goes. Then we can proceed to evaluate the credibility of the various witnesses.

And those witnesses include one that claims to have been in the house with Tommy laying on the floor and with Danny standing there about 21 years ago when an alleged victim and his parents were bringing a gift car back to Tommy. This witness says Tommy was beside himself and saying, "I can't believe he's doing this to me. Call Alison. He's the only one who can fix this." So we have an account that puts Danny in the know 21 years ago, and that's a lot sooner than 30 years ago.

And then there's the victims who say that Tommy has never apologized, contrary to what Walt says that Danny told him.

Which has? I for one would much appreciate your sharing what you know for sure and can prove for sure has been fabricated about Tommy.

And if you are that much in the know, I would hazard a guess that you must indeed be Teresa Shelton.

Pickle Well as we proceed here. I will not address the subjects of guilty or innocent. For one, it will make no difference what I think. Two, with what judgment one uses the same they will receive. Again deny has nothing to do with truth! The big thing is that when a statement goes out it needs to be, only, Pickle what the statment is! Without your tainted insinuation placed upon it.

Your bidding I understand is truth. Your goal is justice. Tell me Pickle who do you have in mind that could take the Danny position and preform this to your standards? I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?

Teresa Reiman

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 12:26 PM

Welcome to BSDA Teresa.

Well, I guess I asked for the next team, but I thought it would be better somehow. Teresa. talking in riddles will not help, only hard evidence to refute that which has already been presented. If you have it, post it. If you don't, lurking might be the best thing for you to do. We already know that you disagree with the evidence so far. No one is going to change their minds just because you say so.

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:05 AM) □

Mr. J you are a very direct talker. Your words lack emotion but I will assume you are a kind person. I believe that the True Messenger has already defamed your messenger. Please take sometime today for a daily devotional and read what the True Messenger has to say about your messenger.

□

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 12:33 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:23 AM) □

I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?
Teresa Reiman

I'm clearly not Mr. Pickle, but may I ask you something? What gives you the absolute knowledge that God CALLED Danny Shelton? It's just a question. I think the answers that come to your mind might need to really be thought over, and please, use bible examples when you are thinking it over.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 12:58 PM

God doesn't need anybody to do anything. However, He uses whom ever He chooses. Now, God could have very well called Danny. Many people are yet and still being blessed by that ministry, but we can ask King Saul about having that favor taken away.

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:23 AM)

Pickle Well as we proceed here. I will not address the subjects of guilty or innocent. For one, it will make no difference what I think. Two, with what judgment one uses the same they will receive. Again idenity has nothing to do with truth! The big thing is that when a statement goes out it needs to be, only, Pickle what the statment is! Without your tanted insinuation placed upon it. Your bidding I understand is truth. Your goal is justice. Tell me Pickle who do you have in mind that could take the Danny position and preform this to your standards? **I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?**
Teresa Reiman

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 16 2007, 01:00 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 16 2007, 01:26 PM)


Welcome to BSDA Teresa.

Well, I guess I asked for the next team, but I thought it would be better somehow. Teresa. talking in riddles will not help, only hard evidence to refute that which has already been presented. If you have it, post it. If you don't, lurking might be the best thing for you to do. We already know that you disagree with the evidence so far. No one is going to change their minds just because you say so.

Lurking sounds like a plan, in light of the following:

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 01:23 PM)

I will not address the subjects of guilty or innocent. For one, it will make no difference what I think.
Teresa Reiman

Sounds to me like TR's work here is done. 

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 01:09 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 16 2007, 10:58 AM)

God doesn't need anybody to do anything. However, He uses whom ever He chooses. Now, God could have very well called Danny. Many people are yet and still being blessed by that ministry, but we can ask King Saul about having that favor taken away.

God uses not only whom ever He chooses, but whatever He chooses. He wastes nothing. There is nothing saying anyone is called, but God will use anything to reach people. That by NO MEANS protects or guarentees DS or anyone of anything. Many people have poored blood sweat and tears (and TONS OF MONEY) into that minstry, FOR GOD. Hopefully not for Danny Shelton. Stop assuming that DS is hand in hand with God just because of what you SEE on TV. There is much more to the "behind the scenes" of someone's real life then what is seen on the television. If we don't wake up and realize it's not a PERSON, it's a GOD that does anything good, we shall surely follow a MAN instead of a God. And btw, you do reap what you sew, and that goes for anyone "doing" God's will - as they may say. What happens to a person in this life is largely a consequence of their "fruits" and that happens by way of the other people on this planet that we have to live with. God uses them as well - for His purpose. We have laws of the land, and also morality. If you break those, it's bound to come back to you, one way or another, and often in many ways. If you mistreat someone, God can surely bring that to the table and make you face it. None of us are exempt from that. Do you think this happens magically??? It happens through justice of the land, done through people, based on *principal and example, and that's found in the bible too.*

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 01:13 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 12:33 PM)

I'm clearly not Mr. Pickle, but may I ask you something? What gives you the absolute knowledge that God CALLED Danny Shelton? It's just a question. I think the answers that come to your mind might need to really be thought over, and please, use bible examples when you are thinking it over.

I am very sorry for calling you Pickle my mistake. You ask was Danny called? What other human to that point could of done or should I say has been done only by HUMAN efforts? Danny was uneducated, poor man, really no connections he didn't know anyone but the Saviour. No one else to that day had ever attempted such a task. The SDA Church itself has not been able to expand to the degree of 3ABN to this day. all the chuches TV outreach to the entire world... together... doesn't amount to 3ABN's coverage. Does this sound like the work of a human? Lets look at Moses. Speach problem, educuated in a heathen land. David the lest likly canidate and look what God did with him. Abraham was old, Jacob was insecure, Leah was unattractive, Joseph was abused, Gideon was poor, Samson was codependent, Rahab was immoral, David had an affair and all kinds of family problems, Elijah was suicidal, Jermiah was depressed, Jonah was reluctant, Naomi was a widow, John the Baptist was eccentric to say the least, Peter was impulsive and hot tempered, Martha worried alot, The samaritan women had several failed marriages, Zacchaeus was unpopular, Thomas had doubts,

Paul had poor health, and Timothy was timid. That will give you quite a variety misfits but God used each of them in His service. So I would say Danny Shelton is in good company and his humanness shows through just as like these above. Did God call these men? Did God call Danny? Look at the fruits of the labor.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 01:17 PM

Ok?!! Since she ain't answering questions?!!

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 16 2007, 11:00 AM)

Lurking sounds like a plan, in light of the following:

Sounds to me like TR's work here is done.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 01:26 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 11:13 AM)

I am very sorry for calling you Pickle my mistake. You ask was Danny called? What other human to that point could of done or should I say has been done only by HUMAN efforts? Danny was uneducated, poor man, really no connections he didn't know anyone but the Saviour. No one else to that day had ever attempted such a task. The SDA Church itself has not been able to expand to the degree of 3ABN to this day. all the chuches TV outreach to the entire world... together... doesn't amount to 3ABN's coverage. Does this sound like the work of a human? Lets look at Moses. Speach problem, educated in a heathen land. David the lest likly canidate and look what God did with him. Abraham was old, Jacob was insecure, Leah was unattractive, Joseph was abused, Gideon was poor, Samson was codependent, Rahab was immoral, David had an affair and all kinds of family problems, Elijah was suicidal, Jermiah was depressed, Jonah was reluctant, Naomi was a widow, John the Baptist was eccentric to say the least, Peter was impulsive and hot tempered, Martha worried alot, The samaritan women had several failed marriages, Zacchaeus was unpopular, Thomas had doubts, Paul had poor health, and Timothy was timid. That will give you quite a variety misfits but God used each of them in His service. So I would say Danny Shelton is in good company and his humanness shows through just as like these above. Did God call these men? Did God call Danny? Look at the fruits of the labor.

You did not make a mistake by calling me Mr. Pickle. I just jumped in to your comment towards him, so I stated I am not him, but addressing part of your comment.

As to what you said here, and I'm sure I won't be the only one addressing this, I will comment on your thinking, which I have colored in red.

Have you decided that God did it or Danny? I can't tell by your words...it seems somewhat worshipful of a man to me...

God can do anything in spite of a person. But, you are giving credit to Danny as if he got this done thru God. A man can be VERY determind to do anything he sets his mind to (and if you know DS, he is all about determination and not giving up when he wants something) and so based on his motives and minipulation tactics, he could very well get something accomplished like this. **You're picture of**

how this happend has been given to you by DS as well. It is given to you, and others for the purpose of saying "God did this and used me" for credibility sake. You are looking to a man and assuming GOD did this. God may have made something good come from what DS set out to do for many reasons that could have been very selfishly motivated. I will not assume anything as you have - I certainly can not take DS word on how things came about as I know that he has exagerated and mislead in this area to give a picture he wanted out in order to gain support and to promote exactly what you have now projected. Again, do you really know his fruits? If you are to find out his fruits, as you willing to admit he is a mere man who is faulty and God used that building and those volunteers in spite of the man? This picture is much bigger then you would like to sum up in your mind. Why don't you let God show you exactly what He has done in spite of DS and his real motives. Don't be mesmerized by what you have been taught and lead to see. Open your eyes.

9

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 01:26 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 01:09 PM) □

God uses not only whom ever He chooses, but whatever He chooses. He wastes nothing. There is nothing saying anyone is called, but God will use anything to reach people. That by NO MEANS protects or guarentees DS or anyone of anything. Many people have poored blood sweat and tears (and TONS OF MONEY) into that minstry, FOR GOD. Hopefully not for Danny Shelton. Stop assuming that DS is hand in hand with God just because of what you SEE on TV. There is much more to the "behind the scenes" of someone's real life then what is seen on the television. If we don't wake up and realize it's not a PERSON, it's a GOD that does anything good, we shall surely follow a MAN instead of a God. And btw, you do reap what you sew, and that goes for anyone "doing" God's will - as they may say. What happens to a person in this life is largely a consequence of their "fruits" and that happens by way of the other people on this planet that we have to live with. God uses them as well - for His purpose. We have laws of the land, and also morality. If you break those, it's bound to come back to you, one way or another, and often in many ways. If you mistreat someone, God can surely bring that to the table and make you face it. None of us are exempt from that. Do you think this happens magically??? **It happens through justice of the land, done through people, based on principal and example, and that's found in the bible too.**

Justice of the Land is located. . . Not here! This has no bearing on anything but reputation. God has used people all through the Bible and people have tried to use God also. The first will work, the second will only cause personal destruction on ones own condition. We say we trust the Lord but DO WE? Is this all to Big for God? The Ark was literally used in a Battle, they had God in the box and they took Him to battle with them. Guess what the Ark was captured. We can't put God in a Box. We can't use Him. He says, Trust Me.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 01:27 PM

Ok Sonshine, you do realize you and I are in agreement, right? Like I said, once called, you can always be "uncalled"(sorry work from the Princess dictionary), Ask King Saul. □

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 11:09 AM) □

God uses not only whom ever He chooses, but whatever He chooses. He wastes nothing. There is nothing saying anyone is called, but God will use anything to reach people. **That by NO MEANS protects or guarentees DS or anyone of anything. Many people have poored blood sweat**

and tears (and TONS OF MONEY) into that minstry, FOR GOD. Hopefully not for Danny Shelton. Stop assuming that DS is hand in hand with God just because of what you SEE on TV. There is much more to the "behind the scenes" of someone's real life then what is seen on the television. If we don't wake up and realize it's not a PERSON, it's a GOD that does anything good, we shall surely follow a MAN instead of a God. And btw, you do reap what you sew, and that goes for anyone "doing" God's will - as they may say. What happens to a person in this life is largely a consequence of their "fruits" and that happens by way of the other people on this planet that we have to live with. God uses them as well - for His purpose. We have laws of the land, and also morality. If you break those, it's bound to come back to you, one way or another, and often in many ways. If you mistreat someone, God can surely bring that to the table and make you face it. None of us are exempt from that. Do you think this happens magically??? It happens through justice of the land, done through people, based on principal and example, and that's found in the bible too.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 01:31 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 11:26 AM)

Justice of the Land is located. . . Not here! This has no bearing on anything but reputation. God has used people all through the Bible and people have tried to use God also. The first will work, the second will only cause personal destruction on ones own condition. We say we trust the Lord but DO WE? Is this all to Big for God? The Ark was literally used in a Battle, they had God in the box and they took Him to battle with them. Guess what the Ark was captured. We can't put God in a Box. We can't use Him. He says, Trust Me.

f you are being deceived, would you like to know it or not? Would you rather sit in your ideas of what feels warm and fuzzy, part of that is thinking you see God doing what you THINK God is doing, or would you like to see more of the picture? God wants us to SEE, not be deceived.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 16 2007, 01:34 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 02:13 PM)

I am very sorry for calling you Pickle my mistake. You ask was Danny called? What other human to that point could of done or should I say has been done only by HUMAN efforts? Danny was uneducated, poor man, really no connections he didn't know anyone but the Saviour. No one else to that day had ever attempted such a task. The SDA Church itself has not been able to expand to the degree of 3ABN to this day. all the chuches TV outreach to the entire world... together... doesn't amount to 3ABN's coverage. Does this sound like the work of a human?

'ou believe that Horatio Alger "pulled himself up by his own bootstraps" story if ya wanna... Joel Osteen has an even better story... should we assume he is anointed of God even more than Danny?

Come on Teresa, you can do better than this.

n His service,

Mr. J

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 02:26 PM)

Justice of the Land is located. . . Not here! This has no bearing on anything but reputation. God has used people all through the Bible and people have tried to use God also. The first will work, the second will only cause personal destruction on ones own condition. We say we trust the Lord but DO WE? Is this all to Big for God? The Ark was literally used in a Battle, they had God in the box and they took Him to battle with them. Guess what the Ark was captured. We can't put God in a Box. We can't use Him. He says, Trust Me.

Problem isn't trusting God... problem is you, and those who are allied with you, are also saying "trust me"...

...and you aint God.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 01:36 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 16 2007, 11:27 AM)

Ok Sonshine, you do realize you and I are in agreement, right? Like I said, once called, you can always be "uncalled"(sorry work from the Princess dictionary), Ask King Saul.

Yes, di, I see we are in agreement . My feeling is also that while you can be uncalled, I really can't and won't say that someone has been called. And I have been around a lot of so called "called" people. To me that changes the direction (I'm sure there is a better word for this) in ones mind of what happens and can happen, the human phyche can be mislead if you are locked in to this "no matter what" thinking. I've seen it in my own family, and it terrifies me to see it - this hanging on to a man rather than a God. To me it holds you back from really seeing evil and sin in all its forms.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 16 2007, 01:44 PM

Well, now we do have to look at the fact that the ministry is still there and blessing people, bringing them to Christ. We can't discount the many people who still say it is a blessing to them daily. We also know that there are Godly people working there, some of our members here, the Eakins, etc. who are true Christian people ministering. So, Danny could have been called to start it, but like Saul his sinful ways are causing him to be "uncalled". He is suffering the consequences of his personal choices.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 11:36 AM)

Yes, di, I see we are in agreement . My feeling is also that while you can be uncalled, I really can't and won't say that someone has been called. And I have been around a lot of so called

"called" people. To me that changes the direction (I'm sure there is a better word for this) in ones mind of what happens and can happen, the human phyche can be mislead if you are locked in to this "no matter what" thinking. I've seen it in my own family, and it terrifies me to see it - this hanging on to a man rather then a God. To me it holds you back from really seeing evil and sin in all its forms.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 01:45 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 01:26 PM) □

You did not make a mistake by calling me Mr. Pickle. I just jumped in to your comment towards him, so I stated I am not him, but addressing part of your comment.
 As to what you said here, and I'm sure I won't be the only one addressing this, I will comment on your thinking, which I have colored in red.
 Have you decided that God did it or Danny? I can't tell by your words...it seems somewhat worshipful of a man to me...
 God can do anything in spite of a person. But, you are giving credit to Danny as if he got this done thru God. A man can be VERY determined to do anything he sets his mind to (and if you know DS, he is all about determination and not giving up when he wants something) and so based on his motives and minipulation tactics, he could very well get something accomplished like this. You're picture of how this happend has been given to you by DS as well. It is given to you, and others for the purpose of saying "God did this and used me" for credibility sake. You are looking to a man and assuming GOD did this. God may have made something good come from what DS set out to do for many reasons that could have been very selfishly motivated. I will not assume anything as you have - I certainly can not take DS word on how things came about as I know that he has exagerated and mislead in this area to give a picture he wanted out in order to gain support and to promote exactly what you have now projected. Again, do you really know his fruits? If you are to find out his fruits, as you willing to admit he is a mere man who is faulty and God used that building and those volunteers in spite of the man? This picture is much bigger then you would like to sum up in your mind. Why don't you let God show you exactly what He has done in spite of DS and his real motives. Don't be mesmerized by what you have been taught and lead to see. Open your eyes.

read what you have written with an open mind. Really, and for 20 years that ministry has been joing. Um, have we fogotten that the majority of these 20 years, there was a lady named Linda who jacked, supported, and well according to you was part of some huge deception. See some how the victure has narrowed here. Have you always felt this way about 3ABN? Oh, I assume that there are hose who look to humans in some idolistic way. That would be very scary place to be. Personally I can see a good work in the ministry and that was with Linda and Danny, and how it is now. This ministry will go on to witness for our Lord. They didn't, Danny don't make the ministry

Posted by: Snoopy Jan 16 2007, 01:49 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 11:53 AM) □

There is so much on here that is fabricated. Just read all the stuff. The Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about slander, gossip and talebearing.

Pardon me, but that is one of the best NON-answers I have seen! Excellent ability to subvert the original, warm and sincere question posed to you by Johann. I am not in either "camp" here, just trying to learn from those who have firsthand knowledge of what happened. It surely does sound to me like you have some relevant information, but prefer to dance around it rather than just putting it out there. In no way is this meant as an attack...just an observation...

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 01:56 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 11:45 AM) □

I read what you have written with an open mind. Really, and for 20 years that ministry has been going. Um, have we forgotten that the majority of these 20 years, there was a lady named Linda who backed, supported, and well according to you was part of some huge deception. See some how the picture has narrowed here. Have you always felt this way about 3ABN? Oh, I assume that there are those who look to humans in some idolistic way. That would be very scary place to be. Personally I can see a good work in the ministry and that was with Linda and Danny, and how it is now. This ministry will go on to witness for our Lord. They didn't, Danny don't make the ministry

I am specifically talking of a mans motives. Nothing more. Read what I said. There are people who have given their money and time in dedication to that ministry that has done good. NO one said it wasn't. Linda gave 200%. There are people there now that are doing the same. This has nothing to do with that. This has to do with a mans motives to get what he wants for any reason. I will never assume anything, that includes his motives. That is my point. Called or uncalled. It makes no difference to me. That fact remains that this mans motives are extremely questionable. And yes, even Linda may also wonder now as well. People can be in the same house and same business and be on very different pages. Only God knows the heart of a person. We have the fruits to go by, and that's isn't numbers coming into the church - many people can accomplish numbers. God gets the numbers, not a man.

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 16 2007, 11:44 AM) □

Well, now we do have to look at the fact that the ministry is still there and blessing people, bringing them to Christ. We can't discount the many people who still say it is a blessing to them daily. We also know that there are Godly people working there, some of our members here, the Eakins, etc. who are true Christian people ministering. So, Danny could have been called to start it, but like Saul his sinful ways are causing him to be "uncalled". He is suffering the consequences of his personal choices.

Yes, Di, maybe he was, or maybe he wasn't, but God got the job done in spite of him. There are other people involved in this ministry (that includes Linda) that were in it for just the obvious reaching people for the Lord reasons (to be clear on what I am saying the reasons are). And thank God He has done what He has through it. At the same time, I have also seen a large group of people who have come to worship Danny, not God. Even Linda warned Danny this was not right.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 02:04 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 16 2007, 01:49 PM)

Pardon me, but that is one of the best NON-answers I have seen! Excellent ability to subvert the original, warm and sincere question posed to you by Johann. I am not in either "camp" here, just trying to learn from those who have firsthand knowledge of what happened. It surely does sound to me like you have some relevant information, but prefer to dance around it rather than just putting it out there. In no way is this meant as an attack...just an observation...

Snoopy Topics are the question. Which topic will be discussed to prove fabrication. Johann and Pickles control this. There is much fabrication, on what points I will comment, is my choice. Eye Witness tried to open some opportunity on some facts and they choose to not talk. They only want to discuss a charge that no one can prove but the court of law. Could it be that people are being lead by a deception here? Could there be another motive to all this, this stuff? Who is winning? I guess really who are the players? The bigger question what is the prize in the end, if there ever is one? I not dancing just showing some respect.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 02:07 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:04 PM)

Snoopy Topics are the question. Which topic will be discussed to prove fabrication. Johann and Pickles control this. There is much fabrication, on what points I will comment, is my choice. Eye Witness tried to open some opportunity on some facts and they choose to not talk. They only want to discuss a charge that no one can prove but the court of law. Could it be that people are being lead by a deception here? Could there be another motive to all this, this stuff? Who is winning? I guess really who are the players? The bigger question what is the prize in the end, if there ever is one? I not dancing just showing some respect.

Where is the fabrication? Tell me. I'm listening.



Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 02:13 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:23 PM)

Without your tainted insinuation placed upon it.

I beg your pardon.

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:23 PM)

I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?

Are you suggesting we should stand back and wait for God to send a lightning bolt? Do you honestly think that's the kindest, most merciful, most godly approach?

And do you think we should just stand back and let Danny compromise the financial security of the denomination through his lies and cover up, if Walt Thompson's account of what Danny told him be correct? I think not.

9

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 16 2007, 02:18 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 01:56 PM) □

I am specifically talking of a mans motives. Nothing more. Read what I said. There are people who have given their money and time in dedication to that ministry that has done good. NO one said it hasn't. Linda gave 200%. There are people there now that are doing the same. This has nothing to do with that. This has to do with a mans motives to get what he wants for any reason. I will never assume anything, that includes his motives. That is my point. Called or uncalled. It makes no difference to me. That fact remains that this mans motives are extremely questionable. And yes, even Linda may also wonder now as well. People can be in the same house and same business and be on very different pages. Only God knows the heart of a person. We have the fruits to go by, and that's isn't numbers coming into the church - many people can accomplish numbers. God gets the numbers, not a man.

Yes, Di, maybe he was, or maybe he wasn't, but God got the job done in spite of him. There are other people involved in this ministry (that includes Linda) that were in it for just the obvious reaching people for the Lord reasons (to be clear on what I am saying the reasons are). And thank God He has done what He has through it. At the same time, I have also seen a large group of people who have come to worship Danny, not God. Even Linda warned Danny this was not right.

Yes this goes with the territory of being on TV. The falcy of people. Out of Danny and Linda's control of course. That is within the person who is doing the following. So Linda warned Danny, what suggestions would you make to Danny to stop these people who seek after an idol. Can man change man's heart? Not at all. This is the Holy Spirits job. I am sure Linda would tell you as well that she has such people still to this day. What can she do? Pray for them! Wasn't there even a time when Linda got her hair cut because a person wanted a keep sack? That wouldnot set with me well either. But what can you do?

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 02:13 PM) □

I beg your pardon.

Are you suggesting we should stand back and wait for God to send a lightning bolt? Do you honestly think that's the kindest, most merciful, most godly approach?

And do you think we should just stand back and **let Danny compromise the financial security of the denomination** through his lies and cover up, if Walt Thompson's account of what Danny told him be correct? I think not.

Please clarify one time I read a big separation from the denomination then I read they are linked. I can better answer when it is stated correctly.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 02:21 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:18 PM)

Yes this goes with the territory of being on TV. The falicy of people. Out of Danny and Linda's control of course. That is within the person who is doing the following. So Linda warned Danny, what suggestions would you make to Danny to stop these people who seek after an idol. Can man change man's heart? Not at all. This is the Holy Spirits job. I am sure Linda would tell you as well that she has such people still to this day. What can she do? Pray for them! Wasn't there even a time when Linda got her hair cut because a person wanted a keep sack? That would . . . not set with me well either. But what can you do?
Please clarify one time I read a big seperation from the denomination then I read they are linked. I can better answer when it is stated correctly.

Again, I am not talking of the people watching the tv with regard to the "prophet" idea and worship of a man, although that has taken place as well - I am talking of the man, DS. Linda told him, he didn't mind, and he allowed the worship- and let me remind you , this came from WITH IN the compound, not the tv screen. Danny likes it. Plain and simple.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 02:24 PM

I think, Teresa, that somehow you are part of the cover up. Don't know how else to describe it.

There is sin in the camp, and until it is taken care of, God will not bless His people as He wants to do. Lying, attacking, accusing, distracting, threatening, intimidating, all to cover up allegations of a) child molestation and b) propositioning men, allegations that Tommy himself admits to, at least in part, and you choose to be part of that? Why? Why would you be involved in something so ungodly?

Whether the allegations prove true or false, this is absolutely the wrong way to deal with such allegations. If you think otherwise, go talk to a conference administrator somewhere. Go talk to your insurance agent. Go talk to your sheriff or police chief. Go talk to the local Catholic bishop.

And then come here and tell us what they had to say.

7
and
9

Posted by: watchbird Jan 16 2007, 02:55 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 03:04 PM)

The bigger question what is the prize in the end, if there ever is one? I not dancing just showing some respect.

The prize in the end is the glory of God... the releasing of prisoners of fear... and the exposure and dethronement of evil.

Yes, Teresa, whoever you are and for whatever reason, you ARE "dancing" rather than showing respect to those who are attempting to dialogue with you. And you are are not only showing disrespect to us, but you are showing disrespect for yourself.... for you are tripping over your own feet.

You would be well advised... if you have any shred of self-respect even... to either answer the questions directly or stop making more oblique accusations. If you have specific complaints... for example that you object to your letter having been made public... then have the guts to say exactly that. Own the letter and explain why you wrote it and why you aren't brave enough to own up to it now. If you don't... then give up on the distraction game. This group is not that easily distracted and you are liable to get trampled in the mud if you try to play it.

As it is, you are doing nothing but continually making yourself look more emptyheaded and crass.

It is not too late to redeem yourself... this is a very forgiving and understanding group to anyone who is playing fair and honest with them.

Posted by: Johann Jan 16 2007, 02:59 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 07:53 PM)

[/b]

There is so much on here that is fabricated. Just read all the stuff. The Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about slander, gossip and talebearing.

I'd still like you to give a reply to my question. You have noticed that I am not the only one who would like you to answer.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 03:01 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 12:07 PM)

Where is the fabrication? Tell me. I'm listening.

I too am still waiting for your answer, Teresa.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 16 2007, 04:45 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:53 AM)

[/b]

There is so much on here that is fabricated. Just read all the stuff. The Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about slander, gossip and talebearing.

And the Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about immoral behavior. Have you read 1Corinthians 5? I suggest you do so. It is very clear on the bad influence those who claim to be Christians but instead have the fruits of fallen Lucifer. It is also clear on what to do with these dangerous people.

Beware lest you deal harshly with those who you think have made mistakes, while others, more guilty and more deserving of reproof, who should be severely rebuked for their unChristlike conduct, are sustained and treated as friends."--RH Mar. 12, 1895.

So you, eye witness, kaiser Schlobotnick, Bystander, wwjd and others who seem to interpret what we are doing here on the 3abn Forum as gossip and slander are in an interesting position. You are harshly judging those of us who are loyal to God and have a burden to expose some dangerous conditions in a ministry that was set up to spread the undiluted message, but all the while allowing behavior to flourish around you that is damaging to the reputation of that ministry, the Seventh-day Adventist church and the character of Christians in general.

Yes, we are all sinners saved by Grace. But when there are cherished sins that are unrepented of, damaged lives that have not received proper restitution and an intentional cover up of the behavior, how can that Grace truly be available?

I know for myself, when I admit to those I have harmed that I was wrong it is a liberating experience. It is good for the soul!

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 04:48 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 16 2007, 02:45 PM)

And the Bible and Ellen White have plenty to say about immoral behavior. Have you read 1Corinthians 5? I suggest you do so. It is very clear on the bad influence those who claim to be Christians but instead have the fruits of fallen Lucifer. It is also clear on what to do with these dangerous people.

Beware lest you deal harshly with those who you think have made mistakes, while others, more guilty and more deserving of reproof, who should be severely rebuked for their unChristlike conduct, are sustained and treated as friends."--RH Mar. 12, 1895.

So you, eye witness, kaiser Schlobotnick, Bystander, wwjd and others who seem to interpret what we are doing here on the 3abn Forum as gossip and slander are in an interesting position. You are harshly judging those of us who are loyal to God and have a burden to expose some dangerous conditions in a ministry that was set up to spread the undiluted message, but all the while allowing behavior to flourish around you that is damaging to the reputation of that ministry, the Seventh-day Adventist church and the character of Christians in general.

Yes, we are all sinners saved by Grace. But when there are cherished sins that are unrepented of, damaged lives that have not received proper restitution and an intentional cover up of the behavior, how can that Grace truly be available?

I know for myself, when I admit to those I have harmed that I was wrong it is a liberating experience. It is good for the soul!

Thank you PB - I appreciated your comments here.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 05:46 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 02:18 PM)

Please clarify one time I read a big separation from the denomination then I read they are linked. I can better answer when it is stated correctly.

The fact that a) 3ABN general manager Mollie Steenson sits on the conference committee, b) the conference president sits on the 3ABN board, c) a conference academy campus sits on the 3ABN campus, from what I understand, and d) the conference was apparently concerned in the early '90's about Tommy's problems, could be used by a plaintiff in a lawsuit to go after the conference as well as 3ABN if recent incidents have occurred or if new incidents occur in the future.

15

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 16 2007, 06:09 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 05:46 PM)

The fact that a) 3ABN general manager Mollie Steenson sits on the conference committee, b) the conference president sits on the 3ABN board, c) a conference academy campus sits on the 3ABN campus, from what I understand, and d) the conference was apparently concerned in the early '90's about Tommy's problems, could be used by a plaintiff in a lawsuit to go after the conference as well as 3ABN if recent incidents have occurred or if new incidents occur in the future.

Hello Pickle. I been away for a while, but now I am back and reading to catch up. You made some interesting statements above... Do you have documentation that Mollie sits on the Ill. conference board? She may, I really don't know that's why I'm asking. Also, do you have documentation that 3ABn has a conference academy campus there? I'm not aware of that either, again, that's why I'm asking for your proof.

Joe

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 16 2007, 06:29 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 07:09 PM)

Hello Pickle. I been away for a while, but now I am back and reading to catch up. You made some interesting statements above... Do you have documentation that Mollie sits on the Ill. conference board? She may, I really don't know that's why I'm asking. Also, do you have documentation that 3ABn has a conference academy campus there? I'm not aware of that either, again, that's why I'm asking for your proof.

Joe

It isn't a secret...they talk about it on 3ABN quite often...

Posted by: sister Jan 16 2007, 06:34 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 10:53 AM)

Oh my what on earth can you possible be saying. My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father??? I can't possible believe this! Where did you hear such, such a malicious tale. Sister have you considered the verses in Psalm 64:7&8 "But God shall shoot at them with an arrow; suddenly shall they be wounded. So they shall make thier own tongue to fall upon themselves: all that see them shall flee away."
God does not change, he does not lie. So repeating such evil will find you in a serious way.
Teresa Reiman

Teresa, **again** I am asking the same questions as Johann in regard to the statement you have made about me, that I have quoted above for the **second** time:

"It is a little hard for me to understand what you are hinting at. Could you explain it somewhat clearer? What evil is being repeated?"

As long as you keep sidestepping the issue, I will continue to ask the same question...

Sister

Posted by: Observer Jan 16 2007, 06:41 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 05:09 PM)

Hello Pickle. I been away for a while, but now I am back and reading to catch up. You made some interesting statements above... Do you have documentation that Mollie sits on the Ill. conference board? She may, I really don't know that's why I'm asking. Also, do you have documentation that 3ABn has a conference academy campus there? I'm not aware of that either, again, that's why I'm asking for your proof.

Joe

Joe:

You can find your answers in official both records denominational and other official records. Here is what you will find:

1) Academy: Bob Pickle made a short one sentence statement in regard to this. The actual facts are available on denominational websites. They will reveal a relationship, as Bob has stated. Some might believe that the extent of that relationship properly takes more than the one sentence that Bob made in describing it. But, Bob was correct in his essential comment. There is a relationship (unless changed in the past few weeks). That relationship is such that under some conditions attempts could be made to hold the IL Conference financially liable. Bob is correct in that.

2) IL Conference sitting on the 3-ABN Board: That has officially existed for some time. Unless it has recently changed, which I doubt, he still does.

15

3) 3-ABN on the IL Conference Executive Board: In more recent times that has been true. Unless there has been a recent change, 3-ABN still has a person on the IL Conference Board.

4) Under some conditions # 2 & 3 could result in people attempting to hold the IL Conference financially liable. It is a potential problem. Bob is correct.

NOTE: The conditions under which 3-ABN added a person to the IL Conference Board are clearly within denominational policy.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 16 2007, 06:51 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 06:09 PM) □

Hello Pickle. I been away for a while, but now I am back and reading to catch up. You made some interesting statements above... Do you have documentation that Mollie sits on the Ill. conference board? She may, I really don't know that's why I'm asking. Also, do you have documentation that 3ABn has a conference academy campus there? I'm not aware of that either, again, that's why I'm asking for your proof. □

Joe

Hi Joe.

15

Check out <http://www.bvanet.org/>. Up at the top look at the banner. It says that Broadview Academy has a campus at Thompsonville. That campus is part of a pilot project that just started, so I am a little unclear what exactly is happening this year. It got voted by May of last year as indicated by <http://www.kdenslow.blogspot.com/>, which says that the location of this satellite campus is supposed to be the Thompsonville school. I'm told there was talk about building dormitories as well.

As far as Mollie sitting on the conference committee goes, all I can say is that when I mentioned this in relation to another issue to some folks that work for the conference office there, they didn't correct me on that one, while they did correct me on another personnel issue.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 16 2007, 06:55 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 16 2007, 06:41 PM) □

Joe:

You can find your answers in official both records denominational and other official records. Here is

what you will find:

1) Academy: Bob Pickle made a short one sentence statement in regard to this. The actual facts are available on denominational websites. They will reveal a relationship, as Bob has stated. Some might believe that the extent of that relationship properly takes more than the one sentence that Bob made in describing it. But, Bob was correct in his essential comment. There is a relationship (unless changed in the past few weeks). That relationship is such that under some conditions attempts could be made to hold the IL Conference financially liable. Bob is correct in that.

2) IL Conference sitting on the 3-ABN Board: That has officially existed for some time. Unless it has recently changed, which I doubt, he still does.

3) 3-ABN on the IL Conference Executive Board: In more recent times that has been true. Unless there has been a recent change, 3-ABN still has a person on the IL Conference Board.

4) Under some conditions # 2 & 3 could result in people attempting to hold the IL Conference financially liable. It is a potential problem. Bob is correct.

NOTE: The conditions under which 3-ABN added a person to the IL Conference Board are clearly within denominational policy.

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 05:46 PM)

The fact that a) 3ABN general manager Mollie Steenson sits on the conference committee, b) the conference president sits on the 3ABN board, c) a conference academy campus sits on the 3ABN campus, from what I understand, and d) the conference was apparently concerned in the early '90's about Tommy's problems, could be used by a plaintiff in a lawsuit to go after the conference as well as 3ABN if recent incidents have occurred or if new incidents occur in the future.

I'm not asking about liabilities.... I said show me the documents that Mollie, not just any person, sits on the conference committee, and she might, I don't know....

also said show me the conference academy or it's campus,,,,, I want to see that because the last time was there....THEY DIDN'T HAVE ONE!...I don't know how I could have missed something that big.


 rofl Joe

Posted by: lurker Jan 16 2007, 07:00 PM

<http://tinyurl.com/2gj4pe> shows pictures of BVA students working with 3ABN.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 16 2007, 07:03 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 06:09 PM)

Hello Pickle. I been away for a while, but now I am back and reading to catch up. You made some interesting statements above... **Do you have documentation** that Mollie sits on the Ill. conference board? She may, I really don't know that's why I'm asking. Also, do you have documentation that 3ABN has a conference academy campus there? I'm not aware of that either, again, that's why I'm asking for your proof. 

Joe

t's not really a "documentation" type of issue, but it's there for those who seem to need or want it.

n 2005, Mollie Steenson was listed in the constituency newsletter. Click the link and look at the PDF file if you wish.

haven't checked since then. At that time, there were three people, working with 3ABN, listed on the executive committee. Just go to the PDF newsletter and look in the blue box on the right-hand side of page 2.

2005

http://www.illinoisadventist.org/constituency_newsletter.pdf

Executive Committee

ADMINISTRATION

) Kenneth A. Denslow , Chair

) Kyoshin Ahn, Secretary

) Duane Rollins

SOUTH

) Dale Barnhurst (Marion)

) Mollie Steenson (Thompsonville)

CENTRAL

) Ed Pierce (Danville)

) John Stanton (Springfield)

NORTHWEST

) Randy Siebold (Academy)

) Gail Wein (N Aurora)

) Lyn Gatling (Moline)

NORTH CHICAGOLAND

) Sean Kim (Chicago Unity)

) Homer Ma (Northbrook)

) Maureen Plumb (North Shore)

) To be Filled

SOUTH CHICAGOLAND

) Deborah Scott (Bolingbrook)

) Emmanuel Lacson (Hinsdale Fil-Am)

) Hinsdale Senior Pastor

) Todd Werner (Hinsdale)

HISPANIC

) Elena Pena (Belvidere)

) Silvia Caines (W Suburban Hispanic)

) Rodolfo Rosales (Lakeview Hispanic,

North Hispanic, Pilson Hispanic)

.=Layperson

=Employee

Posted by: eye witness Jan 16 2007, 07:12 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 06:55 PM)

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 05:46 PM)

The fact that a) 3ABN general manager Mollie Steenson sits on the conference committee, b) the conference president sits on the 3ABN board, c) a conference academy campus sits on the 3ABN campus, from what I understand, and d) the conference was apparently concerned in the early '90's about Tommy's problems, could be used by a plaintiff in a lawsuit to go after the conference as well as 3ABN if recent incidents have occurred or if new incidents occur in the future.

I'm not asking about liabilities.... I said show me the documents that Mollie, not just any person, sits on the conference committee, and she might, I don't know....

I also said show me the conference academy or it's campus,,,, I want to see that because the last time I was there....THEY DIDN'T HAVE ONE!...I don't know how I could have missed something that big. rofl Joe

Well Joe you got an answer. You said you didn't know and poof there it is. Panama Pete has filled you n. I am a little of apprehensive of Mollie being on that!

Posted by: Lee Jan 16 2007, 07:22 PM

Teresa is from another Country, obviously. I would appreciate it if you all would cut her some slack in that English may not be her "first" language. You know, as in some "Christian" kindness.

And no, I do not know Teresa--never heard of her until I read her posts on here. But really, I think her HEARING is fine, no need to yell at her.

Posted by: sister Jan 16 2007, 07:28 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 07:55 PM)

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 05:46 PM)

The fact that a) 3ABN general manager Mollie Steenson sits on the conference committee, b) the conference president sits on the 3ABN board, c) a conference academy campus sits on the 3ABN campus, from what I understand, and d) the conference was apparently concerned in the early '90's about Tommy's problems, could be used by a plaintiff in a lawsuit to go after the conference as well as 3ABN if recent incidents have occurred or if new incidents occur in the future.

I'm not asking about liabilities.... I said show me the documents that Mollie, not just any person, sits on the conference committee, and she might, I don't know....

I also said show me the conference academy or it's campus,,,, I want to see that because the last time I was there....THEY DIDN'T HAVE ONE!...I don't know how I could have missed something that big. rofl Joe

oe Smith,

The website for Broadview Academy has the following listing under staff:

Genny Grinley, Thompsonville Campus, Facilitator
 518-627-2065, Thompsonville Campus

Why don't you call the above listed telephone number, ask for Genny Grinley and get the information directly from her. I am sure that Ms. Grinley can give you directions to the exact location of the Thompsonville Campus of Broadview Academy. Mr. Pickle, is not a resident of Thompsonville and can not just jump into his car and take a picture of the school and post it on this site. Since you know the

way to 3ABN, you can do you own leg work or let your fingers do the walking and call Genny Grinley.

Sister

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 16 2007, 08:22 PM)

Teresa is from another Country, obviously. I would appreciate it if you all would cut her some slack in that English may not be her "first" language. You know, as in some "Christian" kindness.

And no, I do not know Teresa--never heard of her until I read her posts on here. But really, I think her HEARING is fine, no need to yell at her.

Lee, why do you assume that Teresa is from another country? Why is it obvious? Why do you assume that English is not her first language? Please, enlighten me....

Johann and I have repeatedly asked Teresa to explain the content of what she is saying, I am not addressing anything else. I simply want to know what she means when she says the following: "My goodness, that Rick would write such a thing about his father???" What thing is Teresa referring to in this sentence and when she speaks about evil. And why is she unwilling to answer these questions?

Sister

Posted by: eye witness Jan 16 2007, 07:30 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:23 PM)

Pickle Well as we proceed here. I will not address the subjects of guilty or innocent. For one, it will make no difference what I think. Two, with what judgment one uses the same they will receive. Again idenity has nothing to do with truth! The big thing is that when a statement goes out it needs to be, *only*, Pickle what the statment is! Without your tainted insinuation placed upon it.

Your bidding I understand is truth. Your goal is justice. **Tell me Pickle who do you have in mind that could take the Danny position and preform this to your standards?** I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?

Teresa Reiman

Well I see that Pickle has evaded your questions unless I have over looked his answer, but don't feel bad he has done the same with me. I am still waiting for his reply to my question? Maybe in the near future and maybe not! To anyone who is reading this WHO DO YOU THINK CAN FILL THE DANNY POISITON?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 16 2007, 07:35 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 16 2007, 06:22 PM)

Teresa is from another Country, obviously. I would appreciate it if you all would cut her some slack

in that English may not be her "first" language. You know, as in some "Christian" kindness.

And no, I do not know Teresa--never heard of her until I read her posts on here. But really, I think her HEARING is fine, no need to yell at her.

How are you deducing that Teresa is from another country? Has she told us such? Does she mention it somewhere here on the forum? Is it her misspelled words or imperfect grammar? I really would like to know how you came up with that.

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 16 2007, 06:30 PM) □

Well I see that Pickle has evaded your questions unless I have overlooked his answer, but don't feel bad he has done the same with me. I am still waiting for his reply to my question? Maybe in the near future and maybe not! To anyone who is reading this WHO DO YOU THINK CAN FILL THE DANNY POISITON? □

3abn functioned when Linda left and it will continue if Danny leaves if it is God's will.

Posted by: sister Jan 16 2007, 07:48 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 16 2007, 08:35 PM) □

3abn functioned when Linda left and it will continue if Danny leaves if it is God's will.

No human being is irreplaceable in any position in any ministry or organization, if that were not the case, the world as we know it would have crumbled long ago. Obviously the time of grief varies, but if it is God's will for an organization or ministry to continue, a replacement will be found. God called David long before Saul's death. **Hopefully, we will not have to wait for Danny's death for his replacement at 3ABN, especially as the investigations of several government agencies continue to discover further evidence of illegal activities.**

10

Posted by: eye witness Jan 16 2007, 07:57 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 09:47 PM) □

Eye Witness,

You're not making sense.

First of all, Tommy's accusers claim that he puts on an act whenever these allegations surface, and so, if you are going to be objective, you have to keep the possibility open that Tommy isn't having much in the way of health problems at all.

Now if you can produce documentation that he has indeed had stints and such, that would be most

helpful. But we certainly can't just take Danny or Tommy's word for it since we have proven where they have been less than truthful.

Secondly, it is quite clear what "gossip" Teresa was referring to on December 9, since the new allegations went public on December 5, and since you haven't suggested anything other than the child molestation allegations, you yourself must certainly know that that was what she was referring to.

And yet you are so bold as to say that that quote does not hint at all at those allegations. Thus, you aren't making any sense whatsoever.

If that wasn't what Teresa was referring to, she shouldn't have written something so vague, because Johann called me and told me that that was how Linda took what Teresa had written.

Now if Teresa meant something else, then by all means find out what she meant and post it here so Gailon can make sure he has his facts straight.

Gailon really needs to pull that quote out of his web site statement and you Pickle need to pull that out of your statements as well!!! Why? Because you are not using it in context with the rest of the communication that Linda and Teresa has conversed in. This is only the tip of a communication process that has gone on hit and miss for years. Its shocking to see that Linda would hand this email over to someone to use in this way. Expecially when Linda says "She has absolutly nothing to do with the controversy the Shelton family is facing at this time. I do not visit the chatsites...." They have shared tears and joy, I am told. Not about Tommy but about the many different attacks from the many different ways the attacks have occured. I guess they have spent many hours on the phone, in email and visiting, after all, they were related for a while. If Linda has nothing to do with the controversey of the Shelton family, why is she pulling in innocent people to create more of a mess? That would be a good question for you to ask her since you are so close to her.


Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 16 2007, 08:02 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 16 2007, 07:22 PM) □

Teresa is from another Country, obviously. I would appreciate it if you all would cut her some slack in that English may not be her "first" language. You know, as in some "Christian" kindness.

don't know who Teresa is, but there have been plenty of people around West Frankfort with that same name. I have no information to indicate Teresa is orginally from outside the English-speaking community.

As I said, there have been plenty of people with the name Teresa around. Here's just one example:



ABA, NIGERIA Friday, November 28, 2003
by Marti Schneider

At our nine o'clock meeting this morning, the King's Heralds sang a

small concert for our entire group. We have really appreciated their ministry here . . . both in their music and in their presence. We could feel their support, their heart for ministry, their care for people. It was our last group meeting.

When Elder Folkenberg asked the speakers from the outlying evangelistic meetings to tell what this experience meant to them, **Teresa Shelton** summed it up by saying, "I have spoken tremblingly to 20-some people . . . but now I am an evangelist!" **She and Ronnie Shelton** had separate evangelistic series going. There were good testimonies from all of the teams."

Posted by: calvin Jan 16 2007, 08:16 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 16 2007, 12:23 PM)

Tell me Pickle who do you have in mind that could take the Danny position and preform this to your standards?
Teresa Reiman

I can do it. I can put on some cowboy boots, a bright mock turtleneck and read from cue cards. The only problem I would have is devorcing Jean and marrying a spring chicken.

Posted by: Lee Jan 16 2007, 08:29 PM


you know, in looking my post over, I shouldn't have said "Teresa is from another Country" because I don't know any more than you do. But as I read her posts, she reminded me of some of people who have come here from Russia and other countries, sometimes their English is different, or words will be missing from their sentences and words misspelled.

I believe she "might" (sorry to have said it incorrectly in the first place) be from another Country, but only she can say where she is from, if she hasn't been banned from this site already.

Posted by: lurker Jan 16 2007, 08:31 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 16 2007, 09:16 PM)

I can do it. I can put on some cowboy boots, a bright mock turtleneck and read from cue cards. The only problem I would have is devorcing Jean and marrying a spring chicken.



That's a good one, Calvin.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 16 2007, 08:34 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 16 2007, 05:09 AM)

Re: "Now it has been posted on BSDA that he [Mr. Joy] had been acquitted of embezzelment."

I believe that you meant that Mr. Joy had been convicted of embezzelment.

Yes, he has. The story has been told. You can draw your own conclusions. Let me ask you: What conclusions do you draw from the fact that Mr. Joy filed a lawsuit against the agency that accused him, and won a \$40,000.00 judgement against that agency, which was paid to him?The law is strange, is it not?

I would be interested in where that is posted on BSDA.... If anyone knows. I recieved an email from Mr. Joy denying any convictions on that charge... As I said, I have documentation saying he was found quilty. It is my understanding there is more than one charge. He may have been vindicated on some charges, but not on others. Observer, if you have the other side of the story, please tell me where I can find it.

Joe

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 16 2007, 08:38 PM

Calvin, I think you should apply for the job. I think you would change things even more in the next "two and a half years"! I can see you bringing many people to the Lord!! I mean that sincerely too!

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 16 2007, 08:41 PM

There is not a campus on 3ABN property. I have been hearing for several months about the desire or plans to have an academy on hand. But why wouldn't we...when Dan's grandchildren were old enough to start school, poof, a 3ABN school opens. And now that they are getting older, poof, it's time for an academy!

Broadview is part of a new program on 3ABN and they have been at the station to discuss this, so it's logical that they would have pictures of them on site.

HOTY

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 16 2007, 08:52 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 16 2007, 07:48 PM)

No human being is irreplaceable in any position in any ministry or organization, if that were not the case, the world as we know it would have crumbled long ago. Obviously the time of grief varies, but if it is God's will for an organization or ministry to continue, a replace will be found. God called David long before Saul's death. Hopefully, we will not have to wait for Danny's death for his replacement at 3ABN, **especially as the investigations of several government agencies continue to discover further evidence of illegal activities.**

Sister, as you have so often said.... PUT UP OR SHUT UP... Let's see the proof.

Also, sister.... who would you like to see in the "Danny" position.... possibly Linda???



Joe

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 16 2007, 08:57 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 09:52 PM)

[/b]

Also, sister.... who would you like to see in the "Danny" position.... possibly Linda???



Couldn't 3ABN function under its board? I mean, that is what they are there for, right?



Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 16 2007, 09:05 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 16 2007, 08:41 PM)

There is not a campus on 3ABN property. I have been hearing for several months about the desire or plans to have an academy on hand. But why wouldn't we...when Dan's grandchildren were old enough to start school, poof, a 3ABN school opens. And now that they are getting older, poof, it's time for an academy!

Broadview is part of a new program on 3ABN and they have been at the station to discuss this, so it's logical that they would have pictures of them on site.

HOTY

Conference people were there some 3 years ago to talk about this project.. I saw them there myself. BUT nothing came of it because of a lack of money. The conference wanted 3ABN to foot the bill, which they were not able to do. The conference was needing.... big time ...to close Broadview who had been operating in the RED for some time. Conference had no money... 3ABN had no money. Wonder what changed?? If it changed...

Again I would ask, if there is a campus, where are the buildings... where are the students? and where will they be living??

Joe

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 16 2007, 09:09 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 10:05 PM)

Conference people were there some 3 years ago to talk about this project.. I saw them there myself.
 BUt nothing came of it because of a lack of money. The conference wanted 3ABN to foot the bill, which they were not able to do. The conference was needing.... big time ...to close Broadview who had been operating in the RED for some time. Conference had no money... 3ABN had no money. Wonder what changed?? If it changed...
 Again I would ask, if there is a campus, where are the buildings... where are the students? and where will they be living??

Joe

That's what I'm saying, Joe. There are no buildings, campus, or students as of yet.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 16 2007, 11:58 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 16 2007, 07:16 PM)

I can do it. I can put on some cowboy boots, a bright mock turtleneck and read from cue cards.
 The only problem I would have is divorcing Jean and marrying a spring chicken.

Calvin, seriously, you wouldn't have to change your clothes or your lovely wife. I think you'd be perfect for the position!!!

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 07:34 PM)

I would be interested in where that is posted on BSDA.... If anyone knows. I recieved an email from Mr. Joy denying any convictions on that charge... As I said, I have documentation saying he was found quilty. It is my understanding there is more than one charge. He may have been vindicated on some charges, but not on others. Observer, if you have the other side of the story, please tell me where I can find it.

Joe

Joe, why don't you post the email and the other documentation you have found.

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 17 2007, 04:00 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 16 2007, 02:40 PM)

Brother Pickle I would have to say that I would think that Teresa could have been talking about all the gossip about the family,

If he is 100% guilty we are not to take the Law of the land into our own hands. God, my friend knows every detail of your heart, my heart, and Tommys heart and our lives and the hairs on our

head. Even if you or anyone else thinks poorly of them.

size=2]Seems to me like there's some desperate people here, trying to send up a smoke screen and take people's minds off the issue at hand - **CHILD MOLESTATION**. It seems like these desperadoes are all coming from one particular corner. Yes. **God DOES KNOW** everything about each one of us, and **He** holds us accountable to do what we can, to protect children everywhere.

Tommy chose the way he wanted to go, when he ignored the requests that particular victims made, to go to law enforcement authorities and all that went with that request.

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 16 2007, 02:40 PM)

As far as proving the stints and health problem exist well maybe I can get the name of the hospital where the work was done. Of course we may all get to see the autopsy report instead. Blessing in your house hold.

Eye Witness

Sorry Eye Witness. I have been called a 'bleeding heart' at times, but I'm certainly *not* losing any sleep over Tommy having a 'health problem'. It's mighty convenient isn't it? Yes. **STRESS can kill**. Confession and repentance **CAN** bring healing. Maybe, there's a number of members of a particular family who should consider that, instead of handing it down through generation after generation. **DENIAL NEVER HEALS**[color=#CC0000].

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 04:23 AM)

Tell me Pickle who do you have in mind that could take the Danny position and perform this to your standards? I question, if God called Danny to build such a ministry, would God need you to take him out?

Teresa Reiman

Pardon me Teresa, but is God not big enough, that He can choose someone other than Danny to run BARN? No one person is indispensable. Anyone of us can be replaced. **NO ONE** is that perfect - except God alone.

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 17 2007, 04:17 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 17 2007, 11:30 AM)

To anyone who is reading this WHO DO YOU THINK CAN FILL THE DANNY POSITION?

No one is indispensable. God can choose whomsoever He wants. No doubt, there are many talented, sincere and loyal people who could do the job admirably.

Posted by: Observer Jan 17 2007, 05:08 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 07:34 PM)

I would be interested in where that is posted on BSDA.... If anyone knows. I recieved an email from Mr. Joy denying any convictions on that charge... As I said, I have documentation saying he was found quilty. It is my understanding there is more than one charge. He may have been vindicated on some charges, but not on others. Observer, if you have the other side of the story, please tell me where I can find it.

Joe

Joe:

Mr. Joy was convicted of one charge. Multiple other charges were dropped. So, technically he was neither aquited nor convicted of those charges.

Posted by: Observer Jan 17 2007, 07:08 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 17 2007, 04:08 AM) □

Joe:

Mr. Joy was convicted of one charge. Multiple other charges were dropped. So, technically he was neither aquited nor convicted of those charges.

Joe:

On **November 23**, I posted the following in a thread, in BSDA, titled "The Investigator of 3Abn [sic].":

"In the interests of transparency, and openness, I am making the following brief statements:

- 1) The GAJ who was convicted of the crime of embezzlement in the VT, is the GAJ who is presently conducting an investigation into this 3-ABN mess.
- 2) Mr. Joy has advised several of us, to include Linda Shelton, of the details of that conviction that have not been publicly presented before.
- 3) Mr. Joy was charged under Title 13, part I, Chapter 57 "Larceny and Embezzlement, Subchapter II, and Section 2531. In my mind a critical part of that statute is the following: "[One may be convicted of embezzlement] notwithstanding [that] he may have an interest in such money or property, . . ." In simple language: One may be convicted even if one had a right to that money.
- 4) The above statue allows one convicted of the crime to be imprisoned for up to ten years, and fined up to \$500.00. It should be noted that GAJ was not sentenced to prison.
- 5) The charge against Mr. Joy began in 1980, and continued through the end of 1984. It was brought by an organization which was essentially VT. Legal Aid (LSCVT).
- 6) LSCVT first brought the claim against Mr. Joy in the Vt. Superior Court. They lost.
- 7) The LSCVT then attempted to file in a Federal Court. They failed.
- 8) At that time the LSCVT attempted to settle with Mr. Joy for the payment of \$1,500.00, which would be made to them, and an agreement to change certain collection rules.

NOTE: In all of the above, the charges were made against Credit Management Services Corporation (CMSC), and not Mr. Joy, personally.

9) Mr. Joy did not want CMSC to settle. As a result, he bought out the other owners of the corporation, and became a personal defendant in the litigation.

10) During this process, Mr. Joy obtained a \$40,000 judgment against the LSCVT for misuse of process. That judgment was paid.

11) In the litigation that LSCVT had brought, there were 58 named plaintiffs. The Court dismissed 57 of those plaintiffs, and trial went forward with one plaintiff.

12) During this litigation, Mr. Joy controlled five different companies. He put all five of them on the line, so to speak, in order to defend his innocence. As a result, all five closed.

13) In the final end, he was convicted. He was required to pay the sum of \$1,152.15 to the plaintiff.

14) Mr. Joy acknowledges his conviction. As he was the sole owner at the time of the conviction, he accepts responsibility for that conviction. He does not challenge his conviction. At the time the charges were first brought, he was not the sole owner of CMSC, and he owned less than 50 per-cent of the stock in that company. As he bought out all of the other owners under conditions where he released them from responsibility for the charges, he at the time of the conviction became solely responsible for the crime.

15) It would have been far easier to have settled the case for the required \$1,500 payment when that offer was made. However, Mr. Joy believed that he was innocent. He chose not to buckle under to the pressure, and to defend his innocence. Of the 58, he stands convicted of one.

16) Yes, he exercised his legal right to defend himself. Once a definitive decision was made, he stopped the appeals, made the payment, and went on with his life. He accepted responsibility.

Folks, as I have stated from the beginning: Yes, he has been convicted of a crime. His conviction came about due to the fact that he, at the time of the conviction, was the sole owner of the corporation. It should be remembered that at the time of the commission of the crime, he was not. To me this appears to be more a crime of lack of attention to detail, and/or sloppy bookkeeping. Further, he may very well have had a right to the monies. That issues was not determined by the Court, as VT law ruled that out as a defense.

Folks, one cannot rightfully state that Mr. Joy intended to obtain monies that were not rightfully his.

All one can state is that he was convicted of a crime that was essentially inattention to detail. He paid a very large price for that omission.

Make the best of this, folks.

We believe in transparency, and openness.

Let us move on to more important issues.

NOTE: In regard to item # 12: With the purchase of CMSC, and before the litigation was completed, he controlled five companies. When the litigation began he did not control that many.

This post has been edited by Observer: Nov 23 2006, 04:33 AM"

Joe, it may be helpful for you to read the whole thread as there are more comments on this situation in that thread.

If you question anything that I have said, you can check it out, and either verify or prove incorrect. I am open to either.

Posted by: sister Jan 17 2007, 09:03 AM

QUOTE(Ozzie @ Jan 17 2007, 05:00 AM)

[size=2]Seems to me like there's some desperate people here, trying to send up a smoke screen and take people's minds off the issue at hand - **CHILD MOLESTATION**. It seems like these desperadoes are all coming from one particular corner. Yes. **God DOES KNOW** everything about each one of us, and **He** holds us accountable to do what we can, to protect children everywhere.

Tommy chose the way he wanted to go, when he ignored the requests that particular victims made, to go to law enforcement authorities and all that went with that request.

Sorry Eye Witness. I have been called a 'bleeding heart' at times, but I'm certainly not losing any sleep over Tommy having a 'health problem'. It's mighty convenient isn't it? Yes. **STRESS can kill**. Confession and repentance CAN bring healing. Maybe, there's a number of members of a particular family who should consider that, instead of handing it down through generation after generation. **DENIAL NEVER HEALS**[color=#CC0000].

Pardon me Teresa, but is God not big enough, that He can chose someone other than Danny to run 3ABN? No one person is indispensable. Anyone of us can be replaced. **NO ONE** is that perfect - except God alone.

Well said Ozzie. The temperature must surely be rising quickly under Danny and Tommy to have such a strong smoke screen rise up on BSDA.

So, Danny, do you and Tommy really think your most recent threat of lawsuits against Pastor Glenn Dryden and the Dunn Loring, Church of God, Board of Trustees will stop the information about Tommy being distributed? A person can not be convicted of slander and libel who has told the truth. The truth is that children who were molested by Tommy, in Virginia and Illinois, are now adults and are coming forward with their testimony of the heinous acts perpetrated against them. Your threats of legal action will no longer work. There are too many victims willing to tell the truth publically. Remember the truth welcomes investigation and with that investigation, the verification of the allegations against Tommy.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 07:12 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 16 2007, 02:13 PM)

I beg your pardon.

Are you suggesting we should stand back and wait for God to send a lightning bolt? Do you honestly think that's the kindest, most merciful, most godly approach?

And do you think we should just stand back and let Danny compromise the financial security of the denomination through his lies and cover up, if Walt Thompson's account of what Danny told him be correct? I think not.

Pickle sounds very much like handwriting on the wall for Belshazzar, and Nebuchadnezzar was another instance. Gosh I guess Ananias and Sapphira would be another, it wasn't Peter that took them out, but the Lord himself. Peter told them **personally** that they had messed up and the Lord did the rest. The direction of *Matthew 18:15-17* are steps that God sets up for His bidding. So if you have been called do such, do you have documentation showing you are following the proper steps. After all aren't you doing all this for the up holding of Christianity? Have you talked with Danny? If so, have you then taken a brother and spoke with him? If so, then where is your communication with the church? I do hope that all these documents are found here as well! Now I am sure your going to say you have completed all these steps. So what is the next step the bible says to do? Doesn't it say *but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee a heathen and a publican*. It does not say that you are to broadcast to the world and cast stones until you have killed the man. Instead it says *let who is without sin cast the first stone*. I ask you are you a sinner? If you are then stop casting stones and let God handle it. He will do a much better job at His work than you.

Teresa Reiman

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 07:36 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 17 2007, 09:03 AM)

Well said Ozzie. The temperature must surely be rising quickly under Danny and Tommy to have such a strong smoke screen rise up on BSDA.

So, Danny, do you and Tommy really think your most recent threat of lawsuits against Pastor Glenn Dryden and the Dunn Loring, Church of God, Board of Trustees will stop the information about Tommy being distributed? A person can not be convicted of slander and libel who has told the truth. The truth is that children who were molested by Tommy, in Virginia and Illinois, are now adults and are coming forward with their testimony of the heinous acts perpetrated against them. Your threats of legal action will no longer work. There are too many victims willing to tell the truth publically. Remember the truth welcomes investigation and with that investigation, the verification of the allegations against Tommy.

sister you have really put alot into this. What do you look to gain from all of this that you have wrote? You are speaking to air. Gosh, you write this like maybe you could be Linda Lenz, Moore, Shelton, _____ . Interesting.

Posted by: Johann Jan 17 2007, 07:59 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 18 2007, 03:36 AM)

Sister you have really put alot into this. What do you look to gain from all of this that you have wrote? You are speaking to air. Gosh, you write this like maybe you could be Linda Lenz, Moore, Shelton, _____. Interesting.

Yes, interesting indeed, because you make the same mistake as many other defenders of your cause have done. You indicate that Sister is Linda. I can vouch for that these are two very distinctive persons, and that Sister has most of her information from a different source than from Linda.

It is so true that Sister has, and is, putting a lot into this. Her goal is to glorify God, and to reveal the truth that she knows from her own experience. She knows that the utter falsehood you are hiding is not to the glory of God.

Theresa, this is true for me too. I failed back in 2004 because I was afraid of Danny when he threatened to have me put in prison because I wanted to reveal the truth as I had experienced it. I was scared and ran away, but with God's help, this will never happen again. I do not fear Danny's prison. To me it means more to stand on the side of truth, and I appeal to you to be honest too. Or you may have problems on the final day of this world's history. Therefore, remember that the truth will make you free. Stop hiding in the mist of wickedness

Posted by: Ralph Jan 17 2007, 08:00 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 06:36 PM)

Sister you have really put alot into this. What do you look to gain from all of this that you have wrote? You are speaking to air. Gosh, you write this like maybe you could be Linda Lenz, Moore, Shelton, _____. Interesting.

I am amused (for lack of a better word) how you try to divert the attention of the reader from the issue being discussed.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 08:08 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Jan 17 2007, 08:00 PM)

I am amused (for lack of a better word) how you try to divert the attention of the reader from the issue being discussed.

I was just amazed at sister writing to the air. Found it very interesting how she talks as if she is Linda Shelton. But I just came from Whats Happening With Linda and read she would never say or do something to deliberately bash someone. Well, of course this is probably just what someone else thinks about her. Eye Witness has already shown proof that she will if it's to make her case.
Teresa Reiman

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 08:22 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 06:08 PM)

I was just amazed at sister writing to the air. Found it very interesting how she talks as if she is Linda Shelton. But I just came from Whats Happening With Linda and read she would never say or do something to deliberately bash someone. Well, of course this is probably just what someone else thinks about her. Eye Witness has already shown proof that she will if it's to make her case.
Teresa Reiman

hun, no need to be amazed, except you should be amazed that things are no longer secret. You're the one talking to the air. nothing you are saying makes any sense. Don't need to keep going in circles over this - it's not Linda, so maybe you should just accept it. AND, just because others know many of the things that all of you know Linda does know, also means they can tell what they know without getting it from Linda. And from where I sit, and I am sure I'm not alone, Eye witness hasn't shown any proof of anything. Of course, it is obvious you will say anything you want to get whatever response you seem to need to get.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 08:35 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 17 2007, 08:22 PM)

hun, no need to be amazed, except you should be amazed that things are no longer secret. You're the one talking to the air. nothing you are saying makes any sense. Don't need to keep going in circles over this - it's not Linda, so maybe you should just accept it. **AND, just because others know many of the things that all of you know Linda does know, also means they can tell what they know without getting it from Linda.** And from where I sit, and I am sure I'm not alone, Eye witness hasn't shown any proof of anything. Of course, it is obvious you will say anything you want to get whatever response you seem to need to get.

Well thank you for you thoughts. I guess what Eye Witness has shown, is what it is, to those who want to read it, and put pieces together. As far as making sense we make a pretty good match. I guess we can all say things to get whatever response that we want. One thing about a circle it will eventually end up where it started.

Teresa Reiman

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 17 2007, 08:37 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 07:12 PM)

The direction of *Matthew 18:15-17*. are steps that God sets up for His bidding. So if you have been called do such, do you have documentation showing you are following the proper steps.
Teresa Reiman

I was just reading an interesting editorial by Ted Olsen that refers to Matthew 18. Here it is:

<http://www.christianitytoday.com/ct/2007/february/6.22.html>

Bottom-Up Discipline What do you do when your pastor--or your entire denomination--strays?

The bottom line was:

"A church's desire to be praised by cultural elites and a pastor's desire for sexual gratification are not that different. Pride deafens a denomination called back to Scriptures it thinks are outmoded, and it mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners.

There may be no Matthew 18 chapter for disciplining pastors neatly, but becoming more familiar with the thousands of verses on pride and humility would make the need for such discipline less frequent."

This author is saying that "pride deafens a denomination." Is this a possibility? When we become overcome by our own sense of pride, do we become a deaf denomination?

What about the part where Ted Olsen says that pride "mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners?"

By any chance, do you think that *pride*, inordinate self-esteem, could play a part in the *House of Shelton*? Could no one ever fill Danny Shelton's shoes?

Just asking.

Pete

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 08:37 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 06:35 PM)

Well thank you for you thoughts. I guess what Eye Witness has shown, is what it is, to those who want to read it, and put pieces together. As far as making sense we make a pretty good match. I guess we can all say things to get whatever response that we want. One thing about a circle it will eventually end up where it started.

Teresa Reiman

you're welcome! anytime!

and I'd say you described yourself quite well.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 09:05 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 17 2007, 08:37 PM) □

I was just reading an interesting editorial by Ted Olsen that refers to Matthew 18. Here it is:

<http://www.christianitytoday.com/ct/2007/february/6.22.html>

Bottom-Up Discipline What do you do when your pastor--or your entire denomination--strays?

The bottom line was:

"A church's desire to be praised by cultural elites and a pastor's desire for sexual gratification are not that different. Pride deafens a denomination called back to Scriptures it thinks are outmoded, and it mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners.

There may be no Matthew 18 chapter for disciplining pastors neatly, but becoming more familiar with the thousands of verses on pride and humility would make the need for such discipline less frequent."

This author is saying that "pride deafens a denomination." Is this a possibility? When we become overcome by our own sense of pride, do we become a deaf denomination?

What about the part where Ted Olsen says that pride "mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners?"

By any chance, do you think that [b] pride, inordinate self-esteem, could play a part in the House of Shelton? Could no one ever fill Danny Shelton's shoes?[/b]

Just asking.

Pete

My response to your statement above is First Danny Shelton is not a Pastor and the Church is not deaf. Pride is an inward problem and I would not be able to determine that. Inordinate self-esteem I don't see. He has modest attire, no fancy this and that. Can someone else fill Danny's shoes? If the Lord has someone else, He wants, to fill the position of Danny at 3ABN, then that person would be able to do the task for they would be lead by the Lord.

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 17 2007, 08:37 PM) □

I was just reading an interesting editorial by Ted Olsen that refers to Matthew 18. Here it is:

<http://www.christianitytoday.com/ct/2007/february/6.22.html>

Bottom-Up Discipline What do you do when your pastor--or your entire denomination--strays?

The bottom line was:

"A church's desire to be praised by cultural elites and a pastor's desire for sexual gratification are not that different. Pride deafens a denomination called back to

Scriptures it thinks are outmoded, and it mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners.

There may be no Matthew 18 chapter for disciplining pastors neatly, but becoming more familiar with the thousands of verses on pride and humility would make the need for such discipline less frequent."


This author is saying that "pride deafens a denomination." Is this a possibility? When we become overcome by our own sense of pride, do we become a deaf denomination?

What about the part where Ted Olsen says that pride "mutes a pastor who won't admit his failures to accountability partners?"


By any chance, do you think that **pride**, inordinate self-esteem, could play a part in the **House of Shelton**? Could **no one** ever fill Danny Shelton's shoes?


Just asking.

Pete

Why is Danny going somewhere? 

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 09:20 PM



QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 10:05 PM) 


Why is Danny going somewhere? 


Are you volunteering for the removal committee?



Posted by: princessdi Jan 17 2007, 09:44 PM

 See! That's why he be our Fearless Leader!!  rofl

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 16 2007, 06:16 PM) 

I can do it. I can put on some cowboy boots, a bright mock turtleneck and read from cue cards. The only problem I would have is divorcing Jean and marrying a spring chicken. 

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 10:25 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 16 2007, 09:16 PM)

I can do it. I can put on some cowboy boots, a bright mock turtleneck and read from cue cards.
The only problem I would have is divorcing Jean and marrying a spring chicken.

Finally - a man who loves his wife! And here I thought I was the only one.

No spring chickens for me either! My wife become more beautiful every day!

(Yes, ladies, I'm a little mushy sometimes than)

Posted by: princessdi Jan 17 2007, 10:28 PM

That's why she dubbed you HOTY!!!

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 17 2007, 08:25 PM)

Finally - a man who loves his wife! And here I thought I was the only one.
No spring chickens for me either! My wife become more beautiful every day!
(Yes, ladies, I'm a little mushy sometimes than)

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 10:40 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 17 2007, 11:28 PM)

That's why she dubbed you HOTY!!!

(

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 17 2007, 10:40 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 16 2007, 08:57 PM)

Couldn't 3ABN function under its board? I mean, that is what they are there for, right?



A board cannot do day to day operations because either all the board members would have to be there or they would have to be on a constant conference call. Have you seen the list of 3ABN board members and where they live? there has to be "in house" direction and decisions made by someone there...it is a fair question however.

Joe

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 10:43 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 11:40 PM)

A board cannot do day to day operations because either all the board members would have to be there or they would have to be on a constant conference call. Have you seen the list of 3ABN board members and where they live? there has to be "in house" direction and decisions made by someone there...it is a fair question however.

Joe

Do you honestly think Dan is the one who does the "day to day" work? That's hilarious. Mollie has been running the place for years. Dan complicates matters more than helps.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 17 2007, 10:56 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 16 2007, 11:58 PM)

Calvin, seriously, you wouldn't have to change your clothes or your lovely wife. I think you'd be perfect for the position!!!

Joe, why don't you post the email and the other documentation you have found.

It was sent to me in a private email and I don't have the right to post it without permission. However I may be able to get permission, we'll see.

Joe

13

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 17 2007, 10:43 PM)

Do you honestly think Dan is the one who does the "day to day" work? **That's hilarious. Mollie has been running the place for years. Dan complicates matters more than helps.**

That's your version.... I've been in her office too many times when Danny/ and or Linda came in and gave her directions. I would venture to say that Linda would say that Mollie jumped when she barked at her at least that's my take on it... to each his own I guess.

PS. by the way.. who do you think trained Mollie? Danny and Linda

Joe

Posted by: princessdi Jan 17 2007, 10:58 PM

Joe, I think the key words here are.....and Linda.....

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 08:56 PM)

That's your version.... I've been in her office too many times when Danny/ and or Linda came in and gave her directions. I would venture to say that Linda would say that Mollie jumped when she barked at her at least that's my take on it... to each his own I guess.

PS. by the way.. who do you think trained Mollie? Danny and Linda

Joe

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 11:56 PM)

PS. by the way.. who do you think trained Mollie? Danny and Linda

Joe

I believe you made another point for me...the one where I cringe to think of what happens when she has total control.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 17 2007, 11:13 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 17 2007, 11:05 PM)

I believe you made another point for me...the one where I cringe to think of what happens when she has total control.

If she was primarily trained by Linda.... she should be OK to handle it now??

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 17 2007, 11:27 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 16 2007, 01:26 PM)

You did not make a mistake by calling me Mr. Pickle. I just jumped in to your comment towards him, so I stated I am not him, but addressing part of your comment.

As to what you said here, and I'm sure I won't be the only one addressing this, I will comment on your thinking, which I have colored in red.

Have you decided that God did it or Danny? I can't tell by your words...it seems somewhat worshipful of a man to me...

God can do anything in spite of a person. But, you are giving credit to Danny as if he got this done thru God. A man can be VERY determined to do anything he sets his mind to (and if you know DS, he is all about determination and not giving up when he wants something) and so based on his motives and minipulation tactics, he could very well get something accomplished like this. You're picture of how this happend has been given to you by DS as well. It is given to you, and others for the purpose of saying "God did this and used me" for credibility sake. You are looking to a man and assuming GOD did this. God may have made something good come from what DS set out to do for many reasons that could have been very selfishly motivated. I will not assume anything as you have - I certainly can not take DS word on how things came about as I know that he has exagerated and mislead in this area to give a picture he wanted out in order to gain support and to promote exactly what you have now projected. Again, do you really know his fruits? If you are to find out his fruits, as you willing to admit he is a mere man who is faulty and God used that building and those volunteers in spite of the man? This picture is much bigger then you would like to sum up in your mind. Why don't you let God show you exactly what He has done in spite of DS and his real motives. Don't be mesmerized by what you have been taught and lead to see. Open your eyes.

I don't think you understood what she was saying. She wasn't giving credit to Danny, her whole point was how God USED Danny to accomplish a HUGE job that the organized SDA church had not been able to do.... and not to this day as far as that goes. There are tooo many things that HAD to be "God things"... Linda used that phrase a lot in reference to these miracles for 20 years... So what changed? Are they not miracles any longer just because Linda is not there? Sorry, you can't change the past.

Joe

Are they no longer miracles because Linda is not there now?

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 11:34 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 09:27 PM)

I don't think you understood what she was saying. She wasn't giving credit to Danny, her whole point was how God USED Danny to accomplish a HUGE job that the organized SDA church had not been able to do.... and not to this day as far as that goes. There are tooo many things that HAD to be "God things"... Linda used that phrase a lot in reference to these miracles for 20 years... So what changed? Are they not miracles any longer just because Linda is not there? Sorry, you can't change the past.

Joe

Are they no longer miracles because Linda is not there now?

Name the miracles.

God uses what He sees fit to use - if he can use a donkey, he can use anything or anyone. This does not mean however that Danny is special or unique (or anybody that wants all the credit for what "they" have done - like old Nebby, Danny resembles him). And it certainly gives him no right to claim he's a prophet or allow someone else to claim it for him.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 17 2007, 11:46 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 16 2007, 02:59 PM)

I'd still like you to give a reply to my question. You have noticed that I am not the only one who would like you to answer.

Johann, are you saying that everything that is posted here about Danny and Linda is TRUE? surely you are not that gullible.... maybe it would be helpful for you to re-read or review everything posted, and then ask yourself..... could anybody be that bad??? wouldn't God have taken him out years ago? Did Danny just go bad about 2-3 years ago? the rest of the time, when you had faith in him.... and was employed by 3ABN... was he God's man then??

Joe

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 11:49 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 09:46 PM)

could anybody be that bad??? wouldn't God have taken him out years ago? Did Danny just go bad about 2-3 years ago? the rest of the time, when you had faith in him.... and was employed by

3ABN... was he God's man then??



Joe

res

to

to

to idea

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 12:10 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 16 2007, 07:34 PM)

I would be interested in where that is posted on BSDA.... If anyone knows. **I recieved an email from Mr. Joy denying any convictions on that charge.. As I said, I have documentation saying he was found guilty.** It is my understanding there is more than one charge. He may have been vindicated on some charges, but not on others. Observer, if you have the other side of the story, please tell me where I can find it.

Joe

This is a pretty provocative claim. That is why I encouraged you to post the email and documentation.

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 09:56 PM)

It was sent to me in a private email and I don't have the right to post it without permission. However I may be able to get permission, we'll see.

Joe

That would be a wise idea for without your documentation, the claim you make in your previous post doesn't carry any strength, IMO.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 18 2007, 12:34 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 15 2007, 09:47 PM)

Eye Witness,

You're not making sense.

First of all, Tommy's accusers claim that he puts on an act whenever these allegations surface, and so, if you are going to be objective, you have to keep the possibility open that Tommy isn't having much in the way of health problems at all.

Now if you can produce documentation that he has indeed had stints and such, that would be most helpful. But we certainly can't just take Danny or Tommy's word for it since we have

proven where they have been less than truthful.

Don't know if she has any proof... but I do ..Hope this helpful.

A few months after the death of Tommy's mother....he had a Heart Attack while in Nashville. They were close..He was Transferred to Sentential Hospital in Nashville where he had a stint on his left main artery put in... and they used a balloon on another artery too close to the stint to unclog it. Pastor ----- and 3 others were there for the procedure.

Around six weeks later, Tommy entered Fairfax County Hospital in Virginia for another heart cath and they did another balloon procedure because the earlier stint had collapsed. A couple of weeks later he was back because the incision became infected.

In 2003 he was hospitalized in St. Thomas where the heart specialist told him, he was hours away from another heart attack. He was sent straight to Nashville and had a team waiting on him when he arrived. This time it was the main right artery. He got another stint.

A few months after the Blackhills program, he went in again for another heart cath to make sure there were no more clots. There were none.

There are multitudes of people who know about his high blood pressureit is heredity. His father died of his 3rd heart attack at the age of 51.... most of Tommy's brothers and sister also have HIGH blood pressure and high cholesterol and take medications for them.

oe

Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 08:49 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 18 2007, 12:34 AM) □

Name the miracles.

God uses what He sees fit to use - if he can use a donkey, he can use anything or anyone. This does not mean however that Danny is special or unique (or anybody that wants all the credit for what "they" have done - like old Nebby, Danny resembles him). And it certainly gives him no right to claim he's a prophet or allow someone else to claim it for him.

I agree with you, sonshineonme, only I might have been tempted to use the term jackass, rather than donkey. Which one is correct in the KJV? Well, it really doesn't matter...

Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 09:11 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 17 2007, 11:56 PM) □

That's your version.... I've been in her office too many times when Danny/ and or Linda came in and gave her directions. I would venture to say that Linda would say that Mollie jumped when she barked at her at least that's my take on it... to each his own I guess.

PS. by the way.. who do you think trained Mollie? Danny and Linda

Joe

Joe, if you really knew Mollie, you would know that she doesn't jump for anyone and that the only one who did any "barking" at 3ABN was Fluffy.

As to the question of who trained Mollie, that training came from her formal education when she attended college in New Orleans, where she met her husband Hal, and through the experience she gained in running a very successful ministry with him after they were married. I too have been in and out of Mollie's office and heard her make the following statement in front of Dan, when Linda was not present: "Danny thinks he runs 3ABN, but it is really myself, Linda and Mom Ford." Well, now both Linda and Mom Ford are gone from the scene and only Mollie remains. So who does that leave in charge of 3ABN? Danny could drop dead of a heart attack today and the "business" of 3ABN would carry on without a pause. Mollie would continue managing the business as usual and Hal would do the preaching. There is only one final purging of the ministry necessary for Mollie's dream to come true. And once that happens how long do you think it will take for Mollie to "purge the ministry" of the rest of the Shelton family?

13

And if Mollie falls? Well, Shelly and J.D. Quinn would be more than happy to step over Mollie and Hal and take the reins of 3ABN...

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 18 2007, 04:31 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 10:11 AM)

Joe, if you really knew Mollie, you would know that she doesn't jump for anyone and that the only one who did any "barking" at 3ABN was Fluffy.

As to the question of who trained Mollie, that training came from her formal education when she attended college in New Orleans, where she met her husband Hal, and through the experience she gained in running a very successful ministry with him after they were married. I too have been in and out of Mollie's office and heard her make the following statement in front of Dan, when Linda was not present: "Danny thinks he runs 3ABN, but it is really myself, Linda and Mom Ford." Well, now both Linda and Mom Ford are gone from the scene and only Mollie remains. So who does that leave in charge of 3ABN? Danny could drop dead of a heart attack today and the "business" of 3ABN would carry on without a pause. Mollie would continue managing the business as usual and Hal would do the preaching. There is only one final purging of the ministry necessary for Mollie's dream to come true. And once that happens how long do you think it will take for Mollie to "purge the ministry" of the rest of the Shelton family?

And if Mollie falls? Well, Shelly and J.D. Quinn would be more than happy to step over Mollie and Hal and take the reins of 3ABN...

I couldn't have said it any better. Mollie is the one who runs 3ABN's daily responsibilities. Dan comes in with his demands, tapes a program, checks the money, and goes away.

God help us all if the whole lot of them are not thrown out together!

Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 05:12 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 18 2007, 07:46 AM)

Johann, are you saying that everything that is posted here about Danny and Linda is TRUE? surely you are not that gullible.... maybe it would be helpful for you to re-read or review everything posted, and then ask yourself..... could anybody be that bad??? wouldn't God have taken him out years ago? Did Danny just go bad about 2-3 years ago? the rest of the time, when you had faith in him.... and was employed by 3ABN... was he God's man then??

Joe

OK Joe, let's be honest about it! You give me right here a list of the obvious falsehoods posted, and I will have those things cancelled, providing you will give me a verification the things are not true.

Yes, Joe, I was convinced Danny was God's man until I discovered how he was making false accusations against Linda, just so that he could get rid of her. I knew that a man of God would never do such things.

I appealed to Danny. I tried to get Walt help Danny outline how their marriage could be restored, but all to no avail.

Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 05:26 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 18 2007, 05:31 PM)

I couldn't have said it any better. Mollie is the one who runs 3ABN's daily responsibilities. Dan comes in with his demands, tapes a program, checks the money, and goes away.

God help us all if the whole lot of them are not thrown out together!

HOTY, did you say **checks** the money or **takes** the money? Oh, sorry, I forgot to put on my glasses.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 18 2007, 09:59 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 06:26 PM)

HOTY, did you say **checks** the money or **takes** the money? Oh, sorry, I forgot to put on my glasses.

So right - my mistake!

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 19 2007, 04:07 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 18 2007, 04:34 PM)

His father died of his 3rd heart attack at the age of 51.... most of Tommy's brothers and sister also have HIGH blood pressure and high cholesterol and take medications for them. Joe

Are you sure that his father was 51 when he died? I could have sworn that I heard Danny say, during that phony farewell on New Years Eve, that his father was 36 when he suffered a heart attack and died? Please correct me if I'm wrong.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 09:06 AM

QUOTE(Ozzie @ Jan 19 2007, 03:07 AM)

Are you sure that his father was 51 when he died? I could have sworn that I heard Danny say, during that phony farewell on New Years Eve, that his father was 36 when he suffered a heart attack and died? Please correct me if I'm wrong.

His father suffered his first heart attack at 36. The third one, at 51, is the one that caused him to die.

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 19 2007, 10:28 AM

QUOTE(Ozzie @ Jan 19 2007, 04:07 AM)

Are you sure that his father was 51 when he died? I could have sworn that I heard Danny say, during that phony farewell on New Years Eve, that his father was 36 when he suffered a heart attack and died? Please correct me if I'm wrong.

I am very sure. Tommy Shelton SR. was born in 1922 and died in July 1974. He would have been 52 if he had lived to his next birthday in Nov. What Danny should have clarified, was his dad's first heart attack was at age 36. the 2nd, was in his 40's and the killer attack was at 51 and some months.

Hope this is helpful
Joe

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 16 2007, 08:29 PM)

you know, in looking my post over, I shouldn't have said "Teresa is from another Country" because I don't know any more than you do. But as I read her posts, she reminded me of some of people who have come here from Russia and other countries, sometimes their English is different, or words will be missing from their sentences and words misspelled.

I believe she "might" (sorry to have said it incorrectly in the first place) be from another Country, but only she can say where she is from, if she hasn't been banned from this site already.

Well, it looks like she hasn't been banned yet, anyway.... Her name does appear to be "German."

oe

Posted by: Joe Smith Jan 19 2007, 10:39 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 16 2007, 07:48 PM)

No human being is irreplaceable in any position in any ministry or organization, if that were not the case, the world as we know it would have crumbled long ago. Obviously the time of grief varies, but if it is God's will for an organization or ministry to continue, a replace will be found. God called David long before Saul's death. Hopefully, we will not have to wait for Danny's death for his replacement at 3ABN, **especially as the investigations of several government agencies continue to discover further evidence of illegal activities.**

Well SISTER, I am still waiting for the proof.... this is the 2nd time I have ask for it.

Quoting you.... "Put up or shut up"..

By the way, we all know the 'GAG ORDER' is up..... so why isn't Linda speaking out now???

oe

Posted by: Clay Jan 19 2007, 10:40 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 19 2007, 10:39 AM)

Well SISTER, I am still waiting for the proof.... this is the 2nd time I have ask for it.

Quoting you.... "Put up or shut up"..

By the way, we all know the 'GAG ORDER' is up..... so why isn't Linda speaking out now???

Joe

taunting now JS? interesting....

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 19 2007, 10:44 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 19 2007, 08:40 AM)

taunting now JS? interesting....

desperation has many motives

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 19 2007, 10:45 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 19 2007, 11:40 AM)

taunting now JS? interesting....

Obviously his bleeding heart act to calvin was meant as "do as I say, not as i do" fare...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Nuggie Jan 19 2007, 11:31 AM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 19 2007, 11:39 AM)

Well SISTER, I am still waiting for the proof.... this is the 2nd time I have ask for it.

Quoting you.... "Put up or shut up"..

By the way, we all know the 'GAG ORDER' is up..... so why isn't Linda speaking out now???

Joe

"Pastor" Smith, if you find this whole discourse so offensive and un-Christian, why are you still here, actively participating in the discussion? Seems like you wouldn't want to touch us heathens with a ten-foot pole.

Posted by: Bystander Jan 19 2007, 01:39 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 19 2007, 10:39 AM)

Well SISTER, I am still waiting for the proof.... this is the 2nd time I have ask for it.

Quoting you.... "Put up or shut up"..

By the way, we all know the 'GAG ORDER' is up..... so why isn't Linda speaking out now???

Joe

Joe, have you noticed (you obviously have) how many times sister has said put up or shut up and the first time you say it, it is taken as a threat. The allegations of "investigations" were addressed sufficiently, last night, to the whole world, even the FBI & IRS were addressed and answered. I loved Mollie Steenson's comment when she addressed the "rumors" of undercover investigations by saying "if they are undercover how do these people (that are spreading the rumoring) know about them? Exactly. Anyone who fails to see that there are truly not any investigations going on, doesn't want to see it. I'm with Joe. If there is investigations, come forward and show proof. 3ABN made a claim on world wide television knowing that if they lied, both the IRS and FBI would be very upset. So, accusers, go ahead and expose your proof of these investigations because, if you are telling the truth about them, you might be telling a lot of truths. If you are not being truthful about them, you might not be being truthful in many of your other accusations.

The accusations have been made here, that the leadership of 3abn isn't accountable to anyone. What about the "accountability factors" that Larry Ewing so beautifully explained?

There's Danny who can't take one penny without the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) making a record of it. Then there is a very professional 3ABN board of Directors who hold Larry E. accountable and then there's the outside audit each year.

How does all that line up with lies about no accountability? Oops, I forgot. All of these people are naive, stupid or involved. Funny, HOTY has said most of the people there are wonderful God fearing christians that are there because they want to work for our Lord. Yet, as stated before, many departments and the people in them would have to be corrupt or going along with the corruption to make this the den of iniquity that this forum paints it to be.

NEXT.....

What about the comments made on the live that the board has NOT ask Danny to resign? Who can refute it? He is still here.

The little group here is only showing their ignorance to claim that a large board of professional people, all of the accountants in the accounting office, the outside auditors and everybody in 3ABN administrative positions are all naive, stupid or are involved in all kinds of coverups. That just defies logic. Some of the comments made here only proves that the group on this forum refuse to hear any "real" truth and/or proof presented if it is on the positive side of 3ABN instead of on the negative.

Where is Gailon Joy in all of this? He has made a lot of statements. Is he still willing to come back with his false allegations with substantial proof. His post certainly did not contain anything but trying to pick words apart.

Well Joe, I guess we will both be accused of making threats just because we repeat sisters phrase of "put up or shut up."

Posted by: Clay Jan 19 2007, 01:50 PM

Bystander, I thought you were going to go stand somewhere else.... I see you are still here.... what would that make you?

Bystander you said on January 7th:

QUOTE

mr. J if you read all of my posts today then you know **I have stated I came today and will leave today after having my say**. God cannot be happy with time spent on this gossip. Your post only confirms what I have been saying all afternoon. That you have swallowed hook line and sinker whatever it is you have been reading the past 2 years.

Today is the 19th... and here you are posting.... I guess that means either you lied when you said you were leaving, or you are a double minded person... whom the bible says is unstable in all their ways..... which might it be?

Posted by: Bystander Jan 19 2007, 01:53 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 19 2007, 01:50 PM)

Bystander, I thought you were going to go stand somewhere else.... I see you are still here..... what would that make you?

Bystander you said on January 7th:

Today is the 19th... and here you are posting.... I guess that means either you lied when you said you were leaving, or you are a double minded person... whom the bible says is unstable in all their ways..... which might it be?

Sorry, Clay, that doesn't make me a liar. It means I changed my mind, as many have done on this forum, when I saw a real "need" to post some "truth"
The fact that you would be so quick to insinuate that I am a liar shows where your heart lies.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 19 2007, 01:57 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 19 2007, 01:50 PM)

Bystander, I thought you were going to go stand somewhere else.... I see you are still here..... what would that make you?

Bystander you said on January 7th:

Today is the 19th... and here you are posting.... I guess that means either you lied when you said you were leaving, or you are a double minded person... whom the bible says is unstable in all their ways..... which might it be?

And if a person knew about potential problems of child molestation, why would they have a liking for the word "bystander."

Who would ever act as a bystander if they thought there was a chance something unsavory going on?

As one pastor once said in his sermon, there is no such thing as an "innocent" bystander.

Posted by: Bystander Jan 19 2007, 02:03 PM

Pete, Pete, Is attacking my user name all you can come up with? What a leap you made from taking my innocent name and twisting it that somehow I am a "bystander" doing nothing while

someone is getting molested? C'mon....

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 19 2007, 02:08 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 02:03 PM)

Pete, Pete, Is attacking my user name all you can come up with? What a leap you made from taking my innocent name and twisting it that somehow I am a "bystander" doing nothing while someone is getting molested? C'mon....

You said, " The allegations of "investigations" were addressed sufficiently, last night, to the whole world...."

Is that really true? Addressed sufficiently?

I think not. Join the Catholic priesthood if you're thinking like that.

Pete

Posted by: Bystander Jan 19 2007, 02:18 PM

Pete, you are so one track minded. I said specifically in my post that all the rumors flying around in here about investigations by the IRA and FBI and whatever other law enforcement agencies that people here have thought up, was shown to be a total lie. The reason that I addressed those agencies are because they are "real". They actually have "real" trained investigators that have "real" credentials and everything. So, obviously, 3abn is not under any "real" investigations by any "real" law enforcement agencies. Thus let's squelch all the lies and rumors applying to such. Doesn't that seem reasonable.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 19 2007, 02:28 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 02:18 PM)

Pete, you are so one track minded. I said specifically in my post that all the rumors flying around in here about investigations by the IRA and FBI and whatever other law enforcement agencies that people here have thought up, was shown to be a total lie. The reason that I addressed those agencies are because they are "real".

You said "all" investigations. Now, you say, its really all the investigations except the ones **they chose not to mention** last night."

That's very different from "all investigations."

You said they were all addressed sufficiently. That's the idea you were trying to leave in the readers' minds.

It's good to know you really didn't mean all. Maybe you meant some? A few? The ones they chose to mention?

Your's Truly,

Pete's One Track Mind

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 02:31 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 01:03 PM)

Pete, Pete, Is attacking my user name all you can come up with? What a leap you made from taking my innocent name and twisting it that somehow I am a "bystander" doing nothing while someone is getting molested? C'mon....

Dear Brother Bystander,

If you can provide convincing evidence that there is no truth to the allegations against Tommy Shelton, if you can refute the testimonies of the Clem brothers and Pastor Dunning, if you can show evidence to refute the claims in Pastor Glenn Dryden's warning letter of May, 2003, and if you can convince me that Tommy's emailed confession to alleged victim #7 does not mean what it says I will gladly leave this forum and let God deal with any other issues that might be present at 3abn.

If you are unable to gather the above evidence, I will need to follow the burden that God has placed on my heart and continue to do what I can to bring this truth to light and pray for healing for all involved.

PeacefullyBewildered

Posted by: sister Jan 19 2007, 02:42 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 03:18 PM)

Pete, you are so one track minded. I said specifically in my post that all the rumors flying around in here about investigations by the IRA and FBI and whatever other law enforcement agencies that people here have thought up, was shown to be a total lie. The reason that I addressed those agencies are because they are "real". They actually have "real" trained investigators that have "real" credentials and everything. So, obviously, 3abn is not under any "real" investigations by any "real" law enforcement agencies. Thus let's squelch all the lies and rumors applying to such. Doesn't that seem reasonable.

Personally, I have not mentioned nor heard mentioned the FBI, here, except by you Bystander and last night by Danny and company. What I will say is there is an investation by the EEOC, which implacates both Danny and Mollie as well as the head of Trust Services, Leonard Westfahl. I did notice that nothing was said about that situation last night. And Bystander we both know what you, ahh, Danny calls Black people behind their back as he laughs at them. I will not repeat the term here, personally I find it degrading and so as not to offend anyone else.

Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go

13

to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands?

Sister

Posted by: Bystander Jan 19 2007, 03:12 PM

[quote name='sister' date='Jan 19 2007, 02:42 PM' post='17118

Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands?

Sister

[/quote]

First of all, prove it. Prove where this agency is investigating. Now, I saw recently where Calvin said he is tired of people trying to identify each other and he doesn't want it to continue. I see this has not affected you with your constant insinuations toward myself and others. Even though I have no responsibility to answer to you in anyway, I will, simply to put one more of your rumors to rest. I can promise you, and give you my word as a fellow believer that I AM NOT DANNY SHELTON AND NEITHER AM I A SPOKESPERSON FOR HIM.

You constantly say or insinuate that the only people that might defend 3abn on this forum would be close friends of Danny S. and/or his family. I have news for you sister. Despite your "Destroy 3ABN" campaign, despite the measures that you have used to pull people into your web of deceit, there are still thousands and thousands of viewers out there who love and support 3ABN and the message it takes to the world. I am one of these. CALVIN where are you when one of your own tries to plant sees of identity on others?

Posted by: sister Jan 19 2007, 03:21 PM

Bystander, you quoted the following, but neglected to address it, neither was it addressed on the "dead" live last night. Where is the **accountability** in regard to the previous statement I made and you quoted:

"Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands? "

Sister

P.S. Bystander, I am not trying to "destroy 3ABN" , it is my desire it is saved. And I have spun no web of deceit, nor attempted to draw others into such a web. I tell the truth in the hope that by doing so the web of lies and deceit that have been spun by Danny Shelton will be subjected to the light and the ministry will be purged from the cancer that could kill 3ABN if let to it's own devices.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 19 2007, 04:26 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jan 19 2007, 11:31 AM)

"Pastor" Smith, if you find this whole discourse so offensive and un-Christian, why are you still here, actively participating in the discussion? Seems like **you wouldn't want to touch us heathens with a ten-foot pole.**

Nuggie I would ask if 3ABN is so offensive to you then why do you watch the programing and why do you come here???? I have read over and over if you don't like the content don't come back. It's a fair question, Why do you keep coming back?

Addressing the latter part, maybe the Pastor is coming here to witness (your words)= "to you heathen".

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 19 2007, 04:30 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 19 2007, 05:26 PM)

Nuggie I would ask if 3ABN is so offensive to you then why do you watch the programing and why do you come here???? I have read over and over if you don't like the content don't come back. It's a fair question, Why do you keep coming back?

Addressing the latter part, maybe the Pastor is coming here to witness (your words)= "to you heathen".

Not speaking in German today?

rofl

Posted by: inga Jan 19 2007, 04:34 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 05:30 PM)

Not speaking in German today?

rofl

Ja, Teresa, es wuerde mir Spass machen wenn wir uns ein bisschen auf Deutsch unterhalten koennten. Wir haben hier viel Schnee. Was macht das Wetter by Euch? h

Inge (diesmal auf Deutsch geschrieben)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 04:35 PM

Bystander, what you and some others are not getting is that this forum is not, I repeat NOT waging a "Destroy 3ABN" campaign. We are making a concerted effort to HEAL 3ABN!

Teresa, I don't know Huggies motives for continuing to watch the 3ABN programming, but as for me I continue to watch 3ABN because there are MANY programs on there that are a spiritual blessing to me.

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 19 2007, 04:35 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 03:21 PM) □

Bystander, you quoted the following, but neglected to address it, neither was it addressed on the "dead" live last night. Where is the **accountability** in regard to the previous statement I made and you quoted:

"Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands? "

Sister

P.S. Bystander, I am not trying to "destroy 3ABN" , it is my desire it is saved. And I have spun no web of deceit, nor attempted to draw others into such a web. I tell the truth in the hope that by doing so the web of lies and deceit that have been spun by Danny Shelton will be subjected to the light and the ministry will be purged from the cancer that could kill 3ABN if let to it's own devices.

Sister The Dead Know Nothing! It was very much live and going forth with TRUTH. I see Joe has asked several times for a response from you and there is no responses. Would it be safe to say that really the claim of the FBI investigations and other agencies is "dead".

Put up of Shut up! rofl

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 01:42 PM) □

Personally, I have not mentioned nor heard mentioned the FBI, here, except by you Bystander and last night by Danny and company. What I will say is there is an investigation by the EEOC, which implicates both Danny and Mollie as well as the head of Trust Services, Leonard Westfahl. I did notice that nothing was said about that situation last night. And Bystander we both know what you, ahh, Danny calls Black people behind their back as he laughs at them. I will not repeat the term here, personally I find it degrading and so as not to offend anyone else.

Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands?

Sister

Teresa, sister HAS answered the questions, you just didn't catch it.

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 19 2007, 03:35 PM)

Sister The Dead Know Nothing! It was very much live and going forth with TRUTH. I see Joe has asked several times for a response from you and there is no responses. Would it be safe to say that really the claim of the FBI investigations and other agencies is "dead".

Put up of Shut up! rofl

As sister pointed out, it was Bystander and Danny that mentioned "FBI investigations" not sister.

Pastor Joe and Teresa, I will make the same proposal I did to Bystander earlier today:

If you can provide convincing evidence that there is no truth to the allegations against Tommy Shelton, if you can refute the testimonies of the Clem brothers and Pastor Dunning, if you can show evidence to refute the claims in Pastor Glenn Dryden's warning letter of May, 2003, and if you can convince me that Tommy's emailed confession to alleged victim #7 does not mean what it says I will gladly leave this forum and let God deal with any other issues that might be present at 3abn.

If you are unable to gather the above evidence, I will need to follow the burden that God has placed on my heart and continue to do what I can to bring this truth to light and pray for healing for all involved.

PeacefullyBewildered

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 19 2007, 04:45 PM

Tommy Shelton hasn't been the only sexual predator at 3ABN...

11

Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 19 2007, 04:45 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 04:30 PM)

Not speaking in German today?

rofl

vooh-behsk roh-mew-nehsh-teh Domnule

Posted by: sister Jan 19 2007, 05:12 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 05:45 PM)

Tommy Shelton hasn't been the only sexual predator at 3ABN...

HOTY, why don't you give us a little more info...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 05:50 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 04:12 PM)

HOTY, why don't you give us a little more info...

Yes HOTY, seems that would be prudent.

Posted by: Clay Jan 19 2007, 06:00 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 01:53 PM)

Sorry, Clay, that doesn't make me a liar. It means I changed my mind, as many have done on this forum, when I saw a real "need" to post some "truth"
The fact that you would be so quick to insinuate that I am a liar shows where your heart lies.

I am not insinuating anything.... I am pointing out the obvious... you said you were leaving and yet here you are..... as for the need to post truth, you wouldn't know it if it smacked you.... so spare us... post your opinion and leave it at that.....

Danny Shelton apologists..... tiresome.....

Posted by: inga Jan 19 2007, 07:47 PM

Hi PB,

I'm just curious whether any of the shows that you refer to below are ones that feature the main characters under discussion here.

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 19 2007, 05:35 PM)

as for me I continue to watch 3ABN because there are MANY programs on there that are a spiritual blessing to me.

What kind of difference would it make if the main individuals discussed here were no longer there?

Posted by: Lee Jan 19 2007, 08:16 PM

Clay--I'm sure you know that a woman can change her mind at any time!!



Posted by: Clay Jan 19 2007, 08:22 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 19 2007, 08:16 PM)

Clay--I'm sure you know that a woman can change her mind at any time!!



then don't be making grand pronouncements about your saying your piece then exiting.....

Posted by: Snoopy Jan 19 2007, 08:29 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 01:39 PM)

Joe, have you noticed (you obviously have) how many times sister has said put up or shut up and the first time you say it, it is taken as a threat. The allegations of "investigations" were addressed sufficiently, last night, to the whole world, even the FBI & IRS were addressed and answered.

Hi Mr. Bystander,

I did not know anything about FBI or IRS allegations or investigations or alleged investigations...
Would you care to expound on that comment?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 01:39 PM)

The accusations have been made here, that the leadership of 3abn isn't accountable to anyone. What about the "accountability factors" that Larry Ewing so beautifully explained? There's Danny who can't take one penny without the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) making a record of it. Then there is a very professional 3ABN board of Directors who hold Larry E. accountable and then there's the outside audit each year.

What exactly does an audit accomplish? How is it done? Who does this audit? How were they selected? I'm not trying to sound like I am demanding answers - these are just some questions that came to my mind...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 08:35 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 19 2007, 06:47 PM) □

Hi PB,

I'm just curious whether any of the shows that you refer to below are ones that feature the main characters under discussion here.

What kind of difference would it make if the main individuals discussed here were no longer there?

Some of the shows I refer to are:

It Is Written
Breath of Life
Wonderfully Made
Teen Pathways
Tiny Tots
Kids Time
Back To Nature
Voice of Prophecy
Body and Spirit
In the Footsteps of Paul
Battles of Faith
Hans Diehl's health shows
All the General Youth Conferences
All of the cooking shows
Anything with Doug Batchelor
Anything with David Asherick(sp?) and Nathan Renner
Anything with Dwight Nelson
Many of the "On The Road" programs

I watch 3abn Today nearly every weekday during my job and the ministries featured are often great. As far as the main characters under discussion here are concerned, I do see them in a different light now and it makes me sad. I constantly pray for them and for 3abn.

Posted by: Chez Jan 19 2007, 09:02 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 19 2007, 08:35 PM) □

Some of the shows I refer to are:

It Is Written
Breath of Life
Wonderfully Made
Teen Pathways
Tiny Tots
Kids Time
Back To Nature
Voice of Prophecy
Body and Spirit
In the Footsteps of Paul
Battles of Faith
Hans Diehl's health shows

All the General Youth Conferences
 All of the cooking shows
 Anything with Doug Batchelor
 Anything with David Asherick(sp?) and Nathan Renner
 Anything with Dwight Nelson
 Many of the "On The Road" programs

I watch 3abn Today nearly every weekday during my job and the ministries featured are often great. As far as the main characters under discussion here are concerned, I do see them in a different light now and it makes me sad. I constantly pray for them and for 3abn.

PB, I'm slow. What are you saying?

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 19 2007, 09:11 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 06:12 PM) □

HOTY, why don't you give us a little more info...

wasn't trying to be coy, I just didn't think this was a secret.

Since I have children, I make it a point to regularly check the national list of sex offenders. It is a very good habit for parents to get into and would suggest that everyone do this. (Not to say that there isn't forgiveness and a change of heart, but preparation is always a plus. And I wouldn't take any chances!)

There are two people that I know of that are on that list that have been or are currently working at 3ABN. You may check the facts out for yourself at www.nsopr.gov Just type in their names or town and click for a picture.

One is Herb Grimm. He is no longer at 3ABN that I know of. The other is Bill (William) Cochran who is currently working in the Production department and on the Production Truck. I do not know the specifics in either of their cases.

For the bleeding hearts out there, there is no mistake here since their pictures are also on the site. It is as simple as that.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 09:12 PM

QUOTE(Chez @ Jan 19 2007, 08:02 PM) □

PB, I'm slow. What are you saying?

Inga was asking me if any of the shows that I find a blessing in on 3abn had the main characters being discussed here. I listed some of the shows that I find spiritually blessing on 3abn. I didn't fully answer Inga's question because I wouldn't know what impact there would be if the main individuals discussed here were no longer there.

I don't have 3abn but it is constantly on where I work so I see it often during the week. I enjoy and

13

have been blessed by many of the programs. That's what I'm saying.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 09:26 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 08:11 PM) □

I wasn't trying to be coy, I just thought that this wasn't a secret.

Since I have children, I make it a point to regularly check the national list of sex offenders. It is a very good habit for parents to get into and would suggest that everyone do this. (Not to say that there isn't forgiveness and a change of heart, but preparation is always a plus. And I wouldn't take any chances!)

There are two people that I know of that are on that list that have been or are currently working at 3ABN. You may check the facts out for yourself at www.nsopr.gov Just type in their names or town and click for a picture.

One is Herb Grimm. He is no longer at 3ABN that I know of. The other is Bill (William) Cochran who is currently working in the Production department and on the Production Truck. I do not know the specifics in either of their cases.

For the bleeding hearts out there, there is no mistake here since their pictures are also on the site. It is as simple as that.

Yes, HOTY, it is a very good habit to get into!

Posted by: Snoopy Jan 19 2007, 09:49 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 02:42 PM) □

Personally, I have not mentioned nor heard mentioned the FBI, here, except by you Bystander and last night by Danny and company. What I will say is there is an investation by the EEOC, which implacates both Danny and Mollie as well as the head of Trust Services, Leonard Westfahl. I did notice that nothing was said about that situation last night. And Bystander we both know what you, ahh, Danny calls Black people behind their back as he laughs at them. I will not repeat the term here, personally I find it degrading and so as not to offend anyone else.

Another question for you? What happens to the cash donations that come into 3ABN, do they still go to Mollie Sue and Danny, BEFORE they are sent to the accounting department to be posted? And what about the cash donations that come in at the Ralley's and go back in a briefcase with Danny on the jet and are not receipted in accounting until the following Monday? What about the cash that comes into Trust Services? And what about the trips Danny has personally made to individuals that want to place their large cash donations directly into his hands?

Sister

I was killing time while getting the oil changed in my car today and got interested in an article about Sherron Watkins, the Enron whistleblower. I have always had a great deal of respect for her courage so this recent interview with her caught my eye. The more I read, the more parallels I began to see with what I have been reading about 3ABN's situation. I truly believe in the work the ministry is

doing, but I believe God is doing it, not Dan or Mollie or anyone else. Anyway, here are a few Sherron Watkins quotes that caught my eye - I especially like the last one:

"The CEO of a company must have pristine ethics if there is to be any hope of ethical behavior from the employees."

"How in the world did a qualified and talented independent board of directors waive Enron's code of conduct twice?...their judgment was severely clouded..."

"There were managers within Enron, actually in the room when Enron's questionable accounting structures were hatched, and they complained - they tried to stop their birth. But in every case that person was shot down. Others soon realized to protest was fruitless and they just left the company. Or they reacted the only way they knew how - they posted comments on Yahoo's message board."

"I think there are difficult moments of truth when leadership is tested. And if these moments are not faced honestly, if the hard decision is not made at the point, it becomes next to impossible to return to the right path. It is that rationalization we spoke of before. Once you start to rationalize, you're stuck."

"I am sure you have heard of the analogy of a frog in boiling water. If a frog is thrown in a pot of boiling water it will jump out and save itself. If a frog is in a pot of cool water that is slowly heated, he'll stay in the pot until he boils to death."


"From bosses to managers to staff, we must realize when we are in a pot of water that has gone from cool to lukewarm. We must recognize that moment of truth, we must address it and then act. Once the water is heated past lukewarm, we won't have the willpower to jump out."

"The major point I stress now is how and why company leaders must have a zero tolerance policy for ethically challenged employees. It is probably the hardest role of a CEO, but when he or she discovers that an employee has violated the company's value system, that person must go."

"All it takes for evil to prevail is for good people to do nothing...Being an ethical person is more than knowing right from wrong. It is having the fortitude to do right even when there is much at stake."

Posted by: princessdi Jan 19 2007, 10:00 PM

Where is that fainting smilie when I need it?!! Mercy! HOTY now you know you done already got on Danny's last never this week!



QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 07:11 PM) □

I wasn't trying to be coy, I just didn't think this was a secret.

Since I have children, I make it a point to regularly check the national list of sex offenders. It is a very good habit for parents to get into and would suggest that everyone do this. (Not to say that there isn't forgiveness and a change of heart, but preparation is always a plus. And I wouldn't take any chances!)

There are two people that I know of that are on that list that have been or are currently working at

3ABN. You may check the facts out for yourself at www.nsopr.gov Just type in their names or town and click for a picture.

One is Herb Grimm. He is no longer at 3ABN that I know of. The other is Bill (William) Cochran who is currently working in the Production department and on the Production Truck. I do not know the specifics in either of their cases.

For the bleeding hearts out there, there is no mistake here since their pictures are also on the site. It is as simple as that.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 19 2007, 10:14 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 19 2007, 07:49 PM)

I was killing time while getting the oil changed in my car today and got interested in an article about Sherron Watkins, the Enron whistleblower. I have always had a great deal of respect for her courage so this recent interview with her caught my eye. The more I read, the more parallels I began to see with what I have been reading about 3ABN's situation. I truly believe in the work the ministry is doing, but I believe God is doing it, not Dan or Mollie or anyone else. Anyway, here are a few Sherron Watkins quotes that caught my eye - I especially like the last one:

"The CEO of a company must have pristine ethics if there is to be any hope of ethical behavior from the employees."

"How in the world did a qualified and talented independent board of directors waive Enron's code of conduct twice?...their judgment was severely clouded..."

"There were managers within Enron, actually in the room when Enron's questionable accounting structures were hatched, and they complained - they tried to stop their birth. But in every case that person was shot down. Others soon realized to protest was fruitless and they just left the company. Or they reacted the only way they knew how - they posted comments on Yahoo's message board."


"I think there are difficult moments of truth when leadership is tested. And if these moments are not faced honestly, if the hard decision is not made at the point, it becomes next to impossible to return to the right path. It is that rationalization we spoke of before. Once you start to rationalize, you're stuck."

"I am sure you have heard of the analogy of a frog in boiling water. If a frog is thrown in a pot of boiling water it will jump out and save itself. If a frog is in a pot of cool water that is slowly heated, he'll stay in the pot until he boils to death."


"From bosses to managers to staff, we must realize when we are in a pot of water that has gone from cool to lukewarm. We must recognize that moment of truth, we must address it and then act. Once the water is heated past lukewarm, we won't have the willpower to jump out."


"The major point I stress now is how and why company leaders must have a zero tolerance policy for ethically challenged employees. It is probably the hardest role of a CEO, but when he or she discovers that an employee has violated the company's value system, that person must go."

"All it takes for evil to prevail is for good people to do nothing...Being an ethical person is more than knowing right from wrong. It is having the fortitude to do right even when there is much at stake."

Thank you snoopy. Nice addition to the thread. 

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 19 2007, 08:00 PM) 


Where is that fainting smilie when I need it?!! Mercy! HOTY now you know you done already got on Danny's last never this week!  as-if

Maybe we should ask the boss for a smiley like that now Di - I think it's probably time anyway! 


Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 19 2007, 10:23 PM

Perhaps an "I got fired" sign should come next...

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 19 2007, 10:25 PM


QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 08:23 PM) 

Perhaps an "I got fired" sign should come next...

oh dear, did you? 

Posted by: princessdi Jan 19 2007, 10:33 PM

Aaawww! HOTY, did you? I have to admit, though, not sure that I am really that sad about it, except for the strain it puts on you being unemployed. if the answer is yes, you know we gots to get the details.

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 08:23 PM) 

Perhaps an "I got fired" sign should come next...

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 19 2007, 10:34 PM


NO! I did not get fired.

But I'm sure I would be!

Better get that sign up and running quick!

(I think I have some time left in me. I don't believe the Lord is ready for me to go just yet.)

Posted by: princessdi Jan 19 2007, 10:37 PM

Whew!!!! 

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 08:34 PM)

NO! I did not get fired.

But I'm sure I would be!

Better get that sign up and running quick!

(I think I have some time left in me. I don't believe the Lord is ready for me to go just yet.)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 19 2007, 10:52 PM

Snoopy, thank you so much for posting the quotes from that article! It really did bring a lot to this discussion.

It is quite notable that all of the statements are applicable and, as you point out, especially the last one.

Posted by: Bystander Jan 20 2007, 01:00 AM

QUOTE(Panama_?Pete @ Jan 19 2007, 02:28 PM)

Your's Truly,

Pete's One Track Mind

I like a person that admits their weaknesses. Many of us can be one track minded at times, so don't feel bad. Anyway, No I am not addressing some or part of investigations. I said all and I meant all. Sister is the one who through in this EEOC thing in. No, they are not under investigation by that organization either. Even if they were investigated every which way that would not make them guilty of anything.

As far as sisters questions about cash being carried on the plane, if it is so..then..Linda was on that plane many many many times with that cash too. I am sure people handed her donations, at times, also. Was that ok then because it was Linda and not Danny S? IF any allegations toward Tommy S.

are true and go back many many years as has been stated here, then Linda would also have been aware of those allegations. Yet I have seen Tommy & Linda on the air many times together doing music in the studio and at the Live Rally's that were "on the road." Obviously they all traveled together to these events. The job that Tommy S. held before he took over production was something in programming and would therefore be under Linda's department. Obviously she didn't have a problem with putting him in that position. Many of the accusations made toward Danny S. and other administrative heads was supposed to have happened while Linda was there as 2nd in charge. So where was she while all of these alleged things were going on. If she was totally oblivious to the many charges presented here, then she was a complete failure at her job of Vice President. If she closed her eyes to these alleged sins, then she was doing exactly what Danny S. is accused of doing and should be hung out to dry in the same manner you are trying to hang him out. Last but not least, if she wasn't oblivious, and she didn't close her eyes purposely to these events, there is only one other option....she was in on everything and therefore was part of the alleged "corrupt administration." After all, I have read on this site that Linda gave 200% to the ministry. If so that certainly puts her " In the Know" with day to day happenings on the premises. No matter how you spin it folks, Linda was not a "victim."

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 20 2007, 01:08 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 02:00 AM)

After all, I have read on this site that Linda gave 200% to the ministry. If so that certainly puts her " In the Know" with day to day happenings on the premises. No matter how you spin it folks, Linda was not a "victim."

Whether Linda was innocent or not of the same things as Dan, she ended up a victim in the end.

How can you justify the difference in treatment that Linda and Tommy received. If both are guilty of what they've been accused of, then why was the treatment so severe for one and the other soft as Charmin?

Don't assume that this site is pro-Linda, anti-Dan, because that isn't the case.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 20 2007, 01:12 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 11:00 PM)

I like a person that admits their weaknesses. Many of us can be one track minded at times, so don't feel bad. Anyway, No I am not addressing some or part of investigations. I said all and I meant all. Sister is the one who through in this EEOC thing in. No, they are not under investigation by that organization either. Even if they were investigated every which way that would not make them guilty of anything.

As far as sisters questions about cash being carried on the plane, if it is so..then..Linda was on that plane many many many times with that cash too. I am sure people handed her donations, at times, also. Was that ok then because it was Linda and not Danny S? IF any allegations toward Tommy S. are true and go back many many years as has been stated here, then Linda would also have been aware of those allegations. Yet I have seen Tommy & Linda on the air many times together doing music in the studio and at the Live Rally's that were "on the road." Obviously they

all traveled together to these events. The job that Tommy S. held before he took over production was something in programming and would therefore be under Linda's department. Obviously she didn't have a problem with putting him in that position. Many of the accusations made toward Danny S. and other administrative heads was supposed to have happened while Linda was there as 2nd in charge. So where was she while all of these alleged things were going on. If she was totally oblivious to the many charges presented here, then she was a complete failure at her job of Vice President. If she closed her eyes to these alleged sins, then she was doing exactly what Danny S. is accused of doing and should be hung out to dry in the same manner you are trying to hang him out. Last but not least, if she wasn't oblivious, and she didn't close her eyes purposely to these events, there is only one other option....she was in on everything and therefore was part of the alleged "corrupt administration." After all, I have read on this site that Linda gave 200% to the ministry. If so that certainly puts her "In the Know" with day to day happenings on the premises. No matter how you spin it folks, Linda was not a "victim."

Then I suggest you stop "spinning it". DS is corrupt, anyone in his path is tainted and that's it in a nutshell.

Posted by: Bystander Jan 20 2007, 01:38 AM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 20 2007, 01:08 AM)

Whether Linda was innocent or not of the same things as Dan, she ended up a victim in the end.

How can you justify the difference in treatment that Linda and Tommy received. If both are guilty of what they've been accused of, then why was the treatment so severe for one and the other soft as Charmin?

Don't assume that this site is pro-Linda, anti-Dan, because that isn't the case.

First off of course this site is pro Linda anti Dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal it is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe Smith named hospitals and dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stent and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. You will find it is all true that he is in severely poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

Posted by: Brenda Jan 20 2007, 01:55 AM

Bystander, I could apply your name to myself - I am a bystander with no direct interest in either side of all this discussion.

But I have read most of what has been posted - and if you had, you would know that one of the points of contention in the divorce was the reliability of the evidence of Linda's wrongdoing. For instance, when she was alleged to have been with the doctor in one place, Johann as a first hand

witness put her some where else, without the doctor.

She received monetary compensation, and I am no judge of its adequacy, but with that had to accept a gag order while the other party to the marriage was free to say whatever he liked about her on world wide television.

Linda not a victim? What is your definition of a victim?

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 20 2007, 01:55 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 02:38 AM)

First off of course this site is pro linda anti dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal It is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe smith named hospitals and dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stint and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. you will find it is all true that he is in severely poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

You really have a distorted ability to justify this. Linda was "let go" after some "supposed" proof was out there. Did you see it? Who exactly did see it? I didn't know it was possible to take pictures of spiritual adultery. (I'll have to figure out how to do that.) Linda - who was also a major factor on the 3ABN lineup was pulled virtually overnight. She's been bashed and bashed and bashed since then. And Tommy got a plaque. Isn't that sweet? (Let's get it straight - Tommy had a stEnt put in and had health problems before he came back to 3ABN this last time. His heart attack was not recently which you would lead everyone to believe.) I never said he wasn't in poor health. But which came first - the problem or the PROBLEM?

I don't know how you compare apples, but I think one's missing.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 20 2007, 02:35 AM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 11:55 PM)

You really have a distorted ability to justify this. Linda was "let go" after some "supposed" proof was out there. Did you see it? Who exactly did see it? I didn't know it was possible to take pictures of spiritual adultery. (I'll have to figure out how to do that.) Linda - who was also a major factor on the 3ABN lineup was pulled virtually overnight. She's been bashed and bashed and bashed since then. And Tommy got a plaque. Isn't that sweet? (Let's get it straight - Tommy had a stEnt put in and had health problems before he came back to 3ABN this last time. His heart attack was not recently which you would lead everyone to believe.) I never said he wasn't in poor health. But which came first - the problem or the PROBLEM?

I don't know how you compare apples, but I think one's missing.

hoty, you know you are wasting your time. this bystander is a button pusher who has no interest in truth. he/she/they have definite reality issues (and a definite agenda). spin distract spin distract spin. tiring for sure, but it doesn't change the truth nor the facts. let them believe what they apparently need to (or say what they need too). it's their loss to be in the darkness.

Posted by: calvin Jan 20 2007, 02:17 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 01:38 AM)

First off of course this site is pro linda anti dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal It is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe smith named hospitals and dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stint and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. you will find it is all true that he is in severely poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

Nobody can make a claim that BSDA is pro or anti either one of them. Not when everyone is allowed come down on either side of the discussion within what I think are very broad parameters. Your story of events and explanation only serves to justify the openness of this place to express your opinions, nothing more. BSDA takes no position.

Posted by: Clay Jan 20 2007, 02:34 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 01:38 AM)

First off of course this site is pro linda anti dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal It is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe smith named hospitals and dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stint and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. you will find it is all true that he is in severely poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

you are still here? thought you were going to go stand somewhere else..... just cant resist letting those fingers do the talkin huh?

Posted by: JustTana Jan 20 2007, 04:47 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 19 2007, 10:11 PM)

I wasn't trying to be coy, I just didn't think this was a secret.

Since I have children, I make it a point to regularly check the national list of sex offenders. It is a very good habit for parents to get into and would suggest that everyone do this. (Not to say that there isn't forgiveness and a change of heart, but preparation is always a plus. And I wouldn't take any chances!)

There are two people that I know of that are on that list that have been or are currently working at 3ABN. You may check the facts out for yourself at www.nsopr.gov Just type in their names or town and click for a picture.

One is Herb Grimm. He is no longer at 3ABN that I know of. The other is Bill (William) Cochran who is currently working in the Production department and on the Production Truck. I do not know the specifics in either of their cases.

For the bleeding hearts out there, there is no mistake here since their pictures are also on the site. It is as simple as that.

Dear HOTY:

I know for sure that you are telling the truth as I have wondered for some time about Herb Grimm. You have answered my questions because while I knew that he had moved down to that area, I was not certain that he had ever worked at 3ABN.

So folks, YOU CAN TRUST WHAT HOTY SAYS because Herb's first wife was a classmate of mine many years ago as was his twin brother's wife. Herb's sister-in-law told me not long before he and his second wife, Sue, moved down to Thompsonville that Herb had molested her son, his nephew, when he was over at Herb's house playing with his cousin. How many times this happened before she found out about it I don't know.

Thanks for the honest reporting, HOTY.

JustTana

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 20 2007, 05:11 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 12:00 AM)

IF any allegations toward Tommy S. are true and go back many many years as has been stated here, then Linda would also have been aware of those allegations.

Brother Bystander,

While you are certainly entitled to your opinion and are equally entitled to have your own interpretation of history, I would like to make a small correction on part of what you posted.

Walt said he was told by someone that the allegations against Tommy went back approximately 30 years. Yes, Walt **stated** that and it was posted here. What Bob Pickle **stated** here was vastly different from what Walt **stated**. Bob was recently told that there are allegations of molestation against Tommy by several more males, including a minor, from Tommy's stint as a Pastor in Virginia in the 1995 - 2000 time period.

Sister PeacefullyBewildered

Posted by: Nuggie Jan 20 2007, 11:08 PM

QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 19 2007, 05:26 PM)

Nuggie I would ask if 3ABN is so offensive to you then why do you watch the programing and why do you come here???? I have read over and over if you don't like the content don't come back. It's a fair question, Why do you keep coming back?

Addressing the latter part, maybe the Pastor is coming here to witness (your words)= "to you heathen".

****sigh**** First of all, did I ever say that 3ABN is offensive to me? I couldn't care less about 3ABN programming because I don't watch it...ever. If you would get down off that high horse every now and then and pay attention to reality down here on terra firma you might've actually read my post instead of reading into it words that I've never said. What I do find offensive is the hypocrisy and legalism that's being held up by pseudo-Christians as the new standard of "rightness" for Adventists. Second, I come back here because I choose to. Simple enough. The birth date on my drivers license indicates that I am an adult by all societal measures and, therefore, I am free to make my own decisions. I've never questioned the moral integrity or "Christian-ness" of this board or its owner, so my actions relative to BSDA are not on trial, nor are they any of your concern. I questioned the "Pastor" (whom you seem compelled to defend) because he wants to be holier than everyone else. When you do stuff like that, expect to be questioned.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 20 2007, 11:35 PM

Now BSDA is neither pro or anti anyone. Now individual members can be pro or con. I think you also, have posted with reading. I suggest you go back and do that. Especially if you "understand" that Linda was "compensated extreme,y well". I can tell you that it was jsut posted that 3ABN is worth several millions just last week, Linda got approx. \$250,000.00. She was co-founder, she got shafted. Liek I said go back and read.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 19 2007, 11:38 PM)

First off of course this site is pro linda anti dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal It is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe smith named hospitals and

dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stint and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. you will find it is all true that he is in severly poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

Posted by: Observer Jan 21 2007, 06:47 AM

Re: ". . . Linda was 'compensated extrene,y [sic.] well'. . . just last week, Linda got approx. \$250,000.00. She was co-founder, she got shafted."

Is this saying that Linda recieved 250 K last week? If so, is this a brand-new settlement? Or is the 250 K amount the total amount recieved over the time period covered by the settlement agreement?

Whatever it is, it is a settlement of something. As such, it is a clear statement that the involved parties (Linda, Danny, 3-ABN, or whoever) all agreed that 250 K was a fair amount for the issues resolved. As such, I would not say that she was compensated extremely will. The best that can be said is that all agree that she was compensated fairly. [NOTE: There may be legal reasons to challenge it as a fair settlement.]

It should be noted that the orignal settlement agreement, did not settle everything. It did leave some issues unresolved. If the 250 K is a recent settlement of those issues than that is what it is. It is an agreement between Linda, Danny, and 3-ABN that settles the unresolved issues for 250 K. If that is what it is, it should put the lie to the statements by some that Linda wanted multiple millions of dollars. If Linda wanted that much she would not settle for a mere 250 K. She would take her chance on a jury trial.

NOTE: I am making some general comments. I know that the last part of my quote is: ". . . she got shafted."

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 21 2007, 04:31 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 02:38 AM)

First off of course this site is pro linda anti dan. How can I justify the difference? Easy. I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved, after a time, that the marriage would not be reconciled. Even with the reasons of dismissal It is again my understanding that when Linda left, she was compensated extremely well (and that is an understatement) for her years of service. I was told that Tommy S. was not in any way, dismissed. He retired due to health reasons which I believe Joe smith named hospitals and dates and also heart surgeries that he has been through. So we know that this was not an excuse to hide the allegations that Danny got rid of him. Either he has had a heart attack or he hasn't. Either he has had stint and balloon heart surgeries as Joe stated or he has not. Any doubters please, check the hospitals that Joe named. you will find it is all true that he is in severly poor health due to heart problems. HOTY You can only compare apples to apples. These circumstances are in no way related.

'ou forgot something... either he has a history of child molestation or he does not.

There has been plenty offered to say he does... none of which you or any other has yet to refute.

The evidence was sufficient that the church that ordained him pulled his credentials on account of them.

You and others claim that the claims are unfounded.

Prove that.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: inga Jan 22 2007, 03:01 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 20 2007, 02:38 AM)

I understand that Linda was let go after proof was found of wrong doing and it was understood by those involved

Either you are extremely gullible or your are knowingly defending the indefensible.

With all the public trashing of Linda going on, the claim that the "proof" has not been made public to "spare Linda" just doesn't fly any more.

And no proof of Linda's wrong doing has been presented!

Posted by: Johann Jan 22 2007, 05:08 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 22 2007, 11:01 AM)

Either you are extremely gullible or your are knowingly defending the indefensible.

With all the public trashing of Linda going on, the claim that the "proof" has not been made public to "spare Linda" just doesn't fly any more.

And no proof of Linda's wrong doing has been presented!

Sounds like they are "scared" presenting their final "proof". Is it too closely associated with the favorite 3ABN "demon" to present it to an audience that belives in Jesus Christ who rebukes the demons?

Posted by: Pickle Jan 22 2007, 01:55 PM

QUOTE(Joe Smith @ Jan 18 2007, 12:34 AM)

Don't know if she has any proof... but I do ..Hope this helpful.

A few months after the death of Tommy's mother....he had a Heart Attack while in Nashville. They were close..He was Transferred to Sentential Hospital in Nashville where he had a stint on his left main artery put in... and they used a balloon on another artery too close to the stint to unclog it. Pastor ----- and 3 others were there for the procedure.

Around six weeks later, Tommy entered Fairfax County Hospital in Virginia for another heart cath and they did another balloon procedure because the earlier stint had collapsed. A couple of weeks later he was back because the incision became infected.

In 2003 he was hospitalized in St. Thomas where the heart specialist told him, he was hours away from another heart attack. He was sent straight to Nashville and had a team waiting on him when he arrived. This time it was the main right artery. He got another stint.

A few months after the Blackhills program, he went in again for another heart cath to make sure there were no more clots. There were none.

There are multitudes of people who know about his high blood pressureit is heredity. His father died of his 3rd heart attack at the age of 51.... most of Tommy's brothers and sister also have HIGH blood pressure and high cholesterol and take medications for them.

Joe

oe,

Could you fill in the missing dates for us?

According to Tommy's alleged victims, he has these health problems whenever allegations surface. I think we need to have solid dates, including the month, for each event that you mention, in order to disprove any such connection.

You mention 2003, and we know there were new allegations that arose in 2003.

When exactly did Tommy's mother die? When exactly did Tommy go to Black Hills?

Posted by: lurker Jan 22 2007, 03:15 PM

I happen to have this information myself so in case Joe doesn't answer you-
Goldie Seddon -
Tommy Shelton's mother
Death Date: 15 May 1999

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 22 2007, 03:30 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 22 2007, 02:55 PM) □

Joe,

Could you fill in the missing dates for us?


According to Tommy's alleged victims, he has these health problems whenever allegations surface. I think we need to have solid dates, including the month, for each event that you mention, in order to disprove any such connection.

You mention 2003, and we know there were new allegations that arose in 2003.

When exactly did Tommy's mother die? When exactly did Tommy go to Black Hills?

I'm starting to think a couple people could have lucrative careers with the national Enquirer.

Bob, rather than asking Joe to prove all, Can't you or Gailon call Black Hills, or ask Linda?

Or since she doesn't have a "gag order" of any kind at the present, couldn't she just post here and speak for herself, and tell us? or not 

OR MAYBE, Since Derrell Mundall is a member here, and in touch with her (Being that he is the registered owner, Administrator and tech, contact for her website) maybe he could clarify???, or have her give her input about this whole Tommy dilemma on her website???? Does she support what you are all doing and agree with your new website?

Linda was working at 3ABN when Tommy previously was, around the time all this happened, and knows about his health, she knows when he went to Black Hills. In the website you and Gailon put up you have the New Years tribute where all this was being talked about by Danny and Tommy.

Linda was also on the board and knows about Drydens letter and what happened on the board.

Did Tommy's "alleged victims" claim he was so good at faking health problems that Doctors did heart surgery and put stints in?

That seems very unlikely.

Really so far...

There are far more people testifying to the fact that he does have high blood pressure and cholesterol problems and has had a major heart attack and surgery twice, then there are testifying about him faking it.

As a matter of fact Bob, it's you who keeps claiming this for these anonymous "alleged victims".

So it's actually your versian against every other person who claims to know about it, so far..

Posted by: beartrap Jan 22 2007, 05:13 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 04:30 PM)

OR MAYBE, Since Derrell Mundall is a member here, and in touch with her (Being that he is the registered owner, Administrator and tech, contact for her website) maybe he could clarify???, or have her give her input about this whole Tommy dilemma on her website???? Does she support what you are all doing and agree with your new website?

Derrell Mundall bought the domain and gave it and everything about it to Linda over two years ago. He is not her administrator, tech, or contact. If she cares to communicate something, she will. If not, she won't.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 05:44 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 01:30 PM)

I'm starting to think a couple people could have lucrative careers with the national Enquirer.

Bob, rather than asking Joe to prove all, Can't you or Gailon call Black Hills, or ask Linda?

Or since she doesn't have a "gag order" of any kind at the present, couldn't she just post here and speak for herself, and tell us? or not

OR MAYBE, Since Derrell Mundall is a member here, and in touch with her (Being that he is the registered owner, Administrator and tech, contact for her website) maybe he could clarify???, or have her give her input about this whole Tommy dilemma on her website???? Does she support what you are all doing and agree with your new website?

Linda was working at 3ABN when Tommy previously was, around the time all this happened, and knows about his health, she knows when he went to Black Hills. In the website you and Gailon put up you have the New Years tribute where all this was being talked about by Danny and Tommy.

Linda was also on the board and knows about Drydens letter and what happened on the board.

Did Tommy's "alleged victims" claim he was so good at faking health problems that Doctors did heart surgery and put stints in?

That seems very unlikely.

Really so far...

There are far more people testifying to the fact that he does have high blood pressure and cholesteral problems and has had a major heart attack and surgery twice, then there are testifying about him faking it.

As a matter of fact Bob, it's you who keeps claiming this for these anonymous "alleged victims".

So it's actually your versian against every other person who claims to know about it, so far..

Althia, where do you get your information? You speak as though you know for sure, when clearly you do not, since for example Derrell Mundall has nothing to do with Linda's site as you put it. Also, it is interesting that you are among the crowd that want first hand information, as we all do, yet you don't accept it and then ASK someone to speak for someone else?

Posted by: Brenda Jan 22 2007, 06:42 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 07:30 AM)

I'm starting to think a couple people could have lucrative careers with the national Enquirer.

Bob, rather than asking Joe to prove all, Can't you or Gailon call Black Hills, or ask Linda?

Or since she doesn't have a "gag order" of any kind at the present, couldn't she just post here and speak for herself, and tell us? or not

OR MAYBE, Since Derrell Mundall is a member here, and in touch with her (Being that he is the registered owner, Administrator and tech, contact for her website) maybe he could clarify???, or have her give her input about this whole Tommy dilemma on her website???? Does she support what you are all doing and agree with your new website?

Linda was working at 3ABN when Tommy previously was, around the time all this happened, and knows about his health, she knows when he went to Black Hills. In the website you and Gailon put up you have the New Years tribute where all this was being talked about by Danny and Tommy.

Linda was also on the board and knows about Drydens letter and what happened on the board.

Did Tommy's "alleged victims" claim he was so good at faking health problems that Doctors did heart surgery and put stints in?

That seems very unlikely.

Really so far...

There are far more people testifying to the fact that he does have high blood pressure and cholesteral problems and has had a major heart attack and surgery twice, then there are testifying about him faking it.

As a matter of fact Bob, it's you who keeps claiming this for these anonymous "alleged victims".

So it's actually your versian against every other person who claims to know about it, so far..

Does any one here actually doubt that Tommy Shelton has had these medical problems?

It is entirely possible that stress related to his lifestyle and its consequences has contributed to his health issues, and that could include an acute exacerbation of his symptoms.

For one do not accuse him of faking anything.

But those symptoms do not remove the necessity for answering the questions raised by his victims, not all of whom are now anonymous.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 22 2007, 06:45 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 03:30 PM)

Did Tommy's "alleged victims" claim he was so good at faking health problems that Doctors did heart surgery and put stints in?

The procedure uses a tube called a stent, not a stint.

However, when these Southern Illinois spin doctors told it to you with their rural drawl, it came out "stints" didn't it?

And you took it at face value?

You actually **know** there are stents?

Just wondering, since you were the one that warned everyone at Maritime SDA Online that motor vehicle registrations are easily forged. But you seem to have absolutely no problem accepting these "stints" as something that actually happened?

It's too bad that Ted Haggard -- Colorado Springs -- didn't know about your "stints" theory. He could have run away and hidden, too.

Pete

Posted by: lookin4truth Jan 22 2007, 07:21 PM

There has been much **Back and Forth** regarding Tommy Shelton's health condition.

He may or may not have had the medical procedures, which have been discussed in these forums.

The fact is that victims have come forward, some who have been willing to open themselves up to ridicule. Tommy admitted in the letter, which has been posted on these forums, and on Save3abn.com, that he is guilty of inappropriate acts with Duane Clem.

If this man is a pedophile, what difference does it make if he is in good health or not? His physical illnesses do not give him the right to commit, nor protect him from the consequences of his crimes.

Those who wish to try and make his health issues the focus cannot change the fact that he has sexually abused, and that at least some of the victims were minors at the time of the abuse.

Posted by: Clay Jan 22 2007, 07:27 PM

thank you looking4truth.... you hit the nail on the head..... the health issue was brought up as a distraction.... the FACT is the man molested people....can't get around that.... what is obscene is that the Danny's apologists would excuse the molestation because he is reportedly in bad health...

Just wait, when Danny claims to have prayed for forgiveness for all he has done wrong, his apologists will then use that as proof that this situation no longer be discussed....

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 22 2007, 07:36 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 21 2007, 12:35 AM)

... I suggest you go back and do that. Especially if you "understand" that Linda was "compensated extreme,y well". I can tell you that it was jsut posted that 3ABN is worth several millions just last week, Linda got approx. \$250,000.00. She was co-founder, she got shafted. Liek I said go back and read.

P-Di it is obvious, to me, that you are speaking of what 3ABN is worth and that this information was

posted just last week.

QUOTE

it was posted that 3ABN is worth several millions just last week

Yet below we find the following is noted:

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 21 2007, 07:47 AM)

Re: ". . . Linda was 'compensated extreme,y [sic.] well'. . . just last week, Linda got approx. \$250,000.00. She was co-founder, she got shafted."

Is this saying that Linda recieved 250 K last week? If so, is this a brand-new settlement? Or is the 250 K amount the total amount recieved over the time period covered by the settlement agreement?

Whatever it is, it is a settlement of something. As such, it is a clear statement that the involved parties (Linda, Danny, 3-ABN, or whoever) all agreed that 250 K was a fair amount for the issues resolved...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 07:38 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 02:30 PM)

Did Tommy's "alleged victims" claim he was so good at faking health problems that Doctors did heart surgery and put stints in?

That seems very unlikely.

Really so far...

There are far more people testifying to the fact that he does have high blood pressure and cholesteral problems and has had a major heart attack and surgery twice, then there are testifying about him faking it.

As a matter of fact Bob, it's you who keeps claiming this for these anonymous "alleged victims".

So it's actually your versian against every other person who claims to know about it, so far..

Cindy,

You have an interesting "version" of what has been posted here. In his letter to Tommy Shelton, Roger Clem states:

"When someone would confront you about what you did, you would try to take the attention off of what you did by having some sort of health problem (loss of memory, heart problems, nervous breakdown). It seemed odd to me that you recovered quick when you realized that you were in the clear. "

This is an eyewitness testimony. Roger doesn't say Tommy is "faking" it, just that Tommy's health problems conveniently would surface when someone would confront him about the abuse and that it seemed odd to Roger that Tommy would recover quickly when the heat was off.

Also, the "alleged victims" you are referring to are no longer **anonymous** but have stepped forward to bring forward this behavior that allegedly victimized them in their youth.

I would invite you to read their words yourself, Cindy. I would also invite you to go and read the confession email from Tommy Shelton himself to victim #7 at <http://www.save3abn.com>.

Duane Clem testimony:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11955&st=120&p=171419&#entry171419>

Scott Clem testimony:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11955&st=90&p=168297&#entry168297>

Roger Clem letter to Tommy:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11633&st=45&p=162245&#entry162245>

Brad Dunning testimony:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11955&st=30&p=167570&#entry167570>

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 22 2007, 07:46 PM

If in fact DS actually owns 3abn then it's true Linda got the shaft. But does he own it? Can he sell it and pocket the proceeds? Believe me I'm not one to defend Danny but I have to ask the questions. Did Linda really get the shaft based on the net worth of 3abn? Granted she got shafted in many other ways but I'm not convinced she did because of the worth of business unless Danny's name is on the title. Who actually owns 3abn?

Richard

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 22 2007, 08:36 PM) □

P-Di it is obvious, to me, that you are speaking of what 3ABN is worth and that this information was posted just last week.

Yet below we find the following is noted:

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 07:49 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 22 2007, 06:21 PM) □

There has been much **Back and Forth** regarding Tommy Shelton's health condition.

He may or may not have had the medical procedures, which have been discussed in these forums.

The fact is that victims have come forward, some who have been willing to open themselves up to ridicule. Tommy admitted in the letter, which has been posted on these forums, and on Save3abn.com, that he is guilty of inappropriate acts with Duane Clem.

If this man is a pedophile, what difference does it make if he is in good health or not? His physical illnesses do not give him the right to commit, nor protect him from the consequences of his crimes.

Those who wish to try and make his health issues the focus cannot change the fact that he has sexually abused, and that at least some of the victims were minors at the time of the abuse.

Excellent points, lookin4truth!

would just point out that even if some of the alleged victims were not minor children at the time of the manipulation and abuse, the fact that Tommy was in a position of power makes his alleged actions qualify as pastoral sexual abuse which still, as the Clem brothers' testimony reveals, had devastating effects on them.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 22 2007, 07:51 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 22 2007, 08:46 PM)

...
Richard

Whatever. The point I was making is that Di was misquoted. That was either intentional or not.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 09:50 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 22 2007, 07:44 PM)

Althia, where do you get your information? You speak as though you know for sure, when clearly you do not, since for example **Derrell Mundall has nothing to do with Linda's site** as you put it. Also, it is interesting that you are among the crowd that want first hand information, as we all do, yet you don't accept it and then ASK someone to speak for someone else?

SM,

You need to do a little research yourself. Aletheia is spot on! If you want to see for yourself take a look:

<http://www.namesarecheap.com/cgi/whois2.cgi?fqdn=lindashelton&tld=.org&=Whois>

Pay special attention to:

Registrant Name:Derrell Mundal
Registrant Email:derrell@mundall.com
Admin Name:Derrell Mundall
Admin Email:derrell@mundall.com
Tech Name:Derrell Mundall
Tech Email:derrell@mundall.com

I think that makes it rather clear that Derrell Mundall is certainly involved in Linda Shelton's website. I know that beartrap claims it was purchased for and given to Linda - but the fact remains DM is the Admin and Tech name attached to the site. Let him come here and explain any differences in the facts.

There is a significant difference between accepting "first hand information" and accepting what anyone with an ax to grind wants to say.

- fhb

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 10:20 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 07:50 PM)

SSM,

You need to do a little research yourself. Aletheia is spot on! If you want to see for yourself take a look:

<http://www.namesarecheap.com/cgi/whois2.cgi?fqdn=lindashelton&tld=.org&=Whois>

Pay special attention to:

Registrant Name:Derrell Mundal
Registrant Email:derrell@mundall.com
Admin Name:Derrell Mundall
Admin Email:derrell@mundall.com
Tech Name:Derrell Mundall
Tech Email:derrell@mundall.com

I think that makes it rather clear that Derrell Mundall is certainly involved in Linda Shelton's website. I know that beartrap (= Derrell Mundall?) claims it was purchased for and given to Linda - but the fact remains DM is the Admin and Tech name attached to the site. Let him come here and explain any differences in the facts.

There is a significant difference between accepting "first hand information" and accepting what anyone with an ax to grind wants to say.

- fhb

Yes, I paid very close attention to what is says on the site - I also noticed that it says in at least two places on the same page:

"This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity."

beartrap has told us that Derrell gave it over to Linda over two years ago. Just because someone sets

up a website for someone else does not make them a spokesman for the person using the website.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 10:34 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 12:20 AM) □

Yes, I paid very close attention to what is says on the site - I also noticed that it says in at least two places on the same page:
 "This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity."
 beartrap has told us that Derrell gave it over to Linda over two years ago. Just because someone sets up a website for someone else does not make them a spokesman for the person using the website.

SSM,

Go ahead and hide behind that weak point. A disclaimer does not mean that beartrap is correct. Linda's site has no link to a "webmaster" so based on the information that IS available one must logically assume that Derrell is indeed the Admin and Tech contact for Linda's site. If he did turn it over to Linda why hasn't that information been changed? It seems that if you turn a domain over to another individual and planned on having no other involvement with it whatsoever, you would also alter the Admin and Tech contact info.

You can check any search tool and discover the same exact information. Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved. Additionally, it seems rather copasetic that Derrel would be managing and maintaining Linda's site as they both would be upset with 3ABN.

- FHB

Posted by: princessdi Jan 22 2007, 10:54 PM

Observer the meaning is:

There was a post last week that stated how much 3ABN was worth for the last couple of years, and it was several million dollars. Linda got payment totalling close to \$250,000.00. duerint the time this ministry was valued at several million dollars....she got shafted. as co-founder she should have been bought out for half the value of the ministry/business. It was by no means fair, while included within a statement whic kept her quiet while Danny blasted away at her character, even to this day. Got it now.....

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 21 2007, 04:47 AM) □

Re: ". . . Linda was 'compensated extrene,y [sic.] well'. . . just last week, Linda got approx. \$250,000.00. She was co-founder, she got shafted."

Is this saying that Linda recieved 250 K last week? If so, is this a brand-new settlement? Or is the 250 K amount the total amount recieved over the time period covered by the settlement agreement?

Whatever it is, it is a settlement of something. As such, it is a clear statement that the involved

parties (Linda, Danny, 3-ABN, or whoever) all agreed that 250 K was a fair amount for the issues resolved. As such, I would not say that she was compensated extremely well. The best that can be said is that all agree that she was compensated fairly. [NOTE: There may be legal reasons to challenge it as a fair settlement.]

It should be noted that the original settlement agreement, did not settle everything. It did leave some issues unresolved. If the 250 K is a recent settlement of those issues than that is what it is. It is an agreement between Linda, Danny, and 3-ABN that settles the unresolved issues for 250 K. If that is what it is, it should put the lie to the statements by some that Linda wanted multiple millions of dollars. If Linda wanted that much she would not settle for a mere 250 K. She would take her chance on a jury trial.

NOTE: I am making some general comments. I know that the last part of my quote is: ". . . she got shafted."

So you, too have been posting without reading! This is just hilarious. FHB you might want to give this up. I can tell that you are being given a great kindness right about now. Please concede that Beartrap is correct. Now, I am really calling for the next team. All of you now should be fired for your lack of knowledge.

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 08:34 PM)

SSM,

Go ahead and hide behind that weak point. A disclaimer does not mean that beartrap is correct. Linda's site has no link to a "webmaster" so based on the information that IS available one must logically assume that Derrell is indeed the Admin and Tech contact for Linda's site. If he did turn it over to Linda why hasn't that information been changed? It seems that if you turn a domain over to another individual and planned on having no other involvement with it whatsoever, you would also alter the Admin and Tech contact info.

You can check any search tool and discover the same exact information. Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved. Additionally, it seems rather copasetic that Derrel would be managing and maintaining Linda's site as they both would be upset with 3ABN.

- FHB

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 10:56 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 23 2007, 12:54 AM)

So you, too have been posting without reading! This is just hilarious. FHB you might want to give this up. I can tell that you are being given a great kindness right about now. Please concede that Beartrap is correct.

no.

Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved.

- FHB

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 11:02 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 08:56 PM)

No.

Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved.

- FHB

I guess you have called Beartrap (and me) a liar. You don't have to accept it if you don't want to.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 11:07 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 01:02 AM)

I guess you have called Beartrap (and me) a liar. You don't have to accept it if you don't want to.

SSM,

Let's makes this perfectly clear. I said:

QUOTE

A disclaimer does not mean that beartrap is correct.

Beartrap could very well be mistaken, have been feed false information, or misunderstood what he was told. Who knows. The fact remains that:

QUOTE

Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved.

I don't see anywhere that I call you or beartrap a liar. I am merely pointing out that your claim that Derrell isn't involved does not match up with the verifiable information. So, make of that what you will.

- FHB

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 11:19 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 09:07 PM) □

SSM,

Let's makes this perfectly clear. I said:

Beartrap could very well be mistaken, have been feed false information, or misunderstood what he was told. Who knows. The fact remains that:

I don't see anywhere that I call you or beartrap a liar. I am merely pointing out that your claim that Derrell isn't involved does not match up with the verifiable information. So, make of that what you will.

- FHB

beartrap did not make a mistake, and neither did I when telling you that the site says "This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity. " If you don't accept what beartrap is telling regarding this, you are in essence calling him a liar.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 11:41 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 01:19 AM) □

beartrap did not make a mistake, and neither did I when telling you that the site says

"This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity. "

If you don't accept what beartrap is telling regarding this, you are in essence calling him a liar.

SSM,

<http://www.pir.org/Search/WhoIsSearchResults.aspx?txtWhoIsSearch=lindashelton>

http://www.register.com/retail/whois_info.rcmx?requestType=validate_challenge

<http://whois.domaintools.com/lindashelton.org>

A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.

- FHB

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 09:41 PM) □

SSM,

<http://www.pir.org/Search/WhoIsSearchResults.aspx?txtWhoIsSearch=lindashelton>

http://www.register.com/retail/whois_info.rcmx?requestType=validate_challenge

<http://whois.domaintools.com/lindashelton.org>

A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.

- FHB

If you choose to believe what you see as the actual and accurate literal truth, then that is your choice. Beartrap has not lied. It was turned over to Linda. The end.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 22 2007, 11:50 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 01:47 AM) □

If you choose to believe what you see as the actual and accurate literal truth, then that is your choice. Beartrap has not lied. It was turned over to Linda. The end.

SSM,

Okay, let's play your little game. If (and there is not evidence that substantiates this) Derrell turned over the domain name to Linda it doesn't mean he doesn't maintain the site for her. It doesn't mean that he isn't still the Admin and Tech contact for the site. Let him come here and show us the transfer and make the claim that he isn't involved in any way, shape, or form.

- FHB

Posted by: inga Jan 22 2007, 11:53 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 12:19 AM) □

beartrap did not make a mistake, and neither did I when telling you that the site says "This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity. "

If you don't accept what beartrap is telling regarding this, you are in essence calling him a liar.

As owner of numerous domain names myself, I know that the reality is that the information at the domain registrars is often hopelessly out of date. They don't care a whit, as long as they have a working credit card on file. ;-) And they don't care whose credit card it is.

It is likely that Derrel got the domain name for Linda, then turned it over to her just as he said, including passwords to the registrar site. By what FHB says, the info at the registrar site is not updated to reflect present reality.

That proves nothing.

Whether Linda or Derrel choose to update the info is up to them.

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 22 2007, 11:57 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 12:19 AM)

beartrap did not make a mistake, and neither did I when telling you that the site says "This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity. " If you don't accept what beartrap is telling regarding this, you are in essence calling him a liar.

Well here's where I got the information from and this site does not have that disclaimer for it's the original whois server, it also has the Domain history...

<http://whois.domaintools.com/lindashelton.org>

And why is Beartrap talking about himself in the third person and why are you acting like Beartrap is not Derrell Mundall, and can't answer for himself?

Surely it's not a secret, when he's told people that's who he is and members here have posted that very thing to me?

Again, I would like to know what Linda has to say about this whole Tommy case since she has no gag order and Bob and Gailon have both been so vocal about how open Linda's side wants to be...

Posted by: princessdi Jan 23 2007, 12:03 AM

Ok, I was trying to help you out.....have it your way.....

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 08:56 PM)

No.

Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved.

- FHB

I would answer ya'll like that, too. Sheesh!!! I said we needed motto #2!

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 09:57 PM)

Well here's where I got the information from and this site does not have that disclaimer for it's the original whois server, it also has the Domain history...

<http://whois.domaintools.com/lindashelton.org>

And why is Beartrap talking about himself in the third person and why are you acting like Beartrap is not Derrell Mundall, and can't answer for himself?

Surely it's not a secret, when he's told people that's who he is and members here have posted that very thing to me?

Again, I would like to know what Linda has to say about this whole Tommy case since she has no gag order and Bob and Gailon have both been so vocal about how open Linda's side wants to be...

I'm going to try one more time.....FHB, don't post another word until you read post #265.

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 09:41 PM)

SSM,

<http://www.pir.org/Search/WhoIsSearchResults.aspx?txtWhoIsSearch=lindashelton>

http://www.register.com/retail/whois_info.rcmx?requestType=validate_challenge

<http://whois.domaintools.com/lindashelton.org>

A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.

- FHB

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 23 2007, 12:05 AM

QUOTE(Brenda @ Jan 23 2007, 10:42 AM)

Does any one here actually doubt that Tommy Shelton has had these medical problems?

It is entirely possible that stress related to his lifestyle and its consequences has contributed to his health issues, and that could include an acute exacerbation of his symptoms.

I for one do not accuse him of faking anything.

But those symptoms do not remove the necessity for answering the questions raised by his victims, not all of whom are now anonymous.

I agree Brenda, and am not disputing that he has a genetic predisposition to cardiac problems, and most likely has the stent (mentioned) inserted, along with becoming ill, whenever there is a crisis.

Stress is bound to exacerbate his condition. Does that mean that we should ignore the fact of pedophilia, that some seem to think is an extenuating circumstance?

As Brenda said above, his symptoms do **NOT** remove the necessity for answering the questions raised by **HIS VICTIMS**; especially in light of the fact, that **SOME** are now known. As is usual with any person who engages in this behaviour, I **believe that there would be many more victims who have not come forward.**

Apparently, there is a history of memory loss, 'nervous breakdown' (whatever that really means) and those are usually initiated by stress also. With the thought of being held accountable, it's no wonder that Tommy is feeling stressed.

Should he be excused? **NO.**

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Jan 23 2007, 12:10 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 23 2007, 01:53 AM) □

As owner of numerous domain names myself, I know that the reality is that the information at the domain registrars is often hopelessly out of date. They don't care a whit, as long as they have a working credit card on file. ;-) And they don't care whose credit card it is.

It is likely that Derrel got the domain name for Linda, then turned it over to her just as he said, including passwords to the registrar site. By what FHB says, the info at the registrar site is not updated to reflect present reality.

That proves nothing.

Whether Linda or Derrel choose to update the info is up to them.

inga,

According to the latest domain history entry on whois.com (dated January 22, 2007) it indicates that Derrell is the owner and operator of the domain lindashelton.org. That would be rather current information.

I also own a number of domain names so I am not just talking out the top of my hat. I also did not say that the info at the registrar site is not up to date.

FHB

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 23 2007, 02:03 AM) □

Ok, I was trying to help you out.....have it your way.....

I'm going to try one more time.....FHB, don't post another word until you read post #265.

255 or 265? Trying to help me out?

- FHB

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 23 2007, 12:12 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 07:30 AM) □

Really so far...

There are far more people testifying to the fact that he does have high blood pressure and cholesteral problems and has had a major heart attack and surgery twice, then there are testifying about him faking it.

Actually, I have not seen anyone deny that Tommy has "high blood pressure and cholesteral problems".

From where I sit, this is being used as an **EXCUSE** for Tommy's behaviour and a further **EXCUSE** as to why we should go all mambi-pambi on him, instead of calling sin by it's right name, and holding Tommy accountable for his actions.

Posted by: princessdi Jan 23 2007, 12:14 AM

My bad, FHB. Make that Post #264. Ok now that is your very last clue.....no posting util you get the answer, ok?

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 10:10 PM) □

255 or 265? Trying to help me out?

- FHB

Posted by: Ozzie Jan 23 2007, 12:22 AM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 23 2007, 11:21 AM) □

If this man is a pedophile, what difference does it make if he is in good health or not? His physical illnesses do not give him the right to commit, nor protect him from the consequences of his crimes.

Those who wish to try and make his health issues the focus cannot change the fact that he has sexually abused, and that at least some of the victims were minors at the time of the abuse.

AMEN!

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 23 2007, 01:50 PM) □

SSM,

You need to do a little research yourself. Aletheia is spot on! If you want to see for yourself take a look:

<http://www.namesarecheap.com/cgi/whois2.cgi?fqdn=lindashelton&tld=.org&=Whois>

Pay special attention to:

Registrant Name:Derrell Mundal
Registrant Email:derrell@mundall.com
Admin Name:Derrell Mundall
Admin Email:derrell@mundall.com
Tech Name:Derrell Mundall
Tech Email:derrell@mundall.com

I think that makes it rather clear that Derrell Mundall is certainly involved in Linda Shelton's website. I know that beartrap claims it was purchased for and given to Linda - but the fact remains DM is the Admin and Tech name attached to the site. Let him come here and explain any differences in the facts.

There is a significant difference between accepting "first hand information" and accepting what anyone with an ax to grind wants to say.

- fhb

Maybe, they need to read the 'fine print'.

Tech City:Thompsonville
Tech State/Province:Illinois
Tech Postal Code:62890
Tech Country:US
Tech Phone:+1.6189231134
Tech Phone Ext.:
Tech FAX:
Tech FAX Ext.:
Tech Email:derrell@mundall.com
Name Server:NS1.SECURESERVER.NET
Name Server:NS2.SECURESERVER.NET

This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 23 2007, 12:35 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 22 2007, 11:57 PM)

Again, I would like to know what Linda has to say about this whole Tommy case **since she has no gag order** and Bob and Gailon have both been so vocal about how open Linda's side wants to be...

Who told you that there is no gag order?

It's the **contract payments** that ended in December, not the promise to keep quiet.

Pete

Posted by: Ralph Jan 23 2007, 12:39 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 10:41 PM)

A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.

- FHB

Maybe this is the same reason that the word **alleged** is used when discussing the **alleged** abuse by Tommy Shelton of the **alleged** victims. Remember, "A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. **The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.**"

You have a unique way of **allegedly** sidetracking the discussion from the important issues.

Allegedly written by Ralph

Posted by: princessdi Jan 23 2007, 12:42 AM

Ok, I thought this was also common knowledge.....



cue Mission Impossible music.....

FHB, Cindy, you assignment, should you choose to accept it, is to read and get a working knowledge of all the facts before posting again, or just post question for information purposes only.....this message will not self destruct and remain as a reminder that you should not be posting until you are informed.....

Cue music.....fade to black.....

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 22 2007, 10:35 PM)

Who told you that there is no gag order?

It's the **contract payments** that ended in December, not the promise to keep quiet.

Pete

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 23 2007, 06:45 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 11:34 PM)

Go ahead and hide behind that weak point. A disclaimer does not mean that beartrap is correct. Linda's site has no link to a "webmaster" so based on the information that IS available one must logically assume that Derrell is indeed the Admin and Tech contact for Linda's site. If he did turn it over to Linda why hasn't that information been changed? It seems that if you turn a domain over to another individual and planned on having no other involvement with it whatsoever, you would also alter the Admin and Tech contact info.

t is plain that we can add domain name registration to the ever growing list of things regarding which you are utterly clueless.

Do your homework and you'll realize why the presumption you've made is wrong.

in His service,

Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 23 2007, 07:15 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 23 2007, 01:35 AM)

Who told you that there is no gag order?

It's the **contract payments** that ended in December, not the promise to keep quiet.

Pete

Well Bob Pickle , for one... He claims it's obvious, and most people know that just by reading the contract...

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Bob

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 8:33 AM
Subject: Re: opinion?

Here's my opinion: Don't post it.

Whether rightly or wrongly, you have gotten a reputation that will be difficult to change. It's just the facts. And if you post this you will not gain anything.

For example, you were the one who asked online in huge letters, "Is there a lawyer in the house?" Now if you interpret Johann's statement as being a threat, it will further convince people that you are terribly confused and don't have a clue about what you are talking about.

Do you really want that?

Bob

----- Original Message -----

From: ~ Cindy
To: Bob
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 8:58 AM
Subject: Re: opinion?

No Bob, I am not going to quote you.

But my "Is there a lawyer in the house?" was in reference to whether the contract between Linda and 3 ABN was still binding after the 24 mos, and I was hoping*** for one would answer. Don't you think thats a valid question?

But, that was, and is totally unrelated to Johann or anything I have asked him or posted about with him.

You think Johann was talking about that?

That doesn't even make sense.

----- Original Message -----

From: Bob
To: ~ Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 11:52 AM
Subject: Re: opinion?

Hi Cindy.

When I said, "Don't post it," I was talking about what you were thinking of posting, not my opinion. Of course, it would not be wise to post my opinion either.

Most folks who read the contract can tell that it is only good through December 2006. People will react negatively to your even asking that question since it seems so obvious to them just from reading it.

You may still wonder about many things, but it isn't wise for you to ask every question that comes to your mind. If at all possible, pick questions that most folks would think are good questions. And if you aren't sure if you can do that, it would be better if you were just a lurker.

You don't mind me being honest, do you?

Johann's message to you makes sense to me in light of your post. And if he could have worded it slightly better to communicate his thoughts better, well, he wouldn't be the only one that could do that in this matter.

I think at this point it would probably be better to just be a lurker. I had a pastor call me today who reads these forums, and he thought about the same.

See you.

Bob

----- Original Message -----

From: ~ Cindy

To: Bob

Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 12:29 PM

Subject: Re: opinion?

Well Bob, Johann and I are still emailing back and forth. I do appreciate your honesty, but you have to know that what you just said clearly shows your take on everything and where you stand, and that changes everything. I reserve the right to repeat or post anything you write, from here on out. Just as you do with those you receive emails from..

I am NOT going to be censored nor adjust my thinking or questions to not rattle or disturb or make angry the majority, nor just lurk. Running with the crowd and letting them rule you is NEVER a good thing, for the majority is lost.

The majority thinks stating the obvious about the contract is a bad thing, and wish to keep it quiet??

How very opposite of honest people. Why are you with, and representing them?

I am sure many would like me to shut up and go away, but me thinks people protest way too much. The more people attack and get upset with me personally rather than dealing with the subject, the more I think they are doing so because there is something really rotten they are hiding and doing.

Christians NEVER fear questions. Why be afraid of the truth?

I never am

----- Original Message -----

From: Bob

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 2:42 PM

Subject: Re: opinion?

Cindy,

What you don't realize is that the pastor who called me put it a lot less kindly than how I worded the gist of his conversation.

You write:

"The majority thinks stating the obvious about the contract is a bad thing, and wish to keep it quiet??"

I don't understand this statement of yours. Since **I stated that the majority would think that the contract just runs through December 2006, and that is what is obvious to them**, then why would the majority want to keep that quiet?

The fact that you would make this statement does not put you in the best light, and gives the impression that you just want to argue. In saying that I'm only using words others have used when they have referred to other things you have said.

What people wonder is whether it is possible to carry on a constructive conversation with you, not because they are afraid of being asked questions, but because of the type of questions that get asked.

"The majority thinks stating the obvious about the contract is a bad thing, and wish to keep it quiet??"

It comes across as if you want to argue that the sky is green with purple polka dots, rather than blue. **Are you saying that the obvious is contrary to what I said it was, and thus are you trying to argue that the contract is really perpetual? That is utter nonsense, and most folks who read the contract know that it is.** So why would they want to argue with someone who says otherwise? Why would they even think about taking that person seriously?

Bob

----- Original Message -----

From: ~ Cindy

To: Bob

Sent: Tuesday, January 02, 2007 3:43 PM

Subject: Re: opinion?

Bob, why should I care about people's opinions, such as an anonymous pastor, or be controlled by them?

And it is not obvious people think the contract is temporary for no one, not one single person, has said that in response to me. They are all claiming the opposite.

And believe it or not, it is possible for people who are unbiased and aren't afraid of being questioned, or for others to be, to answer me and hold a normal conversation. I've had many of those in private messenger here and on maritime. It's the only way to do so without being verbally assaulted and accused of falsehoods.

I am well aware that many are intent on painting me as stupid, irrelevant, having a learning disability, and unable to be understood, and not being able to understand. I read all the posts. But this I know. Christians do not react to fellow Christians in this way. They do not respond to questions with ad hominem. Apparently you are one of those people.

At this point, I think it would be best if you didn't e-mail me anymore.

edited to add this: bold text was added by me for emphasis

Posted by: Clay Jan 23 2007, 07:17 AM

FHB, Cindy and the other Danny apologists (that would be Joe Smith, Bystander, EyeWitness, and the other pretenders who have waltzed through here).... IMO you are totally clueless.... and I say

that in love....

disclaimer: the views expressed by me do not reflect the opinions of the management of BSDA.

The Danny apologists engage in minimizing what Danny has done, and what Tommy Shelton has done...then they attempt to get the discussion sidetracked with minutiae..... tiresome they are.....

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 23 2007, 07:32 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 23 2007, 12:50 AM)

Let him come here and show us the transfer and make the claim that he isn't involved in any way, shape, or form.

- FHB

Why? I don't remember him being on trial...

Always skirting around the real issues!

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 23 2007, 07:51 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 23 2007, 08:17 AM)

FHB, Cindy and the other Danny apologists (that would be Joe Smith, Bystander, EyeWitness, and the other pretenders who have waltzed through here).... IMO you are totally clueless.... and I say that in love....

disclaimer: the views expressed by me do not reflect the opinions of the management of BSDA.

The Danny apologists engage in minimizing what Danny has done, and what Tommy Shelton has done...then they attempt to get the discussion sidetracked with minutiae..... tiresome they are.....

I can only speak for myself. I am not a Danny apologist, I don't know the man, and the first time I ever watched 3ABN was 10 commandments weekend, and since being on this forum, as posters here keep referring to broadcasts.

As far as Tommy goes, if he's guilty that will be proved. For many that has been proved by what has been posted here, for me, the evidence here needs to be verified as factual. So, I am trying to do that for myself, off these boards.

What I find sTrAnGe here is that with so many talking about Danny's guilt, they cannot seem to understand that for honest people who look at the whole situation in a unbiased way, most of what they find Danny at fault for, are the same things they refuse to find Linda at fault for.

"The Linda apologists" all claim they are not trying to defend her yet accuse all who mention her, or question her stance and accountability as being "Danny apologists"

This is surely strange behavior to me.

I constantly have to remind myself, that Linda can not be found guilty based on the characters, methods, tactics and spirit of those siding with her here.

On the otherhand, if I had such defending me, I'd have to speak up for myself and ask them to stop from shame, and embarassment.

Thus my question on several occasions:

Where is Linda's voice in all this?

My opinion also.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 23 2007, 08:29 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 22 2007, 11:56 PM)

No.

Derrell is the Owner, Admin, and Tech contact for lindashelton.org. The available, verifiable information makes that unmistakably clear. When that information indicates otherwise then we will know that he isn't involved.

- FHB

There are dozens of domains which have me as Admin and Tech Contact from when I used to work for an ISP because I was the one who submitted the request to the domain name registrar and who set their web site up... and once they were set up and I turned them over I have not had any further involvement with the domains, the information presented on those web sites or anything else pertaining to them...even though my name remains in their WHOIS information.

In order to keep people from hijacking domain names, domain name registrars make it painfully inconvenient to change the contact information for a domain... and most companies dont bother to change the info.. even when the person listed may no longer be with the company or may no longer have any involvement with the domain in question.

You are going to have to do better than this if you are going to 'prove' beartrap a liar... at this point you've proven squat.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 23 2007, 09:38 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 07:15 AM)

Well Bob Pickle , for one... He claims it's obvious, and most people know that just by reading the contract...

The contract payments to Linda did end in December. That is the part that is obvious.

What is not so obvious is that those payments, in part, were for the promise of confidentiality. **There is no time limit on the promise of confidentiality.**

When you visit a law firm, a hospital or a real estate agency, you have a contract. Those contracts end when you get well, finish your legal business, or sell your house.

The contracts do not give the hospital the right to **publish your health problems**, the law firm the right to **publish your will**, or the real estate agency the right to tell **why you sold** your house. **Those promises of confidentiality continue** after you have moved on with your life.

Bob Pickle mentioned to you that he had an "opinion." And he specifically told you it would not be wise to publish that opinion. You should have listened to him.

QUOTE(Pickle)

Of course, **it would not be wise to post my opinion either**. Most folks who read the contract can tell that it is only good through December 2006.

However, if Linda does decide to publish books, you will be happy to know that **Linda is free to publish fictional novels on any subject under the sun**. Therefore, you could actually receive your request of hearing "Linda's voice" at some point in the future.

Posted by: Pickle Jan 23 2007, 10:01 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 23 2007, 09:38 AM)

The contract payments to Linda did end in December. That is the part that is obvious.

What is not so obvious is that those payments, in part, were for the promise of confidentiality. **There is no time limit on the promise of confidentiality.**

Pete,

Ellen White wrote that a law that has no penalty is of no force.

Is there any penalty in the contract for speaking out after the final payment is received? Is there any penalty that could be conjured up and stick in court?

Posted by: Lee Jan 23 2007, 10:11 AM

Of course Linda can talk now on this board. To say otherwise goes against the freedoms of our Country. She has a free will and she can come on here and defend herself. Says WHO that Linda made a "promise" of confidentiality??--then WHY is she writing a book? Oh-excuse me, an "alleged" book!

To say otherwise is putting your head in the sand.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 23 2007, 10:25 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Jan 23 2007, 11:11 AM)

She has a free will and she can come on here and defend herself.

The same goes for Danny and Tommy and the 3ABN board... ergo there is no need for you and others to do it, is there...

Do you ever consider the ramifications of what you say on the things that you do? Given the frequency with which you are hoist by your own petard, I would have to conclude you do not... pity.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 23 2007, 10:36 AM

QUOTE(Ozzie @ Jan 22 2007, 10:22 PM)

AMEN!

Maybe, they need to read the 'fine print'.

Tech City:Thompsonville

Tech State/Province:Illinois

Tech Postal Code:62890

Tech Country:US

Tech Phone:+1.6189231134

Tech Phone Ext.:

Tech FAX:

Tech FAX Ext.:

Tech Email:derrell@mundall.com

Name Server:NS1.SECURESERVER.NET

Name Server:NS2.SECURESERVER.NET

This information was obtained from a different whois server, so we cannot verify its authenticity.

I read it



Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 23 2007, 11:22 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 23 2007, 10:01 AM)

Pete,

Ellen White wrote that a law that has no penalty is of no force.

Is there any penalty in the contract for speaking out after the final payment is received? Is there any penalty that could be conjured up and stick in court?

Yes, I think there are penalties.

I think this area of law is called "breach of contract."

Wikipedia:

"Breach of contract is a legal concept in which a binding agreement or bargained-for exchange is not honored by one or more of the parties to the contract by non-performance or interference with the other party's performance."

Writing a non-fiction account would likely be considered "non-performance" since Linda received money with the stipulation she would remain silent. There is likely no expiration on that promise. This silence was part of the "bargained-for-exchange" that Linda agreed to.

So, if silence was part of the contract, and that part of the contract is broken at a later date, the other party could ask for a remedy from the court, depending on the breach.

This violation is no different than if your law firm or medical clinic discloses your legal and medical affairs to the public - without your permission - at a much later date, long after you've paid your legal and medical fees, and moved on.

However, Linda is protected by the First Amendment when it comes to writing books. She can write fiction to no end.

However, I'm not an Illinois lawyer, so my opinion should not be construed with giving legal advice. All of what I have said would have to be verified by someone who is licensed there and can work directly with the person who signed the contract.

Posted by: Johann Jan 23 2007, 11:39 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 23 2007, 07:22 PM)

Yes, I think there are penalties.

So, if silence was part of the contract, and that part of the contract is broken at a later date, the other party could ask for a remedy from the court, depending on the breach.

However, Linda is protected by the First Amendment when it comes to writing books. She can write

fiction to no end.

What if - and this is merely a "what if" question - Linda should welcome appearing in court to defend her right to speak out, and to have the "gag order" made invalid?

Posted by: princessdi Jan 23 2007, 11:41 AM

Cindy, I am going to say this just one more time. Danny is guilty in my eyes, and many others because at one point in this sordid mess he has lied and exhibited behavior not befitting a christian, including his treatment of his Linda (for me it was the fact that he lied when he came through the door at BSDA). Simple as that!

What you fail to realize is that you are called an apologist, because even with letters posted by two of Tommy Shelton's victims, you refuse to believe, or it just doesn't matter to you. You asked for first hand, you got first hand, and you still don't believe it. Nobody is minimizing the actions of Linda, mainly because there have been none to speak of. She has been quietly going about her business.

As late as last night a question was brought about Linda's website, and it was answered from the horse's mouth (begging your forgiveness Derrell), but for some reason you and FHB are having a problem with the answer. Now, it seems to me that there is no reason for you to be here asking questions, if you aren't going to accept the answers. There is very little second or third hand information here, but because neither of you even took the time to read, you don't know that.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 05:51 AM)

I can only speak for myself. I am not a Danny apologist, I don't know the man, and the first time I ever watched 3ABN was 10 Commandments weekend, and since being on this forum, as posters here keep referring to broadcasts.

As far as Tommy goes, if he's guilty that will be proved. For many that has been proved by what has been posted here, for me, the evidence here needs to be verified as factual. So, I am trying to do that for myself, off these boards.

What I find strange here is that with so many talking about Danny's guilt, they cannot seem to understand that for honest people who look at the whole situation in an unbiased way, most of what they find Danny at fault for, are the same things they refuse to find Linda at fault for.

"The Linda apologists" all claim they are not trying to defend her yet accuse all who mention her, or question her stance and accountability as being "Danny apologists"

This is surely strange behavior to me.

I constantly have to remind myself, that Linda can not be found guilty based on the characters, methods, tactics and spirit of those siding with her here.

On the other hand, if I had such defending me, I'd have to speak up for myself and ask them to stop from shame, and embarrassment.

Thus my question on several occasions:

Where is Linda's voice in all this?

9

My opinion also.

Posted by: Snoopy Jan 23 2007, 12:13 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 23 2007, 11:22 AM)

Yes, I think there are penalties.

I think this area of law is called "breach of contract."

Wikipedia:

"Breach of contract is a legal concept in which a binding agreement or bargained-for exchange is not honored by one or more of the parties to the contract by non-performance or interference with the other party's performance."

Writing a non-fiction account would likely be considered "non-performance" since Linda received money with the stipulation she would remain silent. There is likely no expiration on that promise. This silence was part of the "bargained-for-exchange" that Linda agreed to.

So, if silence was part of the contract, and that part of the contract is broken at a later date, the other party could ask for a remedy from the court, depending on the breach.

This violation is no different than if your law firm or medical clinic discloses your legal and medical affairs to the public - without your permission - at a much later date, long after you've paid your legal and medical fees, and moved on.

However, Linda is protected by the First Amendment when it comes to writing books. She can write fiction to no end.

However, I'm not an Illinois lawyer, so my opinion should not be construed with giving legal advice. All of what I have said would have to be verified by someone who is licensed there and can work directly with the person who signed the contract.

Even if Linda did breach the contract by writing a non-fictional book, wouldn't she only be liable to the extent of the compensation she received to stay quiet, ie, \$250K? Not that a quarter of a million dollars isn't a lot of money, but wouldn't book royalties cover that cost with a substantial margin left over?

Posted by: Spike Jan 23 2007, 01:36 PM

So the \$64,000.00 question is why would they want to keep her quiet? If DS and 3abn are such an honorable and upstanding organization why the gag order? I mean they have nothing to hide right.

The answers are here if you are truly seeking for them!

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 23 2007, 01:38 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 23 2007, 09:29 AM) □

There are dozens of domains which have me as Admin and Tech Contact from when I used to work for an ISP because I was the one who submitted the request to the domain name registrar and who set their web site up... and once they were set up and I turned them over I have not had any further involvement with the domains, the information presented on those web sites or anything else pertaining to them...even though my name remains in their WHOIS information.

Interesting...

You weren't listed as the Registrant like Derrell, and billed on all those accounts were you? Or if you were listed as Registrant {Registered owner} you at least knew to list Billing contact info separately so that you weren't billed, when it was time to register or update the registry?

I'm presuming you weren't for if that was the case, you'd certainly have something to do with all those websites...

This is standard for all personal websites and domains....

Name Server Modification

Who has access to modify a domain name or account?

Only the registrant is authorized to request for login information or modifications to an account/domain.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 23 2007, 09:29 AM) □

In order to keep people from hijacking domain names, domain name registrars make it painfully inconvenient to change the contact information for a domain... and most companies don't bother to change the info.. even when the person listed may no longer be with the company or may no longer have any involvement with the domain in question.

hmmmm...

It's actually very quick and simple. This is pretty standard too.

The registrar for LindaShelton.org is Wild West domains.

Wild West Domains FAQ

How do I modify my account information?

To modify your account information, log into your account and click on "Account Information" and then on "Update Contact Information." Make the necessary changes and press submit.

How do I modify my domain registration WhoIS information?

The contact information for an account is the WhoIS information for all the registrations within that account. Updating the account information for your account, will update the domain registration owned by your account.

Posted by: Clay Jan 23 2007, 01:39 PM

QUOTE(Spike @ Jan 23 2007, 01:36 PM)

So the \$64,000.00 question is why would they want to keep her quiet? If DS and 3abn are such an honorable and upstanding organization why the gag order? I mean they have nothing to hide right.

The answers are here if you are truly seeking for them!

exactly.... start with that basic question...

Posted by: Pickle Jan 23 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jan 23 2007, 11:22 AM)

Yes, I think there are penalties.

The contract specifically says that if she violates the contract by talking negatively in public, the payments will be terminated. That is the only penalty mentioned. There is nothing in it about having to pay back previous payments already made.

I personally feel that there is nothing that really can make the contract binding past Dec. 2006.

Posted by: Spike Jan 23 2007, 01:55 PM

Someone said Linda might be writing a book. I think maybe she needs to, but it should be a combined effort of all that DS has wronged. I'm sure that list is quite long and would make for a most interesting read.

Posted by: Clay Jan 23 2007, 01:59 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 01:38 PM)

Intersesting...

You weren't listed as the Registrant like Derrell, and billed on all those accounts were you?
Or if you were listed as Registrant {Registered owner}you at least knew to list Billing contact info separately so that you weren't billed, when it was time to register or update the registry?

I'm presuming you weren't for if that was the case, you'd certainly have something to do with all those websites...

This is standard for all personal websites and domains....

Name Server Modification

Who has access to modify a domain name or account?

Only the registrant is authorized to request for login information or modifications to an account/domain.
hmmmm...

It's actually very quick and simple. This is pretty standard too.

The registrar for LindaShelton.org is Wild West domains.

Wild West Domains FAQ

How do I modify my account information?

To modify your account information, log into your account and click on "Account Information" and then on "Update Contact Information." Make the necessary changes and press submit.

How do I modify my domain registration WhoIS information?

The contact information for an account is the WhoIS information for all the registrations within that account. Updating the account information for your account, will update the domain registration owned by your account.

veapons of mass distraction.... only one problem... this aint sabbath school... we pay attention and ve can see right through your attempt..... ever work for the Bush White House cause what you are loing is right out of their play book.....

Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Jan 23 2007, 01:39 AM)

Maybe this is the same reason that the word **alleged** is used when discussing the **alleged** abuse by Tommy Shelton of the **alleged** victims. Remember, "A disclaimer doesn't mean the information isn't true, it is an effort to insure no legal responsibility for the information to avoid legal situations later on. **The fact remains that no matter where you look it up the information is the same.**"

You have a unique way of **allegedly** sidetracking the discussion from the important issues.

Allegedly written by Ralph

Well said!

Allegedly Inga

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 23 2007, 02:16 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 23 2007, 01:42 PM)

The contract specifically says that if she violates the contract by talking negatively in public, the payments will be terminated. That is the only penalty mentioned. There is nothing in it about having to pay back previous payments already made.

I personally feel that there is nothing that really can make the contract binding past Dec. 2006.

Your interpretation seems to be exactly what the contract says. The contract says that termination of contract payments is the remedy for non-compliance.

So, Pickle, you feel the contract is written so that the Munchkin Coroner can say it is "most assuredly lead."

That's a good point of view. I like that one. But, I hope somebody checks with an expert, first.

question the wisdom of 3ABN creating a gag order that only lasted 24 months, if that was their intent.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 23 2007, 02:20 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 23 2007, 02:38 PM)

Interesting...

You weren't listed as the Registrant like Derrell, and billed on all those accounts were you?
Or if you were listed as Registrant {Registered owner} you at least knew to list Billing contact info separately so that you weren't billed, when it was time to register or update the registry?

I'm presuming you weren't for if that was the case, you'd certainly have something to do with all those websites...

This is standard for all personal websites and domains....

Name Server Modification
Who has access to modify a domain name or account?

Only the registrant is authorized to request for login information or modifications to an account/domain.

hmmmm...

It's actually very quick and simple. This is pretty standard too.

The registrar for LindaShelton.org is Wild West domains.

Wild West Domains FAQ

How do I modify my account information?
To modify your account information, log into your account and click on "Account Information" and then on "Update Contact Information." Make the necessary changes and press submit.

How do I modify my domain registration WhoIS information?

The contact information for an account is the WhoIS information for all the registrations within that account. Updating the account information for your account, will update the domain registration owned by your account.

That allows you to submit it... it declares your intent to change it... it doesn't, however, change it. To avoid things like lawsuits, the registrar is going to check that 8 ways from Sunday before the contact info is actually changed. What you see here gets the process started online... but the bulk of the work is done *offline* and involves things like originals of notarized documents on company letterhead... things one cannot submit online.

Nice try, Cindy...but again... no cigar.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 02:42 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Jan 23 2007, 01:10 AM)

Inga,

According to the latest domain history entry on whois.com (dated January 22, 2007) it indicates that Derrell is the owner and operator of the domain lindashelton.org. That would be rather current information.

I also own a number of domain names so I am not just talking out the top of my hat. I also did not say that the info at the registrar site is not up to date.

Owning a number of domain names clearly doesn't make you an expert. Where did you find an entry that said the info on file was updated January 22, 2007?

This is what the whois server says today (Jan 23, 2007):

Domain Name:LINDASHELTON.ORG
Created On:12-Jun-2004 18:34:26 UTC
Last Updated On:07-Jun-2006 18:58:27 UTC
Expiration Date:12-Jun-2009 18:34:26 UTC
Sponsoring Registrar:Wild West Domains, Inc. (R120-LROR)

The information says that the last time *anything* was updated on the domain was Jun 7, 2006. And it is evident that the "updating" consisted of renewing the domain name for 3 years. That could mean that no more "updating" will be done till Jun 2009. Furthermore, if Derrell shared the login information and password, anyone could make the changes. Further beyond that, if Derrell himself made the changes and paid for three years of hosting (\$27.00 or so), it would not prove that he was involved with the content of the web site in any manner whatsoever. I personally own domains and host sites with whose content I am in no way involved.

Not that it really matters, because, as I said, the registrar doesn't care a whit whether or not the information is current, as long as they have a current credit card on file. And if you don't believe Derrell, you'll not likely believe anyone else. Not that *that* matters either.

This is all just a red herring to distract from the **real issues**.

These are the despicable behavior of Dan Shelton towards not only Linda Shelton but towards other ex-employees as well, not to mention breaking of trust in the handling of funds entrusted to him. Further issues include Dan's knowingly employing a man previously fired for sexual misconduct with minors.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jan 23 2007, 02:49 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 23 2007, 02:42 PM)

This is all just a red herring to distract from the **real issues**.

These are the despicable behavior of Dan Shelton towards not only Linda Shelton but towards other ex-employees as well, not to mention breaking of trust in the handling of funds entrusted to him. Further issues include Dan's knowingly employing a man previously fired for sexual misconduct with minors.

A red herring?

It looks like the entire Portuguese fleet came to port with a full catch.

Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 02:58 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 23 2007, 12:39 PM)

What if - and this is merely a "what if" question - Liinda should welcome appearing in court to defend her right to speak out, and to have the "gag order" made invalid?

H'mm ... maybe someone close to Linda should encourage her to make a public statement as a challenget to 3ABN lawyers?

I suspect that Linda will not want to get involved in defending *herself*, because that is not generally productive. It is far better to leave the defense to supporters.

But perhaps it would be good for her to release a statement that would help in cleaning up some of the mess at 3ABN. She would need counsel to ensure that corroboration for anything she might say is available and forthcoming, etc. .

Very likely 3ABN lawyers would threaten. I suspect it's unlikely that they would actually bring charges. They have usually played the bully, and bullies are known for not following through.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)