



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Lawsuit Against Gaj

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 06:35 AM

Mr. Joy has recieved an e-mail, and he expects to shortly recieve the same mesaage by postal letter making certain demands upon him, and that raised the potential that he will become the subject of a lawsuit.

I am posting that message here. In my next post a little later this morning, I will post an item by item response to this letter.

I know that many of you will want to comment on this message, both pro and con. If you don't mind, I would appreciate it if you would allow me to make the next post in this thread which will contain my comments on the letter. After I have done that, please comment.

Here is the message:

"Law Offices
SIEGEL, BRILL, GREUPNER, DUFFY & FOSTER, P.A.
1300 WASHINGTON SQUARE
100 WASHINGTON AVENUE SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

TELEPHONE (612) 337-6100
TELECOPIER (612) 337-8108

JOSIAH E BRILL, JR
JAMES R GREUPNER
GERALD S DUFFY
WOOD R FOSTER, JR
THOMAS H GOODMAN
JOHN S WATSON
WM CHRISTOPHER PENWELL
ANTHONY J GLEEKEL
SHERRI L ROHLF
JORDAN M LEWIS*
BRIAN E WEISBERG
STEVEN J WEINTRAUT
M GREGORY SIMPSON
JAMES A YAROSH

MICHAEL J VIOLA
JERRIE M HAYES
MARK THIEROFF
KRISTIN L KINGSBURY
Writer's E-Mail Address:GerryDuffy@sbgdf.com

Writer's Voicemail Number: (612) 337-6106

January 30, 2007

Gailon Arthur Joy
3 Clinton Road
Box 1425
Sterling, MA 01564

VIA E-MAIL - [e-mail address removed--GM]
and CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

and

Gailon Arthur Joy
24 Clinton Road
Sterling, MA 01564

CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

NOTICE: This letter Constitutes a confidential legal communication, which is not for publication. The contents of this communication are subject to common law copyright and may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form or media, without the express, written permission of the author.

Gailon Arthur Joy:

This law firm represents Mr. Danny Shelton and the Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc. ("3ABN"), which has informed us that you are involved in the use and exploitation of 3ABN's trademarked, copyrighted name in your website, "www.save3abn.com." Use of 3ABN's name without our client's license or other authorization to do so constitutes an illegal misappropriation of the same, in violation of state and federal copyright and trademark laws. Additionally, use of the phrase "3ABN" in your domain name is likely to cause confusion among the public as to the source,

[Page 2]

sponsorship or affiliation of your website, resulting in dilution of 3ABN's trademark, which is a separate and additional violation of federal law.

It has also come to our attention that you have inappropriately copied and posted a lengthy excerpt from a 3ABN broadcast on the website referred to above. This action constitutes a violation of 3ABN's exclusive copyright on all audio and video productions created by the Network and on all television transmissions of the same, and is a blatant violation of federal law. Moreover, the presence of this excerpted broadcast serves to heighten the likelihood that the public will be confused into believing that your website is somehow sponsored by or affiliated with 3ABN, which only furthers 3ABN's claim of trademark dilution.

Finally, we have been informed that you have maliciously published outrageous, highly damaging and defamatory statements about both Danny Shelton and 3ABN on this same website. These despicable statements include, but are not limited to:

- 3ABN, through its board chairman has "admitted in writing that Danny [Shelton] had been involved in a cover up" of criminal conduct
 - Danny Shelton knowingly lied regarding a feud between Tommy Shelton and Glenn Dryden
 - 3ABN directed an attorney to use "intimidation tactics to cover up allegations of child molestation"
 - Danny Shelton attempted to cover up and silence child molestation allegations
 - Danny Shelton "abuses his power" and "steam roll[s] over alleged victims of his brother Tommy's sexual misconduct."
- (hereinafter the "Statements").

The obvious meaning of these horrendous falsehoods is that Danny Shelton and 3ABN participated in an orchestrated effort to cover up alleged criminal conduct. The actions you falsely attribute to

our clients would be a crime and, as I am sure you are aware, false accusations of criminal conduct constitute defamation per se by you.

Therefore, on behalf of Three Angels Broadcasting Network and Mr. Danny Shelton, we hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the use of the domain name "save3ABN.com" and that you voluntarily surrender and transfer registration of the domain to 3ABN. You are also informed and hereby notified that you are not authorized to use and are, in fact, expressly prohibited from using, Three Angel [sic.] Broadcasting Network's name, or its trademarked nominer "3ABN" in any future website domain name or URL.

We hereby also demand that you immediately remove, from any and all websites to which you have author access, including "www.save3abn.com," any recorded excerpts of any 3ABN broadcasts.

[Page 3]

We also hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the publication of false statements concerning Danny Shelton and 3ABN and that you immediately remove such statements from the "www.save3abn.com" website. In the event that you receive any inquiries from any person or entity about the Statements, we demand you notify the inquiring party that you have been put on notice by Danny Shelton and 3ABN that the statements are false and defamatory.

While 3ABN is in no manner whatsoever beholden to explain itself to you, we proudly withstand the ongoing judgment of God and the continuing examination by our supporters and viewers. As evidenced both by the continued paths of ministry that God has opened at our feet and by the unwavering financial support and testimonials we receive from our supporters and viewers, we believe our ministry has more than satisfied the surveillance of both. Though it is a private enterprise, 3ABN has, for over 22 years, proudly engaged itself as a thoroughly transparent ministry, both in its message and administration. 3ABN has always invited the theological, operational, and financial scrutiny of its loyal and generous supporters and viewers. Unless constrained by legal obligations otherwise, 3ABN has provided financial and administrative information to inquiring parties and has opened its doors and offered access to independent auditors and investigative reporters. More than ever, we stand behind the legal propriety, moral correctness, intellectual honesty, financial soundness, and operational appropriateness of each and every business decision 3ABN has ever made.

As part of its commitment to passionately and effectively spread the Gospel and Good News of the Lord, 3ABN has always welcomed honest feedback, constructive criticism, and suggestions for improvement of the ministry. But its Christian commitment to the Truth will not allow 3ABN to tolerate factually unfounded allegations of impropriety, baseless and prurient gossip, inflammatory innuendo, and unjustified attacks on the organization. 3ABN does not approach such assaults as an objective effort to unmask "truth," but as the divisive and destructive work of the Enemy designed to hinder and frustrate God's work. 3ABN will not quietly resign itself to any effort to obstruct God's message.

Your reckless, willful and malicious activity must cease immediately; you proceed at your own peril. Moreover, your compliance with the demands contained in this letter does not constitute a waiver, relinquishment or abandonment of any right to remedy or enforcement, either legal or equitable, to which our clients are otherwise entitled.

Yours very truly,

Gerald S. Duffy"

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 06:48 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 07:35 AM) □

Mr. Joy has recieved an e-mail, and he expects to shortly recieve the same mesaage by postal letter making certain demands upon him, and that raised the potential that he will become the subject of a lawsuit.

I am posting that message here.

Here is the message:

...

NOTICE: This letter Constitutes a confidential legal communication, which is not for publication. The contents of this communication are subject to common law copyright and may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form or media, without the express, written permission of the author....

hmmm.... No respect for the law whatsoever...

I'm sure that the accusers here are going to be outraged that 3ABN won't just let them continue with their ungodly methods and tactics...

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Thought I'd get Just my 2 cents in before Gregory buries you all in his rhetoric and justifications, where good is evil, evil is good and down is up, and all the accusers are Christlike..

Posted by: västergötland Feb 2 2007, 07:28 AM

In case this letter is really sent from a law firm, wouldnt the letters publication despite of the copyrigh notice not to do so harm Mr Joy further in the court?

I wrote "in case" becourse off the secound and third paragraphs on page three. Would a law firm really include apologetics in this type of communication?

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 07:34 AM

Here are is my response to the letter posted above. NOTE: I will place a "GM:" at the beginning of my comments.

NOTE: I am not a licensed attorney. I am not an expert in legal matters. My comments are solely my personal opinion, and are not intended to provide legal counsel. All who may need legal advice should seek out a licensed attorney who is qualified to give legal advice in the area that it is desired.

Law Offices
SIEGEL, BRILL, GREUPNER, DUFFY & FOSTER, P.A.
1300 WASHINGTON SQUARE
100 WASHINGTON AVENUE SOUTH

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

TELEPHONE (612) 337-6100
TELECOPIER (612) 337-8108

GM: The telephone numbers of licensed lawyers are publicly available. Therefore, there is no reason for me to delete these. There publication here is not intended to suggest that anyone telephone this law firm to express an opinion on this case. For people to do so could be construed as a violation of the law, and result in criminal prosecution.

JOSIAH E BRILL, JR
JAMES R GREUPNER
GERALD S DUFFY
WOOD R FOSTER, JR
THOMAS H GOODMAN
JOHN S WATSON
WM CHRISTOPHER PENWELL
ANTHONY J GLEEKEL
SHERRI L ROHLF
JORDAN M LEWIS*
BRIAN E WEISBERG
STEVEN J WEINTRAUT
M GREGORY SIMPSON
JAMES A YAROSH

MICHAEL J VIOLA
JERRIE M HAYES
MARK THIEROFF
KRISTIN L KINGSBURY
Writer's E-Mail Address:GerryDuffy@sbgdf.com

Writer's Voicemail Number: (612) 337-6106

GM: In regard to the above e-mail address, I am leaving it in this post as it is also believed to be publicly available. Please review my comment above in regard to the publication of telephone numbers, and do not do anything that might constitute harassment, or otherwise violate criminal law.

January 30, 2007

Gailon Arthur Joy
3 Clinton Road
Box 1425
Sterling, MA 01564

VIA E-MAIL -[e-mail address deleted—GM.]
and CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

and

Gailon Arthur Joy
24 Clinton Road
Sterling, MA 01564

CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

NOTICE: This letter Constitutes a confidential legal communication, which is not for publication. The contents of this communication are subject to common law copyright and may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form or media, without the express, written permission of

the author.

GM: The above appears to me to be "boiler-plate." Of course, U.S. statute law confers copyright status upon this letter. However, the so-called "free-use" provisions of U.S. copyright law govern. Under those provisions I believe that I may reproduce it along with my comments and critique as I am doing here.

Gailon Arthur Joy:

This law firm represents Mr. Danny Shelton and the Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc. ("3ABN"), which has informed us that you are involved in the use and exploitation of 3ABN's trademarked, copyrighted name in your website, "www.save3abn.com." Use of 3ABN's name without our client's license or other authorization to do so constitutes an illegal misappropriation of the same, in violation of state and federal copyright and trademark laws. Additionally, use of the phrase "3ABN" in your domain name is likely to cause confusion among the public as to the source,

[Page 2]

sponsorship or affiliation of your website, resulting in dilution of 3ABN's trademark, which is a separate and additional violation of federal law.

GM: There are two issues of interest in the above. The first is that this attorney is representing both Danny Shelton and 3-ABN. In so far as Danny Shelton is the President of 3-ABN, there is nothing ethically wrong with this dual representation. Danny has in private communications made statements to the effect that any legal expenses would be picked up by another person with so-called deep pockets [my words]. Again, that is neither illegal, nor unethical. If that person were to have paid for Danny's private legal expenses, those payments might be taxable income to Danny. However, my belief is that such is not currently the case. As President, 3-ABN has the obligation to defend Danny in any action that involves his duties as President.

GM: The second issues relates to the fact that 3ABN is a registered trademark, and has been copyrighted. The comment that such prevents Mr. Joy from using "3abn" in his domain name is subject to challenge. The comment may be correct. If so the court will enjoin future use. However, only litigation will settle that issue. The decision may not be that clear-cut. I will remind everyone that "SDA Kinship" has been allowed by the courts to keep "SDA" in its name contrary to the wish of the General Conference. In any case, if this issue is litigated, I suspect that other "Friend of the Court" briefs will be filed, if allowed.

It has also come to our attention that you have inappropriately copied and posted a lengthy excerpt from a 3ABN broadcast on the website referred to above. This action constitutes a violation of 3ABN's exclusive copyright on all audio and video productions created by the Network and on all television transmissions of the same, and is a blatant violation of federal law. Moreover, the presence of this excerpted broadcast serves to heighten the likelihood that the public will be confused into believing that your website is somehow sponsored by or affiliated with 3ABN, which only furthers 3ABN's claim of trademark dilution.

GM: U. S. copyright law governs here. This is not as clear-cut as it may seem. Under "fair use" one is generally allowed to copy a copyright product for the purpose of commenting and critiquing it. Should the court decide that was the intent, it just might be that 3-ABN would not be able to enjoin such use. It is the same principle that allows me to place "3-ABN" and "3ABN" in my posts.

Finally, we have been informed that you have maliciously published outrageous, highly damaging and defamatory statements about both Danny Shelton and 3ABN on this same website. These despicable statements include, but are not limited to:

- 3ABN, through its board chairman has "admitted in writing that Danny [Shelton] had been

involved in a cover up" of criminal conduct

- Danny Shelton knowingly lied regarding a feud between Tommy Shelton and Glenn Dryden
- 3ABN directed an attorney to use "intimidation tactics to cover up allegations of child molestation"
- Danny Shelton attempted to cover up and silence child molestation allegations
- Danny Shelton "abuses his power" and "steam roll[s] over alleged victims of his brother Tommy's sexual misconduct."

(hereinafter the "Statements").

The obvious meaning of these horrendous falsehoods is that Danny Shelton and 3ABN participated in an orchestrated effort to cover up alleged criminal conduct. The actions you falsely attribute to our clients would be a crime and, as I am sure you are aware, false accusations of criminal conduct constitute defamation per se by you.

GM: Here we have an interesting issue. Should 3-ABN (or other individuals) file on the above grounds, the defense will attempt to prove that the claims are true. It should be noted that truth is not an absolute defense against such claims. The potential exists for the court to find the charges true, and still find in favor of the plaintiffs. However, that can only be determined by litigation. The question now becomes: Does any plaintiff want to be subject to the discovery that would take place in such litigation. And, if proven true, that might bar recovery of damages. The results of such litigation are simply not predictable.

Therefore, on behalf of Three Angels Broadcasting Network and Mr. Danny Shelton, we hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the use of the domain name "save3ABN.com" and that you voluntarily surrender and transfer registration of the domain to 3ABN. You are also informed and hereby notified that you are not authorized to use and are, in fact, expressly prohibited from using, Three Angel [sic.] Broadcasting Network's name, or its trademarked nominer "3ABN" in any future website domain name or URL.

GM: We now enter a field of law that is developing in regard to domain names. I believe that case law might be cited on both sides of this question. It may be that the plaintiff will be willing to litigate in the hope of further establishing a body of case law? Again, the result of litigation uncertain.

We hereby also demand that you immediately remove, from any and all websites to which you have author access, including "www.save3abn.com," any recorded excerpts of any 3ABN broadcasts.

[Page 3]

We also hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the publication of false statements concerning Danny Shelton and 3ABN and that you immediately remove such statements from the "www.save3abn.com" website. In the event that you receive any inquiries from any person or entity about the Statements, we demand you notify the inquiring party that you have been put on notice by Danny Shelton and 3ABN that the statements are false and defamatory.

GM: O. K. Let it be known that Danny Shelton and 3-ABN deny the charges.

While 3ABN is in no manner whatsoever beholden to explain itself to you, we proudly withstand the ongoing judgment of God and the continuing examination by our supporters and viewers. As evidenced both by the continued paths of ministry that God has opened at our feet and by the unwavering financial support and testimonials we receive from our supporters and viewers, we believe our ministry has more than satisfied the surveillance of both. Though it is a private enterprise, 3ABN has, for over 22 years, proudly engaged itself as a thoroughly transparent ministry, both in its message and administration. 3ABN has always invited the theological, operational, and financial scrutiny of its loyal and generous supporters and viewers. Unless constrained by legal obligations otherwise, 3ABN has provided financial and administrative

information to inquiring parties and has opened its doors and offered access to independent auditors and investigative reporters. More than ever, we stand behind the legal propriety, moral correctness, intellectual honesty, financial soundness, and operational appropriateness of each and every business decision 3ABN has ever made.

GM: The references to God hardly belong in a court of law. I would like to know more about the "independent auditors" and the extent to which 3-ABN has opened its books to inquiring parties.

As part of its commitment to passionately and effectively spread the Gospel and Good News of the Lord, 3ABN has always welcomed honest feedback, constructive criticism, and suggestions for improvement of the ministry. But its Christian commitment to the Truth will not allow 3ABN to tolerate factually unfounded allegations of impropriety, baseless and prurient gossip, inflammatory innuendo, and unjustified attacks on the organization. 3ABN does not approach such assaults as an objective effort to unmask "truth," but as the divisive and destructive work of the Enemy designed to hinder and frustrate God's work. 3ABN will not quietly resign itself to any effort to obstruct God's message.

Your reckless, willful and malicious activity must cease immediately; you proceed at your own peril. Moreover, your compliance with the demands contained in this letter does not constitute a waiver, relinquishment or abandonment of any right to remedy or enforcement, either legal or equitable, to which our clients are otherwise entitled.

GM: Here is a pretty clear statement that Mr. Joy potentially could comply with all requests and after he did so, find himself as a defendant in a lawsuit for his past behavior.

Yours very truly,

GM: More boiler plate. To what extent are we really to believe that Mr. Duffy is truly his?

Gerald S. Duffy

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 05:48 AM)

hmmm.... No respect for the law whatsoever...

I'm sure that the accusers here are going to be outraged that 3ABN won't just let them continue with their ungodly methods and tactics...

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Thought I'd get Just my 2 cents in before Gregory buries you all in his rhetoric and justifications, where good is evil, evil is good and down is up, and all the accusers are Christlike..

This is clearly a case for the Court to decide.

No, I have great respect for the law. Under the law, materials that are copyrighted do have certain allowable "free use." I believe that I have complied with those provisions.

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 07:44 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 05:48 AM) □

hmmm.... No respect for the law whatsoever...
I'm sure that the accusers here are going to be outraged that 3ABN won't just let them continue with their ungodly methods and tactics...

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Thought I'd get Just my 2 cents in before Gregory buries you all in his rhetoric and justifications, where good is evil, evil is good and down is up, and all the accusers are Christlike..

Alethia:

- 1) My post could be construed as a warning to all that they could find themselves defending in a lawsuit against them. This would clearly be considered "fair use" of copyright material as my publication could potentially result in people complying with the demands made of Mr. 'Joy.
- 2) I posted the message in my first post, without specific comment from me in an attempt to be fair to the writer. It was my intention to post my comments directly following the letter without comments, as that is generally considered fair and appropriate. You interjected your own comment between the two. But, I cannot be held accountable for what you did.
- 3) Again, my post has been, I believe, in accord with the law as it related to "fair use" of copyright materials. The attorney who penned the letter either knew, or should have known, that anyone could do what I did, under the law. The only way to prevent such would be to not send such a letter.

I have great respect for the law, and intend to comply with it.

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 08:08 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 06:44 AM) □

Alethia:

- 1) My post could be construed as a warning to all that they could find themselves defending in a lawsuit against them. This would clearly be considered "fair use" of copyright material as my publication could potentially result in people complying with the demands made of Mr. 'Joy.
- 2) I posted the message in my first post, without specific comment from me in an attempt to be fair to the writer. It was my intention to post my comments directly following the letter without comments, as that is generally considered fair and appropriate. You interjected your own comment between the two. But, I cannot be held accountable for what you did.
- 3) Again, my post has been, I believe, in accord with the law as it related to "fair use" of copyright

materials. The attorney who penned the letter either knew, or should have known, that anyone could do what I did, under the law. The only way to prevent such would be to not send such a letter.

I have great respect for the law, and intend to comply with it.

Aletheia:

My post is actually a warning. There are many people posting here who could potentially become defendants in litigation. They need to decide whether or not they have the stomach for such. No one who understands the law really welcomes litigation. It is never a fun process. One can never really predict the outcome.

Several years ago I was asked by an attorney to advise him on certain aspects of a lawsuit that he planned to file in regard to the First Amendment (U.S. Constitution) and religious liberty. I advised him that he would lose. He informed me that he knew the Constitution better than I. I agreed with him and again told him that he would lose as I knew the case better than he. He filed, and lost.

He was an attorney who specialized in the area of law in which he filed. He was totally surprised that he lost. The issue is not that I was correct. The issue is that people can only make an educated guess as to the outcome of litigation. No one can predict with 100% certainty the outcome.

I will suggest to you that Mr. Joy would rather settle this case without litigation. But, there are issues involved that prevent this from happening. There are issues that justly lie in the civil realm.

This potentially looks like it may be the beginning of the search for such a mode of settlement.

So, all read my posts, and make your own decision as to how you want to relate to becoming involved in future litigation.

Posted by: caribbean sda Feb 2 2007, 08:17 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 08:48 AM)

hmmm.... No respect for the law whatsoever...

I'm sure that the accusers here are going to be outraged that 3ABN won't just let them continue with their ungodly methods and tactics...

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Thought I'd get just my 2 cents in before Gregory buries you all in his rhetoric and justifications, where good is evil, evil is good and down is up, and all the accusers are Christlike..

You were just chomping at the bit, eh? Didn't even respect the wishes of Observer to wait until he had completed his follow-up post. The wagons are circling in Thompsonville, aren't they?! and that's MY two cents...

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 08:22 AM

Let me give you-all an illustration of "fair use" right out of real life:

Several years ago I became aware of a writer who published weekly on the Internet, and in print media. His mission in life was to post Christian art, and then make fun of it, the person who produced it, and those who believed in Christ. It was more narrowly focused in Christ himself. Regularly he posted art from SDA publications, such as books by A.S. Maxwell, and other. Yes, he did this after Maxwell's death. He would have a field day in making fun of Maxwell and the item of art that he had published that week. Again, he would focus on the Christ figure in the art.

I took the evidence to the General Conference for violation of copyright. They informed me that his use of copyrighted SDA art was included in the "fair use" doctrine and they could do nothing about it. He had the legal right to reproduce, in full, that SDA art.

The same principles apply to my use of that letter, and my posting it.

Aletheia, there are other legal reasons why I will suggest that I was free to do so. But, if you want the full story, I will advise you to consult an attorney who specialized in copyright issues. I am not here to instruct you in the law.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 08:39 AM

I don't believe it a coincidence that this lawyer is from Minneapolis... or that ASI's pulling out of the dialog on this situation... is one either.

I would wager that one Garwin McNeilus is entering the fray... and knowing this cease and desist communique was coming down, ASI was merely getting out of his way...

I could be mistaken... but I don't think so.

In His service,
Mr. J

19

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 2 2007, 08:46 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 07:48 AM)
hmmm.... No respect for the law whatsoever...
I'm sure that the accusers here are going to be outraged that 3ABN won't just let them continue with their ungodly methods and tactics...

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Thought I'd get Just my 2 cents in before Gregory buries you all in his rhetoric and justifications, where good is evil, evil is good and down is up, and all the accusers are Christlike..

What is with you? As CSDA said, you couldn't even wait for Observer to finish his posting before you starting fuming with righteous indignation. I wish you would hurry up and finish grinding this axe

you keep carrying around...it's making even me tired...

QUOTE(västergötland @ Feb 2 2007, 08:28 AM)

In case this letter is really sent from a law firm, wouldnt the letters publication despite of the copyrighth notice not to do so harm Mr Joy further in the court?

I wrote "in case" becouse off the second and third paragraphs on page three. **Would a law firm really include apologetics in this type of communication?**

I was wondering the same thing, V...

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 09:33 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 08:08 AM)

Alethia:

My post is actually a warning. There are many people posting here who could potentially become defendents in litigation. They need to decide whether or not they have the stomach for such. No one who understands the law really welcomes litigation. It is never a fun process. One can never really predict the outcome.

Several years ago I was asked by an attorney to advise him on certain aspects of a lawsuit that he planned to file in regard to the First Ammendment (U.S. Constitution) and religioius liberty. I advised him that he would lose. He informed me that he knew the Constitution better than I. I agreed with him and again told him that he would lose as I knew the case better than he. He filed, and lost.

He was an attorney who specialized the the area of law in which he filed. He was totally surprised that he lost. The issue is not that I was correct. The issue is that people can only make an educated guess as to the outcome of litigation. No one can predict with 100% certainty the outcome.

I will suggest to you that Mr. Joy would rather settle this case without litigation. But, there are issues involved that prevent this from happening. There are issues that justly lie in the civil realm.

This potentially looks like it may be the beginning of the search for such a mode of settlement.

So, all read my posts, and make your own decision as to how you want to relate to becomming involved in future litigation.

The sky is falling! The sky is falling! Help us, help us!

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 10:00 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 07:34 AM)

Here are is my response to the letter posted above. NOTE: I will place a "GM:" at the beginning of

my comments.

NOTE: I am not a licensed attorney. I am not an expert in legal matters. My comments are solely my personal opinion, and are not intended to provide legal counsel. All who may need legal advice should seek out a licensed attorney who is qualified to give legal advice in the area that it is desired.

Law Offices
SIEGEL, BRILL, GREUPNER, DUFFY & FOSTER, P.A.
1300 WASHINGTON SQUARE
100 WASHINGTON AVENUE SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

TELEPHONE (612) 337-6100
TELECOPIER (612) 337-8108

GM: The telephone numbers of licensed lawyers are publicly available. Therefore, there is no reason for me to delete these. There publication here is not intended to suggest that anyone telephone this law firm to express an opinion on this case. For people to do so could be construed as a violation of the law, and result in criminal prosecution.

JOSIAH E BRILL, JR
JAMES R GREUPNER
GERALD S DUFFY
WOOD R FOSTER, JR
THOMAS H GOODMAN
JOHN S WATSON
WM CHRISTOPHER PENWELL
ANTHONY J GLEEKEL
SHERRI L ROHLF
JORDAN M LEWIS*
BRIAN E WEISBERG
STEVEN J WEINTRAUT
M GREGORY SIMPSON
JAMES A YAROSH

MICHAEL J VIOLA
JERRIE M HAYES
MARK THIEROFF
KRISTIN L KINGSBURY
Writer's E-Mail Address:GerryDuffy@sbgdf.com

Writer's Voicemail Number: (612) 337-6106

GM: In regard to the above e-mail address, I am leaving it in this post as it is also believed to be publicly available. Please review my comment above in regard to the publication of telephone numbers, and do not do anything that might constitute harassment, or otherwise violate criminal law.

January 30, 2007

Gailon Arthur Joy
3 Clinton Road
Box 1425
Sterling, MA 01564

VIA E-MAIL –[e-mail address deleted—GM.]
and CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

and

Gailon Arthur Joy
24 Clinton Road
Sterling, MA 01564

CERTIFIED / RETURN RECEIPT U.S. MAIL

NOTICE: This letter Constitutes a confidential legal communication, which is not for publication. The contents of this communication are subject to common law copyright and may not be reproduced, in whole or in part, in any form or media, without the express, written permission of the author.

GM: The above appears to me to be "boiler-plate." Of course, U.S. statute law confers copyright status upon this letter. However, the so-called "free-use" provisions of U.S. copyright law govern. Under those provisions I believe that I may reproduce it along with my comments and critique as I am doing here.

Gailon Arthur Joy:

This law firm represents Mr. Danny Shelton and the Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc. ("3ABN"), which has informed us that you are involved in the use and exploitation of 3ABN's trademarked, copyrighted name in your website, "www.save3abn.com." Use of 3ABN's name without our client's license or other authorization to do so constitutes an illegal misappropriation of the same, in violation of state and federal copyright and trademark laws. Additionally, use of the phrase "3ABN" in your domain name is likely to cause confusion among the public as to the source, [Page 2]

sponsorship or affiliation of your website, resulting in dilution of 3ABN's trademark, which is a separate and additional violation of federal law.

GM: There are two issues of interest in the above. The first is that this attorney is representing both Danny Shelton and 3-ABN. In so far as Danny Shelton is the President of 3-ABN, there is nothing ethically wrong with this dual representation. Danny has in private communications made statements to the effect that any legal expenses would be picked up by another person with so-called deep pockets [my words]. Again, that is neither illegal, nor unethical. If that person were to have paid for Danny's private legal expenses, those payments might be taxable income to Danny. However, my belief is that such is not currently the case. As President, 3-ABN has the obligation to defend Danny in any action that involves his duties as President.

GM: The second issues relates to the fact that 3ABN is a registered trademark, and has been copyrighted. The comment that such prevents Mr. Joy from using "3abn" in his domain name is subject to challenge. The comment may be correct. If so the court will enjoin future use. However, only litigation will settle that issue. The decision may not be that clear-cut. I will remind everyone that "SDA Kinship" has been allowed by the courts to keep "SDA" in its name contrary to the wish of the General Conference. In any case, if this issue is litigated, I suspect that other "Friend of the Court" briefs will be filed, if allowed.

It has also come to our attention that you have inappropriately copied and posted a lengthy excerpt from a 3ABN broadcast on the website referred to above. This action constitutes a violation of 3ABN's exclusive copyright on all audio and video productions created by the Network and on all television transmissions of the same, and is a blatant violation of federal law. Moreover, the presence of this excerpted broadcast serves to heighten the likelihood that the public will be confused into believing that your website is somehow sponsored by or affiliated with 3ABN, which only furthers 3ABN's claim of trademark dilution.

GM: U. S. copyright law governs here. This is not as clear-cut as it may seem. Under "fair use" one is generally allowed to copy a copyright product for the purpose of commenting and critiquing it. Should the court decide that was the intent, it just might be that 3-ABN would not be able to enjoin such use. It is the same principle that allows me to place "3-ABN" and "3ABN" in my posts.

Finally, we have been informed that you have maliciously published outrageous, highly damaging

and defamatory statements about both Danny Shelton and 3ABN on this same website. These despicable statements include, but are not limited to:

- 3ABN, through its board chairman has "admitted in writing that Danny [Shelton] had been involved in a cover up" of criminal conduct
- Danny Shelton knowingly lied regarding a feud between Tommy Shelton and Glenn Dryden
- 3ABN directed an attorney to use "intimidation tactics to cover up allegations of child molestation"
- Danny Shelton attempted to cover up and silence child molestation allegations
- Danny Shelton "abuses his power" and "steam roll[s] over alleged victims of his brother Tommy's sexual misconduct."

(hereinafter the "Statements").

The obvious meaning of these horrendous falsehoods is that Danny Shelton and 3ABN participated in an orchestrated effort to cover up alleged criminal conduct. The actions you falsely attribute to our clients would be a crime and, as I am sure you are aware, false accusations of criminal conduct constitute defamation per se by you.

GM: Here we have an interesting issue. Should 3-ABN (or other individuals) file on the above grounds, the defense will attempt to prove that the claims are true. It should be noted that truth is not an absolute defense against such claims. The potential exists for the court to find the charges true, and still find in favor of the plaintiffs. However, that can only be determined by litigation. The question now becomes: Does any plaintiff want to be subject to the discovery that would take place in such litigation. And, if proven true, that might bar recovery of damages. The results of such litigation are simply not predictable.

Therefore, on behalf of Three Angels Broadcasting Network and Mr. Danny Shelton, we hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the use of the domain name "save3ABN.com" and that you voluntarily surrender and transfer registration of the domain to 3ABN. You are also informed and hereby notified that you are not authorized to use and are, in fact, expressly prohibited from using, Three Angel [sic.] Broadcasting Network's name, or its trademarked nominer "3ABN" in any future website domain name or URL.

GM: We now enter a field of law that is developing in regard to domain names. I believe that case law might be cited on both sides of this question. It may be that the plaintiff will be willing to litigate in the hope of further establishing a body of case law? Again, the result of litigation uncertain.

We hereby also demand that you immediately remove, from any and all websites to which you have author access, including "www.save3abn.com," any recorded excerpts of any 3ABN broadcasts.

[Page 3]

We also hereby demand that you immediately cease and desist from the publication of false statements concerning Danny Shelton and 3ABN and that you immediately remove such statements from the "www.save3abn.com" website. In the event that you receive any inquiries from any person or entity about the Statements, we demand you notify the inquiring party that you have been put on notice by Danny Shelton and 3ABN that the statements are false and defamatory.

GM: O. K. Let it be known that Danny Shelton and 3-ABN deny the charges.

While 3ABN is in no manner whatsoever beholden to explain itself to you, we proudly withstand the ongoing judgment of God and the continuing examination by our supporters and viewers. As evidenced both by the continued paths of ministry that God has opened at our feet and by the unwavering financial support and testimonials we receive from our supporters and viewers, we believe our ministry has more than satisfied the surveillance of both. Though it is a private enterprise, 3ABN has, for over 22 years, proudly engaged itself as a thoroughly transparent ministry, both in its message and administration. 3ABN has always invited the theological, operational, and financial scrutiny of its loyal and generous supporters and viewers. Unless

constrained by legal obligations otherwise, 3ABN has provided financial and administrative information to inquiring parties and has opened its doors and offered access to independent auditors and investigative reporters. More than ever, we stand behind the legal propriety, moral correctness, intellectual honesty, financial soundness, and operational appropriateness of each and every business decision 3ABN has ever made.

GM: The references to God hardly belong in a court of law. I would like to know more about the "independent auditors" and the extent to which 3-ABN has opened its books to inquiring parties.

As part of its commitment to passionately and effectively spread the Gospel and Good News of the Lord, 3ABN has always welcomed honest feedback, constructive criticism, and suggestions for improvement of the ministry. But its Christian commitment to the Truth will not allow 3ABN to tolerate factually unfounded allegations of impropriety, baseless and prurient gossip, inflammatory innuendo, and unjustified attacks on the organization. 3ABN does not approach such assaults as an objective effort to unmask "truth," but as the divisive and destructive work of the Enemy designed to hinder and frustrate God's work. 3ABN will not quietly resign itself to any effort to obstruct God's message.

Your reckless, willful and malicious activity must cease immediately; you proceed at your own peril. Moreover, your compliance with the demands contained in this letter does not constitute a waiver, relinquishment or abandonment of any right to remedy or enforcement, either legal or equitable, to which our clients are otherwise entitled.

GM: Here is a pretty clear statement that Mr. Joy potentially could comply with all requests and after he did so, find himself as a defendant in a lawsuit for his past behavior.

Yours very truly,

GM: More boiler plate. To what extent are we really to believe that Mr. Duffy is truly his?

Gerald S. Duffy

This is clearly a case for the Court to decide.

No, I have great respect for the law. Under the law, materials that are copyrighted do have certain allowable "free use." I believe that I have complied with those provisions.

Well Mr. Matthews, now that you stated that you are not a "Lawyer" you might think about getting one. It seems that the 3-some of Joy, Matthews, and Pickle were warned again and again of the liability they were taking on for the slanderous statements you guys were making and promoting. I also think personally that BSDA shares in that same responsibility as well.

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 10:01 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 10:00 AM)

Well Mr. Matthews, now that you stated that you are not a "Lawyer" you might think about getting one. It seems that the 3-some of Joy, Matthews, and Pickle were warned again and again of the liability they were taking on for the slanderous statements you guys were making and promoting. **I also think personally that BSDA shares in that same responsibility as well.**

you get paid for those thoughts?

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 10:08 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 09:00 AM)

Well Mr. Matthews, now that you stated that you are not a "Lawyer" you might think about getting one. It seems that the 3-some of Joy, Matthews, and Pickle were warned again and again of the liability they were taking on for the slanderous statements you guys were making and promoting. I also think personally that BSDA shares in that same responsibility as well.

Lawyers are good people.

I have stated a number of times that I believe this will end in litigation, and that such will be the only appropriate way to resolve some of the issues.

Posted by: västergötland Feb 2 2007, 10:09 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 04:33 PM)

The sky is falling! The sky is falling! Help us, help us!

And the sky fell. And much that was wrong was destroyed and with it some that was right. And out of the rubble rose a cleaner world. And a bluer sky. And the sun shone on both good and evil. And earth, quite unconcerned with the events, compleated another journey around the sun.

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 10:09 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 10:01 AM)

you get paid for those thoughts?

No, they're for free.

The responsibility will run deep in this matter, administrator Clay

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 10:15 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 10:09 AM)

No, they're for free.

The responsibility will run deep in this matter, administrator Clay

another one of your thoughts? People are still free to more or less say what they want... even in the sda church... even though there are some people who would like to prevent such from occurring... what camp are you in Task Force? Free speech or thought police? From the tone of your comment I

suspect you are more comfortable with the Thought Police....

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 10:19 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:00 AM)

I also think personally that BSDA shares in that same responsibility as well.

Whatever... you forget a little thing called the First Amendment... which gives you the right to sound like the village idiot... and me the right to say you sound like the village idiot.

Where it gets dicey is when you and those of your ilk decide to do your shouting "FIRE" in a crowded theater act... and even that is a failure on your part seeing that it has not had nearly the effect you anticipated.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 10:34 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 10:15 AM)

another one of your thoughts? People are still free to more or less say what they want... even in the sda church... even though there are some people who would like to prevent such from occurring... what camp are you in Task Force? Free speech or thought police? From the tone of your comment I suspect you are more comfortable with the Thought Police....

Oh, I'm for free speech ... until it hurts others. I saw an example on TV one time that had a woman who was shouting "i have the right to have as many children as I want!!" Her adversary said, "yes you do, but DON'T expect me to pay for them" She was on welfare and each additional child meant she got a bigger check. The man had an interesting story. He said, " you also have the right to swing your fist in the air as long and as hard as you want to.... that is until it contacts my nose... then your rights cease!

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 10:19 AM)

Whatever... you forget a little thing called the First Amendment... which gives you the right to sound like the village idiot... and me the right to say you sound like the village idiot.

Where it gets dicey is when you and those of your ilk decide to do your shouting "FIRE" in a crowded theater act... and even that is a failure on your part seeing that it has not had nearly the effect you anticipated.

In His service,
Mr. J

You have a right to express what you want... and you have done so... but it comes at a price!

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 10:40 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:34 AM)

Oh, I'm for free speech ... until it hurts others. I saw an example on TV one time that had a woman who was shouting "i have the right to have as many children as I want!!" Her adversary said, "yes you do, but DON'T expect me to pay for them" She was on welfare and each additional child meant she got a bigger check. The man had an interesting story. He said, " you also have the right to swing your fist in the air as long and as hard as you want to.... that is until it contacts my nose... then your rights cease!

You have a right to express what you want... and you have done so... but it comes at a price!

That price being my having to constantly tilt at the windmills of ignorance...

Sancho, my lance, por favor...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 10:58 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 10:34 AM)

Oh, I'm for free speech ... until it hurts others. I saw an example on TV one time that had a woman who was shouting "i have the right to have as many children as I want!!" Her adversary said, "yes you do, but DON'T expect me to pay for them" She was on welfare and each additional child meant she got a bigger check. The man had an interesting story. He said, " you also have the right to swing your fist in the air as long and as hard as you want to.... that is until it contacts my nose... then your rights cease!

You have a right to express what you want... and you have done so... but it comes at a price!

until it hurts others? The truth hurts.... doesn't it...

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 11:22 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 06:48 AM)

But what else could you all expect after pushing all this slander, libel, gossip and unproven accusations, without handling it biblically, or even seeking to establish anything legally.

Oh...you mean like the stuff you, Bystander (who still won't answer my questions), wwjd, dewayneholden, eyewitness and others have been posting about myself and other victims? That kind

of slander, libel, gossip and unproven allegations?

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 06:48 AM)

One can't reason with the unreasonable.

Then again, you have a point here. You totally reject SIGNED testimonies of victims and side with people here who aren't even adult enough to sign their names to what they say.

Why do I even try to talk to you?

Duane Clem

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 11:39 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 10:22 AM)

Oh...you mean like the stuff you, Bystander (who still won't answer my questions), wwjd, dewayneholden, eyewitness and others have been posting about myself and other victims? That kind of slander, libel, gossip and unproven allegations?
Then again, you have a point here. **You totally reject SIGNED testimonies of victims and side with people here who aren't even adult enough to sign their names to what they say.** Why do I even try to talk to you?

Duane Clem

Duane,

Cindy said it all with her remark "One can't reason with the unreasonable".

I have tried to understand her thinking, I have asked her for clarification and have asked her questions of my own but I have finally had to admit that she and I interpret things differently.

PB

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 11:52 AM

Here's a thought...

Could it be that Danny just wants save3abn.com because it gets more hits now than 3abn.org?

Just wondering....

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:10 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 06:34 AM)

Here are is my response to the letter posted above. NOTE: I will place a "GM:" at the beginning of my comments.

NOTE: I am not a licensed attorney. I am not an expert in legal matters. My comments are solely my personal opinion, and are not intended to provide legal counsel. All who may need legal advice should seek out a licensed attorney who is qualified to give legal advice in the area that it is desired.

Law Offices

SIEGEL, BRILL, GREUPNER, DUFFY & FOSTER, P.A.
1300 WASHINGTON SQUARE
100 WASHINGTON AVENUE SOUTH
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55401

TELEPHONE (612) 337-6100
TELECOPIER (612) 337-8108

GM: The telephone numbers of licensed lawyers are publicly available. Therefore, there is no reason for me to delete these. Their publication here is not intended to suggest that anyone telephone this law firm to express an opinion on this case. For people to do so could be construed as a violation of the law, and result in criminal prosecution.

JOSIAH E BRILL, JR
JAMES R GREUPNER
GERALD S DUFFY
WOOD R FOSTER, JR
THOMAS H GOODMAN
JOHN S WATSON
WM CHRISTOPHER PENWELL
ANTHONY J GLEEKEL
SHERRI L ROHLF
JORDAN M LEWIS*
BRIAN E WEISBERG
STEVEN J WEINTRAUT

No, I have great respect for the law. Under the law, materials that are copyrighted do have certain allowable "free use." I believe that I have complied with those provisions.

You start out saying that you are not a lawyer, yet, you tempt fate by posting this letter when it specifically says that it is not to be published. It would seem you must put a lot of stock in your own opinion of what you "believe" you are allowed to do or not do, under the law.
Good Luck with that

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 2 2007, 01:18 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:10 PM) □

You start out saying that you are not a lawyer, yet, you tempt fate by posting this letter when it specifically says that it is not to be published. It would seem you must put a lot of stock in your own opinion of what you "believe" you are allowed to do or not do, under the law.
Good Luck with that

Mr. Bystander.

Do you understand what "boilerplate" language is?
Do you understand what "fair use" means?

And as for "good luck", with all the unkind things I have seen posted regarding Mr. Joy by you and others, he just got a major boost in credibility in my eyes. He has just posted an email he sent to Gerry Spence on the save3abn.com website.

We have seen the threatening letters sent by those representing 3ABN. If Mr. Joy is confident enough in his facts as to be willing to contact someone like Gerry Spence....."good luck" in your ongoing attempts to confuse the issues with your posts on this website.

nw

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:19 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 2 2007, 07:46 AM)

What is with you? As CSDA said, you couldn't even wait for Observer to finish his posting before you starting fuming with righteous indignation. I wish you would hurry up and finish grinding this axe you keep carrying around...it's making even me tired...
I was wondering the same thing, V...

I would assume, and I could be wrong, that a lawfirm would include whatever their client wanted to include. These comments about a "real law firm" are rather ridiculous when phone numbers and email addresses are given. Obviously anyone could check and see if they are "real." So lets not waste time on questioning something that elementary.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 2 2007, 01:22 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:10 PM)

...

Blah blah yada blah blah...

Nuggie uggie, wake me after you get up.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:28 PM

[

We have seen the threatening letters sent by those representing 3ABN. If Mr. Joy is confident enough in his facts as to be willing to contact someone like Gerry Spence....."good luck" in your ongoing attempts to confuse the issues with your posts on this website.

nw

[/quote]

Mr. Joy has invited criticism by the way that he has handled this whole situation. I might add for the hundreth time that he has no credentials to handle anything of this nature. So, when you say "he has gained credibility with you" that is saying that he had "some credibility" to begin with and he does not. He is not an a licensed "investigator", or an "official reporter", or a "licensed attorney." It would seem that he has no more business than you or I to do what he has been doing. Therefore, the fact than he had any credibility with the people on this forum has never ceased to amaze me. The same goes for Pickle

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 01:29 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:19 PM)

I would assume, and I could be wrong, that a lawfirm would include whatever their client wanted to include. These comments about a "real law firm" are rather ridiculous when phone numbers and email addresses are given. Obviously anyone could check and see if they are "real." So lets not waste time on questioning something that elementary.

Speaking of questioning, why won't you answer my questions?

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:31 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 10:22 AM)

Oh...you mean like the stuff you, Bystander (who still won't answer my questions), wwjd, dewayneholden, eyewitness and others have been posting about myself and other victims? That kind of slander, libel, gossip and unproven allegations?
Then again, you have a point here. You totally reject SIGNED testimonies of victims and side with people here who aren't even adult enough to sign their names to what they say.

Why do I even try to talk to you?

Duane Clem

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names" also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:31 PM)

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names" also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.

that was really a stupid remark... given you have never hinted at your real name....so you include

yourself in those who are not adult enough? tiresome.....

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:34 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 07:39 AM) □

I don't believe it a coincidence that this lawyer is from Minneapolis... or that ASI's pulling out of the dialog on this situation... is one either.

I would wager that one Garwin McNeilus is entering the fray... and knowing this cease and desist communique was coming down, ASI was merely getting out of his way...

I could be mistaken... but I dont think so.

In His service,
Mr. J

Seeing what is taking place here, it is probably wise Mr. J that you ended with "I could be mistaken."
Good thinking

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 01:37 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:31 PM) □

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names" also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.

I have signed mine repeatedly. You still won't sign your name or answer my questions. Really brave, Danny.

Posted by: erik Feb 2 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:34 AM) □

Seeing what is taking place here, it is probably wise Mr. J that you ended with "I could be mistaken." Good thinking

bystander,

just how is this going to fix anything by sueing, your group does not not need to sue only put the facts out and let them be decide.

inless you are gambling that you can scare the problem away.

by the way you can name me in suit if you want i could care less the truth is the truth, at least untill you get in to court once what the judge believes is the truth just remember that after you lose.

erik

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 2 2007, 01:45 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:31 PM)

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names" also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.

Are you really this dense, or do you just play dense on this forum? Inquiring minds want to know...really...

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 2 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:28 PM)

[

Mr. Joy has invited criticism by the way that he has handled this whole situation. I might add for the hundreth time that he has no credentials to handle anything of this nature. So, when you say "he has gained credibility with you" that is saying that he had "some credibility" to begin with and he does not. He is not an a licensed "investigator", or an "official reporter", or a "licensed attorney." It would seem that he has no more business than you or I to do what he has been doing. Therefore, the fact than he had any credibility with the people on this forum has never ceased to amaze me. The same goes for Pickle

Mr. Bystander. I have read a large percentage of the 3abn posts since joining BlackSDA including the posts by those who have expressed opinions about Mr. Joy's past legal difficulties and what his crime was or was not. I am not impressed by your statement above that Mr. Joy is not a licensed investigator or official reporter. Who cares? I had made the assumption that Mr. Joy was familiar with the law more than the average person. Can you or someone enlighten me as to what his professional and educational experience is/was without commenting on it?

Can you point me to facts (other than the embezzlement issue that has been rehashed over and over) and not just your opinion as to his lack of credentials as to why I should not find him credible. Again, I want verifiable facts and not your opinions.

nw

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 2 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 02:37 PM)

I have signed mine repeatedly. You still won't sign your name or answer my questions. Really brave, Danny.

You, and awesumt are what I call real man bro Clem. Real men speak their peace, stand by what they say, and are not ashamed to sign their name to it.

Peace

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 02:10 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 2 2007, 01:46 PM)

You, and awesumt are what I call real man bro Clem. Real men speak their peace, stand by what they say, and are not ashamed to sign their name to it.

Peace

Sera, could I ask you so humbly if I could be include in your list too?

Calvin Eakins

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 02:13 PM

I hvae been interested to watch this topic develop. I began this thread with a post of a message recieved by Mr. Joy. In general, the majority of the comments have ammounted to threats toward me for posting it, and other stuff. In my posting, I made comments in regard to the issues as stated in that message to Mr. Joy. My comments may be challenged. They may (?) be wrong. But, generally people have not posted to challenge me. I am comming to the conclusion that people must agree with what I said.

Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 04:15 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:31 PM)

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names" also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.

Why don't you show them, then, by signing with yours? Be a good example! I have always signed with my real name.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:21 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 2 2007, 03:15 PM)

Why don't you show them, then, by signing with yours? Be a good example! I have always signed with my real name.

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Feb 2 2007, 04:21 PM

And I have always used my real name in every forum - BSDAOL, MSDAOL, C/A, etc.

And, Observer, how can you be challenged, if there isn't any real meat upon which to challenge you?

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 2 2007, 04:29 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 2 2007, 02:46 PM)

You, and awesumt are what I call real man bro Clem. Real men speak their peace, stand by what they say, and are not ashamed to sign their name to it.

Peace

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 03:10 PM)

Sera, could I ask you so humbly if I could be include in your list too?

Calvin Eakins

By all means Calvin, I apologize for missing you, Johann, beartrap, and bro. lary. Peace be unto you all and may God bless your households, in the name of Jesus. Amen

Posted by: Chez Feb 2 2007, 04:30 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:28 PM)

[

We have seen the threatening letters sent by those representing 3ABN. If Mr. Joy is confident

enough in his facts as to be willing to contact someone like Gerry Spence....."good luck" in your ongoing attempts to confuse the issues with your posts on this website.


nw

Mr. Joy has invited criticism by the way that he has handled this whole situation. I might add for the hundreth time that he has no credentials to handle anything of this nature. So, when you say "he has gained credibility with you" that is saying that he had "some credibility" to begin with and he does not. He is not an a licensed "investigator", or an "official reporter", or a "licensed attorney." It would seem that he has no more business than you or I to do what he has been doing. Therefore, the fact than he had any credibility with the people on this forum has never ceased to amaze me. The same goes for Pickle

The last time I checked Gerry Spence is a lawyer who doesn't play. He doesn't take cases that he is not sure that he would win. Gailon may not have a law firm, but he is a man who knows where to go to get credible and expert legal advice. Hmmm.. .That sounds like he is quite resourceful.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 04:35 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:21 PM)

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs. 

sheesh! That's the kind of comeback you'd hear on a school playground.

NO fair! Why should I if they don't have to?

Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 04:45 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 04:39 PM)

I don't believe it a coincidence that this lawyer is from Minneapolis... or that ASI's pulling out of the dialog on this situation... is one either.

I would wager that one Garwin McNeilus is entering the fray... and knowing this cease and desist communique was coming down, ASI was merely getting out of his way...

I could be mistaken... but I dont think so.

In His service,
Mr. J

It is now quite a while back that Danny Shelton wrote me and told me about this good friend of his who would help him out. It was quite interesting that Danny informed me that his friend used 14 lawyers to convince people he was telling the truth. You will notice there are 14 lawyers listed on the

letterhead posted here!

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 2 2007, 04:48 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 05:35 PM)

Sheesh! That's the kind of comeback you'd hear on a school playground.

NO fair! Why should I if they don't have to?

ex3:

We already know juvenile behavior is not beneath this group...

As noted previously, we know who the men are here.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:49 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 03:35 PM)

Sheesh! That's the kind of comeback you'd hear on a school playground.

NO fair! Why should I if they don't have to?

And a little child shall lead them.....

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 04:49 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:21 PM)

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs.

another less than intelligent remark.... Clay is my name...

Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 04:50 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 10:10 PM)

Sera, could I ask you so humbly if I could be include in your list too?

Calvin Eakins

'd have no qualms about adding yours too, Calvin!

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:54 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 03:49 PM)

another less than intelligent remark.... Clay is my name....

Oh my mistake. I just had read several posts where others called you STEVE

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 2 2007, 03:45 PM)

It is now quite a while back that Danny Shelton wrote me and told me about this good friend of his who would help him out. It was quite interesting that Danny informed me that his friend used 14 lawyers to convince people he was telling the truth. You will notice there are 14 lawyers listed on the letterhead posted here!

nmmmmmm. That is very interesting....now what is your point....

Well, gang, as fun as this has been my stomach reminds me that I skipped Lunch and supper so...until next time....may the Lord bless you abundantly more than you could ever ask or think.....

rofl rofl rofl rofl rofl

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 04:57 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:54 PM)

Oh my mistake. I just had read several posts where others called you STEVE
nmmmmmm. That is very interesting....now what is your point....

When try connecting the dots, I am sure you can, you have a few brain cells.... don't you have something to do at 3abn... I am sure they need you for something..... go stand there for a while...

Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 04:57 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 12:54 AM)

Oh my mistake. I just had read several posts where others called you STEVE
mmmmmm. That is very interesting....now what is your point...

Ask Danny what was his point in telling me this - then we might know!

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 05:11 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 03:57 PM)

then try connecting the dots, I am sure you can, you have a few brain cells.... don't you have
something to do at 3abn... I am sure they need you for something..... go stand there for a while...

Only a few brain cells are needed to connect the dots here

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 05:14 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 05:11 PM)

Only a few brain cells are needed to connect the dots here

minutiae.... peddling in it you are..... and if it only takes a few, then I have overestimated your ability
or you have yet to connect them... run on back to 3abn now.... I am sure your commander in chief
needs his ego stroked...

Posted by: västergötland Feb 2 2007, 05:16 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 12:11 AM)

Only a few brain cells are needed to connect the dots here

think I heard somewhere that what you get out is related to your input. Is that true?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 05:30 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 01:10 PM)

Sera, could I ask you so humbly if I could be include in your list too?

Calvin Eakins

Calvin, **you** are already at the top of mine!


’B

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 05:41 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 03:54 PM)

Oh my mistake. I just had read several posts where others called you STEVE
mmmmmm. That is very interesting....now what is your point....

Well, gang, as fun as this has been my stomach reminds me that I skipped Lunch and supper
so...until next time....may the Lord bless you abundantly more than you could ever ask or
think.....



Well dear, go fill up that tummy. The mind is always more clear when it is well nourished.

3TW, no matter the saber rattling, I will always consider you my brother in Christ. I continue to pray
or you, your family and for the ministry God helped you build. As Herb Douglass said to you not too
ong ago, Truth Matters. It really does.

’B - for now

Posted by: jene Feb 2 2007, 07:20 PM


QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:31 PM)

Duane, it would seem that you "side with people that aren't even adult enough to sign their names"
also since the majority on this forum do not sign their real names.




QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 05:21 PM)


Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey,
peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs.


I am confused. Why are you throwing out an accusation to someone else when you are doing the
same thing?

Oh yeah, before you answer I have an  off request. I have misplaced my dictionary. Please pull yours out and tell me the definition of the word hypocrite.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 08:23 PM




Move over, Nuggie!  snz Have some popcorn, Gurl  p How about some pepsi9cafferin free, of course)?  pe Only thing to do when you get tired is sit down! LOL!!



QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 2 2007, 11:45 AM) 

Are you really this dense, or do you just play dense on this forum? Inquiring minds want to know...really... 

Posted by: PaperTigers Feb 2 2007, 08:29 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 2 2007, 10:23 PM) 


Move over, Nuggie!  snz Have some popcorn, Gurl  p How about some pepsi9cafferin free, of course)?  pe Only thing to do when you get tired is sit down! LOL!!

I personally like curling up  sofa1.gif with a  c !!

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 08:36 PM

Bystander just ask Pastor Lomacang, he knows my name. But I have no secrets here. My name is Diane, I think most folks figured that out, but ok, I was assuming. So Go for it! post away! I don't know why are you hiding anyway. Don't be skurred!

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:21 PM) 

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme, clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs. 

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 09:14 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 04:41 PM)

Well dear, go fill up that tummy. The mind is always more clear when it is well nourished.

BTW, no matter the saber rattling, I will always consider you my brother in Christ. I continue to pray for you, your family and for the ministry God helped you build. As Herb Douglass said to you not too long ago, Truth Matters. It really does.

PB - for now

You are confused. I do not have a clue who Herb Douglass is, much less, have had a conversation with him.

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 09:16 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:14 PM)

You are confused. I do not have a clue who Herb Douglass is, much less, have had a conversation with him.


what? you on a break from 3abn? arent there some tapes to doctor errr ummm edit, or something?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 09:24 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 03:54 PM)

Oh my mistake. I just had read several posts where others called you STEVE mmmmmmm. That is very interesting....now what is your point....

Well, gang, as fun as this has been my stomach reminds me that I skipped Lunch and supper so...until next time....**may the Lord bless you abundantly more than you could ever ask or think.....**



QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:14 PM)

You are confused. I do not have a clue who Herb Douglass is, much less, have had a conversation with him.

Just carrying your little "taunt" to its logical conclusion. You don't seem to be the original Bystander so I'm also quite certain that you are telling the truth when you say you aren't Danny. However, if you are so close to the 3abn situation that you have first hand knowledge of the goings on, you certainly would know who Herb Douglass is, it would seem.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 09:24 PM

Happy Sabbath Bystander! if you are still here, join us for SS lesson study in Live Chat. We can Turn aside for the Sabbath, right?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 07:14 PM)

You are confused. I do not have a clue who Herb Douglass is, much less, have had a conversation with him.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 2 2007, 09:27 PM

And so attacking of the messengers continues. The 3abn camp cannot debate the issues without admitting guilt so they go after those digging for truth. If they are so right let them debate the issues. Ha, like that will happen.

If Tommy and Danny are truly men of God, let them come on here and lay it all on the line, with their own names. Let Tommy talk man to man with Duane on these boards. But no they would rather let their hired hands, or maybe a rich donors hired hand, go after those digging up the facts. How pathetic can you get? Men of God indeed, taking others to court. As they say money talks, and those with the money think having it proves they are right. Hog wash. It only proves they might have been good businessmen (and women).

If Danny really wants to cool down the talk all he has to do is be honest. Simple as that. Lay down the cards, face up, suffer the end results and pretty soon the BSDA 3ABN board will be but a memory. (As might very well be Danny, family and friends)

Basking in forgiven love,

Richard

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 09:39 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 2 2007, 08:27 PM)

If Tommy and Danny are truly men of God, let them come on here and lay it all on the line, with their own names. Let Tommy talk man to man with Duane on these boards. But no they would rather let their hired hands, or maybe a rich donors hired hand, go after those digging up the facts. How pathetic can you get? Men of God indeed, taking others to court. As they say money talks, and those with the money think having it proves they are right. Hog wash. It only proves they might have been good businessmen (and women).

Basking in forgiven love,

Richard

Richard, you might be confused at your location. You say let them come here and lay it all on the line. Now why on earth would they need to come here for anything? This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented. This is just one little chat rooms out of thousands on the web. Danny, Tommy or even Linda for that matter do not owe you or anyone on this public forum any kind of explanations. It never ceases to amaze me when someone on here says if they don't have anything to hide, let them come here and refute these accusation....That is laughable. If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, they will do it somewhere where it counts...and that won't be here.....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 09:44 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:39 PM)

Richard, you might be confused at your location. You say let them come here and lay it all on the line. Now why on earth would they need to come here for anything? This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented. This is just one little chat rooms out of thousands on the web. Danny, Tommy or even Linda for that matter do not owe you or anyone on this public forum any kind of explanations. It never ceases to amaze me when someone on here says if they don't have anything to hide, let them come here and refute these accusation....That is laughable. If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, **they will do it somewhere where it counts...and that won't be here.....**

If this forum doesn't count, why come here at all? Aren't you just wasting your time if that's the case?

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 09:45 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:39 PM)

Richard, you might be confused at your location. You say let them come here and lay it all on the line. Now why on earth would they need to come here for anything? This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented. This is just one little chat rooms out of thousands on the web. Danny, Tommy or even Linda for that matter do not owe you or anyone on this public forum any kind of explanations. It never ceases to amaze me when someone on here says if they don't have anything to hide, let them come here and refute these accusation....**That is laughable. If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, they will do it somewhere where it counts...and that won't be here.....**

Good... go and do likewise.....

Posted by: andithomas1 Feb 2 2007, 09:49 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:39 PM)

Richard, you might be confused at your location. You say let them come here and lay it all on the line. Now why on earth would they need to come here for anything? This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented. This is just one little chat rooms out of thousands on the web. Danny, Tommy or even Linda for that matter do not owe you or anyone on this public forum any kind of explanations. It never ceases to amaze me when someone on here says if they don't have anything to hide, let them come here and refute these accusation....That is laughable. If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, they will do it somewhere where it counts...and that won't be here.....

f the Sheltons don't owe anyone on this board an explanation-Why are you here? If they will refute or ell their side in a more important forum-Why do you come here and viciously argue with the members of this board? Obviously, someone is concerned about what is being said on this board or you wouldn't be here.

Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 09:51 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:14 PM) □

You are confused. I do not have a clue who Herb Douglass is, much less, have had a conversation with him.

erry interesting ... For someone so knowledgeable about a very conservative Adventist ministry," this "Bystander" is not very familiar with conservative Adventism. Does that mean that Bystander is not an Adventist? Does that mean that Bystander has not been an Adventist for long? Does that mean that Bystander is really not that seriously interested in what interests the viewers of the network? Does that mean that this Bystander is a much more "liberal" Adventist?

At any rate, I must say that *this* version of Bystander is more educated than a former version and does not seem to know the same kinds of things the former version knew. While the last version was likely resident at 3ABN, this one might not be, even while very knowledgeable about 3ABN ... perhaps from having written a book about 3ABN?

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 2 2007, 09:53 PM

Ok anywhere is fine. Goodgrief I don't care where just as long as they do it where there will be transparency. Let them all tell the truth and get it over and behind us. However these boards seem to be on the cutting edge of the 3abn issue at the present time.

Richard

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:39 PM) □

Richard, you might be confused at your location. You say let them come here and lay it all on the line. Now why on earth would they need to come here for anything? This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented. This is just one little chat rooms out of thousands

on the web. Danny, Tommy or even Linda for that matter do not owe you or anyone on this public forum any kind of explanations. It never ceases to amaze me when someone on here says if they don't have anything to hide, let them come here and refute these accusation....That is laughable. If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, they will do it somewhere where it counts...and that won't be here.....

Posted by: Ralph Feb 2 2007, 10:00 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:39 PM)

This is not a court of law, nor is it a judicial hearing with both sides represented.

How about public opinion? If Danny was not concerned, why would he mention Internet lists to the world? If I had no questions before, I would sure go looking after he complained about the "lies" that were written. It is my guess that I am not the only curious soul.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 10:16 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 2 2007, 09:00 PM)

How about public opinion? If Danny was not concerned, why would he mention Internet lists to the world? If I had no questions before, I would sure go looking after he complained about the "lies" that were written. It is my guess that I am not the only curious soul.

I agree that you are not the only curious soul, however, many do not have access to the internet, or if they do, they do not believe what they read just because it is printed there. Of course he would be concerned about any untruths being put on the net but I, specifically, was talking only about this little forum and how some seem to think that Danny and 3abn should come here to "clear" themselves. That thinking is very unrealistic.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 10:21 PM

Do you know that several posts back I said Happy Sabbath and invited you to the SS lesson Study, and you completely ignored me? You cant be kind for moment on the Sabbath? No matter what is going on here, we all take time to give each other a Sabbath Greeting. You might be missing the point, it is why we feel like family here. Get with the program, you are a part of the BSDA family, like it or not! LOL!!

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:16 PM)

I agree that you are not the only curious soul, however, many do not have access to the internet, or if they do, they do not believe what they read just because it is printed there. Of course he would be concerned about any untruths being put on the net but I, specifically, was talking only about this little forum and how some seem to think that Danny and 3abn should come here to "clear" themselves. That thinking is very unrealistic.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 2 2007, 10:22 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:16 PM)

I agree that you are not the only curious soul, however, many do not have access to the internet, or if they do, they do not believe what they read just because it is printed there. Of course he would be concerned about any untruths being put on the net **but I, specifically, was talking only about this little forum and how some seem to think that Danny and 3abn should come here to "clear" themselves. That thinking is very unrealistic.**

Yes it would be unrealistic, because they know (you know) it won't work. They can not clear themselves any longer in the court of public opinion. It's too late, gone too far, too much out. So that is very unrealistic. (Even though they have tried).

Posted by: Ralph Feb 2 2007, 10:36 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:16 PM)

. . . some seem to think that Danny and 3abn should come here to "clear" themselves. That thinking is very unrealistic.

Didn't he accept an interview by Club Adventist on November 26th, 2004?

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 10:37 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:16 PM)

I agree that you are not the only curious soul, however, many do not have access to the internet, or if they do, they do not believe what they read just because it is printed there. Of course he would be concerned about any untruths being put on the net but I, specifically, was talking only about this little forum and how some seem to think that Danny and 3abn should come here to "clear" themselves. That thinking is very unrealistic.

Bad ideal for Linda, Tommy or Danny to come here. They would have nothing to gain. Would what they have to say really change anybodies mind? I don't think so. Just add more drama. It would still be more he/she said. We already have that.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 11:15 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 09:37 PM) □

Bad ideal for Linda, Tommy or Danny to come here. They would have nothing to gain. Would what they have to say really change anybodies mind? I don't think so. Just add more drama. It would still be more he/she said. We already have that.

Calvin, for once we agree

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 09:22 PM) □

Yes it would be unrealistic, because they know (you know) it won't work. They can not clear themselves any longer in the court of public opinion. It's too late, gone too far, too much out. So that is very unrealistic. (Even though they have tried).

Sorry to have to tell you, but this forum is only a miniscule part of public opinion. Many have come to the aid of 3ABN after having read the horrible accusations on this forum.

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 2 2007, 09:21 PM) □

Do you know that several posts back I said Happy Sabbath adn invited you to the SS lesson Study, and you completely ignored me? You cant be kind for moment on the Sabbath? No matter what is going on here, we all take time to give each other a Sabbath Greeting. You might be missing the point, it is why we feel like family here. Get with the program, you are a part of the BSDA family, like it or not! LOL!!

I apologize princess Di, I was going to answer you but got sidetracked. happy sabbath to you also

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 11:30 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 07:39 AM) □

I don't believe it a coincidence that this lawyer is from Minneapolis... or that ASI's pulling out of the dialog on this situation... is one either.

I would wager that one Garwin McNeilus is entering the fray... and knowing this cease and desist communique was coming down, ASI was merely getting out of his way...

I could be mistaken... but I dont think so.

In His service,
Mr. J

If you are correct that Garwin M is "entering the fray" 3abn is fortunate indeed. There is a man who knows about big business..ie..how things should be done legally and in an appropriate fashion. He knows financial rules and regulations and he knows all about board & administrative leadership. He is also known for never involving himself in any organization unless they are squeaky clean and above board in all areas. The fact that he has supported 3abn gives me a lot of confidence about the way things are done there.

As far as the statement that ASI was just trying to get out of his way, I doubt if that would have been

necessary.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 3 2007, 04:51 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 09:22 PM) □

Yes it would be unrealistic, because they know (you know) it won't work. **They can not clear themselves any longer in the court of public opinion. It's too late, gone too far, too much out.** So that is very unrealistic. (Even though they have tried).

I agree. Whether or not some issues go as far as the courts, **The secret's out.**

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 3 2007, 05:52 AM

Sorry to have to tell you, but this forum is only a miniscule part of public opinion. Many have come to the aid of 3ABN after having read the horrible accusations on this forum.

[color=#3366FF]If this is true why does Danny, Shelly, Mollie and John L. keep coming on the live programs defending all of this? They sure talk enough about what is being said here.....

Posted by: Pickle Feb 3 2007, 06:17 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:30 PM) □

The fact that he has supported 3abn gives me a lot of confidence about the way things are done there.

Why ignore the facts simply because someone who may not know what is going on is supportive of 3ABN?

By the way, I've had a lot of respect for Garwin over the years. His father Linden and I were friends.

One time when I preached in Dodge Center after Linden had his stroke, the fellow that was taking care of him told me that they couldn't keep Linden done. He just had to go out and witness. So he'd drive Linden somewhere, Linden would hold the literature in the car, and this fellow would take it to the door. Linden would say, "I love this message."

That would make a good sermon illustration, wouldn't it? A man who has been partly incapacitated by a stroke goes out there and does what little he can, and what are we doing?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 3 2007, 10:49 AM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 3 2007, 04:52 AM) □

If this is true why does Danny, Shelly, Mollie and John L. keep coming on the live programs

defending all of this? They sure talk enough about what is being said here.....

Jncle Sam, that is a good point.

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 3 2007, 12:24 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 08:44 AM)

Alethia:

1) My post could be construed as a warning to all that they could find themselves defending in a lawsuit against them. This would clearly be considered "fair use" of copyright material as my publication could potentially result in people complying with the demands made of Mr. 'Joy.

So you had to? I'm sorry I disagree, even a child can figure out you can warn people and say why without quoting a private letter...

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 08:44 AM)

2) I posted the message in my first post, without specific comment from me in an attempt to be fair to the writer. It was my intention to post my comments directly following the letter without comments, as that is generally considered fair and appropriate. You interjected your own comment between the two. But, I cannot be held accountable for what you did.

Again, I disagree, the fact that you think everytime you quote something you have the right to be the first to post and need to interpret it and explain it to everyone, seems to me to say you think you are somehow more intelligent or more qualified than others and they can't understand without your commentary. I don't happen to think your opinion has any more value or merit than any of the others here. In my view it's arrogance on your part, and insulting to the intelligence of all here, no matter what side of these issues they are on. The fact that you think people need to respect your right to speak first, and find fault with me for expressing my opinion before yours, just bolsters that impression..

You wrote in another post in this thread:

I have been interested to watch this topic develop. I began this thread with a post of a message received by Mr. Joy. In general, the majority of the comments have amounted to threats toward me or posting it, and other stuff. In my posting, I made comments in regard to the issues as stated in that message to Mr. Joy. My comments may be challenged. They may (?) be wrong. But, generally people have not posted to challenge me. I am coming to the conclusion that people must agree with what I said."

Have you seen no threats??? {quote one, if I have missed it} And perhaps you should consider that you are entitled to your opinion, and arguing with someones opinions is generally a waste of time. Opinions aren't facts, and whether someone does or does not argue with you about yours doesn't mean you are right or wrong.

Time, and events will tell...

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 08:44 AM)

3) Again, my post has been, I beleive, in accord with the law as it related to "fair use" of copyright materials. The attorney who penned the letter either knew, or should have known, that anyone could do what I did, under the law. The only way to prevent such would be to not send such a letter.

I have great respect for the law, and intend to comply with it.

I'll quote Bystander here, because he said it well:

"You start out saying that you are not a lawyer, yet, you tempt fate by posting this letter when it specifically says that it is not to be published. It would seem you must put a lot of stock in your own opinion of what you "believe" you are allowed to do or not do, under the law.
Good Luck with that"

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 3 2007, 12:57 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 12:22 PM)

Oh...you mean like the stuff you, Bystander (who still won't answer my questions), wwjd, dewayneholden, eyewitness and others have been posting about myself and other victims? That kind of slander, libel, gossip and unproven allegations?

Dude what have I posted about you other then the fact that as you were Not a minor, child molestation is not a factor where you are concerned. You will be 41, on the 20th of next month , right??

As far as anything else about you and your brother, or Tommy is concerned, I am still open minded, and haven't formed any absolute opinions one way or another.

I know... people keep posting about what I think, or what I have said, or who I'm protecting, or who I'm working for. but not a one has quoted me saying what they claim I have, because I myself haven't said anything like that! None of it's true, and that's not even what or how I think. To me this judging of motives and intents and putting words and thoughts and agendas on others without proof IS SIN.

QUOTE

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 06:48 AM:
"One can't reason with the unreasonable."

Then again, you have a point here. You totally reject SIGNED testimonies of victims and side with people here who aren't even adult enough to sign their names to what they say.

Why do I even try to talk to you?

Duane Clem

I was referring to Joy, Pickle and Mathews and their endless rhetoric, and inability to communicate, compromise and work with the other side to seek resolution, which is what I have gathered from what they have posted here. Even before ASI issued their statement, I saw that.

But in answer to your question, I don't know. But I would like to talk to you about several things, don't worry none have to do with your sexual orientation, but the problem is your signed testimony leaves out alot, and it is only one side of the story, and your one side has holes and gaps in it.

Yes, many questions remain. Are you willing to answer? If so, I'll start a new thread.

Posted by: calvin Feb 3 2007, 02:12 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 3 2007, 12:24 PM)

Again, I disagree, the fact that you think everytime you quote something you have the right to be the first to post and need to interpret it and explain it to everyone, seems to me to say you think you are somehow more intelligent or more qualified then others and they can't understand without your commentary. I don't happen to think your opinion has any more value or merit then any of the others here. In my view it's arrogance on your part, and insulting to the intelligence of all here, no matter what side of these issues they are on. The fact that you think people need to respect your right to speak first, and find fault with me for expressing my opinion before yours, just bolsters that impression..

I will agree that once you make a post it is fair game for anyone to followup with their post. Now for you Aletheia to infer all this other stuff about Observer is a stretch.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 3 2007, 02:13 PM

What a cheap shot.

QUOTE

But in answer to your question, I don't know. But I would like to talk to you about several things, don't worry none have to do with your sexual orientation, but the problem is your signed testimony leaves out alot, and it is only one side of the story, and your one side has holes and gaps in it.

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 3 2007, 02:22 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 3 2007, 03:13 PM)

What a cheap shot. :furious:

WHY is it a cheap shot, and why are you furious?

Posted by: calvin Feb 3 2007, 02:25 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 3 2007, 02:13 PM)

What a cheap shot.

'es it was a cheap shot. Now where did I put that guillotine smiley.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 3 2007, 02:28 PM

You are smart enough to figure it out...I'm assuming.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 3 2007, 03:22 PM)

WHY is it a cheap shot, and why are you furious?

Posted by: calvin Feb 3 2007, 02:39 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 3 2007, 02:22 PM)

WHY is it a cheap shot, and why are you furious?

This statement is classic Aletheia. You never did get it. Just could not leave the sexual orientation alone. Well maybe you will get it viewing BSDA from the outside with the rest of the BSDA outcast.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 3 2007, 02:41 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 3 2007, 12:57 PM)

I was referring to Joy, Pickle and Mathews and their endless rhetoric, and inability to communicate, compromise and work with the other side to seek resolution, which is what I have gathered from what they have posted here. Even before ASI issued their statement, I saw that.

But in answer to your question, I don't know. But I would like to talk to you about several things, don't worry none have to do with your sexual orientation, but the problem is your signed testimony leaves out alot, and it is only one side of the story, and your one side has holes and gaps in it.

yes, many questions remain. Are you willing to answer? If so, I'll start a new thread.

Do you want ALL the gory details about what happened? Yes, I left out a lot -- ON PURPOSE!!! This is not the place to be discussing EXACTLY what happened.

I owe you NO explanation whatsoever. You've already decided, apparently, that none of these allegations are true, which means you think I'm a liar.

I have never used the words "child molestation" in reference to myself. You're beating a dead horse. Why don't you wake up and read for a change, instead of trying to make things say what you want them to say.

I can see now why some victims of abuse wait years to come forward, and some never do. It's because of people like you.

Duane Clem

Posted by: calvin Feb 3 2007, 02:44 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 3 2007, 02:28 PM)

You are smart enough to figure it out...I'm assuming.

Your bet, I found it. Still the Sabbath here in the Midwest. Was not in the spirit for cutting off heads today. The result was the same.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 3 2007, 02:58 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 3 2007, 01:41 PM)

Do you want ALL the gory details about what happened? Yes, I left out a lot -- ON PURPOSE!!! This is not the place to be discussing EXACTLY what happened.

I owe you NO explanation whatsoever. You've already decided, apparently, that none of these allegations are true, which means you think I'm a liar.

I have never used the words "child molestation" in reference to myself. You're beating a dead horse. Why don't you wake up and read for a change, instead of trying to make things say what you want them to say.

I can see now why some victims of abuse wait years to come forward, and some never do. It's because of people like you.

Duane Clem

You are right on with this statement. But, it is such a shame that the truly ignorant should hold so much power!

PB

Posted by: watchbird Feb 3 2007, 03:32 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 3 2007, 03:44 PM)

Your bet, I found it. Still the Sabbath here in the Midwest. Was not in the spirit for cutting off heads today. The result was the same.

Thank you, Calvin.

Posted by: Lee Feb 3 2007, 03:33 PM

Has it ocured to any of you that Aletheia was serious when she asked "what cheap shots??"

Just WHO was she taking cheap shots at? Just because she disagrees with someone doesn't mean she was taking "cheap shots" at them. Honestly--you people need to stop and smell the roses--all this is affecting your thinking--you are becoming rather unbalanced!!!

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 3 2007, 03:38 PM

Oh she knows the cheap shot she took at Duane, she's not stupid. She just wanted us to tell her so she could bring it up again.

The ones unbalanced here are those trying to defend a pastor molesting a parishner.

Richard

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 04:33 PM)

Has it ocured to any of you that Aletheia was serious when she asked "what cheap shots??"

Just WHO was she taking cheap shots at? Just because she disagrees with someone doesn't mean she was taking "cheap shots" at them. Honestly--you people need to stop and smell the roses--all this is affecting your thinking--you are becoming rather unbalanced!!!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 3 2007, 03:39 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 03:33 PM)

Has it occurred to any of you that Aletheia was serious when she asked "what cheap shots??"

Just WHO was she taking cheap shots at? Just because she disagrees with someone doesn't mean she was taking "cheap shots" at them. Honestly--you people need to stop and smell the roses--all this is affecting your thinking--you are becoming rather unbalanced!!!

Aletheia has done nothing but try to smear the reputation of myself and the other victims from square one. It's really easy for you to pop in here and give us your royal opinion on what was said. It wasn't about you.

Posted by: Lee Feb 3 2007, 04:07 PM

Oh but I am royalty--didn't you know? Well, now you do!

Thank you. Thank you very much!!

than

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 3 2007, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 04:07 PM)

Oh but I am royalty--didn't you know? Well, now you do!

Thank you. Thank you very much!!

than

Interesting you should say that. Years ago the Sheltons were talking about how they are descended from royalty.

Hmmmm.....

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 3 2007, 05:59 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 3 2007, 05:14 PM)

Interesting you should say that. Years ago the Sheltons were talking about how they are

descended from royalty.

Hmmmm.....

So way, really?

Posted by: YogusBearus Feb 3 2007, 06:12 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 3 2007, 02:44 PM)

Your bet, I found it. Still the Sabbath here in the Midwest. Was not in the spirit for cutting off heads today. The result was the same.

JK, it's pretty dark in Nebraska now. Can we trade this one in for a new model?

Yogi

Posted by: inga Feb 3 2007, 06:26 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 3 2007, 03:41 PM)

Do you want ALL the gory details about what happened?

Apparently.

QUOTE

I owe you NO explanation whatsoever. ...

I can see now why some victims of abuse wait years to come forward, and some never do. It's because of people like you.

Duane Clem

Well, Duane, you took the words more or less out of my mouth.


I'm glad you've got a good dose of common sense and discretion.

'es, Lee, I do think it's possible that Aletheia's question was honest. That just goes to show she shouldn't be posting here and mixing things up with people who "pay attention."

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 3 2007, 06:49 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 03:07 PM)

Oh but I am royalty--didn't you know? Well, now you do!

Thank you. Thank you very much!!  than

My grandkids can be royal pains at times, too, but I love them anyway.

Posted by: Lee Feb 3 2007, 08:09 PM


Duane, I do have royalty - I'm from Norway.

But I was speaking of the type of royalty in the Bible.

But no, I am not related to Danny or Linda. I don't know them, never have spoken to either of them ever! I do watch sermons occasionally on 3ABN by certain speakers like Doug Batchelor and also on the Hope channel. Some of these programs have really been a blessing to me and are worth watching.

Posted by: eye witness Feb 3 2007, 10:23 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 3 2007, 06:26 PM)

Apparently.  Well, Duane, you took the words more or less out of my mouth.


I'm glad you've got a good dose of common sense and discretion.

Yes, Lee, I do think it's possible that Aletheia's question was honest. That just goes to show she shouldn't be posting here and mixing things up with people who "pay attention."

inga if you read the post number 17 on Lynch Mob you will do go by keeping lips locked and you eyes peeled for whats next.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 3 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 06:21 PM)

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs. 

See my Signature line....



QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:39 PM)

...If they want to refute accusations, or tell their side of the story, they will do it somewhere where

it counts...and that won't be here....

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 3 2007, 06:51 AM)

I agree. Whether or not some issues go as far as the courts, **The secret's out.**

You are correct Bystander. They will do it in a court of law. That way all...**ALL THE DETAILS** will come out. Whether anyone wants them to or not.... I believe it will stop short of that though... (Ralph) and someone will get "paid" to keep their mouth shut.

MO



?? is will they accept the \$\$\$? I think not....



QUOTE(andithomas1 @ Feb 2 2007, 11:49 PM)

If the Sheltons don't owe anyone on this board an explanation-Why are you here? If they will refute or tell their side in a more important forum-Why do you come here and viciously argue with the members of this board? Obviously, someone is concerned about what is being said on this board or you wouldn't be here.

..constantly refuting; throwing up "blockers" and stuff....

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 3 2007, 04:22 PM)

WHY is it a cheap shot, and why are you furious?

You sound just like another member that likes to hit folks when they are down, saddened, or asking for advice. That member lacks love and empathy...and sadly - you do too....

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 3 2007, 04:41 PM)

...I can see now why some victims of abuse wait years to come forward, and some never do. It's because of people like you.

..and others will be blessed for you coming forward.... I keep saying this - but folks just ain't gonna get it until this "saga"/mess visits their homes...and it will because of the mess they keep puttin' out!

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 05:33 PM)

Just WHO was she taking cheap shots at? Just because she disagrees with someone doesn't mean she was taking "cheap shots" at them. Honestly--you people need to stop and smell the roses--all this is affecting your thinking--you are becoming rather unbalanced!!!

The fact that you LEE would state that it's not a cheap shot shows just how much logical thinking you do.... You know when someone is saying something *on the "sly"* to make their point. You are not that ill-minded....

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 3 2007, 05:38 PM)

The ones unbalanced here are those trying to defend a pastor molesting a parishner.

Thank you! **THANK YOU!!!**

QUOTE(eye witness @ Feb 4 2007, 12:23 AM)

inga if you read the post number 17 on Lynch Mob you will do go by keeping lips locked and you eyes peeled for whats next.

.... and those that feel that they can assist in "threatening" folks need to also read my signature line.

It's gonna be a lot of humble pie served... and I thinks some folks need to start starvin' themselves so they can choke it all down. I for one will not be eating a slice...but I sure will be servin' it up....

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 3 2007, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 07:24 PM)

Just carrying your little "taunt" to its logical conclusion. You don't seem to be the original Bystander so I'm also quite certain that you are telling the truth when you say you aren't Danny. However, if you are so close to the 3abn situation that you have first hand knowledge of the goings on, you certainly would know who Herb Douglass is, it would seem.

Last night Danny interviewed Herb Douglas. Was told he had been a pastor. I got the tail end of the conversation. Can anyone give me some info about this man? Looks like he is retired. Is he working or volunteering at 3ABN? Thanks.

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 3 2007, 12:39 PM)

This statement is classic Aletheia. You never did get it. Just could not leave the sexual orientation alone. Well maybe you will get it viewing BSDA from the outside with the rest of the BSDA outcast.

Calvin, was that a threat or a promise?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 4 2007, 12:03 AM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Feb 3 2007, 10:47 PM)

Last night Danny interviewed Herb Douglas. Was told he had been a pastor. I got the tail end of the conversation. Can anyone give me some info about this man? Looks like he is retired. Is he working or volunteering at 3ABN? Thanks.

Calvin, was that a threat or a promise?

Herb Douglass is retired and spent his career in various administrative capacities at PUC, AUC, Pacific Press and other conference related positions. He is a prolific author (20 books), and his latest book is "Truth Matters". I don't think he is doing more than being interviewed on 3abn, but I could be wrong. I saw a rebroadcast of Danny interviewing him on 3abn Today Friday afternoon. It was a very

thought provoking interview and it is most obvious that Herb is a very dedicated man of God.

Posted by: Hawk Feb 4 2007, 12:07 AM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Feb 3 2007, 10:23 PM)

inga if you read the post number 17 on Lynch Mob you will do go by keeping lips locked and you eyes peeled for whats next.

Good morrow witness, maybe you ought to go look at the #17 post and consider that slander has to be a lie.

QUOTE

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!! She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

When and where did this meeting take place? Shall we give everything else in this quote the credibility this statement gives you? Are you a lying/slandering Witness? A false witness.... Witness? "Hearken unto thine own words lest thou be shown a fool twice." Should Danny perhaps also consider the matter of lying and slander in his letter to BSDA in which he openly lied? Maybe he should also go look at post #17?

Posted by: Johann Feb 4 2007, 01:30 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 12:21 AM)

Ok, I will do that whenever sister, princess di, sonshineonme,clay, fran, paper tiger, hershey, peacefully bewildered, truly blonde and a host of others sign theirs.

Why do you want to wait for others before you do the right thing? No faith?

Posted by: Johann Feb 4 2007, 01:41 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 12:54 AM)

....may the Lord bless you abundantly more than you could ever ask or think.....

rofl rofl rofl rofl rofl

Linda used to say this. . . . then Danny now you?

Posted by: Fran Feb 4 2007, 04:10 AM

QUOTE

Posted by Fran, in Take it to the Church
Jan 31 2007, 11:03 PM

Johann;

Things are getting worse. The sinfulness of sin is fading. Now we have to face a different mentality. I am shocked and disgusted at some of the posts that have been posted. I keep saying to myself, "Consider the source", but I can see that Jesus must come soon to stop this evil.

Texas is working on a new idea. They want the death penalty for those who have sexually offended 3 times.

Once, go to jail.

Twice, go to jail for a longer time.

Thrice, you go night, night for a long time.

Let me see, how many have come forward accusing Tommy?

Tommy, come on down to Texas, but wait until this law passes please. **(See correction and apology below.)**

Fran

Johann;

I would like to revise the last sentence in the above statement to reflect my true feelings. **I would like to apologize to Tommy for singling him out. I did that because he has been the topic of posts for some time now.**

I read Bystanders complaint and thought about it all Sabbath, and decided to make my comment clear. Since I made this comment directed to you, I feel the apology and corrected statement should be made to you since Bystander has chosen not to speak to me. That, by the way is no problem for me. Silence from that end is golden.

The sentence should have read, **"All molesters, rapist, pedophiles, and all sexual criminals, come on down to Texas, but wait until this law passes please."** This is what I meant. I did not mean just Tommy. I will be more careful in the future.

I believe in the proposed law. Our society is like that of Sodom and Gomorrah. Sexual practices were abhorrent. God burned the city and Lot's wife turned into salt for looking back.

However, the sin went out with them. The daughters went after Daddy to commit incest. So, as you can see, males and females are molesters, pedophiles, and rapists.

My comment was to express my opinion that I wish every male or female that is a molester, pedophile, or rapist should come to Texas for their 3rd conviction trial, after the law passes. That

does not mean I want them to commit their 3rd act, or any act, in the state of Texas. I just would like them to be tried in Texas. (Fat chance, huh? There's something about having to be tried in the state of the crime.)

Something has to be done. Would I want this penalty given to my brother, sister, son, daughter, mother, or father? If they were/are guilty, I have to give a resounding YES! I believe this law would be a step in the right direction.

Posted by: Observer Feb 4 2007, 05:07 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 3 2007, 11:03 PM)

Herb Douglass is retired and spent his career in various administrative capacities at PUC, AUC, Pacific Press and other conference related positions. He is a prolific author (20 books), and his latest book is "Truth Matters". I don't think he is doing more than being interviewed on 3abn, but I could be wrong. I saw a rebroadcast of Danny interviewing him on 3abn Today Friday afternoon. It was a very thought provoking interview and it is most obvious that Herb is a very dedicated man of God.

Yes, he is a dedicated to the Lord. I personally knew him when he was a religion professor at Pacific Union College. He is a conservative Seventh-day Adventist, and he has been active in Weimar, an independent health treatment facility and educational institution. He is a strong supporter of Ellen White, and a scholar in his own right.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 4 2007, 12:55 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Feb 4 2007, 05:10 AM)

Johann;

I would like to revise the last sentence in the above statement to reflect my true feelings. **I would like to apologize to Tommy for singling him out. I did that because he has been the topic of posts for some time now.**

I read Bystanders complaint and thought about it all Sabbath, and decided to make my comment clear. Since I made this comment directed to you, I feel the apology and corrected statement should be made to you since Bystander has chosen not to speak to me. That, by the way is no problem for me. Silence from that end is golden.

The sentence should have read, **"All molesters, rapist, pedophiles, and all sexual criminals, come on down to Texas, but wait until this law passes please."** This is what I meant. I did not mean just Tommy. I will be more careful in the future.

I believe in the proposed law. Our society is like that of Sodom and Gomorrah. Sexual practices were abhorrent. God burned the city and Lot's wife turned into salt for looking back.

However, the sin went out with them. The daughters went after Daddy to commit incest. So, as you can see, males and females are molesters, pedophiles, and rapists.

My comment was to express my opinion that I wish every male or female that is a molester, pedophile, or rapist should come to Texas for their 3rd conviction trial, after the law passes. That does not mean I want them to commit their 3rd act, or any act, in the state of Texas. I just would like them to be tried in Texas. (Fat chance, huh? There's something about having to be tried in the state of the crime.)

Something has to be done. Would I want this penalty given to my brother, sister, son, daughter, mother, or father? If they were/are guilty, I have to give a resounding YES! I believe this law would be a step in the right direction.

Fran,

Thank you for writing this correction. I was uncomfortable with the original but felt you were entitled to your opinion.

Although I do not support the death penalty under any circumstances and certainly question the Board (I don't immediately recall its official name) that oversees such things in TX, I would support lifetime imprisonment for a 3rd offense.

W

Posted by: Bystander Feb 4 2007, 01:13 PM

[quote name='Fran' date='Feb 4 2007, 03:10 AM' post='175214']
Johann;

I would like to revise the last sentence in the above statement to reflect my true feelings. **I would like to apologize to Tommy for singling him out. I did that because he has been the topic of posts for some time now.**

I read Bystanders complaint and thought about it all Sabbath, and decided to make my comment clear. Since I made this comment directed to you, I feel the apology and corrected statement should be made to you since Bystander has chosen not to speak to me. That, by the way is no problem for me. Silence from that end is golden.

Fran, even though silence from me is golden, I give you a lot of credit for your apology. I believe in the death penalty in certain situations but recoiled at the "invite" and the seeming tone of enjoyment. Anyway, I appreciate the forum apology.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 4 2007, 03:38 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 3 2007, 04:33 PM)

Has it occurred to any of you that Aletheia was serious when she asked "what cheap shots?"

We dont have "STUPID" tattooed on our foreheads... dont know if you do or not... but if you thought she was serious, then if you dont have it tattooed there, perhaps it should be..

QUOTE

Just WHO was she taking cheap shots at? Just because she disagrees with someone doesn't mean she was taking "cheap shots" at them. Honestly--you people need to stop and smell the roses--all this is affecting your thinking--you are becoming rather unbalanced!!!

☐

t not the roses that are beings smelled... it's the stuff being slung by you and others that is occasionally put on and around roses to help them grow... you've introduced so much of it you could start your own guano plant... but if you decide to do that please make sure it's down wind...

n His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Lee Feb 4 2007, 03:50 PM

Mr. Josey, you should come and see my rose garden! It is very nice and I enjoy stopping my work to smell them when they are blooming.

Oh, and I would be happy to throw their lovely scent your way--I believe it would do you some good! ☒ than

Posted by: calvin Feb 4 2007, 04:11 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 4 2007, 03:38 PM) ☐

We dont have "STUPID" tattooed on our foreheads... dont know if you do or not... but if you thought she was serious, then if you dont have it tattooed there, perhaps it should be..

In His service,
Mr. J


tone it down a few notches Kevin.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 4 2007, 08:11 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 4 2007, 03:50 PM) ☐

Mr. Josey, you should come and see my rose garden! It is very nice and I enjoy stopping my work to smell them when they are blooming.

Oh, and I would be happy to throw their lovely scent your way--I believe it would do you some good! ☒ than



Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 4 2007, 08:19 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 4 2007, 04:50 PM)

Mr. Josey, you should come and see my rose garden! It is very nice and I enjoy stopping my work to smell them when they are blooming.

Oh, and I would be happy to throw their lovely scent your way--I believe it would do you some good! than

I'd tell you what would do you some good...

but I'm toning it down a few notches...

another time, perhaps... then again... there is none so blind as he who will not see... and you have made your acute myopia and it's being a willful condition plain...

so be it.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 11 2007, 09:51 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 2 2007, 02:18 PM)

.. with all the unkind things I have seen posted regarding Mr. Joy by you and others, he just got a major boost in credibility in my eyes. He has just posted an email he sent to Gerry Spence on the save3abn.com website.

We have seen the threatening letters sent by those representing 3ABN. If Mr. Joy is confident enough in his facts as to be willing to contact someone like Gerry Spence....."good luck" in your ongoing attempts to confuse the issues with your posts on this website.

nw

So, How is the "lawsuit against GAJ" going?

Anything new?

Has Mr Spence replied to Mr Joy's email?? by e-mail, snail-mail, phone call, or anything?

Observer? Bob? anyone know?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 10:48 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 11 2007, 09:51 PM)

So, How is the "lawsuit against GAJ" going?

Anything new?

Has Mr Spence replied to Mr Joy's email?? by e-mail, snail-mail, phone call, or anything?

Observer? Bob? anyone know?

Altheia, welcome back, I thought you were gone forever.

Yes, I would also like to know if Mr. Spence has consented to defend Mr. Joy. Since receiving the letter to cease and desist, Mr. Joy has put more and more accusations on his site, so he will definitely need someone to defend him. Of course with his extensive legal and investigative expertise, I would think he could defend himself.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 11 2007, 10:48 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 11 2007, 10:51 PM)

So, How is the "lawsuit against GAJ" going?

Anything new?

Has Mr Spence replied to Mr Joy's email?? by e-mail, snail-mail, phone call, or anything?

Observer? Bob? anyone know?

Why don't you ask Mr. Joy?

Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 11 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 11 2007, 09:51 PM)

So, How is the "lawsuit against GAJ" going?

Anything new?

Has Mr Spence replied to Mr Joy's email?? by e-mail, snail-mail, phone call, or anything?

Observer? Bob? anyone know?

Ask Yahoo's Aletheia, over at the following Yahoo board:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/adventism_prophecy/message/9183

Yahoo's Aletheia says that "lawsuits are pending, and this is all coming to a head, and many are going to be sued for slander and libel etc.."

Is Yahoo's Aletheia sure there are pending lawsuits? Has she seen any papers, etc? How many lawsuits does Yahoo's Aletheia think there are?

Yahoo's Aletheia also says, "**Go to www.blackstda.com, and click on the 3abn forum also Maritime. It's all one sided, and hypocritical, and evil surmisings for the most part.**"

Seems to me Yahoo's Aletheia has done some surmising of her own, unless she knows something we don't.

Pete

Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 11:24 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 11 2007, 10:57 PM)

Ask Yahoo's Aletheia, over at the following Yahoo board:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/adventism_prophecy/message/9183

Yahoo's Aletheia says that "lawsuits are pending, and this is all coming to a head, and many are going

Pete

Pete what is yahoo's aletheia? What is on yahoo

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 11 2007, 11:50 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 10:48 PM)

Altheia, welcome back, I thought you were gone forever.

Yes, I would also like to know if Mr. Spence has consented to defend Mr. Joy. Since receiving the letter to cease and desist, Mr. Joy has put more and more accusations on his site, so he will definitely need someone to defend him. Of course with his extensive legal and investigative expertise, I would think he could defend himself.

He will "definitely" need someone to defend him? Are you filing a lawsuit? How do you know this?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 12 2007, 12:00 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 11 2007, 11:50 PM)

He will "definitely" need someone to defend him? Are you filing a lawsuit? How do you know this?

He was warned from 3abn's law firm to cease and desist or face the consequences.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 12 2007, 12:04 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 12 2007, 12:24 AM)

Pete what is yahoo's aletheia? What is on yahoo

Try clicking on the link Pete gave... come on a little effort on your part would benefit you greatly.



Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 12 2007, 12:28 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 12 2007, 12:00 AM)

He was warned from 3abn's law firm to cease and desist or face the consequences.

The letter I read says nothing about consequences. It just makes demands.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 12 2007, 12:38 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 12 2007, 01:28 AM)

The letter I read says nothing about consequences. It just makes demands.

Bro, that sounds like another one of those weapons of mass distraction to me.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 12 2007, 01:32 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 11 2007, 09:57 PM)

Ask Yahoo's Aletheia, over at the following Yahoo board:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/adventism_prophecy/message/9183

Yahoo's Aletheia says that "lawsuits are pending, and this is all coming to a head, and many are going to be sued for slander and libel etc.."

Is Yahoo's Aletheia sure there are pending lawsuits? Has she seen any papers, etc? How many lawsuits does Yahoo's Aletheia think there are?

Yahoo's Aletheia also says, "**Go to www.blacksda.com, and click on the 3abn forum also Maritime. It's all one sided, and hypocritical, and evil surmisings for the most part.**"

Seems to me Yahoo's Aletheia has done some surmising of her own, unless she knows something we don't.

Pete

Pete,

Thank you SO much for putting up that link to Al_etheia's post! It is stunningly revealing about her true agenda, and either her lack of honesty or her lack of understanding of what is being posted here and why. I particularly took notice of her statement "**They also don't like questions, or people who claim to be searching for the truth.**"

As one claiming to be searching for truth myself, I certainly have not found this to be the case for me from the majority of the members. Of course I have found this to be true when I have asked questions of members who do not approve of the 3abn Forum discussions. Sometimes, even with this particular group of members, I have been pleased to receive informative answers.

I don't know if Calvin and the administrators would approve, but this particular statement by Al_etheia would make a great topic of discussion, IMO, especially now that she is back with us and can discuss and defend her claims.

PB

Posted by: Johann Feb 12 2007, 04:00 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 12 2007, 08:04 AM) □

-- ... come on a little effort on your part would benefit you greatly. □

There are too many old stale fables being thrown at us. It keeps our minds fresh - a little effort - to clear the windshields so we can see the road ahead. Thanks! □

Posted by: liane Feb 13 2007, 08:49 AM

This is my first post on this forum. I have read much and find it incredible with all the rumors going around that nothing has been done about these rumors until now. I pray that this letter and attorney are true and that through a court of law that the truth will come out one way or the other.

I do not know the end results of all of this, but one thing for sure, God's will is to be done, not our own.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 12:21 PM

QUOTE(liane @ Feb 13 2007, 08:49 AM)

This is my first post on this forum. I have read much and find it incredible with all the rumors going around that nothing has been done about these rumors until now. I pray that this letter and attorney are true and that through a court of law that the truth will come out one way or the other.

I do not know the end results of all of this, but one thing for sure, God's will is to be done, not our own.

Thank you lian and welcome. You are correct it is God's will that will be done....

Posted by: princessdi Feb 13 2007, 12:34 PM

Welcome to BSDA Liane. I like your name, as mine if actually Diane.

Liane there are more than rumors here. May I suggest that you continue to read. Victim testimonies are not rumors. Legal documents, are not rumors.

QUOTE(liane @ Feb 13 2007, 06:49 AM)

This is my first post on this forum. I have read much and find it incredible with all the rumors going around that nothing has been done about these rumors until now. I pray that this letter and attorney are true and that through a court of law that the truth will come out one way or the other.

I do not know the end results of all of this, but one thing for sure, God's will is to be done, not our own.

Posted by: Pickle Feb 13 2007, 01:00 PM

I got word this morning from a friend of mine who had talked to Danny and who said that I'm going to get sued.

But I told him that I really don't think Danny is going to sue. For one thing, following Matthew 18, one would expect Danny to at least let me know where he thinks I have erred in anything I've said, and I don't recall him doing that.

For another thing, I was told that Danny plans on bringing some sort of evidence into court that would prove Linda's guilt. But what would that have to do with me? I haven't gone around saying that Linda just has to be innocent, have I?

Gailon thinks Danny really is going to sue, but on that point we disagree. I'm not going to believe

it till I see it.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 01:12 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 13 2007, 02:00 PM)

I got word this morning from a friend of mine who had talked to Danny and who said that I'm going to get sued.

But I told him that I really don't think Danny is going to sue. For one thing, following Matthew 18, one would expect Danny to at least let me know where he thinks I have erred in anything I've said, and I don't recall him doing that.

For another thing, I was told that Danny plans on bringing some sort of evidence into court that would prove Linda's guilt. But what would that have to do with me? I haven't gone around saying that Linda just has to be innocent, have I?

Gailon thinks Danny really is going to sue, but on that point we disagree. I'm not going to believe it till I see it.

Did your friend who was told this also know what the legal issue would be if a complaint were filed? And do you know if DS in telling your friend this assumed it would be passed on to you?

nw

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 01:18 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 13 2007, 02:00 PM)

I got word this morning from a friend of mine who had talked to Danny and who said that I'm going to get sued.

But I told him that I really don't think Danny is going to sue. For one thing, following Matthew 18, one would expect Danny to at least let me know where he thinks I have erred in anything I've said, and I don't recall him doing that.

For another thing, I was told that Danny plans on bringing some sort of evidence into court that would prove Linda's guilt. But what would that have to do with me? I haven't gone around saying that Linda just has to be innocent, have I?

Gailon thinks Danny really is going to sue, but on that point we disagree. I'm not going to believe it till I see it.

As I have noted before... if he sues you, you (or your attorney) will get the opportunity to ask him all of the questions he has stonewalled on... under oath and on the record and that record can be entered into evidence in other court proceedings...

Given the cloud hanging over Tommy's head and the investigations into allegations of fiscal malfeasance, his suing you, then, would be the equivalent of putting the noose around his own neck and standing on the chair and then asking if someone can give him a push...

But hey; this *is* america... so he can do whatever floats his boat... but I cannot understand why those who claim to have his best interests at heart are not telling him that putting himself in a position where he has to face legal discovery is not ultimately a good thing for him... maybe they are only interested in his best interests as long as they line up with their own...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 13 2007, 07:43 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 02:18 PM)

As I have noted before... if he sues you, you (or your attorney) will get the opportunity to ask him all of the questions he has stonewalled on... under oath and on the record and that record can be entered into evidence in other court proceedings...

Yes, and court proceedings are public records. Did I say public?

Posted by: Bystander Feb 13 2007, 07:55 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 12:18 PM)

As I have noted before... if he sues you, you (or your attorney) will get the opportunity to ask him all of the questions he has stonewalled on... under oath and on the record and that record can be entered into evidence in other court proceedings...


In His service,
Mr. J

Well, I am quite sure when he and the board consulted with the minn. 14 as someone referred to them, that they did not tell him what could, would and should need to come out. What would 14 attorney's know? Thankfully Danny has you to explain it to everyone because he, obviously, has no idea what to expect. rofl

As usual J you are sooo against Danny & 3abn but yet have not brought anything to the table to prove your accusations. With all of your arrogance and pomp and circumstance, you are a follower..not a leader. They all gossip and speculate and you follow, never requesting proof from your own side.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 07:59 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 08:55 PM)

Well, I am quite sure when he and the board consulted with the minn. 14 as someone referred to them, that they did not tell him what could, would and should need to come out. What would 14 attorney's know? Thankfully Danny has you to explain it to everyone because he, obviously, has no idea what to expect. 

As usual J you are sooo against Danny & 3abn but yet have not brought anything to the table to prove your accusations. With all of your arrogance and pomp and circumstance, you are a follower..not a leader. They all gossip and speculate and you follow, never requesting proof from your own side.

That's why you stay wrong; you just dont pay attention...

No biggie; God wont stand in the way of your right to be wrong; neither shall I.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Pickle Feb 13 2007, 08:02 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 01:12 PM)


Did your friend who was told this also know what the legal issue would be if a complaint were filed? And do you know if DS in telling your friend this assumed it would be passed on to you?

nw

DS said he could tell me. Don't know what the legal issue is. Don't know what it could be.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 13 2007, 08:04 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 13 2007, 06:43 PM)

Yes, and court proceedings are public records. Did I say public? 

If they carry through, you will probably find out the "true" meaning of public. I have an idea you know that, and that is why you are sending appy's elsewhere.

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 13 2007, 07:02 PM)

DS said he could tell me. Don't know what the legal issue is. Don't know what it could be.

If your friend talked with DS about you and talked to you about DS, maybe you both should change friends

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 08:07 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 08:04 PM)

If they carry through, you will probably find out the "true" meaning of public. I have an idea you know that, and that is why you are sending appy's elsewhere.

dude put up or shut up... your veiled threats are... you guessed it... tiresome...

Posted by: Pickle Feb 13 2007, 08:17 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 08:04 PM)

If your friend talked with DS about you and talked to you about DS, maybe you both should change friends

DS wanted him to talk with me, based on what I heard. I would think you'd know about that. If you don't, check with the boss.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 13 2007, 08:19 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 09:04 PM)

If they carry through, you will probably find out the "true" meaning of public. I have an idea you know that, and that is why you are sending appy's elsewhere.

I like to fish too. I just use better bait.



Posted by: Fran Feb 13 2007, 09:47 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 13 2007, 08:02 PM)

DS said he could tell me. Don't know what the legal issue is. Don't know what it could be.

Bob;

Maybe he wants you to be "convicted" with the same charges your were/are being convicted of stated in the other thread.

I hope that is it. Jesus would come sooner than we thought!

If no one has Googled "Bob Pickle convicted" you should! Then you can see who the real Pickle is!

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 21 2007, 10:08 AM

Since the letter from the law firm to Mr. Joy states they believe he is exploiting the use of the 3ABN trademark, I thought I would post this discussion of recent results in other litigations involving websites with similar names.

http://news.com.com/2010-1030_3-6160795.html?part=rss&tag=2547-1_3-0-5&subj=news

I have also attached as a pdf document.

nw

Attached File(s)

[_print_version_Big_names_may_not_prevail_in_domain_disputes_CNET_News.pdf](#)
(70.42k) Number of downloads: 10

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 21 2007, 12:40 PM

NW,
Thank you for a very interesting article!

PB

Posted by: JustTana Feb 21 2007, 08:25 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 21 2007, 01:40 PM)

NW,
Thank you for a very interesting article!

PB

Yes, NW. Sure hope Calvin sees this and takes notice and prepares to fight each instance of 'possible' infringement. I believe that he will be vindicated under the same reasoning given below from the web site cited by NW. Actually it was under the Comments section called WIPO Corrupt:

"All lawyers know these cases should legally depend upon use of the domain e.g. lucasnursery.com decision by the U.S. 6th Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that this domain did not violate the law. The female owner used the name of Lucas Nursery to complain about them. Just like I use WIPO.org.uk to complain about UN WIPO.org."

What do you think of this arbitration possibility, Calvin?


JT

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 16 2007, 04:52 PM

Sounds like more wind breaking... but I could be wrong. 

Sounds like more wind breaking... but I could be wrong. 

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 07:24 PM


QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 21 2007, 09:08 AM) 

Since the letter from the law firm to Mr. Joy states they believe he is exploiting the use of the 3ABN trademark, I thought I would post this discussion of recent results in other litigations involving websites with similar names.

http://news.com.com/2010-1030_3-6160795.html?part=rss&tag=2547-1_3-0-5&subj=news

I have also attached as a pdf document.

nw

Thank you NW, I have been slowing catching up on all these posts, and I found this one to be very interesting! Glad we have you around this place! 

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)