



## Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

**BlackSDA \_ 3ABN \_ Dannyshelton.com**

**Posted by: lurker Mar 26 2007, 12:03 PM**

Why is it when I go to dannyshelton.com or dannyshelton.org does it take me to Club Adventist?

**Posted by: Grace Mar 26 2007, 12:13 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 08:03 PM) □**

Why is it when I go to dannyshelton.com or dannyshelton.org does it take me to Club Adventist?


True. It did the same for me!

**Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Mar 26 2007, 12:18 PM**

Yes, I would also like an answer as to why it goes to CA.

Perhaps somebody can ask Stan of CA about that?

**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 26 2007, 01:24 PM**



**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 01:27 PM**

Pass me some of those, LT. As soon as BS checks it out, I am sure this is going to be real interestin'! Propel, anyone?  p  pe


**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 26 2007, 01:49 PM**

Propel?

I will take some bottled water, though!

**Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 26 2007, 01:53 PM**



**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 26 2007, 02:24 PM) □**



**QUOTE**

princessDi Posted Today, 02:27 PM  
Pass me some of those, LT. As soon as BS checks it out, I am sure this is going to be real interestin'! Propel, anyone?

Am I invited? I'll bake homemade bread while you watch






---

**Posted by: Noahswife Mar 26 2007, 01:55 PM**

**QUOTE(Jnana15 @ Mar 26 2007, 03:53 PM) □**

Am I invited? I'll bake homemade bread while you watch




Since we are talking about our fixation on food again. I have fresh strawberries and shortcake for all if you include me. 

But I'll bring my own blue bottled water from Trader Joes.


---

**Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 26 2007, 02:09 PM**

**QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 26 2007, 02:55 PM) □**

Since we are talking about our fixation on food again. I have fresh strawberries and shortcake for all if you include me. 

But I'll bring my own blue bottled water from Trader Joes.

You got my attention BIG TIME. Just want enough goodies when BS shows up. 

---



**Posted by: sister Mar 26 2007, 02:22 PM**

I did some checking on [www.dannyskelton.org](http://www.dannyskelton.org) and [www.dannyskelton.com](http://www.dannyskelton.com), both sent me directly to Club Adventist, but I was denied access. I had someone who is a member there Log In and try both addresses. Members are not denied access, it takes them directly to an interview Danny Shelton made on Club Adventist in 2004. No big mystery, except that Stan would have to agree to this link to his website.

Sister

---

**Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 02:25 PM**

Someone is liable to get pretty fat here on this forum, don't you all think?  I mean, you might have to wait a long time while you eat your goodies! 

---


**Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Mar 26 2007, 02:35 PM**

In that case it seems like CA and Danny Shelton are on good terms.

I can't see it being redirected here, or to MSDAOL.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 02:35 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 02:03 PM)** 

Why is it when I go to [dannyskelton.com](http://dannyskelton.com) or [dannyskelton.org](http://dannyskelton.org) does it take me to Club Adventist?

"Curiouser and curiouser" said Alice (in wonderland)

Check this out:

<http://whois.domaintools.com/dannyskelton.com>

and this:

<http://whois.domaintools.com/dannyskelton.org>

It appears these domains are set up to take you to Club Adventist, the same way [www.save3abn.org](http://www.save3abn.org), although a separate domain registered to Joy, is set up to take you to [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com), whenever you type the url in or click on it...

---

**Posted by: lurker Mar 26 2007, 02:41 PM**

It still takes me to Club Adventist but when I get there, it now says access denied. It was wide open before.

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 02:41 PM**

Ok?!!! Y'all bring good snacks. We just used to have some juice, a little Pepsi, some popcorn, a few fried meaty and furry bits.....strawberry shortcake! Woohoo!!!

**QUOTE(Jnana15 @ Mar 26 2007, 12:09 PM)**

You got my attention BIG TIME. Just want enough goodies when BS shows up.



**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 02:51 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 04:41 PM)**

It still takes me to Club Adventist but when I get there, it now says access denied. It was wide open before.

As far as I know, you have to be a member of Club Adventist for access, I used to be, but no longer am.

Were these websites registered when all the discussion about 3ABN was still allowed on, and taking part mostly on Club Adventist?

Something is rotten in Denmark, and it ain't the fish...

**QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Mar 26 2007, 04:35 PM)**

In that case it seems like CA and Danny Shelton are on good terms.

I can't see it being redirected here, or to MSDAOL.

Daryl,

plea !

kidd

They are obviously not registered to Danny Shelton...

Boys and girls can we say "hijack"?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 02:57 PM**

Anybody live near Lee road in Chesterbrook, PA? Of course, since it is suite 300 it is probably just a mail drop spot.

Guess it wouldn't hurt to fly over and take a peek while y'all are snackin', right?

Nothing to see

<http://maps.live.com/default.aspx?v=2&cp=44.023938~-99.71&style=h&lvl=4&tilt=-89.875918865193&dir=0&alt=7689462.6842358>. Sigh.

---

**Posted by: Grace Mar 26 2007, 03:02 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Mar 26 2007, 09:22 PM)**

I did some checking on [www.dannyshelton.org](http://www.dannyshelton.org) and [www.dannyshelton.com](http://www.dannyshelton.com), both sent me directly to Club Adventist, but I was denied access. I had someone who is a member there Log In and try both addresses. Members are not denied access, it takes them directly to an interview Danny Shelton made on Club Adventist in 2004. No big mystery, except that Stan would have to agree to this link to his website.

Sister

Thank you sister, that explains it to me. What I find strange is that the site was opened when I first went, almost four hours ago (and I've never been a member there), but the access is denied now.

I'm not joining the party, sorry, it's 11 p.m. here, time for me to go nite nite.

Bon appétit, anyway!

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 03:03 PM**

Just apartment complexes or office buildings

---


**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 26 2007, 03:05 PM**

---


**QUOTE(Jnana15 @ Mar 26 2007, 02:53 PM)**

Am I invited? I'll bake homemade bread while you watch

 rofl

 TVsnack.


**QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 26 2007, 02:55 PM)**

Since we are talking about our fixation on food again. I have fresh strawberries and shortcake for all if you include me. 

But I'll bring my own blue bottled water from Trader Joes.

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 03:41 PM)**

Ok?!!! Y'all bring good snacks. We just used to have some juice, a little Pepsi, some popcorn, a few fried meaty and furry bits.....strawberry shortcake! Woohoo!!!

ounds like a party, ya'll!! The more, the merrier!!!! 

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 03:14 PM**

So does this mean Danny "owns" CA. It would explain a lot about that site. Was there ever any question about GJ being "owner" of save3ABN? I use "owns and owner", but I am sure it is the wrong term.

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 12:35 PM)**

"Curiouser and curiouser" said Alice (in wonderland)

Check this out:

<http://whois.domaintools.com/dannyshelton.com>

and this:

<http://whois.domaintools.com/dannyshelton.org>

It appears these domains are set up to take you to Club Adventist, the same way [www.save3abn.org](http://www.save3abn.org), although a separate domain registered to Joy, is set up to take you to [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com), whenever you type the url in or click on it...

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 03:16 PM**

Google has the address listed to 1&1 Internet, INC.

<http://press.1and1.com/index.php?MSID=&page=press&mode=displayDetail&id=44>

DeVry University and Keller Graduate School of Management are also listed on the same road but not suite 300.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 03:27 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 05:14 PM)**

So does this mean Danny "owns" CA. It would explain a lot about that site. Was there ever any question about GJ being "owner" of save3ABN? I use "owns and owner", but I am sure it is the wrong term.

Stan definately owns Club Adventist, and this has been public knowledge for years. To my knowledge that has never been questioned.

To me it appears whoever reregistered the DS.org, and DS.com sites is trying to hide their identity. PB's findings seem to support that also. Hotel room addresses and such are used by those who do e-mail and newspaper scams also.

Anyway, this is just my opinion.

It looks like someone accusing 3ABN did it to hijack internet traffic when all the discussion was going on there rather than here, OR-- someone in stan's camp did it, when so much traffic was coming here, rather than there.

That's why I asked about the dates on the domain registrations...

Whichever, it doesn't seem kosher to me.

P.s.

It looks like Stan is in the house now and reading the thread... maybe he can explain?

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 03:31 PM**

Ok, had to try too.

I put in [www.dannyshelton.com](http://www.dannyshelton.com) or [www.dannyshelton.org](http://www.dannyshelton.org) and both got this directly

<http://clubadventist.com/forum/ubbthreads.php/ubb/postlist/Board/177>

and I was able to click on (naturally right near the top) thread Danny posted in 04.

I didn't have to sign in, and even if I would have to, I asked for my membership to be removed a few months back.

I think it's been hyjacked, but I would not say that Stan did not arrange for this. In this case, the best thing to do is ask Stan, see what he says. But, this is smelly to me. Looks bad too.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 03:31 PM**

Ahhhhh I see! Thanks for the clarification, Cindy. Looks like something is up for sure!

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 01:27 PM)**

Stan definately owns Club Adventist, and this has been public knowledge for years. To my knowledge that has never been questioned.

To me it appears whoever reeregistered the DS.org, and DS.com sites is trying to hide their identity. PB's findings seem to support that also. Hotel room addresses and such are used by those who do e-mail and newspaper scams also.

Anyway, this is just my opinion.

It looks like someone accusing 3ABN did it to hijack internet traffic when all the discussion was going on there rather than here, OR-- someone in stan's camp did it, when so much traffic was coming here, rather than there.

That's why I asked about the dates on the domain registrations...

Whichever, it doesn't seem kosher to me.

---

**Posted by: lurker Mar 26 2007, 03:35 PM**

There may be a misunderstanding here. This was not a redirect from a search. The url in the address at the top of the page said dannyshelton.org and at the same time the page showing was Club Adventist and as I said it was wide open. The address **didn't change** to the Club Adventist address. You could get in and move around without logging in or registering. You could get there by typing dannyshelton.org in the address at the top of the browser and clicking on to the word go. Same for dannyshelton.com. You could get there from the picture on the whois page for either also.

Now access is denied and it takes you to the interview if you are a member and log in. It didn't do that before but they have changed it and the whois page says ubb error.

It appeared to me that these were all alternate addresses for the same site.

And it did **not** take me to Danny's interview. And would be surprised if this had anything to do with being redirected when doing a search.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 03:48 PM**

If you do a bit of Google work, 1&1 Internet and it's media spokesperson have been in the news from coast to coast regarding a blogger known as Spocko. 1&1 Internet severed its relationship with Spocko under the pressure of a copyright suit threatened by ABC/Disney's attorney's.

It is an ironically interesting read:  
<http://www.floridamediaproject.org/nytimes%20blog%20story.pdf>

DS.org let me get right into CA, no problem, right at the 11/30/04 Danny post about how the Lord had convicted him over and over not to fight his own battles.

Could just be a publicity thing, could be something sinister. Don't know that we will actually ever



know. It is what it is, I guess. Perhaps someone might want to call 1&1 Internet and see what the media spokesperson has to say about it. Just a thought.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 03:48 PM**

---

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 05:35 PM)**

There may be a misunderstanding here. This was not a redirect from a search. The url in the address at the top of the page said dannyshelton.org and at the same time the page showing was Club Adventist and as I said it was wide open. The address **didn't change** to the Club Adventist address. You could get in and move around without logging in or registering. You could get there by typing dannyshelton.org in the address at the top of the browser and clicking on to the word go. Same for dannyshelton.com. You could get there from the picture on the whois page for either also.

Now access is denied and it takes you to the interview if you are a member and log in. It didn't do that before but they have changed it and the whois page says ubb error.

It appeared to me that these were all alternate addresses for the same site.

And it did **not** take me to Danny's interview. And would be surprised if this had anything to do with being redirected when doing a search.

The difference in what happens when different people try, such as you and sonshineonme might also have to do with what spyware and trojan blocking programs and search engines you use. Although relatively harmless, some redirect you, and others don't allow that and so the URL in the address bar stays the same.

Maybe if bob could explain how the save 3ABN site does it with the .org and .com address, we could figure it out easier?

I did a Yahoo search and got this:  
WEB RESULTS 1

**Adventist Forums**

unOfficial Adventist Forums, where no one stands alone ... Register User Forum List Calendar Active Topics Search FAQ Who's Online  
swahili ...www.dannyshelton.org/clubadventist/adventist/categories.php/Cat/0 - More from this site

I just added a PS to my post to Di, as Stan was listed as reading this topic, but he appears to be gone now...

---

**Posted by: lurker Mar 26 2007, 03:51 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 03:48 PM)**

The difference in what happens when different people try, such as you and lurker might also have to do with what spyware and trojan blocking programs and search engines you use. Although relatively harmless, some redirect you, and others don't allow that and so the URL in the address bar stays the same.

Maybe if bob could explain how the save 3ABN site does it with the .org and .com address, we could figure it out easier?

I did a Yahoo search and got this:

WEB RESULTS 1

**Adventist Forums**

unOfficial Adventist Forums, where no one stands alone ... Register User Forum List Calendar Active Topics Search FAQ Who's Online swahili ...www.dannyskelton.org/clubadventist/adventist/categories.php/Cat/0 - More from this site

I just added a PS to my post to Di, as Stan was listed as reading this topic, but he appears to be gone now...

Do a whois for Club Adventist and you will see who hosts their site.


---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 03:53 PM**

Lurker is right...I am in CA as I type reading communications from Danny to CA members about those who have been trying to defend 3ABN.....that is all I read so far, but I am not logged in either.....Everybody who wants to see better hurry up before they shut it down...you know how quick they get the information here! LOL!!

---

**Posted by: Stan Mar 26 2007, 03:55 PM**

Well, the plot thickens... 


---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 03:56 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 02:53 PM)**

Lurker is right...I am in CA as I type reading communications from Danny to CA members about those who have been trying to defend 3ABN.....that is all I read so far, but I am not logged in either.....Everybody who wants to see better hurry up before they shut it down...you know how quick they get the information here! LOL!!

Guys, I think they want everyone to see that...think about it. 

see??)

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 03:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 05:51 PM)**

Do a whois for Club Adventist and you will see who hosts their site.

Now, looks almost identical to the earlier info I gave links to, and PB's been looking up...

<http://whois.domaintools.com/clubadventist.com>

**Stan Jenson, I see you are back, would you like to comment, and (or) explain?**

**Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 03:59 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 05:35 PM) □**

There may be a misunderstanding here. This was not a redirect from a search. The url in the address at the top of the page said dannyshelton.org and at the same time the page showing was Club Adventist and as I said it was wide open. The address **didn't change** to the Club Adventist address. You could get in and move around without logging in or registering. You could get there by typing dannyshelton.org in the address at the top of the browser and clicking on to the word go. Same for dannyshelton.com. You could get there from the picture on the whois page for either also.

Now access is denied and it takes you to the interview if you are a member and log in. It didn't do that before but they have changed it and the whois page says ubb error.

It appeared to me that these were all alternate addresses for the same site.

And it did **not** take me to Danny's interview. And would be surprised if this had anything to do with being redirected when doing a search.

The redirect is in the index.htm at [www.dannyshelton.com](http://www.dannyshelton.com); the HTML source for that page reads as follows:

```
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//IETF//DTD HTML 2.0//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<TITLE>302 Found</TITLE>
</HEAD><BODY>
<H1>Found</H1>
The document has moved <A
href="http://clubadventist.com/forum/ubbthreads.php/ubb/postlist/Board/177">here</A>.<P>
</BODY></HTML>
```

[www.dannyshelton.org](http://www.dannyshelton.org) is the same; the domains were registered privately so the actual person who set them up is anonymous... gee what a surprise.

Just because it points to Club Adventist does not mean that Stan necessarily was either aware of it or gave permission for it to be redirected there; you'll have to ask Stan.

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 04:00 PM**

as in what plot?

**QUOTE(Stan @ Mar 26 2007, 05:55 PM)**

Well, the plot thickens...

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 04:01 PM**

Yes, Sonshine, the more I read, the more I think you are right. A lot of the communications are from 2004, and there is some serious spin to the whole divorce and all. Interesting, seeing as by this time Linda could nto say a word. I mean, it just really would sit better to me if she could cooberate(sp) some of this, but it is high suspect because she still can't.

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 26 2007, 01:56 PM)**

Guys, I think they want everyone to see that...think about it.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 04:06 PM**

Hi Stan,

Interesting advertising scheme, if indeed that was what it was. Of course it depends on someone trying to log into Dannyshelton.org/com for it to work.

Well, it certainly has given a few of us an education about 1&1 Internet and the power of whois...

**QUOTE(Stan @ Mar 26 2007, 01:55 PM)**

Well, the plot thickens...


So, can you let us in on the writer's motives?

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 04:12 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 03:00 PM)**

as in what plot?


Aletheia, you might have to look at this from another angle to see it  ...look hard! It's there!



**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 04:25 PM**

No more than a cryptic "plot thickens" from Stan. Hmmm.

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 04:28 PM**


**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 03:25 PM)** 

No more than a cryptic "plot thickens" from Stan. Hmmm.


I don't expect more. 


**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 04:37 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 26 2007, 02:28 PM)** 

I don't expect more. 

Well, then, my thanks to Lurker for bringing this interesting bit of information out into the open. It raises some questions in my old noodle about. I will be interested to see if anything further develops.

I just did a whois search on BlackSDA.com Whew, sure am glad the Boss isn't with 1&1 

 rofl

It would have freaked me out!

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 04:57 PM**

Some is explained, Stan has opened back up the 3ABN topic on club Adventist, and here is the first post in 2 years:

**QUOTE**

posted [on Club Adventist]- 35 minutes 36 seconds ago

**Topic: New Interview Coming SOON**

Coming soon!!

It is going to be 'interesting'

Stan Jensen, Administrator

Still, why are the dannyshelton.org and dannyshelton.com, and club adventist domains registered to the same person or entity, Stan?

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 26 2007, 06:12 PM)**

Aletheia, you might have to look at this from another angle to see it  ...look hard! It's there!



You are in on "the plot" or have the inside scoop, or have solved the puzzle?

If so, why be so cryptic? Simply say what you mean, please.

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 05:00 PM**


**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 03:57 PM)**

Some is explained, Stan has opened back up the 3ABN topic on club Adventist, and here is the first post in 2 years:  
Still, why are the dannyshelton.org and dannyshelton.com, and club adventist domains registered to the same person or entity, Stan?  
You are in on "the plot" or have the inside scoop, or have solved the puzzle?  
If so, why be so cryptic? Simply say what you mean, please.

Aletheia, I am trying to tell you, it's not an accident.  
None of it is.

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 03:57 PM)**

Some is explained, Stan has opened back up the 3ABN topic on club Adventist, and here is the first post in 2 years:  
Still, why are the dannyshelton.org and dannyshelton.com, and club adventist domains registered to the same person or entity, Stan?  
You are in on "the plot" or have the inside scoop, or have solved the puzzle?  
If so, why be so cryptic? Simply say what you mean, please.

Im not trying to be cryptic, and I am NOT part of the PLOT! 

I was trying to get you to think about this yourself...I can tell you what I think...what I know...but that wouldn't be fair to you.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 05:12 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 06:01 PM)**

Yes, Sonshine, the more I read, the more I think you are right. A lot of the communications are from 2004, and there is some serious spin to the whole divorce and all. Interesting, seeing as by this time Linda could nto say a word. I mean, it just really would sit better to me if she could cooberate(sp) some of this, but it is high suspect because she still can't.

What do you mean she still can't? Why do you keep thinking and saying that?

Ask anyone of her defenders Di, there is no gag order in place, why do you think she wrote that letter on her website which was posted here?

-- not that she ever abided by it anyway, she got a website before the ink was dry on her agreement or divorce papers. published her questions and answers, talked about her "squished" experience and how she was trashed and fired, and even talked about the so called gag order... and published it...

And as the recent letters published on save3abn prove she was talking plenty to others as well...

AFAIC, the only time it was ever cited was as an excuse for why questions couldn't be answered or proof given for the things she, or her defenders claimed on her behalf..


Here is the archived record of what she said in part about her job, her marriage etc, while the so called gag order was allegedly still in place:

The asterix show the changes she made on the site,except for the most recent, but you can go to her site and see whats there now:

[http://web.archive.org/web/\\*/http://lindashelton.org](http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://lindashelton.org)

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 05:13 PM**

New interview coming to CA soon? Imagine the coincidence of having an internet billboard leading to a bulletin board/forum at such an oppotune time! What are the odds! 

Wonder if CA will keep the 3abn discussion open once the "interview" is done? Calvin, are you watching this?

PB

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 05:49 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 05:58 PM)**

Wow, looks almost identical to the earlier info I gave links to, and PB's been looking up...  
<http://whois.domaintools.com/clubadventist.com>  
**Stan Jenson, I see you are back, would you like to comment, and (or) explain?**

And Lurker, was there any special reason, you just happened across this info, today?

Well, the cooperation was nice while it lasted...  
psssst Sonshineonme  se Your fruits are showing, again

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 26 2007, 05:58 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 04:49 PM)**

And Lurker, was there any special reason, you just happened across this info, today?   
Well, the cooperation was nice while it lasted...  
psssst Sonshineonme  se Your fruits are showing, again

You are right Aletheia. It was nice while it lasted. My fruits? Good fruit I hope!  
What more can I say . I tried.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 05:59 PM**

Wow,

Amazing what you can find out in web archives!

[http://web.archive.org/web/\\*/http://3abn.org](http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://3abn.org)

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 06:11 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 07:59 PM)**



Wow,  
 Amazing what you can find out in web archives!  
[http://web.archive.org/web/\\*/http://3abn.org](http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://3abn.org)

'eah, neat huh?  It comes in handy when doing research, and when trying to find something on a webpage which you have read before...


If you click on the links in those archived homepages it will take you to more archived pages from back then.

Just wish they weren't always so far behind in archiving, but then again, the internet is huge....

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 26 2007, 07:52 PM**

Did you notice that the whole month of May, 2004 at 3ABN website is missing.




Rosyroi @---;---;-----

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 08:07 PM**

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Mar 26 2007, 06:52 PM)**

Did you notice that the whole month of May, 2004 at 3ABN website is missing.



Rosyroi @---;---;-----

'es, indeed I did. What is interesting is that a letter of explanation about Linda Shelton was posted on May 20th so there should be at least a May 20th in the archive but there isn't. Guess archives are subjective things...

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 08:09 PM**


**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Mar 26 2007, 09:52 PM)**

Did you notice that the whole month of May, 2004 at 3ABN website is missing.

 TVsnack.

Rosyroi @---;---;-----

As are many other months, if you look at all carefully. Updates are always archived. If there is no change or reason to archive as in searches requested and done by web crawlers, etc, and it's the same as before, then it isn't done.

And your point was what, more of the conspiracy? And the implication that Danny Shelton is so powerful he controls the internet archives and the world wide web now also???? 

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 08:19 PM**

Rosyroi,

Did you notice that I said archives must be subjective things? Did you also notice that I didn't mention anything about a conspiracy?

I have no independent knowledge about web archives. The first time I heard about such things was a little earlier today. It just seems that adding a notice on **May 20, 2004** would be a change. At least I think it would qualify as a change.


<http://web.archive.org/web/20040612111239/www.3abn.org/news/2004/sheltons-official-statement.html>

I wonder who is responsible for adding archives. Would it be the webmaster? Like I said, it appears that perhaps archives are subjective.



PB

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 26 2007, 08:33 PM**


**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 26 2007, 02:24 PM)**

 snk

**QUOTE(Jnana15 @ Mar 26 2007, 02:53 PM)**

Am I invited? I'll bake homemade bread while you watch  

I got Veggie Skrimps and Whig!



---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 08:33 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 10:19 PM)**

Rosyroi,

Did you notice that I said archives must be subjective things? Did you also notice that I didn't mention anything about a conspiracy?

I have no independent knowledge about web archives. The first time I heard about such things was a little earlier today. It just seems that adding a notice on **May 20, 2004** would be a change. At least I think it would qualify as a change.

<http://web.archive.org/web/20040612111239/www.3abn.org/news/2004/sheltons-official-statement.html>

I wonder who is responsible for adding archives. Would it be the webmaster? Like I said, it appears that perhaps archives are subjective.

PB

PB, please don't be so prickly, did you notice I wasn't replying to you, and truthfully hadn't even seen your post yet ,as our posts are only minutes apart?

And your may 20 posted letter is here:

<http://web.archive.org/web/20040612111239/www.3abn.org/news/2004/sheltons-official-statement.html>

Webmasters can't take away from the archives, what is, just is. although they can trigger a webcrawler to capture pages that will add another copy to the archives. And any update is supposed to...

The archives are simply made up by the captured of photoed copy of a website and it's pages made by the webcrawlers and such in the various search engines etc.

The guy who made the website with the archives database we are talking about simply designed a program to gather it all into one database. There is a rather informative article in wikipedia about it all, if interested. I forgot his name, but you should be able to find it by entering the wayback machine there.

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 26 2007, 09:00 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 08:33 PM)**

PB, please don't be so prickly, did you notice I wasn't replying to you, and truthfully hadn't even

seen your post yet ,as our posts are only minutes apart?

And your may 20 posted letter is here:

<http://web.archive.org/web/20040612111239/www.3abn.org/news/2004/sheltons-official-statement.html>

Webmasters can't take away from the archives, what is, just is. although they can trigger a webcrawler to capture pages that will add another copy to the archives. And any update is supposed to...

The archives are simply made up by the captured of photoed copy of a website and it's pages made by the webcrawlers and such in the various search engines etc.

The guy who made the website with the archives database we are talking about simply designed a program to gather it all into one database. There is a rather informative article in wikipedia about it all, if interested. I forgot his name, but you should be able to find it by entering the wayback machine there.

Aletheia,

Thank you! I learned a lot from you today!! Very helpful...

noopy

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 09:05 PM**

Aletheia,

Sorry if you thought I was being prickly. I did think you were responding to my post so that is my bad.

Posting a letter on May 20th would be a change so I don't understand why there isn't an archive for that day.

**Posted by: roxe Mar 26 2007, 09:09 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 26 2007, 01:41 PM) □**

It still takes me to Club Adventist but when I get there, it now says access denied. It was wide open before.

more info...

appears to be "open" now... got to read the ds interview threads...

down at the bottom of the page it has links to other pages... so i clicked the down arrow and chose linda shelton...

just got thru reading her interview threads...

not a member... looks like both pages are open to read...


didn't try any others...

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 26 2007, 09:19 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 07:09 PM) □**

As are many other months, if you look at all carefully. Updates are always archived. If there is no change or reason to archive as in searches requested and done by webcrawlers, etc, and it's the same as before, then it isn't done.

And your point was what, more of the conspiracy? And the implication that Danny Shelton is so powerful he controls the internet archives and the world wide web now also???? 

Did I say anything about conspiracy Alethia? I don't appreciate being attacked. I have seen a lot of it lately and it tires me out. Why not just try to carry on a decent conversation instead of attacking? I have stood up to those trying to attack or discredit me. But never attacked those who attacked me.

I have made suggestions or made comments or agreed with someone but I try to do unto others as I would have them do unto me.

Rosyroi (sorry my rose wilted after the attack)

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 09:21 PM**


**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 11:05 PM) □**

Aletheia,

Sorry if you thought I was being prickly. I did think you were responding to my post so that is my bad.

Posting a letter on May 20th would be a change so I don't understand why there isn't an archive for that day.

No problem then, and thank you.

Possibly the letter was written May 20 but not added to the website till it was updated at the beginning of June?? 

I do know the letter explaining why she wouldn't be back was done that way, it was sent out first to subscribers then added after that to the website, at least I think so, I'm gonna double check on that.

The above seems likely to me also, because I was under the impression that the first announcement came at the campmeeting at the very end of May?

**Posted by: Stan Mar 26 2007, 10:03 PM**

There several hundred domains posting to www.clubadventist.com. Some are the internet real estate that I own, some I have acquired for others, and some I bought just to keep safe.

I do own www.dannyshelton.com and he is aware of that. This will one day be transferred to him. I also own other leading Adventist names, and they are aware.

It was pointed out to this am, that some one said it was pointed out to the side, and they asked why.

A few months ago, when I was having sever server problems, I shut off 50 or so forums, but never reopened them after the transfer.

SOOOOOO I have reopened a lot of them, there was no conspiracy with whoever it was who stated this thread, and am rather surprised at some of the comments..


AND YES there is an interview coming up wth DS, and it will not be about LS, but about 3ABN.

I would like to do something again with LS, a lot of us miss her. She has not responded to my emails in the past.

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 10:13 PM**

You know I don't mind being wrong, if she can speak and has not, then to me, it is to her credit and she is truly the one who has' Move on". She doin' real good becuase a sista' would have told it to Oprah the first day I could! Be Patient, He aint' through with me yet!

She abided by it Ciny, or else you and I know Danny would have his \$25,000.00 back already.

Let's not get carried away and forget who we are dealing with. 

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 04:12 PM)** 

What do you mean she still can't? Why do you keep thinking and saying that?

Ask anyone of her defenders Di, there is no gag order in place, why do you think she wrote that letter on her website which was posted here?

-- not that she ever abided by it anyway, she got a website before the ink was dry on her agreement or divorce papers. published her questions and answers, talked about her "squished" experience and how she was trashed and fired, and even talked about the so called gag order... and published it...

And as the recent letters published on save3abn prove she was talking plenty to others as well...

AFAIC, the only time it was ever cited was as an excuse for why questions couldn't be answered or proof given for the things she, or her defenders claimed on her behalf..

Here is the archived record of what she said in part about her job, her marriage etc, while the so called gag order was allegedly still in place:

The asterix show the changes she made on the site,except for the most recent, but you can go to her site and see whats there now:

[http://web.archive.org/web/\\*/http://lindashelton.org](http://web.archive.org/web/*/http://lindashelton.org)

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:23 PM**

**QUOTE(Stan @ Mar 26 2007, 11:03 PM)**

She has not responded to my emails in the past.

found that frustrating, as that was what happened to me for months. Then I found out from Johann that they were all going into her SPAM folder.

Send me a copy too, and I'll try to make sure she gets it and reads it.

**Posted by: inga Mar 26 2007, 11:53 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 05:25 PM)**

No more than a cryptic "plot thickens" from Stan. Hmmmm.

I can see Stan chuckling hard enough to bounce in his chair as he typed that.   
I thought this discussion was rather funny myself, with the conclusion being fairly obvious. But maybe that's because I know Stan just a little.

**Posted by: inga Mar 27 2007, 12:14 AM**

Regarding Linda's not answering emails:

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:23 PM)**

I found that frustrating, as that was what happened to me for months. Then I found out from Johann that they were all going into her SPAM folder.

Well, it is apparent that not all unanswered emails go into Linda's spam folder. She did not reply to any of the three emails I sent her. Yet in her recent web site update I was a little taken aback to have a portion of one of my email quoted on her site -- without credit and without my permission. I don't particularly object, but I do not see it as a particularly shining example of Christian courtesy. Removing that quote now will not amend the situation, by the way.)

Of course I'm not nearly as uncritically admiring of Linda as many of her defenders here appear to be. Perhaps that's the reason I never received a reply.

I always thought and still believe that what she had to go through was a personal blessing in disguise, considering the princess role she played at 3ABN. And I would think a lot more of Linda if she deigned to actually go to work side by side with some of the people to whom she wishes to minister -- you

know, the folks who work at WalMart, McDonald's, Staples, or any number of retail outlets. Perhaps her pay-out was big enough so she doesn't need the money, but coming down to earth to work in a situation in which she is not a media star would put her back in touch with the "real world." (3ABN doesn't qualify as the "real world" in my view.)

As readers on this forum know, I have generally posted in defense of Linda because the accusations against her were utterly outrageous and over the top, not to mention petty and mean. It's extremely difficult to maintain one's spiritual equilibrium under such attacks, and I believe that Linda has done exceptionally well. I applaud her for that.

The bottom line is probably that Linda is not nearly as faultless as many would like to believe, nor is Danny as evil as the same folks would like to believe. The reverse is also likely true. (However, as regulars on this forum can probably tell, I believe the balance is in Linda's favor.)

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 27 2007, 08:26 AM**

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 26 2007, 10:33 PM)**

I got Veggie Skrimps and Whig!

snk

May I have some, please?

I learned a lot about the internet today...thanks to all of you who added your 2 cents about technology in this thread.....interesting!

---

**Posted by: Observer Mar 27 2007, 08:38 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 03:57 PM)**

Some is explained, Stan has opened back up the 3ABN topic on club Adventist, and here is the first post in 2 years:

Still, why are the dannyshelton.org and dannyshelton.com, and club adventist domains registered to the same person or entity, Stan?

You are in on "the plot" or have the inside scoop, or have solved the puzzle?

If so, why be so cryptic? Simply say what you mean, please.

I do not know if Stan has opened up the 3-ABN section in CA for discussion, or if he has not, or if he has simply opened up a very limited announcement. I am aware that Danny Shelton is likely to have another interview on Club Adventist. But, I was not aware that such was coming soon. If it is coming soon, it may be that Stan is simply announcing that interview.




---

**Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 27 2007, 09:29 AM**

---

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 26 2007, 09:33 PM)**

I got Veggie Skrimps and Whig!



Mmmm, bring it on! I know I'll be sick before the end of the day, PST

---

**Posted by: Stan Mar 27 2007, 10:51 AM**

---

**QUOTE(inga @ Mar 26 2007, 11:53 PM)**

I can see Stan chuckling hard enough to bounce in his chair as he typed that.   
I thought this discussion was rather funny myself, with the conclusion being fairly obvious. But maybe that's because I know Stan just a little.

This thread was more fun to watch before I responded.

Nice to see you my friend!!

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 11:01 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Stan @ Mar 27 2007, 08:51 AM)**

This thread was more fun to watch before I responded.

Nice to see you my friend!!

Well, we did have fun, didn't we! But it is also nice to know the rest of the story.

---

**Posted by: watchbird Mar 27 2007, 11:58 AM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 12:01 PM)**

Well, we did have fun, didn't we! But it is also nice to know the rest of the story.

Or it would BE nice to have the rest of the story. Having seen the 3abn forum on CA before it was closed, and having looked at the 3abn forum that is presently visible on CA, I have some questions.

- 1) Is there another 3abn forum available to those given special permission to see it besides the one that is visible currently?
- 2) If not, what happened to the multitude of posts that was on the old 3abn forum?
- 3) Since the ones that are currently visible are only ones which speak favorably of 3abn, does that mean that only favorable posts will be posted on this forum in the future?

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 01:11 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 26 2007, 06:13 PM)**

New interview coming to CA soon? Imagine the coincidence of having an internet billboard leading to a bulletin board/forum at such an opportune time! What are the odds!  rofl

Wonder if CA will keep the 3abn discussion open once the "interview" is done? Calvin, are you watching this?

PB

could be like the coincidence by gailon/bob team that if you type in 3abn.org it will direct you to the save3abn site. Unless they have recently changed it. Why don't you all examine that one for awhile.

---

**Posted by: Stan Mar 27 2007, 01:17 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 27 2007, 11:58 AM)**

Or it would BE nice to have the rest of the story. Having seen the 3abn forum on CA before it was closed, and having looked at the 3abn forum that is presently visible on CA, I have some questions.

- 1) Is there another 3abn forum available to those given special permission to see it besides the one that is visible currently?
- 2) If not, what happened to the multitude of posts that was on the old 3abn forum?
- 3) Since the ones that are currently visible are only ones which speak favorably of 3abn, does that mean that only favorable posts will be posted on this forum in the future?

I am sure there is another section/thread that was closed..

The current 3abn forum there has been open for a long time.. it talks about 3ABN not about the personal lives of leadership/employees/xleaders/exemployees

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 01:29 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Mar 27 2007, 01:14 AM)**

Regarding Linda's not answering emails:

Well, it is apparent that not all unanswered emails go into Linda's spam folder. She did not reply to any of the three emails I sent her. Yet in her recent web site update I was a little taken aback to have a portion of one of my email quoted on her site -- without credit and without my permission. I don't particularly object, but I do not see it as a particularly shining example of Christian courtesy. (Removing that quote now will not amend the situation, by the way.)

Of course I'm not nearly as uncritically admiring of Linda as many of her defenders here appear to be. Perhaps that's the reason I never received a reply.

I always thought and still believe that what she had to go through was a personal blessing in disguise, considering the princess role she played at 3ABN. And I would think a lot more of Linda if she deigned to actually go to work side by side with some of the people to whom she wishes to minister -- you know, the folks who work at WalMart, McDonald's, Staples, or any number of retail outlets. Perhaps her pay-out was big enough so she doesn't need the money, but coming down to earth to work in a situation in which she is not a media star would put her back in touch with the "real world." (3ABN doesn't qualify as the "real world" in my view.)

As readers on this forum know, I have generally posted in defense of Linda because the accusations against her were utterly outrageous and over the top, not to mention petty and mean. It's extremely difficult to maintain one's spiritual equilibrium under such attacks, and I believe that Linda has done exceptionally well. I applaud her for that.

The bottom line is probably that Linda is not nearly as faultless as many would like to believe, nor is Danny as evil as the same folks would like to believe. The reverse is also likely true. (However, as regulars on this forum can probably tell, I believe the balance is in Linda's favor.)

Interesting and fair post Inga. Especially the paragraph highlighted. Her pay out from 3abn and DS was indeed, extremely large, to those of us that are your everyday blue collar workers. IMO it seemed things really heated up on these forums a few months before her payments ran out. Hardly coincidence. I do disagree with your statement that 3abn is not the real world. Even though I understand in what context you make the statement, the fact remains, it is very real for all of those that devote their time, resources and energy everyday to make possible, the spreading of the gospel. I am sure that from those who clean the complex, to the operators, to the camera crews, to the directors and all the way up, those people know that they are definitely a part of the "real world" and their work and sacrifice is making a difference. No matter what is said about administration, the true reality is, those people work hard, deal with all kinds of difficulties, have huge decisions to make, have to deal with all kinds of people day in and day out, have to deal with tons of paperwork, finances, budgets, overseas projects...etc etc My point is, I guess, Is just because DS or CA murray or John L is in front of the camera once in a while, contrary to what some think, that is not a justifiable payoff for what these folks have to deal with. The only true payoff is they know they are a tool to win souls to the kingdom.

Thanks, Inga, for listening.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 01:43 PM**

It is not a question if the pay out was large. It is large, but was it fair? Was it her fair share of a ministry she helped to build? That is the question, and answer is no, since 3ABN is worth tens of millions of dollars. So the pay out can be large without being fair. Once again, even if she is guilty of all Danny charges, it doesn't excuse him to cheat her out of her fair share of the business. Especially since he is the one still there claiming to be the leader in Christian living. He needs to lead ALL things. This is about Danny's own words and deeds, toward Linda and others.

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 11:29 AM)**

Interesting and fair post Inga. Especially the paragraph highlighted. Her pay out from 3abn and DS was indeed, extremely large, to those of us that are your everyday blue collar workers. IMO it seemed things really heated up on these forums a few months before her payments ran out. Hardly coincidence. I do disagree with your statement that 3abn is not the real world. Even though I understand in what context you make the statement, the fact remains, it is very real for all of those that devote their time, resources and energy everyday to make possible, the spreading of the gospel. I am sure that from those who clean the complex, to the operators, to the camera crews, to the directors and all the way up, those people know that they are definitely a part of the "real world" and their work and sacrifice is making a difference. No matter what is said about administration, the true reality is, those people work hard, deal with all kinds of difficulties, have huge decisions to make, have to deal with all kinds of people day in and day out, have to deal with tons of paperwork, finances, budgets, overseas projects....etc etc My point is, I guess, is just because DS or CA murray or John L is in front of the camera once in a while, contrary to what some think, that is not a justifiable payoff for what these folks have to deal with. The only true payoff is they know they are a tool to win souls to the kingdom. Thanks, Inga, for listening.

---

**Posted by: Observer Mar 27 2007, 02:20 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 27 2007, 10:58 AM)**

Or it would BE nice to have the rest of the story. Having seen the 3abn forum on CA before it was closed, and having looked at the 3abn forum that is presently visible on CA, I have some questions.

- 1) Is there another 3abn forum available to those given special permission to see it besides the one that is visible currently?
- 2) If not, what happened to the multitude of posts that was on the old 3abn forum?
- 3) Since the ones that are currently visible are only ones which speak favorably of 3abn, does that mean that only favorable posts will be posted on this forum in the future?

I will respond to your questions:

- 1) There is no 3-ABN forum open for review and discussion on Club Adventist, other than the one that

you can see.

2) The posts in the old discussion forum were stored in a place that Stan could access. I could not. I do not know if other Administrators had access to that section. Stan has done some software upgrades since that section was closed. He has also move to another servier. I do not know if those posts survived the move to another server.

3) As I understand the current policy at Club Adventist, in regard to 3-ABN, there is no intent to allow a free-ranging discussion of 3-ABN, such as CA once had. Therefore, in general, one might say that the favorable content of the posts presently made in the one open section on 3-ABN in Club Adventist, fairly represents what is going to be allowed in the future.

Stan, as you are likely to read my post, feel free to correct me if I have misunderstood.

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 27 2007, 03:09 PM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 02:29 PM)**

IMO it seemed things really heated up on these forums a few months before her payments ran out. Hardly coincidence.

What's the point?

---

**Posted by: calvin Mar 27 2007, 03:53 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 01:43 PM)**

It is not a question if the pay out was large. It is large, but was it fair? Was it her fair share of a ministry she helped to build? That is the question, and answer is no, since 3ABN is worth tens of millions of dollars. So the pay out can be large without being fair. Once again, even if she is guilty of all Danny charges, it doesn't excuse him to cheat her out of her fair share os the buisness. Espeicially since he is the one still there claiming to be the leader in Christian living. He needs to lead ALL things. This is about Danny's own words and deeds, toward Linda and others.

My position as always been that it was fair, more than fair. They did not own her what they gave her, unless she had an employment contract. All any employers owns you is a honest days pay for a honest days work. Linda, was not an owner of 3abn, she was an employer and officer. The 3abn corporation is the owner. Would you really expect them to sell half the assets and give it to Linda? That would dissolve the business. A corporation structure safeguards against this happening. A corporation has a life of it's own, regardless who runs it. It is by design should any one person leave the business for whatever reason, the business/corporation will go on. Co-founder,/how the business started means anything.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 04:19 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 01:53 PM)**

My position as always been that it was fair, more than fair. They did not own her what they gave her, unless she had an employment contract. **All any employers owns you is a honest days pay for a honest days work.** Linda, was not an owner of 3abn, she was an employer and officer. The 3abn corporation is the owner. Would you really expect them to sell half the assets and give it to Linda? That would dissolve the business. A corporation structure safeguards against this happening. A corporation has a life of it's own, regardless who runs it. It is by design should any one person leave the business for whatever reason, the business/corporation will go on. Co-founder,/how the business started means anything.

While I do tend to agree with you on this point, I just wonder what DS's severance package will look like if he is asked to step down? I mean, the poor guy only has two bank accounts with a total of \$1500 between them after all.

Will he, as president and co-founder, settle for what I have highlighted in your post above?

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 05:04 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 04:53 PM)**

My position as always been that it was fair, more than fair. They did not own her what they gave her, unless she had an employment contract. All any employers owns you is a honest days pay for a honest days work. Linda, was not an owner of 3abn, she was an employer and officer. The 3abn corporation is the owner. Would you really expect them to sell half the assets and give it to Linda? That would dissolve the business. A corporation structure safeguards against this happening. A corporation has a life of it's own, regardless who runs it. It is by design should any one person leave the business for whatever reason, the business/corporation will go on. Co-founder,/how the business started means anything.

not even close..... as a follower of Christ he had an obligation to go above and beyond fair....

Mat 5:40-48

**QUOTE**

If someone sues you for your shirt, give up your coat as well. (41) If a soldier forces you to carry his pack one mile, carry it two miles. (42) When people ask you for something, give it to them. When they want to borrow money, lend it to them. (43) You have heard people say, "Love your neighbors and hate your enemies." (44) But I tell you to love your enemies and pray for anyone who mistreats you. (45) Then you will be acting like your Father in heaven. He makes the sun rise on both good and bad people. And he sends rain for the ones who do right and for the ones who do wrong. (46) If you love only those people who love you, will God reward you for that? Even tax collectors love their friends. (47) If you greet only your friends, what's so great about that? Don't even unbelievers do that? (48) But you must always act like your Father in heaven.

It wasn't even fair by the world's standards... tell me of another husband and wife team who split up, when there were millions of dollars in the company they founded that the wife got less than half a million.....

Babyface and his wife divorce, their company was worth 140 million, she got half..... Michael Jordan and his wife divorced, he is worth estimated 400 million, she might not get half, but she is getting a

whole lot more than a few hundred thousand dollars....

So I understand your position Calvin, and I have from the start, but I do not agree.... Danny got over....

---

**Posted by: calvin Mar 27 2007, 09:39 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 06:04 PM)**

not even close..... as a follower of Christ he had an obligation to go above and beyond fair....

Mat 5:40-48

It wasn't even fair by the world's standards... tell me of another husband and wife team who split up, when there were millions of dollars in the company they founded that the wife got less than half a million.....

Babyface and his wife divorce, their company was worth 140 million, she got half..... Michael Jordan and his wife divorced, he is worth estimated 400 million, she might not get half, but she is getting a whole lot more than a few hundred thousand dollars....

So I understand your position Calvin, and I have from the start, but I do not agree.... Danny got over....

---

Show me the average Joe that gets 2 year severance for loosing a job for whatever reason. Not many. The other stuff is apples and oranges. Hopefully, Linda did half or close to that with their **personal assets**, that is what gets split up in a divorce. Danny does not own 3abn to give her much more nor is he obligated too.

I don't know why so many of you think Linda deserves so much anyway. I am sure 3abn is nothing like it is now when they started it together 25 years ago. The Adventist church with its endorsement of 3abn is responsible for it's success, so are the donors, and the volunteers, and the other independent ministries that broadcast on 3abn, the employees, the technical staff that put it on the air. But Linda deserves half the business? Hardly. Even when I did watch 3abn, I did not watch it to see them. It an't all about Linda and Danny.

After three years the Linda Shelton pity party is as tiresome as the stuff from the other side. Linda it is three years already, forget about writing a book, and updating your website with your side, and posting personal letters between you and Danny. GO GET A JOB.

---

**Posted by: sister Mar 27 2007, 10:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 10:39 PM)**

Show me the average Joe that gets 2 year severance for loosing a job for whatever reason. Not many. The other stuff is apples and oranges. Hopefully, Linda did half or close to that with their **personal assets**, that is what gets split up in a divorce. Danny does not own 3abn to give her much more nor is he obligated too.

I don't know why so many of you think Linda deserves so much anyway. I am sure 3abn is nothing like it is now when they started it together 25 years ago. The Adventist church with its endorsement of 3abn is responsible for it's success, so are the donors, and the volunteers, and the

other independent ministries that broadcast on 3abn, the employees, the technical staff that put it on the air. But Linda deserves half the business? Hardly. Even when I did watch 3abn, I did not watch it to see them. It an't all about Linda and Danny.

After three years the Linda Shelton pity party is as tiresome as the stuff from the other side. Linda it is three years already, forget about writing a book, and updating your website with your side, and posting personal letters between you and Danny. GO GET A JOB.

Calvin, if you are speaking as the Boss, I have no comments.

If you are speaking as a member giving his opinion, then I respectfully disagree with you on certain points, although I understand your position. **My understanding is that because of the information that has been revealed about certain activities at 3ABN, the opinion of the church, at the top, has shifted and a number of definite appointments and other additional opportunities to minister within the SDA church are now opening up for Linda.** At this time she is in preparation for speaking and singing appointments. If Linda wants to write a book about her experiences, she has the right to do so. If you have no desire to read it, you have the right not to purchase a copy. Linda's website? No one is forced to read it. I am sure that only those who are interested in hearing what she has to say go there. The same could be said about save3ABN.org. But that is just my opinion.

Sister

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 11:57 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 09:39 PM) □**

Show me the average Joe that gets 2 year severance for loosing a job for whatever reason. Not many. The other stuff is apples and oranges. Hopefully, Linda did half or close to that with their **personal assets**, that is what gets split up in a divorce. Danny does not own 3abn to give her much more nor is he obligated too.

...GO GET A JOB

May I respectfully point out that LS was not just an "average Joe" at 3ABN - she was, at a minimum, a Vice President and arguably a co-founder. I am not advocating for or against her or the settlement she received. However, I know of someone - a very average joe, or susie - who negotiated over a years salary in a settlement agreement with a company simply because they were treated unfairly.

Just my \$0.02

Snoopy

Yes... I do agree with the concept of getting a job. If the money is gone, it's gone. There is no more. We all have to earn a living somehow.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 28 2007, 07:46 AM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 11:39 PM) □**



GO GET A JOB.

Calvin, you are allowing the noise to obscure the simple but important fact that for someone whose vocation is Christian ministry, an unresolved allegation of overt sin makes one virtually unhireable in that vocation. Her witness and credibility as a minister of the gospel is contingent upon her name and reputation...and as long as that allegation remains unrefuted ( it's being denied is insufficient; exoneration is required ) her ability to to work is severely impinged... if not completely blocked.

in His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 08:02 AM**

good points... she was not an average joe.... and she was co-founder.... AND.....what was done was not remotely christian.....IMO....

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 09:15 AM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 11:39 PM) □**

Show me the average Joe that gets 2 year severance for loosing a job for whatever reason. Not many. The other stuff is apples and oranges. Hopefully, Linda did half or close to that with their **personal assets**, that is what gets split up in a divorce. Danny does not own 3abn to give her much more nor is he obligated too.

I don't know why so many of you think Linda deserves so much anyway. I am sure 3abn is nothing like it is now when they started it together 25 years ago. The Adventist church with its endorsement of 3abn is responsible for it's success, so are the donors, and the volunteers, and the other independent ministries that broadcast on 3abn, the employees, the technical staff that put it on the air. But Linda deserves half the business? Hardly. Even when I did watch 3abn, I did not watch it to see them. It an't all about Linda and Danny.

After three years the Linda Shelton pity party is as tiresome as the stuff from the other side. Linda it is three years already, forget about writing a book, and updating your website with your side, and posting personal letters between you and Danny. GO GET A JOB.

agree, since Danny owns none of 3ABN, Linda's half of none is none. She got a settlement as a ex-employee which added up to just short of a 1/4 of a million dollars.

The Marital property is a separate issue, and being resolved right now, as they both originally divided all in their divorce agreement, and she has sued him since then to try and recieve more.

The court will decide what is fair, and all this argument in the mean time, without knowing all, is ridiculous to me.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 09:29 AM**

Personally, I think she deserves it because for the very reason you don't. it IS a business. She and her husband built it together. It is not the same as either one of them being hired on as CEO or COB. They built it together. Plus, 3ABN is alternatively buisness and ministry when it is convenient.

Too often when claiming buisness, they leave their " ministry" to the side and go ruthless corporate. That is what they did here. It is a Donald Trump move. He admittedly divorced Marla when he did because the prenup said if he waited untilt he next year he would have to pay her \$5mil as oppose to the \$1mil he paid here. Donald has not even professed to be christian so we really expect that from him So what Danny and the board did might have been legally right--- barely--but was it ethically correct, then there are those pesky chirstian values to consider. Then Danny and the rest of the board who are on TV get up and still promote themselves as leaders in not just Christian living, but Adventist Christian living. We also know that people at that level are allowed to " resign" with all their benefits and perks in tact.

I also agree with Kevin, while these allegations go un answered and unresolved, Linda is inhibited in her ability to make aliving for herself in her chosen profession.

Then I am going to ask all those who think this was fair one more time, to tell me what Danny would do if he was dismissed with \$250,000.00, and would you think that was fair?

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 08:39 PM)**

Show me the average Joe that gets 2 year severance for loosing a job for whatever reason. Not many. The other stuff is apples and oranges. Hopefully, Linda did half or close to that with their **personal assets**, that is what gets split up in a divorce. Danny does not own 3abn to give her much more nor is he obligated too.

**I don't know why so many of you think Linda deserves so much anyway.** I am sure 3abn is nothing like it is now when they started it together 25 years ago. The Adventist church with its endorsement of 3abn is responsible for it's success, so are the donors, and the volunteers, and the other independent ministries that broadcast on 3abn, the employees, the technical staff that put it on the air. But Linda deserves half the business? Hardly. Even when I did watch 3abn, I did not watch it to see them. It an't all about Linda and Danny.

After three years the Linda Shelton pity party is as tiresome as the stuff from the other side. Linda iit is three years already, forget about writing a book, and updating your website with your side, and posting personal letters between you and Danny. GO GET A JOB.

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Mar 28 2007, 09:30 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 10:15 AM)**

I agree, since Danny owns none of 3ABN, Linda's half of none is none. She got a settlement as a ex-employee which added up to just short of a 1/4 of a million dollars.

Aletheia~

I have wondered about something for several weeks now since reading the various opinions about the separation agreement Linda signed. I am curious if since Linda signed the agreement in June, 2004 a separation agreement has been prepared in the event Danny were to leave 3abn? Do you know know if that has happened?

**Posted by: calvin Mar 28 2007, 09:34 AM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Mar 27 2007, 11:58 PM)**

Calvin, if you are speaking as the Boss, I have no comments.

If you are speaking as a member giving his opinion, then I respectfully disagree with you on certain points, although I understand your position. My understanding is that because of the information that has been revealed about certain activities at 3ABN, the opinion of the church, at the top, has shifted and a number of definite appointments and other additional opportunities to minister within the SDA church are now opening up for Linda. At this time she is in preparation for speaking and singing appointments. If Linda wants to write a book about her experiences, she has the right to do so. If you have no desire to read it, you have the right not to purchase a copy. Linda's website? No one is forced to read it. I am sure that only those who are interested in hearing what she has to say go there. The same could be said about save3ABN.org. But that is just my opinion.

Sister

Sister, I was wearing my member hat. And I want you and everyone else to feel comfortable disagreeing with my opinions. It's ok.  Thank you for the update on Linda, glad to hear she is moving on with her life.

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 28 2007, 12:57 AM)**

May I respectfully point out that LS was not just an "average Joe" at 3ABN - she was, at a minimum, a Vice President and arguably a co-founder. I am not advocating for or against her or the settlement she received. However, I know of someone - a very average joe, or susie - who negotiated over a years salary in a settlement agreement with a company simply because they were treated unfairly.

Just my \$0.02

Snoopy

PS... I do agree with the concept of getting a job. If the money is gone, it's gone. There is no more. We all have to earn a living somehow.

No she is not an average Joe. A two year salary compensation for executives is consider very fair by corporate standards....and by the way, a gag order is standard practice too...and it is not considered in any way Unethical . I would have done the same. A business has the right to protect itself from disgruntle employees. They are paying you to keep quiet. If you want to talk, don't take the money.

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 28 2007, 08:46 AM)**

Calvin, you are allowing the noise to obscure the simple but important fact that for someone whose vocation is Christian ministry, an unresolved allegation of overt sin makes one virtually unhireable in that vocation. Her witness and credibility as a minister of the gospel is contingent upon her name and reputation...and as long as that allegation remains unrefuted ( it's being denied is insufficient; exoneration is required ) her ability to to work is severely impinged... if not completely blocked.

In His service,

Mr. J

don't believe her ability to work was in any place severely impinged. I ask, then where was her faith? If she is blocked in Adventist circle, then take your ministry to the rest of the world. I just don't get it. Maybe it is a gender thing, maybe a race thing. I have had road blocks thrown and me all my life. I grew up in single parent home, poor, ghetto environment, slow learner, Enron lost all retirement. Yet with God's help, I manage to find a way to succeed. Sorry I ain't feeling it for Linda.

**QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 09:02 AM)**   
good points... she was not an average joe.... and she was co-founder.... AND.....what was done was not remotely christian.....IMO....

hey Clay, we have beat this dead horse enough, moving on.

**Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 28 2007, 10:13 AM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 11:34 AM)**   
I don't believe her ability to work was in any place severely impinged.

Which is your prerogative... but the fact that churches are still being instructed to not allow her to speak or sing says otherwise.

3

**QUOTE**  
I ask, then where was her faith?

her problems do not stem from a lack of faith...

**QUOTE**  
If she is blocked in Adventist circle, then take your ministry to the rest of the world.

You cant be serious. You think someone who has been steeped in this church will just pick up and go to the Baptist church down the street to minister there knowing they embrace doctrine Adventism believes to be error and not of God? BTW... if she is blocked in Adventist circles... and there is extensive evidence that she has been and continues to be... then her ability to work is, in fact, impinged.

**QUOTE**  
I just don't get it. Maybe it is a gender thing, maybe a race thing. I have had road blocks thrown and me all my life. I grew up in single parent home, poor, ghetto environment, slow learner, Enron lost all retirement. Yet with God's help, I manage to find a way to succeed. Sorry I ain't feeling it for Linda.

Try this... growing up in that single parent home working to get out of the 'hood and you are accused by someone powerful of something heinous... something you did not do, but because of the person making the accusation, the inclination of people is to believe you guilty until you prove otherwise... dont you think that will have a seriously adverse effect on your succeeding?

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 10:13 AM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 10:34 AM)**

Hey Clay, we have beat this dead horse enough, moving on.

true enough... but Calvin you brought that stick out again and starting beating... I was just adding my cent and a half....  rofl

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 10:33 AM**

One last thing for your consideration Calvin... if one is assessing what is fair and stating that what linda got was fair....does it not make sense to find out if now that 3abn confronted that issue they would provide for that situation if it happened again? IMO it was poor legal/business planning to start off with not to have settled that question and to not be prepared to do it if it happened again either means a stupid board or they are delusional despite good legal advice.... but that's just me....

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 10:45 AM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 28 2007, 09:29 AM)**

Then I am going to ask all those who think this was fair one more time, to tell me what Danny would do if he was dismissed with \$250,000.00, and would you think that was fair?

Depends on if he discloses all his assets, and what those assets are worth. How much has he already made off of 3ABN that he wasn't supposed to? I don't know the answer, but it should be figured in.

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 09:15 AM)**

I agree, since Danny owns none of 3ABN, Linda's half of none is none.

Not sure if that is technically true. Depends on whether 3ABN is operating as a non-profit or as a family business.

**Posted by: Lee Mar 28 2007, 10:55 AM**

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 11:45 AM)**

Depends on if he discloses all his assets, and what those assets are worth. How much has he already made off of 3ABN that he wasn't supposed to? I don't know the answer, but it should be figured in.

Not sure if that is technically true. Depends on whether 3ABN is operating as a non-profit or as a family business.

Pickle, what kind of assets do you have? How much do you make? Actually: do you even work??? How successful has your business been? What is your business? Would you please disclose your financial statement? Have you been audited? Do you pay taxes? What is your current personal checking bank balance? What do you have in savings/cd's/investments? Please supply us with numbers so we can verify your information. Also include a copy of your federal tax information.

If you think it is fair to publish to the whole world what Danny has in his personal bank account and then insinuate that he is being dishonest--then I think you would be happy to supply us with the same information about yourself. Afterall, if the shoe fits.....

**Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 10:59 AM**

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 28 2007, 11:55 AM)**

Pickle, what kind of assets do you have? How much do you make? Actually: do you even work??? How successful has your business been? What is your business? Would you please disclose your financial statement? Have you been audited? Do you pay taxes? What is your current personal checking bank balance? What do you have in savings/cd's/investments? Please supply us with numbers so we can verify your information. Also include a copy of your federal tax information.

If you think it is fair to publish to the whole world what Danny has in his personal bank account and then insinuate that he is being dishonest--then I think you would be happy to supply us with the same information about yourself. Afterall, if the shoe fits.....

I don't think Pickle is trying to divorce his wife, and marry someone younger.... neither is he running a ministry and calling himself the face of adventism..... so you are not even comparing apples and oranges... sheesh.....

**Posted by: Observer Mar 28 2007, 10:59 AM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 08:34 AM)**

No she is not an average Joe. A two year salary compensation for executives is consider very fair by corporate standards....and by the way, a gag order is standard practice too...and it is not considered in any way Unethical . I would have done the same. A business has the right to protect itself from disgruntle employees. They are paying you to keep quiet. If you want to talk, don't take the money.

O.K. Let us take as fact your statement that a 2-year salary for a corporate executive is very fair. So, Exxon fires a VP, and gives that VP a 3-year salary, and there is a gag clause in the contract. You will note that the gag clause in the contract likely applied to Exxon as a corporation, its business practices, and trade secrets. Fair enough.

Would Exxon fire that VP because she and the President got divorced?

Would Exxon put a gag clause in the contract that prevented the VP from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce? Would that be considered fair?

My point: The separation agreement mixes up the legitimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.

That is (was) not appropriate. Any legitimate gag order in the separation agreement should have been severely limited to that of the interests of the corporation, and not the personal lives of Danny and Linda.

In regard to whether or not the money given to Linda was fair, that is a very complex question that may not be totally clear at this point in time.

It is claimed that 3-ABN is a ministry, and much more than either Danny or Linda. O.K. If that is true, one might have one answer.

It should also be noted that Judge Rowe ruled that 3-ABN was, at least in some aspects, a business. To the extent that such is true, then it just might be the another answer might be correct.

It has been stated that Linda was just an employee. I would not believe such a statement to be true about Danny. I think that he was much more than just an employee, and that he contributed much more than that of just an employee. So, also, I think the same of Linda. Both are (were) much more than just employees. So, perhaps both should be compensated much more than just an employee.

---

**Posted by: calvin Mar 28 2007, 11:50 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 28 2007, 10:13 AM) □**

You cant be serious. You think someone who has been steeped in this church will just pick up and go to the Baptist church down the street to minister there knowing they embrace doctrine Adventism believes to be error and not of God? BTW... if she is blocked in Adventist circles... and there is extensive evidence that she has been and continues to be... then her ability to work is, in fact, impinged.

Yes I am serious. Linda's ministry was not beating people over the head with the Sabbath. She recorded Christian music and wrote devotional books. She could marketed this to the Christian community. Like Danny was all powerful to keep her out of Christian book stores.

**QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 10:13 AM) □**

true enough... but Calvin you brought that stick out again and starting beating... I was just adding

my cent and a half....  rofl

'eah, I know man. But I got to pick my spots when I can. Like when I got a slow day at work

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 10:59 AM)**

Would Exxon fire that VP because she and the President got divorced?  
That depends. Businesses often make decisions for very practical reasons..and from a practical view point it would not make much sense for Linda and Danny being on camera after the divorce like everything is AOK. So one of them had to go....and the boss is usually going to win that battle.  
Would Exxon put a gag clause in the contract that prevented the VP from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce? Would that be considered fair?  
Probably if the wife is an officer of the company and married to the president. If the VP is married to a disinterested party, then no.My point: The separation agreement mixes up the litigimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.  
I agree, the marriage/business relationship muddy the water.

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 12:37 PM**

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 28 2007, 10:55 AM)**

If you think it is fair to publish to the whole world what Danny has in his personal bank account and then insinuate that he is being dishonest--then I think you would be happy to supply us with the same information about yourself. Afterall, if the shoe fits....

f I will, will you?

**Posted by: simplysaved Mar 28 2007, 12:58 PM**

Even Sonny and Cher had to call it quits with the show after a year or two!

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 11:59 AM)**

O.K. Let us take as fact your statement that a 2-year salary for a corporate executive is very fair. So, Exxon fires a VP, and gives that VP a 3-year salary, and there is a gag clause in the contract. You will note that the gag clause in the contract likely applied to Exxon as a corporation, its business practices, and trade secrets. Fair enough.

Would Exxon fire that VP because she and the President got divorced?

Would Exxon put a gag clause in the contract that prevented the VP from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce? Would that be considered fair?

My point: The separation agreement mixes up the litigimate business concerns of 3-ABN with



personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.

That is (was) not appropriate. Any litigimate gag order in the seperation agreement should have been severely limited to that of the interests of the corporation, and not the personal lives of Danny and Linda.

In regard to whether or not the money given to Linda was fair, that is a very complex question that may not be totally clear at this point in time.

It is claimed that 3-ABN is a ministry, and much more than either Danny or Linda. O.K. If that it true, one might have one answer.

It should also be noted that Judge Rowe ruled that 3-ABN was, at least in some aspects, a business. To the extent that such is true, then it just might be the another answer might be correct.

It has been stated that Linda was just an employee. I would not believe such a statement to be true about Danny. I think that he was much more than just an employee, and that he contributed much more that that of just an employee. So, also, I think the same of Linda. Both are (were) much more than just employees. So, perhaps both shoud be compensated much more than just an employee.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 01:06 PM**

I don't know Bob, I don't even think I want to see what will happened if somebody tried to pay out Danny for \$250,000.00. Especially, is as you are saying it has been a "cash cow" for him, and definitely not the way he plays that "miracle" story to the max.....Naaawww, can't see it!

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 08:45 AM)**

Depends on if he discloses all his assets, and what those assets are worth. How much has he already made off of 3ABN that he wasn't supposed to? I don't know the answer, but it should be figured in.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 01:25 PM**

That is what I have been saying from the beginning. **3ABN acted on behalf of Danny with that separation order.** It was more about keeping her quiet about the marriage/divorce then about company secrets. Now, I understand why they did it. The nature of their business being "ministry" they could not have her out there saying anything contrary to the story they were telling. IOW, her talking would have hurt the business, but it is phrased and considered that it would hurt the 3ABN, the ministry. Then we switch back to buisness mode and she is just an employee and we are giving you an extremely generous severance package. It is just that swtiching backa nd forth between corporation and ministry when convenient that is not right. As I said it was a Donald Trump move, not a move for Danny, leader in Adventist Christian living.

13

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 08:59 AM)**

**My point: The seperation agreement mixes up the litigimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.**

That is (was) not appropriate. Any litigimate gag order in the seperation agreement should have been severely limited to that of the interests of the corporation, and not the personal lives of Danny and Linda.

Lee, just take it down a notch or two. I am sure Bob will answer for himself, however, I don't think he meant that Danny needed to disclose his fiances here. I presented a scenario and he was giving some factors in that scenario. That is it. All that you said in the post was totally unnecessary. That is why I always say to get a good understanding before posting. For this one even went back to look at why Bob posted what he did to make sure.

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 28 2007, 08:55 AM)**

Pickle, what kind of assets do you have? How much do you make? Actually: do you even work??? How successful has your business been? What is your business? Would you please disclose your financial statement? Have you been audited? Do you pay taxes? What is your current personal checking bank balance? What do you have in savings/cd's/investments? Please supply us with numbers so we can verify your information. Also include a copy of your federal tax information.

If you think it is fair to publish to the whole world what Danny has in his personal bank account and then insinuate that he is being dishonest--then I think you would be happy to supply us with the same information about yourself. Afterall, if the shoe fits.....

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 28 2007, 01:52 PM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 11:59 AM)**

O.K. Let us take as fact your statement that a 2-year salary for a corporate executive is very fair. So, Exxon fires a VP, and gives that VP a 3-year salary, and there is a gag clause in the contract. You will note that the gag clause in the contract likely appllied to Exxon as a corporateion, its business practices, and trade secrets. Fair enough.

Would Exxon fire that VP because she and the President got divorced?

Would Exxon put a gag clause in the contract that prevented the VP from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce? Would that be considered fair?

My point: The seperation agreement mixes up the litigimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.

That is (was) not appropriate. Any litigimate gag order in the seperation agreement should have been severely limited to that of the interests of the corporation, and not the personal lives of

Danny and Linda.

In regard to whether or not the money given to Linda was fair, that is a very complex question that may not be totally clear at this point in time.

It is claimed that 3-ABN is a ministry, and much more than either Danny or Linda. O.K. If that is true, one might have one answer.

It should also be noted that Judge Rowe ruled that 3-ABN was, at least in some aspects, a business. To the extent that such is true, then it just might be the another answer might be correct.

It has been stated that Linda was just an employee. I would not believe such a statement to be true about Danny. I think that he was much more than just an employee, and that he contributed much more than that of just an employee. So, also, I think the same of Linda. Both are (were) much more than just employees. So, perhaps both should be compensated much more than just an employee.

so agree with you, Observer. But I'm willing to go out on a limb and wonder just how many sophisticated businesses would even allow a husband/wife team at the executive level, for precisely his reason.

---

**Posted by: roxe Mar 28 2007, 02:00 PM**

thanks, Observer and Pdi... you've just cleared up the confusion that's been bothering me ever since coming here and learning about this.

not only Sonny and Cher, but Ricky and Lucy Ricardo (I love Lucy) couldn't make it work either... then you add non-profit to it??

no wonder its such a convoluted mess...

then add to the mix at least three people supposedly telling Danny that his every thought, dream and idea was coming directly from God... supposedly that he could do no wrong... supposedly being called God's last day prophet...

wow, talk about being set up!!

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 02:42 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 12:59 PM)**

....Would Exxon put a gag clause in the contract that prevented the VP from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce? Would that be considered fair?

My point: The seperation agreement mixes up the litigimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation, and the business and the personal are confused and combined.

That is (was) not appropriate. Any litigimate gag order in the seperation agreement should have been severely limited to that of the interests of the corporation, and not the personal lives of Danny and Linda.

I have read the contract many times, and also the claims above many times both from you and many others, and have yet to see how they are factual.

The contract is between 3ABN the entity and L.S. and is not between Linda and Danny. It actually goes out of its way to avoid your interpretation of it, as it doesn't even call Danny Shelton by name, or refer to her husband at all. She was only bound to **"not make public statements critical of Three Angels, the board of directors, or any of its officers or employees"** during that 2 year period.

IOW she could not during that time make public negative statements about D.S. in his role as President or a 3ABN board member. She was NEVER restricted of speaking of him outside those two positions, which meant she was free to speak of him as her husband and ex-husband.

The contract also clearly says:

**"Nothing in the above shall prevent Mrs. Shelton from seeking a fair and equitable split of her marital property, which shall not include any property owned by Three Angels Broadcasting Network, in or out of court, in any separation or divorce proceeding. Any moneys received by Mrs. Shelton under this agreement shall be considered her separate and personal property, and not marital property"**

Even if she signed under duress as people here keep claiming and had no choice; it also clearly allows arbitration in a fair and Christian manner, if she later has a problem with any of it. She never availed herself of this recourse...

Mr Matthews, Why don't you highlight the words in the contract which support and demonstrate your claims here:

1. a gag clause in the contract that prevented Linda from commenting adversely in regard to the specifics of the divorce
2. the separation agreement mixes up the legitimate business concerns of 3-ABN with personal issues.
3. It elevates the personal aspects of the lives of Linda and Danny to the level of the 3-ABN corporation.
4. The business and personal lives are confused and combined.

I've provided it here for your convenience:

#### QUOTE

### **Separation Agreement Between Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc. and Linda Shelton**

Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc. ("Three Angels") and Linda Shelton ("Mrs. Shelton"), hereby agree to the following terms and conditions:

1. Three Angels agrees to pay Linda Shelton, within seven days of the signing of this document by both parties, the sum of \$45,000. Three Angels agrees to transfer to Linda the rights, including the masters, to the five musical CD projects that she has had a primary role in (two solo projects and three duet projects with Danny and Linda) and the eight musical songs on video from the I Think About Grace album.
2. Three Angels agrees to pay Linda Shelton, within seven days of the signing of this agreement by

both parties, a further sum of \$45,000.

3. Three Angels also agrees to pay Linda Shelton \$75,000 for each of the years 2005 and 2006. This money will be paid out in 24 equal installments (\$6,250.00) beginning the first Monday of January, 2005, and continuing to be paid on the first Monday of each month for the next 23 months, ending with the payment on the first Monday of December 2006. These payments shall continue to be made only insofar as Mrs. Shelton complies with the conditions specified below.

4. All the above payment amounts represent gross severance pay and settlement figures, and the actual amount given to Mrs. Shelton will be reduced by the amount that employers are required to withhold by law for state and federal income taxes and other mandatory withholdings.

[page 2]

5. Linda Shelton agrees that, in consideration for the \$90,000 which she will receive in seven days from the time that both parties sign this agreement, she will not bring any lawsuit, legal action, or other claim against Three Angels Broadcasting Network, any of its officers or directors, or any of its employees, in any court, forum, tribunal or proceeding for damages, whether compensatory or punitive, compensation, or other relief.

6. Linda Shelton agrees that, in consideration for the \$90,000 and for the monthly payments that will commence in January 2005, that **she will not make public statements critical of Three Angels, the board of directors, or any of its officers or employees.** Public statements include those made on the air, whether via radio or television, in print, whether in newspapers, magazines, books or other printed sources, or circulated on the internet in the form of e-mail or web-postings, or made at churches or other public meeting sites. She also agrees that she will not make statements in private for her friends, agents, or third parties to attribute to her in a public manner as described above. If her friends, agents or other third parties make public statements critical of Three Angels, Mrs. Shelton shall immediately, upon notification by Three Angels, cooperate with Three Angels and request in writing and by telephone, if reasonably possible, that public statements made by third parties discontinue. If she does not so cooperate, any such public statements by third parties will be considered to be those of Mrs. Shelton, will be viewed as violation of this section, and will result in the termination of the payments to her described in Section 3.

**7. Any dispute that arises out of this contract or the relationship between Mrs. Shelton and Three Angels or any of its officers and directors, whether during the period of payment to Mrs. Shelton or afterwards, concerning its terms, application, or whether its standards have been met by the parties, shall be resolved through Christian arbitration, and shall not be taken up in**

[page 3]

**any court system, whether judicial or administrative. Both parties hereby waive any legal recourse and agree that they will submit to binding arbitration, with no appeal, by a panel of three Seventh-day Adventist Christians. This panel will be chosen in the following manner: Each side shall choose one Adventist Elder or Pastor in the North American Division. Those two persons shall then choose one other person that they can mutually agree on to chair the panel to arbitrate the dispute. The panel will hear testimony from both sides, consider any necessary evidence, and render a written decision that will be binding on the parties, with no further appeal allowed.**

**8. Nothing in the above shall prevent Mrs. Shelton from seeking a fair and equitable split of her marital property, which shall not include any property owned by Three Angels Broadcasting Network, in or out of court, in any separation or divorce proceeding. Any moneys received by Mrs. Shelton under this agreement shall be considered her separate**

**and personal property, and not marital property.**

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 03:52 PM**

Cindy, would you say that Danny falls into the categories I have bolded.....  it did not have to mention him by name. I am almost sure Linda didnt' realize for those two years should could be talking aobut the facts surrounding her divorce. Are you saying she could have discussed it?

**QUOTE**

She was only bound to "not make public statements critical of Three Angels, the board of directors, or **any of its officers or employees**" during that 2 year period.

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 05:48 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 11:50 AM)**

Yes I am serious. Linda's ministry was not beating people over the head with the Sabbath. She recorded Christian music and wrote devotional books. She could marketed this to the Christian community. Like Danny was all powerful to keep her out of Christian book stores.

Yeah, I know man. But I got to pick my spots when I can. Like when I got a slow day at work

Calvin, it is hard for me to understand why you are one of the few on here who get it. 3abn does not belong to LS or DS. It is a corporation. Danny cannot name the amount of money that she should receive, because he doesn't receive it so how can he split it with her? He receives a salary, she received a salary, (a larger one than he) the end. What 3abn is worth is 3abn's no matter who runs it. All 3abn assets belong to 3abn, not Danny not Linda. Why can't anyone understand that? If DS stepped down he wouldn't get a portion of what 3abn is worth. He can't. It belongs to the corporation. He would get whatever amount the 3abn board and any attorneys involved deemed to be a reasonable amount of severance for 25 years of service.

As far as the allegation that she was fired because they got a divorce. That is ridiculous also. She was fired because she would not comply to the repeated requests of the board to "knock off" what she was doing. Why? For the sake of the ministry. She could not continue the path she was on and still be lifted up as a shining example for others to watch. Many here have decided she didn't do anything wrong. Well, after seeing, talking and hearing things that others here have not been privy to, the board came to a different conclusion. How could they not, when she refused to stop doing what they ask her to stop doing. Then told her to stop doing. She was fired for open defiance against a board action that they felt strongly she was guilty of. She refused or ignored the offers to "work with her", to help her resolve the situation, and their willingness to reconcile the situation if she would do what she was asked. She didn't. End of story.

To address the gag order I am so sick of hearing about , again, Calvin you are correct. That is standard procedure for someone in her position. Most organizations are smart enough to know when someone is fired or let go or a big blow up of some kind happens, there is a fairly big probability that the one on the receiving end is going to run off and make all kinds of accusations and allegations against the company. Standard Procedure.

My question would be what is the big deal anyway about signing it? She never adhered to it anyway so

get over it.

As to the remarks Clay continues to make about 3abn keeping her from ministering. where is the proof? How many letters or emails does he have or anyone else for that matter where 3abn is telling people not to let her come? Where is all the documentation from 3abn to all of these churches? Certainly in this mess they would have surfaced sooner or later if there was such a campaign. Also to say this has kept her from making a living is crazy. Many of us do whatever jobs we can to put food on the table. It may not be your first choice but you do what you gotta do... Is LS any different? If she feels she isn't welcome to minister in adventist churches, go somewhere else. If she doesn't want to do that then get a regular job like normal people. I agree with you Clay and Inga. Go to walmart or stores at the mall to pay the bills, and save your ministering to side work like giving people bible studies or passing out tracts. Yes, by her own actions, the princess was dethroned. You reap what you sow, time to carry on.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 05:58 PM**

WWJD,

If he stepped down, would he voluntarily reveal all his assets, including whatever profits he made from his book deals, so that it can be determined if he needs to pay anything back to 3ABN?

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 06:03 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 12:37 PM) □**

If I will, will you?

Bob, cut out the same old stuff. You have put yourself in the limelight, Lee has not. If you and Joy want your 10 minutes of fame then get ready to be scrutinized in the same manner that you have scrutinized all the other players in this story. They have been cut down with slander, malice, half truths which are then lies, btw, character assassination, insinuation, manipulation of words and innuendo. Not to mention that you have dug around to find people that you have been told had an axe to grind for whatever reason, and have used whatever they have told you as gospel. Never questioning their motives or intent. That includes your main source.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 06:13 PM**

WWJD,

Main source? Tommy? Clem #1? Clem #2? Clem #3? Danny? Dryden? Mom #1? Her son? Mom #2? Her son? Linda? Dunning? Mom never quoted? Walt Thompson?

I think probably I have relied more on Danny than any other person as far as sources go. Yes, he probably does have an ax to grind.

I don't run a 501©3, and don't have to answer to anyone regarding the taking in of donations since I don't do that. Apples and oranges.

And I'm still married to the lovely wife that pushed me down the aisle of the hospital chapel many years ago, the one who said, "For better or for worse," the one who thought, "We'll get the worst over first."

Apples and oranges. In fact, why don't we finish discussing the 3ABN thing and come up with a resolution before tackling me. Read the Bible from cover to cover. David when Nathan raised concerns against him didn't start picking Nathan apart. People that are men and women after God's own heart don't do that.

The wise accept rebuke gladly. It's fools who shut their ears and refuse to hear. That's what Scripture says. Right?

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Mar 28 2007, 06:57 PM**

---

**QUOTE(wwj d @ Mar 28 2007, 06:48 PM)**

As far as the allegation that she was fired because they got a divorce. That is ridiculous also. She was fired because she would not comply to the repeated requests of the board to "knock off" what she was doing.

Let's talk about what Linda was doing. If you know more of what she was doing then this, you will need some evidence to supply. If you have no such evidence, then the following is what we know.

Linda Shelton was getting help for her son, Nathan Moore. Nathan was addicted to drugs. It was never the jurisdiction of the 3ABN Board of Directors to interfere with the relationship between Dr. Arild Abrahamsen, Nathan Moore, and Nathan's mother, Linda Shelton.

Let me repeat this: **It was never the 3ABN Board's jurisdiction** to decide, on their own, the contents of the doctor-patient relationship, the communications between those parties, or to decide where the lines would be drawn, or to even make a comment upon it, or to pass judgement on it.

13

**The 3ABN Board of Directors** likely stepped way beyond the boundaries of their positions as board members of a corporation, by interfering in this matter.

As I have said, previously, I believe that when the chips were down, the 3ABN Board and 3ABN management failed Linda and her son, Nathan.

This is all we "know" about what Linda was doing. If you know more, present the evidence, but don't issue vague statements about "**knocking off what she was doing**" unless you are prepared to support that statement with **hard evidence**. After three years, we've seen no evidence, because there is none. And Linda has demanded that it be made public. So there's no excuse to "protect Linda" by hiding that "defensible" evidence any longer.

This is what fair-minded Adventists would like to see: If there is evidence, present it. Having no evidence, 3ABN, as a Christian organization, should apologize and make restitution.

Pete

---


**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 07:09 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 05:13 PM)**

...



And I'm still married to the lovely wife that **pushed me down the aisle**  of the hospital chapel many years ago, the one who said, "For better or for worse," the one who thought, "**We'll get the worst over first.**"

I love this Bob! Thanks for the smile!!!! I wonder if most women don't kinda-sorta well-a-little-bit-maybe do that! You know, help 'm out a bit!

I had never heard that last part! That is very encouraging actually, as I learned in premarital counseling that it actually takes a good 25 years to really know your spouse....and when my husband screws up, and then says, "well, remember I get 25 years", I always kindly (me smiling now) REMIND HIM, "you don't wait till it's 25 years and then start!!"

The wise accept rebuke gladly. It's fools who shut their ears and refuse to hear. That's what Scripture says. Right?

Such a cool verse - to accept rebuking gladly??? I hope we are all willing to do this in our christian experience, because it is important to our spiritual growth!

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 07:23 PM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 05:48 PM)**

She was fired because she would not comply to the repeated requests of the board to "knock off" what she was doing.

WWJD,

Could you elaborate on this? <http://www.Save3ABN.com/> is suggesting that there never was an opportunity for the board to ask Linda to do or not do anything, since apparently the only board meeting to take up the issue was the very board meeting that fired her.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 07:39 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 28 2007, 07:09 PM)**

I love this Bob! Thanks for the smile!!!! I wonder if most women don't kinda-sorta well-a-little-bit-maybe do that! You know, help 'm out a bit!

I had never heard that last part! That is very encouraging actually, as I learned in premarital counseling that it actually takes a good 25 years to really know your spouse....and when my husband screws up, and then says, "well, remember I get 25 years", I always kindly (me smiling now) REMIND HIM, "you don't wait till it's 25 years and then start!!"

My apologies. I left a few details out that leave you in the dark.

She pushed me down the hospital chapel aisle **in a reclining wheelchair**. The cast on one of my legs was one of our guest registers. She then nursed me back to health over three months.

"For better or for worse." "We'll get the worse over first," she thought. At least I could still say, "I do," and all that family had come in from so many miles away.

I got someone who will stick by my side through the typical thick and thin of earth's trials. Blessed indeed.

It was odd, though, looking at other people's wedding albums afterwards and seeing everyone smiling. It was really odd. Took a long time to get used to that. Of course, I was smiling that day. But I was the exception. We did get one picture where she, me, and the preacher were all smiling.

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Mar 28 2007, 06:57 PM)**

Let's talk about what Linda was doing. If you know more of what she was doing than this, you will need some evidence to supply. If you have no such evidence, then the following is what we know.

Linda Shelton was getting help for her son, Nathan Moore. Nathan was addicted to drugs. It was never the jurisdiction of the 3ABN Board of Directors to interfere with the relationship between Dr. Arild Abrahamsen, Nathan Moore, and Nathan's mother, Linda Shelton.

Let me repeat this: It was never the 3ABN Board's jurisdiction to decide, on their own, the contents of the doctor-patient relationship, the communications between those parties, or to decide where the lines would be drawn, or to even make a comment upon it, or to pass judgement on it.

The 3ABN Board of Directors likely stepped way beyond the boundaries of their positions as board members of a corporation, by interfering in this matter.

As I have said, previously, I believe that when the chips were down, the 3ABN Board and 3ABN management failed Linda and her son, Nathan.

This is all we "know" about what Linda was doing. If you know more, present the evidence, but don't issue vague statements about **"knocking off what she was doing"** unless you are prepared to support that statement with **hard evidence**. After three years, we've seen no evidence, because there is none. And Linda has demanded that it be made public. So there's no excuse to "protect Linda" by hiding that "defensible" evidence any longer.

This is what fair-minded Adventists would like to see: If there is evidence, present it. Having no evidence, 3ABN, as a Christian organization, should apologize and make restitution.

Pete

Excellent points, Pete.

**Posted by: lookin4truth Mar 28 2007, 07:44 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 01:50 PM)**

Yes I am serious. Linda's ministry was not beating people over the head with the Sabbath. She recorded Christian music and wrote devotional books. She could marketed this to the Christian community. Like Danny was all powerful to keep her out of Christian book stores.

Yeah, I know man. But I got to pick my spots when I can. Like when I got a slow day at work

Calvin,

really appreciate your thought on this. Jesus did not say to take the gospel as far as the inside of our church. He said, "Go into all the world".

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 09:34 PM**

**QUOTE(wwj d @ Mar 28 2007, 07:48 PM)**

Calvin, it is hard for me to understand why you are one of the few on here who get it. 3abn does not belong to LS or DS. It is a corporation. Danny cannot name the amount of money that she should receive, because he doesn't receive it so how can he split it with her? He receives a salary, she received a salary, (a larger one than he) the end. What 3abn is worth is 3abn's no matter who runs it. All 3abn assets belong to 3abn, not Danny not Linda. Why can't anyone understand that? If DS stepped down he wouldn't get a portion of what 3abn is worth. He can't. It belongs to the corporation. He would get whatever amount the 3abn board and any attorneys involved deemed to be a reasonable amount of severance for 25 years of service.

As far as the allegation that she was fired because they got a divorce. That is ridiculous also. She was fired because she would not comply to the repeated requests of the board to "knock off" what she was doing. Why? For the sake of the ministry. She could not continue the path she was on and still be lifted up as a shining example for others to watch. Many here have decided she didn't do anything wrong. Well, after seeing, talking and hearing things that others here have not been privy to, the board came to a different conclusion. How could they not, when she refused to stop doing what they ask her to stop doing. Then told her to stop doing. She was fired for open defiance against a board action that they felt strongly she was guilty of. She refused or ignored the offers to "work with her", to help her resolve the situation, and their willingness to reconcile the situation if she would do what she was asked. She didn't. End of story.

To address the gag order I am so sick of hearing about, again, Calvin you are correct. That is standard procedure for someone in her position. Most organizations are smart enough to know when someone is fired or let go or a big blow up of some kind happens, there is a fairly big probability that the one on the receiving end is going to run off and make all kinds of accusations and allegations against the company. Standard Procedure.

My question would be what is the big deal anyway about signing it? She never adhered to it anyway so get over it.

As to the remarks Clay continues to make about 3abn keeping her from ministering. where is the proof? How many letters or emails does he have or anyone else for that matter where 3abn is telling people not to let her come? Where is all the documentation from 3abn to all of these churches? Certainly in this mess they would have surfaced sooner or later if there was such a campaign. Also to say this has kept her from making a living is crazy. Many of us do whatever jobs we can to put food on the table. It may not be your first choice but you do what you gotta do... Is LS any different? If she feels she isn't welcome to minister in adventist churches, go somewhere else. If she doesn't want to do that then get a regular job like normal people. I agree with you Clay and Inga. Go to walmart or stores at the mall to pay the bills, and save your ministering to side work like giving people bible studies or passing out tracts. Yes, by her own actions, the princess was dethroned. You reap what you sow, time to carry on.

I brought this up before and nobody ever really answered:

L.S. wrote in Sept 2004: "the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." and **"The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations."**

From September 17, 2004 - December 01, 2005 [15 mos] this is what was published on her website:

**Q: What are your plans for the future?**

**A:...** I really felt that my ministry was over. But one evening the Lord brought a song to my remembrance that I had not sung in at least 15 years. The song says, "Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost I pray; for the millions who are lost and cannot find their way. Melt my calloused heart with love no matter what the cost. Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost." As I softly sang the verses and choruses of this song, the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." The Lord has confirmed this with other incidents as well. I told the Lord that especially under the circumstances, He would have to open the doors and "clean up the mess." He said he would do this.

**As well as writing the newsletters and magazines at 3ABN, I was also in charge of the TV production studios since the very beginning of 3ABN. I formatted new programs and chose hosts and guests for the programs seen on 3ABN. Additionally, I was directly involved in the management of the 3 production studios and the Production Truck, which obtained programming on the road. Especially considering my experience is unique in the SDA Church, I feel that I need to use this experience for the Lord. The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations. One thing I learned at 3ABN is that there is never too many Christian programs. There is a huge demand for high quality Christ-centered programs that bring God's message to the hearts of searching people**

**Already I have been approached by some who are willing to support this production studio. Although their funding is not available right now, their desire to help has encouraged me so much...and I'm ready to get started. It is estimated that the legal work to obtain a non-profit status in Illinois will cost approximately 5-6 thousand dollars. This is the first step. If the Lord is laying a burden on your heart to help with this stepping stone of faith, please send your donations to P.O. Box 2202, Carbondale, IL 62902. THANK YOU so much!!-----  
---- end quote**

On December 01, 2005 the webpage was changed with no explanation (that I am aware of). It now reads:

**Q: What are you doing now and what are your plans for the future?**

**A: Ministry is what my life is about. I go where the Lord opens the doors in a speaking, singing and ministering.ministry.**

It sounds like she's rather busy, internet searches show her appearances and engagements.

She is apparently able to buy a home, pay her bills, eat and still travel all over including to Europe, all without a job...


Considering all that I have read here from the 3ABN accusers about how she has been persecuted and blocked from engagements, and also considering those who may have sent donations to Linda, It would be nice to hear their explanation of what happened to any donations during that 15 mos,

and why she gave up on and changed plans after claiming the Lord had told her this is what she was to do.

How did 3ABN block her from that? And if the Lord did call her to do that, then who could stop her, or stand in her way???

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 28 2007, 09:41 PM**



Sure would love to try those Skrimp... can I have some? Hope they are popcorn skrimp. Sounds yummy.

---

**Posted by: mozart Mar 28 2007, 11:15 PM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 06:03 PM)**

Bob, cut out the same old stuff. You have put yourself in the limelight, Lee has not. If you and Joy want your 10 minutes of fame then get ready to be scrutinized in the same manner that you have scrutinized all the other players in this story. They have been cut down with slander, malice, half truths which are then lies, btw, character assassination, insinuation, manipulation of words and innuendo. Not to mention that you have dug around to find people that you have been told had an axe to grind for whatever reason, and have used whatever they have told you as gospel. Never questioning their motives or intent. That includes your main source.

wwjd, please change your s/n or your attitude. they are contradictory. ty

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 11:32 PM**

**QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 29 2007, 12:15 AM)**

wwjd, please change your s/n or your attitude. they are contradictory. ty

Sorry, no. I believe that bob would have been one of those moneychangers that Jesus through out of the temple.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 07:48 PM)

Calvin, it is hard for me to understand why you are one of the few on here who get it. 3abn does not belong to LS or DS. It is a corporation. Danny cannot name the amount of money that she should

receive, because he doesn't receive it so how can he split it with her? He receives a salary, she received a salary, (a larger one than he) the end. What 3abn is worth is 3abn's no matter who runs it. All 3abn assets belong to 3abn, not Danny not Linda. Why can't anyone understand that? If DS stepped down he wouldn't get a portion of what 3abn is worth. He can't. It belongs to the corporation. He would get whatever amount the 3abn board and any attorneys involved deemed to be a reasonable amount of severance for 25 years of service.

As far as the allegation that she was fired because they got a divorce. That is ridiculous also. She was fired because she would not comply to the repeated requests of the board to "knock off" what she was doing. Why? For the sake of the ministry. She could not continue the path she was on and still be lifted up as a shining example for others to watch. Many here have decided she didn't do anything wrong. Well, after seeing, talking and hearing things that others here have not been privy to, the board came to a different conclusion. How could they not, when she refused to stop doing what they ask her to stop doing. Then told her to stop doing. She was fired for open defiance against a board action that they felt strongly she was guilty of. She refused or ignored the offers to "work with her", to help her resolve the situation, and their willingness to reconcile the situation if she would do what she was asked. She didn't. End of story.

To address the gag order I am so sick of hearing about , again, Calvin you are correct. That is standard procedure for someone in her position. Most organizations are smart enough to know when someone is fired or let go or a big blow up of some kind happens, there is a fairly big probability that the one on the receiving end is going to run off and make all kinds of accusations and allegations against the company. Standard Procedure.

My question would be what is the big deal anyway about signing it? She never adhered to it anyway so get over it.

As to the remarks Clay continues to make about 3abn keeping her from ministering. where is the proof? How many letters or emails does he have or anyone else for that matter where 3abn is telling people not to let her come? Where is all the documentation from 3abn to all of these churches? Certainly in this mess they would have surfaced sooner or later if there was such a campaign. Also to say this has kept her from making a living is crazy. Many of us do whatever jobs we can to put food on the table. It may not be your first choice but you do what you gotta do... Is LS any different? If she feels she isn't welcome to minister in adventist churches, go somewhere else. If she doesn't want to do that then get a regular job like normal people. I agree with you Clay and Inga. Go to walmart or stores at the mall to pay the bills, and save your ministering to side work like giving people bible studies or passing out tracts. Yes, by her own actions, the princess was dethroned. You reap what you sow, time to carry on.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 10:34 PM)

I brought this up before and nobody ever really answered:

L.S. wrote in Sept 2004: "the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." and **"The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs**

**can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations."**

From September 17, 2004 - December 01, 2005 [15 mos] this is what was published on her website:

**Q: What are your plans for the future?**

**A:...** I really felt that my ministry was over. But one evening the Lord brought a song to my remembrance that I had not sung in at least 15 years. The song says, "Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost I pray; for the millions who are lost and cannot find their way. Melt my calloused heart with love no matter what the cost. Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost." As I softly sang the verses and choruses of this song, the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." The Lord has confirmed this with other incidents as well. I told the Lord that especially under the circumstances, He would have to open the doors and "clean up the mess." He said he would do this.

As well as writing the newsletters and magazines at 3ABN, I was also in charge of the TV production studios since the very beginning of 3ABN. I formatted new programs and chose hosts and guests for the programs seen on 3ABN. Additionally, I was directly involved in the management of the 3 production studios and the Production Truck, which obtained programming on the road. Especially considering my experience is unique in the SDA Church, I feel that I need to use this experience for the Lord. The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations. One thing I learned at 3ABN is that there is never too many Christian programs. There is a huge demand for high quality Christ-centered programs that bring God's message to the hearts of searching people

Already I have been approached by some who are willing to support this production studio. Although their funding is not available right now, their desire to help has encouraged me so much...and I'm ready to get started. It is estimated that the legal work to obtain a non-profit status in Illinois will cost approximately 5-6 thousand dollars. This is the first step. If the Lord is laying a burden on your heart to help with this stepping stone of faith, please send your donations to P.O. Box 2202, Carbondale, IL 62902. **THANK YOU so much!!----- end quote**

On December 01, 2005 the webpage was changed with no explanation (that I am aware of). It now reads:

**Q: What are you doing now and what are your plans for the future?**

**A: Ministry is what my life is about. I go where the Lord opens the doors in a speaking, singing and ministering.ministry.**

It sounds like she's rather busy, internet searches show her appearances and engagements.

She is apparently able to buy a home, pay her bills, eat and still travel all over including to Europe, all without a job...

Considering all that I have read here from the 3ABN accusers about how she has been persecuted and blocked from engagements, and also considering those who may have sent donations to Linda, It would be nice to hear their explanation of what happened to any donations during that 15 mos, and why she gave up on and changed plans after claiming the Lord had told her this is what she was to do.

How did 3ABN block her from that? And if the Lord did call her to do that, then who could stop her, or stand in her way???

I have been asked that same question by several people. What happened to the donated money and how has being on a "free" vacation for the last 3 years be persecution. I would take that kind of mistreatment any day

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 11:33 PM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 10:23 PM)**

Sorry, no. I believe that bob would have been one of those moneychangers that Jesus through out of the temple.

my my, you are brutal. and wrong. oh so wrong.

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 11:35 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 29 2007, 12:33 AM)**

my my, you are brutal. and wrong. oh so wrong.

That won't play here ssom. where were you when the 3abn defenders have been called demons and Satan. Now that's brutal.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 11:41 PM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 10:35 PM)**

That won't play here ssom. where were you when the 3abn defenders have been called demons and Satan. Now that's brutal.

Ahh, but it does play here....you have an attitude of attack (as usual). Oh, and I was right here, and I don't recall those exact words....so this is about pay back? Not about truth?

---

**Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 11:58 PM**

---



**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 29 2007, 12:41 AM)**

Ahh, but it does play here....you have an attitude of attack (as usual). Oh, and I was right here, and I don't recall those exact words....so this is about pay back? Not about truth?

Of course it's not payback. It's about callin em like I see em the same way everyone else does on this board.

---

**Posted by: Johann Yesterday, 02:08 AM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 29 2007, 07:35 AM)**

That won't play here ssom. where were you when the 3abn defenders have been called demons and Satan. Now that's brutal.

I was there when Mr. Danny Lee Shelton used those expressions. But he was not brutal?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 07:31 AM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 10:35 PM)**

That won't play here ssom. where were you when the 3abn defenders have been called demons and Satan. Now that's brutal.

wwjd,

Were you at the May, 2004 3abn campmeeting? I heard Danny using the term "demons" when refering to Johann and the Dr. being in the audience. Was that brutal?

---

**Posted by: inga Yesterday, 12:15 PM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 02:29 PM)**

Interesting and fair post Inga. Especially the paragraph highlighted. Her pay out from 3abn and DS was indeed, extremely large, to those of us that are your everyday blue collar workers.

However, it wasn't necessarily large in comparison to their combined perks before the divorce, not to mention the .. ummh ... "side benefits" Danny gets from books, free labor, jet set lifestyle, etc. Since the evidence indicates that Danny treats 3ABN as his personal business, using it for his benefit when he so desires, he should have ensured that Linda was compensated for being co-founder of the ministry.

13

My remark regarding 3ABN not being the "real world" was in regard to Linda's position at 3ABN. She played the role of a media star, which is not "the real world."

**QUOTE**

Even though I understand in what context you make the statement, the fact remains, it is very real for all of those that devote their time, resources and energy everyday to make possible, the spreading of the gospel. I am sure that from those who clean the complex, to the operators, to the camera crews, to the directors and all the way up, those people know that they are definitely a part of the "real world" and their work and sacrifice is making a difference.

I understand that. I'm sure that most of the people working at 3ABN are truly dedicated folks. Their sacrificial pay is in stark contrast to the perks certain of the administrative staff enjoy. It seems to me that if sacrifice is the order of the day, leaders should lead the way. I don't see that happening at 3ABN.

**QUOTE**

No matter what is said about administration, the true reality is, those people work hard, deal with all kinds of difficulties, have huge decisions to make, have to deal with all kinds of people day in and day out, have to deal with tons of paperwork, finances, budgets, overseas projects....etc etc My point is, I guess, is just because DS or CA murray or John L is in front of the camera once in a while, contrary to what some think, that is not a justifiable payoff for what these folks have to deal with. The only true payoff is they know they are a tool to win souls to the kingdom.

That would be true for those on sacrificial wages, which is not the case for certain administrators who would likely make less and have fewer perks if they worked for the organized church.

---

**Posted by: Johann Yesterday, 12:23 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 07:50 PM)** 

Yes I am serious. Linda's ministry was not beating people over the head with the Sabbath. She recorded Christian music and wrote devotional books. She could marketed this to the Christian community. Like Danny was all powerful to keep her out of Christian book stores.

Yeah, I know man. But I got to pick my spots when I can. Like when I got a slow day at work

Honestly, I think I'd do something else if I had a slow day at work. But you are the boss, both places!




---

**Posted by: inga Yesterday, 12:33 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 28 2007, 10:34 AM)** 

I ask, then where was her faith? If she is blocked in Adventist circle, then take your ministry to the rest of the world. I just don't get it. Maybe it is a gender thing, maybe a race thing. I have had road blocks thrown and me all my life. I grew up in single parent home, poor, ghetto environment, slow learner, Enron lost all retirement. Yet with God's help, I manage to find a way to succeed. Sorry I ain't feeling it for Linda.

Calvin, I admire your attitude regarding your personal life. I do think it's a bit of a gender thing. It also depends on one's friends. If friends continue to emphasize the unfairness of it all, it's difficult to "get over it." If friends encourage exploring different avenues, that provides a whole different atmosphere.

Having said that, we must recognize that few are pushed from such heights to such depths as Linda was. Losing husband, job and reputation (which prevented her from using her experience in ministry) all at one blow surely must be crushing beyond measure. A divorce usually takes a minimum of two years to process for a person to return to near-normal. (It's technically a "mourning" period.) Loss of a job to the degree that one is forced to seek employment in a totally different field would take a similar period of adjustment. And who can say what it takes to deal with the reputation trashing that Linda received?

If Linda has healed to the extent she's ready to move on with her life now, she's doing as well as can be expected, under the circumstances.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 12:37 PM**

Now, that's what I'm talking about! Exactly Inga!

---

**QUOTE(inga @ Mar 29 2007, 10:15 AM)**

[color=#3333FF]However, it wasn't necessarily large in comparison to their combined perks before the divorce, not to mention the .. ummh ... "side benefits" Danny gets from books, free labor, jet set lifestyle, etc. Since the evidence indicates that Danny treats 3ABN as his personal business, using it for his benefit when he so desires, **he should have ensured that Linda was compensated for being co-founder of the ministry.**

---

**Posted by: inga Yesterday, 12:48 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 08:39 PM)**

My apologies. I left a few details out that leave you in the dark.

She pushed me down the hospital chapel aisle **in a reclining wheelchair**. The cast on one of my legs was one of our guest registers. She then nursed me back to health over three months.


"For better or for worse." "We'll get the worse over first," she thought. At least I could still say, "I do," and all that family had come in from so many miles away.

I got someone who will stick by my side through the typical thick and thin of earth's trials. Blessed indeed.


It was odd, though, looking at other people's wedding albums afterwards and seeing everyone smiling. It was really odd. Took a long time to get used to that. Of course, I was smiling that day. But I was the exception. We did get one picture where she, me, and the preacher were all smiling. Excellent points, Pete.



Wow, Bob, it seems you have quite a personal story to tell! I hope you're writing a book about that too.


So you married before recovering from a serious accident that affected more than your leg? And the wedding guests were sniffing because they were so moved ...

Thanks for sharing. 


**Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 01:04 PM**

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 29 2007, 01:32 AM)** 

I have been asked that same question by several people. What happened to the donated money and how has being on a "free" vacation for the last 3 years be persecution. I would take that kind of mistreatment any day  



One's reputation is very important to the average person...the way in which Danny S. has tarnished his wife's reputation has been unChristianlike at best. Let us assume, for the sake of argument, that Linda had an illicit affair. What would have been the proper way to handle it? The way that Danny has been carrying on, I submit, is NOT the proper way to do so.

Someone, somewhere in this forum, mentioned the example of Joseph. When he discovered Mary was pregnant, and that he could not possibly be the father, because he had not yet slept with her, did he embark on a campaign to smear Mary's name? No, he didn't. The Bible says he sought to put her away QUIETLY, until an angel of the Lord informed him that Mary was to bear the Christ child. See Matthew 1:19. This is yet another point that many here are missing.... 

Linda has not been on a "free" vacation...and even if, for the sake of argument, everyone here agrees that she has, she has been personally attacked by a man whom she was once married to, and ridiculed by many as a result. That is the "persecution" that you question. She has been emotionally wounded, and most will agree that those wounds take much longer to heal than the physical. Regardless of her wrongdoing, criminals have been treated better than that!

**Posted by: Stan Yesterday, 01:30 PM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 27 2007, 02:20 PM)** 

I will respond to your questions:

- 1) There is no 3-ABN forum open for review and discussion on Club Adventist, other than the one that you can see.
- 2) The posts in the old discussion forum were stored in a place that Stan could access. I could not. I do not know if other Administrators had access to that section. Stan has done some software upgrades since that section was closed. He has also move to another servier. I do not know if those

posts survived the move to another server.

3) As I understand the current policy at Club Adventist, in regard to 3-ABN, there is no intent to allow a free-ranging discussion of 3-ABN, such as CA once had. Therefore, in general, one might say that the favorable content of the posts presently made in the one open section on 3-ABN in Club Adventist, fairly represents what is going to be allowed in the future.

Stan, as you are likely to read my post, feel free to correct me if I have misunderstood.

1 Yes, what is available is to chat about the current ministry of 3ABN.

2 Yes, well at least maybe, when we went to the new server somehow all the forums were doubled, and we had to delete every other one, a couple of the forums had both deleted by accident, i have not checked to see if 'THAT' forum survived... I know some others did not, and it was my fault. Seems to me natter matters forum bit it by error..

3 For the most part that would be true. The recent disparaging remarks about Danny, Linda, aToday, and a few others were removed...

Some of the decisions maybe wrong, I have made a lot of mistakes in the past, and there is no sign of decreasing in the future...  I continue to stand behind this current position.

Sorry I took so long to respond... I missed your comments...

---

**Posted by: Pickle Yesterday, 03:40 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Mar 29 2007, 12:48 PM)**

Wow, Bob, it seems you have quite a personal story to tell! I hope you're writing a book about that too.

So you married before recovering from a serious accident that affected more than your leg? And the wedding guests were sniffing because they were so moved ...

Thanks for sharing.

Both taluses in the ankles fractured, the end of the right tibia shattered, the tip of the right condyle of the lower jaw broken, two upper teeth knocked out, all three days before the wedding with but three miles out of 90 to go to be with my fiance.

I had recently read an article about reimplanting teeth, and so after I came to and realized the situation, I kept telling everyone I could to find those two teeth. Four were missing, two were found. Where were the other two? Back to the wrecked VW Beetle some went to try to find them, the Beetle with the full gas tank that had burst, the tank that didn't burst into flames as some people walked up to gape, only to be told by the Dr. who happened by to put their cigarettes out.

Well, the dentist found them in the emergency room. Two were knocked out. The missing two were here all along, knocked up into the upper jaw.

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 03:53 PM**

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 01:40 PM)**

Both taluses in the ankles fractured, the end of the right tibia shattered, the tip of the right condyle of the lower jaw broken, two upper teeth knocked out, all three days before the wedding with but three miles out of 90 to go to be with my fiance.

I had recently read an article about reimplanting teeth, and so after I came to and realized the situation, I kept telling everyone I could to find those two teeth. Four were missing, two were found. Where were the other two? Back to the wrecked VW Beetle some went to try to find them, the Beetle with the full gas tank that had burst, the tank that didn't burst into flames as some people walked up to gape, only to be told by the Dr. who happened by to put their cigarettes out.

Well, the dentist found them in the emergency room. Two were knocked out. The missing two were there all along, knocked up into the upper jaw.

Ouch!

**Posted by: Snoopy Yesterday, 04:05 PM**

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 03:40 PM)**

Both taluses in the ankles fractured, the end of the right tibia shattered, the tip of the right condyle of the lower jaw broken, two upper teeth knocked out, all three days before the wedding with but three miles out of 90 to go to be with my fiance.

I had recently read an article about reimplanting teeth, and so after I came to and realized the situation, I kept telling everyone I could to find those two teeth. Four were missing, two were found. Where were the other two? Back to the wrecked VW Beetle some went to try to find them, the Beetle with the full gas tank that had burst, the tank that didn't burst into flames as some people walked up to gape, only to be told by the Dr. who happened by to put their cigarettes out.

Well, the dentist found them in the emergency room. Two were knocked out. The missing two were there all along, knocked up into the upper jaw.

Oh my!! I do believe God had a plan for you!

 PTL.gif

**Posted by: calvin Yesterday, 04:20 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Mar 29 2007, 01:33 PM)**

Calvin, I admire your attitude regarding your personal life. I do think it's a bit of a gender thing. It also depends on one's friends. If friends continue to emphasize the unfairness of it all, it's difficult

to "get over it." If friends encourage exploring different avenues, that provides a whole different atmosphere.

Having said that, we must recognize that few are pushed from such heights to such depths as Linda was. Losing husband, job and reputation (which prevented her from using her experience in ministry) all at one blow surely must be crushing beyond measure. A divorce usually takes a minimum of two years to process for a person to return to near-normal. (It's technically a "mourning" period.) Loss of a job to the degree that one is forced to seek employment in a totally different field would take a similar period of adjustment. And who can say what it takes to deal with the reputation trashing that Linda received?

If Linda has healed to the extent she's ready to move on with her life now, she's doing as well as can be expected, under the circumstances.

My comments were harsh, and I apologize. I am not unsympathetic to Linda's trials and loss of income and reputation. I have never gone through a divorce but I think I can relate to some of the pain and hurt that goes along with that.

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 04:31 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 05:40 PM)**

Both taluses in the ankles fractured, the end of the right tibia shattered, the tip of the right condyle of the lower jaw broken, two upper teeth knocked out, all three days before the wedding with but three miles out of 90 to go to be with my fiance.

I had recently read an article about reimplanting teeth, and so after I came to and realized the situation, I kept telling everyone I could to find those two teeth. Four were missing, two were found. Where were the other two? Back to the wrecked VW Beetle some went to try to find them, the Beetle with the full gas tank that had burst, the tank that didn't burst into flames as some people walked up to gape, only to be told by the Dr. who happened by to put their cigarettes out.

Well, the dentist found them in the emergency room. Two were knocked out. The missing two were there all along, knocked up into the upper jaw.

Have mercy!!! And this was an auto accident?!

---

**Posted by: Pickle Yesterday, 05:07 PM**

---

**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 29 2007, 04:31 PM)**

Have mercy!!! And this was an auto accident?!

Even on Thanksgiving morning, watch out for those low boy trailers parked across country roads before sunup, especially the ones that block your shoulder, without flares or flags. Even when you only have a mile of pavement left to go.

Good thing it was a lowboy. If it had been higher, the car might have made it under all the way, at least the bottom part of the car.

I really liked that Beetle, but I've never gotten another one.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Yesterday, 05:13 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 06:31 AM)**

wwjd,

Were you at the May, 2004 3abn campmeeting? I heard Danny using the term "demons" when refering to Johann and the Dr. being in the audience. Was that brutal?

I was and I didn't hear him say that, but if he did can you blame him? Him and his wife have just split, then in walks the man he believes his having a relationship with his wife and has already told him not to show up at campmeeting. Any man who does something like that should expect shock and suspicion. Any normal person would think "they can't be here for any other reason than to make trouble." And, if he was there to make trouble and distract while services were going on, yes he could be thought of as a demon. A demon because he is seeing your wife and a demon for having the audacity to show his face in a public spiritual meeting where the husband is up front, live on tv trying to speak.

PB where was your indignation when I and Aletheia were called demons and satan not once, but several times on this very board. And that, was just for voiceing our opinions. No where near what the doc was doing. Apply the same measuring stick pb.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Yesterday, 05:17 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 04:13 PM)**

I was and I didn't hear him say that, but if he did can you blame him? Him and his wife have just split, then in walks the man he believes his having a relationship with his wife and has already told him not to show up at campmeeting. Any man who does something like that should expect shock and suspicion. Any normal person would think "they can't be here for any other reason than to make trouble." And, if he was there to make trouble and distract while services were going on, yes he could be thought of as a demon. A demon because he is seeing your wife and a demon for having the audacity to show his face in a public spiritual meeting where the husband is up front, live on tv trying to speak.

PB where was your indignation when I and Aletheia were called demons and satan not once, but several times on this very board. And that, was just for voiceing our opinions. No where near what the doc was doing. Apply the same measuring stick pb.

Haven't I heard this explanation almost verbatim already somewhere else??

And, you might be more careful to keep your ID's straight as you seem to have twin personalities here...I think it was when you were WWJD you said "called demons and satan" and as you see, PB was writing to WWJD...hmm.



---

**Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 05:24 PM**

Oh my! Mercy! I agree, God definitely has a plan for your life.

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 01:40 PM)**

Both taluses in the ankles fractured, the end of the right tibia shattered, the tip of the right condyle of the lower jaw broken, two upper teeth knocked out, all three days before the wedding with but three miles out of 90 to go to be with my fiance.

I had recently read an article about reimplanting teeth, and so after I came to and realized the situation, I kept telling everyone I could to find those two teeth. Four were missing, two were found. Where were the other two? Back to the wrecked VW Beetle some went to try to find them, the Beetle with the full gas tank that had burst, the tank that didn't burst into flames as some people walked up to gape, only to be told by the Dr. who happened by to put their cigarettes out.

Well, the dentist found them in the emergency room. Two were knocked out. The missing two were there all along, knocked up into the upper jaw.

---

**Posted by: Johann Yesterday, 05:46 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 30 2007, 01:13 AM)**

I was and I didn't hear him say that, but if he did can you blame him? Him and his wife have just split, then in walks the man he believes his having a relationship with his wife and has already told him not to show up at campmeeting. Any man who does something like that should expect shock and suspicion. Any normal person would think "they can't be here for any other reason than to make trouble." And, if he was there to make trouble and distract while services were going on, yes he could be thought of as a demon. A demon because he is seeing your wife and a demon for having the audacity to show his face in a public spiritual meeting where the husband is up front, live on tv trying to speak.

PB where was your indignation when I and Aletheia were called demons and satan not once, but several times on this very board. And that, was just for voicing our opinions. No where near what the doc was doing. Apply the same measuring stick pb.

Let me explain again, since you missed it last time. Both Arild and I had received invitations to come to the Camp Meeting in 2004. I first saw the email from Danny warning me not to attend when I returned to Norway. But you seem to know a lot more about me than I do. Who gives you that knowledge?

---

**Posted by: Bystander Yesterday, 06:10 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 29 2007, 04:17 PM)**

Haven't I heard this explanation almost verbatim already somewhere else??

And, you might be more careful to keep your ID's straight as you seem to have twin personalities here...I think it was when you were WWJD you said "called demons and satan" and as you see, PB was writing to WWJD...hmm.

no hmmm here. To simplify for you. Most of us 3abn defenders have been called demons. We don't cry about it.

**Posted by: Clay Yesterday, 06:50 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 07:10 PM)**

no hmmm here. To simplify for you. Most of us 3abn defenders have been called demons. We don't cry about it.

no not most... let's be clear... Re' referred to just a few of the those who defend Danny as demons... not most... a few.... one or two, three at the most... unless you are suggesting that only 3 people are defending him....

**Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 06:50 PM**

**\*\*\*\*Ok, ok people! Nobody here IS a demon or satan. However, we can and have on occassion been used for their cause. If I am nto mistaken I believe everybody at one time or another has been accused of working for the forces of evil. let's call it even and let it go!\*\*\*\***

**Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 07:42 PM**

Just one point of clarification, BS. Now was Danny involved in the 'incident" you viewed from afar, or was he on the stage? I ask because I can see if you were trying to read the lips of those in the "incident" that you might miss what was being said from the podium.

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 03:13 PM)**

I was and I didn't hear him say that, but if he did can you blame him? Him and his wife have just split, then in walks the man he believes his having a relationship with his wife and has already told him not to show up at campmeeting. Any man who does something like that should expect shock and suspicion. Any normal person would think "they can't be here for any other reason than to make trouble." And, if he was there to make trouble and distract while services were going on, yes he could be thought of as a demon. A demon because he is seeing your wife and a demon for having the audacity to show his face in a public spiritual meeting where the husband is up front, live on tv trying to speak.

PB where was your indignation when I and Aletheia were called demons and satan not once, but several times on this very board. And that, was just for voiceing our opinions. No where near what the doc was doing. Apply the same measuring stick pb.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 08:06 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 03:13 PM)**

I was and I didn't hear him say that, but if he did can you blame him? Him and his wife have just split, then in walks the man he believes his having a relationship with his wife and has already told him not to show up at campmeeting. Any man who does something like that should expect shock and suspicion. Any normal person would think "they can't be here for any other reason than to make trouble." And, if he was there to make trouble and distract while services were going on, yes he could be thought of as a demon. A demon because he is seeing your wife and a demon for having the audacity to show his face in a public spiritual meeting where the husband is up front, live on tv trying to speak.

PB where was your indignation when I and Aletheia were called demons and satan not once, but several times on this very board. And that, was just for voiceing our opinions. No where near what the doc was doing. Apply the same measuring stick pb.

Bystander,

Where was my indignation? It was probably in the same place it was when you called watchbird the serpent of Eden, in two different posts - just sitting back and waiting for Clay or Di to take care of it.

When someone lives in the spiritual realm, as Danny claims to, certainly he would be able to stand back and realize that even if his wife was doing everything he feared she was, those two men out in the audience were not demons but souls that Jesus died for, just like every other sinful one of us.

If it would make you feel any better, I would be glad to do what I do with my grandkids when one calls the other a name:

You would come into my sitting room, tears streaming down your face and say "Grandma, PrincessDrRe called me a demon!" I would give you a big hug and then ask you "Are you a demon? You know, just because DrRe calls you a demon, it doesn't make you one. Right?" And then you would smile, dry your eyes and say "Yes, Grandma."

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Yesterday, 11:40 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 10:06 PM)**

If it would make you feel any better, I would be glad to do what I do with my grandkids when one calls the other a name:

You would come into my sitting room, tears streaming down your face and say "Grandma, PrincessDrRe called me a demon!" I would give you a big hug and then ask you "Are you a demon? You know, just because DrRe calls you a demon, it doesn't make you one. Right?" And then you would smile, dry your eyes and say "Yes, Grandma."

PB,  than

What a nice reminder.

---

**Posted by: s1ster Today, 04:07 AM**

Danny knew all along that Linda had not had an affair with the Doctor or anyone else. How? He fabricated the whole story out of thin air. Danny did his best to make it appear other than it was, but his house built of fictional cards is beginning to crumble. Bystander, I am sure you had a ringside seat to the entire spectacle. Once the truth about Danny comes out in a court of law, alot of people's eyes will be opened. So, come on Danny, bring on the lawsuits, everyone is waiting.

6:9

---

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)  
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)