



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Mr Joy's Creditability

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 02:48 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 26 2007, 10:00 AM)

So let me rephrase my question. Where is the mainstream media story?

Maybe the story hasn't hit the main stream press because the so-called investigator of 3ABN only wants his fame to exist within the Adventist community. Why would he be concerned, well let's ask Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews who appear to be Gailon A. Joy's spokesmen - or at least they are closest to him in regards to the 3ABN story and because Mr. Joy doesn't post here so they are his spokesmen. Do the claims provided below which were made (HERE) have any basis in fact? If they do, it would seem that Mr. Joy has a past and reputation that would make most skeptical Americans very wary of anything he claimed to be "the truth." However, there doesn't seem to be much skepticism here about his "truth" which is used to support the local novella published here and the postings of "sister" so maybe America wouldn't be so skeptical of someone who was convicted of embezzlement and now has this litany of claims of against him to deal with.

The Internet reveals some interesting information. The following claims are made against Mr. Joy by an individual with obvious first hand information of the situation. Both quotes can be found <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>:

QUOTE

- . . . the probable writer of those words [Joy], but at least the founder of the web site [save3abn], cheated his last 5-6 landlords out of duly owed rent payments? by simply not paying, and forcing delaying tactics and threatening expensive legal proceedings? including to me[the author of the post, making it solid first hand information]

- . . . including cheating a bank to obtain a limited documentation mortgage in an under-employed daughters name, then not paying and forcing a foreclosure?

- . . . living for free for the better part of a year?with a substantial loss to the lender? all while professing a sanctimonious belief in the churches mission to bring healing to the world???

naw, this probably isn't the time and place...

otoh, while I sympathize with Linda's situation, and she should be somehow made aware of the nature of this "support", I would not donate a dime thru this spiders web-site that I didn't expect to be misappropriated.

In another post the author of the above refers to a personal interaction he had with G. A. Joy:

QUOTE

... he tried to pay his overdue rent one time with a check drawn on some EGW trust account which he said he controlled... for what purpose I never found out....

Now, additional questions that need to be raised in regards to this last quote are:

"Did he have any right to draw on that account?"

and

"Do the individuals he controlled these accounts for know he did this?"

What does this say about the integrity of his work, his "evidence", his motive for trying to take Danny and 3ABN down? If you were Linda wouldn't you be concerned about this man representing you? If his "evidence" is used to support the novella found here in this forum and the postings of sister - how accurate can they be?

- FHB

Posted by: calvin Mar 26 2007, 09:10 PM

My take:

Mr Joy is no small player in this saga. He has put himself in play with his website. Therefore, his creditability can be discussed here.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 09:12 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 26 2007, 08:10 PM) □

My take:

Mr Joy is no small player in this saga. He has put himself in play with is website. Therefore, his creditability can be discussed here.

Calvin,

Thank you. This appears a fair and unbiased approach to me as well.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 09:24 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 04:48 PM) □

Maybe the story hasn't hit the main stream press because the so-called investigator of 3ABN only wants his fame to exist within the Adventist community. Why would he be concerned, well let's ask Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews who appear to be Gailon A. Joy's spokesmen - or at least they are closest to him in regards to the 3ABN story and because Mr. Joy doesn't post here so they are his spokesmen. Do the claims provided below which were made (HERE) have any basis in fact? If they do, it would seem that Mr. Joy has a past and reputation that would make most skeptical Americans very wary of anything he claimed to be "the truth." However, there doesn't seem to be much skepticism here about his "truth" which is used to support the local novella published here and the postings of "sister" so maybe America wouldn't be so skeptical of someone who was convicted of embezzelment and now has this litany of claims of against him to deal with.

The Internet reveals some interesting information. The following claims are made against Mr. Joy by an individual with obvious first hand information of the situation. Both quotes can be found <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>:

In another post the author of the above refers to a personal interaction he had with G. A. Joy: Now, additional questions that need to be raised in regards to this last quote are:

"Did he have any right to draw on that account?"

and

"Do the individuals he controlled these accounts for know he did this?"

What does this say about the integrity of his work, his "evidence", his motive for trying to take Danny and 3ABN down? If you were Linda wouldn't you be concerned about this man representing you? If his "evidence" is used to support the novella found here in this forum and the postings of sister - how accurate can they be?

- FHB

t speaks volumes that those so intent on discrediting Mr. Joy and others are zealously guarding their own identities that no investigation of their acts beyond what is done under the mask they wear here can be undertaken.

Be a man, fhb... show your face. Give your real name and let someone do some digging into your past and present.

Until you do, what you are doing will be viewed as a desperate act of cowardice...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: erik Mar 26 2007, 09:29 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 12:48 PM)

Maybe the story hasn't hit the main stream press because the so-called investigator of 3ABN only wants his fame to exist within the Adventist community. Why would he be concerned, well let's ask Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews who appear to be Gailon A. Joy's spokesmen - or at least they are closest to him in regards to the 3ABN story and because Mr. Joy doesn't post here so they are his spokesmen. Do the claims provided below which were made (HERE) have any basis in fact? If they do, it would seem that Mr. Joy has a past and reputation that would make most skeptical Americans very wary of anything he claimed to be "the truth." However, there doesn't seem to be much skepticism here about his "truth" which is used to support the local novella published here and the postings of "sister" so maybe America wouldn't be so skeptical of someone who was convicted of embezzlement and now has this litany of claims of against him to deal with.

The Internet reveals some interesting information. The following claims are made against Mr. Joy by an individual with obvious first hand information of the situation. Both quotes can be found <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>:
In another post the author of the above refers to a personal interaction he had with G. A. Joy:

Now, additional questions that need to be raised in regards to this last quote are:

"Did he have any right to draw on that account?"

and

"Do the individuals he controlled these accounts for know he did this?"

What does this say about the integrity of his work, his "evidence", his motive for trying to take Danny and 3ABN down? If you were Linda wouldn't you be concerned about this man representing you? If his "evidence" is used to support the novella found here in this forum and the postings of sister - how accurate can they be?

- FHB

FHB,

sounds like to me the lawyers have said they do not have a case, so you are falling back to the next best attack line.

Having said that Mr. Joy needs to come clean.

Having said that, even if mr. Joy is the snake is being painted has, not sure how that makes the victims of Tommy Shelton, into lairs or lessens the wrongfulness of letting him work around kids.

Erik

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 09:34 PM

Yes, it is true that a gentleman posted what FHB refers to, a gentleman who in his profile claims to be a Chippendale dancer, which my understanding is a male stripper.

FHB, for the record, could you detail for us your efforts to get Gailon's side of the story before posting this?

Erik, it appears to me that the statement as posted is probably grossly inaccurate.

Posted by: erik Mar 26 2007, 09:39 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 07:34 PM) □

Yes, it is true that a gentleman posted what FHB refers to, a gentleman who in his profile claims to be a Chippendale dancer, which my understanding is a male stripper.

FHB, for the record, could you detail for us your efforts to get Gailon's side of the story before posting this?

Erik, it appears to me that the statement as posted is probably grossly inaccurate.

Bob,

Most likely it is highly wrong, but either way not sure why they would be bring it up unless the lawyers told them their case was crashing, or how it lets Tommy off the Hook.

Erik

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 09:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 08:34 PM)

Yes, it is true that a gentleman posted what FHB refers to, a gentleman who in his profile claims to be a Chippendale dancer, which my understanding is a male stripper.

FHB, for the record, could you detail for us your efforts to get Gailon's side of the story before posting this?

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 26 2007, 08:39 PM)

Bob,

Most likely it is highly wrong, but either way not sure why they would be bring it up unless the lawyers told them their case was crashing, or how it lets Tommy off the Hook.

Erik

Erik, you don't understand why FHB would bring it up? C'mon. This guy is trying to make a mockery out of 3abn and the people while also trying to destroy people's character in the process. You have to ask why that anyone would question his credentials or lack thereof, his financial status, his ethics? You find out these answers and then you also have a motive. Erik we know what side you're on but surely you can be a little more even handed on something as obvious as this.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 09:47 PM

Now, now BS, we have pages and pages, of Pickle emails to Danny WT, and anybody else he could get trying to get information. In fact, I believe your group called it harrasment? It is fair that he ask this question. We know he did at least try many times to get this information from the source, but we know that Danny ain't tkin', right?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 08:40 PM)

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in

his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 09:48 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 26 2007, 08:24 PM)

It speaks volumes that those so intent on discrediting Mr. Joy and others are zealously guarding their own identities that no investigation of their acts beyond what is done under the mask they wear here can be undertaken.

Be a man, fhb... show your face. Give your real name and let someone do some digging into your past and present.

Until you do, what you are doing will be viewed as a desperate act of cowardice...

In His service,
Mr. J

Now why would an investigation need to be done on FHB? He is not a public figure nor has he put himself in the limelight like Joy and Pickle. They are the ones who like to dig dirt, so there is absolutely not one thing wrong with turning the tables and playing by their own rules.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 09:48 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 10:40 PM)

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

Not so. Either it's been Danny's side of the story that has been posted, or Danny et. al. has been asked about the issue and been given an opportunity to respond.

If you disagree, make a list of items and issues that fall into the description I just gave, and a list of items and issues that don't fit my description, and then share both lists here.

FHB, please post your email to Gailon asking him about this.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 09:51 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:34 PM)

Yes, it is true that a gentleman posted what FHB refers to, a gentleman who in his profile claims to be a Chippendale dancer, which my understanding is a male stripper.

FHB, for the record, could you detail for us your efforts to get Gailon's side of the story before posting this?

Erik, it appears to me that the statement as posted is probably grossly inaccurate.

Job,

Do you have an answer to the question of the validity of the claims or not. As to the Chippendale issue, have you ever heard of a joke?

You can spin in the wind, you can try to divert, but the fact is that these questions need answering. You have called Danny's integrity into question, Shelley's, Mollie's, Dr. Thompson's, etc. You have said over and over again that these individuals are lying and that gives you ever reason and right to pursue the course you have. You have used Mr. Joy's site to publish your opinions. So, because you have chosen to side with Mr. Joy and because you have chosen to speak with him, while he says nothing publicly then can you answer these claims.

If these are true (and they may or may not be) then it speaks volumes about the entire "investigation" and begs questions about the integrity of the information presented. And, because his "information" has been used to support and defend the novella here and the posts of sister and watchbird, then it calls into question the validity and veracity of those claims put forth by them.

If you can provide evidence that these claims are indeed not true then fine! It would seem that since you love to hammer away at Danny for not responding to your accusations and questions that you would want to provide an example of how things should be done and you would address these and provide either verification of their validity or evidence that they are false. I realize the claims are not against you, however you have placed yourself in the dugout with Mr. Joy and he has left you to be his spokesman here.

FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 09:52 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 10:48 PM)

... there is absolutely not one thing wrong with turning the tables and playing by their own rules.

And making no attempt whatsoever in following any of the principles of Matt. 18? Not even making a feeble attempt at finding out the other side of the story?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 09:52 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 08:47 PM)

Now, now BS, we have pages and pages, of Pickle emails to Danny WT, and anybody else he could get trying to get information. In fact, I believe your group called it harrasment? It is fair that he ask

this question. We know he did at least try many times to get this information from the source, but we know that Danny ain't tkin', right?

Now he ain't talking that's right. In the beginning he did but found out soon enough who and what was behind all this junk.

To be more specific you named a few that decided talking to them would be useless. Now what about other players involved over at their corner. There is no way they have contacted every single person that they have talked about over there.

And better yet, you know what? If they couldn't get the other side of the story, they would have been a lot smarter to just put it on hold until they could. but, they didn't, so, turn about is fair play.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 09:57 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 10:51 PM)

As to the Chippendale issue, have you ever heard of a joke?

So you're saying you took the time to verify that that was a joke, but had not time to get Gailon's side of the story? that makes no sense.

Certainly your stating in your profile that your home church is Florida Hospital Church isn't a joke, is it? By the way, do you like first service better or second service.

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 10:51 PM)

If you can provide evidence that these claims are indeed not true then fine!

If you can provide evidence that these claims are indeed true, then fine.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 09:57 PM

All I am saying is that Bob can ask that question. He did try to get the answers from the source. He can ask, and expect an answer. GJ is not asking, the others you refer to are not asking, Bob is.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 08:52 PM)

Now he ain't talking that's right. In the beginning he did but found out soon enough who and what was behind all this junk.

To be more specific you named a few that decided talking to them would be useless. Now what about other players involved over at their corner. There is no way they have contacted every single person that they have talked about over there.

And better yet, you know what? If they couldn't get the other side of the story, they would have been a lot smarter to just put it on hold until they could. but, they didn't, so, turn about is fair play.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 09:58 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 26 2007, 11:47 PM)

Now, now BS, we have pages and pages, of Pickle emails to Danny WT, and anybody else he could get trying to get information. In fact, I believe your group called it harassment? It is fair that he ask this question. We know he did at least try many times to get this information from the source, but we know that Danny ain't talkin', right?

PDi,

Then you would have no problem with Mr. Joy (through Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews since they have operated as his spokesmen here) answering these questions.

Personal ethics would have a great bearing on whether one can take his "investigation" seriously. It has a bearing on whether one can feel comfortable with the idea that he might employ unscrupulous methods in "obtaining information" during his "investigation."

And again, one of the key factors is that since his "information" is cited as supporting the claims and accusations of sister, watchbird, et. al. it has a direct relationship to the validity of their words.

The fact is, if these claims are not true then this thread sinks into oblivion. But it only will if the claims can be refuted with substantial evidence to the contrary.

- FHB

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 26 2007, 09:58 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 09:46 PM)

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

With all due respect Mr. B, while you might think save3abn.com only posts one side of the story, from what I've seen here at BSDA folks have been nearly standing on their heads asking, begging, cajoling, pleading, wishing, crying...for some plausible evidence, proof - whatever you legal beagles want to call it - of truth in the position taken by DS/3ABN.

Just a Snoopy perspective...

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:00 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 08:52 PM)

And making no attempt whatsoever in following any of the principles of Matt. 18? Not even making

a feeble attempt at finding out the other side of the story?

You set the standard Bob.

While we are talking here. I know you are convinced that no law suit is coming your way, so... or me and others that read here, would you be so kind as to make a clear, concise an overall list of the exact things that you and Joy are accusing DS of. With so many threads and posts and subjects your main objective of calling DS to task on what you think he is guilty of, is lost in the shuffle. Since you and Joy have made anything and everything fair game on the net, then you won't mind doing that or me, will you Bob.? To even make it simpler for you just list the illegal things you say he has done. Thanks

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:02 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 10:52 PM) □

If they couldn't get the other side of the story, they would have been a lot smarter to just put it on hold until they could. but, they didn't, so, turn about is fair play.

- 1) Reporters don't do that. They go to press and point out that the other side refused to comment.
- 2) Turn about is fair play? What specific issues have Gailon or Gregory or myself published about without trying to get a comment from the other side? FHB never tried at all, from what I can tell. That's not turn about.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 10:02 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:46 PM) □

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

Erik, you don't understand why FHB would bring it up? C'mon. This guy is trying to make a mockery out of 3abn and the people while also trying to destroy people's character in the process. You have to ask why that anyone would question his credentials or lack thereof, his financial status, his ethics? You find out these answers and then you also have a motive.

Erik we know what side you're on but surely you can be a little more even handed on something as obvious as this.

That's a lie. Danny... and Tommy... and Walt Thompson and the rest of the gang that couldn't lie straight have been asked to give their side of the story... repeatedly. We've all seen the emails where they have been asked to refute what has been stated... and we've seen them be unresponsive.

Your camp keeps doing the same things over and over and expecting different results... to some that's the definition of stupidity...to others, it's the definition of insanity... but if it's what floats your boat...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 10:06 PM

No, I have no problem with it. However, I think those answers are about as forthcoming as Danny's answer's to those allegations made against him. IOW, it will be a " I'll show you mine,if you show me yours". If Danny ain't asnwering, I don't think GJ is going to answer either. BTJM. He might. I wouldn't, becuse you are aksing something your side is not willing to give. But as I said TJM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 08:58 PM)

PDi,

Then you would have no problem with Mr. Joy (through Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews since they have operated as his spokesmen here) answering these questions.

Personal ethics would have a great bearing on whether one can take his "investigation" seriously. It has a bearing on whether one can feel comfortable with the idea that he might employ unscrupulous methods in "obtaining information" during his "investigation."

And again, one of the key factors is that since his "information" is cited as supporting the claims and accusations of sister, watchbird, et. al. it has a direct relationship to the validity of their words.

The fact is, if these claims are not true then this thread sinks into oblivion. But it only will if the claims can be refuted with substantial evidence to the contrary.

- FHB

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 10:06 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:48 PM)

Not so. Either it's been Danny's side of the story that has been posted, or Danny et. al. has been asked about the issue and been given an opportunity to repsond.

If you disagree, make a list of items and issues that fall into the description I just gave, and a list of items and issues that don't fit my description, and then share both lists here.

FHB, please post your email to Gailon asking him about this.

Bob,

edit- to add clarification

You've all but killed Tommy Shelton with your accusations and claims about him and using him to

harm his brother's ministry, and when I asked if you had talked to Tommy before publishing all this about him, and asked him his side?

You told me you didn't. (you never asked him one thing about what you had already judged him guilty of) because the focus of your investigation was really Danny.

Now you again demand of others what you don't do?

So far you've been Joy's spokesman, so why don't you ask him? He's your partner.

And this whole thing doesn't surprise me, I've heard about some of this elsewhere, before this.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 10:06 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:57 PM)

So you're saying you took the time to verify that that was a joke, but had not time to get Gailon's side of the story? that makes no sense.

Certainly your stating in your profile that your home church is Florida Hospital Church isn't a joke, is it? By the way, do you like first service better or second service.
If you can provide evidence that these claims are indeed true, then fine.

Lets see, I enjoy first service Bob, always have. The sermon is the same, but the music in first service is something special Yes, I am on the books in Florida and if you have any evidence otherwise spit it out Bob! You have claimed in public and private that you have no idea who I am and yet you constantly bring up the fact that my home church is Florida Hospital Church insinuating that this is somehow false. My membership as been there for over decade. This makes many people wonder if your original questions about my IP address were merely a ruse and that you have been hunting my identity all along.

Now, to the topic. I need not prove anything here. I asked a question, just as you did some 40+ times to your incessent emails to Danny. You expected responses so that you could vindicate 3ABN and Danny and Tommy and anyone else that you could save. These claims were made publically on the Internet and knowing that you speak for Gailon, I came to the source for clarification.

- FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:08 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:00 PM)

You set the standard Bob.

Thank you. Then if I have set the standard, you will support my demand that FHB now and in the future make some sort of feeble effort to get the other side of the story before posting such things.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:00 PM)

... would you be so kind as to make a clear, concise an overall list of the exact things that you and

Mr. Joy are accusing DS of.

Probably everything you're looking for is at <http://www.Save3ABN.com/>.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:09 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 08:58 PM) □

PDi,

Then you would have no problem with Mr. Joy (through Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews since they have operated as his spokesmen here) answering these questions.

Personal ethics would have a great bearing on whether one can take his "investigation" seriously. It has a bearing on whether one can feel comfortable with the idea that he might employ unscrupulous methods in "obtaining information" during his "investigation."

And again, one of the key factors is that since his "information" is cited as supporting the claims and accusations of sister, watchbird, et. al. it has a direct relationship to the validity of their words.

The fact is, if these claims are not true then this thread sinks into oblivion. But it only will if the claims can be refuted with substantial evidence to the contrary.

- FHB

FHB you have made some excellent points here. As all on BSDA like to say, It would "appear" that these allegations fit right into the same pattern as what my sources have told me about a judgement against Mr. Joy's automobile resulting in, repossession. It would "seem" there is a problem here. Also either Mr. Joy is leading sister up the garden path or she is leading him, because information in her post are consistently not factual. She made a big deal that secretly JD quinn was made head of pastoral. I called today. JD himself knew nothing about it, and according to DS's associates, neither does he. Maybe Hoty is feeding them what he hears instead of what actually is happening. Whatever is going on, somebody is getting their wires crossed.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:13 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 11:06 PM) □

Now you again demand of others what you don't do?

No, I did do that. I wrote Danny, as Walt essentially asked me to do, and inquired about the age and circumstances of the allegations against Tommy. Walt had said that Danny had given him information, and that information was clearly bogus.

I have asked Tommy a few things too. And Gailon spoke to him a long time ago.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:14 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:08 PM)

Thank you. Then if I have set the standard, you will support my demand that FHB now and in the future make some sort of feeble effort to get the other side of the story before posting such things. Probably everything you're looking for is at <http://www.Save3ABN.com/>.

No that is far to strung out with too many topics and letters and clutter. That is why I am asking you to simplify. You've spent months on it, surely a little bit more time invested won't hurt anything and it will help the rest of us understand so much more clearly.
Thanks Bob

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 10:15 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:06 AM)

And this whole thing doesn't suprise me, I've heard about some of this elsewhere, before this.

This is the third time I have heard these allegations. This is the first time someone has gone public with them.

There are many parallels to what has been done by the PicklJoy team. Now, if they are willing to stand up and do as they demand of all others then we may find out if these are true or false allegations and then be able to put things in perspective.

5

- FHB

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 10:16 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:48 PM)

Now why would an investigation need to be done on FHB? He is not a public figure nor has he put himself in the limelight like Joy and Pickle. They are the ones who like to dig dirt, so there is absolutely not one thing wrong with turning the tables and playing by their own rules.

By putting information out on others, Gailon has made himself fair game, right? Well by putting information out on Gailon, fhb makes himself fair game by the same rules....

So give us a name, fhb... let's see how fallible you've been.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:19 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 11:06 PM)
Lets see, I enjoy first service Bob, always have.

When was the last time you attended?

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 11:06 PM)
I asked a question, just as you did some 40+ times to your incessent emails to Danny.

And that is my point exactly. You haven't asked Gailon once. Why not? "In the mouth of two or three witnesses every word shall be established." Why are you relying on only one witness, one who claims to be both an Adventist and a Chippendale dancer?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:14 PM)
No that is far to strung out with too many topics and letters and clutter. That is why I am asking you to simplify. You've spent months on it, surely a little bit more time invested won't hurt anything and it will help the rest of us understand so much more clearly.
Thanks Bob

By not replying to my first comment, are you acknowledging that I get to set the standard?

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 10:22 PM

What was his stage name? ooops!! Did I say that out loud!

Sorry I know, I know..... off

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:19 PM)
.....and a Chippendale dancer?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 10:24 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:13 AM)
No, I did do that. I wrote Danny, as Walt essentially asked me to do, and inquired about the age

and circumstances of the allegations against Tommy. Walt had said that Danny had given him information, and that information was clearly bogus.

I have asked Tommy a few things too. And Gailon spoke to him a long time ago.

Bob,

Walt did not "essentially ask you to e-mail Danny" that's your dishonest spin, and what you wanted to do.

and you only included Tommy as an after thought long after you had accused and mailigned him, before the world.

Also what about Gailon Bob and these things he's done? **Which is the actual topic.** Are you still claiming he is a innocent "convicted embezzler"?

I don't believe in bringing up people's past if they have repented, but Gailon is obviously not repentant, for repentant people don't claim they did nothing wrong, and to me dishonest is... dishonest and not trustworthy.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:28 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 11:24 PM)

Walt did not "essentially ask you to e-mail Danny" that's your dishonest spin, and what you wanted to do.

False, and Walt knows it is since we have discussed this very point. In his November 27, 2004, email he asked me to verify what he had said, and he gave me only one name to contact.

Jindy, why don't you make an attempt to get Gailon's side of the story? Write him from the contact page at <http://www.Save3ABN.com/> and see what he says.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 10:29 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:19 AM)

When was the last time you attended?

Why Bob, were you there last week looking for a face-to-face? Is this an inquiry into how often I attend church? Or just a major attempt and avoiding answering the questions.

Are the allegations against Gailon true or not?

FHB

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 10:36 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 08:51 PM)

If these are true (and they may or may not be) then it speaks volumes about the entire "investigation" and begs questions about the integrity of the information presented. And, because his "information" has been used to support and defend the novella here and the posts of sister and watchbird, then it calls into question the validity and veracity of those claims put forth by them.

- FHB

FHB,

This statement certainly causes me concern. If you honestly believe that the man you have quoted may or may not be telling the truth about this how is that any different then him calling himself a Chippendale dancer? Perhaps all of his statements are jokes. And then, can we even believe that his story about his pet schnauser, the Pie-Pirate, is the truth? Shaky ground here, IMO.

Is your purpose to find out the truth of these claims or simply to use them to raise doubt about Gailon Joy's credibility/character whether they are true or not?

What information has Gailon provided to support the "novella" here? How would that bring into question the validity of sister's and watchbird's posts? Are they really so intertwined and dependent on Gailon Arthur Joy's info that they have no credibility of their own?

PB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:36 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 11:29 PM)

Why Bob, were you there last week looking for a face-to-face? Is this an inquiry into how often I attend church? Or just a major attempt and avoiding answering the questions.

Are the allegations against Gailon true or not?

- FHB

I already answered that. I said that from what I can tell, those allegations are not true in the least.

Now since I already answered that and have now answered it again, and since this wasn't the first time I asked this, when was the last time you attended? You can be slightly approximate to further mask your identity. I think that would be fair. But I'm just curious whether you actually currently attend there on a regular basis.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:39 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 09:29 PM)

Why Bob, were you there last week looking for a face-to-face? Is this an inquiry into how often I attend church? Or just a major attempt and avoiding answering the questions.

Are the allegations against Gailon true or not?

- FHB

FHB, when someone goes to questioning you about your church attendance, you can be pretty sure, he is avoiding the question.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:39 AM)

FHB, when someone goes to questioning you about your church attendance, you can be pretty sure, he is avoiding the question.

And you know a thing or 3 about avoiding questions seeing that you do so with regularity...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:36 PM)

I already answered that. I said that from what I can tell, those allegations are not true in the least.

Now since I already answered that and have now answered it again, and since this wasn't the first time I asked this, when was the last time you attended? You can be slightly approximate to further mask your identity. I think that would be fair. But I'm just curious whether you actually currently attend there on a regular basis.

Pickle this isn't about FHB! Get off of his back. His credibility is already established. And he's even truthful for your information. I am quite sure his past is clean as a whistle too!!

But I'd like to see the question answered: Did Joy lose his car? Was it really repossessed? Doesn't the man work for a living?

Answer these please! Stop trying to change the subject. It won't work here.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 10:42 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:34 PM)

Yes, it is true that a gentleman posted what FHB refers to, a gentleman who in his profile claims to be a Chippendale dancer...

Who cares..

More pertinent is that he first of all lists "Realter" in his profile and wrote this:

"... he tried to pay his overdue rent one time with a check drawn on some EGW trust account which he said he controlled... for what purpose I never found out..."

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:43 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:36 PM)

I already answered that. I said that from what I can tell, those allegations are not true in the least.

Now since I already answered that and have now answered it again, and since this wasn't the first time I asked this, when was the last time you attended? You can be slightly approximate to further mask your identity. I think that would be fair. But I'm just curious whether you actually currently attend there on a regular basis.

[Bob] the attendance at church by those in this forum would be absolutely none of your business. On the other hand what Gailon does is your business since you are partners as Cindy stated and you speak for him and save3abn on this forum. You said your answer is as far as you can tell these allegations are not true. Please explain "as far as you can tell." Isn't this the first you have heard of it. If so, how have you been able to "tell" anything about it?

5

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 10:43 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 27 2007, 12:41 AM)

Pickle this isn't about FHB! Get off of his back. His credibility is already established. And he's even truthful for your information. I am quite sure his past is clean as a whistle too!!

But I'd like to see the question answered: Did Joy lose his car? Was it really repossessed? Doesn't the man work for a living?

Answer these please! Stop trying to change the subject. It won't work here.

This isnt about Gailon either; where was your zeal to keep the subject from being changed when fhb

changed the subject from 3abn to Gailon Joy?

Are you that blind to your own hypocrisy? Ray Charles could see this one...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 10:45 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:36 AM)

I already answered that. I said that from what I can tell, those allegations are not true in the least.

Now since I already answered that and have now answered it again, and since this wasn't the first time I asked this, when was the last time you attended? You can be slightly approximate to further mask your identity. I think that would be fair. But I'm just curious whether you actually currently attend there on a regular basis.

Why is it so important Bob? Why do you care how currently I attended. Do you want sermon notes from Pastor Andy's last sermon? Are you interested in communion in a couple of weeks? Maybe you would like to come help out with the Shepherd's Hope Clinic this Wednesday - you're more than welcome as there are tasks for those without clinical experience. Or maybe you would like to come down and provide a meal for the families that take advantage of Interfaith Hospitality Network. Maybe you have a special skill you can come and share with Adventures or Pathfinders (one of the three levels) before the break for summer.

As this thread is about the claims against Mr. Joy and you don't seem to want to answer any of the questions then please don't waste space here and PM me and I will keep you up to date on what is happening at FHC.

- FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:46 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:39 PM)

FHB, when someone goes to questioning you about your church attendance, you can be pretty sure, he is avoiding the question.

I've been talking to Gailon, and he was curious when the last time your church had its books audited, Bystander. Care to comment?

As far as the allegations this John guy has raised, it appears that it may be difficult to deal with them without it being unnecessarily embarrassing to John. But Gailon is toying with finding some sort of way to address them. I have no idea what he will decide to do, but he's shared enough details that I can see that there is likely no truth at all in any of it.

Bear in mind that I said likely since I have no documents from either side of the question to back up either side's statements. And that problem puts FHB in a totally different league than <http://www.Save3ABN.com/>. FHB hasn't produced one single third party document or document by

Gailon to support John's allegations. All we have is John's word, a single witness.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 10:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:28 AM)

False, and Walt knows it is since we have discussed this very point. In his November 27, 2004, email he asked me to verify what he had said, and he gave me only one name to contact.

I very much doubt Walt knows it going from what he wrote you, why don't you just quote him Bob, or do you want me to?

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:28 AM)

Cindy, why don't you make an attempt to get Gailon's side of the story? Write him from the contact page at <http://www.Save3ABN.com/> and see what he says.

Ok. Done.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:50 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 11:45 PM)

As this thread is about the claims against Mr. Joy and you don't seem to want to answer any of the questions then please don't waste space here and PM me and I will keep you up to date on what is happening at FHC.

You avoided the question. Why? Do you think that I am going to buy into the idea that since you can pull up the church schedule that that means you attend regularly? No way. I asked you how regularly you currently attend, not what the schedule is.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 11:46 PM)

I very much doubt Walt knows it going from what he wrote you, why don't you just quote him Bob, or do you want me to?

You can't quote him since we discussed it on the phone.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 26 2007, 10:51 PM

A number of valid points have been made, but when the rubber meets the road the facts are these:

None of these attempts at misdirection change the fact that there are victims who have come forward, and have given their testimony. The victims, some of you have either ignored or tried to marginalize.

The fact that you have shown little if any sympathy or empathy to the victims of molestation and slander speaks volumes about those trying to misdirect any and all who come to BSDA for answers.

The fact is that to many of you, who do not have the courage or the integrity to stand up and say "my name is _____ and I stand here on BSDA/online openly and speak of what I believe is the truth, have the nerve to question anyone else's credibility?

Oooh please, those of you hiding behind screen names have ZERO "credibility" yourselves. So please save that so called indignation for the less discerning. This ain't sabbath school, we pay attention here...

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 10:53 PM

Dang!! Aint' ya'll tired of arguing tonight?!!! Danny< linda N Gailon Joy are already sleep! Go to bed!!!! I know it is nearly, if not passed midnight where most of you live.

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 10:53 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:46 PM)

I've been talking to Gailon, and he was curious when the last time your church had its books audited, Bystander. Care to comment?

Your tactics in trying to change the subject won't work Pickle. Sorry. Stay on subject please.

Posted by: Fran Mar 26 2007, 10:56 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 10:19 PM)

Why are you relying on only one witness, one who claims to be both an Adventist and a Chippendale dancer ?

Bob, I am lol! This thread has me really giggling!

My next comment is very true. It really did happen! However, understand that I was not an eyewitness!

Back when this mess began and I was receiving emails from everywhere about Danny Shelton, one made me really laugh!

I got an email saying Danny Shelton was a male stripper wanna be ! He says he is a Seventh-day Adventist. I remember reading that the desired result was not achieved.

12

I can remember when I danced on top of tables! That was years ago, of coarse. I had to stop because every one started hitting on me. But, boy it was one of those fleeting, few, wild, and fun times when I was attached to the world!

Now, today, I could dance AND strip on top of a table and everyone would yell FIRE and run for their lives, leaving me to burn! Oh, to be young again!

This is the only reference I have ever heard of a Seventh-day Adventist being a male stripper (Chippendale dancer).

It struck me odd that this new information against Joy could just maybe be coming from...

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:42 AM)

Who cares..

More pertinent is that he first of all lists "Realter" in his profile and wrote this:

"... he tried to pay his overdue rent one time with a check drawn on some EGW trust account which he said he controlled... for what purpose I never found out...."

More hypocrisy from the dannyclones... if it doesnt matter who it is, that posted the info about Gailon, or what that person does or has done... it doesn't matter that Gailon has posted info about DS/TS/WT/3abn et al... and thus no need for you and fhb and bystander to be trying so hard to assassinate his character...

When are you actually going to address the content of the posts rather than slinging mud at the poster? You and the rest of your camp claims to have the necessary info to refute... yet not once have any of you actually tried, spending all of your time instead trying to discredit people rather than statements...

Why dont you just all admit you have no facts to report, much less what is needed to refute what has been stated...you've witnessed nothing, and outside of hearsay you know even less... you lack the wherewithal to deal with posts so all you have left is attacking posters.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:50 PM)

You avoided the question. Why? Do you think that I am going to buy into the idea that since you can pull up the church schedule that that means you attend regularly? No way. I asked you how regularly you currently attend, not what the schedule is.
You can't quote him since we discussed it on the phone.

Are you saying phone conversations are off limits to quote someone? surely not, because you and joy specialize in publishing anything that gets in your hands or that you are told in a phone conversation.

You keep harrassing FHB about his church attendance. Ok, again turn about is fair play. Are you an ordained minister? If not why? To you want to be? I have my reasons Bob so just answer the questions.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 26 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 26 2007, 11:53 PM)

Blah blah blah, yado bang clang



Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 10:58 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:46 AM)

. . . it appears that it may be difficult to deal with them without it being unnecessarily embarrassing to John.

This sounds rather familiar. I think it was in an email or PM intended to intimidate me into being quite the last time I questioned your side of the fence.

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:46 AM)

But Gailon is toying with finding some sort of way to address them. I have no idea what he will decide to do, but he's shared enough details that I can see that there is likely no truth at all in any of it.

Well, Gailon doesn't seem to have a track record that you would want to bet on Bob. I did say in an earlier post (may or may not be true) because I am willing to give G.A. Joy the benefit of offering his side. The only time he has ever offered anything directly from him was when the issue of his embezzelment was raised and it seemed to be quite a bit of song and dance to try and technically make his actions legitimate.

There are those who have already begun to dig deeper and the truth will reveal itself even if Gailon and you do not Bob. Be patient.

- FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 10:58 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 26 2007, 11:41 PM)

Answer these please!

Why should I if neither you nor Bystander nor FHB have mustered up a tiny bit of courage and contacted Gailon to ask him for an explanation, when you have taken the testimony of a single witness when the Bible requires two or three, when none of you, including John, have provided a shred of evidence that there is any validity to these allegations at all?

Now what if I found some enemy of you all on the internet that accused you (Lee) of being a prostitute, and Bystander of being a hitman for the mafia, and FHB of just having swapped wives last week, and then I came here and posted all of that and trumpeted it all and then demanded that you address these allegations, without having tried to get your side of the story first. How would you feel?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 10:59 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 26 2007, 09:57 PM)

More hypocrisy from the dannyclones... if it doesnt matter who it is, that posted the info about Gailon, or what that person does or has done... it doesn't matter that Gailon has posted info about DS/TS/WT/3abn et al... and thus no need for you and fhb and bystander to be trying so hard to assassinate his character...

When are you actually going to address the content of the posts rather than slinging mud at the poster? You and the rest of your camp claims to have the necessary info to refute... yet not once have any of you actually tried, spending all of your time instead trying to discredit people rather than statements...

Why dont you just all admit you have no facts to report, much less what is needed to refute what has been stated...you've witnessed nothing, and outside of hearsay you know even less... you lack the wherewithal to deal with posts so all you have left is attacking posters.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J it would probably be more lucrative to you to go try your distracting tactics on another thread. You are wasting your time here.
Thanks

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:01 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Mar 27 2007, 12:57 AM)

Blah blah blah, yado bang clang



Seems to me that you have misquoted Lee. She said the following in the post you quoted:

QUOTE

Your tactics in trying to change the subject won't work Pickle. Sorry. Stay on subject please.

Don't you think it would be better to be accurate?

- FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:02 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 11:57 PM)

Are you saying phone conversations are off limits to quote someone?

Of course not. But her post came across as if she thought I was talking about an email, and it sounded like she was going to post that. She can't post a quote since she wasn't a party to that phone conversation, unless she talks to Walt or myself.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:02 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:58 PM)

Why should I if neither you nor Bystander nor FHB have mustered up a tiny bit of courage and contacted Gailon to ask him for an explanation, when you have taken the testimony of a single witness when the Bible requires two or three, when none of you, including John, have provided a shred of evidence that there is any validity to these allegations at all?

Now what if I found some enemy of you all on the internet that accused you (Lee) of being a prostitute, and Bystander of being a hitman for the mafia, and FHB of just having swapped wives last week, and then I came here and posted all of that and trumpeted it all and then demanded that you address these allegations, without having tried to get your side of the story first. How would you feel?

Exactly like all of those do that you have trashed over at your site. As I said Bob, you set that standard. No doubt you tried to talk to a few, but there are plenty more that you didn't. So on that subject, as eyewitness said "get on your high horse and say giddy up."

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 26 2007, 11:02 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 11:58 PM)

This sounds rather familiar... blah blah yada bang clang.

- FHB

Yes, this does sound familiar.

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 11:04 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 11:58 PM)

Why should I if neither you nor Bystander nor FHB have mustered up a tiny bit of courage and contacted Gailon to ask him for an explanation, when you have taken the testimony of a single witness when the Bible requires two or three, when none of you, including John, have provided a shred of evidence that there is any validity to these allegations at all?

Now what if I found some enemy of you all on the internet that accused you (Lee) of being a prostitute, and Bystander of being a hitman for the mafia, and FHB of just having swapped wives last week, and then I came here and posted all of that and trumpeted it all and then demanded that you address these allegations, without having tried to get your side of the story first. How would you feel?

Yes, how did Danny feel when you posted all those letters from Linda for all the public to see about intimate things from his marriage. Pickle--you have a lot of gall. Neither you nor Joy used Matt. 18 and yet you immediately say FHB should have. Better wake up man! A new day is dawning.....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 11:04 PM

Best be careful there, BS. Eyewitness may have said that but, if you'll notice, she is no longer around and Bob is.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:04 PM

Fallible,

It would be helpful if you could dig up some sort of document to support John's allegations. Plus, you have a Christian duty to attempt to contact Gailon about this.

Do you currently, regularly attend the church you call your home church?

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:59 AM)

Mr. J it would probably be more lucrative to you to go try your distracting tactics on another thread. You are wasting your time here.
Thanks

If you cant hang you are welcome to crawl back under that rock from whence you came.

My time is mine to do with as I please...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 27 2007, 12:57 AM)

More hypocrisy from the dannyclones... if it doesnt matter who it is, that posted the info about Gailon, or what that person does or has done... it doesn't matter that Gailon has posted info about DS/TS/WT/3abn et al... and thus no need for you and fhb and bystander to be trying so hard to assassinate his character...

When are you actually going to address the content of the posts rather than slinging mud at the poster? You and the rest of your camp claims to have the necessary info to refute... yet not once have any of you actually tried, spending all of your time instead trying to discredit people rather than statements...

Why dont you just all admit you have no facts to report, much less what is needed to refute what has been stated...you've witnessed nothing, and outside of hearsay you know even less... you lack the wherewithal to deal with posts so all you have left is attacking posters.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J,

Can you refute the claims in the OP? If you are bothered by the fact that Gailon has put himself into the lime light I find that surprising.

You and I are only taking what is being put out there as the purported truth and asking questions and finding answers. You want Danny, et. al. to answer all questions - so then encourage those you place your lot with to do the same.

- FHB

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 11:07 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 26 2007, 10:04 PM) □

Yes, how did Danny feel when you posted all those letters from Linda for all the public to see about intimate things from his marriage. Pickle--you have a lot of gall. Neither you nor Joy used Matt. 18 and yet you immediately say FHB should have. Better wake up man! A new day is dawning.....

Is this onn topic? Is this topic payback for perceived problems with Bob Pickle or is it a search for the truth of the allegations?

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:10 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 27 2007, 12:04 AM) □

Yes, how did Danny feel when you posted all those letters from Linda for all the public to see about intimate things from his marriage. Pickle--you have a lot of gall. Neither you nor Joy used Matt. 18 and yet you immediately say FHB should have. Better wake up man! A new day is dawning.....

Whoever posted them could plainly see that those letters from Danny represented his side of the story. That's the point. Danny's side was that the marriage was over because Linda had hidden his gun. That's what he said on April 27, 2004. His words, not Linda's.

Now perhaps a case could be made for having to contact Linda before publishing that April 27, 2004, email, to get her side of the story first. But that would be ridiculous. If Danny says the marriage was over because she hid his gun, why would one need to ask Linda about it?

Or maybe someone did ask her about it.

Interesting. If Fallible and Bystander are still here, then they are anonymous users, so no one knows where they are at for sure.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 11:10 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 10:05 PM) □

Mr. J,

Can you refute the claims in the OP? If you are bothered by the fact that Gailon has put himself into the lime light I find that surprising.

You and I are only taking what is being put out there as the purported truth and asking questions and finding answers. You want Danny, et. al. to answer all questions - so then encourage those you place your lot with to do the same.

- FHB

I personally think it is important to get to the truth of these matters but I also think you contacting Gailon would be in order and the Christian thing to do.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:10 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Mar 27 2007, 12:51 AM) □

[size=2]A number of valid points have been made, but when the rubber meets the road the facts are these:

None of these attempts at misdirection change the fact that there are victims who have come forward, and have given their testimony. The victims, some of you have either ignored or tried to marginalize.

Sera,

No one here has raised the issue of TS and the claims against him. You can try and bring that into this disucssion but wouldn't you be guilty of trying to hijack this thread? If we are going to discuss the TS situation then lets take it there - here the questions revolve around GAJ and Bob's attempts to divert the discussion to other areas, like how often I attend church (did this last Sabbath).

- FHB

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:11 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 09:58 PM) □

Why should I if neither you nor Bystander nor FHB have mustered up a tiny bit of courage and contacted Gailon to ask him for an explanation, when you have taken the testimony of a single witness when the Bible requires two or three, when none of you, including John, have provided a shred of evidence that there is any validity to these allegations at all?

Now what if I found some enemy of you all on the internet that accused you (Lee) of being a prostitute, and Bystander of being a hitman for the mafia, and FHB of just having swapped wives last week, and then I came here and posted all of that and trumpeted it all and then demanded that you address these allegations, without having tried to get your side of the story first. How would you feel?

Oh so you feel your sources have witnessed DS embezzling money? They have witnessed immoral behavior? They have witnessed him taking pennies from little old ladies and putting it in their pockets?

Let's turn your scenerio around Bob. Say you and your wife was cheating on you and refused to stop. You end up having to go through a bitter and miserable divorce. Later on I say that Bob Pickle is bouncing checks all over town. I say that Bob is picking up prostitutes. I say that Bob in his pretend job as treasurer of his church is lining his pockets with some offerings. Now, when people ask me how I know, I would answer an eyewitness told me. But, if the eyewitness happened to be the vengeful ex wife and/or some of her close friends, exactly how credible would those eyewitness

accounts be?

Think Bob, you know I'm right.

On the other hand these allegations against Joy didn't come from any ex wife that we know about and we would have to ask ourselves why would some guy come out of nowhere to make false allegations against Joy when he has nothing whatsoever to gain?

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:12 PM

So FHB, did you attend Florida Hospital Church this last Sabbath? (You still haven't answered my question.)

And why are you an anonymous user? You sure have a right to be, but why are you fearful for people to know when you are around? Or is fearful the wrong word?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 26 2007, 11:15 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 10:10 PM) □

Sera,

No one here has raised the issue of TS and the claims against him. You can try and bring that into this discussion but wouldn't you be guilty of trying to hijack this thread? If we are going to discuss the TS situation then lets take it there - here the questions revolve around GAJ and Bob's attempts to divert the discussion to other areas, like how often I attend church (did this last Sabbath).

- FHB

FHB,

Actually, Aletheia brought it up early on.

<http://www.blackstda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188024>

PB

Posted by: princessdi Mar 26 2007, 11:15 PM

Un Bob now you know this is not allowed. I know you are one of the few you has your identity availabl, but we are going to respect all who wish to keep th eirs annonymous...on both sides.....

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 11:15 PM

speaking about bringing reproach on the church thru individuals actions, and the proverbial pot calling the kettle black, would it be of interest to know that the probable writer of those words, but at least the founder of the web site, cheated his last 5-6 landlords out of duly owed rent payments? by simply not paying, and forcing delaying tactics and threatening expensive legal proceedings? including to me? including other SDA's? including cheating a bank to obtain a limited documentation mortgage in an under-employed daughters name, then not paying and forcing a foreclosure? living for free for the better part of a year?with a substantial loss to the lender? all while professing a sanctimonious belief in the churches mission to bring healing to the world???

naw, this probably isn't the time and place...

otoh, while I sympathize with Linda's situation, and she should be somehow made aware of the nature of this "support", I would not donate a dime thru this spiders web-site that I didn't expect to be misappropriated.

Quoted by John Alfke

Mr. Alfke is on Linda's side you know. But he seems to have had some personal distasteful experience with Joy. Something that would be pretty easy to validate I'm sure.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:17 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:11 AM) □

Think Bob, you know I'm right.

No, you're wrong, Bystander, and you know you are. Dryden's 2003 letter has nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife. Walt's claim that Danny told him the allegations against Tommy were 30 years old and due to a feud with Dryden had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife. **Danny and Tommy lying on the air on Dec. 31, 2006, had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife (Tommy said that if it weren't for the ferry, he could cope). Danny lying on the air on Feb. 15, 2007, about ASI being willing to hear any complaints and accusations had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife.**

9

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:17 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Mar 27 2007, 01:02 AM) □

Yes, this does sound familiar. □

Sera,

There seems to be a problem with your posting functions as you just don't seem to be able to get them to quote things correctly. The post you quoted actually said:

QUOTE

. . it appears that it may be difficult to deal with them without it being unnecessarily embarrassing to John.

This sounds rather familiar. I think it was in an email or PM intended to intimidate me into being quite the last time I questioned your side of the fence.

[quote name=Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:46 AM]But Gallon is toying with finding some sort of way to address them. I have no idea what he will decide to do, but he's shared enough details that I can see that there is likely no truth at all in any of it.[/quote]

Well, Gailon doesn't seem to have a track record that you would want to bet on Bob. I did say in an earlier post (may or may not be true) because I am willing to give G.A. Joy the benefit of offering his side. The only time he has ever offered anything directly from him was when the issue of his embezzlement was raised and it seemed to be quite a bit of song and dance to try and technically make his actions legitimate.

There are those who have already begun to dig deeper and the truth will reveal itself even if Gailon and you do not Bob. Be patient.

- FHB

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:18 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 26 2007, 09:56 PM)

Bob, I am lol! This thread has me really giggling!

My next comment is very true. It really did happen! However, understand that I was not an eyewitness!

Back when this mess began and I was receiving emails from everywhere about Danny Shelton, one made me really laugh!

I got an email saying Danny Shelton was a male stripper wanna be ! He says he is a Seventh-day Adventist. I remember reading that the desired result was not achieved.

I can remember when I danced on top of tables! That was years ago, of coarse. I had to stop because every one started hitting on me. But, boy it was one of those fleeting, few, wild, and fun times when I was attached to the world!

Now, today, I could dance AND strip on top of a table and everyone would yell FIRE and run for their lives, leaving me to burn! Oh, to be young again!

This is the only reference I have ever heard of a Seventh-day Adventist being a male stripper (Chippendale dancer).

It struck me odd that this new information against Joy could just maybe be coming from...

Yes of course Fran you must be correct. If DS which is obviously who you are insinuating was to go on a forum under an assumed name I am sure he would put a chippendale dancer in his profile. Yes, that would make total sense Fran. By gummies, you've solved another one.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:19 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 01:04 AM)

Fallible,

It would be helpful if you could dig up some sort of document to support John's allegations. Plus, you have a Christian duty to attempt to contact Gailon about this.

Do you currently, regularly attend the church you call your home church?

Job,

On point one. You don't have a leg to stand on as has been pointed out to you previously.

On point two, why do you care?

FHB

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:20 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 10:17 PM)

No, you're wrong, Bystander, and you know you are. Dryden's 2003 letter has nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife. Walt's claim that Danny told him the allegations against Tommy were 30 years old and due to a feud with Dryden had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife. Danny and Tommy lying on the air on Dec. 31, 2006, had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife (Tommy said that if it weren't for the ferry, he could cope). Danny lying on the air on Feb. 15, 2007, about ASI being willing to hear any complaints and accusations had nothing to do with a vengeful ex-wife.

Job, I believe FHB was correct that TS goes in another thread.
You and Mr. Joy have the honor of having this one.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 26 2007, 11:20 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 01:05 AM)

Mr. J,

Can you refute the claims in the OP? If you are bothered by the fact that Gailon has put himself into the lime light I find that surprising.

You and I are only taking what is being put out there as the purported truth and asking questions and finding answers. You want Danny, et. al. to answer all questions - so then encourage those you place your lot with to do the same.

- FHB

Am not talking about Danny here; I am talking about your standing around here pondering how it would be if you were king of the forest and wondering if the wizard can give you some courage.

The fact that you wont even identify yourself says the claims of the OP are irrelevant. According to God's law there is no such thing as anonymous testimony and you cant bring a charge against someone else without identifying yourself... not to mention it takes 2 or 3 witnesses to establish a matter.

According to man's law, you made the charge, the burden of proof is on you to prove them beyond a reasonable doubt; if you are not willing or able to do that, I don't even have to be bothered to refute your claims.

You made the charge; you have the burden of proof...but your insistence on anonymity makes you less than credible.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:21 PM

You misunderstood what I said. I wasn't talking about FHB's identity. I was talking about his persona. Look at the bottom of the page. He doesn't allow Fallible Human Being to appear at the bottom of the page when he is around. Bystander and Lee's names aren't appearing either.

That's their right to be an anonymous user if they want to be. It may be because they are afraid. It may be for strategy purposes. All I was doing was asking why, but acknowledging that they have a right to do that.

Pseudonyms vs. anonymous users. Two different issues.

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 12:15 AM)

Un Bob now you know this is not allowed. I know you are one of the few you has your identity availabl, but we are going to respect all who wish to keep th eirs anonymous...on both sides.....

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:20 AM)

Bob, I believe FHB was correct that TS goes in another thread.
you and Mr. Joy have the honor of having this one.

You asked me for a list, and I gave you a few items. Why complain?

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:23 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 01:11 AM)

On the other hand these allegations against Joy didn't come from any ex wife that we know about and we would have to ask ourselves why would some guy come out of nowhere to make false allegations against Joy when he has nothing whatsoever to gain?

Especially since he also indicates he finds himself on Linda's side in regards to the divorce and relieving her of her duties at 3ABN.

- FHB

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:27 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 12:19 AM) □

Bob,

On point one. You don't have a leg to stand on as has been pointed out to you previously.

On point two, why do you care?

- FHB

On point one, give me solid examples to show that I don't. Since you haven't yet, we can only assume that you know that I definitely have at least one leg that works.

On point two, why is it so difficult for you to say that you currently and regularly attend the church you claim is your home church?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:18 AM) □

Yes of course Fran you must be correct. If DS which is obviously who you are insinuating was to go on a forum under an assumed name I am sure he would put a chippendale dancer in his profile.

Yes, that would make total sense Fran. By gummies, you've solved another one. □ □

No, I think she was referring to the fact that a pastor wrote Danny referring to a male stripper, and Danny responded back. The implication is that Danny is the one being referred to. The allegation is that he was exposing himself.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:11 AM) □

On the other hand these allegations against Joy didn't come from any ex wife that we know about and we would have to ask ourselves why would some guy come out of nowhere to make false allegations against Joy when he has nothing whatsoever to gain?

Excellent question.

And more importantly, what evidence has he produced to support his claims? Or are his accusations as unsupported as Danny's against Linda?

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 26 2007, 11:29 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 27 2007, 01:20 AM) □

You made the charge; you have the burden of proof...but your insistence on anonymity makes you less than credible.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr J,

And here you are wrong beyond any shadow of a doubt. I made no accusations, made no claims, I asked if those made by someone else were infact true. If these claims which have been made by others as well were true.

I did not accuse, only asked if they were true. The fire storm that ensued seems to be an indication that the concerns may have validity in fact. We will see as things flesh out over the next few weeks.

- FHB

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 11:29 PM


QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:46 AM)

Bystander wrote:

FHB, when someone goes to questioning you about your church attendance, you can be pretty sure, he is avoiding the question.

Bob replied:

I've been talking to Gailon, and he was curious when the last time your church had its books audited, Bystander. Care to comment?



Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:30 PM

Regarding FHB's reference to the next few weeks:

Bluff, bluff?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:30 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 26 2007, 10:20 PM)

Im not talking about Danny here; I am talking about your standing around here pondering how it would be if you were king of the forest and wondering if the wizard can give you some courage.

The fact that you wont even identify yourself says the claims of the OP are irrelevant. According to God's law there is no such thing as anonymous testimony and you cant bring a charge against someone else without identifying yourself... not to mention it takes 2 or 3 witnesses to establish a matter.

According to man's law, you made the charge, the burden of proof is on you to prove them beyond a reasonable doubt; if you are not willing or able to do that, I don't even have to be bothered to refute your claims.


You made the charge; you have the burden of proof...but your insistence on anonymity makes you less than credible.

In His service,
Mr. J

I am sure, then, that you will want to adjust your "credibility criteria" and no longer listen to sister, watchbird, sonshineonme, mozart, hershey, and a myriad of others coming from your camp. After all, insistence on anonymity makes them less than credible.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:32 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:29 AM)



Yes, Bystander, since you dodged that one, how about it? When were the books at your church last audited? Is there accountability regarding how the money is handled at the church you attend?

Notice, no accusations.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:35 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 10:27 PM)

On point one, give me solid examples to show that I don't. Since you haven't yet, we can only assume that you know that I definitely have at least one leg that works.

On point two, why is it so difficult for you to say that you currently and regularly attend the church you claim is your home church?

No, I think she was referring to the fact that a pastor wrote Danny referring to a male stripper, and Danny responded back. The implication is that Danny is the one being referred to. The allegation is that he was exposing himself.
Excellent question.

And more importantly, what evidence has he produced to support his claims? Or are his accusations as unsupported as Danny's against Linda?

Or as unsupported as Linda's against Danny. Bob If you insist on obsessing about FHB's church attendance please start a new thread and I am sure we can all be entertained with the highlights of his church attendance over the years. We can then discuss the questions ask you about being ordained and why aren't you and all the other. But until you do lets focus on you and Joy.

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 11:35 PM

Pickle--start a new thread or pm Bystander about this--we are not interested in it or how or when FHB went to church

You are trying to change the topic again....tsk tsk!!!

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:35 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 10:27 PM) □

On point one, give me solid examples to show that I don't. Since you haven't yet, we can only assume that you know that I definitely have at least one leg that works.

On point two, why is it so difficult for you to say that you currently and regularly attend the church you claim is your home church?

No, I think she was referring to the fact that a pastor wrote Danny referring to a male stripper, and Danny responded back. The implication is that Danny is the one being referred to. The allegation is that he was exposing himself.
Excellent question.

And more importantly, what evidence has he produced to support his claims? Or are his accusations as unsupported as Danny's against Linda?

Bob, since you are so obsessed with FHB's church attendance, please start a new thread and I am sure we can all be entertained from reading highlights of his church career. There would be the place or you to answer my questions about you being ordained or not and why. But, in the meantime this thread is on you and Gailon. Let's stick to it.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 26 2007, 11:42 PM

I will say that the only instance Gailon could think of that John could be referring to regarding landlords was something like this:

Gailon et. al. put \$20,000 of improvements into a leased/rented property that they had an option to buy. It got sold out from under them, with the understanding that they would have free rent in

exchange for the sweat equity they were losing. They then were demanded to pay rent anyway, which they rightfully refused.

Gailon can't figure out who all the other landlords might be. My guess, perhaps wrong, is that John may be referring to simultaneous owners of a single property, not 5 or 6 non-simultaneous owners of different properties.

The above is what Gailon told me last week when i came across this.

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 27 2007, 12:35 AM)
Pickle--start a new thread or pm Bystander about this--we are not interested in it or how or when FHB went to church
You are trying to change the topic again....tsk tsk!!!

You have every right to speak for yourself.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 12:35 AM)
Or as unsupported as Linda's against Danny.

Which specific allegations are you speaking of? Linda isn't the one who wrote Dryden's 2003 letter. She isn't the one who said on the air that tommy had to retire early because a ferry was so stressful. She isn't the one who said on the air that ASI was going to hear any accusations and complaints. Really, there are so few allegations coming from Linda, for you to even say this suggests that you are trying to spin the situation.

Are you an ordained minister?

Posted by: Lee Mar 26 2007, 11:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 12:42 AM)
Are you an ordained minister?

Bystander an ordained minister? Who cares what he is....

But Pickle answer this: Are you a doctor? Where did you get your doctorate? What university did you attend and what was your dissertation on?

Please STOP, think carefully, sit very still and try to stay focused!! Remember what this thread was about? At least try to think clearly.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 26 2007, 10:42 PM)

Bob don't make Calvin come on here and have to tell you that TS goes into another thread. Now you addressed what you think is a renting story but what about the judgement on the automobile? did it or did it not get repossessed and/or is it going to be?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 26 2007, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 12:58 AM)


This sounds rather familiar. I think it was in an email or PM intended to intimidate me into being quiet the last time I questioned your side of the fence.

He emailed me that too. for the same reason, and just the other day he posted this to me on a forum, and cracked me up:

"I have not intimidated you by email. And I would strongly recommend that you not post any of my communications to you in an effort to prove me wrong, lest everyone find out what I really did say to you.

Bob"

Ok I'm sorry, slightly



Also Bob, I emailed Gailon as you asked

....and asked him when he attended Church last :



Ok seriously, I did email him and if he sends his answer to me instead of posting it himself, I'll post it for him as I told him I'd do.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 26 2007, 11:52 PM


QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 10:47 PM)

He emailed me that too. for the same reason, and just the other day he posted this to me on a forum, and cracked me up:


"I have not intimidated you by email. And I would strongly recommend

that you not post any of my communications to you in an effort to prove me wrong, lest everyone find out what I really did say to you.

Bob"

Ok I'm sorry, slightly 

Also Bob, I emailed Gailon as you asked

....and asked him when he attended Church last : 

Ok seriously, I did email him and if he sends his answer to me instead of posting it himself, I'll post it for him as I told him I'd do.

seriously, should one of us ask him when he attended church last and what the sermon topic was on?

  rofl  

Posted by: erik Mar 26 2007, 11:54 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 08:46 PM)

And why would FHB need to get Gailon's side of the story. Gailon has had a posting party over in his little corner of the world (yours too) without getting other people's side. He can be "outed" by the same standards

Erik, you don't understand why FHB would bring it up? C'mon. This guy is trying to make a mockery out of 3abn and the people while also trying to destroy people's character in the process. You have to ask why that anyone would question his credentials or lack thereof, his financial status, his ethics? You find out these answers and then you also have a motive.

Erik we know what side you're on but surely you can be a little more even handed on something as obvious as this.

Bystander,

am on the side of truth, Period when the truth falls Danny's way then i will support him, When the ruth falls Linda's Way i will support her. AND then the truth falls some were in the middle then i will :all it like i I see it.

His seems to be a case of the Truth falling in the middle I.E. the Lawyers have said there is no case so the Pro Danny side is falling back to next line.

f this stuff is True then Mr. joy is sinner like the rest of us, and needs to come clean.

ust sinner Like me Linda Danny Tommy, and rest who are in this whole thing, The Trouble is that nstead of Pro-Danny Side coming Clean THEy SEEM to be trying the old childhood trick of telling Tommy(in this case the viewing public) that Erik(or in this case Mr. joy) did this or that so that ommy and Danny some how get forgotten in the rush to punish The other guy. Now if thinking this

way is taking sides i am sorry I am calling it Like I see it.

Bystander, At this point Danny is way ahead to stand be a man and say this the proof against Linda, or to stand up and Say I have no real Proof against linda please forgive me, And knowing many of the Danny faithful they will forgive him and keep sending in the money, but this trying to have your cake and eat too. Is killing him.

Erik

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 27 2007, 12:07 AM

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 27 2007, 01:54 AM)

. . . the Lawyers have said there is no case so the Pro Danny side is falling back to next line.

Erik,

What do you base the above idea on? The attorneys feel there is no case? Don't we need to wait and see if any individuals are served before you come to that conclusion?

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 27 2007, 01:54 AM)

If this stuff is True then Mr. joy is sinner like the rest of us, and needs to come clean.

We all are sinners in need of God's cleansing blood. I am sure that Bob is busy tonight trying to accumulate a list of all the things I have done so that he can add them to whether or not I have been to church this month or not.

What will it take to satisfy you? Do you need to be privy to the evidence of 3ABN. Let's say you recieve an invitation tomorrow from someone offering to share with you the evidence that 3ABN has in regards to the issues. They will fly you there and let you become privy to it all - what then Erik? Let's expand that and say that someone who is privy to the evidence gains the privilege of presenting it here and they lay it out - how many will accept it? How many will claim it is manipulated, manufactured? How many will move on and let the subject rest? Would you if you had the evidence presented to you?

The sad fact is that the Linda camp (Joy, Pickle, Matthews, and of course Linda) have brought us to this point - court cases. [here is a warning Erik, this post will be attacked because I dare say that Linda has responsibility in the events that have occured over the past 20 years, much less the past 3]

The truth will be made evident. Pickle, et al can feel comfortable in the belief that they will not have to bear legal responsibility for their words and actions - but we will see.

- FHB

Posted by: Bystander Mar 27 2007, 12:16 AM

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 26 2007, 10:54 PM)

Bystander,

I am on the side of truth, Period when the truth falls Danny's way then i will support him, When the truth falls Linda's Way i will support her. AND then the truth falls some were in the middle then i will call it like i I see it.

This seems to be a case of the Truth falling in the middle I.E. the Lawyers have said there is no case so the Pro Danny side is falling back to next line.

If this stuff is True then Mr. joy is sinner like the rest of us, and needs to come clean.

Just sinner Like me Linda Danny Tommy, and rest who are in this whole thing, The Trouble is that instead of Pro-Danny Side coming Clean THEY SEEM to be trying the old childhood trick of telling Mommy(in this case the viewing public) that Erik(or in this case Mr. joy) did this or that so that Tommy and Danny some how get forgotten in the rush to punish The other guy. Now if thinking this way is taking sides i am sorry I am calling it Like I see it.

Bystander, At this point Danny is way ahead to stand be a man and say this the proof against Linda, or to stand up and Say I have no real Proof against linda please forgive me, And knowing many of the Danny faithful they will forgive him and keep sending in the money, but this trying to have your cake and eat too. Is killing him.

Erik

Erik do you really think that what has been done to DS and 3abn on the web is having his cake and eating it too? Hardly. As I have stated before, the board will decide what is to be done and when it is to be done. In the meantime their world hardly revolves around this. There is a ministry to be run and those involved are up to their eyeballs in work. So, even though there will be accountability for those involved in creating this mess, 3abn has a more than full, plate. They can't just drop everything and present their side any time they want to.

Furthermore, I noticed that you started your first post in this thread by saying it looks like or maybe the attorneys don't have a case and blah blah...By the time you had said it a couple of times..you are almost stating it as fact. Let's be clear that is something you just came up with on your own with nothing at all to back it up.

You will be surprised.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 12:23 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 01:15 AM)

FHB,
Actually, Aletheia brought it up early on.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188024>

PB

Sorry

only did so in reply to Bob's claims that FHB needs to talk to people before posting here about them is he [Bob] does, and his challenge to show where he [Bob] did not.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188008>

and I also clearly said: "Also what about Gailon Bob and these things he's done? **Which is the actual topic.**" -- as your link shows.

too agree that Tommy Shelton and the allegations against him, are a discussion for another thread.

Posted by: mystery- man Mar 27 2007, 12:56 AM

I can probably help answer some of the requested information seeing that I am a outsider and a monetary contributor to 3abn.

1. Danny is accused by his step daughter of inappropriate sexual contact.
2. Tommy is accused of inappropriate sexual contact with young males.
3. Danny is accused of living in adultery and divorcing his wife without Biblical reasons, at the same time saying he is mending broken people and spreading the undiluted 3 angels message.
4. Danny is accused of using money inappropriately while at the same time requesting donars send more money.
5. The board at three abn is accused of showing that they do not have a clue as to how to manage Gods property and or show loving kindness to one of their own.
6. That is the case of Linda Danny was trying to get rid of Her for a younger lady (more implied than actually stated, tho I have seen no proof of the previous statement).

On the other hand the other side is saying.

1. Danny is being falsely accused by his step daughter
2. Linda gave up the ministry for another man, while her husband Danny faught valiantly to keep Her.
3. Tommy is being falsely accused of sexual contact with young males (Tho I have not seen anyone really refute this accusation).
4. That the board at three abn are really loving people who did the only thing they could possibly do given the circumstances as they were.
5. Danny has never misused any of the money at 3abn.
6. That 3 abn is under attack falsely because of the wonderful end time message "sending the undiluted message around the world" to counter act the counterfeit.
7. Danny is the chosen one anointed as it were by God and that others are just jealous or angry.

This is not all but these are the main topics I get from looking at this forum.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 27 2007, 01:02 AM

QUOTE(mystery- man @ Mar 27 2007, 02:56 AM)

I can probably help answer some of the requested information seeing that I am a outsider and a monetary contributor to 3abn.

1. Danny is accused by his step daughter of inappropriate sexual contact.
2. Tommy is accused of inappropriate sexual contact with young males.
3. Danny is accused of living in adultery and divorcing his wife without Biblical reasons, at the same time saying he is mending broken people and spreading the undiluted 3 angels message.
4. Danny is accused of using money inappropriately while at the same time requesting donars send more money.
5. The board at three abn is accused of showing that they do not have a clue as to how to manage Gods property and or show loving kindness to one of their own.
6. That is the case of Linda Danny was trying to get rid of Her for a younger lady (more implied than actually stated, tho I have seen no proof of the previous statement).

On the other hand the other side is saying.

1. Danny is being falsely accused by his step daughter
 2. Linda gave up the ministry for another man, while her husband Danny faught valiantly to keep Her.
 3. Tommy is being falsely accused of sexual contact with young males (Tho I have not seen anyone really refute this accusation).
 4. That the board at three abn are really loving people who did the only thing they could possibly do given the circustances as they were.
 5. Danny has never misused any of the money at 3abn.
 6. That 3 abn is under attack falsley because of the wonderful end time message "sending the undiluted message around the world" to counter act the counterfeit.
 7. Danny is the chosen one anointed as it were by God and that others are just jealous or angry.
- This is not all but these are the main topics I get from looking at this forum.

M/M,

Okay, you have concisely identified some of the issues . . . but what about the OP. Can you bring any light to that so we can know if there is concern to be had in regards to Linda's chosen spokesman?

I will ask you to make a comment on one of your claims. The last one, #7a (Danny being the chosen one . . .) in the list you identify as the "other side" . . . have you and others completely blown this out of context? Can you identify where this came from and provide the entire context in which it occurred?

- FHB

PS: I go to church regularly.

Posted by: Fran Mar 27 2007, 01:12 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 26 2007, 10:06 PM)

Bob,

edit- to add clarification

You've all but killed Tommy Shelton with your accusations and claims about him and using him to harm his brother's ministry, and when I asked if you had talked to Tommy before publishing all this

about him, and asked him his side?

You told me you didn't. (you never asked him one thing about what you had already judged him guilty of) because the focus of your investigation was really Danny.

Now you again demand of others what you don't do?

So far you've been Joy's spokesman, so why don't you ask him? He's your partner.

And this whole thing doesn't surprise me, I've heard about some of this elsewhere, before this.

Alethia Said: "You've all but killed Tommy Shelton with your accusations and claims about him ..."

Please clarify who has made accusations against Tommy Shelton? If I recall correctly, that would be the victims, Right? This is a run down of my understanding.

1. It is Tommy's own documented admission and actions that have caused him much pain. When bringing the subject to light was done in 2003. Gailon Joy, Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews were not organized and maybe had never heard of each others. Tommy Shelton's own actions are what have almost killed him, as you have stated, by reaping the rewards of HIS actions. He has been forgiven. After reading Carol's letter, I have a tendency to believe he is forgiven under God's law. However, God himself said we should obey the Laws of God and the Laws of the land, unless it conflicts with God's You cannot take care of one and forget the other.

2. This 2003 Documented report came to light because of VICTIMS coming forth. This was long before the investigating team.

3. We have those VICTIMS because of Tommy Shelton's "ACTIONS!"

4. Danny Shelton has received bad press because he chose "NO ACTION" when these things came to his attention.

5. Because he took "NO ACTION", Danny Shelton is an accomplice of these actions against these VICTIMS.

6. These things finally came to light at BSDA, Maritime Online, and Save3ABN.com.

7. All of the sudden 3ABN is losing support!

8. The blame was shifted by Dannyites with a threat of litigation against BSDA posters who have been talking for years now, yet, they are now to blame for hurting 3ABN Finances!

9. Now the family at BSDA and Maritime Online have begun to question your sanity! Surely you have no clue, right?

As I see it:

1. The victim have right to bring action against Tommy Shelton because of HIS actions.

2. Because 3ABN was asked to become involved, but chose the "NO ACTION" plan, a case against 3ABN could come from the VICTIMS for that lack of action.

3. Tommy's actions have hurt 3ABN Financially. 3ABN could take action against Tommy Shelton for this lose of finances. Then further, Tommy Shelton could take action against

3ABN for not helping when he asked for help. Danny's help was NO help.

4. BSDA Posters have nothing to do with 3ABN. (except for a few.) Our freedom to discussion has been attacked. Do we have a right to take action against the Dannyites? What I see is pure and simple harrasement.

5. Tommy Shelton's actions made his actions public. He must deal with it. (This is easier said than done) It is very real and many times people choose to try to live outside of reality.

Now, Alethia;

These are my opinions and thoughts;

- 1 Are you taking your chill pills plus all other medication?**
- 2. Do you have cataracts?**
- 3. Have you had any recent head injuries?**
- 4. Do you frequesntly get up and leave the room and forget why?**
- 5. Are you sitting very close to your monitor?**
- 6. Have you checked your blood Pressure and found it a bit too high?**
- 7. Are you usually right, but frequently cab clearly see others as wrong?**
- 8. Are you mentally sane, but have doubts about the other posters?**
- 9. Do you get headaches from reading posts at BSDA?**
- 10. Do you feel/know that you are right and everyone else is wrong?**

It appears to me that you are able to manage output, but can not process input with accuracy. If you answered yes to any of the above questions, feel free to contact me at your earliest convience.

We can meet at a nearby hospital and have some herbal tea and help each other in our quest of truth, Please do not let the barbed wire around the tops of the 16' fence worry you. They are there for show, if you know what I mean.

The gaurd will let you in, but you must give him your personal items. They will be returned upon your departure at some far future date.

Feel free to have dinner on us. I will join you as soon as possible.

Doesn't this sound like a fun, fun adventure? I am looking forward to our open discussions at that time. We may even try to do that Chippendale dance! Hey, better yet, do you belly dance? How about Pole dancing? Don't worry, they have free classes.

**Your insane/screwy friend
Franny Babe!**

The beginning of this post: # 1-7 & # 1-5 are my reply to your post. The balance is with TONGUE IN CHEEK!

We could all use a break and breath in and breath out. Laugh a few times and realize that life is short. Smell the roses while we can. Tomorrow may be too late.

I have had more than one laugh tonight and feel younger already! I refuse to look in the mirror, for tonight at least.

Crazy Daisy Fran

Posted by: erik Mar 27 2007, 02:05 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 10:16 PM)

Erik do you really think that what has been done to DS and 3abn on the web is having his cake and eating it too? Hardly. As I have stated before, the board will decide what is to be done and when it is to be done. In the meantime their world hardly revolves around this. There is a ministry to be run and those involved are up to their eyeballs in work. So, even though there will be accountability for those involved in creating this mess, 3abn has a more than full, plate. They can't just drop everything and present their side any time they want to.

Furthermore, I noticed that you started your first post in this thread by saying it looks like or maybe the attorneys don't have a case and blah blah...By the time you had said it a couple of times..you are almost stating it as fact. Let's be clear that is something you just came up with on your own with nothing at all to back it up.

You will be surprised.

Bystander,

Then why on earth would any of #an supporters drop them themselves to the others sides means, if they had a case?

I am unsure has anyone else about what the lawyers for 3abn are going to do, but to tell you the truth the actions of the Pro danny crowd sure make it look like the lawyers are saying they do not have a case. I f i am wrong then so be it. Time will Tell.

All i see if all these Charges are in fact not true against Mr. Joy then all your sides has done is give him any easy path to walk down if decides to sue for twisting his character to the seven winds.

And coming from people that are always decrying this happening to Danny and Tommy is to me a bit self serving.

Plus it changes little that we have three signed letters concerning Tommy actions sitting out on the table, all un refuted to this day.

Erik

Posted by: erik Mar 27 2007, 02:31 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 10:07 PM)

Erik,

What do you base the above idea on? The attorneys feel there is no case? Don't we need to wait and see if any individuals are served before you come to that conclusion?

We all are sinners in need of God's cleansing blood. I am sure that Bob is busy tonight trying to accumulate a list of all the things I have done so that he can add them to whether or not I have been to church this month or not.

What will it take to satisfy you? Do you need to be privy to the evidence of 3ABN. Let's say you recieve an invitation tomorrow from someone offering to share with you the evidence that 3ABN has in regards to the issues. They will fly you there and let you become privy to it all - what then Erik? Let's expand that and say that someone who is privy to the evidence gains the privilage of

presenting it here and they lay it out - how many will accept it? How many will claim it is manipulated, manufactured? How many will move on and let the subject rest? Would you if you had the evidence presented to you?

The sad fact is that the Linda camp (Joy, Pickle, Matthews, and of course Linda) have brought us to this point - court cases. [here is a warning Erik, this post will be attacked because I dare say that Linda has responsibility in the events that have occurred over the past 20 years, much less the past 3]

The truth will be made evident. Pickle, et al can feel comfortable in the belief that they will not have to bear legal responsibility for their words and actions - but we will see.

- FHB

FHB,

What would satisfy me. in simple words the truth no matter what it is. if i had that yes i would move on and defend the less guilty side.

well that is a good question for a Great Start some simple straight forward answers to the very confusing messages that danny and 3abn have put, before all this happen my total connection to 3abn was that my church had given away some of the 3abn dishes, and then about a year after that or so at church the 3Abn watchers in my church said we need to pray for danny because of the tax stuff and then the marriage went south because linda had an affair.

So image my shock when I was forward from a friend of mine, that linda was saying she never had an affair, and well thought this sounds like a guilty lady trying to get out of and then some people at church said that they had heard that 3abn was under attack on th internet so i did a little checking and found a couple of forums, you and i both know what was on those forums. this being the main one. so i came here and was fully ready to launch into this wicked people here that were hurting the work of God, BUT i decide to read the whole forum from the start and guess what it danny's words and actions more then any one thing such did sit right with me, so i decide to watch what happened until was and still to this quite sure that Danny has been less then forthcoming with the whole truth, now i have not always agreed with the methods that some of linda's supporters have used, but i certainly do not approve of the methods that i see Danny's side using either.

If someone was willing to let me look at the proof, and it clearly showed Linda was wrong I would be the first to Defend Danny and 3abn, But I am basically a nobody in the this whole thing so I" personally doubt that that offer will come to me.

But Like have tried to say more then once I am for the simple truth to be told the Good the bad and the ugly parts, i for one see all of those in the bible, so why would i not expect to see those in the people of God in this modern times.

So Fhb, has to the degree of linda's guilt for that last 20 years, for some of what people are accusing danny and 3 abn yes she holds some of the blame, maybe more then what we know right now maybe less time will tell. Do I think she is at least partly responsible for the break up of her marriage yes i do, do i think danny shares in that burden yes i do.

The actions of the last 3 years well FHB, lets just say that my guess if the whole truth is known some day then yes sadly my guess is that linda may have blame to bear but, i know beyond a shadow of a doubt that Danny has some blame to bear to.

If had totally access to the whole truth, and saw that danny was blameless then i would defend him. But based on the tax case ruling, and the worlds and actions of danny himself then i would say you are going need a big pile of proof.

By the way if you are offering a chance to see the proof then i accept your offer, just pm.

Well it is late and i am probably making a fool out of my self, erik.

Erik

Posted by: mystery- man Mar 27 2007, 02:36 AM

Fallible,

Please do not accuse me of one side or the other. In all honesty and from reading the post I find both parties at fault. I do not believe that Linda is entirely without fault. I for the life of me cannot understand why she would not just cut ties with the doctor or let someone else be the go between. And from the post I find Danny a bit cold in respect to his step son. Actually I believe the people on both sides have a little bit of the truth. I dont see anything in the accusations with the exception of the Tommy thing as being able to prosecute. I am troubled at the letter from the step daughter about Dannys actions. I am also troubled about the 100,000 dollars given to Linda by the doctor. [c[/color]][color=#FF0000]But more than anything I am sadden about the lack of Love from the board and from the people on both sides. In a way I agree with Calvin on this and that is I wish that this would go away.

As for number seven I gathered that from the sermons that I heard with my own ears which seem to imply that Danny was the chosen of God like Moses. Or from the sermon that seem to apply that he was like John the Baptist.

Fallible do you love Linda? Do you love Danny? I can honestly say that I really was impressed with the both of them for some time. But this whole thing is so so sad and to me shows more than anything a lack of love. Even when a suggetst end is given the sides are soooo unloving that all they seem to be able to think about is their side saving face. Honestly I truely believe both have been under attack from satan and the individuals (board) in charge should have given them both time off from the ministry to work things out. But I can see the pride of the board, after all linda did not obey their orders. Let he who is without sin cast the first stone.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 07:02 AM

Why would you say this at 9:35pm:

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 09:35 PM)

Or as unsupported as Linda's against Danny. Bob If you insist on obsessing about FHB's church attendance please start a new thread and I am sure we can all be entertained with the highlights of his church attendance over the years. We can then discuss the questions ask you about being ordained and why aren't you and all the other. But until you do lets focus on you and Joy.

And then turn around moments later and say essentially the same thing?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 26 2007, 09:35 PM)

Bob, since you are so obsessed with FHB's church attendance, please start a new thread and I am sure we can all be entertained from reading highlights of his church career. There would be the place for you to answer my questions about you being ordained or not and why. But, in the meantime this thread is on you and Gailon. Let's stick to it.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 27 2007, 07:28 AM

QUOTE(mystery- man @ Mar 27 2007, 03:36 AM)

Fallible,

Please do not accuse me of one side or the other. In all honesty and from reading the post I find both parties at fault. I do not believe that Linda is entirely without fault. I for the life of me cannot understand why she would not just cut ties with the doctor or let someone else be the go between.

As far as I know, it was Linda's son, Nathan, who was hooked on drugs. I've been told, correctly or incorrectly, that one of the drugs involved was meth.

Now, if I had a son on meth, myself, I would not "cut ties with the doctor" to please the people who don't give a rip about my son.

One thing you will notice, is that Danny's defenders rarely, if ever, mention Nathan Moore.

But if I were Nathan Moore, I might be a little upset with Dr. Walter Thompson, interfering with my doctor and my mother in this situation. Dr. Walter Thompson, chairman of the board of 3ABN, of all people, should know all about patient-doctor relationships, and should have butted out, in my opinion.

Now, when you get to the "I want to spend my life mending broken people" slogan spouted by Danny Shelton, it is my opinion that when the chips were down, neither Danny nor the 3ABN Board members were there for Linda Shelton.

However, as I said, you won't hear Danny Shelton and the 3ABN Board mention Nathan Moore very often, if at all, because methamphetamines do not fit the spin the 3ABN board members have been trying to supply, albeit, unsuccessfully, for the past three years.

In fact, the 3ABN Board and Danny Shelton **do not** remind me of the Seventh-day Adventists that I recall from my childhood in any way. I don't remember the Adventists back then being cold and heartless and hardened. Personally, I think 3ABN talks the talk, but does not walk the walk; As a result, I have decided that 3ABN belongs with a class of people whom I do not wish to have around.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 07:31 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 27 2007, 01:30 AM)

I am sure, then, that you will want to adjust your "credibility criteria" and no longer listen to sister, watchbird, sonshineonme, mozart, hershey, and a myriad of others coming from your camp. After all, insistence on anonymity makes them less than credible.

Just because you don't know who they are does not mean I don't either...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 07:35 AM

Panama_Pete,

Way to keep it real! Thanks for the reminder. Sacrificing the well-being of young men **seems** to be something the leadership of 3abn has been all too willing to do.

PB

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 07:45 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 01:29 AM)

Mr J,

And here you are wrong beyond any shadow of a doubt. I made no accusations, made no claims, I asked if those made by someone else were in fact true. If these claims which have been made by others as well were true.

I did not accuse, only asked if they were true. The fire storm that ensued seems to be an indication that the concerns may have validity in fact. We will see as things flesh out over the next few weeks.

- FHB

Have you verified these claims? Or are you assuming the sensational nature of them will be sufficient to make Gailon back off and thus you are not concerned with whether they are in fact true and neither have nor intend to verify them.

If you have not, then you are making an allegation based on hearsay... which seems to be a common thread in the dispatches from your camp. None of you is ever an actual participant in any of the things you dredge up... you just heard it from a source you are declaring credible without independent verification on your part and without the necessary information required for others to either confirm or refute it.

Scripture has a term for such... several, in fact.

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 03:02 AM)

PS: I go to church regularly.

" A man may sink by such slow degrees that, long after he is a devil, he may go on being a good churchman or a good dissenter, and think himself a good Christian." - Gordon MacDonald

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 07:49 AM

Kevin.... its apparent to me that this is another thread of mass distraction..... why not leave those who believe that they are writing for the lurkers or those whose hearts are for the lord to themselves..... they are not interested in the truth any more that Danny was interested in staying married to Linda....

Posted by: Observer Mar 27 2007, 07:53 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 26 2007, 02:48 PM)

Maybe the story hasn't hit the main stream press because the so-called investigator of 3ABN only wants his fame to exist within the Adventist community. Why would he be concerned, well let's ask Bob Pickle and Greg Matthews who appear to be Gailon A. Joy's spokesmen - or at least they are closest to him in regards to the 3ABN story and because Mr. Joy doesn't post here so they are his spokesmen. Do the claims provided below which were made (HERE) have any basis in fact? If they do, it would seem that Mr. Joy has a past and reputation that would make most skeptical Americans very wary of anything he claimed to be "the truth." However, there doesn't seem to be much skepticism here about his "truth" which is used to support the local novella published here and the postings of "sister" so maybe America wouldn't be so skeptical of someone who was convicted of embezzlement and now has this litany of claims of against him to deal with.

The Internet reveals some interesting information. The following claims are made against Mr. Joy by an individual with obvious first hand information of the situation. Both quotes can be found <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>:

In another post the author of the above refers to a personal interaction he had with G. A. Joy: Now, additional questions that need to be raised in regards to this last quote are:

"Did he have any right to draw on that account?"

and

"Do the individuals he controlled these accounts for know he did this?"

What does this say about the integrity of his work, his "evidence", his motive for trying to take Danny and 3ABN down? If you were Linda wouldn't you be concerned about this man representing you? If his "evidence" is used to support the novella found here in this forum and the postings of sister - how accurate can they be?

- FHB

O. K. You have asked me to respond:

I have followed as many of the links that I could find. I found the posting on "atomorrow" that you referenced above, by a John Alfke. A review of Mr. Alfke's bio clearly shows that he is having fun, and likely going beyond the truth with overstatements. Do we really take seriously his question regarding the 72 virgins, and his claim to be a Chipendale dancer? I do not think so.

But, after all of this, I can not find any Internet link to the issues that he claims may be found on the Internet, and that you reference.

If you seriously want people to respond, you need to provide us with the original Internet links. If you would do that, your request that we (I) respond would be a valid one. If you can give me the original Internet source, and/or the source (if that is applicable) for the Internet reference, I will attempt to follow it down, and comment on it.

I can not be considered to make a valid comment on something that you do not provide a reference source for me to review. Right now your reference is probably third hand, or more, and comes by way of an individual who has contaminated his credibility with a comment (joking I suppose) regarding 72 virgins, and more.

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 09:12 AM

Cindy posted this in another thread, which is evidence that there is a coordinated effort, of which Cindy is a part of to discredit those who don't agree with the Danny supporters....(emphasis mine)

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy...


Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 1:39 PM

Subject: Re: New Thread

"Great thread Cindy. Your OP was great and illicited the expected response . . . stone throwing, character assassination, etc.

Nobody offered anything to refute your point, in fact they never addressed your point at all, instead trying to turn it into a personal attack on your integrity and character (guess the rules are out the window already!) "

"There is new material on Pickled's web site. It includes Danny's financial affidavit. Nothing for Linda is posted for comparison. More evidence of the unbalanced approach the man uses. I wonder how he would feel if his entire life were posted on the Internet for public review?"

I wonder who the email is from and who else got it....  We do know that Cindy got it, and FHB got it because he started the post that attempts to discredit Mr. Joy....interesting indeed....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 09:22 AM

An acquaintance provided me with an interesting email.

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

Subject: ATomorrow post

Date: Sat, 17 Mar 2007 21:26:09 -0500

From: WebMaster <WebMaster@Save3ABN.com>

To: John Alfke <john8verse32@aol.com>

Hi John.

I saw your two posts at

<http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>

Is there anything Gailon needs to make right with you or anyone else?

WebMaster

To date, 10 days later, John has not replied to the query. One has to wonder why.


PB

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 09:27 AM




QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 07:12 AM)

Cindy posted this in another thread, which is evidence that there is a coordinated effort, of which Cindy is a part of to discredit those who don't agree with the Danny supporters....(emphasis mine)


I wonder who the email is from and who else got it... 


Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 09:41 AM


QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:12 AM)

Cindy posted this in another thread, which is evidence that there is a coordinated effort, of which Cindy is a part of to discredit those who don't agree with the Danny supporters....(emphasis mine) I wonder who the email is from and who else got it...  We do know that Cindy got it, and FHB got it because he started the post that attempts to discredit Mr. Joy....interesting indeed.....

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional..... 



PSDi I love the smilie 

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 09:46 AM

So they are going to a lot of sites an dposting the same posts? Ok, these people don't work, have no family, friends, pass out tracks.....?

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 07:22 AM)

An acquaintance provided me with an interesting email.
To date, 10 days later, John has not replied to the query. One has to wonder why.

PB

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 09:48 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:12 AM)

We do know that Cindy got it, and FHB got it because he started the post that attempts to discredit Mr. Joy....interesting indeed.....

For all we know, given the attack chihuahua act of fhb, he could be the one who wrote it... regardless, I am sure it made the rounds to all the usual suspects...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 09:50 AM

Ok, NW, but you know you can't fire up the grill without any chicken.....can I bring my own? I'll also bring my show stopping chili dip(vegggie style of course)LOL!!! I was looking for a smoking gun smiley and found that instead! LOL!!

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 07:41 AM)

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional.....

TVsnack. DE

PSDi I love the smiley

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 09:53 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 07:41 AM)

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional.....

TVsnack. DE

PSDi I love the smiley

NW,
would medusa qualify as vegan?

If not, I will gladly attend for a few morsels of chocolate. (A woman willing to share her

stash...inconceivable!) If I take any international flights between now and the gathering, I will check the catalogue for watches and see what I can afford to do.

PB

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 09:57 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 11:50 AM)

Ok, NW, but you know you can't fire up the grill without any chicken.....can I bring my own? I'll also bring my show stopping chili dip(vegggie style of course)LOL!!! I was looking for a smoking gun smiley and found that instead! LOL!!

Bring all the chicken you want Di. I do have a good recipe somewhere I used once for a party that marinated it in coconut milk and some other spices if you want to try it. Dips are always appreciated. And now that I think about it, I am not biased so Lee and Aletheia you are invited as well. Any thing you would like in particular?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:00 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 07:46 AM)

So they are going to a lot of sites an dposting the same posts? Ok, these people don't work, have no family, friends, pass out tracks.....?

Di,

You would have to ask FHB for that info. All I am certain of today is that I didn't even know there was a forum called Adventist of Tomorrow until yesterday.

PB

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 10:00 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 11:57 AM)

Bring all the chicken you want Di. I do have a good recipe somewhere I used once for a party that marinated it in coconut milk and some other spices if you want to try it. Dips are always appreciated. And now that I think about it, I am not biased so Lee and Aletheia you are invited as well. Any thing you would like in particular?

I hear crow is in season... dunno how much per pound it goes for, tho... never ate any, myself...but i hear it tastes like chicken...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 10:02 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 11:53 AM)

If not, I will gladly attend for a few morsels of chocolate. (A woman willing to share her stash...inconceivable!) .

PB

PB, someone has to since I have it in writing that Sera does not share.....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:03 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 07:57 AM)

Bring all the chicken you want Di. I do have a good recipe somewhere I used once for a party that marinated it in coconut milk and some other spices if you want to try it. Dips are always appreciated.

And now that I think about it, I am not biased so Lee and Aletheia you are invited as well. Any thing you would like in particular?

said the spider to the fly... rofl

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 10:04 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 27 2007, 12:00 PM)

I hear crow is in season... dunno how much per pound it goes for, tho... never ate any, myself.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J, I don't know if they carry crow at my local high end market but I do know they were selling some croc recently. (or was that gator back in January? I think they have a fresh stash in for next weekend).


Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 10:05 AM

Bring all the chicken you want Di. **I do have a good recipe somewhere I used once for a party that marinated it in coconut milk and some other spices if you want to try it.** Dips are always appreciated.

Oooooooh! That sounds good!!!

And now that I think about it, I am not biased so Lee and Aletheia you are invited as well. Any thing you would like in particular?

Aaawwww!!! "I need you, You need me. We're all a part of God's Body, Stand with me agree with me, We're all a part of God's Body.....I need you to Survive"

That is so beautiful, NW..... 

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:08 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 27 2007, 08:00 AM)

I hear crow is in season... dunno how much per pound it goes for, tho... never ate any, myself...but i hear it tastes like chicken...

In His service,
Mr. J

Kevin, step away from the crows! (they happen to be my favorite birdies) No one should eat things that live longer than most humans, IMO

Now this is a much nicer way to distract and divert!

Shall we get back on topic or continue planning NW's party?

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 10:10 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 12:08 PM)

Kevin, step away from the crows! (they happen to be my favorite birdies) No one should eat things that live longer than most humans, IMO

Now this is a much nicer way to distract and divert!

Shall we get back on topic or continue planning NW's party?

So PB, is the topic Clay's question?

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 10:13 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 12:10 PM)

So PB, is the topic Clay's question?


Absolutely...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:17 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 08:10 AM)

So PB, is the topic Clay's question?

Well, I think if we discussed our opinions of Clay's question we would be back on topic. I was just getting worried since nobody else was heading us back to the topic so I figured I would go ahead and drop the shoe. Perhaps they are all sleeping in since the discussion went on so late last night. So, maybe we could still safely have some fun... 

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 10:17 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 11:57 AM)

Bring all the chicken you want Di. I do have a good recipe somewhere I used once for a party that marinated it in coconut milk and some other spices if you want to try it. Dips are always appreciated.

And now that I think about it, I am not biased so Lee and Aletheia you are invited as well. Any thing you would like in particular?

That is so sweet of you. I love picnics and Bar-B-Qs! I'm rather partial towards big juicy Shamburgers myself. I could bring the fixins for that? But since we're supposed to stay on topic, why don't we move this all to a more fitting and scenic location such as possibly the barbara Kerr thread, the sexual Molestation thread or even the Linda Shelton's letter thread?

Everybody up for it??

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:21 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 08:17 AM)

That is so sweet of you. I love picnics and Bar-B-Qs! I'm rather partial towards big juicy Shamburgers myself. I could bring the fixins for that? But since we're supposed to stay on topic.why don't we move this all to a more fitting and scenic location such as possibly the barbara Kerr thread, the sexual Molestation thread or even the Linda Shelton's letter thread?

Everybody up for it??

Ah yes, a BBQ in the sexual molestation thread. Sounds lovely. You going to invite Calvin?



Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 10:24 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 12:08 PM)

Shall we get back on topic or continue planning NW's party?

And I will attest to the fact that not a single email was sent or phone call made to anyone in the planning of this party. Is that on topic enough PB?

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 10:25 AM

See now, you just had to mess up that Kumbayah moment..... But since you did, go ahead and tell us about that email Clay posted. Chicken marinading anyway, I got time.

NW, PB, have some dip? How about some Propel, got all flavors..... pe

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 09:17 AM)

That is so sweet of you. I love picnics and Bar-B-Qs! I'm rather partial towards big juicy Shamburgers myself. I could bring the fixins for that? But since we're supposed to stay on topic.why don't we move this all to a more fitting and scenic location such as possibly the barbara Kerr thread, the sexual Molestation thread or even the Linda Shelton's letter thread?

Everybody up for it??

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 10:27 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:12 AM)

Cindy posted this in another thread, which is evidence that there is a coordinated effort, of which

Cindy is a part of to discredit those who don't agree with the Danny supporters....(emphasis mine)
 I wonder who the email is from and who else got it.... We do know that Cindy got it, and FHB
 got it because he started the post that attempts to discredit Mr. Joy....interesting indeed....

Imm.. I thought the closed thread was regarding my credibility, and this one is about the credibility of
 the 3ABN investigators???

In any case Clay, wonder no more. FHB's OP had nothing to do with that letter, and I confess....

It wasn't from D.S. W.T. or any member of the board, or even any employee of 3ABN.

And I also confess, I am part of a coordinated effort to get to the truth, and to throw out the trash.

Of course we might disagree about what trash is, one man's garbage is another man's treasure.

Peace--

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:29 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 08:24 AM)

And I will attest to the fact that not a single email was sent or phone call made to anyone in the
 planning of this party. Is that on topic enough PB?

Sure...

And if truth looks like trash to some, we may have a problem.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 10:35 AM

Now, see, this would be alright, except that is not what the email says. It says you are not posting
 to do anything but cause confusion and are interested in the answers to any of your "questions"
 just negative responses. So your post is nonresponsive. Try again!

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 09:27 AM)

Hmm.. I thought the closed thread was regarding my credibility, and this one is about the credibility
 of the 3ABN investigators???

In any case Clay, wonder no more. FHB's OP had nothing to do with that letter, and I confess....

It wasn't from D.S. W.T. or any member of the board, or even any employee of 3ABN.

And I also confess, I am part of a coordinated effort to get to the truth, and to throw out the trash.

Of course we might disagree about what trash is, one man's garbage is another man's treasure.

Peace--

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 10:44 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 11:27 AM)

Hmm.. I thought the closed thread was regarding my credibility, and this one is about the credibility of the 3ABN investigators???

In any case Clay, wonder no more. FHB's OP had nothing to do with that letter, and I confess....

It wasn't from D.S. W.T. or any member of the board, or even any employee of 3ABN.

And I also confess, I am part of a coordinated effort to get to the truth, and to throw out the trash.

Course we might disagree about what trash is, one man's garbage is another man's treasure.

Peace--

no not even.... what was interesting was the email that you provided that in essence gave the marching orders to do what you all have tried to do in this thread... i.e. spread Mr. Joy's life all over the internet..... that is what is interesting....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 10:57 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 08:44 AM)

no not even.... what was interesting was the email that you provided that in essence gave the marching orders to do what you all have tried to do in this thread... i.e. spread Mr. Joy's life all over the internet..... that is what is interesting....

That is right Clay. I think the words of the anonymous emailer summed it up:

"stone throwing and character assasination"

What I agree with, though, is now that FHB brought this issue up it is important to follow it through to see what the truth turns out to be. If GAJ has taken advantage of folks and it has not been resolved, it may speak to a character problem. If the charges are baseless, where did they come from? That would speak to a character problem with the one making the original claims.

Ultimately, does that change the credibility of anything that is posted on save3abn or here? If so, what and why.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 11:00 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:27 PM)

And I also confess, I am part of a coordinated effort to get to the truth, and to throw out the trash.

If you consider the equivalent of sitting on Edgar Bergen's lap with his hand in your back a coordinated effort.

We're still waiting for that list of the things related to 3ABN you have talked about that you were actually a participant of or a first hand witness to, Cindy... let's discuss those and leave the things you are speaking of on hearsay be dealt with by those who were there...

Personally, I don't believe you have been a participant in any specific incident and are only speaking as you are being directed by others...

Prove me wrong. Provide the list.

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 12:44 PM)

no not even.... what was interesting was the email that you provided that in essence gave the marching orders to do what you all have tried to do in this thread... i.e. spread Mr. Joy's life all over the internet.... that is what is interesting....

They aren't even spreading his 'life' all over the internet; they have cherry picked things with the intent of impugning, discrediting and embarrassing Mr. Joy, in the hope that it will cause him to rethink his chosen course of action...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 11:01 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 12:44 PM)

no not even.... what was interesting was the email that you provided that in essence gave the marching orders to do what you all have tried to do in this thread... i.e. spread Mr. Joy's life all over the internet.... that is what is interesting....

Really?

Well if my understanding of what was written to me, and what I posted has any relevance to you, then it's not what I read, nor my understanding, nor did I see Joy mentioned...

It appears to me you jumped to the wrong conclusions and did so in error because you have preconceived and false ideas about me, and FHB also, and so started out from the get go. with faulty

premises.

Judging anothers motive and intents is generally not good, unless you have more then opinions to go on...

Respectfully, I honestly don't see what you posting that letter in this thread has to do with the issue of the credibility of those investigating and reporting about the #ABN issues.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 27 2007, 11:06 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 10:27 AM) □

It wasn't from D.S. W.T. or any member of the board, or even any employee of 3ABN.

Can you also say that it wasn't from a family member of the Sheltons as well? Not Ronnie, Teresa, Kenny, or any of the rest?

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 11:07 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:01 PM) □

Really?

Well if my understanding of what was written to me, and what I posted has any relevance to you, then is not what I read, nor my understanding, nor did I see Joy mentioned...

It appears to me you jumped to the wrong conclusions and did so in error because you have preconceived and false ideas about me, and FHB also, and so started out from the get go. with faulty premises.

Judging anothers motive and intents is generally not good, unless you have more then opinions to go on...

Respectfully, I honestly don't see what you posting that letter in this thread has to do with the issue of the credibility of those investigating and reporting about the #ABN issues.

then you have read and not comprehended.... and that is a personal problem I cannot help you with....

I may do alot of things... jumping to wrong conclusions has never been one of those things... because you cannot understand doesn't mean that those who do read and comprehend cannot.... we see the connection even when you cannot or choose not to....

Lastly, judging motives... hmmm I have worked in the mental health field for over 20 yrs.... that experience has given me pretty good insight into the motives of others.... especially those of you and your band of truth-benders.....

Posted by: calvin Mar 27 2007, 11:08 AM

QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 27 2007, 01:12 AM)

Alethia Said: "You've all but killed Tommy Shelton with your accusations and claims about him ..."

Now, Alethia;

These are my opinions and thoughts;

- 1 Are you taking your chill pills plus all other medication?**
- 2. Do you have cataracts?**
- 3. Have you had any recent head injuries?**
- 4. Do you frequently get up and leave the room and forget why?**
- 5. Are you sitting very close to your monitor?**
- 6. Have you checked your blood Pressure and found it a bit too high?**
- 7. Are you usually right, but frequently can't clearly see others as wrong?**
- 8. Are you mentally sane, but have doubts about the other posters?**
- 9. Do you get headaches from reading posts at BSDA?**
- 10. Do you feel/know that you are right and everyone else is wrong?**

It appears to me that you are able to manage output, but can not process input with accuracy. If you answered yes to any of the above questions, feel free to contact me at your earliest convenience.

We can meet at a nearby hospital and have some herbal tea and help each other in our quest of truth, Please do not let the barbed wire around the tops of the 16' fence worry you. They are there for show, if you know what I mean.

The guard will let you in, but you must give him your personal items. They will be returned upon your departure at some far future date.

Feel free to have dinner on us. I will join you as soon as possible.

Doesn't this sound like a fun, fun adventure? I am looking forward to our open discussions at that time. We may even try to do that Chippendale dance! Hey, better yet, do you belly dance? How about Pole dancing? Don't worry, they have free classes.

**Your insane/screwy friend
Franny Babe!**

The beginning of this post: # 1-7 & # 1-5 are my reply to your post. The balance is with TONGUE IN CHEEK!

We could all use a break and breath in and breath out. Laugh a few times and realize that life is short. Smell the roses while we can. Tomorrow may be too late.

I have had more than one laugh tonight and feel younger already! I refuse to look in the mirror, for tonight at least.

Crazy Daisy Fran

I found this particularly insulting and uncalled for. Fran, you are suspended for a week. I am getting tired of all the insults on **both sides**. The suspensions will keep getting longer. If I have to suspend you a second time it will be permanent, and I don't care who you are, how long you have been here,

what your post count is, etc. This applies to everyone.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 27 2007, 11:15 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 01:01 PM)

Judging anothers motive and intents is generally not good, unless you have more then opinions to go on...

Practically everything you've posted judges the motives and intents of others and is based on opinions and hearsay; by your own admission you are not a witness... so measuring you by the measure you mete... you are "generally not good"...

But I am sure most had already deduced that...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 11:18 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 12:35 PM)

Now, see, this would be alright, except that is not what the email says. It says you are not posting to do anything but cause confusion and are interested in the answers to any of your "questions" just negative responses. So your post is nonresponsive. Try again!

Diane, respectfully you can't possibly know my motive and intent. And you don't know what I do, and that is that **no one** knew ahead of time what I was going to write about or post. No one knew ahead of time why I posted it, nor did I discuss it beforehand with anyone.

The e-mail was in response to what I had written because that individual had just read it, and knew nothing about it before then.

Why was the replies and responses to what I posted expected?

Well when the same type of replies and arguments are given over and over, you expect it. That's it plain and simple.

Now for someone who keeps advising me to read what's written and accusing me of not being able to comprehend that, It may suprise you to know, I often have that same opinion of you on reading what you post to me, such as the above.

Please try for one moment to accept what I just explained, and then read what actually was written with that in mind.

""Great thread Cindy. Your OP was great and illicted the expected response . . . stone throwing, character assassination, etc.

Nobody offered anything to refute your point, in fact they never addressed your point at all, instead trying to turn it into a personal attack on your integrity and character (guess the rules are out the window already!) ""

I respectfully ask that the "things that make you go hmmm..." thread be reopened, and all these posts about the letter which was posted in it, be moved there.

I am not Gailon Joy and this topic is not about my credibility. It does not appear that the OP in the thread is being addressed either.

Thank you for listening.

Posted by: erik Mar 27 2007, 11:34 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 09:18 AM) □

Diane, respectfully you can't possibly know my motive and intent. And you don't know what I do, and that is that **no one** knew ahead of time what I was going to write about or post. No one knew ahead of time why I posted it, nor did I discuss it beforehand with anyone.

The e-mail was in response to what I had written because that individual had just read it, and knew nothing about it before then.

Why was the replies and responses to what I posted expected?

Well when the same type of replies and arguments are given over and over, you expect it. That's it plain and simple.

Now for someone who keeps advising me to read what's written and accusing me of not being able to comprehend that, It may suprise you to know, I often have that same opinion of you on reading what you post to me, such as the above.

Please try for one moment to accept what I just explained, and then read what actually was written with that in mind.

""Great thread Cindy. Your OP was great and illicted the expected response . . . stone throwing, character assassination, etc.

Nobody offered anything to refute your point, in fact they never addressed your point at all, instead trying to turn it into a personal attack on your integrity and character (guess the rules are out the window already!) ""

I respectfully ask that the "things that make you go hmmm..." thread be reopened, and all these posts about the letter which was posted in it, be moved there.

I am not Gailon Joy and this topic is not about my credibility. It does not appear that the OP in the thread is being addressed either.

Thank you for listening.

No it is not about your credibility, but if you all are wanting to discredit Mr. Joy then may i suggest a different route that might just work better.

Have tommy shelton make a Statement that he did not in fact do the things that the Letters from the young men and boys, and mothers say that he did do.

Have danny write out in simple terms with clear proof that his ex wife was guilty of anything more then talking to much on the phone.

Those type of statements will discredit Mr. Joy so much faster then if he paid his rent or not. I mean to me it sounds like if true that mr. joy might color out side the lines a little bit, guess that is why Dannys appearing to color out side the lines is so easy for him to spot.

Erik

Posted by: calvin Mar 27 2007, 11:54 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 27 2007, 07:45 AM) □

Have you verified these claims? Or are you assuming the sensational nature of them will be sufficient to make Gailon back off and thus you are not concerned with whether they are in fact true and neither have nor intend to verify them.

If you have not, then you are making an allegation based on hearsay... which seems to be a common thread in the dispatches from your camp. None of you is ever an actual participant in any of the things you dredge up... you just heard it from a source you are declaring credible without independent verification on your part and without the necessary information required for others to either confirm or refute it.

Scripture has a term for such... several, in fact.

In His service,
Mr. J

" A man may sink by such slow degrees that, long after he is a devil, he may go on being a good churchman or a good dissenter, and think himself a good Christian." - Gordon MacDonald

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 27 2007, 07:53 AM) □

O. K. You have asked me to respond:

I have followed as many of the links that I could find. I found the posting on "atomorrow" that you referenced above, by a John Alfke. A review of Mr. Alfke's bio clearly shows that he is haveing fun, and likely going beyond the truth with overstatements. Do we relly take seriously his question regarding the 72 virgins, and his claim to be a Chipendale dancer? I do not think so.

But, after all of this, I can not find any Internet link to the issues that he claims may be found on the Internet, and that you reference.

If you seriously want people to respond, you need to provide us with the original Internet links. If you would do that, your request that we (I) respond would be a valid one. If you can give me the original Internet source, and/or the source (if that is applicable) for the Internet reference, I will attempt to follow it down, and comment on it.

I can not be considered to make a valid comment on something that you do not provide a reference source for me to review. Right now your reference is probably third hand, or more, and comes by way of an individual who has contaminated his credibility with a comment (joking I suppose) regarding 72 virgins, and more.

HB,

As a follow up to both Kevin and Observer posts. When you originally PMed me asking permission to post this, I asked you provide an Internet link to support the charges. You did not do that, you posted it anyway without me granting you permission. Needless to say, I allowed it anyway.

You certainly come have helped your cause by providing support for your statements against Joy. BTW, don't surprised if your own credibility comes into question by posting what I consider to be unsupported rumors that could be considered libelous mud slinging on your part to discredit Joy.

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 11:55 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 12:18 PM) □

I am not Gailon Joy and this topic is not about my credibility. It does not appear that the OP in the thread is being addressed either.

Thank you for listening.

It has been addressed... it is apparent to all who are able to read and comprehend that before this thread was started, you got a email, and posted that email which was in part about Mr. Joy's methods..... that email went on to suggest that Mr. Joy's life be plastered over the internet...


Then comes this thread.... attempting to do just that... yeah its been addressed and those who have read and understood, understand clearly....

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 11:56 AM

Sorry, Cindy try again. The email states your intention. I don't have to guess it is right here (emphasis mine):

QUOTE

"Great thread Cindy. Your OP was great and **illicted the expected response** . . . stone throwing, character assassination, etc.

You posted something knowing what the response would be. Nothing about whatever thread claimed to be about, but to cause confusion. If you knew that, and clearly you did, you should not have posted it to begin with, unless your goal was to cause that precise effect. And you were successful and got a pat on the back for it from.....Charlie...maybe.....he used to call the Angels, I guess with the information age, he has moved to emails.  The part about Charlie is just a joke, please don't over react. So go on Gurl, tell the truth and shame the devil!

And then there is this part:

QUOTE

.....I wonder how he would feel if his entire life were posted on the Internet for public review?"

...and now we have this thread.....Come on now, you don't have to even be a conspiracy theorist to catch this one.....

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 27 2007, 09:18 AM) 

Diane, respectfully you can't possibly know my motive and intent. And you don't know what I do, and that is that **no one** knew ahead of time what I was going to write about or post. No one knew ahead of time why I posted it, nor did I discuss it beforehand with anyone.

The e-mail was in response to what I had written because that individual had just read it, and knew nothing about it before then.

Why was the replies and responses to what I posted expected?

Well when the same type of replies and arguments are given over and over, you expect it. That's it plain and simple.

Now for someone who keeps advising me to read what's written and accusing me of not being able to comprehend that, It may surprise you to know, I often have that same opinion of you on reading what you post to me, such as the above.

Please try for one moment to accept what I just explained, and then read what actually was written with that in mind.

""Great thread Cindy. Your OP was great and illicted the expected response . . . stone throwing, character assassination, etc.

Nobody offered anything to refute your point, in fact they never addressed your point at all, instead trying to turn it into a personal attack on your integrity and character (guess the rules are out the window already!) ""

I respectfully ask that the "things that make you go hmmm..." thread be reopened, and all these posts about the letter which was posted in it, be moved there.

I am not Gailon Joy and this topic is not about my credibility. It does not appear that the OP in the thread is being addressed either.

Thank you for listening.

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 12:02 PM

The email in question stated this:

QUOTE

"There is new material on Pickled's web site. It includes Danny's financial affidavit. Nothing for Linda is posted for comparison. More evidence of the unbalanced approach the man uses. I wonder how he would feel if his entire life were posted on the Internet for public review?"

We know that sav3abn is Mr. Joy's website, not Bob's.... So then everything stated by this person in this email is in reference to Mr. Joy and not Bob because it is Mr. Joy's website.... thus, the statement "I wonder how he would feel if his entire life were posted on the Internet for public review?" must refer to Mr. Joy and not Bob... since again the website belongs to Mr. Joy.....

Those who have read and understood... understand this....

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 12:06 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 27 2007, 12:35 AM)

Pickle--start a new thread or pm Bystander about this--**we are not interested** in it or how or when FHB went to church

You are trying to change the topic again....tsk tsk!!!

Lee,

I don't know who you refer to as "we", but I would like to reserve the right to speak for myself...

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 12:08 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 08:41 AM)

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional.....

TVsnack.

pe

PSDi I love the smilie

Count on me!! And sometimes, it's nice just to be spontaneous! Best laid plans, ☐ don't ya know and all that! And don't leave me out of any of the meeting/parties that involve chocolate! Being the chocoholic that I am...I don't miss any party that involves some chocolate...and I guess if you share your stash, I can bring some out of mine too! I would hate to come empty handed!

As important chocolate is to me (and it is very important, bugs or no bugs - did I say that)I think it's the special on the menu and the company that would be the the most inviting! Hmm, grilled medusa? You know, I'm trying to do the vegetarian thing (again) but I can put that off a while longer...it would be worth it! But, I better tell Ya, I don't care for crow. It's yucky. ☐

Had a small piece once...I wouldn't advise it. ☐

P.S. I have some other friends that are just itching to join us, would that be all right with you and the others? I'm sure bear would like to come! He'll eat almost anything! I hate to leave anyone out of such a delightful party! ☐

Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 27 2007, 12:28 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 10:41 AM) ☐

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional..... ☐

☐ TVsnack.

☐ pe

PSDi I love the smilie ☐

☐ blc

The grill might get too hot to roast on

☐ rofl

Posted by: sister Mar 27 2007, 12:31 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 01:08 PM) ☐

Count on me!! And sometimes, it's nice just to be spontaneous! Best laid plans, ☐ don't ya know and all that! And don't leave me out of any of the meeting/parties that involve chocolate! Being the chocoholic that I am...I don't miss any party that involves some chocolate...and I guess if you share your stash, I can bring some out of mine too! I would hate to come empty handed!

As important chocolate is to me (and it is very important, bugs or no bugs - did I say that)I think it's the special on the menu and the company that would be the the most inviting! Hmm, grilled medusa? You know, I'm trying to do the vegetarian thing (again) but I can put that off a while longer...it would be worth it! But, I better tell Ya, I don't care for crow. It's yucky. ☐

Had a small piece once...I wouldn't advise it. ☒

P.S. I have some other friends that are just itching to join us, would that be all right with you and the others? I'm sure bear would like to come! He'll eat almost anything! I hate to leave anyone out of such a delightful party! ☒

Hey, Sonshineonme, you forgot about inviting me! I have an excellent stash of goodies to bring. How about chocolate bunnies? Personally, I prefer the ears. ☒ A party sounds like an excellent idea. Don't forget to invite Bystander, it would be nice to finally have a face to go along with his words. Now, Bystander, I am not trying to out you identity, but if you came you could take a group picture for the lawyers. ☒

Sister

Hey, did anyone invite Johann? Europeans **ALWAYS** bring a hostess gift when they visit. Do they have chocolate made in Iceland or do they depend upon the Swiss to supply their needs? Johann, what regie delights do you have in Iceland to share at the party? Make sure you bring your better half, she needs to meet the nice folks at BSDA!

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 12:37 PM


QUOTE(sister @ Mar 27 2007, 11:31 AM) ☐

Hey, Sonshineonme, you forgot about inviting me! I have an excellent stash of goodies to bring. How about chocolate bunnies? Personally, I prefer the ears. ☒ A party sounds like an excellent idea. Don't forget to invite Bystander, it would be nice to finally have a face to go along with his words. Now, Bystander, I am not trying to out you identity, but if you came you could take a group picture for the lawyers. ☒

Sister

Oh no, I didn't forget you!! I had you in mind for sure as one of my itching to come friends! I knew you wouldn't want to miss out on this event!! ☒

Ok..bs you should come too! I think that is a splendid idea!! I would even share my chocolate! Even if

he declines, I think he will be there in spirit! I want a few copies of that picture too! It will be most memorable! 

Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 12:40 PM


QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 10:46 AM) 


So they are going to a lot of sites an dposting the same posts? Ok, these people don't work, have no family, friends, pass out tracks.....?

Di are you referring to all those here that are bringing their same old opinions and posts over to the maritime site, the yahoo site, the CF site and trying to rehash over and over. For the life of me I can't figure how they could possibly have a life, a job, a family, or anything else when all their time is spent jumping from one forum to the other telling the same stale tales. Obviously for some, this is their whole life. Sad isn't it?

Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 27 2007, 12:44 PM


QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 11:25 AM) 

See now, you just had to mess up that Kumbayah moment.....  But since you did, go ahead and tell us about that email Clay posted. Chicken marinading anyway, I got time.


NW, PB, have some dip? How about some Propel, got all flavors.....  pe


See! Ya'll just went on and started the party without me...  rofl  Thought I had time to


take the kid to piano lesson, I'm back now.  pe

 TVsnack.


Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 12:46 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Mar 27 2007, 01:31 PM) 

Hey, Sonshineonme, you forgot about inviting me! I have an excellent stash of goodies to bring. How about chocolate bunnies? Personally, I prefer the ears.  A party sounds like an excellent idea. Don't forget to invite Bystander, it would be nice to finally have a face to go along with his words. Now, Bystander, I am not trying to out you identity, but if you came you could take a group

picture for the lawyers. 


Sister


will pass it on to bystander that he is invited. The group picture thing is a great idea. Afterall, it might be the last time you all have an "opportunity" to be together. 

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 12:51 PM


QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 10:41 AM) 

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional..... 

 TVsnack.

 DE

PSDi I love the smilie 

hey!


want to come, too!!! Can I come??? I'll bring something...



Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 12:56 PM

Actually, if you had followed the link that was posted you would have seen the post to which I was referring. it was the same one posted here, yesterday. Different screen name, same post, and it was one of your people.

Now, you are running off at the mouth wwjd, but I had to talk long and hard to get your group to even go into the arcade or post to another forum. In fact. BS, initially stated that he was only here to cmbat the false rumars, etc. He then chilled out in the arcade, and I think found he had some fun. As did Cindy and yourself. So it was you all in that condition, the rest of us have been posting to 3ABN and still continuing with our lives. Yes, it was sad, but I am optimistic that it is improving.....What do you think?

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 10:40 AM) 

Di are you referring to all those here that are bringing their same old opinions and posts over to the maritime site, the yahoo site, the CF site and trying to rehash over and over. For the life of me I can't figure how they could possibly have a life, a job, a family, or anything else when all their time is spent jumping from one forum to the other telling the same stale tales. Obviously for some, this is their whole life. Sad isn't it?

You really need to chill with that one. If y'all got somethin' to do, just do it! This is getting really old.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 10:46 AM)

I will pass it on to bystander that he is invited. The group picture thing is a great idea. **Afterall, it might be the last time you all have an "opportunity" to be together.**

Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 12:57 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:44 AM)

no not even.... what was interesting was the email that you provided that in essence gave the marching orders to do what you all have tried to do in this thread... i.e. spread Mr. Joy's life all over the internet..... that is what is interesting....

Mr. Joy put his life into play by placing himself in a self appointed limelight...i.e. trashing DS, 3abn and anyone connected to 3abn. This is not about a distraction period. This is about the fact that a man who has no credentials in any form or fashion has placed himself as judge and jury to a world wide ministry. By putting himself in that position we have every right to find out about his ethics, business practices and his claims to being an "innocent convicted embezzler."

If anyone on the street can pop up and decide to become a self appointed investigator of whatever place they choose, then put said allegations and lies on the internet, they should be ready for a search into their background. Especially when they had no credibility in the first place.

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 12:59 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 01:56 PM)

Actually, if you had followed the link that was posted you would have seen the post to which I was referring. it was the same one posted here, yesterday. Different screen name, same post, and it was one of your people.

Now, you are running off at the mouth wwjd, but I had to talk long and hard to get your group to even go into the arcade or post to another forum. In fact, BS, initially stated that he was only here to cmbat the false rumors, etc. He then chilled out in the arcade, and I think found he had some fun. As did Cindy and yourself. So it was you all in that condition, the rest of us have been posting to 3ABN and still continuing with our lives. Yes, it was sad, but I am optimistic that it is

improving.....What do you think?
You really need to chill with that one. If y'all got somethin' to do, just do it! This is getting really old.

Di... how do we really know they went to the arcade? or if they went they really played some of the games there? I think the arcade would distract them from what they said they are here to do.... the arcade is pretty straight-forward....

Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 01:01 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 01:56 PM)

Actually, if you had followed the link that was posted you would have seen the post to which I was referring. it was the same one posted here, yesterday. Different screen name, same post, and it was one of your people.

Now, you are running off at the mouth wwjd, but I had to talk long and hard to get your group to even go into the arcade or post to another forum. In fact. BS, initially stated that he was only here to cmbat the false rumars, etc. He then chilled out in the arcade, and I think found he had some fun. As did Cindy and yourself. So it was you all in that condition, the rest of us have been posting to 3ABN and still continuing with our lives. Yes, it was sad, but I am optimistic that it is improving.....What do you think?
You really need to chill with that one. If y'all got somethin' to do, just do it! This is getting really old.

Di, I made a simple statement and stick to it. Regardless of what you were referring to, you brought up the subject. I am simply saying that many here are going elsewhere with this discussion, day in and day out. That takes a lot of time. What is argumentative about that?

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 01:02 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:59 AM)

Di... how do we really know they went to the arcade? or if they went they really played some of the games there? I think the arcade would distract them from what they said they are here to do.... the arcade is pretty straight-forward....

'a, Clay, I was thinking it was some little ones using their id's to play...and all of them in there at once, must have been a hoot of a time! Who knows...kind of a nice way to lurk too....how many windows can you have open anyway???

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 01:06 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 01:57 PM)

improving.....What do you think?
You really need to chill with that one. If y'all got somethin' to do, just do it! This is getting really old.

Di... how do we really know they went to the arcade? or if they went they really played some of the games there? I think the arcade would distract them from what they said they are here to do.... the arcade is pretty straight-forward....

Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 01:01 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 01:56 PM)

Actually, if you had followed the link that was posted you would have seen the post to which I was referring. it was the same one posted here, yesterday. Different screen name, same post, and it was one of your people.

Now, you are running off at the mouth wwjd, but I had to talk long and hard to get your group to even go into the arcade or post to another forum. In fact. BS, initially stated that he was only here to cmbat the false rumars, etc. He then chilled out in the arcade, and I think found he had some fun. As did Cindy and yourself. So it was you all in that condition, the rest of us have been posting to 3ABN and still continuing with our lives. Yes, it was sad, but I am optimistic that it is improving.....What do you think?
You really need to chill with that one. If y'all got somethin' to do, just do it! This is getting really old.

Di, I made a simple statement and stick to it. Regardless of what you were referring to, you brought up the subject. I am simply saying that many here are going elsewhere with this discussion, day in and day out. That takes a lot of time. What is argumentative about that?

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 01:02 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 11:59 AM)

Di... how do we really know they went to the arcade? or if they went they really played some of the games there? I think the arcade would distract them from what they said they are here to do.... the arcade is pretty straight-forward....

'a, Clay, I was thinking it was some little ones using their id's to play...and all of them in there at once, must have been a hoot of a time! Who knows...kind of a nice way to lurk too....how many windows can you have open anyway???

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 01:06 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 01:57 PM)

Jnana, I have two. One charcoal and one gas. SSOM, with this size party just considering those who are now invited i might need to rent one professional size (and I can have it here in an hour since i have a secret source to get it).

But yes, SSOM invite them all. I have a digital camera and printer handy. I will have HollybyGolly put the scrapbook together.

Did I mention name tags are not optional because party crashers are not allowed?

For now, I am going out to get the yard ready for y'all.

Oh yeah, Sister you can bring the bunnies but I have one of those nice chocolate fountains that hold about 10 pounds of melted chocolate at once. Anything people want to dip in it they are welcome to bring but I will be offering fruit.

Posted by: beartrap Mar 27 2007, 01:35 PM

Mmmmm!!!! A chocolate fountain! Chunks of banana, strawberries.... yum!

Haystacks! Where are the haystacks? Did ya'll heathens forget that we are Adventists? Thou shalt not forget thy haystacks.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 27 2007, 01:36 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 27 2007, 01:54 PM)

FHB,

As a follow up to both Kevin and Observer posts. When you originally PMed me asking permission to post this, I asked you provide an Internet link to support the charges. You did not do that, you posted it anyway without me granting you permission. Needless to say, I allowed it anyway.

You certainly come have helped your cause by providing support for your statements against Joy. BTW, don't surprised if your owe creditibility comes into question by posting what I consider to be unsupported rumors that could be considered libelious mud slinging on your part to discredit Joy.

Calvin,

I did provide a link in the following paragraph:

QUOTE

The Internet reveals some interesting information. The following claims are made against Mr. Joy by an individual with obvious first hand information of the situation. Both quotes can be found [HERE](#):

The word here was a hyperlink to the post made by a Mr. J. Alfke. As far as my OP being libelious, I do not make the claims merely ask for either refutation or admittance. In case the link was missed by

some here it is again -> <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>.

- FHB

Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 27 2007, 01:37 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 01:59 PM)

Di... how do we really know they went to the arcade? or if they went they really played some of the games there? I think the arcade would distract them from what they said they are here to do.... the arcade is pretty straight-forward.....

I know that Cindy was there when I was. Read the reply on Chinese Checkers. My kid is upset because I won't let him play...told him this is grown folks stuff.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 01:38 PM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Mar 27 2007, 12:35 PM)

Mmmmm!!!! A chocolate fountain! Chunks of banana, strawberries.... yum!

Haystacks! Where are the haystacks? Did ya'll heathens forget that we are Adventists? Thou shalt not forget thy haystacks.

I'm sure NW has thought of that.... we can arrange for haystacks for those that don't like grilled medusa. That would be the good-hostess thing to do, wouldn't it?

Posted by: wwjd Mar 27 2007, 01:38 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 27 2007, 02:06 PM)

more distraction... thank you wwjd for your comments... those who read and understand... understand.... for those who cannot read or read and don't understand let me lend a clue... even IF Mr. Joy is a sleaze... he didn't make Danny do what he did, nor did he make Tommy do what he did.... so taking issue with Mr. Joy is a distraction....

have a great day....

You make a great point. Much of what you say "DS did" is coming from Joy and his sources. If you choose to believe that he is a credible source , so be it. But if by investigating him, you find that he and his "source" who we know has ulterior motives, Isn't credible then what? Those here that are

Linda's friends continue to spread what they have been told. My point being that if you trace many of the stories back and they really originated from just a certain few people, then you may find that DS and Sabn haven't "done" anything to deserve what they're getting.

As far as distraction goes it would seem that is what your side is doing now with all the chit chat over nothing.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 01:39 PM

SSOM,

With as fancy as this shindig is likely to become, I'll do the Martha Stewart thing and bring some Perrier and fruit juice to drink.

NW,

Name tags not an option... An inside source? Yikes, I smell another thread brewin' with those tidbits out now.

BTW, is there enough medusa to go around for a crowd this size? Oh, that's right, Di's bringing some chicken. If there's enough room on the rental grill, I could whip up some gluten kabobs....

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 02:38 PM)

I'm sure NW has thought of that.... we can arrange for haystacks for those that don't like grilled medusa. That would be the good-hostess thing to do, wouldn't it?

I didn't get invited yet...if I bring the haystacks can I come??? Please?

I LOVE a good haystack...

Posted by: calvin Mar 27 2007, 01:51 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 01:36 PM)

Calvin,

I did provide a link in the following paragraph:

The word here was a hyperlink to the post made by a Mr. J. Alfke. As far as my OP being libelious, I do not make the claims merely ask for either refutation or admittance. In case the link was missed by some here it is again -> <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258>.

- FHB

Sorry FHB, then I stand corrected. I have been getting a flood of PMs. I have an even more

appreciation for the Sabbath rest I get from being away from 3abn. Probably overlooked it.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 01:52 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 11:38 AM)

You make a great point. Much of what you say "DS did" is coming from Joy and his sources. If you choose to believe that he is a credible source, so be it. But if by investigating him, you find that he and his "source" who we know has ulterior motives, Isn't credible then what? Those here that are Linda's friends continue to spread what they have been told. **My point being that if you trace many of the stories back and they really originated from just a certain few people**, then you may find that DS and 3abn haven't "done" anything to deserve what they're getting.

As far as distraction goes it would seem that is what your side is doing now with all the chit chat over nothing.

wwjd,

I, for one, would like to see you post a list of those things that have originated from just a few certain people and then support it with proof that those few people aren't eyewitnesses and are, therefore, not credible.

Until you can do that, and not just attempt to discredit one of those people by someone's unverified posts on the internet, those people who claim to be eyewitnesses will retain their credibility.

So far, your words have not connected any dots here.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 01:58 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 27 2007, 12:46 PM)

I didn't get invited yet...if I bring the haystacks can I come??? Please?


I LOVE a good haystack...

Snoopy!!! Please come! And you don't have to bring a thing! You are most worthy of sharing in this feast!

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 02:02 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 01:58 PM)

Snoopy!!! Please come! And you don't have to bring a thing! You are most worthy of sharing in this feast!



 sig I was getting worried...!!! 

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 02:10 PM

I didn't say they won any trophies or set any records, I just said they were in there.....I think children play a lot better than that, but who know. God holds out hope for me, I will for them, also. If they clownin' me, it is not on me.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 11:02 AM)

Ya, Clay, I was thinking it was some little ones using their id's to play...and all of them in there at once, must have been a hoot of a time! Who knows...kind of a nice way to lurk too....how many windows can you have open anyway???

Posted by: Observer Mar 27 2007, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 11:57 AM)

Mr. Joy put his life into play by placing himself in a self appointed limelight...i.e. trashing DS, 3abn and anyone connected to 3abn. This is not about a distraction period. This is about the fact that a man who has no credentials in any form or fashion has placed himself as judge and jury to a world wide ministry. By putting himself in that position we have every right to find out about his ethics, business practices and his claims to being an "innocent convicted embezzler."

If anyone on the street can pop up and decide to become a self appointed investigator of whatever place they choose, then put said allegations and lies on the internet, they should be ready for a search into their background. Especially when they had no credibility in the first place.

When the story came out that Mr. Joy was a convicted embezzler, I was one of the first to publicly state the facts in regard to his conviction. As those have been publicly stated, by me, and others, I am not going to review them again in this post.

I have been publicly requested to comment on these new alligations that are claimed to be available on the Internet. I have followed the links. All I can find is that a person who jokes about being a Chipendale dancer, and makes a joing comment about the 72 virgins also claims that the Internet support these new alligations.

If you can lead me to the original source, I will comment. But, I am not about to comment on something that is 3rd hand, or more down the line, and can only be traced to a purported Chipendale dancer.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 02:12 PM

No, Snoopy! Allare welcome.....wait this be at NW.....NW, Snoopy can come? I am sure it is alright she invted Aletheia and Lee!

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 27 2007, 12:02 PM)



sig I was getting worried...!!!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 02:19 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 27 2007, 12:11 PM)

When the story came out that Mr. Joy was a convicted embezzler, I was one of the first to publicly state the facts in regard to his conviction. As those have been publicly stated, by me, and others, I am not going to review them again in this post.

I have been publicly requested to comment on these new alligations that are claimed to be available on the Internet. I have followed the links. All I can find is that a person who jokes about being a Chipendale dancer, and makes a joing comment about the 72 virgins also claims that the Internet support these new alligations.

If you can lead me to the original source, I will comment. But, I am not about to comment on something that is 3rd hand, or more down the line, and can only be traced to a purported Chipendale dancer.

Gregory,

I have two emails out to the fellow who posted these claims but have not heard back from him yet. I did notice when I was reading his profile yesterday that he had been logged in on the ATomorrow forum that very day so the guy is obviously still around.

I do, also, find it noteworthy that that the webmaster at save3abn.com emailed him 10 days ago and hasn't gotten a response either.

PB

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 02:26 PM

Haystacks! Good ole SDA staple!!! I love them too! What do you put on yours? Ooops sorry PM that to me.....LOL!!

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 27 2007, 11:46 AM)

I didn't get invited yet...if I bring the haystacks can I come??? Please?

I LOVE a good haystack...



Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 02:31 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 03:26 PM)


Haystacks! Good ole SDA staple!!! I love them too! What do you put on yours? Ooops sorry PM that to me.....LOL!!

I think it was beartrap's idea - I just jumped on his bandwagon...



Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 27 2007, 02:32 PM


Black olives and guacamole!



Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 02:33 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 27 2007, 03:32 PM)

Black olives and guacamole!



...and onions - lots of onions...

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 27 2007, 02:36 PM

Sorry....
BOT!


QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 27 2007, 03:33 PM)

...and onions - lots of onions...

Particularly green.... I love scallions!..

Okay -

BOT for real...



Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 02:39 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 27 2007, 01:36 PM)


Sorry....

BOT!

Particularly green.... I love scallions!..

Okay -

BOT for real...




I like cilantro and ranch dressing too...and always some brown rice!

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 27 2007, 02:41 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 04:12 PM)

No, Snoopy! Allare welcome.....wait this be at NW.....NW, Snoopy can come? I am sure it is alright she invted Aletheia and Lee!

Snoopy you are definitely invited. Please forgive my "four paw".



(i was looking for a way to

use that)

Just be careful of my avatar as he is defintely the alpha in my clan.

Any one want pineapple on those hay stacks?

Heading back outside to set up the tables.



Note to self: more black beans, more chili, more fritos, more sour cream, more avocados.....

Posted by: Clay Mar 27 2007, 03:06 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 27 2007, 02:38 PM)

You make a great point. Much of what you say "DS did" is coming from Joy and his sources. If you choose to believe that he is a credible source, so be it. But if by investigating him, you find that he and his "source" who we know has ulterior motives, Isn't credible then what? Those here that are Linda's friends continue to spread what they have been told. My point being that if you trace many of the stories back and they really originated from just a certain few people, then you may find that DS and 3abn haven't "done" anything to deserve what they're getting.

As far as distraction goes it would seem that is what your side is doing now with all the chit chat over nothing.

wwjd, I think you have me confused with someone else.... here is what I said Danny Shelton did... Danny Shelton came to BSDA and lied.... you can search the archives yourself for his first comment here... Danny Shelton did not treat his wife as a christian man should have when he chose to get a quick divorce, have her sign a statement limiting both how much money she got and what she could say.... Danny Shelton then proceeded to trash her reputation knowing she could not defend herself...

12

So all I have ever been concerned with from day one, and you can go back to the beginning, my position has not changed... It is simple... EVEN IF she did everything she has been accused of doing, Danny should not have treated her in the manner he did...

I don't want to hear the foolishness about she should have read what she signed, etc etc... as a christian man, he should not have done what he did.....

Now with the other stuff that has surfaced and been discussed and/or argued about, it reminds me of a punch line Flip Wilson had at the end of one of his jokes.... "yeah he did it and a whole bunch more....." It may never be proven, Danny will probably go on with his life, but its simple wwjd... I will believe Danny has done nothing when the majority of white people in the United States believe O.J. didn't commit murder.....

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 27 2007, 03:08 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 27 2007, 04:11 PM)

When the story came out that Mr. Joy was a convicted embezzler, I was one of the first to publicly state the facts in regard to his conviction. As those have been publicly stated, by me, and others, I am not going to review them again in this post.

I have been publicly requested to comment on these new alligations that are claimed to be available on the Internet. I have followed the links. All I can find is that a person who jokes about being a Chipendale dancer, and makes a joing comment about the 72 virgins also claims that the Internet support these new alligations.

If you can lead me to the original source, I will comment. But, I am not about to comment on something that is 3rd hand, or more down the line, and can only be traced to a purported Chipendale dancer.

Greg,

You were included in the inquiry because you have spoken for Mr. Joy in the past. You have associated yourself with him as part of Linda's team in regards to the ASI situation. Granted you have said that you are not all of one mind on all issues, but that doesn't change the fact that you have generally hrown in together in regards to the issues involving 3ABN.

The link I provided in the OP takes you directly to the posts - not the profile. I am not sure why you ended up at the profile when the link doesn't take you there. But, for clarity, here are the posts that are used as reference:

Post #1

Posted on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 - 10:05 am:

QUOTE

my issue (and at least 5 other landlords I know who were cheated) was with the writer of the save 3abn web site.....referenced here:

<http://www.atomorrow.com/cgi-bin/discus/show.cgi?tpc=10021&post=67272#POST67272>

and not with 3abn itself.... tho I'm surprised at the speed with which Danny could find such a beauty to remarry and help him with his "ministry" while disposing of his ex in such an apparently unchristian-like here-today-gone-tomorrow manner.

*While the 3ABN cause may be worthy of contributions itself, I would not donate to the save3abn web site however... **as my experience with the founder of that was unfortunate....** he tried to pay his overdue rent one time with a check drawn on some EGW trust account which he said he controlled... for what purpose I never found out...*

Post #2

Posted on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 - 12:21 am:

QUOTE

this website is intended to be a part of a larger effort to save 3ABN to the cause, and vindicate the character of God, whose name has been brought into reproach through the allegations ..

*speaking about bringing reproach on the church thru individuals actions, and the proverbial pot calling the kettle black, would it be of interest to know that the probable writer of those words, but at least the founder of the web site, cheated his last 5-6 landlords out of duly owed rent payments? by simply not paying, and forcing delaying tactics and threatening expensive legal proceedings? **including to me?** including other SDA's? including cheating a bank to obtain a limited documentation mortgage in an under-employed daughters name, then not paying and forcing a foreclosure? living for free for the better part of a year?with a substantial loss to the lender? all while professing a sanctimonious belief in the churches mission to bring healing to the world???*

naw, this probably isn't the time and place...

otow, while I sympathize with Linda's situation, and she should be somehow made aware of the nature of this "support", I would not donate a dime thru this spiders web-site that I didn't expect to be misappropriated.

and I expect to receive threats for posting this!

It is obvious that this isn't second hand information, but first hand information. The author of the posts indicates direct experience in regards to the claims. I have bolded the sections that indicate first hand source.

As to his profile, it is filled with tongue-in-cheek comments indicating a sense of humor. Does this make his claims invalid, I don't see how. The OP included a link to the information above. If you don't want to answer feel free to not do so.

And lest there be any uncertainty, I am not claiming that Gailon is guilty of the claims made above - as I did in my OP and another subsequent post I have asked for clarification on these issues. It is not unreasonable to explore the source of information found on the JoyPickle site. The information there has been promulgated here and cited many times in many postings here. Is that a valid source of information? Can you answer that without understanding the motives of those involved? Can you trust that information if those presenting it may have questionable approaches? As the poster at Tomorrow points out, while he generally sides with Linda, he questions the website used to attack Janny and 3ABN because of the claims he makes in his post.

No one has questioned you Greg. While you are one of Linda's main proponents publically you have not established a web site and carried around the title "Investigator" as a way to give validity to your claims or accusations. Gailon has placed himself in the center of all things 3ABN related. Can his word be trusted as one considers whether or not to support 3ABN in any form - this is where this issue comes into play.

Gailon has endeavored to create a perception that would lead people to withdraw support. This is intentional. He claims his information is solid and the truth. But, does this information and the embezzlement issue raise concerns about the veracity of his "truth"? I would think for most rational thinking individuals it would raise questions about it.


Janny, Tommy, the 3ABN BoD, Mollie, Shelley, their husbands, John Lomacang, et. al. have made statements that they claim to be true and yet each time they are held to a standard of review. Their words are regularly called lies. Their personal lives have been laid open here in more than one thread. Gailon has placed himself in this situation on the same plane as these people, the same plane as the representatives from ASI. That means his work is open for review and it must be reviewed the same as those at 3ABN are reviewed. If Mollie's words and action are going to be dissected, both her personal and private ones, and decisions about 3ABN are going to be made based on this - then for balance to occur the same must be done with Mr. Joy and his site (and yes, we all know he owns the site, but it is clear by reading and comparing writing styles that he isn't the one writing the content).

I will grant you and others this, I may have rushed this topic to the boards. I could have emailed Mr. Joy, (he can email me as he has my email address courtesy of Mr. Pickle.) However, because of verification from other sources that these claims need to be addressed I posted it. In hindsight I could have established a stronger position by seeking a response from Mr. Joy. I would expect that his response would have been much the same as the one Mr. Pickle began to present in an earlier post and sounded a lot like the weak, rationalizing defense given for the embezzlement question - but I should have presented my findings to him before posting to see if he would respond.

FHB


Posted by: sister Mar 27 2007, 03:14 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 03:41 PM)




Snoopy you are definitely invited. Please forgive my "four paw".  crac (i was looking for a way to use that)

Just be careful of my avatar as he is defintely the alpha in my clan.

Any one want pineapple on those hay stacks?

Heading back outside to set up the tables.  h

Note to self: more black beans, more chili, more fritos, more sour cream, more avocadoes.....


haystacks hawaiian style?    I'll save my pineapple for the chocolate fountain! Hey, bear, what about tamales? Yum,Yum,Yum. And Re, bring on the Black olives and guacamole to go with it! How about banana cake, I make a mean banana cake or blueberry muffins.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 03:24 PM

FHB,


I have to agree with you on the content of John Alfke's profile. I can't see where a wry sense of humor would undermine the credibility of his two posts.



While he does mention that he has personal experience with GAJ not paying rent, as you highlighted, it still leaves the majority of the claims unverified. If you have any connection to this man, I would ask you to encourage him to provide verification or, unfortunately, the whole thing begins to look like a ploy, or at the least a grudge statement.

Regarding your last paragraph, 

PB

Posted by: Johann Mar 27 2007, 04:45 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Mar 27 2007, 08:31 PM) 

Hey, Sonshineonme, you forgot about inviting me! I have an excellent stash of goodies to bring. How about chocolate bunnies? Personally, I prefer the ears.  A party sounds like an excellent idea. Don't forget to invite Bystander, it would be nice to finally have a face to go along with his words. Now, Bystander, I am not trying to out you identity, but if you came you could take a group picture for the lawyers. 

Sister

Hey, did anyone invite Johann? Europeans **ALWAYS** bring a hostess gift when they visit. Do they have chocolate made in Iceland or do they depend upon the Swiss to supply their needs? Johann, what vegie delights do you have in Iceland to share at the party? Make sure you bring your better

half, she needs to meet the nice folks at BSDA!

Thank you, Sister, we'd love to attend. They make good chocolate in Iceland too, but not like the swiss. There are even vegie delights made here, but you can also get most of what is produced in Europe, and some American.

have read these sad discussions on this thread, but I feel no burden to take part in them.

This morning I woke up from a strange dream. Seemed like Ida and I were just looking out through our living room window and there we look straight down into a volcanic crater that is about 20 minutes from our place. It is thousands of years old, and in the bottom is a deep lake. In my dream the water was covered with thin ice, and as we were looking I see three persons, although I did not recognize them I knew they were from 3ABN. Suddenly they realized they had lost, and one by one they walk out on the thin ice. When they reach the middle of the lake the ice breaks and they plunge through the hole, one by one, and disappear into the depths. I felt we were so helpless because we were too far away to help. AS the last one of the three disappears and I get ready to call 911, I woke up.

Now I have been thinking: How much do I care for these people? Do I love them as brothers and sisters? Do I want them to be saved? What will happen if we change our approach completely in an attempt to save these people from eternal destruction?

might even tell my dream on the net? Tell them how much I care for them? Would anybody else care, or still treat them as hopeless liars?

It was a sad experience when I discovered that even Pastor John Lomacang twisted the truth around and told the opposite. But I love him too and I want him to be saved. Be a pastor to your church and lead them in the path of God and His Son Jesus Christ that you may be saved! You have to be an example to the others, or they feel they do not have to tell the truth.

Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 27 2007, 06:16 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 07:41 AM)

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional.....

TVsnack.


pe

PSDi I love the smilee

Thanks for the invite...

have a couple of packs of Boca Burgers for those who don't eat meat. Will be bringing my delicious homemade potato salad and 36 pack of iced bottled water in a cooler. Will that do?

Am a chocoholic but found out recently I am allergic to Chocolate. So will bring my tasty homemade carob candy for those who are trying to quit...tastes much better than the store bought carob candy.



I have an engagement watch that hasn't worked in years that my first hubby gave to me before we were married. Would that work as a hostessgift? Hope so, I have been trying to get rid of it but no one seems to want it... dunno why.

Rosyroi
@}---;---;-----

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 27 2007, 06:42 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Mar 26 2007, 11:51 PM)

A number of valid points have been made, but when the rubber meets the road the facts are these:

None of these attempts at misdirection change the fact that there are victims who have come forward, and have given their testimony. The victims, some of you have either ignored or tried to marginalize.

The fact that you have shown little if any sympathy or empathy to the victims of molestation and slander speaks volumes about those trying to misdirect any and all who come to BSDA for answers.

The fact is that to many of you, who do not have the courage or the integrity to stand up and say "my name is _____ and I stand here on BSDA/online openly and speak of what I believe is the truth, have the nerve to question anyone elses credibility?

Oooh please, those of you hiding behind screen names have ZERO "credibility" yourselves. So please save that so called indignation for the less discerning. This ain't sabbath shool, we pay attention here...



Posted by: Snoopy Mar 27 2007, 07:04 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 03:41 PM)

Snoopy you are definitely invited. Please forgive my "four paw". (i was looking for a way to use that)

Just be careful of my avatar as he is defintely the alpha in my clan.

Any one want pineapple on those hay stacks?

Heading back outside to set up the tables.



Note to self: more black beans, more chili, more fritos, more sour cream, more avocados.....

..hehehe..."four paw"...hehehe...that's a good one, NW!!!


As for your avatar...well, you know Snoopy - he doesn't back down for anybody, but we'll try to behave...!!

Posted by: lookin4truth Mar 27 2007, 07:05 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 27 2007, 10:41 AM)

Di, Sera, Jnana15, SSOM, PB, Dr Re, Rosyroi, Lookin4Truth, this one is at my place. I just cleaned the grill. Have you ever had roasted medusa? Only meat i am providing. On the other hand, I could also invite all the chocoholics for the first meeting as I am willing to share my stash.....

Oh yes, hostess gifts like watches are optional.....



PSDi I love the smilie



NOW,

Last night I received extended grace and tonight I am invited to a cookout.

I am truly blessed!

Let if all sides came together for a big cookout we might be surprised at what good friends we could become under different circumstances.

Psa 133:1) Behold, how good and how pleasant it is for brethren to dwell together in unity!

I'll bring the Diet Pepsi



Posted by: Pickle Mar 27 2007, 07:27 PM

Cindy,

Got a question for you.

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy...

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 1:39 PM

Subject: Re: New Thread

...

"There is new material on **Pickled's** web site. ..."

Was the name that you asterisked out a close relative of Danny?

I just did a search for "Pickled" and found the following:

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 12 2007, 11:09 AM)

Bobby boy, did I miss the post where you answered FHB's and my question concerning writing for the **pickled/joy** website?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 13 2007, 12:38 AM)

Never have I seen a more beautiful example of the **Pickled** Propaganda.

Looks like some people think a lot alike.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 07:30 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 06:27 PM)

Cindy,

Got a question for you.

Was the name that you asterisked out a close relative of Danny?

I just did a search for "Pickled" and found the following:

Looks like some people think a lot alike.

I caught that too....not the first time, and you are not the only one to catch some interesting similar statements today...

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 07:37 PM

Wow!! I know this is really off topic, but I hope to get this type of participation for GC 2010 Atlanta! I will be making an announcement soon, to get an idea of who will be interested and give our brether and sisteren [img alt="broken image icon"] across the water plenty of time to plan to be there! We are Family...I got BSDA with me! We are Family!!

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Mar 27 2007, 06:05 PM) [img alt="quote icon"]

WOW,

Last night I received extended grace and tonight I am invited to a cookout.

I am truly blessed!

Bet if all sides came together for a big cookout we might be surprised at what good friends we could become under different circumstances.

(Psa 133:1) Behold, how good and how pleasant it is for brethren to dwell together in unity!

I'll bring the Diet Pepsi [img alt="broken image icon"] pe

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 27 2007, 08:10 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 27 2007, 06:37 PM) [img alt="quote icon"]

Wow!! I know this is really off topic, but I hope to get this type of participation for GC 2010 Atlanta! I will be making an announcement soon, to get an idea of who will be interested and give our brether and sisteren [img alt="broken image icon"] across the water plenty of time to plan to be there! We are Family...I got BSDA with me! We are Family!!

What month? I've been hopin to see that new aquarium there!

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 06:27 PM) [img alt="quote icon"]

Cindy,

Got a question for you.

Was the name that you asterisked out a close relative of Danny?

I just did a search for "Pickled" and found the following:

Looks like some people think a lot alike.

Good catch, Bob. Well, Cindy did say she and BS were great friends now.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 27 2007, 08:31 PM

Here is the website. Dates are June 23-July .
<http://www.gcsession.org/>

3

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 07:10 PM)

What month? I've been hopin to see that new aquarium there!

Posted by: Observer Mar 27 2007, 08:40 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 03:08 PM)

Greg,

You were included in the inquiry because you have spoken for Mr. Joy in the past. You have associated yourself with him as part of Linda's team in regards to the ASI situation. Granted you have said that you are not all of one mind on all issues, but that doesn't change the fact that you have generally thrown in together in regards to the issues involving 3ABN.

The link I provided in the OP takes you directly to the posts - not the profile. I am not sure why you ended up at the profile when the link doesn't take you there. But, for clarity, here are the posts that are used as reference:

Post #1

Posted on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 - 10:05 am:

Post #2

Posted on Tuesday, February 13, 2007 - 12:21 am:

It is obvious that this isn't second hand information, but first hand information. The author of the posts indicates direct experience in regards to the claims. I have bolded the sections that indicate first hand source.

As to his profile, it is filled with tongue-in-cheek comments indicating a sense of humor. Does this make his claims invalid, I don't see how. The OP included a link to the information above. If you don't want to answer feel free to not do so.

And lest there be any uncertainty, I am not claiming that Gailon is guilty of the claims made above - as I did in my OP and another subsequent post I have asked for clarification on these issues. It is not unreasonable to explore the source of information found on the JoyPickle site. The information there has been promulgated here and cited many times in many postings here. Is that a valid source of information? Can you answer that without understanding the motives of those involved? Can you trust that information if those presenting it may have questionable approaches? As the poster at ATomorrow points out, while he generally sides with Linda, he questions the website used to attack Danny and 3ABN because of the claims he makes in his post.

No one has questioned you Greg. While you are one of Linda's main proponents publically you have not established a web site and carried around the title "Investigator" as a way to give validity to your claims or accusations. Gailon has placed himself in the center of all things 3ABN related. Can his word be trusted as one considers whether or not to support 3ABN in any form - this is where this issue comes into play.

Gailon has endeavored to create a perception that would lead people to withdraw support. This is intentional. He claims his information is solid and the truth. But, does this information and the embezzlement issue raise concerns about the veracity of his "truth"? I would think for most rational thinking individuals it would raise questions about it.

Danny, Tommy, the 3ABN BoD, Mollie, Shelley, their husbands, John Lomacang, et. al. have made statements that they claim to be true and yet each time they are held to a standard of review. Their words are regularly called lies. Their personal lives have been laid open here in more than one thread. Gailon has placed himself in this situation on the same plane as these people, the same plane as the representatives from ASI. That means his work is open for review and it must be reviewed the same as those at 3ABN are reviewed. If Mollie's words and action are going to be dissected, both her personal and private ones, and decisions about 3ABN are going to be made based on this - then for balance to occur the same must be done with Mr. Joy and his site (and yes, we all know he owns the site, but it is clear by reading and comparing writing styles that he isn't the one writing the content).

I will grant you and others this, I may have rushed this topic to the boards. I could have emailed Mr. Joy, (he can email me as he has my email address courtesy of Mr. Pickle.) However, because of verification from other sources that these claims need to be addressed I posted it. In hindsight I could have established a stronger position by seeking a response from Mr. Joy. I would expect that his response would have been much the same as the one Mr. Pickle began to present in an earlier post and sounded a lot like the weak, rationalizing defense given for the embezzlement question - but I should have presented my findings to him before posting to see if he would respond.

- FHB

FHB:

have read your response to my question. I am frankly tired, and need to get to bed. So, I am not going to make a long response now.

Your link did not take me to the profile. It took me to the posting by JA. While there, I clicked on his profile link to see what I could learn about him. His profile clearly reveals that he has an "interesting" sense of humor. Yes, I think that his 72 virgins, and Chipendale dancer are examples of his making a joke, and/or exaggerated statements. It simply raises a question in my mind as to when he might have done the same (possibly to a lesser degree) in his main post.

As I recall his post, he did not claim to be a personal witness to most of what he posted. Rather, as I remember it, he indicated that he had discovered the content of his post on the Internet. I would like to go back to the original source--what he discovered on the Internet. It would be of value to me to see if his version is exaggerated from the original. It would also be of value to me to see what is actually claimed on the Internet, and the basis of the claim.

I have had fun many times by plugging names of people I knew into Internet search engines and seeing what I could find. The reality is that I would most often find "interesting" information which was about someone with the same name, but not the person that I would have in mind. I have sometimes, in a spirit of fun, contacted my friend with a printout just to see what they said.

Foundational to my request for the original Internet source is my desire to see if the person named in the allegations is actually the same Mr. Joy that I know.

So, I ask again: Give me the original Internet source. Let me check it out. It looks like what JA posted is 2nd, 3rd, or some other hand account. I am not going to comment on something that is that far down the distribution line. At least not tonight.

Well, I have said my piece, I guess. So, I will await your response.

By the way: Yes, I am associated with Linda, and Mr. Joy. I am not offended by you naming me and asking em to respond. However, I do expect that I not be personally charged with positions that I have not taken. That was a general statement.

5

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Mar 27 2007, 08:51 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 27 2007, 10:40 PM) □

FHB:

As I recall his post, he did not claim to be a personal witness to most of what he posted. Rather, as I remember it, he indicated that he had discovered the content of his post on the Internet.

Greg,

I am not sure but I think I see where the misunderstanding is. The line in the OP about there being interesting things on the Internet were my words and not those of JA. I prefaced the bulletpointed list in the OP with a short personal comment. The sections in the quote boxes are the content from JA.

In those two posts he points to personal experience and seems to indicate that he knows a number of other individuals personally who have had similar experiences. JA's posts are my references to the issue. There are no other sites, that I am aware of, where these claims have been made.

I am tired as well and ready to call it a night. I hope this clears things up.

Here is the <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258> to JA's posts

and here is the <http://www.atomorrow.com/cgi-bin/discus/show.cgi?tpc=10021&post=67272#POST67272> to the post by the board admin that sparked his response and that he references via a link in his first post (you will see that it is taken directly from Gailon's web site.)

- FHB


Posted by: Aletheia Mar 27 2007, 09:29 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 27 2007, 05:24 PM) □

FHB,

I have to agree with you on the content of John Alfke's profile. I can't see where a wry sense of humor would undermine the credibility of his two posts.

While he does mention that he has personal experience with GAJ not paying rent, as you highlighted, it still leaves the majority of the claims unverified. If you have any connection to this man, I would ask you to encourage him to provide verification or, unfortunately, the whole thing begins to look like a ploy, or at the least a grudge statement.

Regarding your last paragraph, 

PB

Hi PB,

While I don't know or understand why it looks like a ploy or grudge statement to you, surely we can agree that J.A. appears to support Linda, to post under his own name, and to be a realtor.

I am more interested in his personal claim that G.A. Joy tried to pay his overdue rent with a check from a EGW trust fund he [Joy] was managing, then in his personal claim that Joy just didn't pay rent for a period. Reason being, and I know people don't like me mentioning it, but he's a convicted embezzler who claims he did nothing wrong.

To me the attempt to pay rent with a EGW trust fund check is *very* questionable, and I would think that an answer should be forthcoming, as in "no that never happened" or "yes it did, and here's why it was ok.."

J.A.'s secondary claim (to me) "Joy didn't pay rent", well, I like to give the benefit of the doubt, There may be legit, or understandable reasons for that. Bad times, tragedies, emergencies and things can happen to us all. What I am saying is perhaps Joy couldn't pay. Again a short explanation should clear it up, if that's the case, and surely J.A. would be aware of these extenuating circumstances, if Joy offered them as an excuse or reason at the time.

I emailed Joy immediately as soon as this was posted and Bob didn't appear to know how to answer, and asked me to e-mail him instead. Joy hasn't replied to me at all. I think I saw where you claimed you had emailed someone also- twice.

I can't remember if you referred to Joy or Alfke? and frankly, I am too tired to go back and reread posts to find out.

So, have you received anything about this from either of them, or any other?

I guess if it comes down to it, there are probably civil records regarding this, if it's true, and Alfke could probably point us to them, or they could be checked out even without him..

But as Joy was so upfront (immediately) about his position regarding the embezzling cases, when that surfaced, you would think he would be the same here.
Again,

Of course if it doesn't come out here, some day soon, all will be known, and that truly will be a great day.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 27 2007, 10:02 PM

What's a EGW trust fund? Gailon didn't know, and I sure don't know.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Mar 27 2007, 10:02 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 11:06 AM)

Can you also say that it wasn't from a family member of the Sheltons as well? Not Ronnie, Teresa, Kenny, or any of the rest?

Good question, Bob. I am in receipt of some emails written to someone else about me that contain some very inflammatory statements. I've been waiting for the right time to bring them up.

If the authorship of the aforementioned email is the same as the emails I have, it definitely makes some pieces of the puzzle come together.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 27 2007, 10:05 PM

Can I get copies?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Mar 27 2007, 10:08 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 27 2007, 10:05 PM)

Can I get copies?

Sure thing.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 10:31 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Mar 27 2007, 09:08 PM)

Sure thing.

Hey Duane, you are invited to the roasting too...wanna join us?

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 12:07 PM)

Well, I was thinking, we still need drinks....I know we should have some kool-aid on hand for those that really like it...oh, and what goes well with medusa? NW, any ideas?

May I bring my friends Johann, WB, Beartrap, sister, Ralph, HOTY and I know there are more...oh, I know Bob and Gailon would like to be there....I will check with Greeney and Jnana15, Pete, Gregory, my, the list grows and grows....I keep thinking of people....should be a fine time!

I am inviting you too Mo, I think you would fit in nicely!

And Carmel, and PaperTiger, Hersh, CSDA, and well just anyone one wants to! It's an open grill!

Posted by: Hersheys99 Mar 27 2007, 11:03 PM

I would love to come too sonshine!! I think it would be a grand time & would love to meet

everyone! Of course I'll bring lots of chocolate with me.



Posted by: beartrap Mar 28 2007, 12:59 AM

If there is a potluck or a buffet line, don't get in front of the bear or someone will get hurt. Bears have to fatten up for Winter and Winter is coming... in about 10 months.

BTW... I like Fritos, blackbeans with lots of cumin, Cilantro, lettuce, tomatoes, guacamole, jalapeno rings, a sauce of Lime, Habanero, and Cilantro. Add brown rice and we are happy. That is real haystacks.

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 28 2007, 02:09 AM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Mar 28 2007, 01:59 AM)

If there is a potluck or a buffet line, don't get in front of the bear or someone will get hurt. Bears have to fatten up for Winter and Winter is coming... in about 10 months.

BTW... I like Fritos, blackbeans with lots of cumin, Cilantro, lettuce, tomatoes, guacamole, jalapeno rings, a sauce of Lime, Habanero, and Cilantro. Add brown rice and we are happy. That is real haystacks.

no onions???

Posted by: Johann Mar 28 2007, 04:57 AM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 28 2007, 10:09 AM)

no onions???

Why not garlic? Did you ever hear of a town in the Far East where they eat lots of garlic. Nobody ever gets sick. And then they never have any visitors!

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 08:20 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 12:02 AM)

What's a EGW trust fund? Gailon didn't know, and I sure don't know.

hmmm...

Coming from a EG White apologist that's rather suprising, but if that's true then you might want to review her will. It's on the estate website. It's been awhile since I read it myself, but I believe the only trust funds have to do with education of her grandchildren, and other worthy individuals.

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 09:04 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 09:20 AM) □

hmmm...

Coming from a EG White apologist that's rather suprising, but if that's true then you might want to review her will. It's on the estate website. It's been awhile since I read it myself, but I believe the only trust funds have to do with education of her grandchildren, and other worthy individuals.

Cindy stated in post #138 of this thread:

QUOTE

And I also confess, I am part of a coordinated effort to get to the truth, and to throw out the trash.

Course we might disagree about what trash is, one man's garbage is another man's treasure.

Cindy has admitted that she is part of a coordinated effort to get to the "truth." It is apparent from the posts those who share her view and are assisting in this coordinated effort. It is also apparent that their "effort" has resulted in more confusion and truth-bending, and as she herself has noted, "one man's garbage is another man's treasure...."

5

I would submit that in my opinion this coordinated effort has produced more garbage than treasure, more truth bending than truth....

That being the case, can garbage producers change their vocation and become successful treasure collectors?

Posted by: Observer Mar 28 2007, 10:05 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Mar 27 2007, 08:51 PM) □

Greg,

I am not sure but I think I see where the misunderstanding is. The line in the OP about there being interesting things on the Internet were my words and not those of JA. I prefaced the bulletpointed list in the OP with a short personal comment. The sections in the quote boxes are the content from JA.

In those two posts he points to personal experience and seems to indicate that he knows a number of other individuals personally who have had similar experiences. JA's posts are my references to the issue. There are no other sites, that I am aware of, where these claims have been made.

I am tired as well and ready to call it a night. I hope this clears things up.

Here is the <http://www.atomorrow.com/discus/messages/1780/4084.html?1171910258> to JA's posts

and here is the <http://www.atomorrow.com/cgi-bin/discus/show.cgi?tpc=10021&post=67272#POST67272> to the post by the board admin that sparked his response and that he references via a link in his first post (you will see that it is taken directly from Gailon's web site.)

- FHB

FHB:

Thank you for your response to me.

First, I would like to comment a little on proof:

Absolute proof, which is not a legal term, is a clear establishment of a fact. Legal documents are examples of such. As related to 3-ABN the legal documents relating to the divorce, and the separation agreement establish a set of legal facts that can not be controverted. Examples of such documents that relate to 3-ABN include the divorce decree, and the Separation Agreement. The divorce decree establishes a specific date when Danny and Linda were divorced. That decree could, as it was, be challenged as invalid. The court ruled the decree was valid, and the decree establishes that set of facts. So does the Separation Agreement. The meaning of its parts may be debated in litigation. In a legal ruling of its meaning and application, the documents still stand as establishing a set of facts.

Of course there are levels of proof that are much less convincing. The lowest level might be said to be that X is unsubstantiated.

In the legal arena they are generally three levels of proof.

Beyond reasonable doubt is the highest level of proof. This simply means that no reasonable doubt exists that something is a fact.

Preponderance of the evidence is the lowest standard of proof. It means that the evidence supports a certain decision by an amount greater than 50 per-cent. So, in theory, one might say that it is 51 % probable that X happened, and 49 % that it did not.

Clear and convincing is the standard that lies between preponderance of the evidence and beyond reasonable doubt.

As I relate to the issues raised in discussion regarding 3-ABN, I personally believe that the allegations range from unsubstantiated to much higher levels of proof. I have personally taken the position that Linda Shelton did not have a relationship with Dr. A that gave Danny Biblical grounds to divorce her. While I am aware that others disagree with that position, it is mine, and I have a high level of certainty that such is the case.

Frankly, I consider some comments that are made about Danny to be unsubstantiated.

I have reviewed again the comments made by John Alfke that you have referenced. Here are the major ones, as I see them:

- 1) Mr. Joy cheated 5 - 6 landlords out of their justly due rent.
- 2) Mr. Alfke was one such person who was cheated.
- 3) Mr. Joy cheated a bank out of its deserved mortgage payments which resulted in a foreclosure and a financial loss to that lending institution.
- 4) Mr. Joy is claimed to have paid, or attempted to pay, his rent with a check drawn on an EG White

trust account. Mr. Alfke claims to have personal knowledge of this.

Now, I will comment on those allegations:

4: The claimed payment of rent from an E G White trust account: The fundamental question here to me is: Is this believable?

I find it hard to believe that Mr. Joy would have anything to do with an E G White trust account. Those are generally handled by the E G White Estate. I do not find it easy to believe the EGW Estate would place Mr. Joy in charge of a trust account.

In addition, trust accounts are carefully audited. Mr. Joy would have known that if he were to do such if would be discovered. I do not believe that Mr. Joy is that stupid. So, I can only assume that either the allegation is wrong, or the Mr. Joy did have valid reason to do such.

1: The claim is made. But, there is clearly nothing in the post that documents, or even specifies the facts of the allegation. I note that the one who posted is uncertain as to whether 5 or 6 landlords were cheated. O. K. That is honesty. Thank you for that. But, it suggests that more facts should be discovered. Perhaps Mr. Alfke could provide us with sworn statements made by the 5 or 6 landlords. Such would increase the credibility of the allegation. Perhaps there are other legal records?

2: Mr. Alfke claims to be a victim of such. O. K. That claim is elevated in validity as it is claimed to be a 1st person account. However, it is a blanket claim. To be accepted, Mr. Alfke needs to provide more of the facts, and document what he says. For example, if he obtained a judgment against Mr. Joy that would clearly elevate the credibility of the allegation.

3: This one is a very broad statement. To say one cheated is to imply criminal conduct that could result in prosecution. Were criminal charges filed? Was there a conviction? If not, it may be that it, if it actually happened, was a case of a financial reverse that caused the lending institution to foreclose. NOTE: Such is not criminal. Are you going to say that every homeless person has committed a crime because they were unable to pay their mortgage? I find this one to be somewhat like the comments that Mr. Alfke made in his bio. He likes to state things (72 virgins, etc) in terms to get people's attention. He just may exaggerate

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 28 2007, 10:20 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 12:05 PM) □

He just may exaggerate

Where I come from, such exaggerations are called 'lies'

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 10:25 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 28 2007, 11:20 AM) □

Where I come from, such exaggerations are called 'lies'

In His service,
Mr. J

ruth-bending.....

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 28 2007, 10:28 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 12:25 PM)

truth-bending.....

That truth aint bent; it's broken and left in pieces on the floor.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 10:52 AM

So, Clay, Kevin, let me see if I got this skrate.....this whole thread/tirade was based on some faulty information from web postings by a man with at the very least a very stange sense of humor an some serious issues? This was also in response to the "suggestion" about GJ's credibility by puting his life on the world wide web, recieved by Aletheia from.....Charlie? Ok sorry about that part, I just could resist, but you get my point? Please tell me they didn't question the man's credibility on that.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 28 2007, 08:28 AM)

That truth aint bent; it's broken and left in pieces on the floor.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 10:55 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 28 2007, 11:52 AM)

So, Clay, Kevin, let me see if I got this skrate.....this whole thread/tirade was based on some faulty information from web postings by a man with at the very least a very stange sense of humor an some serious issues? This was also in response to the "suggestion" about GJ's credibility by puting his life on the world wide web, recieved by Aletheia from.....Charlie? Ok sorry about that part, I just could resist, but you get my point? Please tell me they didn't question the man's credibility on that.

Ummm Di... that about sums up this truth-bending exercise.... as I said earlier....

QUOTE

I would submit that in my opinion this coordinated effort has produced more garbage than treasure, more truth bending than truth....

That being the case, can garbage producers change their vocation and become successful treasure collectors?

Posted by: princessdi Mar 28 2007, 10:58 AM

Ok, I was just making sure I had that skrate. Just sad!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 12:23 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 07:04 AM)

Cindy stated in post #138 of this thread:

Cindy has admitted that she is part of a coordinated effort to get to the "truth." It is apparent from the posts those who share her view and are assisting in this coordinated effort. It is also apparent that their "effort" has resulted in more confusion and truth-bending, and as she herself has noted, "one man's garbage is another man's treasure...."

I would submit that in my opinion this coordinated effort has produced more garbage than treasure, more truth bending than truth....

That being the case, can garbage producers change their vocation and become successful treasure collectors?

Clay,

Yes they most certainly can. It just takes training their eyes to see the real treasure among the trash so they don't dump the wrong stuff in the wrong pile!

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 28 2007, 01:17 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 28 2007, 05:57 AM)

Why not garlic? Did you ever hear of a town in the Far East where they eat lots of garlic. Nobody ever gets sick. And then they never have any visitors!

I have an uncle who used to swear by garlic...ate it by the spoonful...

We could smell him coming a block away!!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 01:21 PM

The defense attorney stood to begin his closing arguments. As he took a few, measured steps towards the jury box, he reflected on his case. It didn't look good for his client. The man had been caught leaning over the lifeless body of his wife, her blood on his arms and chest, the murder weapon in his hand and dozens of witnesses in the next room who had heard them fighting moments before.

Occasionally, as he quietly pled the circumstantial nature of the case against his client before those twelve men and women, he would pause and nervously, expectantly gaze at the courtroom door. Finally, just before he was about to sit down, a man strode through the door and handed the attorney a note. The attorney read it briefly, put it into his pocket and smiled.

"What would you say if I told you that my team has found the actual murderer of my client's wife? That this man was able to slip by the witnesses, unnoticed, viciously stab an innocent woman and then slip back out again? What would you say if I told you that my investigators have that man right outside this courtroom, ready to bring him in through those very doors?"

He flourishes his right arm in that direction and all heads turn to the doors to watch the real killer make his entrance. They wait, in hushed expectation, and wait, and wait. Finally, the clever defense attorney redirects the jury's attention back onto himself.

"Of course, there is no man waiting just outside the courtroom doors. The point is, you all looked. That shows you have reasonable doubt that my client is the actual murderer. You must, therefore, find him 'Not guilty'."

Is that what is happening here? Is this information, as yet simply unverified hearsay to this date, that was found posted on the Adventist Tomorrow forum being used as a diversion, turning attention away from the real issues? Is it an attempt to shift the guilt and focus away from some and onto others? I don't know. It would be nice if the man who made the posts would confirm or deny their credibility with some form of documentation, but he remains silent.

Is it just a convenient ploy?

BTW, the above story is a reworking of one my husband and I saw on a legal series that we follow. It's what came to my mind as this topic has unfolded.

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 02:43 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 09:04 AM)

Cindy stated in post #138 of this thread:

Cindy has admitted that she is part of a coordinated effort to get to the "truth." It is apparent from the posts those who share her view and are assisting in this coordinated effort. It is also apparent that their "effort" has resulted in more confusion and truth-bending, and as she herself has noted, "one man's garbage is another man's treasure...."

I would submit that in my opinion this coordinated effort has produced more garbage than treasure, more truth bending than truth....

That being the case, can garbage producers change their vocation and become successful treasure collectors?


I don't know. Ask the Lindanites

Posted by: Johann Mar 28 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 28 2007, 09:17 PM)

I have an uncle who used to swear by garlic...ate it by the spoonful...

We could smell him coming a block away!!

The smell keeps the people with the bugs at a distance. Turn it around, and you see how some well seasoned "garlic" might keep the witnesses at a distance. 

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 10:43 PM)

I don't know. Ask the Lindanites

Will you be in the games section tonight?

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 28 2007, 02:57 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 28 2007, 03:51 PM)

Will you be in the games section tonight?



I do appreciate Aletheia posting "Wow, NW -- you rock!"

Like others have said, I think if we all got together we might find we have far more in common than divides us.

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 03:05 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 03:43 PM)

I don't know. Ask the Lindanites

The official story from the latest memo no doubt?

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 03:09 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 08:20 AM)

hmmm...

Coming from a EG White apologist that's rather suprising, but if that's true then you might want to review her will. It's on the estate website. It's been awhile since I read it myself, but I believe the only trust funds have to do with education of her grandchildren, and other worthy individuals.

Cindy, My thoughts....If only the people here would have scrutinized all of the allegations against DS and 3abn, like they have Mr. Joys, we probably wouldn't have to be here right now. This is what makes this whole situation so ironic it's sad. It is a totally different set of rules they go by to judge those on their side than they use to judge 3abn. All one has to do is hint at an impropiety by DS or 3abn, and everyone here is all over it. Say something about someone on their side and you get attacked for even mentioning it.

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen. No matter how they argue it, there seems to be a pattern here.

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 03:10 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 04:09 PM)

Cindy, My thoughts....If only the people here would have scrutinized all of the allegations against DS and 3abn, like they have Mr. Joys, we probably wouldn't have to be here right now. This is what makes this whole situation so ironic it's sad. It is a totally different set of rules they go by to judge those on their side than they use to judge 3abn. All one has to do is hint at an impropiety by DS or 3abn, and everyone here is all over it. Say something about someone on their side and you get attacked for even mentioning it.

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen. No matter how they argue it, there seems to be a pattern here.

more from the memo?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 03:52 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 05:09 PM)

Cindy, My thoughts....If only the people here would have scrutinized all of the allegations against DS and 3abn, like they have Mr. Joys, we probably wouldn't have to be here right now. This is what makes this whole situation so ironic it's sad. It is a totally different set of rules they go by to judge those on their side than they use to judge 3abn. All one has to do is hint at an impropiety by DS or 3abn, and everyone here is all over it. Say something about someone on their side and you get attacked for even mentioning it.

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen. No matter how they argue it, there seems to be a pattern here.

My thoughts also..

3TW: Alert 3 --stage 4 - sun meet road - owl flies high --bats below -- avoid droppings -- bread in basket (wink wink)

 rofl

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 04:05 PM

Well, at least it looks like they're having some fun.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 01:09 PM)

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen. No matter how they argue it, there seems to be a pattern here.

wwjd,

you have documentation about a claim and you're playing it close to your chest? What else are you sitting on?

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 03:09 PM)

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen.

know nothing about that. But why don't you post it so we can all see it?

just talked to Gailon. Sounds like it's not even his car. You got your facts straight?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 04:25 PM

March 26, 2007, Bystander submitted the shocking allegation about a car repossession:

<http://www.blackstda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188012>

March 28, 2007, wwjd lets slip that he has seen the actual documentation of car repossession judgement today:

<http://www.blackstda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188523>

Yes, wwjd, I would agree with you that there does seem to be a pattern here. Just not perhaps the one you are trying to build.

But back to the actual story, regarding the claims Mr. Alfke posted on the ATomorrow forum, do you have any documentation in your possession that will verify those? So far I haven't gotten any response back from Mr. Alfke.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 04:29 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 03:25 PM)

March 26, 2007, Bystander submitted the shocking allegation about a car repossession:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188012>

March 28, 2007, wwjd lets slip that he has seen the actual documentation of car repossession judgement today:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188523>

Yes, wwjd, I would agree with you that there does seem to be a pattern here. Just not perhaps the one you are trying to build.

But back to the actual story, regarding the claims Mr. Alfke posted on the ATomorrow forum, do you have any documentation in your possession that will verify those? So far I haven't gotten any response back from Mr. Alfke.

PB, not only are you very good at keeping track of things (consistant and inconsistant), you are very patient and long suffering....

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 04:29 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 28 2007, 04:57 PM)



I do appreciate Aletheia posting "Wow, NW -- you rock!"

Well it's hard to ignore the obvious, when you kicked my butt.

Like others have said, I think if we all got together we might find we have far more in common than divides us.

That might end up being true NW, I certainly hope and pray for that. I think if we all have thee same goal in mind and pray for God's will to be done then it certainly will lead us all to the same point.

I've heard some argue that when Paul spoke of letting each be fully persuaded in their own mind, he

was saying divisions and differences are ok.

I hold the opposite view, he was saying 'don't quibble and argue, stop finding fault with each other and sweat in the small stuff, each of you go find the truth for yourselves,

For if I find the truth and if you find the truth, and actually if each does that then obviously we will all discover the same truth. For truth is absolute, and not subjective as the modern world claims.

Anyway then as Paul said we can all be of one mind and of one accord, and really that will only happen if we "Let this mind be in you which was also in Christ Jesus"

Ok-- gettin off my soapbox now.

And thanks..

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 04:40 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 28 2007, 03:29 PM)

PB, not only are you very good at keeping track of things (consistent and inconsistent), you are very patient and long suffering....

SSOM,

Thanks, but the credit for this must go to Calvin's handy dandy "Search" feature!

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 04:44 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 03:40 PM)

SSOM,

Thanks, but the credit for this must go to Calvin's handy dandy "Search" feature!



Well, I give you an A+ for using those handy dandy tools!

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 04:46 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 05:25 PM)

March 26, 2007, Bystander submitted the shocking allegation about a car repossession:
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188012>

March 28, 2007, wwjd lets slip that he has seen the actual documentation of car repossession judgement today:

et slip?  rofl PB, I POSTED it. It was hardly a slip. Please stop with the drama you're killing
ne..... 

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 04:49 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 06:25 PM)

...But back to the actual story, regarding the claims Mr. Alfke posted on the ATomorrow forum, do you have any documentation in your possession that will verify those? So far I haven't gotten any response back from Mr. Alfke.

Mr Joy answered me finally but I am not clear on whether he wanted me to post it, or not. He said quite a bit but never really managed to address anything specifically which Mr Alfke reported...

He claims he will answer tho-- and "The response will be as direct and specific" and he will "copy the circle in an e-mail response that will go far wider than just BSDA."

Has Mr Joy ever deigned to post here?



Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 04:55 PM

Aletheia,

My thought is you should post the whole thing. I would.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 04:57 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 06:46 PM)

Let slip?  rofl PB, I POSTED it. It was hardly a slip. Please stop with the drama you're killing
me..... 

particularly liked the part where although you and Bystander have posted you use the same computer, you somehow revealed a pattern you may not have wanted to, when you made the alleged slip" ...

That's ok, another part of their conspiracy is that only Bystander calls Bob "pickled" and that he wrote

the e-mail I posted. I haven't bothered replying because it doesn't matter what I say, these people think they know more about me than I do, they already know what I do and think, and why I do it, before I do it...

Obviously this is the same kind of false assumptions that have led to such wild accusations here and on the save 3ABN website.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 28 2007, 05:00 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 03:57 PM)

I particularly liked the part where although you and Bystander have posted you use the same computer, you somehow revealed a pattern you may not have wanted to, when you made the alleged "slip"...

Isn't a bit hard to use the same computer and play in the arcade at the same time?? rofl

I suppose, it could be done...two monitors, two mice, etc...

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 05:13 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 06:55 PM)

Aletheia,

My thought is you should post the whole thing. I would.

I know, but I am not you.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 28 2007, 07:00 PM)

Isn't a bit hard to use the same computer and play in the arcade at the same time??

rofl I suppose, it could be done...two monitors, two mice, etc...

No it is not hard, who says there is only one computer?

I have posted here from my Mom's computer, as has she, and then I have gone back to my own computer and played games in the arcade while she was in the arcade also playing games. Each with our own identities. --- >We live in the same house, and share the same DSL line.

OoOoOops did I make a slip?

She didn't do anything wrong! run Mama!

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 05:30 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 05:13 PM)

I know, but I am not you.

You want me to post it instead?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 05:32 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 07:30 PM)

You want me to post it instead?

Why don't you ask Joy, like I did, before doing so?

And if you do so, do me the courtesy of blocking out my name and address, I don't want a bunch of spam in my inbox. Thank you.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 05:54 PM

QUOTE(Gailon Joy)

----- Original Message -----

From: AUReporter

To: ~ Cindy

Cc: *****, *****

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2007 2:26 AM

Subject: Re: BSDA Topic of discussion

Thank-you, Cindy, for your offer. I did indeed read the topic last evening and was told that a realtor had made some rather profound allegations last weekend while I was away. I had hoped to disregard it to avoid further embarrassment to the accuser. But Fallible's libelous extractions have made it imperative that we waste our time doing a response. Unfortunately, the response will not serve any credibility purpose as purported by Fallible but rather will go far toward discrediting the fallible human being yet again. Next time have Fallible call me and save himself some pain and me some time.

However, I will direct my response directly to the accuser and copy the circle in an e-mail response that will go far wider than just BSDA. The response will be as direct and specific as it was nearly ten years ago when I went directly to the realtor's office and answered his ludicrous demand. And it will unfortunately probably cause him as much embarrassment now as it did then!! ~~Believe~~ Believe me when I tell you, I have no problem answering the accuser!!! My only regret is that he did not pursue his claim and give me the opportunity to make his life a litigious pit and collaterally have

14

prevented him from ever pulling the same on yet others.

I have made it a rule not to abuse the legal process by filing suit against another, even when abused or defrauded, but if one is foolish enough to bring a suit that abuses process against me, then I will defend VERY AGGRESSIVELY!!! If, in fact, one files an action and it is good law, I would simply stipulate or default and make appropriate restitution. It is the only fair way!!! I have done both in their proper forum.

I have spent the better part of my life helping virtually thousands of people through very difficult times, including divorces, legal issues, victims of fraud, bankruptcies and medical or financial setbacks. I have been gifted with sufficient life experience that we can usually help most people find a solution to the most difficult problems. Sometimes you get paid and sometimes you do not, but the satisfaction is in helping people rebuild their lives or receive restitution for the evils that beset them. Idealistic in some respects, but I can say that I have made an honest effort throughout my life to bring equilibrium to the battlefields of life for the clients that I have served.

It is the same equilibrium I will make every effort to bring to Shelton v Shelton, et siq and any other person trod upon or victimized by a unilateral or unfair system. This is a quality you clearly do not share but I find it incomprehensible that Christianity would have it any other way...but alas you and Fallible have decided to stand with the oppressors and desecrate the oracles of Honesty, Fair Play and Integrity in favor of supporting power, money and greed. You may do as you have chosen but as for me I will continue to make my life's work to bring equilibrium to the battlefield whenever appropriate and take on a few dragons whenever the Lord sees fit to allow a collision course on the path of this life. I WILL NOT STEP ASIDE for dragons!!!

I believe the simplest summation is that I have learned that no good turn goes unpunished. C'est la vie. That is the life of a Christian. Accept your lot and move forward. But **never allow the dragons to go unchallenged!!! To do so is heresy!!!** Much to my wife's chagrin, I have repeatedly put it all on the line. And I pray that I will continue to do so my entire life. God willing!!!

As we prepare for the legal challenge 3ABN continues to assert they will bring, we will continue to educate the stockholders in the pews in our continued effort to bring justice and mercy to its proper conclusion. It would be appropriate for you and Fallible to consider carefully which side stands for the Christian Standards the Remnant Church demands and take a stand for those standards rather than continuing to defend such a failed hypocrisy. To continue to pretend that 3ABN is the undiluted three angels' messages and is all about mending broken people is to be virtually blind to the overwhelming evidence that is far from a crescendo.

Where will you stand when all is revealed and you have ignored the honest truth? It is time to set pride aside and join in the call for the removal of the cancer that plagues 3ABN? Your defense does not change the truth!!! Your ludicrous arguments do not solve the problem that plagues 3ABN or prevent the Judgments of the Lord from being realized at 3ABN. God's providence continues to work against 3ABN and its officers and directors, despite their best efforts. At what point do you throw the Jonah overboard that he may have his day with the fish and that the ship may be saved?

At what point do you realize that the ministry is led by a man living in open sin improperly endorsed by its board and know that the Lord has Lifted his Favor from 3ABN as a result? How could this battle continue to build after three years if the Lord's hand was not guiding it? What commandments have been breached and what standards have been set aside to allow the ministry to continue to be led by a man living in open adultery?

At some point new leadership is a must!!! If the board does not take its role seriously, than a new governance will emerge as well. But the Lord will not stand by and allow this open and blatant sin to continue unpunished. You delude yourselves to believe otherwise!!!

I implore you to consider carefully your position and to recognize the truth, to call for confession, repentance and reform. This will go far to bringing this issue a step closer to resolution and allow 3ABN to get back to its mission, whatever that may be. And so be it!!!

In the interim, I will continue to investigate and report, and plan my counterclaims and discovery!!!

Respectfully Submitted

Gailon Arthur Joy
AUREporter

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 05:32 PM)

And if you do so, do me the courtesy of blocking out my name and address, I don't want a bunch of spam in my inbox. Thank you.

assume you meant just your address, since your name is common knowledge here, isn't it? If it's a problem, I can edit it.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 06:00 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 07:54 PM)

I assume you meant just your address, since your name is common knowledge here, isn't it? If it's a problem, I can edit it.

That was fine. Thank you. I do hope it was ok'd by Joy first tho--

And I am somewhat curious how you ended up with a e-mail to me, as I know your address and didn't see it anywhere??

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 06:03 PM

#1. We talked about it earlier and I told him I thought you would post the whole thing. He didn't seem to care.

#2. It's a secret. But who knows? Maybe I'll have to tell in a court of law.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 06:32 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 02:46 PM)

Let slip? rofl PB, I POSTED it. It was hardly a slip. Please stop with the drama you're killing me....

wwjd,

"lets slip" is just as dramatic as was the "shocking allegations" about a car repossession. I just thought I would add some irony to the titilating morsel you and bystander were dangling out at us. Certainly you are familiar with my sense of humor by now. But if it is killing you, I guess I better work on my style.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 06:53 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 08:03 PM)

#1. We talked about it earlier and I told him I thought you would post the whole thing. He didn't seem to care.

#2. It's a secret. But who knows? Maybe I'll have to tell in a court of law.

Maybe...

So what does the letter say specifically about the allegations in the OP?

Nothing really, except nearly 10 years ago a realtor was making demands of Joy for rent which he calls ludicrous. And sometime in the future his response will be direct and specific, and humiliating [but not now...]

"The response will be as direct and specific as it was nearly ten years ago when I went directly to the realtors office and answered his ludicrous demand. And it will unfortunately probably cause him as much embarrassment now as it did then!!! Believe me when I tell you, I have no problem answering the accuser!!!"

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 07:30 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 06:00 PM)

That was fine. Thank you. I do hope it was ok'd by Joy first tho--

And I am somewhat curious how you ended up with a e-mail to me, as I know your address and didn't see it anywhere??

Well, Cindy, joys email looks like a whole lot of do to about nothing. He rambled around for a while, patted himself on the back several times and did his usual MO with slamming 3abn but when the smoke cleared, I didn't see any specific answers.

Cindy, don't forget,....the eagle has landed, the spin is a twirl and up is down.....

Posted by: Clay Mar 28 2007, 07:38 PM

QUOTE(wwj d @ Mar 28 2007, 08:30 PM)

Well, Cindy, joys email looks like a whole lot of do to about nothing. He rambled around for a while, patted himself on the back several times and did his usual MO with slamming 3abn but when the smoke cleared, I didn't see any specific answers.

that I will agree with... I read it twice and I am still looking for the answer to the question....

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 07:42 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 28 2007, 07:38 PM)

that I will agree with... I read it twice and I am still looking for the answer to the question....

He very clearly said he was working on an answer. And I have a feeling the answer may be more than just his words. If he goes about getting documents from previous landlords, that could take a little time.

But maybe it will be just his words. I don't know.

You have to admit, he said something more substantial than Danny et. al. has done when they get asked questions. And if Fallible called him now, he would get quite a few details, far more than I got today when I called Danny et. al.

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 08:03 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 07:42 PM)

He very clearly said he was working on an answer. And I have a feeling the answer may be more than just his words. If he goes about getting documents from previous landlords, that could take a little time.

But maybe it will be just his words. I don't know.

You have to admit, he said something more substantial than Danny et. al. has done when they get asked questions. And if Fallible called him now, he would get quite a few details, far more than I got today when I called Danny et. al.

You have to be kidding!!!! Surely you don't think that after your smear campaign that he would give you the time of day?

If he would talk to you, We all know that it wouldn't make a hill of beans what he actually said. You would manipulate and spin the words to make then into whatever you needed them to be. Get real...of course he isn't going to have a conversation with you.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 08:05 PM

Why not? If I were him, I would, because I would hope I wouldn't have anything to hide.

But notice I didn't say I tried to talk to Danny.

And as far as I know, I haven't manipulated or spun anything he's said. If you beg to differ, as I've asked before, be specific rather than make vague accusations.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 08:26 PM

I just got a call from someone wondering why I said I called Danny et. al. and then said I didn't call Danny. To protect the identity of the one I called, I said Danny et. al., which I take to be a synonym for Danny and company, Danny's side, that sort of thing. So I called someone from Danny's camp, but not necessarily Danny himself.

And by the way, since Danny himself told me that he wasn't going to answer questions till after ASI was done with whatever they were going to do, and since ASI is now done, he shouldn't have any problem answering questions ... if he has nothing to hide.

The one I talked to today said he/she had nothing to hide, and then proceeded to say that he/she was going to hide the answers to my questions. I really, honestly, don't get it.

You expect something like this from certain politicians we've had recently, but not people in charge of Seventh-day Adventist ministries.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 28 2007, 08:41 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 28 2007, 11:05 AM)

FHB:

Thank you for your response to me.

First, I would like to comment a little on proof:

...He just may exaggerate

oh my

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 08:45 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 10:26 PM)

I just got a call from someone wondering why I said I called Danny et. al. and then said I didn't call Danny. To protect the identity of the one I called, I said Danny et. al., which I take to be a synonym for Danny and company, Danny's side, that sort of thing. So I called someone from Danny's camp, but not necessarily Danny himself.

And by the way, since Danny himself told me that he wasn't going to answer questions till after ASI was done with whatever they were going to do, and since ASI is now done, he shouldn't have any problem answering questions ... if he has nothing to hide.

The one I talked to today said he/she had nothing to hide, and then proceeded to say that he/she was going to hide the answers to my questions. I really, honestly, don't get it.

You expect something like this from certain politicians we've had recently, but not people in charge of Seventh-day Adventist ministries.

Bob,

can say with almost absolute certainty that no one today said "I am going to hide the answers to your questions, Bob" That is exactly why no one wants to talk to you.

Why you even think anybody at 3ABN would want to talk to you, or answer your questions is just unbelievable.

Literally you have them damned if they do, and damned if they don't.

Several tried to answer you in the beginning and then you twisted what they said every single time, and claimed it was proof of guilt, so when they stopped answering, you claimed that is proof of their guilt also, because they would answer if they weren't.

Please get a clue.

You have proven yourself to be a accuser of the brethren, regardless of what they say, or do not say.

Posted by: Lee Mar 28 2007, 09:07 PM

The bible teaches that we are to be 'discrete' or use "discretion." That means we don't blab everything we know. This doesn't mean a person is dishonest--it just means they are careful in what they say.

But obviously to you Pickle, it means a person is dishonest. Better read your Bible from cover to cover again. Look up the word "discretion" and see what it means.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 28 2007, 09:12 PM

The individual and I talked about discretion. We both see the necessity of being discrete. We could agree on that, as well as on other things.

And we weren't talking about blabbing everything they knew. We were talking about someone who claims they have nothing to hide choosing not to answer a few simple questions that would have been easy to answer if they didn't have anything to hide.

End of story.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 28 2007, 10:01 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 28 2007, 09:45 PM) □

Bob,

I can say with almost absolute certainty that no one today said "I am going to hide the answers to your questions, Bob" That is exactly why no one wants to talk to you.

Please get a clue.

You have proven yourself to be a accuser of the brethren, regardless of what they say, or do not say.

You can say with "almost absolute certainty"?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 10:12 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 28 2007, 07:07 PM)

The bible teaches that we are to be 'discrete' or use "discretion." **That means we don't blab everything we know. This doesn't mean a person is dishonest--it just means they are careful in what they say.**

But obviously to you Pickle, it means a person is dishonest. Better read your Bible from cover to cover again. Look up the word "discretion" and see what it means.

Lee,

I can't help but be reminded of some of your March 12th words to Bob Pickle:

QUOTE

Pickle your unwillingness to answer the question shows your are not a honest person.

Period end of sentence. But no matter because I have my answer very plainly. Thanks.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12885&view=findpost&p=184818>

If I am reading these two posts correctly, you seem to have a separate set of rules for each group. Care to comment?

PB

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 28 2007, 10:15 PM

Instant replay:

QUOTE

Cindy:

Mr Joy answered me finally but I am not clear on whether he wanted me to post it, or not. He said quite a bit but never really managed to address anything specifically which Mr Alfke reported...

He claims he will answer tho-- and "The response will be as direct and specific" and he will "copy the circle in an e-mail response that will go far wider than just BSDA."

Has Mr Joy ever deigned to post here?

Bob:

Aletheia, My thought is you should post the whole thing. I would.

Cindy:

I know, but I am not you.

Bob:

You want me to post it instead?

Cindy:

Why don't you ask Joy, like I did, before doing so?

Bob: -- Posts letter

Cindy:

I do hope it was ok'd by Joy first tho--

And I am somewhat curious how you ended up with a e-mail to me, as I know your address and didn't see it anywhere??

Bob:

#1. We talked about it earlier and I told him I thought you would post the whole thing. He didn't seem to care.

#2. It's a secret. But who knows? Maybe I'll have to tell in a court of law.

nteresting...

just received this:

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: AUReporter****

To: ~ Cindy ****

Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2007 10:24 PM

Subject: Re: BSDA Topic of discussion

Thank-you again for your inquiry. As I previously stated, I will address the specifics directly to the accuser. This is biblical and the way it will be done. I will also broadcast the response to those of interest. You and FHB will be included in any broadcast as I am sure it will find its way to the BlackSDA bulletin board.

You are free to post anything you wish. I maintain that anything written in the discourse of debate is fair game and available for all to read. If there is something we would not want others to read, then dont write it.

As to the context of the response it is as much directed to you as Fallible. I believe it is time for you to evaluate just where you stand as we enter the next phase. The next phase is measured to expose even more and far more serious wrongdoing. Some of the evidence is still in the collection stage, but it is not too late for you and Fallible to raise your voices for reformation at 3ABN. Maybe you can save us the need to raise the stakes yet again. Governance will clearly become an issue as we press forward and it would be far better for those who would bring reproach upon Gods work at 3ABN to step aside

I implore you to join the growing multitude calling for reformation.

Yours in the Blessed Hope

Gailon Arthur Joy
AUReporter

----- Original message -----

From: "~ Cindy" ****

Mr Joy,

Thank you for your response, It seems your reply is more reactionary to FHB's questions, and J.A.'s claims then informative, as you appear to be denying it, but said nothing really specific in regards to what J.A. was actually reporting, which is rather disappointing.

I noticed you also sent it to another address related to the save3ABN sie, and a address and person or mailing list, which I am not familiar with??? As they are being included in this correspondence, it would be nice to know - at least in a general sense - who they are, and why you included them?

But the main reason for my reply here are these:

1. Out of courtesy, I feel it fair to inform you that as you spoke of FHB, and regarding him; I have forwarded your reply to him, thinking what was said to me should have been more rightfully said to him anyway.

2 I am unclear, as I stated I would post any reply from you, and you wrote " I will direct my response directly to the accuser and copy the circle in an e-mail response that will go far wider than just BSDA" whether you wanted me to post what you sent below on BSDA, or not?

Perhaps you could explain and clarify, before I say or do anything further?

Thanks for your time,

Posted by: wwjd Mar 28 2007, 11:21 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 09:05 PM)

Why not? If I were him, I would, because I would hope I wouldn't have anything to hide.

But notice I didn't say I tried to talk to Danny.

And as far as I know, I haven't manipulated or spun anything he's said. If you beg to differ, as I've asked before, be specific rather than make vague accusations.

I don't have to. YOU just proved yourself, again, why he won't talk to you. YOU insinuated you talked to DS and anyone just skimming through would perceive it as such. See? Manipulation of words to get people to believe what you want them to believe.

Posted by: roxe Yesterday, 12:49 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 28 2007, 06:42 PM) □

You have to admit, he said something more substantial than **Danny et. al.** has done when **they** get asked questions. And if Fallible called him now, he would get quite a few details, far more than I got today **when I called Danny et. al.**

hmmm...

the above says to me that **Danny et. al. = they they = Danny and his team**

so when Pickle says **when I called Danny et.al.** he means he called a member of Danny's team... it could have been Danny or it could have been someone else on his team...

now, what is so difficult to understand about that?

i'm far from being the smartest cookie in the jar, but i got it... and i'm one of those "anyones" mentioned.

QUOTE

by wwjd

YOU insinuated you talked to DS and **anyone just skimming through** would perceive it as such. See? Manipulation of words to get people to believe what you want them to believe.

most people here don't **skim** when they read...

skimming doesn't help the comprehension level...

Posted by: Pickle Yesterday, 08:59 AM

Based on what I recall Gailon telling me yesterday about the car repossession issue:

- Gailon does not own the car in question.
- Gailon co-signed on a loan for a car.
- The owner paid the loan down to \$1600.
- The owner had trouble paying off the rest.
- The lender wanted Gailon to pay up.
- Gailon suggested that they half the payments down to \$160, and let the owner pay that each month.
- The lender refused.
- Gailon said, "Fine. Take it to court."
- The lender did.
- The court decided that the owner had to pay up, \$160 a month.
- The owner has paid that amount, and the car has not been repossessed.

Okay, now if one looks through this thread, the ones who either claim to know about or have talked to sources about an automobile of Gailon's being repossessed are:

- Bystander
- WWJD
- Pickle
- Gailon

If we could insert a poll right here, since this thread is all about credibility, how would you rate the credibility of these individuals based on the information released thus far about the automobile issue?

More importantly, is Danny et. al. so devoid of information to exonerate themselves of the various allegations that they have to resort to discrediting the one(s) who just happen to have talked to the various witnesses? Even if Gailon has no credibility, how does that negate the testimony of all the witnesses?

P.S. I think the above story illustrates the type of thing Gailon was talking about regarding helping others less fortunate. I guess if I get in a jam like that, I'll know who to call if I can't figure out what to do.

Posted by: watchbird Yesterday, 09:32 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 09:59 AM)

Based on what I recall Gailon telling me yesterday about the car repossession issue:

- Gailon does not own the car in question.
- Gailon co-signed on a loan for a car.
- The owner paid the loan down to \$1600.
- The owner had trouble paying off the rest.
- The lender wanted Gailon to pay up.
- Gailon suggested that they half the payments down to \$160, and let the owner pay that each month.
- The lender refused.
- Gailon said, "Fine. Take it to court."
- The lender did.
- The court decided that the owner had to pay up, \$160 a month.
- The owner has paid that amount, and the car has not been repossessed.

Okay, now if one looks through this thread, the ones who either claim to know about or have talked to sources about an automobile of Gailon's being repossessed are:

- Bystander
- WWJD
- Pickle
- Gailon

If we could insert a poll right here, since this thread is all about credibility, how would you rate the credibility of these individuals based on the information released thus far about the automobile issue?

More importantly, is Danny et. al. so devoid of information to exonerate themselves of the various allegations that they have to resort to discrediting the one(s) who just happen to have talked to the various witnesses? Even if Gailon has no credibility, how does that negate the testimony of all the witnesses?

P.S. I think the above story illustrates the type of thing Gailon was talking about regarding helping

others less fortunate. I guess if I get in a jam like that, I'll know who to call if I can't figure out what to do.

There is either another chapter, or other developments since you were told the story. Since Gailon has repeatedly said that anyone who receives mail from him is welcome to post it on any board, here is what he has personally sent out to an undisclosed number of recipients.....

I am removing the names and addresses as have been requested by both BSDA admins and by many individuals themselves. I also removed the included text from the original question as sent to Gailon Joy. Other than that I am making no change in either the text or the headers.)

From: ***** (G. Arthur Joy)
 To: "*****" <*****>
 Subject: Re: FYI & ????? Regarding Topic Subscription Reply Notification (BlackSDA)
 Date: Thu, 29 Mar 2007 14:49:14 +0000

Simple enough. I co-signed a car loan for an associate who ran into some financial difficulty. The lender asked me to pay. We insisted that since the loan was nearly repaid that if they would allow him to make 1/2 payments he could afford the monthly payments until he could get back on his feet. They refused our offer and I said to go ahead and file suite as I knew a judge would allow half payments. They did, we stipulated to a judgement and they then agreed to a payment of one half the original monthly payment which the original borrower can easily afford. Unfortunately, the borrower was recently in a motor vehicle accident where he was struck hard in the rear end and pretty much totalled the vehicle. Therefore, the insurance carrier will now pay the entire balance off and we will have to find him a new vehicle. An unfortunate end to a good deal!!!

Perhaps WWJD and the Sheltons would like to assist in the search for a new van for the family? Maybe they could gift one from their donor base, not unlike they have done for family in the past? Perhaps they would like to take up a collection to assist with his medical bills and other expenses until he finishes his insurance claim. After all, don't they mend broken people? think of the good will and community service!!! But alas, I doubt they will offer the first ounce of assistance to a broken nobody. C'est la vie!!!

Yep, there is a pattern here, we help a lot of people and sometimes get stuck!!! In fact, quite often, but that won't stop us from helping and getting stuck on occasion. I'm just too battle hardened to worry about the consequences and have no problem with full disclosure.

Frankly with the crisis we are facing in the financial markets, we feel pretty lucky to have survived what we hope will be the worst of the downturn and financial liquidity crisis. I have lived through two cycles prior to this and still here. The good news is that with a slow market we have more time to donate to investigating 3ABN and helping to bring equilibrium to the battlefield ahead. Unfortunately for WWJD and Danny, courts don't care much about how we handled co-signed loans and unfair land dealers. They do care about the way 501-c-3 organizations handle the money of the stockholders in the pews and the way they discriminate and retaliate against employees. They also care a great deal about the way officers and directors carry on personal business deals that represent conflicts of interest and should be disclosed on the 990's.

So, tell WWJD and Danny happy hunting and we will continue our unrelenting investigation as we prepare for 3ABN to launch its litigation and we prepare our counterclaims.

Gailon Arthur Joy
 \URporter

Posted by: sonshineonme Yesterday, 09:37 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 28 2007, 06:30 PM)

Well, Cindy, joys email looks like a whole lot of do to about nothing. He rambled around for a while, patted himself on the back several times and did his usual MO with slamming 3abn but when the smoke cleared, I didn't see any specific answers.

Cindy, don't forget,....the eagle has landed, the spin is a twirl and up is down.....

Well, while you're spinning, maybe you might like to know the FACTS (once again) of the story. I asked Gailon about it, this is what he told me. (now, no skimming allowed!)

-----Original Message-----

From: G. Arthur Joy
Date: 3/29/2007 8:13:00 AM
To: *****
Subject: Re: What's this that WWJD is spewing about??

"Simple enough. I co-signed a car loan for an associate who ran into some financial difficulty. The lender asked me to pay. We insisted that since the loan was nearly repaid that if they would allow him to make 1/2 payments he could afford the monthly payments until he could get back on his feet. They refused our offer and I said to go ahead and file suite as I knew a judge would allow half payments. They did, we stipulated to a judgement and they then agreed to a payment of one half the original monthly payment which the original borrower can easily afford. Unfortunately, the borrower was recently in a motor vehicle accident where he was struck hard in the rear end and pretty much totalled the vehicle. Therefore, the insurance carrier will now pay the entire balance off and we will have to find him a new vehicle. An unfortunate end to a good deal!!!

Perhaps WWJD and the Sheltons would like to assist in the search for a new van for he and the family? Maybe they could gift one from their donor base, not unlike they have done for family in the past? Perhaps they would like to take up a collection to assist with his medical bills and other expenses until he finishes his insurance claim. After all, don't they mend broken people? think of the good will and community service!!! But alas, I doubt they will offer the first ounce of assistance to a broken nobody. C'est la vie!!!

Yup, there is a pattern here, we help a lot of people and sometimes get stuck!!! In fact, quite often, but that wont stop us from helping and getting stuck on occasion. I'm just too battle hardened to worry about the consequences and have no problem with full disclosure.

Frankly with the crisis we are facing in the financial markets, we feel pretty lucky to have survived what we hope will be the worst of the downturn and financial liquidity crisis. I have lived through two cycles prior to this and still here. The good news is that with a slow market I have more time to donate to investigating 3ABN and helping to bring equilibrium to the battlefield ahead. Unfortunately for WWJD and Danny, courts don't care much about how we handled co-signed loans and unfair land dealers. They do care about the way 501-c-3 organizations handle the money of the stockholders in the pews and the way they discriminate and retaliate against employees. They also care a great deal about the way officers and directors

carry on personal business deals that represent conflicts of interest and should be disclosed on the 990's.

So, tell WWJD and Danny happy hunting and we will continue our unrelenting investigation as we prepare for 3ABN to launch its litigation and we prepare our counterclaims.

Gailon Arthur Joy"

Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 10:26 AM

This issue of the car seems very petty to me! Now it appears to me that the original issue at hand has been clouded with a lot of details that are ancillary at best and irrelevant at worst.

A lot of this talk is unproductive, in this and many other threads. It is possible that the truth of this matter many never be revealed on this earth, making a lot of these spats a colossal waste of time.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 10:50 AM

QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 29 2007, 08:26 AM)

This issue of the car seems very petty to me! Now it appears to me that the original issue at hand has been clouded with a lot of details that are ancillary at best and irrelevant at worst.

A lot of this talk is unproductive, in this and many other threads. **It is possible that the truth of this matter many never be revealed on this earth, making a lot of these spats a colossal waste of time.**

LT,

Petty pretty well pegs it! It seems that perhaps a little research was lacking as well.

On one hand you may be right about a lot of these spats being wastes of time. However, during the "heat" of these spats, one can sometimes learn a great deal about the tactics and motivations of some of the players, IMO.

Posted by: sister Yesterday, 10:54 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 11:50 AM)

LT,

Petty pretty well pegs it! It seems that perhaps a little research was lacking as well.

On one hand you may be right about a lot of these spats being wastes of time. However, during the "heat" of these spats, one can sometimes learn a great deal about the tactics and motivations of some of the players, IMO.

agree, this thread says more about the creditability and tactics of Fallible Humanbeing and Co. than t does about Mr. Joy.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 11:09 AM

Let's just look at the juicy tidbit of the "car repossession" in hindsight now.

March 26, 2007, Bystander gets the ball rolling by saying:

QUOTE

my sources have told me about a judgement against Mr. Joy's automobile resulting in, repossession

For full text of post <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?;=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188012.>)

March 28, 2007, wwjd clearly states that he has seen the documentation:

QUOTE

You will notice pickle never answered about the car repossession. I saw a copy of that judgement today so it did happen or is going to happen.

For full text of post <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?;=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188523.>)

So, Gailon Arthur Joy has explained his version of the car repossession story. It appears to differ from what Bystander and wwjd have represented it to be. We have yet to see the judgement that wwjd claims he saw on March 26th. Did wwjd read the judgement to prove to himself that the repossession did happen or is going to happen"? Or did he take someone's word for it?

wwjd, show us who is telling the truth in this situation. Post the copy of the judgement that you saw. Otherwise, perhaps you owe some folks an apology.

Posted by: erik Yesterday, 11:57 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 09:09 AM)

Let's just look at the juicy tidbit of the "car repossession" in hindsight now.

March 26, 2007, Bystander gets the ball rolling by saying:

(For full text of post <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188012>.)

March 28, 2007, wwjd clearly states that he has seen the documentation:

(For full text of post <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13085&view=findpost&p=188523>.)

So, Gailon Arthur Joy has explained his version of the car repossession story. It appears to differ from what Bystander and wwjd have represented it to be. We have yet to see the judgement that wwjd claims he saw on March 26th. Did wwjd read the judgement to prove to himself that the repossession "did happen or is going to happen"? Or did he take someone's word for it?

wwjd, show us who is telling the truth in this situation. Post the copy of the judgement that you saw. Otherwise, perhaps you owe some folks an apology.

PB,

again wonder what does it matter if Mr. Joy car was in fact repossessed, so the man fall in to some hard money times, it happens to many folks.

But how does that remove the simple fact that Tommy has dome things with at least 5-7 young men and has never really owned up to that.

Or how does it change the simple fact at this point Danny is living in sin with Brandi, It certainly looks that way from here.

Erik

Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 01:07 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 12:50 PM)

However, during the "heat" of these spats, one can sometimes learn a great deal about the tactics and motivations of some of the players, IMO.

And those were made plain as day long ago!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 01:21 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 29 2007, 10:57 AM)

PB,

I again wonder what does it matter if Mr. Joy car was in fact repossessed, so the man fall in to some hard money times, it happens to many folks.

It matters if two of those trying to discredit Mr. Gailon Joy are misrepresenting the facts of this "car repossession" story in the very thread where other unproven and, at this point, inflammatory "hearsay" claims are being leveled to try to do the same. Did you read Mr. Joy's email that was posted here twice this morning? Regarding the repossession charge he stated:

QUOTE

Simple enough. I co-signed a car loan for an associate who ran into some financial difficulty. The lender asked me to pay. We insisted that since the loan was nearly repaid that if they would allow him to make 1/2 payments he could afford the monthly payments until he could get back on his feet. They refused our offer and I said to go ahead and file suite as I knew a judge would allow half payments. They did, we stipulated to a judgement and they then agreed to a payment of one half the original monthly payment which the original borrower can easily afford. Unfortunately, the borrower was recently in a motor vehicle accident where he was struck hard in the rear end and pretty much totalled the vehicle. Therefore, the insurance carrier will now pay the entire balance off and we will have to find him a new vehicle. An unfortunate end to a good deal!!!

According to Mr. Joy, the vehicle that Mr. Joy co-signed for was not repossessed. The judge reduced the payments so the man could make the payments himself.

We are still waiting for a rebuttal of Gailon's statement by those two members who brought up the claim against him; Bystander and wwjd. I do agree with you that even if Gailon had had a car repossessed at some point in his life it wouldn't undermine the evidence he has brought up about the 3abn saga.

QUOTE

BUt how does that remove the simple fact that Tommy has dome things with at least 5-7 young men and has never really owned up to that.

Or how does it change the simple fact at this point Danny is living in sin with Brandi, It certainly looks that way from here.

Erik

Perhaps it is the evidence such as the allegations against Tommy that have driven Bystander and wwjd to desperately try to undermine the credibility of Gailon Joy and Bob Pickle. Unfortunately, so far, the mud they are tossing is flying back into their own faces.

Posted by: erik Yesterday, 01:25 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 11:21 AM)

It matters if two of those trying to discredit Mr. Gailon Joy are misrepresenting the facts of this "car repossession" story in the very thread where other unproven and, at this point, inflammatory "hearsay" claims are being leveled to try to do the same. Did you read Mr. Joy's email that was posted here twice this morning? Regarding the repossession charge he stated:

According to Mr. Joy, the vehicle that Mr. Joy co-signed for was not repossessed. The judge reduced the payments so the man could make the payments himself.

We are still waiting for a rebuttal of Gailon's statement by those two members who brought up the

claim against him; Bystander and wwjd. I do agree with you that even if Gailon had had a car repossessed at some point in his life it wouldn't undermine the evidence he has brought up about the 3abn saga.

Perhaps it is the evidence such as the allegations against Tommy that have driven Bystander and wwjd to desperately try to undermine the credibility of Gailon Joy and Bob Pickle. Unfortunately, so far, the mud they are tossing is flying back into their own faces.

'es,

did notice his side of the story, sounds pretty responsible to me.

My point was just that i do not see how this whole thread makes the Pro danny side look any better.

When you are playing against the truth it just is a losing game.

Erik

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Mar 29 2007, 12:25 PM)

Yes,

I did notice his side of the story, sounds pretty responsible to me.

My point was just that i do not see how this whole thread makes the Pro danny side look any better.

When you are playing against the truth it just is a losing game.Erik

Right!

Posted by: Fran Yesterday, 02:35 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 02:21 PM)

The defense attorney stood to begin his closing arguments. As he took a few, measured steps towards the jury box, he reflected on his case. It didn't look good for his client. The man had been caught leaning over the lifeless body of his wife, her blood on his arms and chest, the murder weapon in his hand and dozens of witnesses in the next room who had heard them fighting moments before.

Occasionally, as he quietly pled the circumstantial nature of the case against his client before those twelve men and women, he would pause and nervously, expectantly gaze at the courtroom door. Finally, just before he was about to sit down, a man strode through the door and handed the attorney a note. The attorney read it briefly, put it into his pocket and smiled.

"What would you say if I told you that my team has found the actual murderer of my client's wife? That this man was able to slip by the witnesses, unnoticed, viciously stab an innocent woman and then slip back out again? What would you say if I told you that my investigators have that man right

outside this courtroom, ready to bring him in through those very doors?"

He flourishes his right arm in that direction and all heads turn to the doors to watch the real killer make his entrance. They wait, in hushed expectation, and wait, and wait. Finally, the clever defense attorney redirects the jury's attention back onto himself.

"Of course, there is no man waiting just outside the courtroom doors. The point is, you all looked. That shows you have reasonable doubt that my client is the actual murderer. You must, therefore, find him 'Not guilty'."

Is that what is happening here? Is this information, as yet simply unverified hearsay to this date, that was found posted on the Adventist Tomorrow forum being used as a diversion, turning attention away from the real issues? Is it an attempt to shift the guilt and focus away from some and onto others? I don't know. It would be nice if the man who made the posts would confirm or deny their credibility with some form of documentation, but he remains silent.

Is it just a convenient ploy?

BTW, the above story is a reworking of one my husband and I saw on a legal series that we follow. It's what came to my mind as this topic has unfolded.

I saw that too! I saw it on Boston Legal. I get a lot of great laughs when I watch that program. Allen Shore is such a funny hoot !

Sad thing was, the jury let a guilty person go free!

Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 02:48 PM

I watch this show, also.. William Shatner and James Spader are just certifiable! They are just hilarious! I saw this episode also, if I am nto mistaken it was last week's episode. The acused was actually a woman, her and Alan used to work together(with benefits). She had actually taken on some woman's identity,a nd Alan had tried looking ofr the woman. Anyway at the end they never found the woman becuase the defendent had killed her a year or so before when she tracked the defendant down to tell her she wanted her life back. Allan figured it all out and convince her to take the reduced sentence he was able to get for her(he had to tell the truth about the murder being an officer of the court, but he did n't tell about the identity theft and the murder of the original woman). One of the reasons he figured it out was because she didn't look at the door like very one else.

And the answer to your questions is yes....their whole point appearance here at BSDA is a diversion. They really can't disprove anything, so they shift blame, and try to discredit. However, the truth is the truth anyhow!

QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 29 2007, 12:35 PM)

I saw that too! I saw it on Boston Legal. I get a lot of great laughs when I watch that program. Allen Shore is such a funny hoot !

Sad thing was, the jury let a guilty person go free!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 04:08 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 29 2007, 12:48 PM)

I watch this show, also.. William Shatner and James Spader are just certifiable! They are just hilarious! I saw this episode also, if I am nto mistaken it was last week"s episode. The acused was actually a woman, her and Alan used to work together(with benefits). She had actually taken on some woman's identity,a nd Alan had tried looking ofr the woman. Anyway at the end they never found the woman becuase the defendent had killed her a year or so before when she tracked the defendant down to tell her she wanted her life back. Allan figured it all out and convince her to take the reduced sentence he was able to get for her(he had to tell the truth about the murder being an officer of the court, but he did n't tell about the identity theft and the murder of the orginal woman). One of the reasons he figured it out was because she didn't look at the door like very one else.

And the answer to your questions is yes....their whole point appearance here at BSDA is a diversion. They really can't disprove anything, so they shift blame, and try to discredit. However, the truth is the truth anyhow!

Di, Fran,

It is a great show. A favorite of mine and my husband. That show and that particular case were what leapt to my mind as I followed this topic.

He fooled the jury with his slick ploy, but that didn't change the truth!

Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 04:22 PM

That stint only works on TV...and I first saw that on an old episode of Matlock...where there was no body...and Matlock said, here is the victim alive, and gestured with all due drama toward the doors. No one came in...and he said the same thing...you have reasonable doubt.....

...folks, in the real world, not any and all doubt is "reasonable"....the key word in the phrase is REASONABLE.....

Posted by: sister Yesterday, 05:02 PM

It seems like FHB, Bastander and Co. are silent, they must be regrouping for the next barrage.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Yesterday, 05:32 PM

QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 29 2007, 02:22 PM)

That stint only works on TV...and I first saw that on an old episode of Matlock...where there was no body...and Matlock said, here is the victim alive,

and gestured with all due drama toward the doors. No one came in...and he said the same thing...you have reasonable doubt.....

...folks, in the real world, not any and all doubt is "reasonable"....the key word in the phrase is REASONABLE.....

.T,

The prosecutor on the show made the connection to Matlock! And you are right, it does only work on TV. Here in this real world it's not so easy to fool folks, as Bystander and wwjd are discovering.

sister,

they have fallen silent, haven't they...

Posted by: LadyTenor Yesterday, 07:41 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 07:32 PM)

LT,

The prosecutor on the show made the connection to Matlock! And you are right, it does only work on TV. Here in this real world it's not so easy to fool folks, as Bystander and wwjd are discovering.

Interesting! I haven't seen much of Boston Legal since the early years when it spun off of one of my all-time favorites, *The Practice*.

Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 07:48 PM

Yeah! I forgot about that! It is really good. Allan and Denny have unique relationship. Candice Bergen is good, too!

QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 29 2007, 05:41 PM)

Interesting! I haven't seen much of Boston Legal since the early years when it spun off of one of my all-time favorites, *The Practice*.

Posted by: Bystander Yesterday, 09:19 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 07:59 AM)

Based on what I recall Gailon telling me yesterday about the car repossession issue:

- Gailon does not own the car in question.
- Gailon co-signed on a loan for a car.
- The owner paid the loan down to \$1600.
- The owner had trouble paying off the rest.
- The lender wanted Gailon to pay up.

- Gailon suggested that they half the payments down to \$160, and let the owner pay that each month.
- The lender refused.
- Gailon said, "Fine. Take it to court."
- The lender did.
- The court decided that the owner had to pay up, \$160 a month.
- The owner has paid that amount, and the car has not been repossessed.

Okay, now if one looks through this thread, the ones who either claim to know about or have talked to sources about an automobile of Gailon's being repossessed are:[list]

[*]Bystander

[*]WWJD

[*]Pickle

[*]Gailon

The court document had know other name on it than Gailon Arthur Joy. The amount said 3,946.66 with interest and fees, not 1,600.00. Amount owed to Primus Financial Services.

Not to mention that you first told wwjd that Gailon did not even know what we were referring to. A little later, it seems he did know, except the figures are different and there was no other name on the judgment.

Posted by: Pickle Yesterday, 09:23 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 09:19 PM)

The court document had know other name on it than Gailon Arthur Joy. The amount said 3,946.66 with interest and fees, not 1,600.00. Amount owed to Primus Financial Services.

Not to mention that you first told wwjd that Gailon did not even know what we were referring to. A little later, it seems he did know, except the figures are different and there was no other name on the judgment.




1) Post the court document so we can see it.

2) I'm fairly sure you have your facts wrong. I can't locate any such post where I said any such thing, but I don't mind being corrected.

Posted by: Hawk Yesterday, 10:07 PM

Look out your Thompsonville window and see a tornado approaching. You can hear a roar that sounds like a freight train. The telephone rings and a man who has lost a car in a repo situation, a man who has fallen behind in rent a few years ago, is telling you that there is a tornado and warning you should to get into the basement. You scoff and say "he lost a car and is behind on rent. Of course there is no tornado." How could someone who got behind on rent and lost a car tell the truth about anything? Mercillations! How could a person who has had a financial difficulty be anything but a liar? (Uh oh! That went back too far. Edited for pre-3ABN content)

Posted by: princessdi Yesterday, 10:12 PM

In the absence of our Official Heathen Family Langwest. DrRe" I take Princess authority to give you   for the proper us of a BSDAism! 

QUOTE(Hawk @ Mar 29 2007, 09:07 PM)

Look out your Thompsonville window and see a tornado approaching. You can hear a roar that sounds like a freight train. The telephone rings and a man who has lost a car in a repo situation, a man who has fallen behind in rent a few years ago, is telling you that there is a tornado and warning you should to get into the basement. You scoff and say "he lost a car and is behind on rent. Of course there is no tornado." How could someone who got behind on rent and lost a car tell the truth about anything? **Mercillations!** How could a person who has had a financial difficulty be anything but a liar? HmMMM. Does the BS care to comment on Danny's move to Michigan and why? Oh, how about the move back to Illinois? Does the BS care to comment on that? Was there any situation that included.... bankruptcy? Ahhh! Does the name Rusk & Hardin ring a bell? With the BS?

Posted by: Johann Yesterday, 11:20 PM

QUOTE(Hawk @ Mar 30 2007, 06:07 AM)

Look out your Thompsonville window and see a tornado approaching. You can hear a roar that sounds like a freight train. The telephone rings and a man who has lost a car in a repo situation, a man who has fallen behind in rent a few years ago, is telling you that there is a tornado and warning you should to get into the basement. You scoff and say "he lost a car and is behind on rent. Of course there is no tornado." How could someone who got behind on rent and lost a car tell the truth about anything? Mercillations! How could a person who has had a financial difficulty be anything but a liar? (Uh oh! That went back too far. Edited for pre-3ABN content)



Posted by: Hawk Today, 12:05 AM

If one holds Gailon as non-credible for having had any financial difficulties in the past, one must hold Danny even more non-credible for having had even larger financial difficulties in the past... as a number of people from the past WILL testify to. And as the BS knows, that testimony will be quite comprehensive in a number of areas.

Posted by: mozart Today, 01:18 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 29 2007, 01:33 PM)

Right!

well it seem to be their typical MO. when people rub them the wrong way or get too close to the

truth, they start spinning the smear campaign. it's conniving, pathetic behavior. some folks have got some serious dues to pay and the pit just keeps getting deeper. there heads must be like bricks.



Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)

© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)