

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ New Letters At Save3abn

Posted by: erik Feb 6 2007, 11:44 AM

To all the Danny is innocent crowd,


Just read the letters from the second mom, Judy Woods, Sherry Avery,

i have just one question how long is your side going to pretend that not one thing happen or it was all over 30 years ago. HOw long are you going to party to the cover of sin which always leads to more sin.


just wondering?

Erik W.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 6 2007, 12:24 PM

I agree Erik I just read the letter early this morning. Amazing they will spin this one too I'm sure. Just sad that these boys(at the time) & their families have to go thru this. 

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 6 2007, 12:47 PM

Just finished reading the letter from Sherry Avery that makes my blood boil. That is beyond sick & twisted. 

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 6 2007, 12:59 PM


QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Feb 6 2007, 12:47 PM)

Just finished reading the letter from Sherry Avery that makes my blood boil. That is beyond sick & twisted.



I read the letter , too. You would not believe the horrible memories it brings back.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 6 2007, 01:07 PM



I'm sure it does & sorry you have to go thru this all again. Hang in there.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 6 2007, 01:27 PM

I have just read everything added in the past two days at Save3abn.com. The letters from the participants in the TS situation speak for themselves.

The emails showing the development of the process ASI was to have used are very interesting. I am very glad they have been made available. It seems fairly clear to me that there was never going to be a chance of full transparency even though the procedures for conducting the proceedings were getting closer to what I would consider a fair hearing (or within the legal concept of "due process").

Can someone tell me if there are more email coming or if this is it and the next response was ASI issuing the statement that they were withdrawing?

Can someone tell me if the person referred to as having resigned is the attorney that was discussed on another thread or is that inappropriate speculation on my part?

And I have asked before but not received an answer, has Mr. Joy ever been a licensed attorney even if he is not now?

I am dismayed once again that there seems to be a presumption that lawyers in the process only hinder truth finding and that praying for discernment makes it more likely a truthful and fair decision will be reached.

nw

Posted by: erik Feb 6 2007, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 6 2007, 10:59 AM) □

I read the letter , too. You would not believe the horrible memories it brings back.

Duane,

I know that words can not change your memories, but please know that many here will not be quiet until this ends.

erik

Posted by: Observer Feb 6 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 6 2007, 12:27 PM) □

I have just read everything added in the past two days at Save3abn.com. The letters from the participants in the TS situation speak for themselves.

The emails showing the development of the process ASI was to have used are very interesting. I am very glad they have been made available. It seems fairly clear to me that there was never going to be a chance of full transparency even though the procedures for conducting the proceedings were getting closer to what I would consider a fair hearing (or within the legal concept of "due process").

Can someone tell me if there are more email coming or if this is it and the next response was ASI issuing the statement that they were withdrawing?

Can someone tell me if the person referred to as having resigned is the attorney that was discussed on another thread or is that inappropriate speculation on my part?

And I have asked before but not received an answer, has Mr. Joy ever been a licensed attorney even if he

is not now?

I am dismayed once again that there seems to be a presumption that lawyers in the process only hinder truth finding and that praying for discernment makes it more likely a truthful and fair decision will be reached.

nw

Harold Lance is a trial attorney. He is not Nick Miller.

Mr. Joy has never been a licensed attorney. He has worked in area of litigation.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 6 2007, 03:07 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 6 2007, 03:54 PM) □

Harold Lance is a trial attorney. He is not Nick Miller.

Mr. Joy has never been a licensed attorney. He has worked in area of litigation.

have learned over and over here and other places on the www that taking the time to be exact saves a lot of problems.

just tried to go to the Save3ABN website but they must be uploading or something as it did not come up.

was referring to something in one of the emails where (and I can't tell you who since I could not get back to t) someone points out to ASI/HL that other issues must be considered because someone who had brought something possibly unethical to the attention of DS eventually resigned due to manufactured evidence against him/her. Since questions have been asked and speculation raised that Nick Miller did not resign merely because he had better things to do with his time, I wondered if that was the person that was essentially forced to resign if I read the email correctly.

sorry for any confusion I caused and I should have trusted my instinct to quote the exact paragraph from the email I was referring to.

just checked and the site is still down.

nw

Posted by: Bystander Feb 6 2007, 03:18 PM

And I have asked before but not received an answer, has Mr. Joy ever been a licensed attorney even if he is not now?

No, to my knowledge and lots of others that know him personally, he has never been a licensed attorney, a licensed investigator nor is he any kind of an official reporter.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 6 2007, 03:20 PM

Yes its down for me too. Amazing with the new letters & stuff added hopefully its just a glitch on their end & will be fixed shortly.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 6 2007, 03:35 PM

It appears to be back up now.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 6 2007, 03:53 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 6 2007, 04:07 PM) □

I have learned over and over here and other places on the www that taking the time to be exact saves a lot of problems.

I just tried to go to the Save3ABN website but they must be uploading or something as it did not come up.

I was referring to something in one of the emails where (and I can't tell you who since I could not get back to it) someone points out to ASI/HL that other issues must be considered because someone who had brought something possibly unethical to the attention of DS eventually resigned due to manufactured evidence against him/her. Since questions have been asked and speculation raised that Nick Miller did not resign merely because he had better things to do with his time, I wondered if that was the person that was essentially forced to resign if I read the email correctly.

Sorry for any confusion I caused and I should have trusted my instinct to quote the exact paragraph from the email I was referring to.

Just checked and the site is still down.

nw

The section I was referring to said the following:

Another person of note, a former prominent 3ABNer who is not pro-Linda, has informed me in writing that Danny threatened him/her to back off regarding financial, operational, and personal concerns, and when he/she didn't, that Danny ordered the fraudulent manufacturing of evidence against him/her, which thus produced a damaging allegation against him/her, and led to his/her resignation, without the board investigating whether his/her claim of such deceit was legitimate, even when it was brought to their attention. I wish I could say that that case was ancient history, but it was definitely not.

It can be found at this link:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-smokescreen-scheme-round-two-bob-pickle.htm>

I see they have posted a fax received from someone on 3ABN in the recent past and that is probably why the site was unavailable.

nw

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 01:26 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 6 2007, 12:59 PM)

I read the letter , too. You would not believe the horrible memories it brings back.

I need to explain this comment. In no way did I mean that the letter shouldn't have been posted. It just makes me think of events years ago.

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 7 2007, 03:49 PM

I was reading Uriah Smith's Daniel and the Revelation this morning when I came upon this quotation in the chapter on Revelation 1 describing the beginning of John's vision - I just wanted to share it for all of you who are so directly affected by all of this - Duane, Linda, Barbara, Derrell and so many more - I don't know any of you but my prayer for you is that you will feel His hand:

Overcome by the presence of this august Being, and perhaps under a keen sense of all human unworthiness, John fell at His feet as dead, but a comforting hand is laid upon him, and a voice of sweet assurance tells him not to fear. It is equally the privilege of Christians today to feel the same hand laid upon them to strengthen and comfort them in hours of trial and affliction, and to hear the same voice saying to them, "Fear not."

In the same chapter, this sentence seemed to be flashing in neon:

But when man thinks he has buried the truth in eternal oblivion, the Lord gives it a resurrection in tenfold glory and power .



Posted by: princessdi Feb 7 2007, 03:49 PM

Thanks for the clarification, Duane, but I knew what you meant.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 7 2007, 11:26 AM)

I need to explain this comment. In no way did I mean that the letter shouldn't have been posted. It just makes me think of events years ago.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 11 2007, 12:02 AM

You know, the more letters and information I read, the more upset I get. The realization of how I and many others have been manipulated and duped for so many years is gut wrenching. Then I get on here and see how so many are more than willing to cast doubt on written testimonies, question the motives and sexual orientation of victims, make ludicrous allegations and do it all behind the shield of a screen name. I don't know how some of you can sleep at night.

I can tell you this. The truth is coming out. People are waking up. Almost every day someone talks to me

who has read the information on this site and others, and they're not buying the lies any more. It all comes down to credibility. Who is willing to put their name on what they say, and who isn't? It speaks volumes to the general public.

To those of you who want to hide in secrecy and continue to smear signed testimonies, you may continue to do so if you want, but I think you're in for a big shock in the very near future.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 11 2007, 03:21 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 11 2007, 01:02 AM) □

You know, the more letters and information I read, the more upset I get. The realization of how I and many others have been manipulated and duped for so many years is gut wrenching. Then I get on here and see how so many are more than willing to cast doubt on written testimonies, question the motives and sexual orientation of victims, make ludicrous allegations and do it all behind the shield of a screen name. I don't know how some of you can sleep at night.

I can tell you this. The truth is coming out. People are waking up. Almost every day someone talks to me who has read the information on this site and others, and they're not buying the lies any more. It all comes down to credibility. Who is willing to put their name on what they say, and who isn't? It speaks volumes to the general public.

To those of you who want to hide in secrecy and continue to smear signed testimonies, you may continue to do so if you want, but I think you're in for a big shock in the very near future.

I'm sure that it is frustrating for you Duane, but keep looking ahead. Like you said, the information is getting out. People are screaming for intervention, and eventually it must be addressed.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 11 2007, 07:49 AM

Hang in there Duane, keep your eyes focused on the Creator. He will give you the peace you deserve and need. As you see more and more letters like those that cause you anguish just realize that this a desperate bid by Satan to quell the truth.

Richard

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 11 2007, 01:02 AM) □

You know, the more letters and information I read, the more upset I get. The realization of how I and many others have been manipulated and duped for so many years is gut wrenching. Then I get on here and see how so many are more than willing to cast doubt on written testimonies, question the motives and sexual orientation of victims, make ludicrous allegations and do it all behind the shield of a screen name. I don't know how some of you can sleep at night.

I can tell you this. The truth is coming out. People are waking up. Almost every day someone talks to me who has read the information on this site and others, and they're not buying the lies any more. It all comes down to credibility. Who is willing to put their name on what they say, and who isn't? It speaks volumes to the general public.

To those of you who want to hide in secrecy and continue to smear signed testimonies, you may continue to do so if you want, but I think you're in for a big shock in the very near future.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 13 2007, 12:33 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 11 2007, 01:02 AM)


You know, the more letters and information I read, the more upset I get. The realization of how I and many others have been manipulated and duped for so many years is gut wrenching. Then I get on here and see how so many are more than willing to cast doubt on written testimonies, question the motives and sexual orientation of victims, make ludicrous allegations and do it all behind the shield of a screen name. I don't know how some of you can sleep at night.

I can tell you this. The truth is coming out. People are waking up. Almost every day someone talks to me who has read the information on this site and others, and they're not buying the lies any more. It all comes down to credibility. Who is willing to put their name on what they say, and who isn't? It speaks volumes to the general public.

To those of you who want to hide in secrecy and continue to smear signed testimonies, you may continue to do so if you want, but I think you're in for a big shock in the very near future.


Thanks Duane, for your continued affirmation of the good that this site is accomplishing. Please know that those of us who used to post a lot, but now do so only occasionally, are not silent because we have been swayed by the attacks by the Dannyworshippers. We are still here. We are still strong in our convictions that we are learning the truth from those like yourself and are just in a holding pattern now, while others "wake up" as you express it, and the wheels of justice grind in their own slow but sure, God-driven way.

We do appreciate your continued speaking up... but you know... you don't have to subject yourself to the repetitious attacks by your tormenters. You can put them on "ignore" so you simply do not see their attacks. When new persons come on... no matter what side they take, you will still see those and be able to contribute to their education. But don't put yourself through more than what God asks you too of the flogging your enemies would love to lay on you!

Blessings on you.....and all the other victims, both those who have already come forward, and those who are still only gaining courage to do so. And God bless us all that we may continue to stand firm in opposing evil, wherever it may be found.... even in a prominent church ministry..... 


Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 13 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 13 2007, 11:33 AM)

Blessings on you.....and all the other victims, both those who have already come forward, and those who are still only gaining courage to do so. And God bless us all that we may continue to stand firm in opposing evil, wherever it may be found.... even in a prominent church ministry..... 


I second this statement wholeheartedly. Thank you, WB!

I know you have Duane's interest at heart when you suggest that he avoid the floggings but, as a victim myself, I know it is part of the process of healing to be able to see those attacks and confront them. In my

opinion it helps one regain power and builds muscle. 

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 13 2007, 06:24 PM


QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 13 2007, 04:51 PM) 


I know you have Duane's interest at heart when you suggest that he avoid the floggings but, as a victim myself, I know it is part of the process of healing to be able to see those attacks and confront them. In my opinion it helps one regain power and builds muscle. 


True dat!



Posted by: inga Feb 13 2007, 11:57 PM


QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 13 2007, 03:51 PM) 


as a victim myself, I know it is part of the process of healing to be able to see those attacks and confront them. In my opinion it helps one regain power and builds muscle. 




Makes sense to me. 


More power to you!  I see you've already built quite a bit of muscle.  

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 14 2007, 12:18 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 13 2007, 10:57 PM) 

Makes sense to me. 

More power to you!  I see you've already built quite a bit of muscle.  

I have a great Personal Trainer! 

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 7 2007, 04:05 AM

I have noticed that there are a couple of new items posted at save3abn.com

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-meet-the-board-1.htm>

"I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board...."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Shelton

To: [Arild Abrahamsen]
Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 08:21:02 -0500

Doctor,

Johann says that if you believe my wife needs support because of lies being told or whatever, you will come across the ocean and save her. I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board and conference President and explain to them....

"If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Mollie Steenson
To: Johann Thorvaldsson
Subject: 3ABN
Date: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:57 PM

Dear Johann,

It has come to my attention that you and Dr. Abrahamsen are planning to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting this week. Please be made aware that you aren't welcome here at this time and under these circumstances. If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises.

If you would like to discuss this with me, please call me at
Danny Shelton

Posted by: Johann Mar 7 2007, 05:14 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Mar 7 2007, 12:05 PM) ☐

I have noticed that there are a couple of new items posted at save3abn.com

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-meet-the-board-1.htm>

"I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board...."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Shelton
To: [Arild Abrahamsen]
Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 08:21:02 -0500

Doctor,

Johann says that if you believe my wife needs support because of lies being told or whatever, you will come across the ocean and save her. I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board and conference President and explain to them....

"If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Mollie Steenson

To: Johann Thorvaldsson

Subject: 3ABN

Date: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:57 PM

Dear Johann,

It has come to my attention that you and Dr. Abrahamsen are planning to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting this week. Please be made aware that you aren't welcome here at this time and under these circumstances. If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises.

If you would like to discuss this with me, please call me at
Danny Shelton

t is this kind of contradictions by Danny Shelton himself that have caused many to catch a glimpse of the whole picture. Thank God the truth is emerging!!!

As I have said many times before: Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy!!!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Mar 7 2007, 06:43 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 7 2007, 05:14 AM)

It is this kind of contradictions by Danny Shelton himself that have caused many to catch a glimpse of the whole picture. Thank God the truth is emerging!!!

As I have said many times before: Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy!!!

But of course, Danny will not be the one at fault in the eyes of the dannyscribes. Let's see how long it takes or them to start trashing the Dr. for allowing the emails to be posted.

I'm sure it will be Linda's fault also, somehow.

Posted by: wwjd Mar 7 2007, 03:18 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Mar 7 2007, 04:05 AM)

I have noticed that there are a couple of new items posted at [save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com)

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-meet-the-board-1.htm>

"I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with

my board...."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Shelton
To: [Arild Abrahamsen]
Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 08:21:02 -0500

Doctor,

Johann says that if you believe my wife needs support because of lies being told or whatever, you will come across the ocean and save her. I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board and conference President and explain to them....

"If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises."

Danny Shelton

----- Original Message -----

From: Mollie Steenson
To: Johann Thorvaldsson
Subject: 3ABN
Date: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:57 PM

Dear Johann,

It has come to my attention that you and Dr. Abrahamsen are planning to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting this week. Please be made aware that you aren't welcome here at this time and under these circumstances. If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises.

If you would like to discuss this with me, please call me at
Danny Shelton

The doc was invited to tell his story to the board on Sunday. He was not welcome at the campmeeting in the meantime. What is hard to understand about that? The end of the story. Well, except after having the chance to tell his side, he didn't show....Oh just to be clear, the "premises" refers to the camp meeting location, not the board room.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 7 2007, 03:34 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 05:18 PM)

The doc was invited to tell his story to the board on Sunday. He was not welcome at the campmeeting in the meantime. What is hard to understand about that? The end of the story. Well, except after having the chance to tell his side, he didn't show....Oh just to be clear, the "premises" refers to the camp meeting location, not the board room.

Paying close attention to the demons and praying without ceasing....."

The LORD is not pleased.....

That entire "explanation" makes no sense and reeks of the devil..... MY LORD!

Posted by: princessdi Mar 7 2007, 04:11 PM

"My House is a House of Prayer for **ALL** people" Is 3ABN campmeeting Danny's house or God's. If you consider it God's house, then you will see the problem. If you consider it Danny's then.....need I say more? Also, just on the real level. After being told you weren't welcom at church service, but to be "grilled" by the board the next day.....I dont' think I would have walked into that trap either. If Danny was on the up and up, he would have welcomed the Dr., praying together for God's will to be done.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 01:18 PM)

The doc was invited to tell his story to the board on Sunday. He was not welcome at the campmeeting in the meantime. What is hard to understand about that? The end of the story. Well, except after having the chance to tell his side, he didn't show....Oh just to be clear, the "premises" refers to the camp meeting location, not the board room.

Posted by: Nuggie Mar 7 2007, 04:16 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 7 2007, 05:11 PM)

"My House is a House of Prayer for **ALL** people" Is 3ABN campmeeting Danny's house or God's. If you consider it God's house, then you will see the problem. If you consider it Danny's then.....need I say more?

That's what I was thinking, too...seems like if they were so concerned for the doc's soul then campmeeting would be the best place for him to be, right?

Posted by: wwjd Mar 7 2007, 06:18 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Mar 7 2007, 04:16 PM)

That's what I was thinking, too...seems like if they were so concerned for the doc's soul then campmeeting would be the best place for him to be, right?

No, it was the ethical thing to do at the time. Here You have a man that you suspicion is having an affair with the vp of 3abn walks into a meeting that had already started and would be live, not having a clue if he was going to stand up and make a speech or raise some kind of ruckous or what? Based on the fact that he had stated he was coming to "make his views" known, who knew what he might do in front of an auditorium full of people? Especially with Johann accompanying him. I have since heard how emotional Johann can be, therefore the caution, when they showed up. Anyway,it is my understanding they weren't ask to leave until seen with flyers.

This argument is waste of time. Any large ministry in those circumstances would have handled it the same

way.

Posted by: gardener Mar 7 2007, 06:51 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 07:18 PM)

No, it was the ethical thing to do at the time. Here You have a man that you suspicion is having an affair with the vp of 3abn walks into a meeting that had already started and would be live, not having a clue if he was going to stand up and make a speech or raise some kind of ruckous or what? Based on the fact that he had stated he was coming to "make his views" known, who knew what he might do in front of an auditorium full of people? Especially with Johann accompanying him. I have since heard how emotional Johann can be, therefore the caution, when they showed up. Anyway,it is my understanding they weren't ask to leave until seen with flyers.
This argument is waste of time. Any large ministry in those circumstances would have handled it the same way.

Danny is the one who made a scene on world wide TV. Very poorly handled. I stood in front of the TV with my mouth open and I didn't even know at that time what it was all about. It was shameful.

Posted by: wwjd Mar 7 2007, 07:00 PM

QUOTE(gardener @ Mar 7 2007, 06:51 PM)

Danny is the one who made a scene on world wide TV. Very poorly handled. I stood in front of the TV with my mouth open and I didn't even know at that time what it was all about. It was shameful.

No, he didn't make a "scene" at all, I also saw it. He feels his wife has left him for someone else and that "someone else" walks in just as the meeting is starting and going on the air. I doubt if you or any of us could have handled it as well as he did. I probably would have just walked off of live TV. After all how could you concentrate and focus with that happening. Put yourself in that position.

Posted by: gardener Mar 7 2007, 07:16 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 08:00 PM)

No, he didn't make a "scene" at all, I also saw it. He feels his wife has left him for someone else and that "someone else" walks in just as the meeting is starting and going on the air. I doubt if you or any of us could have handled it as well as he did. I probably would have just walked off of live TV. After all how could you concentrate and focus with that happening. Put yourself in that position.

Walking off live TV and maybe having a little song service while it was could be handled discreetly behind the scenes would have been a much better solution then standing up there calling them demons for the world to hear. For a moment I thought we were at a "deliverence" meeting.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 7 2007, 07:46 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 07:00 PM) □

No, he didn't make a "scene" at all, I also saw it. He feels his wife has left him for someone else and that "someone else" walks in just as the meeting is starting and going on the air. I doubt if you or any of us could have handled it as well as he did. I probably would have just walked off of live TV. After all how could you concentrate and focus with that happening. Put yourself in that position.

His wife "left him" because he asked her not to be around for camp meeting?

Maybe it is time that Danny have a thorough mental evaluation.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 7 2007, 11:38 PM

You were supposed to be in Service, praise and worship to God. This is exactly what I was saying. Danny conducted himself as if it was a "meeting". If he remember where he was and Who the Meeting" was supposed to be about, he would not have to worry about who stepped in the door. At that point very few in that rrom and definitely not the rank and file and viewing audience knew anything, until under his own power Danny tried to "handle" things.

There is no condition on that text, It says, "a house of prayer for ALL people" not for ALL people you feel comfortable with, you don't have a problem with, just your supporters...."for ALL people". And if Danny is calling himself a leader in chritian living he ought to conduct himself like it!

There is an old song many of our newer members may not have heard. The title is called "Jesus Can Work It Out". One of the phrases the soloist says is, "While you're tryin' to figure it out, He already worked it out!" Taht think might have been worked out had Danny not taken things into his own hands. I will tell you all this. the more I hear about that weekenf and that meeting, I believed the Holy Spirit impressed the Dr. not to walk into that meeting. Those conducting that meeting were not working within the Will of God. Say what you want. but refusing someone access to God's House is a serious thing, and He doesn't take it lightly, and it speaks volumes of the one who restricts access of another.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 04:18 PM) □

No, it was the ethical thing to do at the time. Here You have a man that you suspicion is having an affair with the vp of 3abn walks into a meeting that had already started and would be live, not having a clue if he was going to stand up and make a speech or raise some kind of ruckous or what? Based on the fact that he had stated he was coming to "make his views" known, who knew what he might do in front of an auditorium full of people? Especially with Johann accompanying him. I have since heard how emotional Johann can be, therefore the caution, when they showed up. Anyway,it is my understanding they weren't ask to leave until seen with flyers.

This argument is waste of time. Any large ministry in those circumstances would have handled it the same way.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Mar 8 2007, 12:03 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 03:18 PM) □

Oh just to be clear, the "premises" refers to the camp meeting location, not the board room.

And just how, exactly, do you know this?

Posted by: Johann Mar 8 2007, 12:18 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 8 2007, 02:18 AM)

Anyway, it is my understanding they weren't ask to leave until seen with flyers.
This argument is waste of time.

Quite gullible?

I have explained before how it happened that I had a few copies of a written statement, and that the only one I gave a copy to was Joe O'Brien and this happened on our way out. This shows me that your witnesses are not the most reliable, but somehow you trust them. Is your paycheck dependent on it?

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 8 2007, 12:30 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 7 2007, 05:46 PM)

Maybe it is time that Danny have a thorough mental evaluation.

That is a very good point, and a very serious one. I think that's exactly what needs to take place. It will probably come to that. At least I hope so. The more you read of his "way" of treating others, very passive aggressive and controlling, abusing power and hurting others with his authority or abuse, there is definitely a problem.

Posted by: Johann Mar 8 2007, 12:30 AM

QUOTE(gardener @ Mar 8 2007, 03:16 AM)

Walking off live TV and maybe having a little song service while it was could be handled discreetly behind the scenes would have been a much better solution then standing up there calling them demons for the world to hear. For a moment I thought we were at a "deliverance" meeting.

You were not the only one who heard that. His trouble is that once he had talked about demons he had to prove to the world he was right, regardless of how Linda had to suffer for it.

Posted by: Fran Mar 8 2007, 01:40 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 8 2007, 12:30 AM)

That is a very good point, and a very serious one. I think that's exactly what needs to take place. It will probably come to that. At least I hope so. The more you read of his "way" of treating others, very passive aggressive and controlling, abusing power and hurting others with his authority or abuse, there is definitely a problem.

This has been my exact thought and opinion!

Maranatha

Now I must close out by saying that the above is my opinion and only my opinion. This is my Q-CY/M-A/P-L Statement. (Quick, Cover Your/My A--/Posterior Legally.)

Posted by: Johann Mar 8 2007, 01:59 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 11:18 PM)

.Oh just to be clear, the "premises" refers to the camp meeting location, not the board room.

I wonder if things are clear enough in your mind to participate?

If the "premises" referred to were only the camp meeting location, why was it impossible to have our Friday afternoon meeting in the board room. Walt and Nick discussed that possibility for a moment, but they quickly came to the conclusion that the meeting could not be held any closer than in West Frankfort, since Danny had forbidden us to come to Thompsonville.

Are you getting your information from a reliable witness?

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 8 2007, 02:35 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 07:00 PM)

No, he didn't make a "scene" at all, I also saw it. He feels his wife has left him for someone else and that "someone else" walks in just as the meeting is starting and going on the air. I doubt if you or any of us could have handled it as well as he did. I probably would have just walked off of live TV. After all how could you concentrate and focus with that happening. Put yourself in that position.

Maybe Danny "feels" Linda left him because **Danny ordered Linda out of Southern Illinois for 30 days** while he scrubbed Linda's name off the network?

Did Danny share his feelings of abandonment with everyone who would listen?

Somethink like this, perhaps?

**Oh where has my Linda gone.
Oh where has my Linda gone.
Where could she be?**

Where could she be?

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum.htm>

May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

We are offering you a **30 day leave of absence** with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met....

You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration...

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated...

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulse and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

Posted by: Fran Mar 8 2007, 03:51 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Mar 8 2007, 02:35 AM)

Maybe Danny "feels" Linda left him because **Danny ordered Linda out of Southern Illinois for 30 days** while he scrubbed Linda's name off the network?

Did Danny share his feelings of abandonment with everyone who would listen?

Somethink like this, perhaps?

Oh where has my Linda gone.

Oh where has my Linda gone.

Where could she be?

Where could she be?

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum.htm>

May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

We are offering you a **30 day leave of absence** with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met....

You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration...

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated...

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulsey and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

this is really brazen IMO, especially given the fact that she had NOT committed Adultery, IMO.

shame on you Walt and Danny!

I believe this was Danny and Walt's way of "flexing their muscles" and "showing Linda just who the real boss was", IMO.

I also believe (IMO) they expected her to bow and submit to the "anointed one"! oo

What didn't work did it? b notworkir giljoti

Maranatha

Posted by: Johann Mar 8 2007, 05:02 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Mar 8 2007, 10:35 AM)

Maybe Danny "feels" Linda left him because **Danny ordered Linda out of Southern Illinois for 30 days** while he scrubbed Linda's name off the network?

Did Danny share his feelings of abandonment with everyone who would listen?

Somethink like this, perhaps?

**Oh where has my Linda gone.
Oh where has my Linda gone.
Where could she be?
Where could she be?**

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum.htm>
May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

We are offering you **a 30 day leave of absence** with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met...

You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration...

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated...

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulse and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

So Linda was away from Southern Illinois by the orders of Danny Shelton through his Chairman of the Board. And yet he had the audacity of telling the world audience that Linda had left him, and there on the spot he requested Mark Finley come forward and pray for her return. Then Mark Finley must have sensed Linda was innocent of the accusations.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 05:15 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 8 2007, 01:18 AM)

Quite gullible?

I have explained before how it happened that I had a few copies of a written statement, and that the only one I gave a copy to was Joe O'Brien and this happened on our way out. This shows me that your witnesses are not the most reliable, but somehow you trust them. Is your paycheck dependent on it?

Johann, a simple yes or no please.

Was the statement in question a article about 3ABN, which you were planning on submitting to the local paper?

Posted by: Chez Mar 8 2007, 05:26 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 07:00 PM) □

No, he didn't make a "scene" at all, I also saw it. He feels his wife has left him for someone else and that "someone else" walks in just as the meeting is starting and going on the air. I doubt if you or any of us could have handled it as well as he did. I probably would have just walked off of live TV. After all how could you concentrate and focus with that happening. Put yourself in that position.

Then Danny should have recused himself from the 3ABN board meeting/decision and excused himself from the live broadcast of that campmeeting. Danny is the one who put it out. A lot of us didn't have a clue. In addition, Danny's mouth has turned this issue around to where people are now thinking that it was a setup. I guess if he sent his wife away, if I were him, I wouldn't want my "inaccurate" description of the alleged marital infidelity exposed globally either.

Posted by: Nuggie Mar 8 2007, 06:08 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 12:38 AM) □

You were supposed to be in Service, praise and worship to God. This is exactly what I was saying. Danny conducted himself as if it was a "meeting". **If he remember where he was and Who the Meeting" was supposed to be about, he would not have to worry about who stepped in the door.** At that point very few in that room and definitely not the rank and file and viewing audience knew anything, until under his own power Danny tried to "handle" things.

There is no condition on that text, It says, "a house of prayer for ALL people" not for ALL people you feel comfortable with, you don't have a problem with, just your supporters...."for ALL people". And if Danny is calling himself a leader in chritian living he ought to conduct himself like it!

There is an old song many of our newer members may not have heard. The title is called "Jesus Can Work It Out". *One of the phrases the soloist says is, "While you're tryin' to figure it out, He already worked it out!"* Taht think might have been worked out had Danny not taken things into his own hands. I will tell you all this. the more I hear about that weekenf and that meeting, I believed the Holy Spirit impressed the Dr. not to walk into that meeting. Those conducting that meeting were not working within the Will of God. **Say what you want. but refusing someone access to God's House is a serious thing, and He doesn't take it lightly, and it speaks volumes of the one who restricts access of another.**

Exactly! This one act shows the blatant hypocrisy that makes this whole saga just stink worse than a pig farm! Danny says he believes in God and God has "called" him to do this work, but in this "difficult" situation he doesn't trust that God can work it out. Instead he takes it upon himself to refuse someone access to God's house.

Although I believe reasonable minds would find this an excellent statement concerning what reasonable christians would do, would those who project what THEY would do under similar circumstances only see a threat and behave as DS behaved?

nw
C"i"

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 09:00 AM

[quote name='Panama_Pete' date='Mar 8 2007, 09:03 AM' post='183727']

The e-mail invited Johann is dated April 21, 2004, at 8:21 AM. You can find it right here:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-meet-the-board-1.htm>

The email you cite is to the Doctor, NOT Johann, and has nothing to do with campmeeting....

Johann was invited by the Chairman of the board on May 4th:
"Accordingly, I would be pleased if you would submit to me in writing a comprehensive account of the allegations you have about the way 3ABN has treated Linda. I would like this to be comprehensive with whatever documentation you can provide so that we may assess it accurately."

To which he replied:

" Too late now. I have handed such documentation over to another party - where you will meet it in due time. Too bad you did not think of this earlier, so I could see there was a willingness to come to terms. "

Pete:

The e-mail " **disinviting** " Johann is dated [color=#CC0000]May 27, 2004 at 4:57 PM. The campmeeting in Thompsonville started that same evening, **May 27, 2004** .

This "plain" warning "beforehand" was sent about two hours before the campmeeting started.

SO?? what was it in response to? (Do you know?)

And **What** does that have to do with Johann writing this several weeks later:

QUOTE:

.....A few weeks ago I was in Southern Illinois, wanting to attend the camp meeting at 3ABN, together with a Norwegian medical doctorduring that meeting we were requested to leave the premises of 3ABN, and if we ever showed up again at the meetings the police would be requested to remove us as trespassing private property - and this at meetings where all are invited.....

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 09:02 AM

Some will likely say this was a carefully-crafted setup by Danny Shelton: Invite some Scandinavians to the United States on April 21; and then throw them out when they arrive on May 27; and make a big spectacle of it to showcase Danny as a victim.

Aletheia, I know you posted quite a bit ahead of this post. To me, your statements don't fit practical reality, such as:

QUOTE

You all keep pretending her marriage and her job at 3ABN are one and the same, there are overlapping issues, but they were, and are not the same.

I believe they are one and the same: If you stab your Vice President, **Linda Shelton**, in the back, your wife, **Linda Shelton**, might not cook you a very nice dinner that evening.

When people are interviewed, the first thing they are asked is what they do for a living. What a person does for a living becomes part of their identity and part of who they are. You don't steal that identity from your wife at work, and expect all will be well at home. It just doesn't work that way and I don't think Walt and Danny were working to that end.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 08:48 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 06:15 AM)

Johann, a simple yes or no please.

Was the statement in question a article about 3ABN, which you were planning on submitting to the local paper?

Aletheia,

You state over and over you are looking for truth and answers.

Why do you fail to ask WWJD, Bystander and Lee to respond to questions posed by posters on this board when WWJD, Bystander and Lee fail to answer?

Maybe the posters should quote you in the future:

"Your answer tells me you are dissembling, and avoiding the question... Is there a problem with you answering in a honest and straight to the point manner?" Aletheia

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 12:38 AM)

You were supposed to be in Service, praise and worship to God. This is exactly what I was saying. Danny conducted himself as if it was a "meeting". If he remember where he was and Who the Meeting" was supposed to be about, he would not have to worry about who stepped in the door. At that point very few in that rrom and definitely not the rank and file and viewing audience knew anything, until under his own power Danny tried to "handle" things.

Posted by: Johann Mar 8 2007, 06:11 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 01:15 PM)

Johann, a simple yes or no please.

Was the statement in question a article about 3ABN, which you were planning on submitting to the local paper?

Have you read the few lines I wrote? What do they tell you?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 06:24 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 7 2007, 07:18 PM)

No, it was the ethical thing to do at the time. Here You have a man that you suspicion is having an affair with the vp of 3abn walks into a meeting that had already started and would be live, not having a clue if he was going to stand up and make a speech or raise some kind of ruckous or what? Based on the fact that he had stated he was coming to "make his views" known, who knew what he might do in front of an auditorium full of people? Especially with Johann accompanying him. I have since heard how emotional Johann can be, therefore the caution, when they showed up. Anyway, it is my understanding they weren't ask to leave until seen with flyers.

This argument is waste of time. Any large ministry in those circumstances would have handled it the same way.

It's my understanding Johann recently handed this letter to Pickle and Joy to publish:

QUOTE

From: Mollie Steenson
To: Johann Thorvaldsson
Subject: 3ABN
Date: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:57 PM

Dear Johann,

It has come to my attention that you and Dr. Abrahamsen are planning to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting this week. **Please be made aware that you aren't welcome here at this time and under these circumstances.**

If you choose to come anyway, you will place us in a position where we will have to have you removed from the premises. If you would like to discuss this with me, please call me at _____ pr _____

Danny Shelton

After Johann had previously publishing this here, (<http://www.blackstda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=10430&view=findpost&p=144884>) , there, and elsewhere...

QUOTE

.....A few weeks ago I was in Southern Illinois, wanting to attend the camp meeting at 3ABN, together with a Norwegian medical doctor - the one Linda has been accused of committing spiritual adultery with. We went there in an attempt to clear up misunderstandings, all caused because my wife and I introduced this doctor to Danny and Linda Shelton. This doctor is also the head elder of the most flourishing conservative SDA church in Norway - and has for several years been a financial supporter of 3ABN. Some of the problems were caused because the 3ABN archives had misspelled the name of this supporter, so Danny was convinced he was an impostor. Later Danny has named him a devil, a demon, or evil spirit, or bad counselor - like he did during the transmission to the whole world on Thursday evening at Camp Meeting. Also during that meeting we were requested to leave the premises of 3ABN, and if we ever showed up again at the meetings the police would be requested to remove us as trespassing private property - and this at meetings where all are invited.....

What circumstances and what time is being spoken of? and why would Johann claim they were invited when he was plainly warned not to come under those circumstances, and at that time, and told beforehand what would happen if he chose to do so anyway??

Even so, it was not till after, being asked to leave and refusing, after assualting Walt Thompson, and after handing out his papers, that they were warned to leave again, or the police would be called.

It is also clear that the context of the letter where the Doctor was invited to come to a board meeting was not in reference to a public meeting at Campmeeting, but was in reference to a private board meeting, and in reference to Matt 18, (which Linda, the Dr and Johann) apparently didn't want to follow) It says:

1. Moreover if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and **tell him his fault between thee and him alone**: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother.
2. But **if he will not hear [thee, then] take with thee one or two more**, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established.
3. And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell [it] unto the church:
- 4 but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as an heathen man and a publican.

From the lettters (dated respectively aprox. 8, and 5 weeks earlier), which Gailon and Joy published (with editing) on www.save3ABN.com (and also.org as they have two addresses)

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Linda Shelton
Organization: Three Angels Broadcasting Network
To: Arild Abrahamsen
Date: Thu, 25 Mar 2004 20:17:39 -0600

I was thinking about our conversation on the phone when you said you were not emotionally or otherwise involved with my wife, that you had not crossed any professional or Christian lines. Well all of that has been proven a lie. She has confessed everything all the lies, all the phone calls, some for over FOUR

HOURS AND NINE MINUTES at a time. At other times you talked to my wife, March 15, for example for 59 minutes, then another conversation for 75 minutes, then another for 13 minutes, plus that same day you called her from your phone at least once that I know of and talked between 30 minutes and an hour. All in one day! And you maintain that we did not cross a line with her. The eye of flesh got in the way. She's young, beautiful, and intelligent, and a television personality. You used her son to get to her. The devil deceived you both.

Sabbath March 13th, when she was at Brenda's house you talked for 4 hrs and nine minutes according to ATT prepaid phone card records! And you still have the nerve to lie about your involvement with my wife? Those dozens of recorded phone conversations were not about her son or the high and lofty spiritual things that you both lied about but now at least is admitted by my wife. During those conversations you tried to get her to say she was afraid of me. Now I know why.

...[removed by Pickle and Joy] Brenda told me that you wanted her to promise that if I ever abused her, that she would let you know. ...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

You had planted those seeds in her, as she has never even mentioned the word abuse until the last few weeks, when she would contend that she was now being mentally abused. ...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

I expect a confession and apology on your part or am praying about contacting your Division, Union, and local conferences, to inform them as what you almost accomplished.

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

Please ask God to forgive you and then apologize to me as the bible would have you to do.

I'm using Matt. 18 to resolve this. I first went to you by phone, and then by email. ...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

You can't ignore me. I first contacted you, to no avail, next I contacted pastor John, to no resolve and if you don't answer me now then according to the bible I should contact the church.

So if you pretend that you didn't get this email, the next you hear from me could be your local church.

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

You can contact me with a written apology if you choose to resolve it this way at

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

From: Danny Shelton
To: [Arild Abrahamsen]
Date: Wed, 21 Apr 2004 08:21:02 -0500

Doctor,

Johann says that if you believe my wife needs support because of lies being told or whatever, you will come across the ocean and save her. I would be most happy for you to come and have a meeting with my board and conference President and explain to them ...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

2....[removed by Pickle and Joy] She has told me how you think I'm out to lunch and that I'm psychotic

and all of these things.

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

5. I want to hear you justify the dozens of hours you have talked to her on the phone, some conversations of up to 4 hours and 9 minutes, according to the phone records. Please convince us they were about Nathan. The problem is, my wife admitted to the neutral marriage counselors, that she chose, that you and she talked negative about me on a number of occasions, and that you have convinced her I'm out to lunch.

...[removed by Pickle and Joy] her negative counselors told her to drop all relationship with you because you could bring no good into her life, only destruction.

6. You must think I'm stupid, I have a record of every phone call between you and she up to this day. Even though she started using phone cards, which you probably suggested, I still can monitor every number and for how long the conversation is. I also can monitor every phone call that has come in to you and all those going out. She knows this now I just told her yesterday. I was waiting to give you doctor, as they say in America, "enough rope to hang yourself". and you've done just that.

7. Yes, I not only look forward to meeting you hear in America with our board chairman and our attorney and conference President who is also on our board, but I intend to meet with or without you in Norway, with your conference officials also.

8. You should have stopped all of this a long time ago. Of course you still maintain you have done nothing wrong. My wife says you tell her that your just trying to help her and the ministry! Well, we'll see, what these other folk think about all of your "help"

...[removed by Pickle and Joy]

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 8 2007, 07:11 AM)

Aletheia asked:

Johann, a simple yes or no please.

Was the statement in question a article about 3ABN, which you were planning on submitting to the local paper?

Johann:

Have you read the few lines I wrote? What do they tell you?

Your answer tells me you are dissembling, and avoiding the question... Is there a problem with you answering in a honest and straight to the point manner?

What was the purpose of the statement you said you printed from your laptop? and what was it you intended to do with it? and to whom was it to be given, Johann?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 06:58 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 8 2007, 06:02 AM)

So Linda was away from Southern Illinois by the orders of Danny Shelton through his Chairman of the Board. And yet he had the audacity of telling the world audience that Linda had left him, and there on the spot he requested Mark Finley come forward and pray for her return...

You all keep pretending her marriage and her job at 3ABN are one and the same, there are overlapping issues, but they were, and are not the same.

One, Danny was talking about her returning to him her husband and not asking her to return from her leave of absence from her job. She was supposed to be off reflecting, seeking God's help and getting counselling (other mutually acceptable ones if the recommended counsellors were not acceptable to her) so she could return, to both her husband and her job...

(THINK PLEASE. why did WT send the email to Alyssa, at her house, on 5/04/04 after already sending a registered letter in an attempt to get hold of Linda, if she was living with her husband???)

Two: It is obvious to anyone with common sense that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting, and so obviously she was free to do so, and not banned from it. The chairman of the board clearly states they will review and reassess the situation after the leave of absence, what on earth do you people think the board met for, if not to do that???)

I don't understand why you didn't quote the whole letter. Here are both the letter to her and the one to Johann about all this, for the members who haven't read them.

This is what Walt Thompson was referring to here;

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10845&st=0&p=151526&#entry151526>

"...All the while, this was taking a real toll on the ministry. Work was not getting done. The employees were pulled in both directions. **Finally, I sent Linda a registered letter (she was not answering my e mails or phone calls).** In it I told her that we were offering to send her away for counseling to a place and with people mutually acceptable. I told her that if she would not accept our offer and find help to get rid of the doctor, we would have to consider removing her from her positions. **She did not respond to my letter...."**

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Walt Thompson

To: [Alyssa]

Subject: Letter for Linda

Date: Tue, 4 May 2004 21:43:07 -0500

Dear Linda,

This is a copy of the letter that has been sent by FEDEX to you. While you may not understand now, someday you will realize that we are truly desirous of returning you to your home and ministry because we care about you. I plan to go to 3ABN tomorrow and will be available to talk with you if you have questions needing clarification.

Walt

May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

A committee representing the 3ABN board of directors has been selected and has met for the purpose of evaluating the present problem at 3ABN relating to your relationship to Danny and to the ministry of 3ABN - and seeking a resolution acceptable to all parties involved. This letter is intended to represent the will of the board.

Let me begin by expressing our gratitude to you for the part you have played at 3ABN for the past nearly twenty years. You have been an important part of the ministry from the beginning - a fact appreciated by us all. Accordingly, this letter is not written with the intent to destroy your home or your part in the ministry, but rather to rehabilitate and restore.

In accordance with this intent, we are offering the following proposal to you. We believe it has the potential of accomplishing the desired objectives, i.e., to save both your home and a place for you in this ministry. It would do so without making the details of this matter known to the full board or others.

Nor is this letter expressing an opinion regarding the nature of your relationship with Dr. Abrahamson. Whether or not this relationship has been immoral or not is not the issue of this document. The facts are that the relationship and your refusal to discontinue it in spite of repeated requests by Danny and our requests, has damaged both your family and 3ABN - a reality we must deal with in wisdom, love and compassion - but deal with, we must.

We are offering you a 30 day leave of absence with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met.

You will be relieved of all duties at 3ABN and will not appear at 3ABN other than to obtain items from your immediate office upon personal request from me. You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration. Both you and 3ABN need time to cool off.

You will agree in writing to cease all communication with Dr. Abrahamson, whether direct or indirect, whether by e-mail, telephone, letter or any other, or through Johann or any other third party. We are designating a period of 30 days, but with the intent this must be a life-long decision.

During this time you will not discuss the issues related to your relationship with 3ABN with anyone other than your immediate family, your professional counselors or representatives or members of the board of directors.

Since this time is recommended as a time for reflection and serious rehabilitation we are requesting that you arrange to receive professional help relating to the issues at hand. We are recommending Ron and Nancy Rocky to you. They have agreed to open their home to you and to give you personal help in dealing with the issues at the center of the present problems. It will be private and protected from the public eye such that neither your image nor reputation ought be damaged. Should you choose different professional help, it must be acceptable to this committee.

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you - we hope sincerely they will be acceptable - we will proceed promptly with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position to make recommendations to the full board based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulse and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

Your signature here will confirm your agreement with this proposal. Please sign and return copy within 24 hours of receipt of this letter.

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Walt Thompson
To: Johann Thorvaldsson
Subject: 3abn

.....

If we like, we can continue to nurse this dialog of battle over Linda and involve the whole church and whole world in the warfare. Should we do so, God in His great mercy may still find a way to bring good out of it as He did when He took ancient Israel to Babylon. I would prefer that He have a more faithful people to give Him a better, more powerful witness.

I do not agree with your belief that Linda is being victimized.

But though we disagree we still need to work together to find solutions.

Accordingly, I would be pleased if you would submit to me in writing a comprehensive account of the allegations you have about the way 3ABN has treated Linda. I would like this to be comprehensive with whatever documentation you can provide so that we may assess it accurately.

Once this has been done, my request is that you discontinue further correspondence with Linda or anyone else regarding this matter. In this way we will have the information we need to act, and you will have opportunity to pursue your work of spreading the Good News to Europe. Our work there has been too long stagnate while we have been fighting.

It is my determination to give Linda every opportunity to restore her home and ministry should she be willing.

She has been offered a proposal whereby this may happen. Only she can decide what she will do with it, but all of us at 3ABN want her to choose right. All are desirous of helping her - NONE trying to hurt her.

She may disagree with our proposal, in which case she will be given opportunity to tell her side of the story before the board.

In fact, if she were to desire to bring her case to a neutral party of the Church - and accept the publicity such an event would generate - I would welcome it. When all is said and done, it is my intent that no one will be able to honestly say we have not done everything possible to save her and her place of service.

As you can confirm, I have not expressed any judgments about Dr. Abrahamson other than to say that he is in the wrong for continuing a relationship, even a doctor-patient relationship, that is destroying the very thing he is trying to save. Having said that, a continuation of communication between Europe and Linda will place the board in a place where we must deal with an insubordinate member of the organization. She has been asked on numerous occasions to discontinue the relationship, first by her husband who is also her superior in ministry, by her pastor, by her board chairman, and now by the committee of the board created to seek resolution. Likewise, both you and Dr. Abrahamson have defied our requests to cease communication with Linda. While I can forgive this action on the mistaken belief that it is helping Linda, there comes a point when defiance must be dealt with lest it destroy the whole organization. If you are so

concerned about Linda, and I believe you are, you will encourage her to get help here and break off the relationship there. Certainly there are people in America that can do give her the help she needs.

Thank you for helping me to meet with Dr. Abrahamson by phone. We had a good discussion that gave me opportunity to hear his side of the story, a thing I appreciate.

While we are going through this challenging time, please confine ALL further discussion about this matter to me or if unreachable, Bill Hulseby or Nick Miller (other members of the committee). In my mind this matter must not continue to spread - for the sake of Linda, 3ABN, and the world church and cause of God.

Your continued earnest prayers are cherished as we accept this responsibility.

Sincerely in Jesus' precious name,

[Walt T

And: according to the new letters on the save 3ABN not website just published, this here is how Johann replied to the above letter on May 6th, 3 weeks before the board meeting, or going to the campmeeting and handing out his papers: (<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum-commentary.htm>)

Accordingly, I would be pleased if you would submit to me in writing a comprehensive account of the allegations you have about the way 3ABN has treated Linda. I would like this to be comprehensive with whatever documentation you can provide so that we may assess it accurately.

Johann Thorvaldsson] **Too late now. I have handed such documentation over to another party - where you will meet it in due time. Too bad you did not think of this earlier, so I could see there was a willingness to come to terms.**

Once this has been done, my request is that you discontinue further correspondence with Linda or anyone else regarding this matter. In this way we will have the information we need to act, and you will have opportunity to pursue your work of spreading the Good News to Europe. Our work there has been too long stagnate while we have been fighting.

Johann Thorvaldsson] With you issuing this devastating document it is unfair of you to think that I will discontinue further correspondence with Linda.

--edited for typos and gramatical errors--

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 8 2007, 08:03 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 06:24 AM)

why would Johann claim they were invited when he was **plainly warned** not to come under those circumstances, and at that time, and told beforehand what would happen if he chose to do so anyway??

The e-mail invited Johann is dated April 21, 2004, at 8:21 AM. You can find it right here:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-meet-the-board-1.htm>

The e-mail " **disinviting** " Johann is dated **May 27, 2004** at 4:57 PM. The campmeeting in Thompsonville started that same evening, **May 27, 2004** .

This "plain" warning "beforehand" was sent about two hours before the campmeeting started.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 10:00 AM) □

[color=#330033]

A better question is are you looking for truth and answers? If so, why do you bring up WWJD, Bystander and Lee? Are we talking about them here? Did you notice we are talking about the letters on save 3ABN, and the campmeeting in relation to Johann???

"Your answer tells me you are dissembling, and avoiding the question... Is there a problem with you answering in a honest and straight to the point manner?" Aletheia

nw
C"i"

Posted by: Observer Mar 8 2007, 09:03 AM

Aletheia previously said:

QUOTE

Even so, it was not till after, being asked to leave and refusing, after assualting Walt Thompson, and after handing out his papers, that they were warned to leave again, or the police would be called.

Under the law, and this differs somewhat from the common understanding of the terms, "assault" is considered to be a verbal threat. "Battery" is considered to be a physical act. In the common understanding, "assault" may be a physical act, as in the following sentence: The 7th Inf. Div. launched an assault on the enemy position. So, Aletheia is unclear as to whether Johann physically assaulted Dr. Thompson, or if Johann simply may have said something verbally that Aletheia did not like.

I do not know what she meant.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 09:06 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 09:48 AM) □

Aletheia,

You state over and over you are looking for truth and answers.

Why do you fail to ask WWJD, Bystander and Lee to respond to questions posed by posters on this board when WWJD, Bystander and Lee fail to answer?

A better question is are you looking for truth and answers? If so, why do you bring up WWJD, Bystander and Lee? Are we talking about them here? Did you notice we are talking about the letters on save 3ABN, (supplied by Johann)and the campmeeting in relation to Johann's testimony which he has already posted

here???

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 09:23 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 10:06 AM)

A better question is are you looking for truth and answers? If so, why do you bring up WWJD, Bystander and Lee? Are we talking about them here? Did you notice we are talking about the letters on save 3ABN, (supplied by Johann)and the campmeeting in relation to Johann's testimony which he has already posted here???

"Your answer tells me you are dissembling, and avoiding the question... Is there a problem with you answering in a honest and straight to the point manner?" Aletheia

nw
C"i"

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 09:24 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 09:06 AM)

A better question is are you looking for truth and answers? If so, why do you bring up WWJD, Bystander and Lee? Are we talking about them here? Did you notice we are talking about the letters on save 3ABN, (supplied by Johann)and the campmeeting in relation to Johann's testimony which he has already posted here???

answer the question and quit being obtuse.... or is that your plan... you have no answers so the only thing you can be is obtuse....

Posted by: watchbird Mar 8 2007, 09:25 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 10:06 AM)

A better question is are you looking for truth and answers? If so, why do you bring up WWJD, Bystander and Lee? Are we talking about them here? Did you notice we are talking about the letters on save 3ABN, (supplied by Johann)and the campmeeting in relation to Johann's testimony which he has already posted here???

To be more precise, you are trying to deny the plain facts as they show up in those letters.


You are also ignoring the fact that at the time of this campmeeting, Johann Thorvaldsson was the current and official 3ABN Director of European Advancement... a position he held until later dismissed by Danny Shelton AFTER Johann refused to testify to the "truth" of Danny's lie about Linda's association with Dr Arild Abrahamson.

Dr Abrahamson was an enthusiastic supporter and a generous contributor for years before this. It is impossible to even imagine that either of them would interpret their invitations to meet with the board as including any prohibition as to attending the campmeeting that was being held just previous to the board

meeting.

And not until the actual day of the campmeeting was there a letter sent telling them they would not be welcome. Did they even check email before going to the campground?

But thank you for bringing the letters here so we can read for ourselves without even having to go to the save3abn website. That gives them more exposure and helps balance ... and expose the way that you habitually twist facts and spin interpretations. Oldtimers just smile and note how consistent you are. Newbies can be glad for such a quick and easy demonstration of how you "work".

Carry on.....


Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 09:45 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 8 2007, 10:03 AM)

I do not know what she meant.

Observer,

I think you are one of many who often have that experience after reading one of Aletheia's lengthy "cut and pasted" posts, IMO.

nw
C"i"


Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 8 2007, 10:22 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 07:45 AM)

Observer,

I think you are one of many who often have that experience after reading one of Aletheia's lengthy "cut and pasted" posts, IMO.

nw
C"i"



SOME are so stuck to their agenda, when truth slaps them in the face, whether they knew it or not before, they are destined to stay on their path. Scary .

I know there are a few sermons in there someplace!

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 10:43 AM

Cindy, you must stop posting things you have not read and/or don't comprehend. In fact, you need to stop posting at all! You made this statement:

QUOTE

You all keep pretending her marriage and her job at 3ABN are one and the same, there are overlapping issues, but they were, and are not the same.

How could you make that statement, when the facts show in this situation that when the marriage when her job went with it? Why would you not see that? Like I said you really need to sstop posting. i think they count on the fact that you understand absolutely nothing about this situation.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 10:50 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 11:43 AM)

Cindy, you must stop posting things you have not read and/or don't comprehend. In fact, you need to stop posting at all!

Can we have a show of hands of those who are in agreement? Shall we start a poll?

My 2 cents.

nw
C:"I"

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 8 2007, 10:59 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 08:50 AM)

Can we have a show of hands of those who are in agreement? Shall we start a poll?

My 2 cents.

nw
C:"I"

I already used my hand to use initiate that little "ignore" function. But I have my other hand and it's going up now.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 8 2007, 12:24 PM

Gailon tells me that while Linda was walking with Elder Thorvaldsson and Dr. Abrahamsen in a park or some such place around the time of the May 2004 camp meeting, that Danny called Linda to tell her how nice the dress was that she was wearing. Any truth in this, that the P.I.'s were transmitting pictures like that?

Would this constitute a form of harassment that would be a violation of the <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-employee-handbook-not-followed.htm>? If so, what recourse was there for Linda to report Danny Shelton for harassment?

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 8 2007, 12:32 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 09:00 AM)

The email you cite is to the Doctor, NOT Johann, and has nothing to do with campmeeting....

I will not repeat what the others have clearly expressed.

However, let me add that I placed a link to two letters, not one. The second letter clearly puts it into the context of two Scandinavians where it says "you and Dr. Abrahamsen."

I chose to be inclusive of both gentlemen based on that second letter further down on that same page. Perhaps, you missed the second letter on that page by not scrolling down far enough.

Once again, please note that the second letter mentions both gentlemen:

----- Original Message -----
From: Mollie Steenson
To: Johann Thorvaldsson
Subject: 3ABN
Date: Thursday, May 27, 2004 4:57 PM

Dear Johann,

It has come to my attention that **you and Dr. Abrahamsen** are planning to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting this week....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 12:50 PM

Today, March 8th at 8:00 am Aletheia wrote:

QUOTE

Pete:

The e-mail "disinviting" Johann is dated [color=#CC0000]May 27, 2004 at 4:57 PM. The campmeeting in Thompsonville started that same evening, May 27, 2004 .

This "plain" warning "beforehand" was sent about two hours before the campmeeting started.

What email address was this "plain" warning email sent to? Was it to Johann's email address? Was Johann in Norway to receive it 2 hours before campmeeting started?

While some of you in your group may be fond of Captain Picard and Star Trek, I must inform you that Transporters have yet to be invented.

Additionally, in a post March 8th at 5:58 am Aletheia commented:

QUOTE

Two: It is obvious to anyone with common sense that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting, and so obviously she was free to do so, and not banned from it. The chairman of the board clearly states they will review and reassess the situation after the leave of absence, what on earth do you people think the board met for, if not to do that???

Anyone with common sense would see that Linda was not being asked to come to the board meeting to be held right after campmeeting, May 30, 2004. The leave of absence couldn't have started before May 5th at the earliest which would put the end of the 30 days in early June. At the end of the 30 days she was to meet with the "Committee" WT referred to made up of WT, Nick Miller and Bill Hulsey, not the whole Board.

WT's email posted in Aletheia's post said:

QUOTE

While we are going through this challenging time, please confine ALL further discussion about this matter to me or if unreachable, Bill Hulsey or Nick Miller (other members of the committee)

<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/grammatical+meaning>

Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 8 2007, 12:56 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 08:50 AM)

Can we have a show of hands of those who are in agreement? Shall we start a poll?

My 2 cents.

nw
C"i"



Yes VERY confusing.

Posted by: Nuggie Mar 8 2007, 01:10 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 11:50 AM)

Can we have a show of hands of those who are in agreement? Shall we start a poll?

My 2 cents.

nw
C"i"



I've got a few other names to add to the list...

Posted by: calvin Mar 8 2007, 01:14 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 10:50 AM)

Can we have a show of hands of those who are in agreement? Shall we start a poll?

My 2 cents.

nw
C"i"

Don't know if you where serious or not Noahswife. Regardless, we will NOT conduct a poll to prohibit a member from posting.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 01:18 PM

In all fairness, Calvin, I see that it was my comment which started this rebellion. I am sure NW was kidding, but made the comment to show our extreme irritation with Cindy's antics.

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 8 2007, 11:14 AM)

Don't know if you where serious or not Noahswife. Regardless, we will NOT conduct a poll to prohibit a member from posting.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 01:22 PM

As annoying as the obfuscation can get by those few who practice it and as irritating as the pathetic attempts at spin-doctoring have become, I still maintain that it is a good thing that each one of that group is freely allowed to continue to post here. Who knows, some day even they may remove their

blindens.

As I have said before, the counterfeit makes the truth shine all the more brightly.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 01:22 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 01:50 PM)

At the end of the 30 days she was to meet with the "Committee" WT referred to made up of WT, Nick Miller and Bill Hulsey, not the whole Board.

WT's email posted in Aletheia's post said:

"At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. **Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly** with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position to **make recommendations to the full board** based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change."

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 8 2007, 01:39 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 12:50 PM)

What email address was this "plain" warning email sent to? Was it to Johann's email address? Was Johann in Norway to receive it 2 hours before campmeeting started?

While some of you in your group may be fond of Captain Picard and Star Trek, I must inform you that Transporters have yet to be invented.

While I don't know what happened to that e-mail. However, if it went to Norway, it arrived 3 minutes before midnight.

**04:57:00 p.m. Thursday May 27, 2004 in US/Central converts to
11:57:00 p.m. Thursday May 27, 2004 in Europe/Oslo**

Besides a calendar, does Danny needs one of those watches with the two time zones on it, like the one I heard he found in Linda's locked car? I think the Norwegians should have bought two of those watches on their way to that campmeeting, instead of just one.

rofl

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 01:43 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 12:22 PM) □

"At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. **Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly** with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position **to make recommendations to the full board** based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change."

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Walt Thompson

To: [Alyssa]

Subject: Letter for Linda

Date: Tue, 4 May 2004 21:43:07 -0500

Dear Linda,

This is a copy of the letter that has been sent by FEDEX to you. While you may not understand now, someday you will realize that we are truly desirous of returning you to your home and ministry because we care about you. I plan to go to 3ABN tomorrow and will be available to talk with you if you have questions needing clarification.

Walt

May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

A committee representing the 3ABN board of directors has been selected and has met for the purpose of evaluating the present problem at 3ABN relating to your relationship to Danny and to the ministry of 3ABN - and seeking a resolution acceptable to all parties involved. This letter is intended to represent the will of the board.

Yes, as you highlighted in the portion of the letter that you pasted above, at the end of the 30 days the committee was to meet again and weigh all of the evidence they had collected from both parties and then they would be in a position to make their recommendations to the full board. This would have taken place well after the May 30, 2004 board meeting that you suggested. As I said, the 30 day leave of absence could not have started before May 5th at the earliest making the timing of the follow-up committee meeting sometime in early June, 2004 with the recommendations then being submitted to the full board as was the plan. Of course, who is to say that all went according to the plan?

Posted by: watchbird Mar 8 2007, 01:43 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 02:22 PM)

"At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. **Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly** with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position **to make recommendations to the full board** based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change."

Thank you again, Aletheia, for your pointing out the inconsistencies between what Walt Thompson said and what he actually did.

Look at the calendar Aletheia.... do the math.... or count the little square boxes..... Notice... **They did not wait 30 days.** They acted **BEFORE** the time specified was up.

And she was NOT permitted to come in person before the board... she was NOT asked for a list of witnesses.... neither were the two who had come from Norway to give their witness permitted to appear before the board.....

As I said... thank you for your help in pointing these things out in the documentation. Perhaps we have been too hard on you. Perhaps you are not, after all, working for Danny. Perhaps you are merely using a pretense of support for "his side" as a cloak for actually working against him.....

Hmmmm..... have to cogitate on that one a bit more.....

Carry on..... TVsnack.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 8 2007, 01:45 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 05:58 AM) □

You all keep pretending her marriage and her job at 3ABN are one and the same, there are overlapping issues, but they were, and are not the same.

One, Danny was talking about her returning to him her husband and not asking her to return from her leave of absence from her job. She was supposed to be off reflecting, seeking God's help and getting counselling (other mutually acceptable ones if the recommended counsellors were not acceptable to her) so she could return, to both her husband and her job...

(THINK PLEASE. why did WT send the email to Alyssa, at her house, on 5/04/04 after already sending a registered letter in an attempt to get hold of Linda, if she was living with her husband???)

Two: It is obvious to anyone with common sense that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting, and so obviously she was free to do so, and not banned from it. The chairman of the board clearly states they will review and reassess the situation after the leave of absence, what on earth do you people think the board met for, if not to do that???

I don't understand why you didn't quote the whole letter. Here are both the letter to her and the one to Johann about all this, for the members who haven't read them.

This is what Walt Thompson was referring to here;

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10845&st=0&p=151526&#entry151526>

"...All the while, this was taking a real toll on the ministry. Work was not getting done. The employees were pulled in both directions. **Finally, I sent Linda a registered letter (she was not answering my e mails or phone calls).** In it I told her that we were offering to send her away for counseling to a place and with people mutually acceptable. I told her that if she would not accept our offer and find help to get rid of the doctor, we would have to consider removing her from her positions. **She did not respond to my letter...."**

And: according to the new letters on the save 3ABN not website just published, this here is how Johann replied to the above letter on May 6th, 3 weeks before the board meeting, or going to the campmeeting and handing out his papers: (<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum-commentary.htm>)

Accordingly, I would be pleased if you would submit to me in writing a comprehensive account of the allegations you have about the way 3ABN has treated Linda. I would like this to be comprehensive with whatever documentation you can provide so that we may assess it accurately.

[Johann Thorvaldsson] **Too late now. I have handed such documentation over to another party - where you will meet it in due time. Too bad you did not think of this earlier, so I could see there was a willingness to come to terms.**

Once this has been done, my request is that you discontinue further correspondence with Linda or anyone else regarding this matter. In this way we will have the information we need to act, and you will have opportunity to pursue your work of spreading the Good News to Europe. Our work there has been too long stagnate while we have been fighting.

[Johann Thorvaldsson] With you issuing this devastating document it is unfair of you to think that I will discontinue further correspondence with Linda.

--edited for typos and gramatical errors--

These several emails that have been published completely exonerate DS and WT of any wrong doing, unfairness or anything else they have been accused of. All they do is point out that DS clearly loved his wife and was upset and hurt, but still trying to follow the course of Matt 18. Walt T. could not have shown any more of a kind, christian, and sensitive nature to all concerned. His emails speak with love for Linda and he

always addresses both the Doc and Johann in a respectful way. The way he handled his part of this terrible situation, strengthens my feelings of respect for him as a true christian and a man of integrity. Can we say the same for them in their responses?

After reading through, I don't know how anyone could come to any conclusion other than, LS had her opportunity time and again to make things right both at 3abn and her marriage, and she chose not to. Both Doc and Johann were given opportunities (at the right time and place) and at least the Doc didn't take it. Johann would have addressed the board also and he didn't.

All were dealt with in a Christ like spirit as far as possible but finally enough is enough and even then, they were dealt with fairly.

In one of DS emails to the Doc he says Linda is young beautiful and intelligent.....Yes that sounds like someone who never bragged on her and built her up and didn't love her.....Right

These emails are very simple & forthright as to what happened and those of you that want to find wrong in them, just want to find it and want to argue.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 01:48 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 02:43 PM)

Yes, as you highlighted in the portion of the letter that you pasted above, at the end of the 30 days the committee was to meet again and weigh all of the evidence they had collected from both parties and then they would be in a position to make their recommendations to the full board. This would have taken place well after the May 30, 2004 board meeting that you suggested. As I said, the 30 day leave of absence could not have started before May 5th at the earliest making the timing of the follow-up committee meeting sometime in early June, 2004 with the recommendations then being submitted to the full board as was the plan. Of course, who is to say that all went according to the plan?

If it was acceptable to Linda the committee would meet again in 30 days to reassess...

Is there something about this, you don't understand, PB?

"The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly....to make recommendations to the full board "

Linda didn't reply according to WT, nor did she do anything asked, do you think, maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board?

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 01:51 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 01:45 PM)

These several emails that have been published completely exonerate DS and WT of any wrong doing, unfairness or anything else they have been accused of. All they do is point out that DS clearly loved his wife and was upset and hurt, but still trying to follow the course of Matt 18. Walt T. could not have shown any more of a kind, christian, and sensitive nature to all concerned. His emails speak with love for Linda and he always addresses both the Doc and Johann in a respectful way. The way he handled his part of this terrible situation, strengthens my feelings of respect for him as a true christian and a man of integrity. Can we say the same for them in their responses?

After reading through, I don't know how anyone could come to any conclusion other than, LS had her opportunity time and again to make things right both at 3abn and her marriage, and she chose not to. Both Doc and Johann were given opportunities (at the right time and place) and at least the Doc didn't take it. Johann would have addressed the board also and he didn't.

All were dealt with in a Christ like spirit as far as possible but finally enough is enough and even then, they

were dealt with fairly.

In one of DS emails to the Doc he says Linda is young beautiful and intelligent.....Yes that sounds like someone who never bragged on her and built her up and didn't love her.....Right

These emails are very simple & forthright as to what happened and those of you that want to find wrong in them, just want to find it and want to argue.

you cannot read... so let's keep it simple.... PROVE that Linda committed adultery.... show us the evidence.... don't spin, don't distract, prove that Linda committed adultery....

If you are unwilling to do that, then explain why you and others like you stood by while Linda was thrown under the bus..... even if she did everything she was accused of, she should not have been treated as she was..... so explain one or the other.....

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 01:48 PM)

Is there something about this, you don't understand, PB?

"The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you - we hope sincerely they will be acceptable - we will proceed promptly....to make recommendations to the full board "

Linda didn't reply according to WT, nor did she do anything asked, do you think, maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board?

Have you answered those simple questions yet? or are you still spinning.... when are you gonna answer those simple questions?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 02:06 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 8 2007, 02:51 PM)

you cannot read... so let's keep it simple.... PROVE that Linda committed adultery.... show us the evidence.... don't spin, don't distract, prove that Linda committed adultery....

If you are unwilling to do that, then explain why you and others like you stood by while Linda was thrown under the bus..... even if she did everything she was accused of, she should not have been treated as she was..... so explain one or the other.....

Have you answered those simple questions yet? or are you still spinning.... when are you gonna answer those simple questions?

Clay, Linda was not fired for committing adultery. So that isn't the issue here,

As I said before you all keep confusing the difference between her job, and her marriage.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 02:06 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 12:48 PM)

Is there something about this, you don't understand, PB?

"The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly....to make recommendations to the full board "

Linda didn't reply according to WT, nor did she do anything asked, do you think, maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board?

At 5:58 am on March 8th, Aletheia posted:

QUOTE

Two: It is obvious to anyone with common sense that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting, and so obviously she was free to do so, and not banned from it. The chairman of the board clearly states they will review and reassess the situation **after the leave of absence**, what on earth do you people think the board met for, if not to do that???

This is the quote by Aletheia that I was responding to. When she says that Linda didn't reply per WT one must wonder when it was that she went to Kansas to meet with the non-SDA counselors. Was this in May or June? Did the committee go directly to the board on May 30th since Linda didn't reply? That would be interesting to know but I'm not willing to speculate if "maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board". I'll do some searching and see if I can find the answer from first-hand information.

In the mean time, these are a few conclusions that I drew from the emails and from information in Aletheia's posts:

1. It was the committee Linda was to respond to.
2. I do not find anything anywhere that shows that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting at the end of campmeeting.
3. Perhaps the board met for the usual things that the board met for on a quarterly basis?

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 8 2007, 02:26 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 01:22 PM) □

"At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. **Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly** with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position **to make recommendations to the full board** based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change."

3ABN staff was ordered to use the 30-day period to expunge Linda's name from the network. She was, in effect, erased. Therefore, if it were me they were erasing, I surely would not see it as a proposal **"for healing and restoration."** You don't heal and restore a person by ordering every employee in the entire corporation use those 30 days to erase the person's name.

My opinion is that this statement of 30 days for "healing and restoration" was meant to deceive. Therefore, I have a difficult time locating Walt Thompson's "kind, christian, and sensitive nature to all concerned." Yes, I believe Walt Thompson and Company seemingly offered Linda help, but I also believe that, behind the scenes, they were working to erase Linda, entirely.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 02:06 PM) □

Clay, Linda was not fired for committing adultery. So that isn't the issue here,

Kay Kuzma was part of the four-member "fact-finding" committee established by "kind, christian, and sensitive" Walt Thompson. Kay was recommending books on Spiritual Adultery.

Kay was not dealing with Linda's marriage; Kay was dealing with Linda's employment. So, what's the point of Kay recommending books with the word "adultery" in them if it is irrelevant to Linda's employment?

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 02:29 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 02:06 PM) □

Clay, Linda was not fired for committing adultery. So that isn't the issue here,

As I said before you all keep confusing the difference between her job, and her marriage.

once again Cindy there are some questions you have yet to answer... are you?

Here are my two.....

Can you prove Linda committed adultery?

Why did you allow her to be thrown under the bus?

Now there was another question asked by nw, are you gonna answer that one also?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 8 2007, 02:31 PM

[quote name='PeacefullyBewildered' date='Mar 8 2007, 01:06 PM' post='183818']

At 5:58 am on March 8th, Aletheia posted:

This is the quote by Aletheia that I was responding to. When she says that Linda didn't reply per WT one must wonder when it was that she went to Kansas to meet with the non-SDA counselors. Was this in May or June? Did the committee go directly to the board on May 30th since Linda didn't reply? That would be interesting to know but I'm not willing to speculate if "maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board". I'll do some searching and see if I can find the answer from first-hand information.

PB this is the first time of have seen an admission by Linda or your side that she & DS went to professional counselors. That has been denied on this board over and over again. Our side has been called liars by saying she did. If I had time I would go back to your previous posts to see if you were on

that wagon train of "poor Linda DS lied about going to counselors." Either way, it is of the utmost importance that you have admitted it now since that has been one thing on this board that has been argued to the point of being ridiculous.

So, what do you know? They did go to professional non SDA counselors. Non SDA so there wouldn't be any bias involved, I'm sure. This way the counselors would most likely, not be aware of who DS or LS were as far as TV and the Adventist world go. PB, you stated Kansas. I didn't even know what state it was when.....mmm wonder who your "first hand" information is? And, if you have access to that kind of first hand info, what's with the story you have given all along that you came here neutral and searching for truth. If you have access to "first hand info" from your side, doesn't sound like you were so peacefully bewildered after all.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 02:33 PM

Uh Cindy, I have never heard anything about her job performance in regards to her being fired. She was fired from her job cuz she was also fired from her marriage by Danny. It all hinged on her "relationship" with the Dr. That has always been the reason. You know another. Shoot! Bring it!

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 12:06 PM) □

Clay, Linda was not fired for committing adultery. So that isn't the issue here,

As I said before you all keep confusing the difference between her job, and her marriage.

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 02:37 PM

Bystander... you are skipping around two questions... here they are again....

PROVE that Linda committed adultery.... show us the evidence.... don't spin, don't distract, prove that Linda committed adultery....

If you are unwilling to do that, then explain why you and others like you stood by while Linda was thrown under the bus..... even if she did everything she was accused of, she should not have been treated as she was..... so explain one or the other.....

and when your tag-team partner tags in this evening.... tell him to start with those two simple questions.....

Posted by: Observer Mar 8 2007, 02:38 PM

[quote name='Bystander' post='183813' date='Mar 8 2007, 12:45 PM']

These several emails that have been published completely exonerate DS and WT of any wrong doing, unfairness or anything else they have been accused of. All they do is point out that DS clearly loved his wife and was upset and hurt, but still trying to follow the course of Matt 18. Wait T. could not have shown any more of a kind, christian, and sensitive nature to all concerned. His emails speak with love for Linda and he always addresses both the Doc and Johann in a respectful way. The way he handled his part of this terrible situation, strengthens my feelings of respect for him as a true christian and a man of integrity. Can we say the same for them in their responses?

After reading through, I don't know how anyone could come to any conclusion other than, LS had her opportunity time and again to make things right both at 3abn and her marriage, and she chose not to. Both Doc and Johann were given opportunities (at the right time and place) and at least the Doc didn't take it. Johann would have addressed the board also and he didn't.

All were dealt with in a Christ like spirit as far as possible but finally enough is enough and even then, they were dealt with fairly.

In one of DS emails to the Doc he says Linda is young beautiful and intelligent.....Yes that sounds like someone who never bragged on her and built her up and didn't love her.....Right
These emails are very simple & forthright as to what happened and those of you that want to find wrong in them, just want to find it and want to argue.[/quote]

I disagree with you take on this. To support my position, I will quote a letter that Alethea posted earlier. As she posted it, I assume that it is a valid e-mail.

I am going to insert my comments into the following quotation. I will identify them by placing a "GM:" before my inserted comments. In addition, I will place my initials at the end of my comment.

In the interests of fairness, I will comment as I see it. That is to say that some of my comments will be positive, and some will be negative.

[quote]----- Original Message -----
From: Walt Thompson
To: [Alyssa]
Subject: Letter for Linda
Date: Tue, 4 May 2004 21:43:07 -0500

Dear Linda,

This is a copy of the letter that has been sent by FEDEX to you. While you may not understand now, someday you will realize that we are truly desirous of returning you to your home and ministry because we care about you. I plan to go to 3ABN tomorrow and will be available to talk with you if you have questions needing clarification.

Walt

GM: O.K. I am willing to acknowledge that Dr. Thompson, and the 3-ABN Board did care about Linda, and that their preference would have been to have Linda return to her position at 3-ABN. Some may challenge this position. They may cite other material to support that idea. But, in my comments here, I am only dealing with this message. I am saying that this is a good beginning for a letter of this type--GM.

May, 4, 2004

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of 3ABN Board of Directors
174 Foxborough Place
Burr Ridge, IL 60527

Linda Shelton
Three Angel's Broadcasting Network
PO Box 220
West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

A committee representing the 3ABN board of directors has been selected and has met for the purpose of evaluating the present problem at 3ABN relating to your relationship to Danny and to the ministry of 3ABN - and seeking a resolution acceptable to all parties involved. This letter is intended to represent the will of the board.

GM: I wonder, did this committee have any input from Danny Shelton? It almost seems impossible for them to have met for such a purpose without input from him. If so, did they have any input from Linda? If they did not take input from Linda, in the same manner that they received input from Danny, there is a problem. Yes, Danny, as President, was entitled to give them input, and I do not fault them for taking such. But, Linda, as Vice-President was just as entitled to give them input. If they failed to notify her of

this meeting, and if they failed to take her input to the same extent that they took it from Danny, there is a problem of bias, or unfair advantage, or more--GM.

Let me begin by expressing our gratitude to you for the part you have played at 3ABN for the past nearly twenty years. You have been an important part of the ministry from the beginning – a fact appreciated by us all. Accordingly, this letter is not written with the intent to destroy your home or your part in the ministry, but rather to rehabilitate and restore.

GM: O.K. I can accept this, as I said in my first comment--GM.

In accordance with this intent, we are offering the following proposal to you. We believe it has the potential of accomplishing the desired objectives, i.e., to save both your home and a place for you in this ministry. It would do so without making the details of this matter known to the full board or others.

GM: O.K. As the issue is that of marriage, should not this same proposal be offered to Danny as well as Linda. Remember, at this point, the Board had not received any response from Linda. They had not previously asked her for information. Now, in recognition of a problem, they had an obligation to treat Danny in the same manner as they treated Linda. In fairness, they should have required the same of Danny that they required of Linda--GM.

Nor is this letter expressing an opinion regarding the nature of your relationship with Dr. Abrahamson. Whether or not this relationship has been immoral or not is not the issue of this document. The facts are that the relationship and your refusal to discontinue it in spite of repeated requests by Danny and our requests, has damaged both your family and 3ABN – a reality we must deal with in wisdom, love and compassion – but deal with, we must.

GM: Oh, now we have it. Linda is required to . . . without hearing from her. Folks, at this point the Board is closing off the possibility, before hearing from Linda, that the relationship that she had with Dr. A. was appropriate. They have essentially told her to choose between Danny and Nathon, and supposedly without ever giving her the opportunity to present to them the position that she was in, and the choice that they were forcing her to make. That is pure and simple, unfair--GM.

We are offering you a 30 day leave of absence with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met.

GM: O. K. in fairness, do the same for Danny--GM.

You will be relieved of all duties at 3ABN and will not appear at 3ABN other than to obtain items from your immediate office upon personal request from me. You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration. Both you and 3ABN need time to cool off.

GM: Unreasonable. Did not treat Danny the same. No proof that such was necessary. No attempt to give Linda a chance to challenge, or otherwise show that it was not necessary--GM.

You will agree in writing to cease all communication with Dr. Abrahamson, whether direct or indirect, whether by e-mail, telephone, letter or any other, or through Johann or any other third party. We are designating a period of 30 days, but with the intent this must be a life-long decision.

GM: Premature. It clearly shows that a decision had already been made in regard to her relationship with Dr. A. In fairness, they should have given Linda a chance to defend her relationship with Dr. A. This was simply unreasonable, with no chance for Linda to defend against it--GM.

During this time you will not discuss the issues related to your relationship with 3ABN with anyone other than your immediate family, your professional counselors or representatives or members of the board of directors.

GM: Unreasonable. This shows that the Board has placed Danny's and 3-ABN's interests above that of Linda. It shows a bias. It was not fair to Linda. They had no right to restrict her communication to "professional counselors" which is subject to interpretation--GM.

Since this time is recommended as a time for reflection and serious rehabilitation we are requesting that

you arrange to receive professional help relating to the issues at hand. We are recommending Ron and Nancy Rocky to you. They have agreed to open their home to you and to give you personal help in dealing with the issues at the center of the present problems. It will be private and protected from the public eye such that neither your image nor reputation ought be damaged. Should you choose different professional help, it must be acceptable to this committee.

GM: Should not the same have been said to Danny--GM?

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position to make recommendations to the full board based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

GM: Folks, all issues should have been open to input from Linda, and discussion. The Board made a biased decision without input from Linda. It clearly did not start out with Danny and Linda on the same level. It did not treat Danny and Linda the same. I clearly placed Linda at an disadvantage. Most reasonable people would have rejected the Board's offer and biased and unfair them--GM.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulsey and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

Your signature here will confirm your agreement with this proposal. Please sign and return copy within 24 hours of receipt of this letter.[/quote]

GM: Folks, only 24 hours to consider! Unbelievable! They were not giving her an appropriate amount of time to consider and seek advice. They were not giving her an appropriate amount of time to pray about it and to seek God's guidance. They required her to make a hasty decision, on the spur of the moment. Unbelievable in it's lack of fairness--GM.[/quote]

I wonder what would have happened if Linda had requested to be heard by the entire Board?

Posted by: Fran Mar 8 2007, 03:04 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 12:50 PM) □

Today, March 8th at 8:00 am Aletheia wrote:
What email address was this "plain" warning email sent to? Was it to Johann's email address? Was Johann in Norway to receive it 2 hours before campmeeting started?

While some of you in your group may be fond of Captain Picard and Star Trek, I must inform you that Transporters have yet to be invented.

Additionally, in a post March 8th at 5:58 am Aletheia commented:
Anyone with common sense would see that Linda was not being asked to come to the board meeting to be held right after campmeeting, May 30, 2004. The leave of absence couldn't have started before May 5th at the earliest which would put the end of the 30 days in early June. At the end of the 30 days she was to meet with the "Committee" WT referred to made up of WT, Nick Miller and Bill Hulsey, not the whole

Board.

WT's email posted in Aletheia's post said:
<http://www.thefreedictionary.com/grammatical+meaning>

>ete;

ou beat me to the point about Linda being banned for 30 days. It seems some can't/won't add 2 + 2 and get 4.

MO, I see this as a total setup from day one. I believe it was a power struggle that Danny had to win no matter what the cost! Now it will cost him more than he thought; he will probably lose ABN. Yes, preplanned and executed according to their (Danny & Walt's) plan.

Danny however, miscalculated Linda's response 100%. Therefore, Plan "B":

- 1. Destroy her, put her in welfare housing;**
- 2. Make her leave penniless; (Remember, in the beginning she was to get zero)**
- 3. Tell the world she is a harlot;**
- 4. Convince her friends she is a devil;**
- 5. Destroy the Dr too;**
- 6. Discredit Johann, a true man of God;**
- 7. Glorify Danny as the "anointed one" who is = to god;**
- 8. Lift Danny up as the victim;**
- 9. Cry on the air;**
- 9. Beg for sympathy as in "Woe is me, I am undone";**
- 9. Hit the "bystanders" to send ALL their money to the "anointed one" to make his "pain" bearable;**
- 10. Tell lies about everything because the devoted "asleep" will listen and bless Danny with more money;**
- 11. Finally, good riddance to bad rubbish!**
- 12. Linda is gone and "pushed under the bus".**

Maranatha

Now I must close out by saying that the above is my opinion and only my opinion. This is my Q-TY/M-A/P-L Statement. (Quick, Cover Your/My A--/Posterior Legally.)

Posted by: daylily Mar 8 2007, 03:12 PM

Observer, you make everything so clear, I just don't understand why they can't see the unfairness of the whole process.

Posted by: Fran Mar 8 2007, 03:28 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Mar 8 2007, 02:38 PM) □

I disagree with you take on this. To support my position, I will quote a letter that Alethea posted earlier. As she posted it, I assume that it is a valid e-mail.

I am going to insert my comments into the following quotation. I will identify them by placing a "GM:" before my inserted comments. In addition, I will place my initials at the end of my comment.

In the interests of fairness, I will comment as I see it. That is to say that some of my comments will be positive, and some will be negative.

GM: Folks, only 24 hours to consider! Unbelievable! They were not giving her an appropriate amount of

time to consider and seek advice. They were not giving her an appropriate amount of time to pray about it and to seek God's guidance. They required her to make a hasty decision, on the spur of the moment. Unbelievable in it's lack of fairness--GM.
I wonder what would have happened if Linda had requested to be heard by the entire Boa

greg;

have to give you 100% as a grad for your post. In fact, it really should receive a 5 ***** Star rating.

share you thoughts completely!

Maranatha

Now I must close out by saying that the above is my opinion and only my opinion. This is my Q-
Y/M-A/P-L Statement. (Quick, Cover Your/My A--/Posterior Legally.)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 03:39 PM

Bystander,

While I was growing up, one of my favorite comediennees was Phyllis Diller. As I read Bystander's post below my own, her laugh echoed in my ears!

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 01:06 PM)

At 5:58 am on March 8th, Aletheia posted:

This is the quote by Aletheia that I was responding to. When she says that Linda didn't reply per WT one must wonder when it was that she went to Kansas to meet with the non-SDA counselors. Was this in May or June? Did the committee go directly to the board on May 30th since Linda didn't reply? That would be interesting to know but I'm not willing to speculate if "maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board". I'll do some searching and see if I can find the answer from first-hand information.

Bystander posted March 8th at 1:31pm:

QUOTE

PB this is the first time of have seen an admission by Linda or your side that she & DS went to professional counselors. **That has been denied on this board over and over again. Our side has been called liars by saying she did.** If I had time I would go back to your previous posts to see if you were on that wagon train of "poor Linda DS lied about going to counselors." **Either way, it is of the utmost importance that you have admitted it now** since that has been one thing on this board that has been argued to the point of being ridiculous.

Cue Phyllis Diller laugh)

It must be a lean newsday if a post from me about LS and DS going to counseling in Kansas is to be considered Breaking News! This reaction on your part took me aback as I have tried quite carefully to pay attention to details on this forum. You make some pretty shakey claims in your statement. First of all, my post doesn't come as an admission by Linda or "your side". I don't know Linda personally. I personally have a friend who knows Linda personally but I have never personally spoken to or been emailed by Linda. And furthermore, my own personal friend who knows Linda personally has never mentioned to me anything about counseling that LS and DS went to in Kansas so there is no possible way for you to tag my comment as an admission by Linda.

While a great-grandfather of mine did come out West from St. Louis by wagon train, I have never ridden on one real or hypothetical. But, since you piqued my curiosity as to this whole matter, I did a simple search and these are just part of the posts that deal with the subject of Linda and Danny going to Kansas for counseling":

sonshineonme discusses Kansas:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=9131&st=30&p=138813&#entry138813>

Panama_Pete discusses Kansas:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11914&st=75&p=165855&#entry165855>

Johann discusses Kansas:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11914&st=75&p=165898&#entry165898>

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=30&p=176406&#entry176406>

So, all of the above links clearly show that there has been no denial of the fact that LS and DS went to Kansas to meet with non-SDA counselors. I just don't see where you get the details from your post about you being called liars for saying that she did. Perhaps you need to get your facts straight before publishing your Breaking News item?

QUOTE

So, what do you know? They did go to professional non SDA counselors. Non SDA so there wouldn't be any bias involved, I'm sure. This way the counselors would most likely, not be aware of who DS or LS were as far as TV and the Adventist world go. PB, you stated Kansas. **I didn't even know what state it was when.....mmm wonder who your "first hand" information is?** And, if you have access to that kind of first hand info, what's with the story you have given all along that you came here neutral and searching for truth. If you have access to "first hand info" from your side, doesn't sound like you were so neutral after all.

This post has been edited by Bystander: Today, 01:32 PM

Well, dear Bystander, I would have to ask you why you didn't know that the state was Kansas? Did your sources forget to give you that info? Did you not read the very posts you invoked in your first few sentences of your post, "since that has been one thing on this board that has been argued to the point of being ridiculous"?

Who is my "first hand" information? Many of the members of this forum, you included.

(Cue Phyllis Diller to stop the laughing)

You are right about one thing though. I wasn't really neutral when I came here. I loved the ministry of 3abn and really thought I could do my part to disprove the claims that my friend who personally knows Linda was making. When I came here the truth was I pretty much felt that Linda had probably strayed and that Danny was probably telling the truth about the matter. But I came here, willing to give other folks the chance to tell their testimonies and willing to find the truth of the matter.

So now, Bystander, I am still filled with the Peace that passes understanding. As for the bewildered part of my username, I am bewildered that those who claim to be representing God's character to the whole world have shown themselves, time and again, to be less than truthful and of such unChristianlike behavior as to actually try to cover up horrific abuse and try to intimidate precious souls into silence who have been abused by one of their own.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 03:40 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 03:06 PM)

In the mean time, these are a few conclusions that I drew from the emails and from information in Aletheia's posts:

1. It was the committee Linda was to respond to.
2. I do not find anything anywhere that shows that the board asked Linda to come to the board meeting at the end of campmeeting.
3. Perhaps the board met for the usual things that the board met for on a quarterly basis?

And this is still ridiculous PB: "Perhaps the board met for the usual things that the board met for on a quarterly basis?"

Only if the usual thing the board met for on a quarterly basis, was to fire Linda...

If what you say is true, Then why did Johann and the Doctor fly there to allegedly talk to the board, and why did they compose a letter and send it to the board for the meeting, rather than going in person????

You really aren't this bewildered are you?

Posted by: Fran Mar 8 2007, 03:40 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 01:45 PM)

These several emails that have been published completely exonerate DS and WT of any wrong doing, unfairness or anything else they have been accused of. All they do is point out that DS clearly loved his wife and was upset and hurt, but still trying to follow the course of Matt 18. Walt T. could not have shown any more of a kind, christian, and sensitive nature to all concerned. His emails speak with love for Linda and he always addresses both the Doc and Johann in a respectful way. The way he handled his part of this terrible situation, strengthens my feelings of respect for him as a true christian and a man of integrity. Can we say the same for them in their responses?

After reading through, I don't know how anyone could come to any conclusion other than, LS had her opportunity time and again to make things right both at 3abn and her marriage, and she chose not to. Both Doc and Johann were given opportunities (at the right time and place) and at least the Doc didn't take it. Johann would have addressed the board also and he didn't.

All were dealt with in a Christ like spirit as far as possible but finally enough is enough and even then, they were dealt with fairly.

In one of DS emails to the Doc he says Linda is young beautiful and intelligent.....Yes that sounds like someone who never bragged on her and built her up and didn't love her.....Right

These emails are very simple & forthright as to what happened and those of you that want to find wrong in them, just want to find it and want to argue.

Bystander,

I read your post, and instantly became sick to my stomach! I hope I don't have to ...

Oops, excuse me while I ...

Now I must close out by saying that the above is my opinion and only my opinion. This is my Q-CY/M-A/P-L Statement. (Quick, Cover Your/My A--/Posterior Legally.)

Posted by: Bystander Mar 8 2007, 03:51 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 8 2007, 01:37 PM)

Bystander... you are skipping around two questions... here they are again....

PROVE that Linda committed adultery.... show us the evidence.... don't spin, don't distract, prove that Linda committed adultery....

If you are unwilling to do that, then explain why you and others like you stood by while Linda was thrown under the bus..... even if she did everything she was accused of, she should not have been treated as she was..... so explain one or the other.....

and when your tag-team partner tags in this evening.... tell him to start with those two simple questions.....

What Don't You Understand? It is the responsibility of 3abn ONLY to make known that evidence. And When They Do, It will not be on a CHAT room. How hard is that for any of you to understand? There are Legalities involved. Unlike Joy or Pickle, the reality is, I nor anyone else, can just step up and take over. No one but 3abn can make the evidence known, to whom they want and when they want. So everytime you and the Lindanites go through the same tired spill demanding evidence, you are blowing smoke and wasting breath because this has been explained to you over and over. We can't help it if it doesn't soak in.

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 03:55 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 03:51 PM)

What Don't You Understand? It is the responsibility of 3abn ONLY to make known that evidence. And When They Do, It will not be on a CHAT room. How hard is that for any of you to understand? There are Legalities involved. Unlike Joy or Pickle, the reality is, I nor anyone else, can just step up and take over. No one but 3abn can make the evidence known, to whom they want and when they want. So everytime you and the Lindanites go through the same tired spill demanding evidence, you are blowing smoke and wasting breath because this has been explained to you over and over. We can't help it if it doesn't soak in.

two questions Bystander.... can YOU prove that Linda committed adultery? Why did you allow a human being to be thrown under the bus as she was? Have you answered those two questions yet?

If you cannot answer the first question why are you here defending danny?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 8 2007, 04:06 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 8 2007, 02:55 PM)

two questions Bystander.... can YOU prove that Linda committed adultery? Why did you allow a human being to be thrown under the bus as she was? Have you answered those two questions yet?

If you cannot answer the first question why are you here defending danny?

The only reason that I can see for directly ignoring my post, is that you think I am DS. Get over it. You are not addressing DS. Can I prove anything. No. Again, 3abn can. I don't have the right. And because I know what they can, there was no "bus accident." End of Story.

Have you answered questions to the best of my ability, What have you done?

Can You prove her innocence?

Can you prove what was said to each other in their own home?

Can you prove that all the phone bills, gifts, and other evidence were lies?

Can you prove that she wasn't given every chance to restore her marriage and her job?

Can you tell me ANYTHING at all that you DIDN'T read and draw conclusions from, on this forum?

Can you tell me that you witnessed ANY of the events that have been discussed?

Can you tell me that you have talked directly with ANY of the people involved?

If not, you have unbelievable audacity to DEMAND anything from the 3abn defenders. Same goes for the rest of you that answer no to these questions.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 04:08 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 02:51 PM)

What Don't You Understand? It is the responsibility of 3abn ONLY to make known that evidence. And When They Do, It will not be on a CHAT room. How hard is that for any of you to understand? There are Legalities involved. Unlike Joy or Pickle, the reality is, I nor anyone else, can just step up and take over. No one but 3abn can make the evidence known, to whom they want and when they want. So everytime you and the lindanites go through the same tired spill demanding evidence, you are blowing smoke and wasting breath because this has been explained to you over and over. We can't help it if it doesn't soak in.

I'm certain you would characterize your job here, and the jobs of wwjd, Lee and Aletheia, to be to provide support for Danny's side of all of these issues. Am I correct? If I am, wouldn't it be a good place to show all of the lurkers, members, and those seeking truth in the matter what that "evidence" is so that they, those who have not chosen sides as yet, can come to the appropriate conclusion?

You must know that many folks are Googling for information since Danny has been so outspoken on the Live programs. Wouldn't it be prudent for them to get the full story instead of seeing only one side posting the evidence for their side?

Of course, if there really isn't any compelling "evidence" that could be posted to clearly show that Linda was following a sinful course, I suppose you all could just go on twisting statements, muddying the waters and attempting to divert the minds of those seeking truth. Wonder which course would be the most productive?

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 04:09 PM

Well then, you, cindy, Lee, wwjd, and the rest need to stop posting until said proof is produced. There is no reason for you to continue, because we will not believe you with out the proof, and you have no proof, you say, thus far. You have wasted massive amounts of our time and yours. Come back when you have something.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 01:51 PM)

What Don't You Understand? It is the responsibility of 3abn ONLY to make known that evidence. And When They Do, It will not be on a CHAT room. How hard is that for any of you to understand? There are Legalities involved. Unlike Joy or Pickle, the reality is, I nor anyone else, can just step up and take over. No one but 3abn can make the evidence known, to whom they want and when they want. So everytime you and the lindanites go through the same tired spill demanding evidence, you are blowing smoke and wasting breath because this has been explained to you over and over. We can't help it if it doesn't soak in.

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 04:12 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 04:06 PM)

The only reason that I can see for directly ignoring my post, is that you think I am DS. Get over it. You are not addressing DS. Can I prove anything. No. Again, 3abn can. I don't have the right. And because I know that they can, there was no "bus accident." End of Story.

I have answered questions to the best of my ability, What have you done?

Can You prove her innocence?

Can you prove what was said to each other in their own home?

Can you prove that all the phone bills, gifts, and other evidence were lies?
Can you prove that she wasn't given every chance to restore her marriage and her job?
Can you tell me ANYTHING at all that you DIDN'T read and draw conclusions from, on this forum?
Can you tell me that you witnessed ANY of the events that have been discussed?
Can you tell me that you have talked directly with ANY of the people involved?
If not, you have unbelievable audacity to DEMAND anything from the 3abn defenders. Same goes for the rest of you that answer no to these questions.

so you cannot answer those two simple questions.... you cannot prove that she committed adultery, and you stood by while she was trashed and thrown under the bus.....

thank you for showing us what you are.... God help anyone who needs help from you....

Posted by: Bystander Mar 8 2007, 04:20 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 8 2007, 03:12 PM)

so you cannot answer those two simple questions.... you cannot prove that she committed adultery, and you stood by while she was trashed and thrown under the bus.....

thank you for showing us what you are.... God help anyone who needs help from you....

have you gotten completely simple minded? I answered your questions. I am not in a position to expose the evidence. They are. And they have it. Therefore, there is no ridiculous Bus analogy.

answered. You did not answer any of mine. I have yet to see you answer these kinds of questions. Try it, just once, just see if you can answer with plain and simple responses. No answering a question with a question, no smart remarks. Just answer the list of questions. How hard is it? they are YES and NO answers. Put on your thinking cap and just attempt it, just ONE time.....If you refuse to answer such simple questions, that says it all, and will show to all, that you are just an "extra" in the drama and are here to memorize and repeat some of the "stars" in your camp.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 04:31 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 05:20 PM)

Have you gotten completely simple minded? I answered your questions. I am not in a position to expose the evidence. They are. And they have it. Therefore, there is no ridiculous Bus analogy.

I answered. You did not answer any of mine. I have yet to see you answer these kinds of questions. Try it, just once, just see if you can answer with plain and simple responses. No answering a question with a question, no smart remarks. Just answer the list of questions. How hard is it? they are YES and NO answers. Put on your thinking cap and just attempt it, just ONE time.....If you refuse to answer such simple questions, that says it all, and will show to all, that you are just an "extra" in the drama and are here to memorize and repeat some of the "stars" in your camp.

have never seen him do anything but ridicule those he disagrees with, demand answers from those he insults and namecalls, and never have any of his own when it comes to these 3ABN discussions.

3TW, if my memory serves correctly re your post to PB, it was mostly Clay, (and I think Princess DrRe) who

were claiming that Linda never got professional counseling, and accusing Danny and 3ABN of lying, and demanding proof and receipts from you... PB might have been too bewildered to supply them with her links...

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 8 2007, 04:38 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 05:06 PM) □

The only reason that I can see for directly ignoring my post, is that you think I am DS. Get over it. You are not addressing DS. Can I prove anything. No. Again, 3abn can. I don't have the right. And because I know that they can, there was no "bus accident." End of Story.

I have answered questions to the best of my ability, What have you done?

Can You prove her innocence?

Can you prove what was said to each other in their own home?

Can you prove that all the phone bills, gifts, and other evidence were lies?

Can you prove that she wasn't given every chance to restore her marriage and her job?

Can you tell me ANYTHING at all that you DIDN'T read and draw conclusions from, on this forum?

Can you tell me that you witnessed ANY of the events that have been discussed?

Can you tell me that you have talked directly with ANY of the people involved?

If not, you have unbelievable audacity to DEMAND anything from the 3abn defenders. Same goes for the rest of you that answer no to these questions.

Don't have to; this is America...where one is innocent until proven guilty.

You are saying she's guilty... so the burden of proof is on you. Folk who said Tommy was guilty came out with evidence to support their claims If you are unwilling or unable to produce evidence to support your claim, that is your prerogative... but you will be weighed in the balanced and found wanting.

The bottom line is your behavior is not consistent with that of someone who has the truth on his side. Your unwillingness to support your claims with verifiable evidence only further entrenches that perception and a number of people have said the tipping point for them was your (plural) conduct and conversation and continued recalcitrance when asked to verify what you state...

The american way says you make the charge, it is incumbent upon you to make it stick.

You haven't done that to date... not even close.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 05:08 PM) □

I'm certain you would characterize your job here, and the jobs of wwjd, Lee and Aletheia, to be to provide support for Danny's side of all of these issues. Am I correct? If I am, wouldn't it be a good place to show all of the lurkers, members, and those seeking truth in the matter what that "evidence" is so that they, those who have not chosen sides as yet, can come to the appropriate conclusion?

You must know that many folks are Googling for information since Danny has been so outspoken on the Live programs. Wouldn't it be prudent for them to get the full story instead of seeing only one side posting the evidence for their side?

Of course, if there really isn't any compelling "evidence" that could be posted to clearly show that Linda was following a sinful course, I suppose you all could just go on twisting statements, muddying the waters and attempting to divert the minds of those seeking truth. Wonder which course would be the most productive?

'B, are you deliberately lying? I have said how many times, that I have never met Danny? I came here searching for the truth and asking questions, the answers led me to where I am now.

I'm quite sure it suits your purpose to try and make the readers here see all the people you disagree with, as you portray them, simply being blindly loyal and defending someone in a biased manner, but it's simply not true.

I am no prophet, but this I know. When the facts are made known by 3ABN, unless you are without a conscience, you are going to be a very embarrassed and sad woman.

Why not just stop making the absolute statements and judgments which you yourself cannot prove, and practice building the patience of the saints, until that happens?

This forum is a good place to practice that. Turning the other cheek also...

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 04:42 PM

Wrong again.....please stop posting.....You have no new information....you are not authorized to give proof of that which you expouse.....you should all just stop wasting our time.

Now, I would suggest to you all that, as members of the BSDA Family, that you go and enjoy the other forums discussions, Live Chat, and even the game arcade, but we know that is not your purpose. When and if all is said and done in the sad, sad tale, you will leave us. Mainly because I have observed nothing Christ-like about your motives, your words or actions***. Just do us a favor and go.

****I would just like to make one exception to this statement. That is Bytander. Even though we have disagreed, I have personally been impressed with a certain amount of "respect", that may have come from home training and is buried deep. I think under other circumstances, we might have been able to sit down and have a great conversation.****

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 02:31 PM)

I have never seen him do anything but ridicule those he disagrees with, demand answers from those he insults and namecalls, and never have any of his own when it comes to these 3ABN discussions.

BTW, if my memory serves correctly re your post to PB, it was mostly Clay, (and I think Princess DrRe) who were claiming that Linda never got professional counseling, and accusing Danny and 3ABN of lying, and demanding proof and receipts from you... PB might have been too bewildered to supply them with her links...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 06:09 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 03:31 PM)

I have never seen him do anything but ridicule those he disagrees with, demand answers from those he

insults and namecalls, and never have any of his own when it comes to these 3ABN discussions.

BTW, if my memory serves correctly re your post to PB, it was mostly Clay, (and I think Princess DrRe) who were claiming that Linda never got professional counseling, and accusing Danny and 3ABN of lying, and demanding proof and receipts from you... PB might have been too bewildered to supply them with her links...

For those interested, just go to the Search feature, type in the word "counseling", select "view as post" and hit "enter".

Posts regarding counselling by PrincessDi:

[#entry147576](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10408&st=120&p=147576)

[#entry166834](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11938&st=60&p=166834)

[#entry176473](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=45&p=176473)

[#entry176539](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=60&p=176539)

[#entry176828](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12430&st=225&p=176828)

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 06:16 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 05:41 PM)

I came here searching for the truth and asking questions, the answers led me to where I am now.

Aletheia,

You continue to ignore my other question, so I will ask you a different one based on this statement.

So what are your conclusions based on your searching and asking questions? I am interested to know where you are now.

.. Do you believe Linda committed adultery?

Simple yes or no is sufficient.

1W
:"|"

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 06:19 PM

Dang, PB!!!! Good thing a sista' is consistent. Kind of scary to have your words backatcha like that! LOL!!!
Point is Cindy was wrong, yet again!

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 04:09 PM)

For those interested, just go to the Search feature, type in the word "counseling", select "view as post" and hit "enter".

Posts regarding counselling by PrincessDi:

[#entry147576](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10408&st=120&p=147576)

[#entry166834](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11938&st=60&p=166834)

[#entry176473](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=45&p=176473)

[#entry176539](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=60&p=176539)

[#entry176828](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12430&st=225&p=176828)

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 06:25 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDi @ Mar 8 2007, 07:19 PM)

Dang, PB!!!! Good thing a sista' is consistent. Kind of scary to have your words backatcha like that! LOL!!!
Point is Cindy was wrong, yet again!

PrincessDi:

Real friends, sistas and sisters stick together. PB.

Too bad Linda was apparently not given the same support by those she has worked with at Jabn and considered her friends (at least that is how I have interpreted much that has been written and Danny DID tell her that she needed to get new friends, did he not?).

1W
2:""

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 06:25 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 07:09 PM)

For those interested, just go to the Search feature, type in the word "counselling", select "view as post" and hit "enter".

Posts regarding counselling by PrincessDi:

[#entry147576](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10408&st=120&p=147576)

[#entry166834](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11938&st=60&p=166834)

[#entry176473](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=45&p=176473)

[#entry176539](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12434&st=60&p=176539)

[#entry176828](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12430&st=225&p=176828)

Thanks but I didn't say Princess Di...

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 06:36 PM

Hello!! Hello! McFly! Anybody in there?



Cindy, that is what she is trying to tell you. It was me not

PrincessDrRe!



Now is all fairness if you have the posts from PrincessDrRe that you are specifically referring to, then by all means post them.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 04:25 PM)

Thanks but I didn't say Princess Di...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 06:40 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 03:41 PM)

PB, are you deliberately lying? I have said how many times, that I have never met Danny? I came here searching for the truth and asking questions, the answers led me to where I am now.

No, I am not lying. I know that you claim not to have met Danny. Nevertheless, you have made it quite clear that you believe what he says and you continue to post information that you say supports that.

QUOTE

I'm quite sure it suits your purpose to try and make the readers here see all the people you disagree with, as you portray them, simply being blindly loyal and defending someone in a biased manner, but it's simply not true.

My purpose is, and always has been, to find the verified truth in this matter. No matter how many times you claim otherwise or try to charge me with trying to characterize you, Lee, wwjd and Bystander in any unkind light it just won't stick. I have been completely transparent in my posting and questioning. That I usually can't get straight and to the point answers from the four of you is not my doing. Yes, I disagree with the way you and the others have tried to obfuscate the truth but I have always defended your right to post your opinions, as diversionary as they can be at times.

QUOTE

I am no prophet, but this I know. **When the facts are made known by 3ABN, unless you are without a conscience, you are going to be a very embarrassed and sad woman.**


Do you know these "facts" that 3abn is holding? Are you certain or are you taking the word of someone else that they even exist.

If you have actually seen this "evidence" for yourself, does it:

1. Prove that Linda deserved to be removed from her position as Vice President and co-founder of 3abn?
2. Prove that Tommy Shelton did not abuse his position of power as a pastor and sexually abuse boys and young men over a possible period of decades?
3. Prove that Tommy has actually asked for forgiveness from all of his alleged victims and made restitution to help them get on with the rest of their lives?
4. Prove that Danny Shelton did not attempt to cover up the abuse of boys and young men by minimization and intimidation and possibly by outright lies?

QUOTE

Why not just stop making the absolute statements and judgments which you yourself cannot prove, and practice building the patience of the saints, until that happens?


This forum is a good place to practice that. Turning the other cheek also... 

What? Huh?

If by turning the other cheek you mean averting my eyes while you, Lee, wwjd and Bystander try to distort the truth by misquoting it and trying to divert attention from it, then that's a cheek I'm not willing to turn.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 06:43 PM


Ok?!! That he did! ...and to leave him alone, go on with her life....if she watch out she will be rreplaced and all.....talking about old dish rags and things.....where I come from that was a cue for a heathen like me to take my earrings off! After 20 years, too! LOL!!! Now if that don't sound like somebody who is through.....and that crew is trying to convince somebody he Dany was soooo in luv! Please!!! Ooops!

.....make note to self.....no more Madea movies for at least a week! LOL!!! 

Seriously, though sounds as if God has blessed her with a few good friends.

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 8 2007, 04:25 PM) 


PrincessDi:

Real friends and sisters stick together.  PB.

Too bad Linda was apparently not given the same support by those she has worked with at 3abn and considered her friends (at least that is how I have interpreted much that has been written and Danny DID tell her to she needed to get new friends, did he not?).

nw
C"j"

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 06:46 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 05:25 PM) 

Thanks but I didn't say Princess Di...

Sorry, Aletheia, I thought you were referring to PrincessDi but I now see that it was PrincessDrRe. I'll go back and see if there are any from her, but there weren't any from Clay that I could find.

QUOTE

BTW, if my memory serves correctly re your post to PB, it was mostly Clay, (and I think **Princess DrRe**) who were claiming that Linda never got professional counseling, and accusing Danny and 3ABN of lying, and demanding proof and receipts from you... PB might have been too bewildered to supply them with her links...

BTW, I just re-did the search and there were no, repeat, no instances where Princess DrRe made statements about the counselling.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 06:49 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 04:40 PM)

No, I am not lying. I know that you claim not to have met Danny. Nevertheless, you have made it quite clear that you believe what he says and you continue to post information that you say supports that.

All that inside information she is not free to divulge, etc. might be the problem as far as believability.....I jes' sayin'....she can't be cluees in one post and nearly all knowing in the next.....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 06:54 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 05:49 PM)

All that inside information she is not free to divulge, etc. might be the problem as far as believability.....I jes' sayin'....she can't be cluees in one post and nearly all knowing in the next.....

Way I just say, true dat?!

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 8 2007, 07:02 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 07:36 PM)

Hello!! Hello! McFly! Anybody in there? Cindy, that is what she is trying to tell you. It was me not PrincessDrRe!

Now is all fairness if you have the posts from PrincessDrRe that you are specifically referring to, then by all means post them.

low rude...

was posting to Bystander in regards to his conversation with PB, and telling him who I remembered arguing with him about Counseling, which was mostly Clay, and Inga too now that I think of it. PB can't tell anyone what I remember, nor can you.

here's the post I remembered by Princess Dr Re

QUOTE

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12430&st=270&p=177210&#entry177210>

PrincessDrRe Feb 10 2007, 11:14 PM Post #272

PrincessDrRe

Group: Members
Posts: 7,324
Joined: 8-November 04
Member No.: 712
Gender: f

While you cannot use the "quote function" correctly Bystander....I can. refer to Glenetta's post about "receipts" below.....

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 06:14 PM)

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. Maybe the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

So below...where you took MY post and added in your comments....like thus...

QUOTE

1. Mark Finley states that he did not provide counseling to Linda.

ACCORDING TO THE WAY JOHANN UNDERSTOOD IT.

2. If big IF Mark Finley did speak on the phone w/ Linda Bro. Finley didn't call this "counseling" and never charged for it.

MARK HAS NEVER DENIED TALKING TO LINDA ON THE PHONE SO YOUR BIG "IF" DOESN'T APPLY HERE. HE HAS ALSO NEVER DENIED IT WAS FROM 45 MINUTES TO AN HOUR EACH TIME.

3. "Receipts" that people are speaking of in regards to the said counseling of Linda by Mark Finley do not

exist or were "made up" in the minds/by these people.

RECEIPTS FROM MARK'S COUNSELING ARE LUDICROUS. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID THAT. IN THE FIRST PLACE MARK WAS A FRIEND OF BOTH DANNY AND LINDA AND WANTED TO HELP. THERE WOULD NEVER BEEN A 'CHARGE' FOR HIS COUNSELING AND ADVICE
THE RECEIPTS ARE FOR CHRISTIAN COUNSELORS OUT OF STATE AND WERE FOR A TOTAL OF 8 HOURS WITH 2 PROFESSIONALLY LICENSED COUNSELORS.4. Someone is lying big time in regards to Mark Finley doing counseling.

Am I correct this far? Am I correct as of recent?

AS ADDRESSED YOU WERE TOTALLY INCORRECT.

Receipts from "other" counselors have been mentioned...yet - I still have to hear/see the proof of that. Kay Kuzma was supposed to be one of those "therapists"...but everyone knows - (if you know anything about HIPPA) that she truthfully wasn't supposed to be able to "confirm or deny" treating the couple as a matter of privacy. She has since admitted to "treating" the couple....that throws her credibility way off to me.....

If their are any "receipts" then this would be proof that Linda received counseling. This would also be proof that "they tried" to make it work. Scan them, put them here, put them at the other site.

...all other "evidence" has been talked about and beat to death like horse paste.... Put the evidence out there! That is all I ask.... & again - so far.... No One Wants To Put The Proof Out There and Get It Over With!!!

This post has been edited by PrincessDrRe: Feb 10 2007, 11:20 PM

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 07:21 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 06:02 PM) □

How rude...

What happened to "turn the other cheek" dear?

QUOTE

I was posting to Bystander in regards to his conversation with PB, and telling him who I remembered arguing with him about Counseling, which was mostly Clay, and Inga too now that I think of it. **PB can't tell anyone what I remember, nor can you.**

Here's the post I remembered by Princess Dr Re
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s...mp;#entry177210>

Note: I would suggest that readers actually click on the link above to see the actual post by PrincessDrRe as it s a compilation of several different posters and is a little more clear in the original.

QUOTE

While you cannot use the "quote function" correctly Bystander....I can. refer to Glenetta's post about "receipts" below.....

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 06:14 PM)

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. Maybe the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

So below...where you took MY post and added in your comments....like thus...

QUOTE

1. Mark Finley states that he did not provide counseling to Linda.

ACCORDING TO THE WAY JOHANN UNDERSTOOD IT.

2. If big IF Mark Finley did speak on the phone w/ Linda Bro. Finley didn't call this "counseling" and never charged for it.

MARK HAS NEVER DENIED TALKING TO LINDA ON THE PHONE SO YOUR BIG "IF" DOESN'T APPLY HERE. HE HAS ALSO NEVER DENIED IT WAS FROM 45 MINUTES TO AN HOUR EACH TIME.

3. "Receipts" that people are speaking of in regards to the said counseling of Linda by Mark Finley do not exist or were "made up" in the minds/by these people.

RECEIPTS FROM MARK'S COUNSELING ARE LUDICROUS. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID THAT. IN THE FIRST PLACE MARK WAS A FRIEND OF BOTH DANNY AND LINDA AND WANTED TO HELP. THERE WOULD NEVER BEEN A 'CHARGE' FOR HIS COUNSELING AND ADVICE

THE RECEIPTS ARE FOR CHRISTIAN COUNSELORS OUT OF STATE AND WERE FOR A TOTAL OF 8 HOURS WITH 2 PROFESSIONALLY LICENSED COUNSELORS.4. Someone is lying big time in regards to Mark Finley doing counseling.

Am I correct this far? Am I correct as of recent?

AS ADDRESSED YOU WERE TOTALLY INCORRECT.

Receipts from "other" counselors have been mentioned...yet - I still have to hear/see the proof of that. Kay Kuzma was supposed to be one of those "therapists"...but everyone knows - (if you know anything about HIPPA) that she truthfully wasn't supposed to be able to "confirm or deny" treating the couple as a matter of privacy. She has since admitted to "treating" the couple....that throws her credibility way off to me.....

If their are any "receipts" then this would be proof that Linda received counseling. This would also be proof that "they tried" to make it work. Scan them, put them here, put them at the other site.

...all other "evidence" has been talked about and beat to death like horse paste.... Put the evidence out there! That is all I ask.... & again - so far.... No One Wants To Put The Proof Out There and Get It Over With!!!

This post has been edited by PrincessDrRe: Feb 10 2007, 09:20 PM

letheia posted March 8th:

QUOTE

BTW, if my memory serves correctly re your post to PB, it was mostly Clay, (**and I think Princess DrRe**) **who were claiming that Linda never got professional counseling**, and accusing Danny and 3ABN of lying, and demanding proof and receipts from you... PB might have been too bewildered to supply them with her links...

Please show me where in all of this that PrincessDrRe claims that Linda never got professional counseling. Glenetta mentioned that there were receipts from the couple in Kansas.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 8 2007, 07:35 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 08:21 PM)

Please show me where in all of this that PrincessDrRe claims that Linda never got professional counseling. Glenetta mentioned that there were receipts from the couple in Kansas.

Aletheia,

Another question to answer if you would please.

The list gets longer.

nw
C"i"

Posted by: Nuggie Mar 8 2007, 08:05 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 8 2007, 07:36 PM)

Hello!! Hello! McFly! Anybody in there?



QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 08:02 PM)

How rude...

If you can't take it, don't dish it...

Posted by: Clay Mar 8 2007, 08:18 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 8 2007, 04:20 PM)

Have you gotten completely simple minded? I answered your questions. I am not in a position to expose the evidence. They are. And they have it. Therefore, there is no ridiculous Bus analogy.

I answered. You did not answer any of mine. I have yet to see you answer these kinds of questions. Try it, just once, just see if you can answer with plain and simple responses. No answering a question with a question, no smart remarks. Just answer the list of questions. How hard is it? they are YES and NO answers. Put on your thinking cap and just attempt it, just ONE time.....If you refuse to answer such simple questions, that says it all, and will show to all, that you are just an "extra" in the drama and are here to memorize and repeat some of the "stars" in your camp.

on my worse day my mind functions better than yours... you danced around two simple questions... they you attempt to deflect them and answer my questions with questions of your own.... it aint workin dude.....

you cannot prove anything so you need to go somewhere and hush.....

Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 8 2007, 09:16 PM

Don't know if anyone noticed, but this was a beautifully crafted letter by WT and team -- **corporate greed** all wrapped up in the pretentious loving blanket of pity for LS. You notice his #1 concern is what's best for the organization, his #2 concern is tenderness and caring for LS, although he strongly indicates the facts show she's guilty ("but we'll listen anyway"), just for the *appearance* of fairness. Only in religious circles are you treated guilty and sentenced before the trial. I've been around some of these kind of organizations and the way administration feels it their divine duty to extend their tentacles into the privacy of other peoples lives.

Read inbetween the lines...

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

From: Walt Thompson

To: [Alyssa]

Subject: Letter for Linda

Date: Tue, 4 May 2004 21:43:07 -0500

Linda Shelton

Three Angel's Broadcasting Network

PO Box 220

West Frankfort, IL 62896

Dear Linda,

A committee representing the 3ABN board of directors has been selected and has met for the purpose of evaluating the present problem at 3ABN relating to your relationship to Danny and to the ministry of 3ABN - and seeking a resolution acceptable to all parties involved. This letter is intended to represent the will of the board.

Let me begin by expressing our gratitude to you for the part you have played at 3ABN for the past nearly twenty years. You have been an important part of the ministry from the beginning - a fact appreciated by us all. Accordingly, this letter is not written with the intent to destroy your home or your part in the ministry, but rather to rehabilitate and restore.

In accordance with this intent, we are offering the following proposal to you. We believe it has the

potential of accomplishing the desired objectives, i.e., to save both your home and a place for you in this ministry. It would do so without making the details of this matter known to the full board or others.

Nor is this letter expressing an opinion regarding the nature of your relationship with Dr. Abrahamson. Whether or not this relationship has been immoral or not is not the issue of this document. The facts are that the relationship and your refusal to discontinue it in spite of repeated requests by Danny and our requests, has damaged both your family and 3ABN – a reality we must deal with in wisdom, love and compassion – but deal with, we must.

We are offering you a 30 day leave of absence with pay and full benefits - to begin at the time of receipt of this letter. During this time the following conditions must be met.

You will be relieved of all duties at 3ABN and will not appear at 3ABN other than to obtain items from your immediate office upon personal request from me. You will arrange to leave Southern Illinois at 3ABN expense for the duration. Both you and 3ABN need time to cool off.

You will agree in writing to cease all communication with Dr. Abrahamson, whether direct or indirect, whether by e-mail, telephone, letter or any other, or through Johann or any other third party. We are designating a period of 30 days, but with the intent this must be a life-long decision.

During this time you will not discuss the issues related to your relationship with 3ABN with anyone other than your immediate family, your professional counselors or representatives or members of the board of directors.

Since this time is recommended as a time for reflection and serious rehabilitation we are requesting that you arrange to receive professional help relating to the issues at hand. We are recommending Ron and Nancy Rocky to you. They have agreed to open their home to you and to give you personal help in dealing with the issues at the center of the present problems. It will be private and protected from the public eye such that neither your image nor reputation ought be damaged. Should you choose different professional help, it must be acceptable to this committee.

At the end of 30 days we will reassess the situation and make further recommendations as indicated.

The issues in this proposal are not negotiable. Should they be unacceptable to you – we hope sincerely they will be acceptable – we will proceed promptly with further investigation of the facts regarding the matter at hand. In that case we will need a list of witnesses you would like us to hear. We will offer Danny the same opportunity. Once we have had opportunity to hear the evidence, we will be in a better position to make recommendations to the full board based upon that evidence. Based on the facts we already know, however, we think pursuing such a course would make any future role for you at 3ABN unlikely.

That is why, Linda, we are pleading with you to accept this proposal for healing and restoration. This is God's ministry. He has chosen you to be a part of it. We want you to continue to be a part of it. But in order for that to happen really important things must change.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD
Chairman of the Board of Directors, 3ABN
Committee members include Nicholas P. Miller, Bill Hulsey and Kay Kuzma (by invitation)

Your signature here will confirm your agreement with this proposal. Please sign and return copy within 24 hours of receipt of this letter.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 8 2007, 09:36 PM

Now, somebody please correct me if I am wrong, but the below post by Glenetta says that Linda refused to come back.....Werent' these out of state counselling sessions done during the time 3ABN was paying for Linda to be out of So. IL?

QUOTE

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. **And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. Maybe the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horriable thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.** Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 8 2007, 05:21 PM)

What happened to "turn the other cheek" dear?

Note: I would suggest that readers actually click on the link above to see the actual post by PrincessDrRe as it is a compilation of several different posters and is a little more clear in the original.

Aletheia posted March 8th:

Please show me where in all of this that PrincessDrRe claims that Linda never got professional counseling. Glenetta mentioned that there were receipts from the couple in Kansas.

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 8 2007, 10:00 PM

QUOTE(gardener @ Mar 7 2007, 06:51 PM)

Danny is the one who made a scene on world wide TV. Very poorly handled. I stood in front of the TV with my mouth open and I didn't even know at that time what it was all about. It was shameful.

Any chance anyone has that recorded?

Posted by: Pickle Mar 8 2007, 10:52 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 8 2007, 10:00 PM)

Any chance anyone has that recorded?

I'd like a copy. Can anyone send one to me?

Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 8 2007, 10:59 PM

Maybe someone could put it on YouTube.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 8 2007, 11:38 PM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 8 2007, 11:59 PM)

Maybe someone could put it on YouTube.

Glad somebody else said it aloud.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 8 2007, 11:41 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 8 2007, 09:52 PM)

I'd like a copy. Can anyone send one to me?

I'll see if I can get my hands on it. I was thinking of looking for it anyway. I think I remember watching it myself, but I might be thinking of the Sabbath services.

Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 8 2007, 11:50 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 8 2007, 08:00 PM)

Any chance anyone has that recorded?

The archives I looked at online I found all from May 2004 were removed.
Rosyroi

Posted by: Bystander Mar 9 2007, 12:52 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 8 2007, 07:18 PM)

on my worse day my mind functions better than yours... you danced around two simple questions... they you attempt to deflect them and answer my questions with questions of your own.... it aint workin dude.....

you cannot prove anything so you need to go somewhere and hush.....

I knew you weren't capable of answering. You have nothing to say.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Mar 9 2007, 02:17 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 9 2007, 12:52 AM)

I knew you weren't capable of answering. You have nothing to say.

Okay, so there's proof Linda committed adultery (you say).

Have you seen it yourself, or did someone tell you it exists?

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 6 2007, 03:07 PM)

I was referring to something in one of the emails where (and I can't tell you who since I could not get back to it) someone points out to ASI/HL that other issues must be considered because someone who had brought something possibly unethical to the attention of DS eventually resigned due to manufactured evidence against him/her. Since questions have been asked and speculation raised that Nick Miller did not resign merely because he had better things to do with his time, I wondered if that was the person that was essentially forced to resign if I read the email correctly.

This is what I'm afraid of, for Linda. Given enough time and the right software, a determined person with a computer can make anything. Even "proof."

So whatever your people have got had better be pretty good.

Posted by: Johann Mar 9 2007, 03:31 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 8 2007, 08:24 PM)

Gailon tells me that while Linda was walking with Elder Thorvaldsson and Dr. Abrahamsen in a park or some such place around the time of the May 2004 camp meeting, that Danny called Linda to tell her how nice the dress was that she was wearing. Any truth in this, that the P.I.'s were transmitting pictures like that?

Would this constitute a form of harassment that would be a violation of the <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-employee-handbook-not-followed.htm>? If so, what recourse was there for Linda to report Danny Shelton for harassment?

The three of us went for a stroll in the Abraham Lincoln Park at Springfield. Arild and I had planned on worshipping with the 3ABN family that day, but that was not to be because of Danny's threats. Around noon we drove from Marion to Springfield and there we had contact with Linda and went to the park.

Shortly after that Linda received a phone call from Danny where he told her he had a picture of us and commented on the dress she was wearing, apparently as a proof to let us know that it was a real picture of her. We never saw that picture. Could it be that Danny had just received a description of her dress via phone from the PI? Were they checking with Danny to make sure they were chasing the right people?

Posted by: Clay Mar 9 2007, 07:10 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 9 2007, 12:52 AM)

I knew you weren't capable of answering. You have nothing to say.

and neither do you.... from the start you have posted alot, and said nothing.... you have no proof of adultery and you stood by while a person was fired without just cause, and her reputation trashed....

Don't deflect.... put up.... or shut up.....

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 9 2007, 08:35 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 9 2007, 01:52 AM)

I knew you weren't capable of answering. You have nothing to say.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 9 2007, 12:26 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 8 2007, 05:41 PM)

I'm quite sure it suits your purpose to try and make the readers here see all the people you disagree with, as you portray them, simply being blindly loyal and defending someone in a biased manner, but it's simply not true.

So Aletheia, please tell me the purpose of your post to HollybyGolly I have linked:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12409&view=findpost&p=184067>

As, IMO, your personal attack of PB was "simply not true".

nw
C"i"

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 9 2007, 04:37 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Mar 9 2007, 03:17 AM)

This is what I'm afraid of, for Linda. Given enough time and the right software, a determined person with a computer can make anything. Even "proof."

So whatever your people have got had better be pretty good.

If they doctor images, the software that does the editing leaves a digital footprint; one needs to know how to view it... but whether an image is authentic or doctored is easily determined...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Pickle Mar 11 2007, 11:06 AM

According to a new letter posted at <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-buy-out-the-house.htm>, by May 16, 2004 Danny was talking about buying Linda's half of the house, and Linda thinks he was doing that already in April.

When did Danny give up trying to make things work, and decided instead that they were definitely going to split up?

Posted by: Jvat Mar 11 2007, 11:37 AM

What saddens me about all of this and it comes out clearly thru the letters, is that Danny really seemed to be unconcerned about the plight of Nathan. The least that he could have done was appear to be interested in the young man's recovery. All I see in the letters from both Walt and Danny are just issues of control and jumping to judgement. So pathetic. Surely does not reflect too well on either of them. There is no way that he has shown categorically that his wife was not actually involved in discussing issues about her son with the doctor. All I see are accusations that in fact inappropriate talks were taking place, with no apparent proof! What a shame!

Posted by: Pickle Mar 11 2007, 11:47 AM

And it looks like from <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-pile-of-what-02.htm> that Danny acknowledges that Linda was innocent at least through the end of March 2004, even when she was planning the alleged vacation to Florida in February with Brenda Walsh.

That web page also refers to another email of September 8, 2004. Wonder when that one will be online?

Posted by: daylily Mar 11 2007, 03:38 PM

If Danny actually did write those two latest emails just posted today, Mar 11, he has got be nuts! That has to be the most infantile writing I have EVER seen from a supposed adult and a Christian one at that.

Posted by: Johann Mar 11 2007, 03:47 PM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Mar 11 2007, 07:37 PM)

What saddens me about all of this and it comes out clearly thru the letters, is that Danny really seemed to be unconcerned about the plight of Nathan. The least that he could have done was appear to be interested in the young man's recovery. All I see in the letters from both Walt and Danny are just issues of control and jumping to judgement. So pathetic. Surely does not reflect too well on either of them. There is no way that he has shown categorically that his wife was not actually involved in discussing issues about her son with the doctor. All I see are accusations that in fact inappropriate talks were taking place, with no apparent proof! What a shame!

We must understand how essential it is to diminish the references to Nathan for Danny's camp. Strangely, but it seems like they think that if they do not manage to obscure his involvement they will have a greater problem convincing the world that Linda was all wrong.

Posted by: Ralph Mar 11 2007, 11:30 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 11 2007, 02:47 PM)

We must understand how essential it is to diminish the references to Nathan for Danny's camp. Strangely, but it seems like they think that if they do not manage to obscure his involvement they will have a greater problem convincing the world that Linda was all wrong.

I have noted that when some member in a family has a drug problem, everyone is affected. Mom, Dad and any relatives can't think of anything else. It is so disturbing that nothing else matters. And a person thinking romantically about a doctor when her son is on drugs?? Forget that! If Danny wasn't caring, that is a very dysfunctional home.

Posted by: Johann Mar 12 2007, 04:17 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Mar 12 2007, 07:30 AM)

I have noted that when some member in a family has a drug problem, everyone is affected. Mom, Dad and any relatives can't think of anything else. It is so disturbing that nothing else matters. And a person thinking romantically about a doctor when her son is on drugs?? Forget that! If Danny wasn't caring, that is a very dysfunctional home.

And I noticed this lack of care from Danny's side clearly already three months before Dr. Arild Abrahamsen arrived at 3ABN. Like both pastor John Lomacang and Dr. Walt Thompson said very early, was that Dr. Abrahamsen arrived so conveniently for Danny to make him his scapegoat to get rid of Linda. Both of these men have probably conveniently forgotten now that they ever said such a thing. But neither I nor Arild Abrahamsen have forgotten their words.

QUOTE(daylily @ Mar 11 2007, 11:38 PM)

If Danny actually did write those two latest emails just posted today, Mar 11, he has got be nuts! That has to be the most infantile writing I have EVER seen from a supposed adult and a Christian one at that.

Unfortunately for him, you are not the only one who thinks so. As I have said, Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy.

Posted by: Observer Mar 12 2007, 06:42 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Mar 11 2007, 11:30 PM)

I have noted that when some member in a family has a drug problem, everyone is affected. Mom, Dad and any relatives can't think of anything else. It is so disturbing that nothing else matters. And a person thinking romantically about a doctor when her son is on drugs?? Forget that! If Danny wasn't caring, that is a very dysfunctional home.

As one who has taken some professional training in treating people with substance abuse (No, I am not an expert in that field.) I can tell you that such treatment is most effective when all close family members are involved in the treatment program. It is a major hinderance to that treatment for a (step)parent to not be

involved. Such will place a significant additional burden on the other (step)parent who is cooperating with the treatment program.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 12 2007, 07:16 AM

I have a question about the email and letter Walt Thompson sent to Linda on May 4, 2004, the full text of which can be seen by the link below.

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum.htm>

I have just re-read all of the posts made on this thread since the posting of the May 4th ultimatum to Linda over on save3abn.com. Aside from Aletheia's post #80 on page 6, <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12418&view=findpost&p=183814> , where she says:

QUOTE

Linda didn't reply according to WT, nor did she do anything asked, do you think, maybe, that gave them a clue, to proceed and take it to the full board?

I haven't found anywhere else in this thread where it is stated whether or not the 30-day leave of absence was granted to Linda.

There has been lots of discussion here and verification by both sides that she went to the counselling in Kansas with Danny. Was this as a result of the ultimatum? Panama_Pete brought up that the employees were told to erase all traces of Linda from 3abn during that 30-day period while Linda was to be reflecting and hopefully changing her course so that her marriage and position could be restored.

Bystander, wwjd, Lee, since you have access to Danny who was actually there during this period and would, therefore, be a first-hand witness, could you please find out for me if Linda's 30-day leave of absence was granted?

Thank you,

PB

Posted by: watchbird Mar 12 2007, 09:01 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 12 2007, 08:16 AM)

I have a question about the email and letter Walt Thompson sent to Linda on May 4, 2004, the full text of which can be seen by the link below.

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-may-ultimatum.htm>

I have just re-read all of the posts made on this thread since the posting of the May 4th ultimatum to Linda over on save3abn.com. Aside from Aletheia's post #80 on page 6, <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12418&view=findpost&p=183814> , where she says:

I haven't found anywhere else in this thread where it is stated whether or not the 30-day leave of absence was granted to Linda.

There has been lots of discussion here and verification by both sides that she went to the counselling in Kansas with Danny. Was this as a result of the ultimatum? Panama_Pete brought up that the employees were told to erase all traces of Linda from 3abn during that 30-day period while

Linda was to be reflecting and hopefully changing her course so that her marriage and position could be restored.

Bystander, wwjd, Lee, since you have access to Danny who was actually there during this period and would, therefore, be a first-hand witness, could you please find out for me if Linda's 30-day leave of absence was granted?

Thank you,

PB

It seems self-evident that the 30 day leave of absence with its implied possibility of meaning that Linda could be restored to 3abn did not happen. From clues in other emails however, it is quite likely that there in actuality was no implied possibility of her returning to 3abn... and by this time Danny was telling her verbally that he wanted her to leave him as well. And yes, I know that is opposite to what he was saying to others at the time.... but he was not consistent in his emails to various people on this matter... sometimes contradicting himself in the same email.... or in an email sent a few hours after another.

But primarily I want to comment here on the sentence and question that I bolded above. The counseling session in Kansas was one in which both Danny and Linda were present. If you will read the letter from Walt Thompson carefully you will note that he specified the specific counselor to which Linda was to go for these 30 days, Ron and Nancy Rocky, and this was to be a "live in" relationship, not merely a series of counseling sessions. 30 days incommunicado with anyone other than the Rocky's and her "immediate family". One has to wonder what would have happened to Linda had she chosen to accept this offer.

One also has to wonder what the Rocky's knew before they agreed to "open their home to her". They are, after all, reputable counselors who give seminars in Adventist churches. It would, I would think, be of interest to know exactly what they were told and what plans they had for that 30 day period.

Notice also that she was free to choose another counselor only if they approved of her choice.

Notice also the life-time comment in one part of the ultimatum.

And do understand that besides the ultimatums that appear in this letter, there were others that were conveyed elsewhere. Read this one carefully and do not neglect reading "between the lines". Perhaps someone will share some of the other requirements that accompanied this.

As for Aletheia's comment.... notice the part that she omitted when she quoted from Thompson's letter.... including promises that he did not keep.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 12 2007, 09:21 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 12 2007, 08:01 AM) □

It seems self-evident that the 30 day leave of absence with its implied possibility of meaning that Linda could be restored to 3abn did not happen. From clues in other emails however, it is quite likely that there in actuality was no implied possibility of her returning to 3abn... and by this time Danny was telling her verbally that he wanted her to leave him as well. And yes, I know that is opposite to what he was saying to others at the time.... but he was not consistent in his emails to various people on this matter... sometimes contradicting himself in the same email.... or in an email sent a few hours after another.

But primarily I want to comment here on the sentence and question that I bolded above. The counseling session in Kansas was one in which both Danny and Linda were present. If you will read the letter from Walt Thompson carefully you will note that he specified the specific counselor to which Linda was to go for these 30 days, Ron and Nancy Rocky, and this was to be a "live in" relationship, not merely a series of

counseling sessions. 30 days incommunicado with anyone other than the Rocky's and her "immediate family". One has to wonder what would have happened to Linda had she chosen to accept this offer.

One also has to wonder what the Rocky's knew before they agreed to "open their home to her". They are, after all, reputable counselors who give seminars in Adventist churches. It would, I would think, be of interest to know exactly what they were told and what plans they had for that 30 day period.

Notice also that she was free to choose another counselor only if they approved of her choice.

Notice also the life-time comment in one part of the ultimatum.

And do understand that besides the ultimatums that appear in this letter, there were others that were conveyed elsewhere. Read this one carefully and do not neglect reading "between the lines". Perhaps someone will share some of the other requirements that accompanied this.

As for Aletheia's comment... notice the part that she omitted when she quoted from Thompson's letter... including promises that he did not keep.

VB,

Thanks for your clarification about Ron and Nancy Rocky.

Does anyone know when the counselling session in Kansas took place? Was it April, May, or?

Bystander, wwjd, Lee,

Can you add any information about the counselling session in Kansas? Glenetta said there were receipts for his time (her comments were posted by Aletheia). Also, I still would like to know if Linda's 30-day leave of absence was granted. It seems to me, from all I have read, that she was not in the 3abn area during this time.

VB

Posted by: watchbird Mar 12 2007, 09:29 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 12 2007, 10:01 AM) □

It seems self-evident that the 30 day leave of absence with its implied possibility of meaning that Linda could be restored to 3abn did not happen. From clues in other emails however, it is quite likely that there in actuality was no implied possibility of her returning to 3abn... and by this time Danny was telling her verbally that he wanted her to leave him as well. And yes, I know that is opposite to what he was saying to others at the time... but he was not consistent in his emails to various people on this matter... sometimes contradicting himself in the same email.... or in an email sent a few hours after another.

But primarily I want to comment here on the sentence and question that I bolded above. The counseling session in Kansas was one in which both Danny and Linda were present. If you will read the letter from Walt Thompson carefully you will note that he specified the specific counselor to which Linda was to go for these 30 days, Ron and Nancy Rocky, and this was to be a "live in" relationship, not merely a series of counseling sessions. 30 days incommunicado with anyone other than the Rocky's and her "immediate family". One has to wonder what would have happened to Linda had she chosen to accept this offer.

One also has to wonder what the Rocky's knew before they agreed to "open their home to her". They are, after all, reputable counselors who give seminars in Adventist churches. It would, I would think, be of interest to know exactly what they were told and what plans they had for that 30 day period.

Notice also that she was free to choose another counselor only if they approved of her choice.

Notice also the life-time comment in one part of the ultimatum.