

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Everything In The House

Posted by: Pickle Apr 1 2007, 01:14 PM

I see that Aletheia's tip finally reached Save3ABN.com at <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-untruths-furniture.htm>.

It brings up some interesting points not raised before on here.

1) While Danny did buy from Linda the furniture in their home on June 4, 2004, he excluded certain items, including furniture Linda brought into their marriage, from that agreement. And yet **he apparently never relinquished ownership of those excluded items**. And he stated emphatically in a later email to her that if she didn't sign a later agreement, she had to bring everything back that she had already taken, indicating that he still claimed ownership in those items.

Thus Linda agreed that Danny could exclusively own certain items, but we have no similar legally binding statement on the part of Danny that Linda could exclusively own certain items, **including her children's baby chairs**.

2) Danny claimed that he had a \$9000 guitar in financial affidavit last summer, but the 2004 house agreement mentions "guitars," plural, and Linda claimed last December that there were 18 guitars. What happened to the other guitars? Why aren't they listed on the affidavit?

Hmm. \$9000 x 18 =

Maybe they're not all worth \$9000.

Posted by: mozart Apr 1 2007, 04:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 1 2007, 01:14 PM)

I see that Aletheia's tip finally reached Save3ABN.com at <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-untruths-furniture.htm>.

It brings up some interesting points not raised before on here.

1) While Danny did buy from Linda the furniture in their home on June 4, 2004, he excluded certain items, including furniture Linda brought into their marriage, from that agreement. And yet **he apparently never relinquished ownership of those excluded items**. And he stated emphatically in a later email to her that if she didn't sign a later agreement, she had to bring everything back that she had already taken, indicating that he still claimed ownership in those items.

Thus Linda agreed that Danny could exclusively own certain items, but we have no similar legally binding statement on the part of Danny that Linda could exclusively own certain items, **including her children's baby chairs**.

2) Danny claimed that he had a \$9000 guitar in financial affidavit last summer, but the 2004 house agreement mentions "guitars," plural, and Linda claimed last December that there were 18 guitars. What happened to the other guitars? Why aren't they listed on the affidavit?

Hmm. \$9000 x 18 =

Maybe they're not all worth \$9000.

i do remember a 3abn guest giving danny an awesome Martin guitar a few years back. how does one play 18 guitars? seems if ppl are giving him expensive guitars and he can't possibly use more than 3 or 4 because of style and type that he'd be compelled to auction these off for the sake of the ministry. (since that is the notoriety that got him the guitars in the first place.) just my opinion

Posted by: Green Cochoa Apr 2 2007, 05:36 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 1 2007, 01:14 PM)

...

2) Danny claimed that he had a \$9000 guitar in financial affidavit last summer, but the 2004 house agreement mentions "guitars," plural, and Linda claimed last December that there were 18 guitars. What happened to the other guitars? Why aren't they listed on the affidavit?

Hmm. \$9000 x 18 =

Maybe they're not all worth \$9000.

rofl I think I know what may have happened to one of those guitars. rofl

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 2 2007, 10:24 AM

Aletheia,

You posted the following email in another thread:

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 12:41 AM

"I was in the house some time after she moved out and she had taken almost everything including stripping the walls of everything. I asked DS why he had let her take practically everything when actually he had paid her his share for it, he said , well I know, but she wanted it.....And people say he didn't love her and just wanted to dump her!!!! Yes, I remember they got a truck, a Uhaul or something"

You stated:

"Well if Linda lies, how can you trust anything that's come from her? or those like Johann who bear testimony based on what she's told them?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186976>

You stated as well:

"Linda lied. or Pickle did. I provided the evidence to show that. The thing about lies is they can be proven.

Where's your proof?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186984>

Aletheia, I know you have looked at this thread and the evidence that has been set forth herein:

Where is your proof? Whose testimoney did you bring here since you were not a witness?

Posted by: Johann Apr 2 2007, 04:49 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 2 2007, 06:24 PM)

Aletheia,

You posted the following email in another thread:

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 12:41 AM

"I was in the house some time after she moved out and she had taken almost everything including stripping the walls of everything. I asked DS why he had let her take practically everything when actually he had paid her his share for it, he said , well I know, but she wanted it.....And people say he didn't love her and just wanted to dump her!!!! Yes, I remember they got a truck, a Uhaul or something"

You stated:

"Well if Linda lies, how can you trust anything that's come from her? or those like Johann who bear testimony based on what she's told them?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186976>

You stated as well:

"Linda lied. or Pickle did. I provided the evidence to show that. The thing about lies is they can be proven.

Where's your proof?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186984>

Aletheia, I know you have looked at this thread and the evidence that has been set forth herein:

Where is your proof? Whose testimoney did you bring here since you were not a witness?

Cindy, would you kindly indicate where I have not told the truth. If that has ever happened, you can count on me repenting in sack and ashes.

Posted by: seraph|m Apr 2 2007, 05:37 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 2 2007, 11:24 AM)

Aletheia,

You posted the following email in another thread:

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 12:41 AM

"I was in the house some time after she moved out and she had taken almost everything including stripping the walls of everything. I asked DS why he had let her take practically everything when actually he had paid her his share for it, he said , well I know, but she wanted it.....And people say he didn't love her and just wanted to dump her!!!! Yes, I remember they got a truck, a Uhaul or something"

You stated:

"Well if Linda lies, how can you trust anything that's come from her? or those like Johann who bear testimony based on what she's told them?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186976>

You stated as well:

"Linda lied. or Pickle did. I provided the evidence to show that. The thing about lies is they can be proven.

Where's your proof?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186984>

Aletheia, I know you have looked at this thread and the evidence that has been set forth herein:

Where is your proof? Whose testimony did you bring here since you were not a witness?

interesting.

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 2 2007, 05:43 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Apr 2 2007, 07:37 PM)

Interesting.

'eah and I didn't appreciate Pickle posting that I gave him some tip for the trash he posts and publishes, or implying I am in anyway assisting, or responsible for anything on his website.

want nothing to do with his methods and tactics. They stink.

Questions for Bob, what was in the trucks[plural] and storage if Linda got nothing?and how many times did she move?


Somebody's pullin somebody's leg here, and it's not me.

Just one more twisted story with poor investigation, and partial facts by the Pickle and Joy team...

Posted by: seraph|m Apr 2 2007, 05:50 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 1 2007, 05:46 PM)

I do remember a 3abn guest giving danny an awesome Martin guitar a few years back. how does one play 18 guitars? seems if ppl are giving him expensive guitars and he can't possibly use more than 3 or 4 because of style and type **that he'd be compelled to auction these off for the sake of the ministry. (since that is the notoriety that got him the guitars in the first place.)** just my opinion


Mozart, that makes perfect sense. After all, it is the "ministry" one claim to be upholding, not his own self worth. 

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Apr 2 2007, 05:50 PM

Why are there so many closed-minded people I will never know.

It was my open and inquiring mind that brought me into the SDA church. It was also my open and inquiring mind that brought me to the conclusion that something was rotten in the state of 3ABN, which is why I finally allowed discussion on this 3ABN mess at MSDAOL, a few years after it was first discussed here. *My mind is still open, therefore, if evidence is produced that shows me differently, then I will respond accordingly here, at MSDAOL, and elsewhere.* So far, all the evidence I have seen here doesn't go well with Danny Shelton and company.


Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 2 2007, 05:51 PM



....just keep on enquiring...

Rosyroi
@}---;---;-----

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 2 2007, 05:56 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 2 2007, 12:24 PM) 

Aletheia,

You posted the following email in another thread:

----- Original Message -----

From: ****

To: ~ Cindy

Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 12:41 AM

"I was in the house some time after she moved out and she had taken almost everything including stripping the walls of everything. I asked DS why he had let her take practically everything when actually he had paid her his share for it, he said , well I know, but she wanted it....And people say he didn't love her and just wanted to dump her!!!! Yes, I remember they got a truck, a Uhaul or something"

You stated:

"Well if Linda lies, how can you trust anything that's come from her? or those like Johann who bear testimony based on what she's told them?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186976>

You stated as well:

"Linda lied. or Pickle did. I provided the evidence to show that. The thing about lies is they can be proven.

Where's your proof?"

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13025&view=findpost&p=186984>

Aletheia, I know you have looked at this thread and the evidence that has been set forth herein:

Where is your proof? Whose testimony did you bring here since you were not a witness?

Linda gave this letter to her from Danny to be posted, you forgot to include it with your quotes of what I posted...

Bob didn't include it in his little expose either...

Excerpt from letter published on Save3ABN:

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Shelton

To: Linda Shelton

Date: Monday, September 06, 2004 12:17 AM

..

Alyssa said you wanted to move on Sunday the 19th.

I have a board meeting that day so it won't work to get the rest of the furniture out of my house. You have lots of books too that need moving.

I guess if they took everything early in the day on the truck that's already loaded maybe they could load up the furniture at my house late in the day.

Does it look to you like Bob is claiming Linda took trucks of things?

He did quote where she apparently wanted to use the big 3ABN truck, why do you think she needed such a big truck, and these other trucks some loaded some not. NW?

Posted by: seraph|m Apr 2 2007, 06:16 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 2 2007, 06:56 PM) ☐

Linda gave this letter to her from Danny to be posted, you forgot to include it with your quotes of what I posted...

Bob didn't include it in his little expose either...

Excerpt from letter published on Save3ABN:

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Sheiton

To: Linda Shelton

Date: Monday, September 06, 2004 12:17 AM

...

Alyssa said you wanted to move on Sunday the 19th.

I have a board meeting that day so it won't work to get the rest of the furniture out of my house. You have lots of books too that need moving.

I guess if they took everything early in the day on the truck that's already loaded maybe they could load up the furniture at my house late in the day.

Does it look to you like Bob is claiming Linda took trucks of things?
He did quote where she apparently wanted to use the big 3ABN truck, why do you think she needed such a big truck, and these other trucks some loaded some not. NW?

MY house... hmmm, the attitude just seems so cold. But that's just my observation

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 2 2007, 06:24 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Apr 2 2007, 08:16 PM)

MY house... hmmm, the attitude just seems so cold. But that's just my observation

Well, sera, what should he call it 3 mos after the divorce, and after he had bought out her share, and paid her for all, and she had her own house?

Cold? ... when he was still letting her take things he had actually paid her for?

Posted by: Clay Apr 2 2007, 06:38 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 2 2007, 07:24 PM)

Well, sera, what should he call it 3 mos after the divorce, and after he had bought out her share, and paid her for all, and she had her own house?

Cold? ... when he was still letting her take things he had actually paid her for?

You were not a witness to any of this so how do you know? Oh that's right you believe what Danny says....

Posted by: Pickle Apr 2 2007, 10:23 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 2 2007, 05:43 PM)

Yeah and I didn't appreciate Pickle posting that I gave him some tip

Got to give credit where credit is due, whether you like it or not.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 2 2007, 05:43 PM)

Questions for Bob, what was in the trucks[plural] and storage if Linda got nothing?and how many times did she move?

I don't know. But I don't know why that matters.

Walt said Linda got everything in the house. Probably a poor choice of wording, for taken literally it means that she took the dishes, the towels, the sheets, everything. I think it safe to assume that he meant instead the furniture and pictures and things like that.

Danny very clearly indicates that not only does he still have major pieces of furniture, but he still owns what Linda has removed from the premises. Thus Danny contradicts what Walt has said in multiple ways, and again I thank you for bringing this to our attention.

So from what we can tell, Danny still claims ownership of Nathan and Alyssa's baby chairs, and he has only listed one guitar on his financial affidavit when Linda said he has 18, and when his own house agreement mentions "guitars."

Posted by: Green Cochoa Apr 2 2007, 10:45 PM

Anyone here know of a nice Adventist bed and breakfast in London?

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 3 2007, 08:01 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 2 2007, 06:56 PM)

Linda gave this letter to her from Danny to be posted, you forgot to include it with your quotes of what I posted...

Bob didn't include it in his little expose either...

Excerpt from letter published on Save3ABN:

----- Original Message -----

From: Danny Shelton

To: Linda Shelton

Date: Monday, September 06, 2004 12:17 AM

...

Alyssa said you wanted to move on Sunday the 19th.

I have a board meeting that day so it won't work to get the rest of the furniture out of my house. You have lots of books too that need moving.

I guess if they took everything early in the day on the truck that's already loaded maybe they could load up the furniture at my house late in the day.

Does it look to you like Bob is claiming Linda took trucks of things?

He did quote where she apparently wanted to use the big 3ABN truck, why do you think she needed such a big truck, and these other trucks some loaded some not. NW?

First, Aletheia, I think that for you and I to even discuss this email we need to come to an agreement on what it says. I did not find that a problem with the documents posted at save3abn.com regarding the furniture.

If I said to you, "Everything in my life is going wrong.", would you believe I meant that every single thing in my life was going wrong?

Do you believe that when DS writes in this message "I guess if they took everything" he is stating for a fact they took "everything"?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 3 2007, 08:14 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 3 2007, 06:01 AM)

First, Aletheia, I think that for you and I to even discuss this email we need to come to an agreement on what it says. I did not find that a problem with the documents documents posted at save3abn.com regarding the furniture.

If I said to you, "Everything in my life is going wrong." Would you believe I meant that every single thing in my life was going wrong?

Do you believe that when DS writes in this message "I guess if they took everything" he is stating for a fact they took "everything"?

Or maybe that he could have meant "I guess if they took everything they were going to take..."

Or "I guess if they took everything they were supposed to take..."?

Or, how about "I guess if they pick up everything we have agreed on..."

Posted by: mozart Apr 3 2007, 03:29 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 2 2007, 09:23 PM)

Got to give credit where credit is due, whether you like it or not.
I don't know. But I don't know why that matters.

Walt said Linda got everything in the house. Probably a poor choice of wording, for taken literally it means that she took the dishes, the towels, the sheets, everything. I think it safe to assume that he meant instead the furniture and pictures and things like that.

Danny very clearly indicates that not only does he still have major pieces of furniture, but he still owns what Linda has removed from the premises. Thus Danny contradicts what Walt has said in multiple ways, and again I thank you for bringing this to our attention.

So from what we can tell, Danny still claims ownership of Nathan and Alyssa's baby chairs, and he has only listed one guitar on his financial affidavit when Linda said he has 18, and when his own house agreement mentions "guitars."

honestly, i think we need to stop being so petty about this. Bob's point, if i understand him, is not all the silly details of who got what or when, but the 'all-ness' statements that walt makes in danny's defense. there are many of these such statements.

i think the point we should be concerned about is not what danny got or what linda got. what we should be concerned with are false statements, manipulation, misinformation and disinformation coming from these particular individuals. i believe that is the purpose for even bringing this topic to view. correct me if i'm wrong.

Posted by: Pickle Apr 3 2007, 04:07 PM

Correct, Mozart. The purpose of looking at these questions is to see who is being truthful. Both sides claim that they are telling the truth. Questions like this allow us to see which side really is.

Posted by: Johann Apr 4 2007, 06:49 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 4 2007, 12:07 AM)

Correct, Mozart. The purpose of looking at these questions is to see who is being truthful. Both sides claim that they are telling the truth. Questions like this allow us to see which side really is.

When you are telling the truth, truth remains truth. You do not need to invent one cover-up story after another like Danny Shelton and his friends have had to do through the past three years, as one deception after another falls to pieces.

Just look how angry they are at Bob Pickle because he is having such a hard time fitting all of their fictitious stories together.

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 4 2007, 07:31 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 3 2007, 04:29 PM)

honestly, i think we need to stop being so petty about this. Bob's point, if i understand him, is not all the silly details of who got what or when, but the 'all-ness' statements that walt makes in danny's defense. there are many of these such statements.

i think the point we should be concerned about is not what danny got or what linda got. what we should be concerned with are false statements, manipulation, misinformation and disinformation coming from these particular individuals. i believe that is the purpose for even bringing this topic to view. correct me if i'm wrong.

No correction needed Mozart. But not just WT's "all-ness" statements but the words wrenched and manipulated to argue a point where the weight of the other documentation establishes for most discerning individuals the meaning is otherwise.

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 3 2007, 05:07 PM)

Correct, Mozart. The purpose of looking at these questions is to see who is being truthful. Both sides claim that they are telling the truth. Questions like this allow us to see which side really is.



QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 4 2007, 07:49 AM)

Just look how angry they are at Bob Pickle because he is having such a hard time fitting all of their fictitious stories together.

It continues to amaze me that danny supporters argue that it does not matter whether they are perceived as credible or not and yet attacking the credibilty of Bob, Johann, Joy are a primary focus of their posts.

Posted by: Green Cochoa Apr 5 2007, 12:13 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 4 2007, 07:31 AM)

It continues to amaze me that danny supporters argue that it does not matter whether they are perceived as credible or not and yet attacking the credibilty of Bob, Johann, Joy are a primary focus of their posts.

Does it matter to anyone where Danny has left his guitar?

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 5 2007, 12:22 AM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 5 2007, 02:13 AM)

Does it matter to anyone where Danny has left his guitar?

I am often dense and especially at this hour but should i embarrass myself by asking if this question is connected to a nice little SDA B&B in london?

Posted by: Fran Apr 5 2007, 01:37 AM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 5 2007, 01:13 AM)

Does it matter to anyone where Danny has left his guitar?

OK. Spill the beans!

Posted by: nice&smooth Apr 5 2007, 04:14 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 1 2007, 01:14 PM)

I see that Aletheia's tip finally reached Save3ABN.com at <http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-untruths-furniture.htm>.

It brings up some interesting points not raised before on here.

1) While Danny did buy from Linda the furniture in their home on June 4, 2004, he excluded certain items, including furniture Linda brought into their marriage, from that agreement. And yet **he apparently never relinquished ownership of those excluded items**. And he stated emphatically in a later email to her that if she didn't sign a later agreement, she had to bring everything back that she had already taken, indicating that he still claimed ownership in those items.

Thus Linda agreed that Danny could exclusively own certain items, but we have no similar legally binding statement on the part of Danny that Linda could exclusively own certain items, **including her children's baby chairs**.

2) Danny claimed that he had a \$9000 guitar in financial affidavit last summer, but the 2004 house agreement mentions "guitars," plural, and Linda claimed last December that there were 18 guitars. What happened to the other guitars? Why aren't they listed on the affidavit?

Hmm. $\$9000 \times 18 = \dots$

Maybe they're not all worth \$9000.

All this chitter chatter bout Danny & Linda is enough to make you sick!
we dicussed christ like matters and soul winning as we do these people, We would have it going on like pork neck bones!! Leave danny and linda alone they have enough stuff on their plate to tend to without us bashing and laying investigative reporter bout their affairs, I could care less have bigger boca burgers to grill!!! Pardon me y'all go right ahead and continue your ...whatever!! o the fullest! And thats all i gotta say on this crapola

Posted by: Pickle Apr 5 2007, 07:41 AM

At some point if we ignore injustice, we stand condemned as the Levite and the priest in the parable of the good Samaritan.

Walt and Danny have no right to lie about anything, even if it is about such a "personal" matter as a divorce.

Posted by: JustTana Apr 5 2007, 01:19 PM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 5 2007, 02:13 AM)

Does it matter to anyone where Danny has left his guitar?

'eah, Greenie, Fran and I wanna know. We're waitin'!

TVsnack.

T

Posted by: nice&smooth Apr 5 2007, 01:44 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 5 2007, 07:41 AM)

At some point if we ignore injustice, we stand condemned as the Levite and the priest in the parable of the good Samaritan.

Walt and Danny have no right to lie about anything, even if it is about such a "personal" matter as a divorce.

Q. If you will help me out here? Who's the Levite and the Priest and the good Samaritan in this matter?

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 5 2007, 03:18 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 3 2007, 10:01 AM)

First, Aletheia, I think that for you and I to even discuss this email we need to come to an agreement on what it says. I did not find that a problem with the documents posted at save3abn.com regarding the furniture.

If I said to you, "Everything in my life is going wrong.", would you believe I meant that every single thing in my life was going wrong?

Do you believe that when DS writes in this message "I guess if they took everything" he is stating for a fact they took "everything"?

Aletheia,

There has been such a flurry of posting here at BSDA I thought you might have missed my response to your post to me.

Posted by: Pickle Apr 5 2007, 04:03 PM

QUOTE(nice&smooth @ Apr 5 2007, 01:44 PM)

Q. If you will help me out here? Who's the Levite and the Priest and the good Samaritan in this matter?

Here's my thought: We could say that Danny and Linda's divorce is none of our business, and we shouldn't deal with it at all. And actually that was what I did for quite some time.

Yet now the evidence is out that Danny had no biblical grounds for divorce, and even said he was through with the marriage because she hid his gun, though she only hid one gun, and he had two. Now it is coming to light that he is trying to gyp Linda out of her half of their assets. Then we have Danny allowing Shelley to suggest that Linda has the spirit of Antichrist on global TV.

If we just ignore injustice happening right before our eyes, and right on our TV screens, if we allow someone's life to be ruined with no just reasons for it, we are like the priest and the Levite who saw the man there wounded and in pain and need, and who walked away and did nothing.

Posted by: Green Cochoa Apr 5 2007, 05:21 PM

As I was travelling past that way
I stopped in London a few days
And lodged in comfort in a home
And in the day went out to roam
Now, Mrs. Masters cooked for me
A lovely breakfast, no added fee
And told me too of one guitar
Whose owner left it and went far
Away to southern Illinois
Where he must have another toy
For it had stayed there a good while
And if 'tis there still I would smile
For that has been now a long time
And that's the end of this short rhyme.

Posted by: mozart Apr 5 2007, 05:22 PM

and.... with all due respect bob, i'd like to add that this isn't just about defending linda or revealing other wrongdoing at 3abn. this is about people we have loved and trusted betraying us, deceiving us, the 3abn audience and contributors.

shelly quinn has eluded to some horrible finger pointing towards linda and even linda's daughter!
that is just plain wicked in so many ways i won't go into it at this time.

ms.quinn was not present in the shelton home. she doesn't know any more about the situation than what danny has told her and most of us don't know anymore than what has been told to us. ms.quinn has no right to speak to the world about such matters on 3abn and her slant on these subjects shows zero discernment and very anti-christlike behavior. how can we trust any teaching or preaching this woman does?

john lomacang (whom i have loved to watch over the years) has shocked me and hurt me with the same antics; lifting danny up to sainthood and making others look like the devil. he is deceived and it's very sad and very disappointing.

IMO, these people are wrongly using this ministry and we have a right and a duty to do something about it.

that's not why God built 3abn.

many have gone astray over there (as anyone with any insight whatsoever can see) and i believe it is because they have put "blind faith" in a human being.

i give danny every benefit of the doubt that maybe he has something emotionally wrong with him that makes him want to control people and manipulate them. i would rather he have some mental or emotional illness than to think he is fully aware of the wrong things he has done and refuses to be accountable for. the same goes for the rest who have partaken of this unholy ground.

the whole thing has been very hurtful not only to those in the line of fire but to us, the viewers.

3abn is broken...badly broken.

now for those who want to respond to this by turning the tables on me, save it. there's no point. we all know things can be looked at in many different ways, but my point here is that it is bad enough that certain people at 3abn are behaving the way that they are behind the scenes, but even worse that they are behaving this way in front of the cameras of this wonderful ministry. they don't have the right !! they all need to be banished from 3abn and let God appoint new people with fresh, untainted hearts and minds.

i guess i probably got way off topic here so forgive me, but we need to remember that what they have done, they have done to all of us.

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 5 2007, 05:23 PM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 5 2007, 06:21 PM)

As I was travelling past that way
I stopped in London a few days
And lodged in comfort in a home
And in the day went out to roam
Now, Mrs. Masters cooked for me
A lovely breakfast, no added fee
And told me too of one guitar
Whose owner left it and went far
Away to southern Illinois
Where he must have another toy
For it had stayed there a good while
And if 'tis there still I would smile
For that has been now a long time
And that's the end of this short rhyme.

Always did love poetry....

Posted by: nice&smooth Apr 5 2007, 05:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 5 2007, 04:03 PM)

Here's my thought: We could say that Danny and Linda's divorce is none of our business, and we shouldn't deal with it at all. And actually that was what I did for quite some time.

Yet now the evidence is out that Danny had no biblical grounds for divorce, and even said he was through with the marriage because she hid his gun, though she only hid one gun, and he had two. Now it is coming to light that he is trying to gyp Linda out of her half of their assets. Then we have Danny allowing Shelley to suggest that Linda has the spirit of Antichrist on global TV.

If we just ignore injustice happening right before our eyes, and right on our TV screens, if we allow someone's life to be ruined with no just reasons for it, we are like the priest and the Levite who saw the man there wounded and in pain and need, and who walked away and did nothing.

Excuse me "but did you ever answer my question?"

QUOTE(nice&smooth @ Apr 5 2007, 01:44 PM)

Q. If you will help me out here? Who's the Levite and the Priest and the good Samaritan in this matter?

Heres my question again!!

Posted by: princessdi Apr 5 2007, 06:52 PM

N&S you will have to read the information with the 3ABN Forum and come to your own conclusion on that. For this Forum it is best to have a bit of knowledge regarding the subject before posting.. there just no short easy answer to your question, and anyone attempting to answer it would do so with a good amount of biased.

QUOTE(nice&smooth @ Apr 5 2007, 04:46 PM)

Excuse me "but did you ever answer my question?"

Heres my question again!!

Posted by: Jnana15 Apr 5 2007, 08:47 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 5 2007, 06:22 PM)

and.... with all due respect bob, i'd like to add that this isn't just about defending linda or revealing other wrongdoing at 3abn. this is about people we have loved and trusted betraying us, deceiving us, the 3abn audience and contributors.

shelly quinn has eluded to some horrible finger pointing towards linda and even linda's daughter! that is just plain wicked in so many ways i won't go into it at this time.

ms.quinn was not present in the shelton home. she doesn't know any more about the situation than what danny has told her and most of us don't know anymore than what has been told to us. ms.quinn has no right to speak to the world about such matters on 3abn and her slant on these subjects shows zero discernment and very anti-christlike behavior. how can we trust any teaching or preaching this woman does?

john lomacang (whom i have loved to watch over the years) has shocked me and hurt me with the same antics; lifting danny up to sainthood and making others look like the devil. he is deceived and it's very sad and very disappointing.

IMO, these people are wrongly using this ministry and we have a right and a duty to do something about it.

that's not why God built 3abn.

many have gone astray over there (as anyone with any insight whatsoever can see) and i believe it is because they have put "blind faith" in a human being.

i give danny every benefit of the doubt that maybe he has something emotionally wrong with him that makes him want to control people and manipulate them. i would rather he have some mental or emotional illness than to think he is fully aware of the wrong things he has done and refuses to be accountable for. the same goes for the rest who have partaken of this unholy ground.

the whole thing has been very hurtful not only to those in the line of fire but to us, the viewers.

3abn is broken...badly broken.

now for those who want to respond to this by turning the tables on me, save it. there's no point. we all know things can be looked at in many different ways, but my point here is that it is bad enough that certain people at 3abn are behaving the way that they are behind the scenes, but even worse that they are behaving this way in front of the cameras of this wonderful ministry. they don't have the right !! they all need to be banished from 3abn and let God appoint new people with fresh, untainted hearts and minds. i guess i probably got way off topic here so forgive me, but we need to remember that what they have done, they have done to all of us.


Mozart, you took the words right out of my mouth.



Posted by: Brenda Apr 5 2007, 08:53 PM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 6 2007, 09:21 AM)

As I was travelling past that way
I stopped in London a few days
And lodged in comfort in a home
And in the day went out to roam
Now, Mrs. Masters cooked for me
A lovely breakfast, no added fee
And told me too of one guitar
Whose owner left it and went far
Away to southern Illinois
Where he must have another toy
For it had stayed there a good while
And if 'tis there still I would smile
For that has been now a long time
And that's the end of this short rhyme.



quite off topic, but is Mrs Masters still providing hospitality for wandering Adventists? I stayed there over 30 years ago, her husband was still alive then but I believe he is no longer with us.

Posted by: mozart Apr 5 2007, 10:09 PM

QUOTE(nice&smooth @ Apr 5 2007, 05:46 PM)

Excuse me "but did you ever answer my question?"

Heres my question again!!

N & S, mr pickle did answer your question. he was making an analogy, not meant to be specific. if you scroll up you will find it 3 or 4 post back. i will copy the 3rd paragraph for you.

mr. pickle said:

"If we just ignore injustice happening right before our eyes, and right on our TV screens, if we allow someone's life to be ruined with no just reasons for it, we are like the priest and the Levite who saw the man there wounded and in pain and need, and who walked away and did nothing."



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 5 2007, 10:35 PM

QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Apr 5 2007, 03:21 PM)

As I was travelling past that way
I stopped in London a few days
And lodged in comfort in a home
And in the day went out to roam
Now, Mrs. Masters cooked for me
A lovely breakfast, no added fee
And told me too of one guitar
Whose owner left it and went far
Away to southern Illinois
Where he must have another toy
For it had stayed there a good while
And if 'tis there still I would smile
For that has been now a long time
And that's the end of this short rhyme.

Greenie,
You certainly know how to turn a phrase!

Any insight as to why there is an expatriot guitar in Mrs. Master's home?

Posted by: Observer Apr 6 2007, 06:25 AM

Mozart said:

QUOTE

i give danny every benefit of the doubt that maybe he has something emotionally wrong with him that makes him want to control people and manipulate them. i would rather he have some mental or emotional illness than to think he is fully aware of the wrong things he has done and refuses to be accountable for. the same goes for the rest who have partaken of this unholy ground.

Folks, the desire to emotionally control and manipulate people should not be elevated to the level of emotional or mental illness. Such, when they exist simply amount to relationship issues which counseling may address. Mental health professionals do not give an illness diagnosis to every quirk of human nature, or to every aspect of a person that could be helped by counseling. As this is true, people who participate in counseling, may not be emotionally/mentally ill.

Posted by: Clay Apr 6 2007, 08:44 AM

QUOTE

It is rare indeed that people give. Most people guard and keep; they suppose that it is they themselves and what they identify with themselves that they are guarding and keeping, whereas what they are actually guarding and keeping is their system of reality and what they assume themselves to be.

-Baldwin

Posted by: nice&smooth Apr 6 2007, 01:38 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 5 2007, 10:09 PM)

N & S, mr pickle did answer your question. he was making an analogy, not meant to be specific. if you scroll up you will find it 3 or 4 post back. i will copy the 3rd paragraph for you.

mr. pickle said:

"If we just ignore injustice happening right before our eyes, and right on our TV screens, if we allow someone's life to be ruined with no just reasons for it, we are like the priest and the Levite who saw the man there wounded and in pain and need, and who walked away and did nothing."



Thanks

QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 5 2007, 06:52 PM)

N&S you will have to read the information with the 3ABN Forum and come to your own conclusion on that. Fir this Forum it is best to have abit of knowledge regarding the subject before posting.. there just no short easy answer to your question, and anyone attempting to answer it would do so with a good amount of biased.

**well there you go! thank you for getting my dumb you know what told!
i'll leave it alone its too deep for me!**

Posted by: princessdi Apr 6 2007, 01:44 PM

N&S, That is up to you. This is the advice that is given to ALL those who are new to this site and new to this forum. Itis set up so that newcomers can easily find info and get a basic understanding of the situation. As I said before please take you tone down a notch or two. No one is saying that it is too deep for you, but you.

QUOTE(nice&smooth @ Apr 6 2007, 11:38 AM)

Thanks

**well there you go! thank you for getting my dumb you know what told!
i'll leave it alone its too deep for me!**