

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ The Danny Shelton Letters To Linda

Posted by: calvin Mar 18 2007, 12:08 PM

These private emails that Linda is making public do little to vindicate her. To the casual observer of this very messy and public divorce, these letters raise more questions in this he said/she said drama. Linda comes across as the one who as not moved on after three years that is wearing old and tired for many people.

In reading these emails from Danny on save3abn, the one consistence thing throughout is Danny's concern and believe that his wife was spending far too much time on the phone with the Dr. For you insiders, you say this is all a smoke screen, a convenience excuse to rid of his wife, doesn't appear that way. So was Linda spending hours a day on the phone, and why? Was she being discreet or openly taunting her husband? When you know something is a hot button with your spouse to the point that it could jeopardize your marriage and your job, after warning by several people around you, why would you continue to do it?

I think Linda would have done better by taking the high road, moving on with her life, her ministry if that is what she wants to do. Let others bash around this story. Now she comes across as another bitter, revengeful ex-wife.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 18 2007, 12:21 PM

One question, Calvin, is whether Linda is the one who has made these emails public. That site has explicitly marked some of them as not coming from her.

You've asked some good questions: How long was she talking on the phone, and why?

John Lomacang, Walt, Hal, and Danny have all claimed that they can prove the length, frequency, and/or content of these phone calls, and yet they refuse to do so.

Still, the questions remain. I asked a BSDA'er yesterday (not in PM) why Linda didn't just stop if her husband wanted her to stop. She said that a mother will do anything for her child.

But one thing is clear: Danny had no grounds for divorce, and even Walt claims that a year after the crisis, he still had no evidence that Linda had committed adultery.

How long and how frequent were those phone calls, and what was the content of those conversations, and to whom were they all made? I'd like to know that myself.

Posted by: mozart Mar 18 2007, 01:10 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 18 2007, 11:21 AM) □

One question, Calvin, is whether Linda is the one who has made these emails public. That site has explicitly marked some of them as not coming from her.

You've asked some good questions: How long was she talking on the phone, and why?

John Lomacang, Walt, Hal, and Danny have all claimed that they can prove the length, frequency, and/or content of these phone calls, and yet they refuse to do so.

Still, the questions remain. I asked a BSDA'er yesterday (not in PM) why Linda didn't just stop if her husband wanted her to stop. She said that a mother will do anything for her child.

But one thing is clear: Danny had no grounds for divorce, and even Walt claims that a year after the crisis, he still had no evidence that Linda had committed adultery.

How long and how frequent were those phone calls, and what was the content of those conversations, and to whom were they all made? I'd like to know that myself.


It seems easy to assume that the evidence they claim to have on Linda is probably very questionable as to proving their cause and also questionable in the legal means in which it was obtained.

-->**and to Calvin**<--- I'm not sure why you criticize Linda assessing she is carrying on after 3yrs. when the Danny hasn't shut up to this day. Also, the poor girl was coerced (sp) into a gag order or walk out empty handed. **All the while 3abn airtime was being used to tell the world not of the 3 angels message but of the sordid accusations and assumptions that a bitter, controlling husband has toward his ex-wife.** how can you peg Linda this way and not Danny. She seems from all i have observed to have taken the high road as far as the public is concerned and was quiet and still is pretty quiet about the whole thing. these emails from him to her don't sound like a man with all his wits about him. he is mocking and egging her on to react to him. sounds to me like he is trying his best to make her blow so he can say: "see, see how she is". these are not the actions of a stable or Christian man. how long would you expect yourself to keep quiet when Danny is shouting out to the whole world what a terrible person you are on his own satellite station! what platform does Linda have calvin? i think your assessment is being very unfair.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 18 2007, 01:23 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 18 2007, 02:10 PM)

these emails from him to her don't sound like a man with all his wits about him. he is mocking and egging her on to react to him. sounds to me like he is trying his best to make her blow so he can say: "see, see how she is". these are not the actions of a stable or Christian man.



Posted by: calvin Mar 18 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 18 2007, 01:10 PM)

it's seems easy to assume that the evidence they claim to have on Linda is probably very questionable as to proving their cause and also questionable in the legal means in which it was obtained.

--->**and to Calvin**<--- I'm not sure why you criticize Linda assessing she is carrying on after 3yrs. when the Danny hasn't shut up to this day. Also, the poor girl was coerced (sp) into a gag order or walk out empty handed. **All the while 3abn airtime was being used to tell the world not of the 3 angels message but of the sordid accusations and assumptions that a bitter, controlling husband has toward his ex-wife.** how can you peg Linda this way and not Danny. She seems from all i have observed to have taken the high road as far as the public is concerned and was quiet and still is pretty quiet about the whole thing. these emails from him to her don't sound like a man with all his wits about him. he is mocking and egging her on to react to him. sounds to me like he is trying his best to make her blow so he

can say: "see, see how she is". these are not the actions of a stable or Christian man. how long would you expect yourself to keep quiet when Danny is shouting out to the whole world what a terrible person you are on his own satellite station! what platform does Linda have Calvin? I think your assessment is being very unfair.



seriously question whether this bashing of Linda by Danny is still continuing. Danny when on for 40 minutes last month on live 3abn about the lies being told about him, but made no mention of Linda. No mention of Linda on 3abn website, no mention of Linda in 3abn World magazine (my sister-law is on the cover, BTW). The public does not see any Linda bashing, at least not anymore.... and please don't tell me Danny is spending time staying one step ahead of Linda making contacts to keep her from working, he has remarried and moved on, time for Linda to do the same.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 18 2007, 02:01 PM

Calvin, if you consider the date on at least the email posted here>>>><http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12943&st=0> You will find that it is well after the time Linda has signed the gag order, and once again only Danny's side. He has plenty of time and opportunity to "set the stage" as he pleases. *He* said showed concern in this email. Once again this email is sent, when Linda cannot respond. I doubt she would authorize the release of such a one sided account. This is why you have to look beyond the surface. And once again, I would have to ask where Danny was for Linda to have these huge amounts of time for the phone, trips, all that he claims? Nobody else is asking that question, and nobody has yet to answer.

Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 18 2007, 02:11 PM

[quote] name='calvin' date='Mar 18 2007, 02:46 PM' post='186215'
I seriously question whether this bashing of Linda by Danny is still continuing. Danny when on for 40 minutes last month on live 3abn about the lies being told about him, but made no mention of Linda. No mention of Linda on 3abn website, no mention of Linda in 3abn World magazine (my sister-law is on the cover, BTW). The public does not see any Linda bashing, at least not anymore.... and please don't tell me Danny is spending time staying one step ahead of Linda making contacts to keep her from working, he has remarried and moved on, time for Linda to do the same.[quote]



Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Mar 18 2007, 03:43 PM

Calvin,

Are you saying that we should cease these discussions about Danny, Linda, Tommy, 3ABN, etc. and let them all get on with their lives?

Posted by: Clay Mar 18 2007, 03:54 PM

IMO the letters DO NOT make Linda look bad... to me it shows that Danny was not handling his business.... for if he was Linda would not have been spending lots of time on the phone with a person trying to get help for her son or processing that information..... Had he Danny been the husband he should have been, he would have been that listening ear.... Then he had the nerve to get ticked cause his

wife was spending time on the phone.....

He should have stepped up and been a man.... instead of parading around with his short self trying to be emperor of all he surveyed, he should have attempted to do all he could humanly do for his stepson.....

So for me it shows that Danny came up short..... then wanted to blame Linda.....

Posted by: Bystander Mar 18 2007, 04:08 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 18 2007, 11:08 AM) □

These private emails that Linda is making public do little to vindicate her. To the casual observer of this very messy and public divorce, these letters raise more questions in this he said/she said drama. Linda comes across as the one who as not moved on after three years that is wearing old and tired for many people.

In reading these emails from Danny on save3abn, the one consistence thing throughout is Danny's concern and believe that his wife was spending far too much time on the phone with the Dr. For you insiders, you say this is all a smoke screen, a convenience excuse to rid of his wife, doesn't appear that way. So was Linda spending hours a day on the phone, and why? Was she being discreet or openly taunting her husband? When you know something is a hot button with your spouse to the point that it could jeopardize your marriage and your job, after warning by several people around you, why would you continue to do it?

I think Linda would have done better by taking the high road, moving on with her life, her ministry if that is what she wants to do. Let others bash around this story. Now she comes across as another bitter, revengeful ex-wife.

Calvin you nailed it. Not to mention, that these emails only confirm DS's statements all along.

1. He did not want to get a rid of a wife he dearly loved. Proven by the fact of his email to her of sept, months after the divorce where he calls her Doll, and tells her that it is still not too late for their marriage or her ministry at 3abn.

If he was looking for a way to dump her why on earth would he still be offering reconciliation that long after the divorce?

2. The emails prove that he believed 100% that LS was being unfaithful with the Doc. The frustration, anger and hurt show through.

3. The ongoing exchange of emails to Johann and the doc and LS does not point, at all, to a man who was looking for excuses to get rid of his wife. Quite the opposite. They prove that he was fighting tooth and nail to keep her and to get her out of this relationship.

4. The emails that warn her that she is being watched is completely opposite of someone wanting to "set up" their spouse. Had he wanted excuses to dump her, he would have never told her she was being watched. Anyone can see he was just desperately trying to get her away from the Doc.

5. The big hoopla here over the chocolate covered poop originated from her reference of the Doc being chocolate cake to her. Again, confirmed in the emails.

I feel like I am living in the twilight zone. While you all are going on about poop, the emails posted to go against Ds, actually exonerate him!!! No one would keep fighting like that for their wife if they didn't love her and only wanted to get rid of her. How could not see those emails as confirmation that DS was telling the truth all along?

Posted by: Clay Mar 18 2007, 04:10 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 18 2007, 05:08 PM)

Calvin you nailed it. Not to mention, that these emails only confirm DS's statements all along.

1. He did not want to get a rid of a wife he dearly loved. Proven by the fact of his email to her of sept, months after the divorce where he calls her Doll, and tells her that it is still not too late for their marriage or her ministry at 3abn.

If he was looking for a way to dump her why on earth would he still be offering reconciliation that long after the divorce?

2. The emails prove that he believed 100% that LS was being unfaithful with the Doc. The frustration, anger and hurt show through.

3.The ongoing exchange of emails to Johann and the doc and LS does not point, at all, to a man who was looking for excuses to get rid of his wife. Quite the opposite. They prove that he was fighting tooth and nail to keep her and to get her out of this relationship.

4. The emails that warn her that she is being watched is completely opposite of someone wanting to "set up" their spouse. Had he wanted excuses to dump her, he would have never told her she was being watched. Anyone can see he was just desperately trying to get her away from the Doc.

5. The big hoopla here over the chocolate covered poop originated from her reference of the Doc being chocolate cake to her. Again, confirmed in the emails.

I feel like I am living in the twilight zone. While you all are going on about poop, the emails posted to go against Ds, actually exonerate him!!! No one would keep fighting like that for their wife if they didn't love her and only wanted to get rid of her. How could not see those emails as confirmation that DS was telling the truth all along?

again you are entitled to your opinion.... even when you are wrong..... and full of.... propaganda..... for the record... Calvin can read it and come to that conclusion, I disagree with his conclusion and have shared my opinion from what I have read in those letters.... you however Bystander are simply wrong...

Posted by: calvin Mar 18 2007, 04:34 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Mar 18 2007, 03:43 PM)

Calvin,

Are you saying that we should cease these discussions about Danny, Linda, Tommy, 3ABN, etc. and let them all get on with their lives?


Well Darryl, let me say that if we stopped the discussion on BSDA today, I would not miss it or complain. But it is not really about what I think is interesting to discussion. We rarely close topics here, but let them die a nature death for lack of interest, so the same will apply wit 3abn....and occasionally I will take off my administrator hat and chime in.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 18 2007, 04:53 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 18 2007, 03:08 PM)


Calvin you nailed it.

I feel like I am living in the twilight zone.....How could not see those emails as confirmation that DS was telling the truth all along?



'ou should feel like you are in the twilight zone. Exonerate him? ARE YOU KIDDING? You appear to be mixed up. You can't save DS from his own words. He is who he is. But, no doubt you will continue, and my guess is it' will get worse before it get's better.

t is clear, however, that he has to come up with some kind of spin on these since they are out.... it is highly unlikely he will ever admit to any wrong he has done, so his only other option is to get even more creative to make it all look OK. I would expect nothing more or less from him. He's in pretty deep, and it's not hidden behind the scenes' any more. Nothing like the real deal!



Posted by: Pickle Mar 18 2007, 04:58 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 18 2007, 02:46 PM)

I seriously question whether this bashing of Linda by Danny is still continuing. Danny when on for 40 minutes last month on live 3abn about the lies being told about him, but made no mention of Linda,. No mention of Linda on 3abn website, no mention of Linda in 3abn World magazine (my sister-law is on the cover, BTW). The public does not see any Linda bashing, as least not anymore.... and please don't tell me Danny is spending time staying one step ahead of Linda making contacts to keep her from working, he has remarried and moved on, time for Lind to do the same.

Calvin,

personally heard Danny in that 40 minutes on the Feb. 15, 2007, broadcast say that the "little group" was conspiring to put 3ABN under the control of Linda. There may have been other insinuations too, but that is one I remember.

Also on Dec. 31, Larry Ewing bashed Tommy's predecessor, which would have to be Linda.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 18 2007, 05:45 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 18 2007, 03:01 PM)


Calvin, if you consider the date on at least the email posted here>>>><http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12943&st=0> You will find that it is well after the time Linda has signed the gag order, and once again only Danny's side. He has plenty of time and opportunity to "set the stage" as he pleases. *He* said showed concern in this email. Once again this email is sent, when Linda cannot respond. I doubt she would authorize the release of such a one sided account. This is why you have to look beyond the surface. And once again, I owuld have to ask where Danny was for Linda to hae these huge amounts of time for the phone, trips, allt aht he claims? Nobody else is aksing that question,a nd nobody has yet to answer.

IA.

Personally after reading the letter - and seeing the date - I see a man that is "settin up" stuff for his view to look "better".....

The fact remains that if the man wanted Linda back he wouldn't have "remarried" in less than 2 years and he wouldn't have been "dating" that quick....

JMO



Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 18 2007, 05:49 PM


QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 18 2007, 04:45 PM) □

IA.

Personally after reading the letter - and seeing the date - I see a man that is "settin up" stuff for his view to look "better".....

The fact remains that if the man wanted Linda back he wouldn't have "remarried" in less than 2 years and he wouldn't have been "dating" that quick....

JMO



You too have a keen eye...

Your a smart cookie.



Posted by: Noahswife Mar 18 2007, 06:18 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 18 2007, 06:34 PM) □

Well Darryl, let me say that if we stopped the discussion on BSDA today, I would not miss it or complain. But it is not really about what I think is interesting to discussion. We rarely close topics here, but let them die a nature death for lack of interest, so the same will apply wit 3abn....and occasionally I will take off my administrator hat and chime in.

Calvin,

I know that one positive result of allowing the 3abn topic to continue is that many more people will come to BSDA that might never have heard about it otherwise and that many of those people, like myself, will be

here posting long after the topic is of any interest because of the friendships and all the rest BSDA has to offer.

nw
C"i"

Posted by: princessdi Mar 18 2007, 07:03 PM

I'm sorry, BS, but an email dated almost a year after Linda signs gag order and Danny himself has initiatea quickie Guam divorce, just aint' workin' for me. These are still Danny's words, which are contrary to his own actions. All day long actions speak louder than words. Those actions being:

- 1) A gag order to ensure Linda's silence - If she was indeed guilty why does she have to keep quiet while he tells everybody who will listen?
- 2) A quickie Guam dvice
- 3) The almost immediate appearance and courtship with Brandi
- 4) The equally quicke wedding to Brandi before the ink was dry after winning the case when Linda contested the Guam divorce(It is Linda who looks more like someone wanting their marriage since she contested the divorce, BTJM)

Now, BS I keep telling you at least in my case it is Danny's actions which condemn him in my eyes. His actions are not the one of a man who dearly loved his wife or wanted his married. However, they are the actions of a man who wanted out, and "found" an "excuse". Twist a few facts, and Voila!! Once again,I amasking.....where was Danny when Linda had ALL this time to be on the phone, travel, etc. Where was Danny when she was seeing about he son? Why would she even need to reach outside of he marriage for support? It is these unanswered questions and Danny's actions that have brought me to the conclusions that I have now. Now, about Linda, I don't know. I gues when and if the time comes we will see about that. However, Whilst Danny has ensured that he would be the only one talking, then he is the only one to ask.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 18 2007, 03:08 PM)

I feel like I am living in the twilight zone. While you all are going on about poop, the emails posted to go against Ds, actually exonerate him!!! No one would keep fighting like that for their wife if they didn't love her and only wanted to get rid of her. How could not see those emails as confirmation that DS was telling the truth all along?

Posted by: calvin Mar 18 2007, 07:13 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 18 2007, 06:18 PM)

Calvin,

I know that one positive result of allowing the 3abn topic to continue is that many more people will come to BSDA that might never have heard about it otherwise and that many of those people, like myself, will be here posting long after the topic is of any interest because of the friendships and all the rest BSDA has to offer.

nw
C"i"

That's right NW and I am glad you rest of the 3abn enthusiast found your way here.

Posted by: Ralph Mar 18 2007, 07:40 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 18 2007, 04:58 PM)

Calvin,

I personally heard Danny in that 40 minutes on the Feb. 15, 2007, broadcast say that the "little group" was conspiring to put 3ABN under the control of Linda. There may have been other insinuations too, but that is one I remember.

Also on Dec. 31, Larry Ewing bashed Tommy's predecessor, which would have to be Linda.

I believe Danny was very careful not to name any names although the insinuation was there.

QUOTE

They want to replace the board with their own people and put it into the very hands of the person who had to leave this ministry because of things that didn't comply with the ministry. and had to be let go so that's just not a that's just not an option.

If he spoke differently in the original airing, please let me know.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 18 2007, 07:46 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Mar 18 2007, 06:40 PM)

I believe Danny was very careful not to name any names although the insinuation was there.

If he spoke differently in the original airing, please let me know.

We would do well to learn from those that have been fooled by their version of truth. BS/DS and groupies are about fooling and distracting you into seeing things from the view they want seen - this has little to do with helping you to see truth - "their truth" has nothing to do with the facts of the matter - their goal appears to convince the gullable public to see things the most convenient way for them.

Is the hand quicker then the eye??

Posted by: Jvat Mar 18 2007, 08:21 PM

When I read Danny's letters to Linda, I am sorry but all I see is a man wanting to control his wife. I keep asking why is it that he makes absolutely no mention of Nathan in these e-mails? Why? Was he not concerned in the slightest bit? Sorry I see no trace of a man wanting his wife back because he loved her.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 18 2007, 08:24 PM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Mar 18 2007, 07:21 PM)

When I read Danny's letters to Linda, I am sorry but all I see is a man wanting to control his wife. I keep asking why is it that he makes absolutely no mention of Nathan in these e-mails? Why? Was he not concerned in the slightest bit? Sorry I see no trace of a man wanting his wife back because he loved her.

Keen eyes I say....all about us...

Posted by: princessdi Mar 18 2007, 09:12 PM

Well, you have to take into consideration that Danny and his supporters are maintaining that Linda is behind everything be said against him and 3ABN so far. They believe her to be the source of all if not most of the info getting out, or at least they want for it to look as if she is. So when he refers to a small group, he means a small group "encouraged" and "manipulated" by Linda.

QUOTE(Ralph @ Mar 18 2007, 06:40 PM)

I believe Danny was very careful not to name any names although the insinuation was there.

If he spoke differently in the original airing, please let me know.

Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 18 2007, 09:45 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 18 2007, 11:12 PM)

Well, you have to take into consideration that Danny and his supporters are maintaining that Linda is behind everything be said against him and 3ABN so far. They believe her to be the source of all if not most of the info getting out, or at least they want for it to look as if she is. So when he refers to a small group, he means a small group "encouraged" and "manipulated" by Linda.

I dont know that they actually believe Linda to be the source of the info... they do, however, want the casual observer to believe that she is... whether she actually is or not...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: princessdi Mar 18 2007, 09:57 PM

I am not that sure they believe it either. I think they are playing a game with the comditions of the gag order. Making Danny look, once again, like the kind, gnereous long soffering, now ex-husband.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 18 2007, 08:45 PM)

I dont know that they actually believe Linda to be the source of the info... they do, however, want the casual observer to believe that she is... whether she actually is or not...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: from-the-pew Mar 19 2007, 06:27 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 18 2007, 11:57 PM)

Making Danny look, once again, like the kind, gnereous long soffering, now ex-husband.

notworkir

The Emails make me wonder if he was always like that during the marriage? And if he was.... wow.... My sympathies to the wives. I couldn't live like that - at least, not happily.

Posted by: Johann Mar 19 2007, 07:07 AM

QUOTE(from-the-pew @ Mar 19 2007, 02:27 PM)

notworkir

The Emails make me wonder if he was always like that during the marriage? And if he was.... wow.... My sympathies to the wives. I couldn't live like that.

But still some people insist they know exactly how loving and kind Danny is without ever experiencing how it is to be his wife.

Yes, I got it from Linda, and yet I did not quite understand it until my wife explained it to me. She talked to Linda. They went on walks together, they went into the woods picking blueberries together.

I must admit that Irmgard ignored it when Linda said to her, "Don't tell Johann!" From Linda's experience no man would understand a number of the things she had to suffer. Those spinning around Danny as the center of the whole universe, understand nothing of what she suffered.

Then people like you see it immediately. There is something in a Divine relationship they may never have experienced.

I was reminded of this through a conversation I had with a member who is forced to associate with a distant church rather than their local church. Even though a couple of pastors have dealt with the problem and concluded that a female church member had done what was correct and in accordance with our church manual, certain males insist that she has lied. Why? It seems evident that this is because she is a woman, and in cases like this you must trust the man, even if this case does not deal with sexual morals. So the case is splitting a church.

I do not have this in writing, but I recall clearly that at the beginning Danny maintained that people would believe he was telling the truth, and not Linda - because she is a woman. And he also maintained that this is why he would have no problem divorcing Linda.

Do you females on this board feel that males have a patent on truth?

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 19 2007, 09:03 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 18 2007, 01:21 PM) □

One question, Calvin, is whether Linda is the one who has made these emails public. That site has explicitly marked some of them as not coming from her.

Pickle, please.

Has she protested or complained that you are publishing them, or asked you to remove them???

Are you all working with her, and vice versa, or not?

Any way you cut it they came from Linda. They were private e-mails between the two of them. Watchbird already posted they were forwarded to her, and Johann has too. No one could have got them without her giving them to them. I say that because it's rather hard to believe those who are supporting her stole them from her,, especially as no one has claimed that to this day.

Anyway you look at it, it seems she was bringing a whole lot of people in between her and her husband behind his back. You don't see her doing it openly as no one else's name are in the headers...

That doesn't seem to me, to show a whole lot of concern or respect, to say the least..

Posted by: princessdi Mar 19 2007, 09:14 AM

Pickle, please.


Has she protested or complained that you are publishing them, or asked you to remove them???

Did Danny? It would be a lot different if we had an email from him expressing his displeasure but we, don't. We know why Linda is Silent, Why is he, He's been talking all this time.

Are you all working with her, and vice versa, or not?

Are you all working with Danny? Don't ask questions you are not willing to answer.....honestly answer. Any way you cut it they came from Linda. They were private e-mails between the two of them. Watchbird already posted they were forwarded to her, and Johann has too. No one could have got them without her giving them to them. I say that because it's rather hard to believe those who are supporting her stole them from her,, especially as no one has claimed that to this day.

She(Linda) is not the only one who had copies of these emails...remember there were two of them in the conversation, one, however, under s gag order.....You act as if they left Danny's possession once he sent them..... Not to mention a fe bcc's along the way. You know the folks filling' you in really ought to

do a better job 

Anyway you look at it, it seems she was bringing a whole lot of people in between her and her husband behind his back. You don't see her doing it openly as no one else's name are in the headers...

That doesn't seem to me, to show a whole lot of concern or respect, to say the least..

Posted by: justme Mar 19 2007, 09:42 AM

I, too have questions.

Where was Danny's "stopwatch", I.E. the one he used to time Linda's phone calls, where as the concern when he was spending long hours in person, not on t he phone, with Brenda, at midnight and beyond, at the church?

Where was all his concern about what looks good and what doesn't look good all those years, late at night, when he was in Alyssa's bedroom?

(To say nothing of the 7 other women who have spoken out.)

I do notice that Danny's emails sound more "manipulating and taunting than sorrowful". Already his method is to try to "belittle" his so-called "competition". Danny refused for years to offer help to Nathan. What happened to the theme of "mending hearts and broken people"? He could have started at home. Any truly understanding Christian husband "who has done his homework" and who has given the occupants of his own home the 'feeding and the nurture" he should have, will continue to support his wife and her son, rather than casting them aside and creating a void for someone else to fill. His conscience was full of condemnation for him to ignore her needs and hurl huge stones at her. All this was done after he had already decided to get rid of her. Carefully planned and carried out. It came as a shock to Linda, who knew by now that Brenda, Mollie, and Kay had betrayed her. All this email stuff is window dressing after the fact designed to say, "See I did try to get her back". He has found out that he cannot un-ring a bell.

Linda absolutely has to clear her name. You cannot throw gasoline on a flower, light it, and then expect it to flourish again. Fortunately, her roots are deep in Jesus, so she will survive.

There have been other ministries where someone has had to be forgiven. And they have asked and have made a comeback. But Linda doesn't deserve the condemnation, the penalty, nor a plea bargain. She was / is not guilty as charged. Sure, it would be easier to let it go, but your name is never cleared, ever. Danny threw the fuel, lit the match, and burned her very bad. The very least he could do is to apologize for that much, guilty or not. He certainly does expect everyone to "forget" his weaknesses even without confessing or repenting. Has he done the right thing?

For Linda to "move on" and let it go, is to admit guilt. Personally, I would never do that myself, never!

Danny has NOT moved on. He is more scared right now, than he has ever been since the investigation into his first wife's death. And all this came about because he has thumbed his nose at all his viewers. All he cares about is Danny. He admits that Brandy has been "after hin for 17 years" and that flatters him. He expects Linda to ignore that as well. And just because some friendly Pharisees in the local conference have "OKed" his remarriage he assumes he's "home free".

Danny has a way of generating more questions every time he draws a breath.

Linda, better than anyone els,e knows that these emails are heartless attempts to manipulate her as he always has. Look at all the little catch phrases he still uses with her. Because they worked before, ... but now her eyes are opened.

Do you honestly believe that if all this were totally reversed that Danny would be ignoring the slander and defamation if it were hurled at him? Not on your life, he wouldn't. The reason he can no longer come up with any darts to hurl at Linda is because his quiver is empty. But it still quivers. He.got caught lying on every one of them.

Dannys bashing IS[b] continuing without adding anything new because he has not apologized for the bells he has already set to ringing across the world. So long as he permits his lies to continue to circulate he is continuing to attack Linda. He has set into motion a steam roller that continues to roll out of control. he set it into motion and he is doing nothing to control it's damage. Now he's concerned that it may roll around and come back on him, as wll he should. And it's still rolling towards Linda. I don't think she should ignore it.

Around the time Linda was fired I called 2ABN and suggested that they develop a program for people with drug problems. They did, but was to late for Nathan, and an insult for Linda. For them to ACT as if they had always cared about young people with drug issues ... what joke in timing.

Danny has not gotten on with his life as I see it. His life needs to ga way back and clean up things with God. Danny seems to forget that there is a law of "cause and effect", and that for evey man, what he sows he reaps, God is not mocked.

Put yourself in Linda's shoes, feel the blisters? the pinching? the burns?

How can you go into a new ministry until you can hold your head above reproach???

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 19 2007, 09:47 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 19 2007, 11:03 AM)

You don't see her doing it openly as no one elses name are in the headers...

Aletheia, how in the world would you possibly know that?

Did you not tell me in another post when I asked you if you knew something that, and I quote, "Certainly I know, otherwise I wouldn't say anything"?

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12418&view=findpost&p=186235>

nw
C"i"

Posted by: from-the-pew Mar 19 2007, 10:28 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 19 2007, 11:47 AM)

Aletheia, how in the world would you possibly know that?


Did you not tell me in another post when I asked you if you knew something that, and I quote, "Certainly I know, otherwise I wouldn't say anything"?

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12418&view=findpost&p=186235>

nw
C"i"

Apparently she's not aware of "BCC" (blind carbon copy)


Posted by: princessdi Mar 19 2007, 11:45 AM


I tried to tell her..... 


QUOTE(from-the-pew @ Mar 19 2007, 09:28 AM) 

Apparently she's not aware of "BCC" (blind carbon copy)

Posted by: from-the-pew Mar 19 2007, 11:48 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 19 2007, 12:45 PM) 

I tried to tell her..... 

What else is there to do? 

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 19 2007, 03:07 PM

justme,

While there are a few things in your post that I have not personally verified, I have to strongly commend you on a beautifully stated overview of the core problems in this 3abn saga!

Well done, brother!

PB

Posted by: Truly Blonde Mar 19 2007, 08:29 PM

I have been following all of this with a heart so full of sadness it seems like it just might break apart. We have a ministry that started out I believe with the right intentions, to take the end time message to the world so Jesus could return. It was started by human beings who wanted to carry out God's commision to take this gospel to all the world. Somehow, and maybe it is because Satan knows us so well he was able to use good people for his own decietful purpose. As I see it, Danny, from reading everyones posts both pro Linda and pro Danny wanted what Lucifer wanted in heaven, to be like the Most High. He lost site of his role in taking the gospel to the whole world and wanted to be God..

When that happened he decided he needed a new younger wife who wouldn't try to take his place in the spotlight. He needed to make sure that Linda would take the fall. I received one of those letters from 3abn telling us all how Linda had chosen another path. I felt really sorry for Danny.

I was directed to blacksda and my whole perspective changed. I now believe that Linda did take the fall. That she was pushed out of 3abn and also out of her marriage.

Be that as it may. I agree with Calvin that life goes on. Danny is now married to Brandi and you can't change that. I believe Danny did not have bible grounds to marry Brandi but it is done. All of this however is their own PRIVATE business. It is not our business!!!

When save 3abn first was put up it answered a lot of my questions. I think there is a real mess at 3abn. and what was posted pertained to 3abn. When the e-mails started being posted I was appalled. Who in there right mind would post such personal and private matters. It has no bearing on the ministry of 3abn. It doesn't matter if Linda is providing these e-mails or if Danny is providing them or if their friends

are providing them. The fact that they are seeing the light of day tells me that the people who started 3abn have lost all sight of what this ministry was all about. When I saw the direction save 3abn was going with the personal e-mails I quit reading. I do not want to know what personal and private things were said between Danny and Linda and to their friends. This has nothing to do with the ministry of 3abn, except to say, are these the people we want to represent our church? It should matter not at all to us whether or not the property settlement is fair or if they are going to court over it. It should not matter to us if Linda feels cheated out of her ministry or if Danny feels it is rightfully HIS ministry.

What should concern us is that this ministry has been for 20 years the flagship of our church, even though it is an independent ministry. We should be concerned about the direction this ministry is taking. Is it still spreading the gospel as the Seventh-Day Adventist church sees it? Laurence brought up the thought about the three angeles messages. Can we as Adventist's support this ministry as it now stands? Can our church support this ministry and it's leaders the way things are now? With all the talk of the financial situation and the way the contributions of the members in the pews is being handled and the constant begging for contributions. If what I have read here is true there is a lot of dishonesty in leaders of this ministry. There is cover up. If this is the flag ship of our church should there be even ONE non-adventist working at 3abn? Should our conference leaders be supporting this ministry?

I know they let Tommy retire so as to try to get us to forget about his actions. But this doesn't make his actions go away. I do wonder if anything can be done to bring this to the attentions of the authorities. Maybe Duane something is being done by you or the other victims, I don't know but I do know that all the spin doesn't make it a lie and it needs to be dealt with. Victims are still victims no matter what the age and to make out that it didn't happen is a lie.

If what is being said is true, Linda was a part of the financial misdealings and also allowed Tommy to work at 3abn or if not allow is the wrong term, continued on in her position in spite of Tommy. I know people here say Danny was in total control and makes all the decisions. If that is so everyone still has the choice to vote with their feet. I for one cannot see how all these board members and John L. can continue to support Danny. I guess the need for fame outweighs what is right

I for one do not want to see 3abn brought down. I do believe it needs a good cleaning out Danny needs to step down as does Mollie and Hal and Shelly and also the whole board needs to go. It needs to start all over again with new people. Not with Linda, she is as much a part of the problem as Danny. I don't know how this can be done. Maybe the GC needs to buy 3abn.

I think both Danny and Linda need to think about moving on. Start new ministries if that is what God is calling them to do. If this keeps on, the mud slinging and rants and the lies and the spin I do believe 3abn will be brought down and it won't be pretty and it won't be nice and it will be messy and it will do so much harm to our church we may just look at it and ask was it worth sticking our head in the sand and refusing to see the handwriting on the wall? Hoping if we did nothing it would all go away. Whether we like it or not people are reading save3abn in droves and it will have an effect. They are reading blacksda and the other sites and they are forming opinions. Danny and Linda may not like the results but they will not change peoples minds.

I will not contribute to any ministry that Danny or Linda Shelton are connected with until there is some sign that there has been a confession and a true repentance and a conversion and returning to God. I will continue to pray that this will happen and that the Holy Spirit might still work a work in their hearts.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 19 2007, 09:55 PM

[quote name='justme' date='Mar 19 2007, 08:42 AM' post='186368']
I, too have questions.

MMM I don't see any questions, just allegations and bashing

Where was Danny's "stopwatch", I.E. the one he used to time Linda's phone calls, where as the concern when he was spending long hours in person, not on the phone, with Brenda, at midnight and beyond, at the church?

Accusing DS and Brenda Walsh

Where was all his concern about what looks good and what doesn't look good all those years, late at night, when he was in Alyssa's bedroom?

stating as fact that you know DS was in alyssa's bedroom

(To say nothing of the 7 other women who have spoken out.)

Accusing him of having something to do with 7 women who no one has ever heard about or identified.

Danny refused for years to offer help to Nathan. All this was done after he had already decided to get rid of her

Ok more statements given as fact

Danny has NOT moved on. He is more scared right now, than he has ever been since the investigation into his first wife's death.

Accusing DS of Murder!!

He admits that Brandy has been "after him for 17 years" and that flatters him.

Wow, all when he maintains that he hasn't laid eyes on Brandi since she was a little girl with her family in his recording studio. Exactly opposite of your statement

Do you honestly believe that if all this were totally reversed that Danny would be ignoring the slander and defamation if it were hurled at him?

It is reversed, and he isn't ignoring it.

He got caught lying on every one of them.

statement that he is a liar

So long as he permits his lies to continue to circulate he is continuing to attack Linda.

Liar again.

Around the time Linda was fired I called 2ABN and suggested that they develop a program for people with drug problems. They did, but was too late for Nathan, and an insult for Linda. For them to ACT as if they had always cared about young people with drug issues ... what joke in timing.

Linda was in charge of production and programming, not DS. It would have been up to her to do programs with drug issues.

Well, I must say ,your post is absolutely a beautiful example (actually it will be evidence) of what constitutes slander/defamation of character and liable suits. The way that you stated these accusations as facts ,will make the charges brought against you fall into place as slick as water off of a ducks back. Thank you for making a job like this, so much, easier.

Posted by: calvin Mar 19 2007, 10:21 PM

Trudy Blonde, you have expressed some thoughts that I was trying to express, but have did it better. I won't quote you whole post because it is so long. These letters were never intended to be made public. Now Linda has joined right in with the rest of us, in the mud slinging still after 3 years of the divorce.

Granted she has every right to do so. But just because she can, the gag order has been lifted, does not mean she has too. BTW, Linda may not have given explicit authority to post the letters but I do believe she have implied authority, I believe she knows the letter are there.

When I showed some folks from my church the letters on save3abn, there question was, Why are these people airing their dirty laundry? It did nothing to exonerate Linda, as least that is the way they saw it. Look, most people are not going to wade through 16,,000+ post here or everything on save3abn to form an opinion.

Yeah Linda, I know you where wronged, stuff happens. Many people go through messy divorces But it is 3 years later now, I say get over it.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 20 2007, 08:02 AM

My thought, Calvin, is that even if she asked that they not be posted, they still might get posted.

QUOTE(Truly Blonde @ Mar 19 2007, 09:29 PM)

It should matter not at all to us whether or not the property settlement is fair or if they are going to court over it.

There is one point you may not have considered.

The only way to look at the books of 3ABN is to involve the courts. The courts are involved in regard to the property settlement.

Book deals are an issue regarding the alleged corruption at 3ABN. Book deals have an impact on the property settlement.

Thus, the property settlement can be a way to discover and resolve some of the problems at 3ABN.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 20 2007, 10:07 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 18 2007, 07:18 PM)

I know that one positive result of allowing the 3abn topic to continue is that many more people will come to BSDA that might never have heard about it otherwise and that many of those people, like myself, will be here posting long after the topic is of any interest because of the **friendships** and all the rest BSDA has to offer.

than

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 19 2007, 10:03 AM)

....Anyway you look at it, **it seems she was bringing a whole lot of people in between her and her husband behind his back.** You don't see her doing it openly as no one elses name are in the headers...

That doesn't seem to me, to show a whole lot of concern or respect, to say the least..

You mean like the people Danny brought in when Linda was on gag orders? Spin away!!!
Also.....I know **YOU** of all people are not speaking on "**CONCERN OR RESPECT**"..... as you have no

"CONCERN OR RESPECT" for victims (past or present) of sexual molestation/abuse? How then, can YOU speak on "CONCERN OR RESPECT"



QUOTE(Truly Blonde @ Mar 19 2007, 09:29 PM)

..... I agree with Calvin that life goes on. Danny is now married to Brandi and you can't change that. I believe Danny did not have bible grounds to marry Brandi but it is done. All of this however is their own PRIVATE business. **It is not our business!!!**

It becomes our business when **THEY** come into our churches, when they ship me books without me giving them an address (I got that 10 Commandments book without even asking for it in the mail), when they tell me that they are "right" and I am "wrong" for my actions.

It becomes my business when any child is in danger.

It becomes my business!

Posted by: Bystander Mar 29 2007, 09:12 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 19 2007, 09:21 PM)

Trudy Blonde, you have expressed some thoughts that I was trying to express, but have did it better. I won't quote you whole post because it is so long. These letters where never intended to be made public. Now Linda has joined right in with the rest of us, in the mud slinging still after 3 years of the divorce. Granted she has every right to do so. But just because she can, the gag order has been lifted, does not mean she has too. BTW, Linda may not have given explicit authority to post the letters but I do believe she have implied authority, I believe she knows the letter are there.

When I showed some folks from my church the letters on save3abn, there question was, Why are these people airing their dirty laundry? It did nothing to exonerate Linda, as least that is the way they saw it. Look, most people are not going to wade through 16,,000+ post here or everything on save3abn to form an opinion.

Yeah Linda, I know you where wronged, stuff happens. Many people go through messy divorces But it is 3 years later now, I say get over it.

For all of those that believe DS used the doc as an excuse to "get rid" of Linda. For all those that don't believe she made numerous trips to norway when Nathan only went once. For all those that think the "dishrag" statement came from DS.

Paragraphs from email from DS to LS Feb 05

May says you are telling her things about me and Brandy. First of all you don't know, anything about what me and Brandy are or are not doing. And secondly, if I wanted to have a girlfriend now whether it would be a Brandy or a Jane Doe, it would be none of your business as you have now refused for over a year to let this Norwegian man go. You have refused all counsel that told you he must go, yet you and he still travel together and stay in each other's homes for up to two weeks at a time. I told you a long time ago that I can document all your travel schedule and I have. May says you told her that you have only been to Norway once and that was a year ago January. I told her that if she wasn't mistaken then you told her a big lie as I can document all your trips there. Surely she got that part of your conversation mixed up. I don't think you would think everyone is such simpleton's that we don't know the truth about your solo trips to Europe.

Anyway, we've been. divorced for nearly eight months and we all know that I have biblical grounds. If I want

to date someone else I am free to do it. You dated this man for months while we were married. That is called sin, anyway you want to cut it.

You shouldn't worry anyway. Remember, you told me that the only thing I would ever get compared to you was "An old used dirty dish rag".

Danny Shelton

Posted by: princessdi Mar 29 2007, 09:17 PM

This was part of the email I ready posted, right? I might be mistaken, is this a portion of another email?

Posted by: Pickle Mar 29 2007, 09:29 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 09:12 PM)

For all of those that believe DS used the doc as an excuse to "get rid" of Linda. For all those that don't believe she made numerous trips to Norway when Nathan only went once. For all those that think the "dishrag" statement came from DS.

Bystander, I think you may be distorting the facts. Linda made only one trip to Norway prior to the divorce. Correct? And when she went and left, Nathan was already there and remained there.

Danny saying that Linda said that about a dishrag, that really doesn't prove anything, anymore than Linda saying she's innocent proves anything. But if you can quote an email from Linda saying something about a dishrag, that is pretty credible. Or if you can quote Danny saying that he had no proof of Linda committing adultery, that is pretty credible.

Posted by: Lee Mar 29 2007, 09:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 10:29 PM)

Bystander, I think you may be distorting the facts. Linda made only one trip to Norway prior to the divorce. Correct? And when she went and left, Nathan was already there and remained there.

Danny saying that Linda said that about a dishrag, that really doesn't prove anything, anymore than Linda saying she's innocent proves anything. But if you can quote an email from Linda saying something about a dishrag, that is pretty credible. Or if you can quote Danny saying that he had no proof of Linda committing adultery, that is pretty credible.

Excuse me Pickle, these ARE the facts. This IS the proof.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 29 2007, 09:48 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 29 2007, 08:29 PM)

Bystander, I think you may be distorting the facts. Linda made only one trip to Norway prior to the

divorce. Correct? And when she went and left, Nathan was already there and remained there.

Danny saying that Linda said that about a dishrag, that really doesn't prove anything, anymore than Linda saying she's innocent proves anything. But if you can quote an email from Linda saying something about a dishrag, that is pretty credible. Or if you can quote Danny saying that he had no proof of Linda committing adultery, that is pretty credible.

ook at the date of the email. We weren't talking about prior to the divorce. If they were strictly on a professional basis because of Nathan then why did she make numerous visits (1 almost immediately following the divorce) to Norway and why did they travel together and stay at each others home. C'mon, even you can't spin that into being appropriate behaviour.

Posted by: mozart Mar 29 2007, 09:54 PM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Mar 18 2007, 08:21 PM)

When I read Danny's letters to Linda, I am sorry but all I see is a man wanting to control his wife. I keep asking why is it that he makes absolutely no mention of Nathan in these e-mails? Why? Was he not concerned in the slightest bit? Sorry I see no trace of a man wanting his wife back because he loved her.

I totally agree. I think he wrote these emails just to torment and mock her and to cover himself seeing the all-out that was coming. these are not the words of a loving, mature or Christian man. these are the words of a disturbed person. i am very worried about his mental health. i read a posting from a woman, don't remember where, but she said she had been interviewed by DS before she had knowledge of all this. she said she had known then what she knows now, she never would have agreed to the interview. she made, IMO, a very astute observation. she said DS is a narcissis. he can never see or admit that he is wrong. he is an actor in his own life. it saddens me to think that this is most likely the case.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 29 2007, 09:59 PM

No, this is a one way email in which Danny is telling thinks as he sees them. We don't what Linda said or if she said anything in response. Danny a year later sticking to his sotry, that i don't buy in the first place, is not prooff. Now show me where Linda acknoedges what he says to be true, and we got. proof.

And just one more point of clarification. I thought that ths "dish rag" comment was aimed at Linda. but not necessarily said by Danny, warning that she would not be replaced by an old dish rag, but a beauty queen, if she didn't change her ways. Did I misunderstand?


QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 29 2007, 08:46 PM)

Excuse me Pickle, these ARE the facts. This IS the proof.

Posted by: Lee Mar 29 2007, 09:59 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 29 2007, 10:54 PM)

I totally agree. I think he wrote these emails just to torment and mock her and to cover himself seeing the fall-out that was coming. these are not the words of a loving, mature or Christian man. these are the words of a disturbed person. i am very worried about his mental health. i read a posting from a woman, don't remember where, but she said she had been interviewed by DS before she had knowledge of all this. she said if she had known then what she knows now, she never would have agreed to the interview. she made, IMO, a very astute observation. she said DS is a narcissis. he can never see or admit that he is wrong. he is an actor in his own life. it saddens me to think that this is most likely the case.

Danny is a "narcissis?" Who was the woman--a flower gardener? 

Posted by: princessdi Mar 29 2007, 10:02 PM

BS, you know we need more than your word and an email from Danny to believe that, right?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 08:48 PM)

Look at the date of the email. We weren't talking about prior to the divorce. If they were strictly on a professional basis because of Nathan then why did she make numerous visits (1 almost immediately following the divorce) to Norway and why did they travel together and stay at each others home. C'mon, even you can't spin that into being appropriate behaviour.

Posted by: Noahswife Mar 29 2007, 10:20 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Mar 29 2007, 10:17 PM)

This was part of the email I ready posted, right? I might be mistaken, is this a portion of another email?

Yes Di,

The unedited email of this date is posted at the Save3abn.com website.

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-pile-of-what-07.htm>

What is interesting is that bystander has left out some of the, let's say, less tasteful aspects of this email.


Posted by: Hawk Mar 29 2007, 10:23 PM

Ahhhh! as always, the BS/WWJD so beautifully represent the wonderful love of Jesus ala 3ABN. They so accurately represent what the true Shelton/3ABN love for you and Linda is. As they so lovingly seek to regain that lost sheep, as they so dilligently seek to find that lost coin, as they turn the other cheek, as they, say "father forgive them..." are they Jesus to you? Do WWJD/BS make you want what they have? Are they the shining example of 3ABN/Jesus/Shelton love that you want? Do want want to be BS/WWJD? They are 3ABN/the Shelton family to you.

Do you see Christ's love and yearning for Linda in BS/WWJD? Do you see Jesus' love and yearning for you in BS/WWJD? Do you see "What Would Jesus Do" in them? If what WWJD represents is the real "JESUS" would you love and worship that Jesus?

BS/WWJD is the true and accurate 3ABN/Shelton family. They are the true 3ABN Jesus to the world.

Posted by: princessdi Mar 29 2007, 10:26 PM

Thanks NW, but wasn't it also posted here, or maybe the link? Didn't somebody post this email to prove something about Danny? I might be wrong. I said from the beginning, he should had his midlife crisis and bought a sports car to go with his new hair. Now he got this here mess almsot thres syears later. 

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 29 2007, 08:20 PM)

Yes Di,

The unedited email of this date is posted at the Save3abn.com website.

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-demise-of-marriage-pile-of-what-07.htm>

Posted by: mozart Mar 29 2007, 10:31 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Mar 19 2007, 10:21 PM)

Trudy Blonde, you have expressed some thoughts that I was trying to express, but have did it better. I won't quote you whole post because it is so long. These letters where never intended to be made public. Now Linda has joined right in with the rest of us, in the mud slinging still after 3 years of the divorce. Granted she has every right to do so. But just because she can, the gag order has been lifted, does not mean she has too. BTW, Linda may not have given explicit authority to post the letters but I do believe she have implied authority, I believe she knows the letter are there.

When I showed some folks from my church the letters on save3abn, there question was, Why are these people airing their dirty laundry? It did nothing to exonerate Linda, as least that is the way they saw it. Look, most people are not going to wade through 16,,000+ post here or everything on save3abn to form an opinion.

Yeah Linda, I know you where wronged, stuff happens. Many people go through messy divorces But it is 3 years later now, I say get over it.

Calvin, i think that you should remember that linda isn't the only issue here. in this thread, yes, but not in the whole rhelm of things. there are many issues and many wrongdoings and the guilty parties at 3abn have hidden behind the ministry. they won't show anything to prove their case. they say all of us who speak out are evil. (i have personal emails from walt thompson to prove that.) there are people at 3abn whose thinking is corrupt and they won't change so they have to be removed and replaced.

you tell linda to "get over it" as if she was involved in a petty quarrel; "a messy divorce". true, many people go through messy divorces but they don't get aired on a one-sided, worldwide television station! this has ruined the last 3yrs. of her life and it will follow her for a long time. it's important that she defend herself now that she finally can. how would you feel if you were muzzled and someone spent 3yrs. trying to destroy your life? she has a "scarlet letter" on her and it's vital that DS be forced to prove his accusations against her and if he can't, he needs to be punished for his lies. they have done a tremendous amount of damage to her as a person. i think DS knows he has no such proof and knows he's done things illegally and he knows as long as he keeps things hidden, linda suffers and he buys more time for himself. those 5 people mentioned earlier...they have made some huge mistakes and should not be running 3abn. if linda is found innocent, the world should be told. that's the bottom line.

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 29 2007, 09:59 PM)

Danny is a "narcissis?" Who was the woman--a flower gardener?

 rofl

ok so i can't spell. you know what i meant. hahahaha

Posted by: Bystander Mar 29 2007, 10:42 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 29 2007, 09:31 PM)

Calvin, i think that you should remember that linda isn't the only issue here. in this thread, yes, but not in the whole rhelm of things. there are many issues and many wrongdoings and the guilty parties at 3abn have hidden behind the ministry. they won't show anything to prove their case. they say all of us who speak out are evil. (i have personal emails from walt thompson to prove that.) there are people at 3abn whose thinking is corrupt and they won't change so they have to be removed and replaced.

nozart, why don't you post those emails from Dr. walt and let the rest of us see where he says those who speak out" are evil. I sincerely doubt that is what he said. In reality he probably said those who are spreading lies and slander are evil. If you have not eyewitnessed said allegations but still state the allegation is fact and it hurts characters, ministries and God's work, then that would be a correct statement.

Posted by: Johann Mar 29 2007, 11:08 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 30 2007, 05:12 AM)

For all of those that believe DS used the doc as an excuse to "get rid" of Linda. For all those that don't believe she made numerous trips to norway when Nathan only went once.

wonder where Bystander has his information from, but I have met Nathan on more than one trip to Norway.

as I have indicated several times before, if your truth is as much of a spin as what I have heard from the 3ABN spiritual leader, pastor John Lomacang, then you are in a sad association. If I was you, I would not base my salvation on his words. Let Jesus be your example. He loves you and died for your sins. If you merely seek revenge you might get hurt yourself.

Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 29 2007, 11:22 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 08:48 PM)

We weren't talking about prior to the divorce. If they were strictly on a proffesional basis because of Nathan then why did she make numerous visits (1 almost immediatly following the divorce) to Norway and why did they travel together and stay at each others home....

3S, would you please lay out the timeline for these "numerous" trips she took to norway? would appreciate that, thank you.

Posted by: Skyhook Mar 29 2007, 11:44 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 29 2007, 10:59 PM)

Danny is a "narcissis?" Who was the woman--a flower gardener? rofl

Mozart, I think you got it right!

From Medline Plus:

Narcissistic Personality Disorder is a condition characterized by an inflated sense of self-importance and an extreme preoccupation with one's self.

The cause of this disorder is unknown. Narcissistic personality disorder usually begins by early adulthood and is marked by disregard for the feelings of others, grandiosity, obsessive self-interest and the pursuit of primarily selfish goals.

A person with narcissistic disorder:

- Reacts to criticism with feelings of rage, shame, or humiliation
- Takes advantage of others to achieve own goals
- Has feelings of self-importance
- Exaggerates achievements and talents
- Is preoccupied with fantasies of success, power, beauty, intelligence, or ideal love
- Has unreasonable expectations of favorable treatment
- Requires constant attention and admiration
- Lacks empathy

Complications: Relationship and family problems
Alcohol or other drug dependence

Posted by: mozart Mar 30 2007, 12:00 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 10:42 PM)

mozart, why don't you post those emails from Dr. walt and let the rest of us see where he says those who "speak out" are evil. I sincerely doubt that is what he said. In reality he probably said those who are spreading lies and slander are evil. If you have not eyewitnessed said allegations but still state the allegation as fact and it hurts characters, ministries and God's work, then that would be a correct statement.

i did paraphrase what he said but i don't think i misinterpreted it. i will not post my personal emails, but for your edification, this is his exact quote, "Let me begin by saying that the things being posted on the Internet denigrating Danny and the administration and board of 3abn are evil. Nothing posted there is in any way representative of the God I know and serve." he said this to me without any knowledge at all of anything about me except that i thought danny should step down from 3abn to spare the ministry from having to suffer through this fiasco. so you interpret it your way, to yourself, please.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 30 2007, 12:20 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 10:48 PM)

Look at the date of the email. We weren't talking about prior to the divorce. If they were strictly on a professional basis because of Nathan then why did she make numerous visits (1 almost **immediatly following the divorce**) to Norway and why did they travel together and stay at each others home. C'mon, even you can't spin that into being appropriate behaviour.

Please explain the logic of this post-divorce mentality.

WWJD said:

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 02:43 AM)

Yes Brandi did have grounds. Her husband was unfaithful.
I hope I have answered your questions to your satisfaction

If the above statement by WWJD is true, then:

Danny got a divorce in 2004
Brandy got a divorce in 2004
Linda got a divorce in 2004

However, it's **only** Linda's post-divorce behavior that has Bystander saying that "you can't spin that into being appropriate behavior."

But, everything Danny and Brandy did after their own divorces that same year is appropriate behavior, by the same standard?

I guess I'm missing the logic here because it seems to me that if post-divorce behavior is indicative of something important, we must include **the post-divorce behavior of all three parties**, not just of Linda Shelton. Somebody here seems justified in applying a totally separate set of rules to Linda Shelton's post-divorce life than they apply to everyone else's life that existed in the same post-divorce situation. That makes no logical sense.

Posted by: mozart Mar 30 2007, 12:49 AM

QUOTE(Skyhook @ Mar 29 2007, 11:44 PM)

Mozart, I think you got it right!

From Medline Plus:

Narcissistic Personality Disorder is a condition characterized by an inflated sense of self-importance and an extreme preoccupation with one's self.

The cause of this disorder is unknown. Narcissistic personality disorder usually begins by early adulthood and is marked by disregard for the feelings of others, grandiosity, obsessive self-interest and the pursuit of primarily selfish goals.

A person with narcissistic disorder:

Reacts to criticism with feelings of rage, shame, or humiliation

Takes advantage of others to achieve own goals

Has feelings of self-importance

Exaggerates achievements and talents

Is preoccupied with fantasies of success, power, beauty, intelligence, or ideal love

Has unreasonable expectations of favorable treatment

Requires constant attention and admiration

Lacks empathy

Complications: Relationship and family problems

Alcohol or other drug dependence

Thank you for clarifying this skyhook. than i appreciate your research. so i guess it's spelled narcissist.

my bad; i forgot the "t" what BS fails to be able to comprehend is that i, along with many, many others, truly care for danny and want him to be well. we want him to be healed. defending him is like defending an alcoholic. it doesn't help the alcoholic at all. from now on i think i will just ignore his (BS) post even when it concerns me. if anyone else wants to ask a question, however, i'd be happy to answer. it would be wise to remember the words of 2 Timothy 3: 1-9: "But know this, that in the last days perilous times will come: For men will be **lovers of themselves, lovers of money, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, unloving, unforgiving, slanderers, without self-control, brutal, despisers of good, traitors, headstrong, haughty**, lovers of pleasure rather than lovers of God, having a form of godliness but denying its power. And from such people turn away! For of this sort are those who creep into households and make captives of gullible women loaded down with sins, led away by various lust, **always earning and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth**. Now as Jannes and Jambres resisted Moses, **so do these also resist the truth; men of corrupt minds, disapproved concerning the faith; but they will progress no further, for their folly will be manifest to all**, as theirs also was." 2 Timothy 3:14,15: "But as for you, continue in the things which you have learned and been assured of, knowing from whom you have learned them, and that from childhood you have known the Holy Scriptures, which are able to make you wise to salvation through faith in Christ Jesus."

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Mar 30 2007, 12:20 AM)

Please explain the logic of this post-divorce mentality.

WWJD said:

If the above statement by WWJD is true, then:

Danny got a divorce in 2004

Brandy got a divorce in 2004


Linda got a divorce in 2004

However, it's **only** Linda's post-divorce behavior that has Bystander saying that "you can't spin that into being appropriate behavior."

But, everything Danny and Brandy did after their own divorces that same year is appropriate behavior, by the same standard?

I guess I'm missing the logic here because it seems to me that if post-divorce behavior is indicative of something important, we must include **the post-divorce behavior of all three parties**, not just of Linda

Shelton. Somebody here seems justified in applying a totally separate set of rules to Linda Shelton's post-divorce life than they apply to everyone else's life that existed in the same post-divorce situation. That makes no logical sense.

ou're sharp as a tack petey boy 

Posted by: Bystander Mar 30 2007, 01:10 AM

QUOTE(Skyhook @ Mar 29 2007, 10:44 PM)

Mozart, I think you got it right!

From Medline Plus:

Narcissistic Personality Disorder is a condition characterized by an inflated sense of self-importance and an extreme preoccupation with one's self.

The cause of this disorder is unknown. Narcissistic personality disorder usually begins by early adulthood and is marked by disregard for the feelings of others, grandiosity, obsessive self-interest and the pursuit of primarily selfish goals.

A person with narcissistic disorder:

Reacts to criticism with feelings of rage, shame, or humiliation

Takes advantage of others to achieve own goals

Has feelings of self-importance

Exaggerates achievements and talents

Is preoccupied with fantasies of success, power, beauty, intelligence, or ideal love

Has unreasonable expectations of favorable treatment

Requires constant attention and admiration

Lacks empathy

Complications: Relationship and family problems

Alcohol or other drug dependence

That is amazing. That describes Gailon Joy to a tee. Pickle would also fit several of those.

Posted by: Hawk Mar 30 2007, 01:14 AM

Indeed Pete is sharp. Does the BS/WWJD care to elaborate on the quantity of time that Linda spent on the phone with Dr. Abrahamsen during the months of March, April and May of 2004 as compared to the time that Danny spent in person and on the phone with Brenda Walsh during that same time period?

How about Brandy? Did Linda move across the street from Dr. Abrahamsen after the divorce, and live on property provided by 3ABN across the street from someone she "was not" having a "thing" with? Did Linda live in IL and Dr. Abrahamsen thousands of miles away in Norway? While Danny lived across the street from Brandy for much of that time? In a house provided by 3ABN? LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Y'uns are funny.

Oh! What about the "evidence" of the trips to Europe... after the divorce? Where did that "evidence" come from? A travel agency owned by a friend/donor of Danny's? Is that legal? Well, if the "evidence" is "produced" I suppose that it will be tracked and we will find out.

Posted by: sister Mar 30 2007, 04:26 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 29 2007, 10:12 PM)

For all of those that believe DS used the doc as an excuse to "get rid" of Linda. For all those that don't believe she made numerous trips to Norway when Nathan only went once. For all those that think the "dishrag" statement came from DS.

Paragraphs from email from DS to LS Feb 05

May says you are telling her things about me and Brandy. First of all you don't know, anything about what me and Brandy are or are not doing. And secondly, if I wanted to have a girlfriend now whether it would be a Brandy or a Jane Doe, it would be none of your business as you have now refused for over a year to let this Norwegian man go. You have refused all counsel that told you he must go, yet you and he still travel together and stay in each other's homes for up to two weeks at a time. I told you a long time ago that I can document all your travel schedule and I have. May says you told her that you have only been to Norway once and that was a year ago January. I told her that if she wasn't mistaken then you told her a big lie as I can document all your trips there. Surely she got that part of your conversation mixed up. I don't think you would think everyone is such simpleton's that we don't know the truth about your solo trips to Europe.

Anyway, we've been divorced for nearly eight months and we all know that I have biblical grounds. If I want to date someone else I am free to do it. You dated this man for months while we were married. That is called sin, anyway you want to cut it.

You shouldn't worry anyway. Remember, you told me that the only thing I would ever get compared to you was "An old used dirty dish rag".

Danny Shelton

Okay, Bystander, I read the above and there is no proof, as usual. Show the evidence of the trips to Norway that took place while Linda was married to Danny. There was only one. The burden of proof is on your side now. You claim evidence, were are the receipts, ticket stubs, etc. All I see it a statement by Danny, no proof. Bring on the evidence so we call all see it. Or is this just another attempt by you to justify Danny's behavior?

Sister

Posted by: Johann Mar 30 2007, 05:59 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Mar 30 2007, 12:26 PM)

Okay, Bystander, I read the above and there is no proof, as usual. Show the evidence of the trips to Norway that took place while Linda was married to Danny. There was only one. The burden of proof is on your side now. You claim evidence, were are the receipts, ticket stubs, etc. All I see it a statement by Danny, no proof. Bring on the evidence so we call all see it. Or is this just another attempt by you to justify Danny's behavior?

Sister

I can verify what Sister is stating here is true, not because I was told, but because I was there. Irmgard and I were in constant contact with Dr. Arild Abrahamsen. It would have been impossible for Linda to visit him in Norway without us knowing, and he could not have gone to America without us knowing it either. He could not have been at his clinic in Norway and in America at the same time.

Danny Shelton did not think of this possibility when he and Brenda made up stories, and to impress board members and other gullible people they insist on having ticket stubs, phone cards, etc.

I must admit that within a few days my admiration of Danny Shelton dropped to zero when I discovered how he behaved when he got caught in a lie. If only he had tried to apologize or in other ways rectify his evil behaviour, we would never be discussing this here today.

God still loves a repentant sinner, but the haughty are an abomination.

Posted by: Pickle Mar 30 2007, 06:56 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 30 2007, 12:00 AM)

i did paraphrase what he said but i don't think i misinterpreted it. i will not post my personal emails, but for your edification, this is his exact quote, "Let me begin by saying that the things being posted on the Internet denigrating Danny and the administration and board of 3abn are evil. Nothing posted there is in any way representative of the God I know and serve."

Poor choice of words on Walt's part? He thinks emails written by himself and Danny are evil, and not representative of God?

Posted by: Clay Mar 30 2007, 09:20 AM

Hawk... quite insightful.... thanks for sharing that.... you made some excellent points...

Posted by: mozart Mar 30 2007, 02:38 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 30 2007, 05:56 AM)

Poor choice of words on Walt's part? He thinks emails written by himself and Danny are evil, and not representative of God?

that's exactly what i wrote him back and said.

Posted by: Grith May 8 2007, 09:35 PM

When Linda disappeared from 3abn, I was mystified. Then I began hearing things about adultery. A friend told me that it was Brenda that had spilled the beans about it. I saw that program where Danny was so upset and asked Mark Finely to pray. I wasn't sure what to think. I began doing a little research and visited some message boards, but decided that I didn't really want to find out more. I didn't really want anything to do with the mess. I just assumed at the time that it was as Danny said. However, the phrase "spiritual adultery" did raise my eyebrows. That was no grounds for divorce.

When we moved a couple of years ago, we pulled up our dish and gave it to the church (where the parts still sits scattered around) thinking we would soon replace it. It didn't happen due to unforeseen circumstances. I didn't think much about 3abn or the divorce until I received an email from a friend in Florida who had attended a rally there. She told me that Danny had introduced his new wife of 3 days on stage and so unsettled everyone that he didn't appear for the afternoon program! My thoughts at that time began to turn in Linda's favor. Danny had accused Linda of adultery, yet who was it that had remarried? Not Linda.

I didn't spend much time thinking about it until just a few short months ago. I sat down at my computer one afternoon and thought, Now what would I like to search for? I typed two words in Google and hit enter. Those two words brought up a blog that mentioned 3abn so I opened it and found a link for save3abn.com and for BSDA. The two words I typed in were "adventist" and "apostasy."

I went to save3abn and began to read. I read until I was too tired to read more that night and went to bed. I got up the next day and began reading again. When it came to the emails from Danny to Linda, I quickly realized one thing: my experience immediately recognized his words as those of an abuser. The things he wrote, and the manner in which he wrote them are the work of a man who will emotionally and psychologically batter another person in order to maintain control over them. You can never win with such a person. It was at that point that I came over to Linda's side. I wondered how Linda had ever lived with him for 20 years.

Someone here said that it was time for her to "get over it." I doubt that person has ever been turned into mush by the deliberately belittling, searing, cruel words of someone who professes to love you, but uses words in such a demeaning manner. I think I can even understand why she would not stop those emails from being posted. People (those in the pews) have seen one side of DS, the studio Danny, but they haven't seen the home Danny, the behind-the-scenes Danny. For Linda it may be a kind of catharsis to have them posted.

It wasn't just the emails to Linda that showed Danny as abusive, but those to Johann, and others. DS is a man who needs to surrender himself to God and let Him have control of his life. If he will do that, he will no longer have the need to build himself up by belittling and degrading others. He will seek forgiveness of those he has wronged. People will begin to respect him. We need to keep praying that God will be able to reach his heart and change him.

Posted by: Rosyroi May 8 2007, 09:44 PM

QUOTE(Grith @ May 8 2007, 07:35 PM) □

When Linda disappeared from 3abn, I was mystified. Then I began hearing things about adultery. A friend told me that it was Brenda that had spilled the beans about it. I saw that program where Danny was so upset and asked Mark Finely to pray. I wasn't sure what to think. I began doing a little research and visited some message boards, but decided that I didn't really want to find out more. I didn't really want anything to do with the mess. I just assumed at the time that it was as Danny said. However, the phrase "spiritual adultery" did raise my eyebrows. That was no grounds for divorce.

When we moved a couple of years ago, we pulled up our dish and gave it to the church (where the parts still sits scattered around) thinking we would soon replace it. It didn't happen due to unforeseen circumstances. I didn't think much about 3abn or the divorce until I received an email from a friend in Florida who had attended a rally there. She told me that Danny had introduced his new wife of 3 days on stage and so unsettled everyone that he didn't appear for the afternoon program! My thoughts at that time began to turn in Linda's favor. Danny had accused Linda of adultery, yet who was it that had remarried? Not Linda.

I didn't spend much time thinking about it until just a few short months ago. I sat down at my computer one afternoon and thought, Now what would I like to search for? I typed two words in Google and hit enter. Those two words brought up a blog that mentioned 3abn so I opened it and found a link for save3abn.com and for BSDA. The two words I typed in were "adventist" and "apostasy."

I went to save3abn and began to read. I read until I was too tired to read more that night and went to bed. I got up the next day and began reading again. When it came to the emails from Danny to Linda, I quickly realized one thing: my experience immediately recognized his words as those of an abuser. The things he wrote, and the manner in which he wrote them are the work of a man who will emotionally and psychologically batter another person in order to maintain control over them. You can never win with such a person. It was at that point that I came over to Linda's side. I wondered how Linda had ever lived with him for 20 years.

Someone here said that it was time for her to "get over it." I doubt that person has ever been turned into mush by the deliberately belittling, searing, cruel words of someone who professes to love you, but uses

words in such a demeaning manner. I think I can even understand why she would not stop those emails from being posted. People (those in the pews) have seen one side of DS, the studio Danny, but they haven't seen the home Danny, the behind-the-scenes Danny. For Linda it may be a kind of catharsis to have them posted.

It wasn't just the emails to Linda that showed Danny as abusive, but those to Johann, and others. DS is a man who needs to surrender himself to God and let Him have control of his life. If he will do that, he will no longer have the need to build himself up by belittling and degrading others. He will seek forgiveness of those he has wronged. People will begin to respect him. We need to keep praying that God will be able to reach his heart and change him.

Grith

Thank you so much for your powerful testimony. You are adding another layer to the 3ABN situation.

rosyroi

Posted by: roxe May 8 2007, 10:45 PM

very powerful, Grith... so glad you shared... God Bless...

Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 13 2007, 10:42 AM

QUOTE(Grith @ May 8 2007, 10:35 PM)

...It wasn't just the emails to Linda that showed Danny as abusive, but those to Johann, and others. DS is a man who needs to surrender himself to God and let Him have control of his life. If he will do that, he will no longer have the need to build himself up by belittling and degrading others. He will seek forgiveness of those he has wronged. People will begin to respect him. We need to keep praying that God will be able to reach his heart and change him.

Welcome....

We keep saying it - and this is the truth! Actions speak louder than words....

Posted by: Noahswife May 13 2007, 11:22 AM

QUOTE(Grith @ May 8 2007, 10:35 PM)

When Linda disappeared from 3abn, I was mystified. Then I began hearing things about adultery. A friend told me that it was Brenda that had spilled the beans about it. I saw that program where Danny was so upset and asked Mark Finely to pray. I wasn't sure what to think. I began doing a little research and visited some message boards, but decided that I didn't really want to find out more. I didn't really want anything to do with the mess. I just assumed at the time that it was as Danny said. However, the phrase "spiritual adultery" did raise my eyebrows. That was no grounds for divorce.


When we moved a couple of years ago, we pulled up our dish and gave it to the church (where the parts still sits scattered around) thinking we would soon replace it. It didn't happen due to unforeseen circumstances. I didn't think much about 3abn or the divorce until I received an email from a friend in Florida who had attended a rally there. She told me that Danny had introduced his new wife of 3 days on stage and so unsettled everyone that he didn't appear for the afternoon program! My thoughts at that time began to turn in Linda's favor. Danny had accused Linda of adultery, yet who was it that had remarried? Not Linda.

I didn't spend much time thinking about it until just a few short months ago. I sat down at my computer one afternoon and thought, Now what would I like to search for? I typed two words in Google and hit enter. Those two words brought up a blog that mentioned 3abn so I opened it and found a link for save3abn.com and for BSDA. The two words I typed in were "adventist" and "apostasy."

I went to save3abn and began to read. I read until I was too tired to read more that night and went to bed. I got up the next day and began reading again. When it came to the emails from Danny to Linda, I quickly realized one thing: my experience immediately recognized his words as those of an abuser. The things he wrote, and the manner in which he wrote them are the work of a man who will emotionally and psychologically batter another person in order to maintain control over them. You can never win with such a person. It was at that point that I came over to Linda's side. I wondered how Linda had ever lived with him for 20 years.

Someone here said that it was time for her to "get over it." I doubt that person has ever been turned into mush by the deliberately belittling, searing, cruel words of someone who professes to love you, but uses words in such a demeaning manner. I think I can even understand why she would not stop those emails from being posted. People (those in the pews) have seen one side of DS, the studio Danny, but they haven't seen the home Danny, the behind-the-scenes Danny. For Linda it may be a kind of catharsis to have them posted.

It wasn't just the emails to Linda that showed Danny as abusive, but those to Johann, and others. DS is a man who needs to surrender himself to God and let Him have control of his life. If he will do that, he will no longer have the need to build himself up by belittling and degrading others. He will seek forgiveness of those he has wronged. People will begin to respect him. We need to keep praying that God will be able to reach his heart and change him.



First of all welcome to BSDA.....

Second, I don't know how I missed this post but thank you for it. Please PM me if you do not want me to print it and hand it out to friends and family who do not understand what I personally feel about the DS/LS situation.....

Third, don't be surprised if one or another of us keeps bumping this to the top because although we will discuss various issues on numerous topics from litigation to thompsonville.....this speaks to the heart of everyone that has ever been emotionally abused or knows someone who has been. You clearly get it even if some that post here do not....

1W
2:"1"

Posted by: Jnana15 May 13 2007, 11:54 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 13 2007, 12:22 PM)




First of all welcome to BSDA.....


Second, I don't know how I missed this post but thank you for it. Please PM me if you do not want me to print it and hand it out to friends and family who do not understand what I personally feel about the DS/LS situation.....

Third, don't be surprised if one or another of us keeps bumping this to the top because although we will discuss various issues on numerous topics from litigation to thompsonville.....this speaks to the heart of everyone that has ever been emotionally abused or knows someone who has been. You clearly get it even if some that post here do not....

nw
C"i"

nw, thank you for offering to keep bumping this to the top, I know that I need to keep reading it. I don't know how I missed Grith's post either.  I have been truly blessed by her post on the DS/LS situation.

Posted by: Eirene May 13 2007, 12:35 PM

QUOTE(Grith @ May 8 2007, 10:35 PM) 

It wasn't just the emails to Linda that showed Danny as abusive, but those to Johann, and others. DS is a man who needs to surrender himself to God and let Him have control of his life. If he will do that, he will no longer have the need to build himself up by belittling and degrading others. He will seek forgiveness of those he has wronged. People will begin to respect him. We need to keep praying that God will be able to reach his heart and change him.

So Grith, because you read emails from Danny at the most stressful, hurtful and heart breaking time of his life, you have convicted him as an abuser and now, because you think it, it has become a fact.

gather you don't know DS personally? Do you know Linda personally? If not then you didn't witness their marriage of 20 years. How unfair of you to judge and convict someone over a few emails during a terrible time.

If you really knew/believed/felt (I won't get into that now because it isn't the point) that your husband was having an affair and you had begged, pleaded and talked until you were blue, and he refused to give up the relationship" what kind of emails would you have sent to him and those supporting him in what he was doing?

do know them personally. I did see their marriage up close for many years. Linda was "catered" to, spoiled, built up far too much, and was given way too much power at the ministry which ultimately contributed to her downfall. She was put on a pedestal and got her way about most things. If Danny should be faulted at all, it would be in exerting too little control over what seemed to be an "out of control" person. There was never ever any kind of abuse, physical, mental or otherwise.

Now Grith, what will show your true colors will be, if, you go ahead and stick with your own judgement and opinions from what you read, or listen to someone who watched and experienced that marriage first hand. As far as his remarriage. It was 2 years after the divorce and he "knows" as do others involved in that situation, whether he had grounds to remarry. Right now the general public knows less than nothing about the "true" details. If your point was more that Linda didn't marry the Doctor, has it occurred to anyone that it might not have been for lack of want on her side but ,maybe, a refusal on his part?

Posted by: Eirene May 13 2007, 12:48 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ May 8 2007, 10:44 PM) 

Grith

Thank you so much for your powerful testimony. You are adding another layer to the 3ABN situation.
Rosyroi

Rosyroi, Do you have any idea how ridiculous your comment is. Another layer?
From what I read Grith doesn't know Danny personally much less counseled or even talked with him at length. Does Grith have such a degree in counseling and if so, what kind of counselor would make such a judgement over some emails sent during such a horrible time and without ever talking to the person?
Most everyone here have jumped all over John L about counseling Linda face to face saying he wasn't a "real" counselor. It has been said that Mark F could not have actually "counseled" with her over the phone. That isn't real counseling.
But now, because it is convenient for you to do so, let's listen to someone who doesn't know the parties, has never talked to Danny and whom, I would guess, doesn't have credentials to even make that sort of judgement.
After all that, let's then say that Grith is adding another "layer" to 3abn. How does an outsider with just another random opinion add another layer to 3abn?
Just another prime example of how you and others are willing to swallow anything that is fed to you regardless of who does the feeding, and, with nothing whatsoever to back it up except their opinions and the opinions of others in the same boat.

Posted by: awesumtenor May 13 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 13 2007, 02:48 PM)

Rosyroi, Do you have any idea how ridiculous your comment is. Another layer?

Do you have any idea how unnecessary this comment is? Rosyroi is entitled to her opinion of Grith's comments as you are entitled to yours. If you disagree, then disagree... but if one were to call your opinions ridiculous, you'd be whining to an admin... do unto others as you would have them do unto you...

QUOTE

From what I read Grith doesn't know Danny personally much less counseled or even talked with him at length.

By her own admission, neither does Cindy... yet you have no problem accepting what she says.

QUOTE

Does Grith have such a degree in counseling and if so, what kind of counselor would make such a judgement over some emails sent during such a horrible time and without ever talking to the person?

The same question can be asked of the conclusions you so readily jump to regarding Gailon or Pickle or Linda or whoever else is on your radar for the day...

QUOTE

Most everyone here have jumped all over John L about counseling Linda face to face saying he wasn't a "real" counselor. It has been said that Mark F could not have actually "counseled" with her over the phone. That isn't real counseling.

Those things were not said by "most everyone; they were said by the participants in these threads who have the education and professional experience to know what is and what is not counseling.

QUOTE

But now, because it is convenient for you to do so, let's listen to someone who doesn't know the parties, has never talked to Danny and whom, I would guess, doesn't have credentials to even make that sort of judgment.

Again, by her own admission, Cindy is in the number of people who fit the above categorization... yet you not only listen to what she says, you continue to defend it as incontrovertible truth, the absence of objective verification notwithstanding.

So weighed in the same balance you try to weigh others... you are found wanting.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Jnana15 May 13 2007, 02:12 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 13 2007, 01:35 PM)

So Grith, because you read emails from Danny at the most stressful, hurtful and heart breaking time of his life, you have convicted him as an abuser and now, because you think it, it has become a fact.

I gather you don't know DS personally? Do you know Linda personally? If not then you didn't witness their marriage of 20 years. How unfair of you to judge and convict someone over a few emails during a terrible time.

If you really knew/believed/felt (I won't get into that now because it isn't the point) that your husband was having an affair and you had begged, pleaded and talked until you were blue, and he refused to give up the "relationship" what kind of emails would you have sent to him and those supporting him in what he was doing?

I do know them personally. I did see their marriage up close for many years. Linda was "catered" to, spoiled, built up far too much, and was given way too much power at the ministry which ultimately contributed to her downfall. She was put on a pedestal and got her way about most things. If Danny should be faulted at all, it would be in exerting too little control over what seemed to be an "out of control" person. There was never ever any kind of abuse, physical, mental or otherwise.


Now Grith, what will show your true colors will be, if, you go ahead and stick with your own judgement and opinions from what you read, or listen to someone who watched and experienced that marriage first hand.

As far as his remarriage. It was 2 years after the divorce and he "knows" as do others involved in that situation, whether he had grounds to remarry. Right now the general public knows less than nothing about the "true" details. If your point was more that Linda didn't marry the Doctor, has it occurred to anyone that it might not have been for lack of want on her side but ,maybe, a refusal on his part?



Should have known you were lurking around the corner waiting to . Somewhere in the bible it says

the we (women) are like broken cisterns. Maybe Danny was treating her like the "Queen" of his house should

be treated since he clams to counter-act the counter-fit (did I say this right? ). JMO. You weren't living in the house with them. You were only a "Bystander".

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 13 2007, 02:24 PM

Eirene,

Each of us filters things through the template of our own life experience. It is what gives us each our own unique perspectives. One who has been burned by a stove usually learns to recognize that when there is fire present or the burners are orange, the stove is hot. A person who has been abused has learned, through personal lessons in the school of hard knocks, to recognize the traits or tone of an abuser.


If Girth's experience has caused her to have the opinion that Danny's words are that of an abusive person, it is her opinion, her deduction, and it is inappropriate to belittle her for that. If you don't agree with her personal assessment, the kind and appropriate way to counter is to state your own opinion from your own frame of reference.

Posted by: princessdi May 13 2007, 03:07 PM

Mad props for that one Gurl! 

QUOTE(Jnana15 @ May 13 2007, 12:12 PM)

Should have known you were lurking around the corner waiting to  blc . Somewhere in the bible it

says the we (women) are like broken cisterns. Maybe Danny was treating her like the "Queen" of his house should be treated since he clams to counter-act the counter-fit (did I say this right? ). JMO. You weren't living in the house with them. **You were only a "Bystander".**

Posted by: Skyhook May 13 2007, 06:01 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 13 2007, 03:24 PM)

Eirene,

A person who has been abused has learned, through personal lessons in the school of hard knocks, to recognize the traits or tone of an abuser.

If Girth's experience has caused her to have the opinion that Danny's words are that of an abusive person, it is her opinion, her deduction, and it is inappropriate to belittle her for that. If you don't agree with her personal assessment, the kind and appropriate way to counter is to state your own opinion from your own frame of reference.




It has been often stated by professionals who deal with the abused and the abusers, that they can spot one another and connect as strangers even in a crowd. There seems to be a certain chemistry or certain "vibes" that are perceived on an unconscious level. I don't think it is at all surprising that Grith who has had personal experience with an abuser would recognize from the emails the kinds of things being said by Danny. I believe that those professionals with experience can also fairly quickly note the characteristics being displayed by an abuser by thier attitude and by thier words. The same with those who have a pattern of being abused.

I believe that there has been enough first hand information from Danny and from those who know and work with him past and present to get a pretty accurate picture of his character. I dare say a trained psychologist could make an assessment just based on information on Bsda that would coincide fairly closely with a face to face assessment and testing such as MMPI, etc. But JMHO

Posted by: Grith May 13 2007, 08:16 PM

Eirene,

"I perceive that thou art in the gall of bitterness, and in the bond of iniquity." (Acts 8:23)

Your stress hormones must be over the top which can lead to ill health, including heart disease. Further, you cannot possibly hope to gain the respect of most people here when you throw out wild accusations about those you disagree with. If you'll put down the  and take off the , stop , your opinions will be better received even by those who disagree with you.

Posted by: Eirene May 13 2007, 08:55 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 13 2007, 03:24 PM)

Eirene,

Each of us filters things through the template of our own life experience. It is what gives us each our own unique perspectives. One who has been burned by a stove usually learns to recognize that when there is fire present or the burners are orange, the stove is hot. A person who has been abused has learned, through personal lessons in the school of hard knocks, to recognize the traits or tone of an abuser.

If Girth's experience has caused her to have the opinion that Danny's words are that of an abusive person, it is her opinion, her deduction, and it is inappropriate to belittle her for that. If you don't agree with her personal assessment, the kind and appropriate way to counter is to state your own opinion from your own frame of reference.

I didn't state my opinions period. I stated facts. Spin all you want but there is something terribly wrong here when you take Jane Doe's opinion over an eyewitness. That pretty much confirms you don't want truth, you want to sling mud.

QUOTE(Jnana15 @ May 13 2007, 03:12 PM)



Should have known you were lurking around the corner waiting to . Somewhere in the bible it says
 the we (women) are like broken cisterns. Maybe Danny was treating her like the "Queen" of his house
 should be treated since he clams to counter-act the counter-fit (did I say this right?). JMO. You
 weren't living in the house with them. You were only a "Bystander".

A close bystander at that...which I believe is more than you were?

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ May 13 2007, 02:46 PM)

Do you have any idea how unnecessary this comment is? Rosyroi is entitled to her opinion of Grith's
 comments as you are entitled to yours. If you disagree, then disagree... but if one were to call your
 opinions ridiculous, you'd be whining to an admin... do unto others as you would have them do unto you...

By her own admission, neither does Cindy... yet you have no problem accepting what she says.

In His service,
 Mr. J

nmm you really are obsessed with Cindy aren't you? You know what they say. There is a fine line between
 ove and hate....

Posted by: awesumtenor May 13 2007, 09:12 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 13 2007, 10:55 PM)


nmm you really are obsessed with Cindy aren't you? You know what they say. There is a fine line between
 love and hate....

As I told you before... my name is not Mandingo...

n His service,
 Mr. J

Posted by: Rosyroi May 13 2007, 10:24 PM

- edited for content
- edited for contet
- edited for content






 edited for content

 rofl edited for content

well... arent we supposed to be nice to those who despise and abuse us?

Rosyroi


editing again for content after finding out we lost Eirene and Alethia due to the choices they made.


Have a happy life for the two of you. You were warned several times. You ignored the warnings. It was your choice. NOT Admin.

Rosyroi

Posted by: Jnana15 May 13 2007, 10:25 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 13 2007, 09:55 PM) 

A close bystander at that...which I believe is more than you were?


Edited big time! I just noticed that Eirene is GONE! 

Posted by: FineArt May 14 2007, 08:16 PM



Sometimes, knowing someone personally isn't necessary. just watching them walk to the mike with arrogance is enough. And when the mouth opens and words are spokenMount Everest ego/pride appears. If this happens just once or for only one year.....your assumption might be wrong, but over many years.....you could be on to something. Body language can even speak louder than words.

a personal observation. I have no PDQ or MRI or ASAP after my name.....just watching and listening to 3ABN.


Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 14 2007, 09:17 PM

Oooooooooo **EDITED FOR THE BYE-BYE**  **OF THE ONE I WUZ RESPONDING TO**

Yall carry on now!

 snr 

Posted by: Skyhook May 14 2007, 10:49 PM


QUOTE(FineArt @ May 14 2007, 09:16 PM) 

Sometimes, knowing someone personally isn't necessary. just watching them walk to the mike with arrogance is enough. And when the mouth opens and words are spokenMount Everest ego/pride appears. If this happens just once or for only one year.....your assumption might be wrong, but over many years.....you could be on to something. Body language can even speak louder than words.

a personal observation. I have no PDQ or MRI or ASAP after my name.....just watching and listening to 3ABN.

FineArt, I totally agree with you. There is often a first impression that we get about people on a visceral level that gives us accurate information about the person. As you say, it can sometimes fool us. But when we get confirmation from people who know and work with/for them we can be pretty confident about our perceptions. . Some people are more sensitive to these kinds of impression than others, and we shouldn't automatically dismiss those first impressions. There have been some research done on this. The book "Blink" by Malcom Gladwell describes some of it. It is worth reading if one is interested in those things. The opposite can be also true. People can be completely misled by the visual picture ones gets from a person. Gladwell points out that perhaps one of our worst presidents was elected because he looked so presidential. (Warren Harding)

Posted by: Princess May 15 2007, 03:22 PM



Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)