

## Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

---

### BlackSDA \_ 3ABN \_ What Is Happening With Linda?

---

**Posted by: Observer May 8 2006, 08:18 AM**

About two hours ago, Mr. Matthews posted the following in another adventist forum.

"1) I still have people coming to me and telling me that the satellite problems that 3-ABN has had are related to Linda.

Let me say again, Linda is not powerful enough to take 3-ABN off of the satellite. I accept as truth what 3-ABN, and others have said that the problems are problems with the satellite.

2) This week-end the story went out that Linda had married the Doctor. At one point it was published on the Internet. That story is 100% false. Linda has not remarried. She does not have any plans to remarry. She is not planning to marry that Doctor.

3) At the present, Linda is actively engaged in ministry. This last week, she was in Kansas, and she is available for booking in SDA Congregations throughout North America. If you would like to discuss the possibility of her presenting a program in your area, you may contact her at her website. [www.lindashelton.org/](http://www.lindashelton.org/)

4) Linda is also developing in connection with others, a ministry to abused women. As I write this, plans are being made, in connection with an official organization of the SDA Church for Linda to produce a series of television programs on this subject. You will hear more about this as these plans develop.

5) While things seemingly are going well for Linda, I encourage you to remember her in your prayers. In the past public announcements of concerts that had been arranged with Linda has resulted in some attempting to have them cancelled. It is for that reason that I have not be specific in statement # 4.

6) Let me share something quite personal with you-all. At an earlier time in this sad sage, at a time when Linda was quite down on what was happening to her, she felt that the Lord was very close to her, and impressed her with a message. That message was the she had more to endure, but that the time would come when the Lord would restore her to her place in ministry. She has not understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry at 3-ABN, a ministry that she, with Danny co-founded. But, she has understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry, as God felt best. She believes that she is seeing this take place.

7) Remember her in prayer, as there are probably those who will attempt to prevent her from doing ministry.


8) God is in charge, and His purpose will be accomplished.

-----  
Gregory "

I have posted it here as it may be of interest to you.

---

**Posted by: simplysaved May 8 2006, 09:32 AM**

Of course that has NOTHING to do with it!  This same thing happen with 10 of SkyAngels contracted satellites...10 ...7 were restored on their same frequency, and three had not--HOWEVER 3ABN was given an alternate Satelite frqeuncy so that the 10 Commandments Weekend Program would be aired....Please

ask whoever it is to relax and let go of the "conspiracy theory"--it is not Christ-like at all...

**QUOTE(Observer @ May 8 2006, 08:18 AM)**

About two hours ago, Mr. Matthews posted the following in another adventist forum.

"1) I still have people coming to me and telling me that the satellite problems that 3-ABN has had are related to Linda.

Let me say again, Linda is not powerful enough to take 3-ABN off of the satellite. I accept as truth what 3-ABN, and others have said that the problems are problems with the satellite.

2) This week-end the story went out that Linda had married the Doctor. At one point it was published on the Internet. That story is 100% false. Linda has not remarried. She does not have any plans to remarry. She is not planning to marry that Doctor.

3) At the present, Linda is actively engaged in ministry. This last week, she was in Kansas, and she is available for booking in SDA Congregations throughout North America. If you would like to discuss the possibility of her presenting a program in your area, you may contact her at her website. [www.lindashelton.org/](http://www.lindashelton.org/)

4) Linda is also developing in connection with others, a ministry to abused women. As I write this, plans are being made, in connection with an official organization of the SDA Church for Linda to produce a series of television programs on this subject. You will hear more about this as these plans develop.

5) While things seemingly are going well for Linda, I encourage you to remember her in your prayers. In the past public announcements of concerts that had been arranged with Linda has resulted in some attempting to have them cancelled. It is for that reason that I have not be specific in statement # 4.

6) Let me share something quite personal with you-all. At an earlier time in this sad sage, at a time when Linda was quite down on what was happening to her, she felt that the Lord was very close to her, and impressed her with a message. That message was the she had more to endure, but that the time would come when the Lord would restore her to her place in ministry. She has not understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry at 3-ABN, a ministry that she, with Danny co-founded. But, she has understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry, as God felt best. She believes that she is seeing this take place.

7) Remember her in prayer, as there are probably those who will attempt to prevent her from doing ministry.

8) God is in charge, and His purpose will be accomplished.

-----  
Gregory "

I have posted it here as it may be of interest to you.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme May 8 2006, 11:16 AM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ May 8 2006, 07:18 AM)**

About two hours ago, Mr. Matthews posted the following in another adventist forum.

"1) I still have people coming to me and telling me that the satellite problems that 3-ABN has had are related to Linda.

Let me say again, Linda is not powerful enough to take 3-ABN off of the satellite. I accept as truth what 3-ABN, and others have said that the problems are problems with the satellite.

2) This week-end the story went out that Linda had married the Doctor. At one point it was published on the Internet. That story is 100% false. Linda has not remarried. She does not have any plans to remarry. She is not planning to marry that Doctor.

3) At the present, Linda is actively engaged in ministry. This last week, she was in Kansas, and she is available for booking in SDA Congregations throughout North America. If you would like to discuss the possibility of her presenting a program in your area, you may contact her at her website. [www.lindashelton.org/](http://www.lindashelton.org/)

4) Linda is also developing in connection with others, a ministry to abused women. As I write this, plans are being made, in connection with an official organization of the SDA Church for Linda to produce a series of television programs on this subject. You will hear more about this as these plans develop.

5) While things seemingly are going well for Linda, I encourage you to remember her in your prayers. In the past public announcements of concerts that had been arranged with Linda has resulted in some attempting to have them cancelled. It is for that reason that I have not be specific in statement # 4.

6) Let me share something quite personal with you-all. At an earlier time in this sad saga, at a time when Linda was quite down on what was happening to her, she felt that the Lord was very close to her, and impressed her with a message. That message was the she had more to endure, but that the time would come when the Lord would restore her to her place in ministry. She has not understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry at 3-ABN, a ministry that she, with Danny co-founded. But, she has understood this to mean that she would be restored to ministry, as God felt best. She believes that she is seeing this take place.

7) Remember her in prayer, as there are probably those who will attempt to prevent her from doing ministry.

8) God is in charge, and His purpose will be accomplished.

-----  
Gregory "

I have posted it here as it may be of interest to you.

I've spent hours talking to Linda - what continues to amaze me is her stillness, quietness, patient spirit. She could be saying and doing things to deliberately hurt or bash many who have betrayed and her her (and not just Danny) but she doesn't. She knows this isn't her battle. This is God's battle. She has a confidence and PEACE that can ONLY be a gift of the Spirit of God. I would only hope to be in this kind of christian spirit if I were in her shoes. God is working in her, and He will have His will done concerning her because she has stayed close to him, and is so committed to His will that she is not shaken. People could learn so much by her example if they could see. Their mouths would be shut like the lions mouths were. She has been a blessing to me, her witness of Jesus is nothing short of a miracle.

---

**Posted by: watchbird May 9 2006, 03:54 AM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 8 2006, 11:16 AM)**

I've spent hours talking to Linda - what continues to amaze me is her stillness, quietness, patient spirit. She could be saying and doing things to deliberately hurt or bash many who have betrayed and her her (and not just Danny) but she doesn't. She knows this isn't her battle. This is God's battle. She has a confidence and PEACE that can ONLY be a gift of the Spirit of God. I would only hope to be in this kind of christian spirit if I were in her shoes. God is working in her, and He will have His will done concerning her because she has stayed close to him, and is so committed to His will that she is not shaken. People could

learn so much by her example if they could see. Their mouths would be shut like the lions mouths were. She has been a blessing to me, her witness of Jesus is nothing short of a miracle.

'es, indeed. My first contacts with her were within only a few months of the divorce, and even then I was impressed by her attitude of confidence in the Lord and her forgiving spirit to all who had wronged her.

What most don't know is the burden she carried for Nathan during the last 5 or more years of her TV ministry when his drug addiction was so out of control it was endangering his very life. 3ABN watchers only saw a calm, serene Linda, holding her Bible, reading promises, and giving her little comments about them. Who would have guessed that she was reading those and clinging to them as a very literal life-line for herself while the concern for her son and the turmoil of her seemingly complete inability to do anything to help him raged within her, totally hidden from viewers.

Small wonder then, when she heard of a doctor who was having success in working with young people, including those with problems like her son's, that she grasped at the opportunity to get the two of them together.

---

**Posted by: Zephyr May 9 2006, 08:14 AM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ May 8 2006, 08:18 AM)**

About two hours ago, Mr. Matthews posted the following in another adventist forum.

2) This week-end the story went out that Linda had married the Doctor. At one point it was published on the Internet. That story is 100% false. Linda has not remarried. She does not have any plans to remarry. She is not planning to marry that Doctor.

-----  
Gregory "

I have posted it here as it may be of interest to you.

Shame on those who are bearing false witness if that's what it is. You sound as if you are an official, i.e. approved spokesperson for Linda. If so, please just state for the record so I can take a closer interest in what you write.

Spokesperson or not I think it is a bit careless make definitive statements as to Linda's marital future. If Linda's turns around and marries the doctor that would not necessarily shed light on the problems she and Danny were having.

Danny divorced Linda and he bears full responsibility if she ends up in an adulterous marriage. If you want to be the head you have to bear the headaches.

---

**Posted by: watchbird May 9 2006, 10:54 AM**

**QUOTE(Zephyr @ May 9 2006, 08:14 AM)**

Shame on those who are bearing false witness if that's what it is. You sound as if you are an official, i.e. approved spokesperson for Linda. If so, please just state for the record so I can take a closer interest in what you write.

Spokesperson or not I think it is a bit careless make definitive statements as to Linda's marital future. If Linda's turns around and marries the doctor that would not necessarily shed light on the problems she and Danny were having.

Danny divorced Linda and he bears full responsibility if she ends up in an adulterous marriage.  
If you want to be the head you have to bear the headaches.

The person who made the statement (not Observer who merely copied it to BSDA) is in a sense, somewhat of an "approved spokesperson for Linda" since he is the moderator of the 3ABN forum on CA and checks things out with Linda as to their accuracy before saying them.

But I think the point is that, as Johann stated, both Linda and the doctor have plainly stated that they do not have intentions of marrying each other.

One thing confuses me in your statement above. about Danny bearing full responsibility "if she ends up in an adulterous marriage". Did you mean to say if she **had** --past tense-- married the doctor before Danny remarried? Since Danny has remarried now, how could Linda, who is still single, "end up" --future tense-- "in an adulterous marriage"?

Thanks in advance for clarifying your thought on this.

---

**Posted by: statrei May 9 2006, 11:21 AM**

---

**QUOTE(watchbird @ May 9 2006, 11:54 AM)**

One thing confuses me in your statement above. about Danny bearing full responsibility "if she ends up in an adulterous marriage". Did you mean to say if she **had** --past tense-- married the doctor before Danny remarried? Since Danny has remarried now, how could Linda, who is still single, "end up" --future tense-- "in an adulterous marriage"?

Thanks in advance for clarifying your thought on this.

She is absolutely right according to what Jesus taught but most people overlook this. Divorce is NEVER recognized before the Creator because it is the death of a union. Even though you are divorced you are still married before the Creator. Jesus plainly said that if a man puts his wife away he causes her to commit adultery, unless he had divorced her because she had committed adultery. This passage has been erroneously interpreted to teach that the Creator approves of divorce if adultery occurred. All Christ was doing was clarifying who would be held responsible for the adultery. There is no such thing as acceptable divorce for biblical grounds. In other words, Danny bears responsibility for his adultery and for Linda's, should she remarry.

---

**Posted by: Johann May 9 2006, 10:37 PM**

---

**QUOTE(statrei @ May 9 2006, 06:21 PM)**

. . . There is no such thing as acceptable divorce for biblical grounds. In other words, Danny bears responsibility for his adultery and for Linda's, should she remarry.

Do you believe that if Linda should remarry it would add to Danny's sins?

---

**Posted by: statrei May 10 2006, 05:36 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ May 10 2006, 12:37 AM)**

Do you believe that if Linda should remarry it would add to Danny's sins?

I can only expand on what Jesus is reported as saying. Since Danny put Linda away and it was not for marital infidelity her adultery will be his responsibility. This is as plain as day.

---

**Posted by: Clay May 11 2006, 09:48 AM**

**this thread was split because of the tangent on forgiveness, which is now a separate thread.....**

---

**Posted by: Clay May 18 2006, 10:48 AM**

*closed for the moment....*

---

**Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Dec 28 2006, 04:42 PM**

I guess this one was re-opened without anybody noticing?

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Dec 30 2006, 04:01 PM**

I guess, but this divorce has been hashed and rehashed and it is a dead horse, IMHO....

---

**Posted by: Johann Dec 30 2006, 05:38 PM**

---

**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Dec 31 2006, 12:01 AM)**

I guess, but this divorce has been hashed and rehashed and it is a dead horse, IMHO....

What do you do if the cadaver has not been removed, and nobody wants to take the responsibility for doing the job?

---

**Posted by: fallible humanbeing Dec 30 2006, 06:18 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Observer @ May 8 2006, 10:18 AM)**

About two hours ago, Mr. Matthews posted the following in another adventist forum.

"1) I still have people coming to me and telling me that the satellite problems that 3-ABN has had are related to Linda.

Let me say again, Linda is not powerful enough to take 3-ABN off of the satellite. I accept as truth what 3-ABN, and others have said that the problems are problems with the satellite.

This is true, as far as I am aware. The real issue is dollars and cents where the satellite provider was concerned. More money to be made with other programmers, not that 3ABN viewership had dropped - just more money elsewhere.

**QUOTE(Observer @ May 8 2006, 10:18 AM)**

2) This week-end the story went out that Linda had married the Doctor. At one point it was published on the Internet. That story is 100% false. Linda has not remarried. She does not have any plans to remarry. She is not planning to marry **that** Doctor.

Knowing you are careful with your posts I would expect that the bolded part above is just an error of diction - but I wouldn't want you to get yourself into any hot water or have to endure a deluge of email and calls because of it.

- fhb

---

**Posted by: Clay Dec 30 2006, 09:16 PM**

**QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Dec 30 2006, 06:18 PM)**

This is true, as far as I am aware. The real issue is dollars and cents where the satellite provider was concerned. More money to be made with other programmers, not that 3ABN viewership had dropped - just more money elsewhere.

Knowing you are careful with your posts I would expect that the bolded part above is just an error of diction - **but I wouldn't want you to get yourself into any hot water or have to endure a deluge of email and calls because of it.**

- fhb

oh how kind you are.....

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Jan 4 2007, 03:36 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Dec 30 2006, 06:38 PM)**

What do you do if the cadaver has not been removed, and nobody wants to take the responsibility for doing the job?

Truthfully, Johann, I don't know....move it yourself? Hire someone else to do so? Ignore it? Don't travel in that area to avoid the stink?

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 4 2007, 04:13 PM**

**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Jan 4 2007, 11:36 PM)**

Truthfully, Johann, I don't know....move it yourself? Hire someone else to do so? Ignore it? Don't travel in that area to avoid the stink?

akes patience? BSDA has been great.

---

**Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 08:00 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 8 2006, 11:16 AM)**

I've spent hours talking to Linda - what continues to amaze me is her stillness, quietness, patient spirit. **She could be saying and doing things to deliberately hurt or bash many who have betrayed and her her (and not just Danny) but she doesn't.** [b]She knows this isn't her battle. This is God's battle. [b] She has a confidence and PEACE that can ONLY be a gift of the Spirit of God. I would only hope to be in this kind of christian spirit if I were in her shoes. God is working in her, and He will have His will done concerning her because she has stayed close to him, and is so committed to His will that she is not shaken. People could learn so much by her example if they could see. Their mouths would be shut like the lions mouths were. She has been a blessing to me, her witness of Jesus is nothing short of a miracle.

Well sonshineonme I guess that you have been snowed. Beware! Eye Witness has proved that Linda is involved directly with what is going on in this site. Maybe you just haven't gotten your turn yet! Deliberately, she did what she did to Eye Witness friend. Linda must have another definition of deliberately.  
Teresa Reiman

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 17 2007, 08:30 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 18 2007, 04:00 AM)**

Well sonshineonme I guess that you have been snowed. Beware! Eye Witness has proved that Linda is involved directly with what is going on in this site. Maybe you just haven't gotten your turn yet! Deliberately, she did what she did to Eye Witness friend. Linda must have another definition of deliberately.  
Teresa Reiman

You are getting more and more interesting, Teresa - or amusing. Yes, someone saw that e-mail you are referring to and made the mistake, as you see it, of taking the wording at face value. That person had no idea the e-mail meant something else than what it said. Who could know that? As far as I know Linda had nothing to do with bringing this to BSDA, because she is never here! So you are making an argument that you know nothing about.

In passing I heard a spiritualistic medium speaking on the radio just as I am writing this. Demonic? Reminds me it was, according to Pastor John Lomacang, a demon that appeared at night to Brenda that started this whole thing back in 2004. It is amazing how many of you have followed the leading of that demon until this day! Back in 2004 I warned the leaders of 3ABN not to follow the leading of a demon, but I was told that the demon could be of a great benefit to 3ABN!

And it now even looks like we need a demon to interpret that e-mail you are referring to in order to understand the whole matter your way!



---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 08:34 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 06:00 PM)**

Well sonshineonme I guess that you have been snowed. Beware! Eye Witness has proved that Linda is involved directly with what is going on in this site. Maybe you just haven't gotten your turn yet! Deliberately, she did what she did to Eye Witness friend. Linda must have another definition of deliberately.  
Teresa Reiman

You couldn't be more wrong - but I think you know this deep down.

---

**Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 08:40 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 17 2007, 08:34 PM)**

You couldn't be more wrong - **but I think you know this deep down.**



---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 08:45 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 06:40 PM)**



ahhh, c'mon, you can admit it - we will love you still.

---

**Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 09:12 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 17 2007, 08:45 PM)**

ahhh, c'mon, you can admit it - we will love you still.

sonshineoneme beware!

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 17 2007, 09:18 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 10:12 PM)**

sonshineoneme beware!

That sounds like a threat...and Christian people shouldn't threaten! Maybe you should spend some time with your nose stuck in the Bible.

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 17 2007, 09:27 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 08:00 PM)**

Well sonshineonme I guess that you have been snowed. Beware! Eye Witness has proved that Linda is involved directly with what is going on in this site. Maybe you just haven't gotten your turn yet! Deliberately, she did what she did to Eye Witness friend. Linda must have another definition of deliberately.  
Teresa Reiman

Hi Teresa,

I am truly just trying to keep up here in a sincere search for the truth, and I think I have missed something somewhere. How has Eye Witness proven that Linda is involved here? This is an honest question.

**Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 09:29 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 17 2007, 08:30 PM)**

You are getting more and more interesting, Teresa - or amusing. Yes, someone saw that e-mail you are referring to and made the mistake, as you see it, of taking the wording at face value. That person had no idea the e-mail meant something else than what it said. Who could know that? As far as **I know Linda had nothing to do with bringing this to BSDA, because she is never here!** So you are making an argument that you know nothing about.

In passing I heard a spiritualistic medium speaking on the radio just as I am writing this. Demonic? Reminds me it was, according to Pastor John Lomacang, a demon that appeared at night to Brenda that started this whole thing back in 2004. It is amazing how many of you have followed the leading of that demon until this day! Back in 2004 I warned the leaders of 3ABN not to follow the leading of a demon, but I was told that the demon could be of a great benefit to 3ABN!

And it now even looks like we need a demon to interpret that e-mail you are referring to in order to *understand the whole matter your way!*

Johann I have no idea what you are trying to say, other than, I guess you are hearing voices. If you need the voice to interpret the email then well I can't help you in that area either. The best way for you to read the email that Eye Witness has produced is maybe the same way I read it. Open minded. As far as Linda not being connected with all this, who are you trying to kid. **There was a personal email sent to Linda that**

**has been posted here.** Now if she is never here, then there must be someone working very close to her, Gailon, Pickles and maybe even you, that she can use, to do her bashing. I could be mistaken but what other conclusion can be drawn? Got any ideas? Johann, Do you think Linda has been under any stress?

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 09:43 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 07:29 PM)**

Johann I have no idea what you are trying to say, other than, I guess you are hearing voices. If you need the voice to interpret the email then well I can't help you in that area either. The best way for you to read the email that Eye Witness has produced is maybe the same way I read it. Open minded. As far as Linda not being connected with all this, who are you trying to kid. **There was a personal email sent to Linda that has been posted here.** Now if she is never here, then there must be someone working very close to her, Gailon, Pickles and maybe even you, that she can use, to do her bashing. I could be mistaken but what other conclusion can be drawn? Got any ideas? Johann, Do you think Linda has been under any stress?

"Teresa",

Where is the email that proves Linda is bashing someone? Post it (again). Thanks.

One other thing "Teresa", why don't you ask Linda about it, instead of spreading "conclusions" about the how and why here at BSDA?

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 17 2007, 09:47 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 09:29 PM)**

Johann I have no idea what you are trying to say, other than, I guess you are hearing voices. If you need the voice to interpret the email then well I can't help you in that area either. The best way for you to read the email that Eye Witness has produced is maybe the same way I read it. Open minded. As far as Linda not being connected with all this, who are you trying to kid. **There was a personal email sent to Linda that has been posted here.** Now if she is never here, then there must be someone working very close to her, Gailon, Pickles and maybe even you, that she can use, to do her bashing. I could be mistaken but **what other conclusion can be drawn?** Got any ideas? Johann, Do you think Linda has been under any stress?

Any email can have blind copies. Therefore, copies of a "personal email" could end up far from the stated recipient, without the named recipient even knowing about it. So, you don't have an air-tight case that someone "must" be working close to her.

---

**Posted by: Teresa Reiman Jan 17 2007, 09:50 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 17 2007, 09:27 PM)**

Hi Teresa,

I am truly just trying to keep up here in a sincere search for the truth, and I think I have missed something somewhere. How has Eye Witness proven that Linda is involved here? This is an honest question.

Snoopy please bear with my english. I am not good and many have let me know, so please just be kind. In the Save 3abn page/thread you will find where Gailon Joy posted a comment from Eye Witness' friends email. There are several posting from Eye Witness there. Eye Witness has stated that it was a personal email sent to Linda Shelton and it ended up on this forum. Sooo if the email ended up here, then either Linda is working closely with Gailon, Pickles and who knows who, **or she is part of all this herself**. Either way she is contributing to the Bashing. What is really sad is that I guess that she felt this personal email, which really wasn't anything, would be so proving to her/the case. Anyway that is where I am, but you will certainly want to read the documents and posts and see if you see it like I do.

Danke Schon

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 17 2007, 09:55 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 07:29 PM)**

I could be mistaken but what other conclusion can be drawn? Got any ideas? Johann, Do you think Linda has been under any stress?

You know, sitting here thinking about the stress that you and the "family" have put Linda under the past almost 3 years, I've thought of something - IF she were going to do something because of it, it would not be so subtle as posting some email....and I think you said something about "I could be mistaken". REALLY? Did you really say that???? There are plenty of other "conclusions", but I think you have no real interest in the truth. I would rethink your assessment.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 17 2007, 09:57 PM**

Uh Teresa, earlier today you were in LC talking about the people who were really trying to find out who you are. Well, I didn't have much time to read too many posts at work today, but since I have been home, I have read several posts where you are trying to that Sister is Linda, or somebody( not that it hasn't been said before). So now I think it is you( the latest team 3ABN) who has come to at least figure out if one of the people posting information is Linda, or acting as her agent. I don't think anyone would try to find who you are if you had not been trying to identify members here first.

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 07:29 PM)**

Johann I have no idea what you are trying to say, other than, I guess you are hearing voices. If you need the voice to interpret the email then well I can't help you in that area either. The best way for you to read the email that Eye Witness has produced is maybe the same way I read it. Open minded. As far as Linda not being connected with all this, who are you trying to kid. **There was a personal email sent to Linda that has been posted here**. Now if she is never here, then there must be someone working very close to her, Gailon, Pickles and maybe even you, that she can use, to do her bashing. I could be mistaken but what other conclusion can be drawn? Got any ideas? Johann, Do you think Linda has been under any stress?

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 17 2007, 10:02 PM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 09:50 PM)**

Snoopy please bear with my english. I am not good and many have let me know, so please just be kind. In the Save 3abn page/thread you will find where Gailon Joy posted a comment from Eye Witness' friends email. There are several posting from Eye Witness there. Eye Witness has stated that it was a personal email sent to Linda Shelton and it ended up on this forum. Sooo if the email ended up here, then either Linda is working closely with Gailon, Pickles and who knows who, **or she is part of all this herself.** Either way she is contributing to the Bashing. What is really sad is that I guess that she felt this personal email, which really wasn't anything, would be so proving to her/the case. Anyway that is where I am, but you will certainly want to read the documents and posts and see if you see it like I do.  
Danke Schon

Thank you for your sincere response. And, I have no problem with your English - just respect for your efforts to get your point across. God bless...

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 17 2007, 10:23 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 17 2007, 11:02 PM)**

Thank you for your sincere response. And, I have no problem with your English - just respect for your efforts to get your point across. God bless...

And what would that point be, exactly? To take aim with the latest weapon of mass distraction from her camp? To hijack discussion?

Expliquez, s'il-vous plait...

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: roxe Jan 18 2007, 01:00 AM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 17 2007, 08:57 PM)**

(the latest team 3ABN)

just wondering... is this the "Q" team? or are we beyond that?  
its confusing trying to keep up with these teams

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 17 2007, 07:30 PM)**

Reminds me it was, according to Pastor John Lomacang, a demon that appeared at night to Brenda that started this whole thing back in 2004. It is amazing how many of you have followed the leading of that demon until this day! Back in 2004 I warned the leaders of 3ABN not to follow the leading of a demon, but I was told that the demon could be of a great benefit to 3ABN!

oh dear... sounds to me like an open invite for control - leading to disaster...

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 06:52 AM**

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 10:50 PM)**

Snoopy please bear with my english. I am not good and many have let me know, so please just be kind. In the Save 3abn page/thread you will find where Gailon Joy posted a comment from Eye Witness' friends email. There are several posting from Eye Witness there. Eye Witness has stated that it was a personal email sent to Linda Shelton and it ended up on this forum. Sooo if the email ended up here, then either Linda is working closely with Gailon, Pickles and who knows who, **or she is part of all this herself.** Either way she is contributing to the Bashing. What is really sad is that I guess that she felt this personal email, which really wasn't anything, would be so proving to her/the case. Anyway that is where I am, but you will certainly want to read the documents and posts and see if you see it like I do.  
Danke Schon

Teresa, personally I believe you are Teresa Shelton, the writer of the email in question and as such know where all the bcc's went to with the obvious inclusion of "Eye Witness", but that is just my opinion. If you are trying to veil your identity with the inclusion of "Danke Schon" at the end of your post, suggesting that you are German, it doesn't fly for a number of reasons. One reason is that the word order mistakes or grammatical mistakes that would be typical in the posts of a native German speaking person are not there. In addition to that a German speaking person would not have written "Danke Schon" in the same manner that you have written it. The errors you have made in your posts are more indicative of a poorly educated American, rather than a foreigner whose mother tongue is not English.

Also, Teresa you keep ignoring my questions in another thread in regard to what you think I was implying Rick Shelton could write about his "father" if he choose to do so. Only someone in the Shelton family would have made the assumptions that you made in regard to what I had written, a friend of the Shelton family would have remained silent. That is a secret that you never should have so publicly alluded to in an open forum, if you were trying to keep your Shelton family identity a secret.

It appears that the Shelton family are closing ranks and trying to do all the damage control they can by attempting to draw our attention away from the main issues of what is being revealed about the Shelton brothers and like a magician trying to redirect our attention somewhere else, place the "blame" for the revelation on Linda. **It appears that the Shelton's are trying to convince us that child molestation is not the crime, but that blowing the whistle on the alleged victimizer is the crime.** Sorry, Danny, but I find such an attitude perverse coming from the midst of a self-professed Christian organization.

Sister

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 07:53 AM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 17 2007, 07:30 PM)**

You are getting more and more interesting, Teresa - or amusing. Yes, someone saw that e-mail you are referring to and made the mistake, as you see it, of taking the wording at face value. That person had no idea the e-mail meant something else than what it said. Who could know that? As far as I know Linda had nothing to do with bringing this to BSDA, because she is never here! So you are making an argument that you know nothing about.

In passing I heard a spiritualistic medium speaking on the radio just as I am writing this. Demonic?  
**Reminds me it was, according to Pastor John Lomacang, a demon that appeared at night to Brenda that started this whole thing back in 2004.** It is amazing how many of you have followed the leading of that demon until this day! Back in 2004 I warned the leaders of 3ABN not to follow the leading

of a demon, but I was told that the demon could be of a great benefit to 3ABN!

And it now even looks like we need a demon to interpret that e-mail you are referring to in order to understand the whole matter your way!

ohann, I have heard references to this incident but have never heard the full story. Can you recount this demon story for me and others who do not know it?

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 07:00 PM)**

Well sonshineonme **I guess that you have been snowed**. Beware! Eye Witness has proved that Linda is involved directly with what is going on in this site. Maybe you just haven't gotten your turn yet! Deliberately, she did what she did to Eye Witness friend. Linda must have another definition of deliberately. Teresa Reiman

Well, Teresa, let's take a look at the definition of this condition that you have invoked:

snow (sn)

1.

.. Frozen precipitation in the form of white or translucent hexagonal ice crystals that fall in soft, white flakes.

2. A falling of snow; a snowstorm.

3. Something resembling snow, as:

1. The white specks on a television screen resulting from weak reception.

2. Slang Cocaine.

3. Slang Heroin.

v. snowed, snow-ing, snows

v.intr.

To fall as or in snow.

v.tr.

.. To cover, shut off, or close off with snow: We were snowed in.

**2. Slang To overwhelm with insincere talk, especially with flattery.**

4mmmm....

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 08:21 AM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 05:52 AM)**

Teresa, personally I believe you are Teresa Shelton, the writer of the email in question and as such know where all the bcc's went to with the obvious inclusion of "Eye Witness", but that is just my opinion. If you are trying to veil your identity with the inclusion of "Danke Schon" at the end of your post, suggesting that you are German, it doesn't fly for a number of reasons. One reason is that the word order mistakes or grammatical mistakes that would be typical in the posts of a native German speaking person are not there. In addition to that a German speaking person would not have written "Danke Schon" in the same manner that you have written it. The errors you have made in your posts are more indicative of a poorly educated American, rather than a foreigner whose mother tongue is not English.

Also, Teresa you keep ignoring my questions in another thread in regard to what you think I was implying Rick Shelton could write about his "father" if he choose to do so. Only someone in the Shelton family would have made the assumptions that you made in regard to what I had written, a friend of the Shelton family would have remained silent. That is a secret that you never should have so publicly alluded to in an open forum, if you were trying to keep your Shelton family identity a secret.

It appears that the Shelton family are closing ranks and trying to do all the damage control they can by attempting to draw our attention away from the main issues of what is being revealed about the Shelton brothers and like a magician trying to redirect our attention somewhere else, place the "blame" for the

revelation on Linda. **It appears that the Shelton's are trying to convince us that child molestation is not the crime, but that blowing the whistle on the alleged victimizer is the crime.** Sorry, Danny, but I find such an attitude perverse coming from the midst of a self-professed Christian organization.

Sister

Teresa, who is attempting to snow who?

I can understand how hard it must be to see a beloved family member in danger of being brought to justice or allegations that expose actions that are contrary to God's law. I can also understand how that beloved family member's accountability for the alleged indecent, abusive actions against children and young men would be minimized by his family due to the abuse that the beloved family member had suffered as a child.

I know that blood is thicker than water. But why make it thicker than the Living Water? By minimizing this brother's actions against his alleged victims, by attempting to cover up those alleged crimes by denial and intimidation, you are all in danger of experiencing a most deadly Drought! IMO

---

**Posted by: Green Cochoa Jan 18 2007, 08:47 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Teresa Reiman @ Jan 17 2007, 09:50 PM)**

Snoopy please bear with my english. I am not good and many have let me know, so please just be kind. In the Save 3abn page/thread you will find where Gailon Joy posted a comment from Eye Witness' friends email. There are several posting from Eye Witness there. Eye Witness has stated that it was a personal email sent to Linda Shelton and it ended up on this forum. Sooo if the email ended up here, then either Linda is working closely with Gailon, Pickles and who knows who, **or she is part of all this herself.** Either way she is contributing to the Bashing. What is really sad is that I guess that she felt this personal email, which really wasn't anything, would be so proving to her/the case. Anyway that is where I am, but you will certainly want to read the documents and posts and see if you see it like I do.  
Danke Schon

Teresa, Sprechen Sie Deutsch?

Entschuldigen Sie uns bitte für das Kommentieren Ihre englische Fähigkeit. Sie können auf Deutsch schreiben, wenn Sie wünschen. Mai Gott segnen Sie!

Unless the rules are changed, I just double-checked them and found no ban on the use of foreign languages here, and since Teresa seems to speak German, she is welcome to respond to me in kind.)

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 18 2007, 09:22 AM**

---

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 17 2007, 10:23 PM)**

And what would that point be, exactly? To take aim with the latest weapon of mass distraction from her camp? To hijack discussion?

Expliquez, s'il-vous plait...

In His service,  
Mr. J



Sorry, Mr. J. I haven't been around long enough to know one "camp" from another yet. I am still searching and reading and trying to catch up. I have some experience with 3ABN, and I truly believe in its ministry. However, my own time there raised some questions in my mind which is why I am here.

All I was trying to say to "Ms. Reiman" was that I empathize with "her" ability to express herself, especially if English is not her first language. Who knows - the English thing might just be a ploy. I believe that I am far behind on this unfolding story, so if my comments aren't appropriate, please accept my humble apologies. I am just snooping for the truth...

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 18 2007, 09:28 AM**

**QUOTE(Green Cochoa @ Jan 18 2007, 09:47 AM)**

Teresa, Sprechen Sie Deutsch?

Entschuldigen Sie uns bitte für das Kommentieren Ihre englische Fähigkeit. Sie können auf Deutsch schreiben, wenn Sie wünschen. Mai Gott segnen Sie!

(Unless the rules are changed, I just double-checked them and found no ban on the use of foreign languages here, and since Teresa seems to speak German, she is welcome to respond to me in kind.)

Ich denke nicht, daß sie spricht deutsch... Ich könnte verwechselt werden, jedoch...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 18 2007, 09:37 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 18 2007, 09:28 AM)**

Ich denke nicht, daß sie spricht deutsch... Ich könnte verwechselt werden, jedoch...

In His service,  
Mr. J

Ich stimme zu.  rofl


---

**Posted by: Green Cochoa Jan 18 2007, 09:45 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 18 2007, 09:28 AM)**


Ich denke nicht, daß sie spricht deutsch... Ich könnte verwechselt werden, jedoch...

In His service,  
Mr. J

I think we're on the same page... 






---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 09:52 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 18 2007, 10:28 AM)** 

Ich denke nicht, daß sie spricht deutsch... Ich könnte verwechselt werden, jedoch...


In His service,  
Mr. J






Since there are so many German speaking people on BSDA, perhaps you would all like to invite Teresa to the LC so she can write in her "Mother Tongue" with all of you.  rofl  rofl  rofl Teresa, we are all waiting to hear from you...  Oh, I forgot, the Shelton Clan is from southern Illinois, not southern Germany! 

Sister

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 18 2007, 10:03 AM**


**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 09:52 AM)** 

Since there are so many German speaking people on BSDA, perhaps you would all like to invite Teresa to the LC so she can write in her "Mother Tongue" with all of you.  rofl  rofl  rofl Teresa, we are all waiting to hear from you...  Oh, I forgot, the Shelton Clan is from southern Illinois, not southern Germany! 

Sister


Oh, no.

West Frankfort, not West Frankfurt?

Now you tell me.  rofl


---





**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 10:08 AM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 18 2007, 11:03 AM)** 

Oh, no.

West Frankfort, not West Frankfurt?

Now you tell me. 

You got it right, Pete. The place with the only Hamburger comes between a bun, with pickles and mustard, and not from a city in northern Germany.    Sorry, I am just a bit silly today with all the recent nonsense from the Shelton Clan. 

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 10:13 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 18 2007, 08:28 AM)**

Ich denke nicht, daß sie spricht deutsch... Ich könnte verwechselt werden, jedoch...


In His service,  
Mr. J

Sigh... many of my ancestors were German- speaking German/Prussian folk and I always got a kick over how they restructured their English sentences - "Up on the car you must get" for example, but I never picked up the language myself. My pitiful attempt at a translation of your statement comes up with "I think not that she speaks German...I understand this because of her faulty wording..." Am I even close?

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 18 2007, 10:25 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 11:13 AM)**

Sigh... many of my ancestors were German- speaking German/Prussian folk and I always got a kick over how they restructured their English sentences - "Up on the car you must get" for example, but I never picked up the language myself. My pitiful attempt at a translation of your statement comes up with "I think not that she speaks German...I understand this because of her faulty wording..." Am I even close?

You are in the ballpark 

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 10:25 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 06:13 PM)**

Sigh... many of my ancestors were German- speaking German/Prussian folk and I always got a kick over how they restructured their English sentences - "Up on the car you must get" for example, but I never picked up the language myself. My pitiful attempt at a translation of your statement comes up with "I think not that she speaks German...I understand this because of her faulty wording..." Am I even close?

Very good! Almost 50% right

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 18 2007, 10:32 AM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 10:08 AM)**

You got it right, Pete. The place with the only Hamburger comes between a bun, with pickles and mustard, and not from a city in northern Germany.  rofl   rofl Sorry, I am just a bit silly today with all the recent nonsense from the Shelton Clan.

Hamburgers but no Hamburgians. Isn't that always the case?  rofl

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 11:03 AM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 09:25 AM)**

Very good! Almost 50% right

rofl

I guess the 6 years I spent studying French may have helped some.

---

**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 11:11 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 06:03 PM)**

rofl

I guess the 6 years I spent studying French may have helped some.

That would be highly supprising.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 11:31 AM**

**QUOTE(västergötland @ Jan 18 2007, 10:11 AM)**

That would be highly supprising.

Okay, you caught me!



I've always enjoyed languages and have watched many subtitled German documentaries, so I guess I picked up a few words that way. What I think I do know is:

Ich = I

nicht = not

sie spricht deutsch = she speaks German

werden = wording, I think

The rest I just guessed at. Guess you all could tell.



---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 11:33 AM**

---

**QUOTE(västergötland @ Jan 18 2007, 07:11 PM)**

That would be highly supprising.

We're highly



ofl

aren't we? How do we get back?

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 18 2007, 11:35 AM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 09:31 AM)**

Okay, you caught me!



I've always enjoyed languages and have watched many subtitled German documentaries, so I guess I picked up a few words that way. What I think I do know is:

Ich = I

nicht = not

sie spricht deutsch = she speaks German

werden = wording, I think

The rest I just guessed at. Guess you all could tell.



Hey PB, I think you did pretty good!! My background is german, and you picked up more than I did - of course, I think I was not trying too hard either as the converstation was more fun in itself. But let this be a lesson to you all here, the people at BSDA are of the INTELLIGENT kind!!



---


**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 11:35 AM**

I think "werden" is a gramatically bent form of "to be". I couldnt tell you the correct grammar term for it though.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 11:38 AM**


QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 10:33 AM)

We're highly  off aren't we? How do we get back?

Johann, do you have any updates on how Linda is doing? Is she pleased with how the investigation is proceeding? How about the website?

**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 11:39 AM**


QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 06:33 PM)

We're highly  off aren't we? How do we get back?


Take to the right in the turnabout just after passing through the hamlet known as Common Sence..

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 11:47 AM**

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 18 2007, 10:35 AM)

Hey PB, I think you did pretty good!! My background is german, and you picked up more than I did - of course, I think I was not trying too hard either as the converstation was more fun in itself. But let this be a lesson to you all here, the people at BSDA are of the INTELLIGENT kind!! 

Aw, thanks. I did hear German from time to time as a child but it didn't stick too well. One of my favorite stories about by great grandfather happened shortly after he moved his family out to California from North Dakota in the early part of the 20th century. He was driving his car into the garage when everyone inside the house heard him yelling (in German, of course) "Whoa! Whoa! Whoa!" followed by a huge crash as he drove through the back wall. He had only had the car for a little over a month and was still used to the team of horses that pulled the wagon on their farm, not the horses under the hood!

But now I have strayed  off again.

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 18 2007, 11:56 AM**

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 09:38 AM)

Johann, do you have any updates on how Linda is doing? Is she pleased with how the investigation is proceeding? How about the website?

I'm sure Johann can tell you some things with regard to Linda, but I can also tell you that she is doing very well! She is busy and doing things she has wanted to do for some time. She knows that life is not easy, but that God is good, and she trusts Him completely. Her faith has grown and it's a blessing to me. As for her personal website, she plans to update it soon.

**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 12:08 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 18 2007, 06:56 PM)**

I'm sure Johann can tell you some things with regard to Linda, but I can also tell you that she is doing very well! She is busy and doing things she has wanted to do for some time. She knows that life is not easy, but that God is good, and she trusts Him completely. Her faith has grown and it's a blessing to me. As for her personal website, she plans to update it soon.

Do you ever thought about becoming a politician? Or the spokeswoman for one?

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 18 2007, 12:13 PM**

**QUOTE(västergötland @ Jan 18 2007, 10:08 AM)**

You ever thought about becoming a politician? Or the spokeswoman for one?

No. Is this a compliment? (I don't feel complimented )

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 12:21 PM**


**QUOTE(västergötland @ Jan 18 2007, 10:35 AM)**

I think "werden" is a grammatically bent form of "to be". I couldn't tell you the correct grammar term for it though.

Tak. I know that's Danish, but how for do? It's the only Scandinavian word for Thank You that I know. The only others are "fer mad" which was always attached after the "Tak" and which I always said after being fed a nice Danish meal, even when I was served fiske bollen which I detested. Shudder.

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 18 2007, 10:56 AM)**

I'm sure Johann can tell you some things with regard to Linda, but I can also tell you that she is doing very well! She is busy and doing things she has wanted to do for some time. She knows that life is not easy, but

that God is good, and she trusts Him completely. Her faith has grown and it's a blessing to me. As for her personal website, she plans to update it soon. 

glad to hear it sonshineonme. Regarding the website, I was speaking of the save3abn.com website.

---


**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 12:22 PM**

Guess it depends on ones perspicitve. Not intended to hurt though...

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 12:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 18 2007, 07:38 PM) **

Johann, do you have any updates on how Linda is doing? Is she pleased with how the investigation is proceeding? How about the website?


Linda is still the remarkable woman she was for thousands of viewers around the world. She is more confident today that the time is approaching when not only her closest friends will believe she was wrongfully fired and dismissed as a wife and as a producer.






You will notice that even those of Danny's friends who appear here on the net are no longer attacking Linda the way they used to do, but they are merely trying to confuse things by stating that Danny and the Sheltons are not being treated as they "deserve"!

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 18 2007, 01:07 PM**

---



**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 10:52 AM) **

Since there are so many German speaking people on BSDA, perhaps you would all like to invite Teresa to the LC so she can write in her "Mother Tongue" with all of you.  rofl  rofl  rofl Teresa, we are all waiting to hear from you...  Oh, I forgot, the Shelton Clan is from southern Illinois, not southern Germany! 

Sister

Hey, Sister, what's "the LC" where all of us German speakers can meet?

I do find it a little strange that a German speaker who has trouble with English would write "You've been allowed."

It took me a *long* time to understand English slang. I remember being puzzled by "hot dogs" -- heisse Luende??  But maybe Teresa is much brighter than I. 

Also, Teresa, wenn Du wuenschst, kannst Du gerne auf Deutsch schreiben.  Einige von uns werden Dich



gut verstehen, und wir koennen dann ueberzetzen. ☹

---

**Posted by: västergötland Jan 18 2007, 01:23 PM**

LC = Live Chat

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 03:41 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewilderer @ Jan 18 2007, 03:53 PM) ☐**

Johann, I have heard references to this incident but have never heard the full story. Can you recount this demon story for me and others who do not know it?  
Well, Teresa, let's take a look at the definition of this condition that you have invoked:

---

People at 3ABN were wondering why Danny and Breda were spending so much time together... after she and Linda returned from Norway. So this had to be cleared.

Then one night at two in the mornig Brenda called Pastor John Lomacang, told him there was a demon in her house who had thrown down a basked. This made her so uncomfortable that she had to call the pastor to confess her sins.

She did not confess her own sins, but made, what I regard as false accusations against Linda, and these fictitious accusations started the whole affair. After John Lomacang had told me on the phone of this happenig, I called Dr. Walt Thompson and indicated that it would not be safe to let a demon direct such accusations against Linda, since I knew from my own experience that some of these accusations were utterly false.

But Walt insisted that the demon was to be trusted and that he could help 3ABN.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 18 2007, 04:36 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 17 2007, 09:34 PM) ☐**

You couldn't be more wrong - but I think you know this deep down.

A private e-mail to Linda get's published and Linda had nothing to do with it? Bob e-mails questions to her and posts her replies, and she had nothing to do with it?

I guess we're all stupid again?!? ☹

Somehow, www.lindashelton.org continually get's updated in sync with what is posted both here and on MSDAOL, and that has nothing to do with her either, and she knows nothing and contributes nothing, even though D. Mundall is a member here and on MSDAOL, and her website was registered by him, and he is the technical contact?!? ☹ rofl

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 01:58 PM) □**

Liinda is still the remarkable woman she was for thousands of viewers around the world. She is more confident today that the time is approaching when not only her closest friends will believe she was wrongfully fired and dismissed as a wife and as a producer.

You will notice that even those of Danny's friends who appear here on the net are no longer attacking Linda the way they used to do, but they are merely trying to confuse things by stating that Danny and the Sheltons are not being treated as they "deserve"!

I haven't noticed that. Who are you talking about when you say "Danny's friends"?

Just curious, for I was accused of that and have never even met him...

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 04:41 PM) □**

People at 3ABN were wondering why Danny and Breda were spending so much time together... after she and Linda returned from Norway. So this had to be cleared.

Then one night at two in the mornig Brenda called Pastor John Lomacang, told him there was a demon in her house who had thrown down a basked. This made her so uncomfortable that she had to call the pastor to confess her sins.

She did not confess her own sins, but made, what I regard as false accusations against Linda, and these fictitious accusations started the whole affair. After John Lomacang had told me on the phone of this happenig, I called Dr. Walt Thompson and indicated that it would not be safe to let a demon direct such accusations against Linda, since I knew from my own experience that some of these accusations were utterly false.

But Walt insisted that the demon was to be trusted and that he could help 3ABN.

Is it just me. or does this story grows each time it's repeated, and get more outlandish and have added details everytime???

Does anybody have a link to the original tale?

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 18 2007, 04:42 PM**

T e r e s a ?

Y o u t h e r e ?

Come back...we won't make you speak German. You can speak your good ol' suthen ilinoy talk. Yu know, miyuns and miyuns of viewers and such...

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 18 2007, 04:58 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 18 2007, 05:36 PM) □**

Somehow, www.lindashelton.org continually get's updated in sync with what is posted both here and on MSDAOL, and that has nothing to do with her either,

What on earth are you talking about? I just went to Linda's site, and it looks like it hasn't been updated since Spring 2006"

**QUOTE**

Just curious, for I was accused of that and have never even met him...  
Is it just me. or does this story grows each time it's repeated, and get more outlandish and have added details everytime???

For what it's worth, the account of Brenda traveling with Linda and of Brenda having a frightening night encounter which caused her to call John Lomacang and "confess" Linda's "sins" sounds the same as when I first heard it more than two years ago. And that Brenda spent an uncommon amount of time with Dan appears to be common knowledge, seeing so many mentioned it. (Brenda seems hardly shy, having the gall to invite Dan to her birthday party without Linda, **while Dan & Linda were still together.**)

So what did **you**hear/read before this?

Ah, I get it! From my experience with you on the Maritime forum, I suppose you are right. Johann didn't use **precisely** the same words. And that apparently makes a very big difference to you.

But then, this isn't about being letter-perfect. We're more interested in accountability and transparency, even if that requires us to do think about what is said, rather than do a word-for-word comparison.

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 18 2007, 05:08 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 18 2007, 04:36 PM)**

Is it just me. or does this story grows each time it's repeated, and get more outlandish and have added details everytime???

It's just you.

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 05:21 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 18 2007, 05:58 PM)**

What on earth are you talking about? I just went to Linda's site, and it looks like it hasn't been updated since "Spring 2006"

For what it's worth, the account of Brenda traveling with Linda and of Brenda having a frightening night encounter which caused her to call John Lomacang and "confess" Linda's "sins" sounds the same as when I first heard it more than two years ago. And that Brenda spent an uncommon amount of time with Dan appears to be common knowledge, seeing so many mentioned it. (Brenda seems hardly shy, having the gall to invite Dan to her birthday party without Linda, **while Dan & Linda were still together.**)

So what did **you**hear/read before this?

Ah, I get it! From my experience with you on the Maritime forum, I suppose you are right. Johann didn't use **precisely** the same words. And that apparently makes a very big difference to you.

But then, this isn't about being letter-perfect. We're more interested in accountability and transparency, even if that requires us to do think about what is said, rather than do a word-for-word comparison.

Hi Inga, LC is Live Chat. Sorry but I have to correct on something above. The birthday party was for Dan's birthday in May 2004, given by Brenda, but you were correct that Linda was not invited. But I guess since she was spending all that time alone, at night, in her apartment with Dan she was brazen enough to throw a birthday party for her "special friend" and not invite his wife. You would think that that would qualify as SPIRITUAL ADULTERY in Dan's book.  Oh, sorry, I forgot that he writes the rules, he doesn't live by them.

Sister

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 05:30 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 19 2007, 12:36 AM)**

---  
Is it just me. or does this story grows each time it's repeated, and get more outlandish and have added details everytime???

Does anybody have a link to the original tale?

Dindy, you told me you live with your mother. Does that mean that should you tell me more details of that later on, then you are merely spinning on to your original tale?

may not have told in details of my phone conversations earlier. Am I therefore forbidden to give more details at a later date? Or is that merely just you, as you say? Does it chock you too much to learn how all of his happened?

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 18 2007, 05:36 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 17 2007, 10:57 PM)**

Uh Teresa, earlier today you were in LC talking about the people who were really trying to find out who you are. Well, I didn't have much time to read too many posts at work today, but since I have been home, I have read several posts where you are trying to find that Sister is Linda, or somebody( not that it hasn't been said before). **So now I think it is you( the latest team 3ABN)** who has come to at least figure out if one of the people posting information is Linda, or acting as her agent. I don't think anyone would try to find who you are if you had not been trying to identify members here first.

have to say **this is wrong.**

As a member you can have whatever opinion you want, but as moderator, should it matter who did it first or who you agree with when it comes to correcting someone?

know you are quick to say "bring in the next team", but unfortunately you only say that to those who ask

questions and don't agree with all the Linda supporters. "Don't even try to figure out who Sister is, or you deserve to have people try to expose and identify you"? Why is it you can continually accuse others of who you think they are, and don't accept others doing this, unless they have your opinions, while finding fault with ONLY those who question who those you agree and sympathize with are?

Is saying this gonna get me in trouble?

Only if the rules are biased and don't belong equally to all, and you are above them.

**QUOTE**

Posted on: Dec 22 2006, 09:59 PM  
site admin Group: Owner

**QUOTE**

(sister @ Dec 22 2006, 07:43 PM)

Let's be adults and continue on with the topic at hand. It is much more interesting than discussing me, which has become quite tiresome.

I agree this whole identity game is tiresome and gone on far enough. I found it amusing at first but not anymore. So everybody STOP the accusing and implying the identity of someone no matter how subtle or boldly done. I am beginning to think that some of you have an agenda to seek the identity of other, I will ban you from BSDA if I continue to suspect you of this.

Everyone here can be as private or open with their identify as they want to be. My only requirement upon registration is that you identify your gender, THAT IS ALL and it will have to be good enough for everyone else too.

Now back to the topic at hand, what ever it was, but I know it was not this.

Clay and Di, **please take notice**

Bold text- mine

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 18 2007, 05:46 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 02:41 PM)**

People at 3ABN were wondering why Danny and Breda were spending so much time together... after she and Linda returned from Norway. So this had to be cleared.

Then one night at two in the mornig Brenda called Pastor John Lomacang, told him there was a demon in her house who had thrown down a basked. This made her so uncomfortable that she had to call the pastor to confess her sins.

She did not confess her own sins, but made, what I regard as false accusations against Linda, and these fictitious accusations started the whole affair. After John Lomacang had told me on the phone of this happenig, I called Dr. Walt Thompson and indicated that it would not be safe to let a demon direct such accusations against Linda, since I knew from my own experience that some of these accusations were utterly false.

But Walt insisted that the demon was to be trusted and that he could help 3ABN.

Wow! I knew about the courteous demon who called several times and said "Please stop" showing your programs, but I didn't know of the one that threw down a basket at Brenda's apartment.

If Walt believes that this demon is to be trusted, I guess we'd better let him know that a demon over in Oregon told a former SDA pastor that "The Bottomless Pit" is in The Bermuda Triangle!

You can read the account by Ed Smith in post #61 and 68 in the following link:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11952&st=60>

Seems to me that we have volumes of counsel in the Scriptures and SOP **against** trusting what demons say....

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 05:49 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 18 2007, 06:36 PM)**

I have to say **this is wrong**.

As a member you can have whatever opinion your want, but as moderator, should it matter who did it first or who you agree with when it comes to correcting someone?

I know you are quick to say "bring in the next team", but unfortunately you only say that to those who ask questions and don't agree with all the Linda supporters. "Don't even try to figure out who Sister is, or you deserve to have people try to expose and identify you"? Why is it you can continually accuse others of who you think they are, and don't accept others doing this, unless they have your opinions, while finding fault with ONLY those who question who those people are?

Is saying this gonna get me in trouble?

Only if the rules are biased and don't belong equally to all, and you are above them.

I agree this whole identity game is tiresome and gone on far enough. I found it amusing at first but not anymore. So everybody STOP the accusing and implying the identity of someone no matter how subtle or boldly done. I am beginning to think that some of you have an agenda to seek the identity of other, I will ban you from BSDA if I continue to suspect you of this.

Everyone here can be as private or open with their identify as they want to be. My only requirement upon registration is that you identify your gender, THAT IS ALL and it will have to be good enough for everyone else too.

Now back to the topic at hand, what ever it was, but I know it was not this.

Clay and Di please take notice

---

Interesting, in another thread Joe Smith and Eye Witness tell Calvin how he should run his forum and now you are telling Di how she should perform her duties as a moderator. Perhaps the three of you should get together and start your own online forum? Your rules, your way...

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 18 2007, 05:50 PM**

---

QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 05:21 PM)

The birthday party was for Dan's birthday in May 2004, given by Brenda, but you were correct that Linda was not invited. But I guess since she was spending all that time alone, at night, in her apartment with Dan she was brazen enough to throw a birthday party for her "special friend" and not invite his wife. You would think that that would qualify as SPIRITUAL ADULTERY in Dan's book.  Oh, sorry, I forgot that he writes the rules, he doesn't live by them.

Sister

Some people believe this: "All is fair in love and war." And so it goes with Brenda's birthday party.

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 05:55 PM**

QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 18 2007, 06:50 PM)

Some people believe this: "All is fair in love and war." And so it goes with Brenda's birthday party.

Pete, did you say L\*\*\* and W\*\*\*?  WHERE ARE THOSE GLASSES WHEN I NEED THEM...

**edited for content. tsk tsk..... let's be adults please.....**

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 18 2007, 06:28 PM**

Uh No, Cindy saying this is not going to get you in "trouble". I really don't try too hard to figure out who anyone is. Fact is, as Admin, I don't have to, so much of what you addressed to Clay is pointless. The only thing I was saying to Teresa is that she was complaining about folks trying to find out who she was when she, like you, came on doing that same exact thing. Cindy you came asking for first hand evidence, when given it, you retreated into, "I don't know who you are because you use a screen name" Like they were just going to tell you who they are just to get your approval. Not!

But you are right, for the most part everybody' been tryin gto "guess who". I really don't care who everyone is individually. I just know when someone has been "sent" by the "other side"(for lack of a better phrase). You all have the same agenda, come in, deny everything and then discredit the posters without responding to the posts. All you all have to do is answer with opposing evidence that discredits information already posted here. None of you have done that. Only tried to find our who everyone was, and discredit them, questioning folks christianity and Adventism(which isn't worth a hill of beans any), but it means a lot who put their trust in the church which claims to represent the same God. it is your lack of evidence which gives you away.

I have said before that I don't really care about vindicating Linda, but I really don't like the fact that th elikes of Danny is representing our church world wide. My first encounter with him was him sending a emial here filled with lies aobut how much he loved Linda and how much time they were spending together, etc. When his tired self was on his way to Guam for a quickie divorce. I really, really, hate being lied to especially when I haven't even asked you a question. So, I am holding Danny repponsible for his actions, they really have nothing to do with Linda. So you can get off that kick, too.

Now, now youa re not in trouble, but at this point, you either need to post something to refute what you read here, because they are posting hard evidence, or just leave it alone.

Send in the next team, [ ] JK BTW, I only say the because Danny or a representative (with a screen name, but just be upfront) could just come here themselves, but they don't want to take the direct route. Thus, the line of supporter, many of who, oddly enough claiming to have no association with Danny other than a fan of 3ABN. However, as time goes on they seem to have information that "a faithful viewer" would not have. Plus, Danny started off lying to me, he has no trust here, I put nothing past him. I have to say **this is wrong**.

As a member you can have whatever opinion you want, but as moderator, should it matter who did it first or who you agree with when it comes to correcting someone?

I know you are quick to say "bring in the next team", but unfortunately you only say that to those who ask questions and don't agree with all the Linda supporters. "Don't even try to figure out who Sister is, or you deserve to have people try to expose and identify you"? Why is it you can continually accuse others of who you think they are, and don't accept others doing this, unless they have your opinions, while finding fault with ONLY those who question who those people are?

Is saying this gonna get me in trouble?

Only if the rules are biased and don't belong equally to all, and you are above them. I agree this whole identity game is tiresome and gone on far enough. I found it amusing at first but not anymore. So everybody STOP the accusing and implying the identity of someone no matter how subtle or boldly done. I am beginning to think that some of you have an agenda to seek the identity of other, I will ban you from BSDA if I continue to suspect you of this.

Everyone here can be as private or open with their identify as they want to be. My only requirement upon registration is that you identify your gender, THAT IS ALL and it will have to be good enough for everyone else too.

Now back to the topic at hand, what ever it was, but I know it was not this.

Clay and {B}Di please take notice[/b]  
[/quote]

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 08:19 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 18 2007, 09:07 PM) [ ]**

Hey, Sister, what's "the LC" where all of us German speakers can meet?

I do find it a little strange that a German speaker who has trouble with English would write "You've been snowed."

It took me a *long* time to understand English slang. I remember being puzzled by "hot dogs" -- heisse Huende?? [ ] But maybe Teresa is much brighter than I. [x]

Also, Teresa, wenn Du wuenscht, kannst Du gerne auf Deutsch schreiben. [ ] Einige von uns werden Dich gut verstehen, und wir koennen dann ueberetzen. [ ]

---

With my German limited to 50 years with a German, I'd say this German is the only one that is 100% German. Most of the rest are very cute versions of Americanized German - acceptable and very sweet! - if you know what I mean!

---



**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 18 2007, 08:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 10:58 AM)**

Liinda is still the remarkable woman she was for thousands of viewers around the world. She is more confident today that the time is approaching when not only her closest friends will believe she was wrongfully fired and dismissed as a wife and as a producer.

You will notice that even those of Danny's friends who appear here on the net are no longer attacking Linda the way they used to do, but they are merely trying to confuse things by stating that Danny and the Sheltons are not being treated as they "deserve"!

"Deserve"?  rofl Deserve would NOT be the word I would have used ... but then I should act like a lady and be nice...  rofl

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 18 2007, 09:53 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 06:55 PM)**

Pete, did you say L\*\*\* and W\*\*\*\*?  WHERE ARE THOSE GLASSES WHEN I NEED THEM...

OH MY WORD! You rock, Sister! Took the words right out of my mouth!

**Posted by: inga Jan 18 2007, 10:00 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 06:21 PM)**

Hi Inga, LC is Live Chat. Sorry but I have to correct on something above. The birthday party was for Dan's birthday in May 2004, given by Brenda, but you were correct that Linda was not invited. But I guess since she was spending all that time alone, at night, in her apartment with Dan she was brazen enough to throw a birthday party for her "special friend" and not invite his wife. You would think that that would qualify as SPIRITUAL ADULTERY in Dan's book.  Oh, sorry, I forgot that he writes the rules, he doesn't live by them.

Sister

OOPS!  That was a "senior moment" I'm afraid. Instead of "her birthday party" I should have written "her birthday party for Dan." In my book, the critical detail was that she invited Dan without Linda -- which was pretty brazen by any standards.

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 09:19 PM)**

With my German limited to 50 years with a German, I'd say this German is the only one that is 100% German. Most of the rest are very cute versions of Americanized German - acceptable and very sweet! - if you know what I mean!

Thanks, Johann.  You mean I get an 'A' for that?  (I didn't check for the correct Umlaute, so had to use extra e's to help me out.) So Teresa can be assured that if she writes in her native German, at least a couple of us can translate, right?

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 10:07 PM**

---

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 18 2007, 11:00 PM)**

So Teresa can be assured that if she writes in her native German, at least a couple of us can translate, right?

By the way, where is Teresa? She has been awful quiet since so many kind members have offered to speak with her in her "Mother Tongue" or translate for her... Teresa, wo sind Sie?

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 18 2007, 11:22 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 06:07 AM)**

By the way, where is Teresa? She has been awful quiet since so many kind members have offered to speak with her in her "Mother Tongue" or translate for her... Teresa, wo sind Sie?

I'll avoid giving you a grade. . .

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 18 2007, 11:33 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 19 2007, 12:22 AM)**

I'll avoid giving you a grade. . .


Thanks!  Or perhaps Merci!

---

**Posted by: västergötland Jan 19 2007, 01:38 AM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 06:33 AM)**

Thanks!  Or perhaps Merci!

Danke? 

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 21 2007, 08:28 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 18 2007, 12:58 PM)**

Liinda is still the remarkable woman she was for thousands of viewers around the world. She is more confident today that the time is approaching when not only her closest friends will believe she was *wrongfully fired and dismissed as a wife and as a producer.*

You will notice that even those of Danny's friends who appear here on the net are no longer attacking Linda the way they used to do, but they are merely trying to confuse things by stating that Danny and the Sheltons are not being treated as they "deserve"!

**Johann might be helpful for you to read:**

What constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit???? It is willfully attributing to Satan the work of the Holy Spirit. For example, suppose that one is a witness of the special work of the Spirit of God. He has convincing evidence that the work is in harmony with the Scriptures, and the Spirit witnesses with his spirit that it is of God. Afterward, however, he falls under temptations; pride, self-sufficiency, or some other evil trait, controls him; and rejecting all the evidence of its divine character, he declares that that which he had before acknowledged to be the power of the Holy Spirit was the power of Satan. It is through the medium of His Spirit that God works upon the human heart; and when men willfully reject the Spirit and declare it to be from Satan, they cut off the channel by which God can communicate with them. . . .For a time, persons who have committed this sin may appear to be children of God; but when circumstances arise to develop character and show what manner of standing they are of, it will be found that they are on the enemy's ground standing under his black banner.5T634

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 21 2007, 11:08 AM**

Eye Witness,

I find it interesting that you assume that Johann, a pastor of 50 years' or so experience and a *true* eye witness of the events that precipitated Dan's reprehensible course, would not know what constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit.

But I ask you to consider this:

What would you call the practice willfully attributing to God the work of Satan or even one's own manipulations?

What do *manufactured 'miracles'* to support one's own agenda have to do with the subject?

Does the Holy Spirit convict persons who commit adultery?

If one commits adultery and shows no signs of remorse but parades his new relationship as a blessing from God, what evidence does that give in light of your quote?

Can one so blatantly trifle with God, His work and His law and still expect to maintain **His** blessings

without repentance?

Is financial prosperity ultimate proof of *God's blessings*?

Can people be converted **in spite of the messenger's apostasy**? Or are conversions ultimate proof of the messenger's special standing with God?

Does the end justify the means?

Please answer these questions before launching any more missives/missiles against posters here.

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 21 2007, 09:28 AM)**

**Johann might be helpful for you to read:**

What constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit???? It is willfully attributing to Satan the work of the Holy Spirit. For example, suppose that one is a witness of the special work of the Spirit of God. He has convincing evidence that the work is in harmony with the Scriptures, and the Spirit witnesses with his spirit that it is of God. Afterward, however, he falls under temptations; pride, self-sufficiency, or some other evil trait, controls him; and rejecting all the evidence of its divine character, he declares that that which he had before acknowledged to be the power of the Holy Spirit was the power of Satan. It is through the medium of His Spirit that God works upon the human heart; and when men willfully reject the Spirit and declare it to be from Satan, they cut off the channel by which God can communicate with them. . . .For a time, persons who have committed this sin may appear to be children of God; but when circumstances arise to develop character and show what manner of standing they are of, it will be found that they are on the enemy's ground standing under his black banner.5T634

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 21 2007, 11:24 AM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 21 2007, 12:08 PM)**

Eye Witness,

I find it interesting that you assume that Johann, a pastor of 50 years' or so experience and a *true* eye witness of the events that precipitated Dan's reprehensible course, would not know what constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit.

But I ask you to consider this:

What would you call the practice willfully attributing to God the work of Satan or even one's own manipulations?

What do manufactured 'miracles' to support one's own agenda have to do with the subject?

Does the Holy Spirit convict persons who commit adultery?

If one commits adultery and shows no signs of remorse but parades his new relationship as a blessing from God, what evidence does that give in light of your quote?

Can one so blatantly trifle with God, His work and His law and still expect to maintain **His** blessings without repentance?

Is financial prosperity ultimate proof of *God's blessings*?

Can people be converted **in spite of the messenger's apostasy**? Or are conversions ultimate proof of the messenger's special standing with God?

Does the end justify the means?

Please answer these questions before launching any more missives/missiles against posters here.

suppose the answers to some of these depend upon those of whom you speak. We know that there are some people that don't abide by the same rules as others. I would like to hear his answers to these...

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 21 2007, 11:53 AM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 19 2007, 07:33 AM)**

Thanks!  Or perhaps Merci!

or should we just spell it Mercy sans gratitude?

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 21 2007, 12:37 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 19 2007, 12:36 AM)**

---  
I haven't noticed that. Who are you talking about when you say "Danny's friends"?  
-----  
-----o-

Once again, please read what I wrote, and there you will find an answer to your question!

wrote "those of Danny's friends who ..." and after that I gave an answer to your question. There you will also see for yourself if it applies to you or not. Perhaps not?

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 21 2007, 04:28 PM)**

**Johann might be helpful for you to read:**

What constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit???? It is willfully attributing to Satan the work of the Holy Spirit. For example, suppose that one is a witness of the special work of the Spirit of God. He has convincing evidence that the work is in harmony with the Scriptures, and the Spirit witnesses with his spirit that it is of God. Afterward, however, he falls under temptations; pride, self-sufficiency, or some other evil trait, controls him; and rejecting all the evidence of its divine character, he declares that that which he had before acknowledged to be the power of the Holy Spirit was the power of Satan. It is through the medium of His Spirit that God works upon the human heart; and when men willfully reject the Spirit and declare it to be from Satan, they cut off the channel by which God can communicate with them. . . .For a time, persons who have committed this sin may appear to be children of God; but when circumstances arise to develop character and show what manner of standing they are of, it will be found that they are on the enemy's ground standing under his black banner.5T634

It would be a great help if you would point out to me in which way you think this applies to what I was saying? What work of the Holy Spirit do you claim that I attributed to Satan?

---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 21 2007, 05:22 PM**

Maybe she is busy reading, surreptitiously of course, all that has been written here so that she might form her own opinion. Would it not be great if a Shelton were to see and acknowledge the truth and work from within the clan to cause a change to happen?

Richard

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 18 2007, 11:07 PM)**

By the way, where is Teresa? She has been awful quiet since so many kind members have offered to speak with her in her "Mother Tongue" or translate for her... Teresa, wo sind Sie?

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 21 2007, 08:32 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 21 2007, 06:22 PM)**

Would it not be great if a Shelton were to see and acknowledge the truth and work from within the clan to cause a change to happen?

Richard

If a Shelton family member were to believe that many of these allegations are true (as there are), they either shut their mouth, eyes, or both, or live in fear of being branded an outsider. Period. They would never be allowed to talk enough to convince anyone else.

---

**Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 21 2007, 09:28 PM**

Unfortunately that won't happen anytime soon. I know I have personally brought something to the attention of a Shelton & I had proof of it but was told because I personally didn't see it (my girls were both witnesses to it though) that it was just heresy & nothing was ever done about it. This person still was allowed to be up front in church, tell the children's story, etc. & patted on the back so to speak. So as far as they are concerned they are above God's laws & it doesn't apply to them. The Shelton Gang just make me sick in what they do to others & are allowed to get by with it. It is high time they have to pay the price for what they do to others.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 21 2007, 09:43 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jan 21 2007, 07:28 PM)**

Unfortunately that won't happen anytime soon. I know I have personally **brought something to the attention of a Shelton & I had proof of it** but was told because I personally didn't see it (my girls were both witnesses to it though) that it was just heresay & nothing was ever done about it. This person still was allowed to be up front in church, tell the childrens story, etc. & patted on the back so to speak. **So as far as they are concerned they are above God's laws & it don't apply to them.** The Shelton Gang just make me sick in what they do to others & are allowed to get by with it. It is high time they have to pay the price for what they do to others.

And it's absolutely NO different if you are married to one either(vrs an employee, etc.)...just ask Bear or Linda (among many others).

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 21 2007, 09:45 PM**

**QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jan 21 2007, 08:28 PM)**

Unfortunately that won't happen anytime soon. I know I have personally brought something to the attention of a Shelton & I had proof of it but was told because I personally didn't see it (my girls were both witnesses to it though) that it was just heresay & nothing was ever done about it. This person still was allowed to be up front in church, tell the childrens story, etc. & patted on the back so to speak. So as far as they are concerned they are above God's laws & it don't apply to them. The Shelton Gang just make me sick in what they do to others & are allowed to get by with it. It is high time they have to pay the price for what they do to others.

hope that not being believed didn't cause your girls too much pain. That can be a hard thing to get over. Just keep reminding them that God knows the truth and that you are proud that they told you.

---

**Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 21 2007, 11:41 PM**

Both of my girls are older now but at that time they were like 15 & 17. They knew that it would likely be swept under the carpet when they told me all the things that went on. What a witness to our young people huh.  Papertigers is one of my older daughters but she hasn't posted on here in a while & she used to work at 3ABN as well. My oldest worked there too & lets just say she came away with a very bitter taste in her mouth from it all. So they have had their share of being around both Kenny & Danny Shelton. As long as you are on "their side" & go along with what they are doing or what they want you to do all is well but heaven forbid you go against them in the slightest way you don't stand a chance at all.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 22 2007, 06:37 AM**

**QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jan 22 2007, 05:28 AM)**

Unfortunately that won't happen anytime soon. I know I have personally brought something to the attention of a Shelton & I had proof of it but was told because I personally didn't see it (my girls were both witnesses to it though) that it was just heresay & nothing was ever done about it. This person still was allowed to be up front in church, tell the childrens story, etc. & patted on the back so to speak. So as far as they are concerned they are above God's laws & it don't apply to them. The Shelton Gang just make me sick in what they do to others & are allowed to get by with it. It is high time they have to pay the price for what they do to others.

I have experienced the same attitude towards sin at high level at 3ABN. There is reluctance to expel sin from the camp unless it is of a personal benefit to the leadership.

---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 22 2007, 07:39 AM**

How sad.

My brother in law was a big fan of DS, and had once been his academy dean. I guess in some ways I'm glad he passed away before all these events took place. I'm sure it would have saddened his heart to hear of the misdeeds at 3abn. But at the same time my BIL was a very wise person when it came to dealing with personal issues, I wonder what advice he might have given Danny.

Thinking our loud on a snowy frosty Michigan morning,

Richard

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 22 2007, 07:37 AM) □**

I have experienced the same attitude towards sin at high level at 3ABN. There is reluctance to expel sin from the camp unless it is of a personal benefit to the leadership.

---

**Posted by: Ozzie Jan 22 2007, 11:43 PM**

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 12:28 AM) □**

What constitutes the sin against the Holy Spirit???? It is willfully attributing to Satan the work of the Holy Spirit. For example, suppose that one is a witness of the special work of the Spirit of God. He has convincing evidence that the work is in harmony with the Scriptures, and the Spirit witnesses with his spirit that it is of God. Afterward, however, he falls under temptations; pride, self-sufficiency, or some other evil trait, controls him; and rejecting all the evidence of its divine character, he declares that that which he had before acknowledged to be the power of the Holy Spirit was the power of Satan. It is through the medium of His Spirit that God works upon the human heart; and when men willfully reject the Spirit and declare it to be from Satan, they cut off the channel by which God can communicate with them. . . .For a time, persons who have committed this sin may appear to be children of God; but when circumstances arise to develop character and show what manner of standing they are of, it will be found that they are on the enemy's ground standing under his black banner.5T634

How right you are eye witness. Now, apply that to 3ABN and the working there, and you have summarised it beautifully. **Spot on.**

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 08:52 AM**

---

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 21 2007, 11:24 AM) □**

I suppose the answers to some of these depend upon those of whom you speak. We know that there are



some people that don't abide by the same rules as others. I would like to hear his answers to these...

HOTY you must of very narrowly looked at the post to Johann about the sin against the Holy Spirit! Those were not my words, those words were pinned from the hand of SOP. Now the application may very well fit our 50 year pastor and if so then it would prove that we are not, "once saved always saved" my friend. So you, I and Johann must always remember that we can be controlled by the adversary to bid his doing.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 23 2007, 09:10 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:52 AM)**

HOTY you must of very narrowly looked at the post to Johann about the sin against the Holy Spirit! Those were not my words, those words were pinned from the hand of SOP. Now the application may very well fit our 50 year pastor and if so then it would prove that we are not, "once saved always saved" my friend. So you, I and Johann must always remember that we can be controlled by the adversary to bid his doing.

was wondering how long it would take before the "if you disagree with our opinion, you're not going against us; you are going against EGW/SOP and, by extension, against God himself" card would be played...

in His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 09:24 AM**

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 22 2007, 07:39 AM)**

How sad.

My brother in law was a big fan of DS, and had once been his academy dean. I guess in some ways I'm glad he passed away before all these events took place. I'm sure it would have saddened his heart to hear of the misdeeds at 3abn. But at the same time my BIL was a very wise person when it came to dealing with personal issues, I wonder what advice he might have given Danny.

Thinking our loud on a snowy frosty Michigan morning,

Richard

Richard I am sure your brother in law would of encouraged DS and all the other workers at 3ABN to keep on creeping on with the work of spreading the Gospel. As we know a VERY WISE person would never come to this site and believe all this trash and move on, like its truth. Obviously this site has proven itself and its leaders to be FAKE when they don't meet deadlines, such as January exposure, Pickle's big statement and there is no gag order and the gagged is quiet. The documents you find could have been put together by, well lets face it, now days a 10 year old. There are no records with any OFFICAL AUTHORITIES, only documents from a one, self made reporter, called Gailon Joy. Who is apparently a FELON! One post its Mollie, then Tommy, then Denny, then Shelly, then the pastoral staff, in their eyes every person at 3ABN is bad, and should be removed. Jellousy is what I call it. You know tearing others down so they can feel good about themselves.

The screen on their computer may just one day become a mirror of themselves.

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 21 2007, 12:37 PM)**

Once again, please read what I wrote, and there you will find an answer to your question!

I wrote "those of Danny's friends who ..." and after that I gave an answer to your question. There you will also see for yourself if it applies to you or not. Perhaps not?

It would be a great help if you would point out to me in which way you think this applies to what I was saying? **What work of the Holy Spirit to you claim that I attributed to Satan?**

post edited for content.....

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 23 2007, 09:31 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:24 AM)**

Richard I am sure your brother in law would of encouraged DS and all the other workers at 3ABN to keep on keeping on with the work of spreading the Gospel. As we know a VERY WISE person would never come to this site and believe all this trash and move on, like its truth. Obviously this site has proven itself and its leaders to be FAKE when they don't meet deadlines, such as January exposure, Pickle's big statement and there is no Gag order and the gagged is quiet. The documents you find could have been put together by, well lets face it, now days a 10 year old..... snip.....

distraction by minutiae..... tiresome...

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 23 2007, 11:26 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 04:52 PM)**

HOTY you must of very narrowly looked at the post to Johann about the sin against the Holy Spirit! Those were not my words, those words were pinned from the hand of SOP. Now the application may very well fit our 50 year pastor and if so then it would prove that we are not, "once saved always saved" my friend. So you, I and Johann must always remember that we can be controlled by the adversary to bid his doing.

Why do you avoid the question I asked you in this connection?

I do not see the connection that you seem to imply. Can't you just tell me?

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 23 2007, 11:39 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:24 AM) □**

Richard I am sure your brother in law would of encouraged DS and all the other workers at 3ABN to keep on keeping on with the work of spreading the Gospel. As we know a VERY WISE person would never come to this site and believe all this trash and move on, like its truth. Obviously this site has proven itself and its leaders to be FAKE when they don't meet deadlines, such as January exposure, Pickle's big statement and there is no Gag order and the gagged is quiet. The documents you find could have been put together by, well lets face it, now days a 10 year old. There are no records with any OFFICAL AUTHORITIES, only documents from a one, self made reporter, called Gailon Joy. Who is apparently a FELON! One post its Mollie, then Tommy, then Danny, then Shelly, then the pastoral staff, in their eyes every person at 3ABN is bad, and should be removed. Jellousy is what I call it. You know tearing others down so they can feel good about themselves. The screen on their computer may just one day become a mirror of themselves.

You know what, Ms. Eyewitness? I am fairly new to this board and have stopped myself from posting several times because I really had nothing substantive to say since I don't know enough about the situation, but this time you have hit a nerve!! First of all, I don't recall anyone setting any "deadlines" as you say. Perhaps a "plan", but as we all know, plans can change by the hour. If yours don't, then you must be a robot.

Second, I consider myself a fairly wise person. I only started reading BSDA a couple of weeks ago BECAUSE OF THINGS I WAS HEARING FROM 3ABN!! And I don't take everything written here as truth. But from what I have read thus far, there are some serious allegations coming to light here that involve 3ABN. And as a 3ABN supporter, I have a right to search out all I can with a fervent prayer for discernment. To me, documents and letters provide a great deal of evidence. They could be forged, as you say, but that would be fairly easy to dispute by simply asking the writers for another, notarized copy.

And I had to chuckle at your slam on Mr. Pickle. I don't know him at all, but your statement that "Pickle is in disguise, for the most part he is a cucumber" reminded me of grade school days. Thanks for the chuckle!

I understand the frustrations in both "camps" and I admire the fervor with which each defends their version of the truth. But let's take a step back here from the name calling and cheap shots at each other and focus on finding the real truth. Remember that there are three sides to every story - his, hers and the truth. Thus far I am fairly convinced that there is probably some aspect of truth to both sides of the Danny/Linda saga, but that is none of my business. I care about 3ABN and the damage these new allegations could do to the ministry. Let's remember that Dan Shelton and 3ABN are two completely separate entities. While there is no doubt in my mind that God used Dan Shelton to build 3ABN, that does not make them one and the same.

OK - I guess I'll get off my soapbox now. Thanks for listening, and may the Lord's will be done.

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 23 2007, 12:30 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 23 2007, 12:39 PM) □**

Let's remember that Dan Shelton and 3ABN are two completely separate entities.

I doubt very much that you could convince DS of that.

**QUOTE**

While there is no doubt in my mind that God used Dan Shelton to build 3ABN, that does not make them one and the same.

Or was it maybe that Dan Shelton "used God" to build his empire?



"By their fruits ye shall know them."

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 23 2007, 07:31 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 10:24 AM)**

Richard I am sure your brother in law **would of** encouraged DS and all the other workers at 3ABN to keep on keeping on with the work of spreading the Gospel.

post edited for content.....

I'm convinced you're from nowhere other than Southern Illinois as I have never seen anyone write "would of" instead of "would have." Perhaps you are even from 3ABN?



---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 23 2007, 07:34 PM**

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 23 2007, 05:31 PM)**

I'm convinced you're from nowhere other than Southern Illinois as I have never seen anyone write "would of" instead of "would have." Perhaps you are even from 3ABN?



Now hoty...don't give it away that we already know this!! We want them to keep at it!



---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 23 2007, 07:36 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 08:34 PM)**

Now hoty...don't give it away that we already know this!! We want them to keep at it!



Did you think they would do anything but...you know...idling around?



**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 23 2007, 07:50 PM**

Actually my BIL was involved with teens his entire adult life, primarily in disciplining them. I think he would have been one to send DS a letter pointing out his sins, and ask him to repent.

So you are pointing out the perceived faults of those on here while overlooking the child molestations, the adultery, the non-Biblical divorce etc of those at 3abn. Talk about blinders.

It would seem that if you can prove any of the allegations presented here as false you would. Instead you play the diversion game by going after the messenger instead of addressing the message. Typical of those that that can't disprove something.

Richard

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 10:24 AM) □**

Richard I am sure your brother in law would of encouraged DS and all the other workers at 3ABN to keep on keeping on with the work of spreading the Gospel. As we know a VERY WISE person would never come to this site and believe all this trash and move on, like its truth. Obviously this site has proven itself and its leaders to be FAKE when they don't meet deadlines, such as January exposure, Pickle's big statement and there is no Gag order and the gagged is quiet. The documents you find could have been put together by, well lets face it, now days a 10 year old. There are no records with any OFFICAL AUTHORITIES, only documents from a one, self made reporter, called Gailon Joy. Who is apparently a FELON! One post its Mollie, then Tommy, then Danny, then Shelly, then the pastoral staff, in their eyes every person at 3ABN is bad, and should be removed. Jellousy is what I call it. You know tearing others down so they can feel good about themselves. The screen on their computer may just one day become a mirror of themselves.

post edited for content.....

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 07:57 PM**

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 23 2007, 07:50 PM) □**

Actually my BIL was involved with teens his entire adult life, primarily in disciplining them. I think he would have been one to send DS a letter pointing out his sins, and ask him to repent.

So you are pointing out the perceived faults of those on here while overlooking the child molestations, the adultery, the non-Biblical divorce etc of those at 3abn. Talk about blinders.

It would seem that if you can prove any of the allegations presented here as false you would. Instead you play the diversion game by going after the messenger instead of addressing the message. Typical of those that that can't disprove something.

Richard


Excuse me Richard you may want to understand they are not guilty. Until a person is found guilty in a court of law they are presumed innocent. Thank you and court is dismissed. Take your seat.





---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 23 2007, 08:16 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 08:57 PM)**

Excuse me Richard you may want to understand they are not guilt. Until a person is found guilty in a court of law they are persumed innocent. Thank you and court is dismissed. Take your seat. 

Sorry... this is not court... and you are not the judge. And not very many sins of this world are taken to court to be proven. Scripture says... in the mouth of two or three witnesses... and it also says that God is the judge. And it also holds those who know of wrongdoing to report it. That is all that is happening here.... those who know are reporting. So don't go throwing this "presumed innocent" garbage around here.... we know too many who are reporting to buy that particular piece of smoked dead fish.  

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 08:38 PM**

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 23 2007, 08:50 PM)**

So you are pointing out the perceived faults of those on here while overlooking the child molestations, the adultery, the non-Biblical divorce etc of those at 3abn. Talk about blinders.

It would seem that if you can prove any of the allegations presented here as false you would. Instead you play the diversion game by going after the messenger instead of addressing the message. Typical of those that that can't disprove something.

Richard

Yes, Richard, it's typical, indeed.

Eye witness, how about it?

There are several eye witnesses -- victims, that is -- who have told their experience, at tremendous cost to themselves.


You just want to dismiss these until they have gone through a court process. **This is not how the court of heaven works!**

Do you ever think of that?

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 23 2007, 08:59 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 07:57 PM)**

Excuse me Richard you may want to understand they are not guilt. Until a person is found guilty in a court of law they are persumed innocent. Thank you and court is dismissed. Take your seat. 

delusions of grandeur...

---

**Posted by: HUGGINS130 Jan 23 2007, 09:16 PM**

**QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 23 2007, 09:59 PM)**


delusions of grandeur...

a lot of delusion right now....

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 09:20 PM**

We've been off-topic for a while.



Let's get back to the topic of the <http://www.save3abn.com>. I think we can do something positive to help Gailon Joy out. And I think that's worthwhile, because that site is a good site to send someone who would be turned off by all the talk here but could draw his/her own conclusions, given documented facts.

Something missing so far on Gailon's site is a timeline of events that would be telling, without any other details given. There's a <http://www.maritime-sda-online.org/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=showflat&Number=84420&page=0#Post84420> where questions are asked and submissions taken to establish a timeline of events associated with 3ABN over the last several years and even earlier, if relevant to current events.

I thought someone here mentioned something regarding the following:

Date Brandy Elswick arrived at 3ABN.

Dates Brandy Elswick actually worked at 3ABN & where she worked.

Date Brandy Elswick moved into the house opposite Danny's house.

Even approximate dates would be helpful.


In juxtaposition with other dates, these dates might just tell their own story.

Also helpful would be just when Linda moved away from 3ABN, i.e. out of the trailer Danny "fixed" for her (bugged with electronic listening devices).

---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 23 2007, 10:29 PM**

You make my point about attacking the messenger so well, it is much appreciated



**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 08:57 PM)**

Excuse me Richard you may want to understand they are not guilty. Until a person is found guilty in a court of law they are presumed innocent. Thank you and court is dismissed. Take your seat.



**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 24 2007, 09:17 PM**

[quote name='Johann' date='Jan 18 2007, 10:58 AM' post='170953']

Linda is still the remarkable woman she was for thousands of viewers around the world. She is more confident today that the time is approaching when not only her closest friends will believe she was wrongfully fired and dismissed as a wife and as a producer.

**You will notice that even those of Danny's friends who appear here on the net are no longer attacking Linda the way they used to do, but they are merely trying to confuse things by stating that Danny and the Sheltons are not being treated as they "deserve"!**[/quote]

Well Johann, that ended all too quickly, didn't it? For that past few posts by eyewitness and bystander have done just that, "their" old tactics...only I think they truly do underestimate the people that read here - those people won't be TOLD what to believe any longer, as if they are watching a TV screen and believing every word they are fed without questioning and thinking for themselves (very dangerous to put your mind in someones "hands" like that!). All have to make up their own minds with the information they can collect from those that have been there, and done that. Since they can't seem to SHOW US THE PROOF, they use their words of hate and jealousy instead. Talk about "uplifting" and "encouraging"??? What do they have in their hands now?

---

**Posted by: lurker Feb 12 2007, 11:00 AM**

Wwjd, I appreciate your answer to my question about 3ABN housing. I even appreciate your defense of Brandy. Now I have another question. What do you know about the 3ABN demon? Can you tell us the date the incident with Brenda and the basket or luggage took place and why Brenda didn't think it just accidentally fell off the shelf because it wasn't put away carefully? I also wondered why she didn't think a cut or tear could have been there when she put it up and she just hadn't noticed it.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 12 2007, 01:01 PM**

**QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 12 2007, 10:00 AM) □**

Wwjd, I appreciate your answer to my question about 3ABN housing. I even appreciate your defense of Brandy. Now I have another question. What do you know about the 3ABN demon? Can you tell us the date the incident with Brenda and the basket or luggage took place and why Brenda didn't think it just accidentally fell off the shelf because it wasn't put away carefully? I also wondered why she didn't think a cut or tear could have been there when she put it up and she just hadn't noticed it.

Lurker, I am very interested in answers to this, as well. Hope we will get some.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 12 2007, 05:41 PM**

I say let's get back to the topic of "What is happening with Linda?"

Some may remember what was published on the <http://www.lindashelton.org> website for the first 15 mos about her calling.

L.S. wrote **"the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me."** and **"The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations."**

Those who remember this, may have questions like me.

I am wondering what happened to any donations? and how the production studio is coming along?



From September 17, 2004 - December 01, 2005 this is what was published on her website:

**Q:** What are your plans for the future?

**A:**... I really felt that my ministry was over. But one evening the Lord brought a song to my remembrance that I had not sung in at least 15 years. The song says, "Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost I pray; for the millions who are lost and cannot find their way. Melt my calloused heart with love no matter what the cost. Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost." As I softly sang the verses and choruses of this song, the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." The Lord has confirmed this with other incidents as well. I told the Lord that especially under the circumstances, He would have to open the doors and "clean up the mess." He said he would do this.

As well as writing the newsletters and magazines at 3ABN, I was also in charge of the TV production studios since the very beginning of 3ABN. I formatted new programs and chose hosts and guests for the programs seen on 3ABN. Additionally, I was directly involved in the management of the 3 production studios and the Production Truck, which obtained programming on the road. Especially considering my experience is unique in the SDA Church, I feel that I need to use this experience for the Lord. The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations. One thing I learned at 3ABN is that there is never too many Christian programs. There is a huge demand for high quality Christ-centered programs that bring God's message to the hearts of searching people

Already I have been approached by some who are willing to support this production studio. Although their funding is not available right now, their desire to help has encouraged me so much...and I'm ready to get started. It is estimated that the legal work to obtain a non-profit status in Illinois will cost approximately 5-6 thousand dollars. This is the first step. **If the Lord is laying a burden on your heart to help with this stepping stone of faith, please send your donations to P.O. Box 2202, Carbondale, IL 62902. THANK YOU so much!!-----** end quote

On December 01, 2005 the webpage was changed with no explanation (that I am aware of). It now reads:

**Q:** What are you doing now and what are your plans for the future?

**A:** Ministry is what my life is about. I go where the Lord opens the doors in a speaking, singing and ministering.ministry.

It sounds like she's rather busy.

Considering all that I have read here from the 3ABN accusers about how she has been persecuted and blocked from engagements,and also considering those who may have sent donations to Linda, I would like to hear what those who know her and are in contact with her know about all this.

What is happening with Linda?

~ Aletheia

---

**Posted by: gardener Feb 12 2007, 05:49 PM**

It would be nice if HOPE would used some of her talents.

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 12 2007, 05:51 PM**

---

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 12 2007, 03:41 PM) □

I say let's get back to the topic of "What is happening with Linda?"

Some may remember what was published on the <http://www.lindashelton.org> website for the first 15 mos about her calling.

L.S. wrote **"the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." and "The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations."**

Those who remember this, may have questions like me.

I am wondering what happened to any donations? and how the production studio is coming along? From September 17, 2004 - December 01, 2005 this is what was published on her website:

**Q:** What are your plans for the future?

**A:**... I really felt that my ministry was over. But one evening the Lord brought a song to my remembrance that I had not sung in at least 15 years. The song says, "Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost I pray; for the millions who are lost and cannot find their way. Melt my calloused heart with love no matter what the cost. Lord, please move me with compassion for the lost." As I softly sang the verses and choruses of this song, the Holy Spirit drew so near to my heart and said, "I'm not finished with you yet, you will work for Me." The Lord has confirmed this with other incidents as well. I told the Lord that especially under the circumstances, He would have to open the doors and "clean up the mess." He said he would do this.

As well as writing the newsletters and magazines at 3ABN, I was also in charge of the TV production studios since the very beginning of 3ABN. I formatted new programs and chose hosts and guests for the programs seen on 3ABN. Additionally, I was directly involved in the management of the 3 production studios and the Production Truck, which obtained programming on the road. Especially considering my experience is unique in the SDA Church, I feel that I need to use this experience for the Lord. The Lord has laid on my heart to begin a production studio which will produce Christ-centered programs on a full-time basis. These programs can be shown on other networks and local Christian stations. One thing I learned at 3ABN is that there is never too many Christian programs. There is a huge demand for high quality Christ-centered programs that bring God's message to the hearts of searching people

Already I have been approached by some who are willing to support this production studio. Although their funding is not available right now, their desire to help has encouraged me so much...and I'm ready to get started. It is estimated that the legal work to obtain a non-profit status in Illinois will cost approximately 5-6 thousand dollars. This is the first step. **If the Lord is laying a burden on your heart to help with this stepping stone of faith, please send your donations to P.O. Box 2202, Carbondale, IL 62902. THANK YOU so much!!----- end quote**

On December 01, 2005 the webpage was changed with no explanation (that I am aware of). It now reads:

**Q:** What are you doing now and what are your plans for the future?

**A:** Ministry is what my life is about. I go where the Lord opens the doors in a speaking, singing and ministering.ministry.

It sounds like she's rather busy.

Considering all that I have read here from the 3ABN accusers about how she has been persecuted and blocked from engagements,and also considering those who may have sent donations to Linda, I would like to hear what those who know her and are in contact with her know about all this.

What is happening with Linda?

~ Aletheia

I suppose asking her yourself would be a good start. Her e-mail address should still be on her website.

---

**Posted by: Fran Feb 12 2007, 06:55 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 12 2007, 05:41 PM)**

What is happening with Linda?  
~ Aletheia

Why don't you ask her?

---

**Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 12 2007, 06:57 PM**

I did email Linda just a couple of weeks ago, I never heard from her:(

---

**Posted by: wwjd Feb 12 2007, 11:15 PM**

---

**QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 12 2007, 11:00 AM)**

Wwjd, I appreciate your answer to my question about 3ABN housing. I even appreciate your defense of Brandy. Now I have another question. What do you know about the 3ABN demon? Can you tell us the date the incident with Brenda and the basket or luggage took place and why Brenda didn't think it just accidentally fell off the shelf because it wasn't put away carefully? I also wondered why she didn't think a cut or tear could have been there when she put it up and she just hadn't noticed it.

I'm sorry I have no idea. I had never even heard that until I read it here.

---

**Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 01:12 AM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 07:15 AM)**

I'm sorry I have no idea. I had never even heard that until I read it here.

Then I guess you are quite innocent!!! According to Pastor John Lomacang Brenda called him at 2 AM one morning to confess her sins because she got scared when the demon appeared to her. But in stead of confesing her own sins she made up stories about Linda so that Danny could get his divorce.

---

**QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 13 2007, 02:57 AM)**

I did email Linda just a couple of weeks ago, I never heard from her:(

I think Linda is exceedingly busy these days. You might find out why within a week or two.

---

**Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 05:15 AM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 13 2007, 12:12 AM)**

Then I guess you are quite innocent!!! According to Pastor John Lomacang Brenda called him at 2 AM one morning to confess her sins because she got scared when the demon appeared to her. But in stead of confesing her own sins she made up stories about Linda so that Danny could get his divorce.

I think Linda is exceedingly busy these days. You might find out why within a week or two.

I agree. Seh is busy. She is getting on with her life. She maly surprise some of you yet, or perhaps not, as some of you think you know what is going on with her.

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Mar 16 2007, 03:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 06:15 AM)**

I agree. Seh is busy. She is getting on with her life. She maly surprise some of you yet, or perhaps not, as some of you think you know what is going on with her.



---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 27 2007, 07:28 PM**

**QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 04:15 AM)**

I agree. Seh is busy. She is getting on with her life. She maly surprise some of you yet, or perhaps not, as some of you think you know what is going on with her.

I agree! She is busy, and I think it's a great thing for her! I like seeing her excited about possibilities and the invitations she has received! I'm personally excited about some things coming up for her! There is nothing like God's timing!

---

**Posted by: mozart Mar 27 2007, 10:44 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 27 2007, 07:28 PM)**

I agree! She is busy, and I think it's a great thing for her! I like seeing her excited about possibilities and the invitations she has received! I'm personally excited about some things coming up for her! There is nothing like God's timing!

does anyone know truthfully why brenda would turn on linda like this and want danny to get a divorce? i don' get it. just the facts please, if anyone actually knows. thanks

**Posted by: roxe Mar 27 2007, 11:29 PM**

**QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 27 2007, 10:44 PM)**

does anyone know truthfully why brenda would turn on linda like this and want danny to get a divorce? i don' get it. just the facts please, if anyone actually knows. thanks

i've been wondering if perhaps brenda wanted danny for herself... but i don't know truthfully... i'm glad you asked... i'd like to know also...

**Posted by: Johann Mar 28 2007, 06:14 AM**

**QUOTE(roxe @ Mar 28 2007, 07:29 AM)**

i've been wondering if perhaps brenda wanted danny for herself... but i don't know truthfully... i'm glad you asked... i'd like to know also...

As you can see it was Danny Shelton who sent me this letter from Brenda. I was wondering why? Was there a special reason why Danny needed this verification?

-----Original Message-----

From: Danny Shelton [mailto:danshelton@\*\*\*]

Sent: 9. febrúar 2005 19:10

To: Johann Thorvaldsson

Subject: FW: Letter from BRENDA WALSH

Danny Shelton

danshelton@\*\*\*\*\*

Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

> [Original Message]

> From: Brenda Walsh <brendawalsh@\*\*\*\*\*>

> To: Linda Shelton <\*\*\*\*\*>

> Cc: Nick Miller <nmliller@\*\*\*\*\*>; Walt Thompson

<walttmd@\*\*\*\*\*>; Danny Shelton <danshelton@\*\*\*\*\*>

> Date: 2/9/2005 11:57:28 AM

> Subject: Letter from BRENDA WALSH

>

February 9, 2005

Dear Linda,

I am writing to you because I would like you to hear the truth about some misconceptions you may have regarding my marriage to Tim. I received a call from someone who spoke with you personally, and they said that you specifically told them that Tim and I are divorced and that Danny and I were having an affair.

Here is the truth:

1. Tim and I are happily married and we are definitely NOT divorced nor are we planning a divorce. We have a loving Christ centered home and we love each other very much. We believe that God brought us together and we plan to be together till death do us part or until Jesus comes....whichever come first.
2. Your ex-husband, Danny Shelton and I have never had an affair nor are we thinking about having an affair, not in the past, present or future! I would be willing to swear in a court of law, that I have NOT had an affair with Danny or anyone else. The only person Danny has ever talked about loving was you, and I don't believe that he has had an affair with anyone! I believe he was faithful to you the entire time you were married, both in a physical and spiritual sense.
3. As you know, Danny and I have known each other all our lives and he is like a brother to me. My mom and dad think of him as one of their sons. The ONLY man that I have romantic feelings for is my husband Tim.

I hope this sets the record straight. Now that you know the truth, I would appreciate it if you would refrain from spreading lies and rumors. Linda I love you very much and I cherish many warm and happy memories of our times spent together. We once shared a special friendship and it hurts me to think that you could even possibly think that I would be involved with your husband! Nothing could be farther from the truth! Someday I hope you will realize that I am not your enemy. I wish you only the best and I pray that someday soon when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory, that we will be neighbors together in heaven.

May God bless you,

Your sister in Christ,

Brenda

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 28 2007, 06:41 AM**

Johann,

Thank you for sharing this.

How do you feel about Brenda's statement? Is she telling the truth?

PB

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 28 2007, 09:58 AM**

-

-Edited for content--

Have a great day everyone.

@{---;---;-----

---

**Posted by: Johann Mar 28 2007, 10:13 AM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 28 2007, 02:41 PM)**

Johann,

Thank you for sharing this.

How do you feel about Brenda's statement? Is she telling the truth?

PB

---

Truth has been a scarce commodity in most of all that has come from that camp, even from their pastor, who should be a boulder of truth to his flock. This is why I plead with them to seek first the kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all the other things shall be added in the wake of these troubles.

---

**Posted by: roxe Mar 28 2007, 01:04 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 28 2007, 09:13 AM)**

Truth has been a scarce commodity in most of all that has come from that camp, even from their pastor, who should be a boulder of truth to his flock. This is why I plead with them to seek first the kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all the other things shall be added in the wake of these troubles.

it has been stated in here that Danny's truck was seen parked many times in front of Brenda's place??

was her husband Tim there with Brenda at the same time??

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 28 2007, 01:29 PM**

---

**QUOTE(roxe @ Mar 28 2007, 12:04 PM)**

it has been stated in here that Danny's truck was seen parked many times in front of Brenda's place??

was her husband Tim there with Brenda at the same time??

and her child(ren)?

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Mar 28 2007, 01:47 PM**

---

**QUOTE(roxe @ Mar 28 2007, 03:04 PM)**

it has been stated in here that Danny's truck was seen parked many times in front of Brenda's place??  
was her husband Tim there with Brenda at the same time??



**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Mar 28 2007, 03:29 PM)**

and her child(ren)?

TVsnack.

**Posted by: watchbird Mar 28 2007, 04:29 PM**

**QUOTE(roxe @ Mar 28 2007, 02:04 PM)**

it has been stated in here that Danny's truck was seen parked many times in front of Brenda's place??  
was her husband Tim there with Brenda at the same time??

believe if you will search BSDA pages you will find the answer to the question of where Brenda lived (and lives) with her husband, and where he was in relation to where she was when she was at 3abn. (And you'll also probably find a few other interesting story pieces here and there.)

**Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 28 2007, 04:30 PM**

**QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 28 2007, 02:47 PM)**



TVsnack.

Any veggie skimp or wings left DrRe?

TVsnack.



Posted by: mozart Mar 29 2007, 08:04 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 28 2007, 06:14 AM) □

As you can see it was Danny Shelton who sent me this letter from Brenda. I was wondering why? Was there a special reason why Danny needed this verification?

-----Original Message-----

From: Danny Shelton [mailto:danshelton@\*\*\*]

Sent: 9. febrúar 2005 19:10

To: Johann Thorvaldsson

Subject: FW: Letter from BRENDA WALSH

Danny Shelton

danshelton@\*\*\*\*\*

Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

> [Original Message]

> From: Brenda Walsh <brendawalsh@\*\*\*\*\*>

> To: Linda Shelton <\*\*\*\*\*>

> Cc: Nick Miller <nmliller@\*\*\*\*\*>; Walt Thompson  
<walttmd@\*\*\*\*\*>; Danny Shelton <danshelton@\*\*\*\*\*>

> Date: 2/9/2005 11:57:28 AM

> Subject: Letter from BRENDA WALSH

>

February 9, 2005

Dear Linda,

I am writing to you because I would like you to hear the truth about some misconceptions you may have regarding my marriage to Tim. I received a call from someone who spoke with you personally, and they said that you specifically told them that Tim and I are divorced and that Danny and I were having an affair.

Here is the truth:

1. Tim and I are happily married and we are definitely NOT divorced nor are we planning a divorce. We have a loving Christ centered home and we love each other very much. We believe that God brought us together and we plan to be together till death do us part or until Jesus comes...whichever come first.
2. Your ex-husband, Danny Shelton and I have never had an affair nor are we thinking about having an affair, not in the past, present or future! I would be willing to swear in a court of law, that I have NOT had an affair with Danny or anyone else. The only person Danny has ever talked about loving was you, and I don't believe that he has had an affair with anyone! I believe he was faithful to you the entire time you were married, both in a physical and spiritual sense.
3. As you know, Danny and I have known each other all our lives and he is like a brother to me. My mom and dad think of him as one of their sons. The ONLY man that I have romantic feelings for is my husband Tim.

I hope this sets the record straight. Now that you know the truth, I would appreciate it if you would refrain from spreading lies and rumors. Linda I love you very much and I cherish many warm and happy memories of our times spent together. We once shared a special friendship and it hurts me to think that you could even possibly think that I would be involved with your husband! Nothing could be farther from the truth! Someday I hope you will realize that I am not your enemy. I wish you only the best and I pray that someday soon when Jesus comes in the clouds of glory, that we will be neighbors together in heaven.

May God bless you,

Your sister in Christ,

Brenda

ohann, Isn't it possible that DS fabricated this letter? or possibly instructed brenda to write it? somehow it just doesn't sound like the way brenda would phrase things.

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Mar 29 2007, 08:21 PM**

Was wondering if Danny had a hand in it also... (history of Danny tactics)

---

**Posted by: lurker Mar 29 2007, 08:29 PM**

Never mind the hours Danny spent with Brenda, the main thing is did Brenda **talk** to Danny for more than 10 minutes. How many minutes did Brenda **talk** to Danny? And since it bothered Danny's spouse that Brenda was **talking** to Danny just as Nathan's doctor **talking** to Linda bothered Linda's spouse, was Danny willing to stop **talking** to Brenda? Why should we believe Brenda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for Danny and that he was like a brother to her but we are not supposed to believe Linda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for the doctor and that he was a friend? And doesn't that kind of thing, **talking**, inevitably turn into a physical relationship as the counsellors were supposed to have said?

Did they commit spiritual adultery? We all know that **TALKING** is a **bad** thing. Was **their talking** brought up at a board meeting? Did Walt write a letter to them about their **talking**?

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Mar 29 2007, 11:43 PM**

QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 29 2007, 10:29 PM)

Never mind the hours Danny spent with Brenda, the main thing is did Brenda **talk** to Danny for more than 10 minutes. How many minutes did Brenda **talk** to Danny? And since it bothered Danny's spouse that Brenda was **talking** to Danny just as Nathan's doctor **talking** to Linda bothered Linda's spouse, was Danny willing to stop **talking** to Brenda? Why should we believe Brenda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for Danny and that he was like a brother to her but we are not supposed to believe Linda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for the doctor and that he was a friend? And doesn't that kind of thing, **talking**, inevitably turn into a physical relationship as the counsellors were supposed to have said?

Did they commit spiritual adultery? We all know that **TALKING** is a **bad** thing. Was **their talking** brought up at a board meeting? Did Walt write a letter to them about their **talking**?

x

---

**Posted by: Johann Mar 30 2007, 03:57 AM**

QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 30 2007, 04:04 AM)

Johann, Isn't it possible that DS fabricated this letter? or possibly instructed brenda to write it? somehow it just doesn't sound like the way brenda would phrase things.

It appeared to me that Brenda was fabricating quite a few things for Danny in connection with this whole affair. I know that Danny made Linda sign some documents that he had dictated and were sent to me to give a false impression of what was going on. One of these documents had to do with Spiritual Adultery.

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Mar 30 2007, 04:21 AM)

Was wondering if Danny had a hand in it also... (history of Danny tactics)

□

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 30 2007, 09:22 AM**

QUOTE(lurker @ Mar 29 2007, 09:29 PM)

Never mind the hours Danny spent with Brenda, the main thing is did Brenda **talk** to Danny for more than 10 minutes. How many minutes did Brenda **talk** to Danny? And since it bothered Danny's spouse that Brenda was **talking** to Danny just as Nathan's doctor **talking** to Linda bothered Linda's spouse, was Danny willing to stop **talking** to Brenda? Why should we believe Brenda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for Danny and that he was like a brother to her but we are not supposed to believe Linda's claim that she had no romantic feelings for the doctor and that he was a friend? And doesn't that kind of thing, **talking**, inevitably turn into a physical relationship as the counsellors were supposed to have said?

Did they commit spiritual adultery? We all know that **TALKING** is a **bad** thing. Was **their talking** brought up at a board meeting? Did Walt write a letter to them about their **talking**?

say that again.....

---

**Posted by: lurker Mar 30 2007, 09:52 AM**

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 30 2007, 09:22 AM)

say that again.....

I don't think I can do it again.  But Yanno..... To sum it up, what is sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Mar 30 2007, 10:35 AM**

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 28 2007, 12:13 PM)

Truth has been a scarce commodity in most of all that has come from that camp, even from their pastor, who should be a boulder of truth to his flock. This is why I plead with them to seek first the kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all the other things shall be added in the wake of these troubles.

She asked you: " How do you feel about Brenda's statement? Is she telling the truth?"

IOW: Are you trying to present to this forum that Danny Shelton and Brenda Walsh had an affair?

You constantly insinuate things and then when asked about it, you can't just come right out and answer the question, but always end up insinuating something else, or accusing someone else , or even the one who asked you the question, instead. (in this case it's the Pastor)What is up with you? "cogito ego sum" I think therfore I am a waffle?

May I suggest to you that the reason the e-mail you quoted was sent to you was so that you could do the decent thing and talk to Linda and stop helping her to spread rumors and false accusations?

Obviously it did no good, here you still are doing the same.

Didn't the rumor that Brandi moved to Thompsonville immediatly following the divorce originate with you also?

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 30 2007, 10:58 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 30 2007, 12:35 PM) □**

She asked you: " How do you feel about Brenda's statement? Is she telling the truth?"

IOW: Are you trying to present to this forum that Danny Shelton and Brenda Walsh had an affair?

You constantly insinuate things and then when asked about it, you can't just come right out and answer the question, but always end up insinuating something else, or accusing someone else , or even the one who asked you the question, instead. (in this case it's the Pastor)What is up with you? "cogito ego sum" I think therfore I am a waffle?

May I suggest to you that the reason the e-mail you quoted was sent to you was so that you could do the decent thing and talk to Linda and stop helping her to spread rumors and false accusations?

Obviously it did no good, here you still are doing the same.

Didn't the rumor that Brandi moved to Thompsonville immediatly following the divorce originate with you also?

And when, exactly, is your camp going to play by those very same rules? *insinuation* and *innuendo* are often all you present; when asked for proof you say "Oh, we have proof..." but it never seems to actually come out and at the end of the day, all that is holding up the claims made is along the lines of "Brutus said Caesar was ambitious and Brutus is an honorable man..." Mebbe you should have paid more attention in English Lit class when Antony's oration at Caesar's funeral was discussed; there be a lesson in it for you... if you have ears to hear...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: simplysaved Mar 30 2007, 11:06 AM**



**QUOTE(roxe @ Mar 28 2007, 12:29 AM) □**

i've been wondering if perhaps brenda wanted danny for herself... but i don't know truthfully... i'm glad

you asked... i'd like to know also...

---

**Posted by: Johann Mar 30 2007, 12:03 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 30 2007, 06:35 PM)**

She asked you: " How do you feel about Brenda's statement? Is she telling the truth?"

Jo!

---

**QUOTE**

Didn't the rumor that Brandi moved to Thompsonville immediatly following the divorce originate with you also?

Jo!

---

**Posted by: Lee Mar 30 2007, 01:42 PM**

---

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 30 2007, 11:58 AM)**

And when, exactly, is your camp going to play by those very same rules? insinuation and innuendo are often all you present; when asked for proof you say "Oh, we have proof..." but it never seems to actually come out and at the end of the day, all that is holding up the claims made is along the lines of "Brutus said Caesar was ambitious and Brutus is an honorable man..." Mebbe you should have paid more attention in English Lit class when Antony's oration at Caesar's funeral was discussed; there be a lesson in it for you... if you have ears to hear...

In His service,  
Mr. J

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. All the documentation will come out in Court. There is proof. You will just have to take my word for it. Why would Bystander make something like this up anyway...actually why would I even be on this forum except to present the truth. I have no agenda like so many others here.

Let this be a lesson for yourself. But you are deaf so I guess you can take your own advice.

---

**Posted by: mozart Mar 30 2007, 02:09 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 30 2007, 01:42 PM)**

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. All the documentation will come out in Court. There is proof. You will just have to take my word for it. Why would Bystander make something like this up anyway...actually why would I even be on this forum except to present the truth. I have no agenda like so many others here.

Let this be a lesson for yourself. But you are deaf so I guess you can take your own advice.

imm.....truth as in.....O.J. told the truth?

do you really think the courts are going to cover "he said, she said" truth about how long someone talked on the phone to someone else?

and do you think the courts are going to hear any info obtained through ILLEGAL wiretaps?

if there were this alleged "proof", don't you think the parties holding this "truth" would have shared it before now so as to clear themselves from all these accusations and in so doing protect the 3abn ministry they claim to love and be completely devoted to?

do you really think they are going through all this "persecution" because they care for other people's feelings so much?

and, just curious because i'm rather new here, why would we take your word for it?

have you seen this alleged "proof" with your own eyes?

if so, why are you privileged to do so?

i'd appreciate it if you would give simple, short, honest answers to each question. as i am sincere in asking them, i pray you will be sincere in answering them. ty in advance for your time in doing this.

---

**Posted by: sister Mar 30 2007, 02:17 PM**

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 30 2007, 02:42 PM)**

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. All the documentation will come out in Court. There is proof. You will just have to take my word for it. Why would Bystander make something like this up anyway...actually why would I even be on this forum except to present the truth. I have no agenda like so many others here.

Let this be a lesson for yourself. But you are deaf so I guess you can take your own advice.

do you have ever considered a career as a standup comedian? Why would anyone consider your or bystanders word as having any validity? Why would Bystander make something like this up? You are here to present the truth? You have no agenda?    Now that was a good joke!

rofl

---

**Posted by: Johann Mar 30 2007, 02:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 30 2007, 06:35 PM)**

(in this case it's the Pastor)

you got this mixed up too, but I'm used to that. Soon after Danny and Brenda mixed up this poisonous cocktail, pastor John Lomacang called Dr. Arild Abrahamson. I did not hear that conversation, but when the three of us, Lomacang, Abrahamson, and I, were standing outside the 3ABN church after the Thursday night meeting, that phone conversation was brought up. I discovered Dr. AA was upset with Lomacang. I heard him call the pastor a liar. Why? Because in that phone conversation JL had asked AA if he thought Linda was in love with him. AA said no, because there never was any such relationship between them, he added.

in spite of this the spiritual leader of the 3ABN church made statements that the Norwegian doctor had admitted to him, that even though he knew that Linda was in love with him, he had talked to her. And this became then one of the main accusations against AA.

Just before I went to the meeting at West Frankfort on Friday, AA asked me to remind John Lomacang of what he had told him the evening before. I did, but in spite of that John Lomacang later on in that meeting repeated that Dr. Arild Abrahamsen had admitted that he was aware of that Linda was in love with him, and in spite of that he had talked to her. It seemed like his conscience was bothering him for a while, making such a false statement, yet he did it.

And when the spiritual leader of that church can make such spins and utter such falsehoods, that seems to give the rest of you license to do exactly the same.

**QUOTE**

May I suggest to you that the reason the e-mail you quoted was sent to you was so that you could do the decent thing and talk to Linda and stop helping her to spread rumors and false accusations?

No, you have absolutely no permission to come with such a suggestion, because I have never spread any false rumors not false accusations. I was telling the truth, and nothing but the truth. The only reason that e-mail was sent is because someone was scared his falsehood would be revealed. If he had been telling the truth he had never needed to take such measurements.

**QUOTE**

Obviously it did no good, here you still are doing the same.

I challenge you to tell me one thing I have said that is not true. It is not my fault you are trying to make *spins of every thing I say*.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Mar 30 2007, 04:00 PM**

**QUOTE(mozart @ Mar 30 2007, 02:09 PM)**

if there were this alleged "proof", don't you think the parties holding this "truth" would have shared it before now so as to clear themselves from all these accusations and in so doing protect the 3abn ministry they claim to love and be completely devoted to?

In my opinion, people that claim that they have nothing to hide and that then refuse to reveal the "proof" and choose to instead watch the ministry that they are supposed to be running go down into the flames of scandal, those kind of people shouldn't be running such important ministries.

And if I were a board member, I think I'd have a Christian duty to try to facilitate some changes.

---

**Posted by: Clay Mar 30 2007, 05:49 PM**

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 30 2007, 05:00 PM)**

In my opinion, people that claim that they have nothing to hide and that then refuse to reveal the "proof" and choose to instead watch the ministry that they are supposed to be running go down into the flames of scandal, those kind of people shouldn't be running such important ministries.

And if I were a board member, I think I'd have a Christian duty to try to facilitate some changes.

the board at 3abn doesn't function like that....and realistic is it for part-time people to really be interested in

what Danny Shelton is doing.... its his show, he is running it so I don't expect the board to do anything.....


---

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 31 2007, 08:09 PM**

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 30 2007, 02:42 PM)**

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. All the documentation will come out in Court. There is proof. **You will just have to take my word for it.** Why would Bystander make something like this up anyway...actually why would I even be on this forum except to present the truth. I have no agenda like so many others here.

Let this be a lesson for yourself. But you are deaf so I guess you can take your own advice.



**QUOTE(Pickle @ Mar 30 2007, 05:00 PM)**

In my opinion, people that claim that they have nothing to hide and that then refuse to reveal the "proof" and choose to instead watch the ministry that they are supposed to be running go down into the flames of scandal, those kind of people shouldn't be running such important ministries.

And if I were a board member, I think I'd have a Christian duty to try to facilitate some changes.


....and then there is the legal duty....

Is it just me, or does anyone else see the potential for some serious 3ABN board member individual liability here???

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 31 2007, 08:20 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 31 2007, 07:09 PM)**



....and then there is the legal duty....

Is it just me, or does anyone else see the potential for some serious 3ABN board member individual liability here???

It is a good thing that the person who is footing the bill for these legal proceedings has deep pockets for he/she may be shelling out quite a bit more in fees, fines and judgements resulting from these very things.

---



**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 31 2007, 08:56 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 31 2007, 09:20 PM)**

It is a good thing that the person who is footing the bill for these legal proceedings has deep pockets for he/she may be shelling out quite a bit more in fees, fines and judgements resulting from these very things.

I wonder if Mr. Deep Pockets plans to take on the defense of each individual board member if they are found to have breached their fiduciary duties? I'm sure Lee or Bystander or WWJD knows...

**Posted by: sonshineonme Mar 31 2007, 08:59 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 31 2007, 07:56 PM)**

I wonder if Mr. Deep Pockets plans to take on the defense of each individual board member if they are found to have breached their fiduciary duties? I'm sure Lee or Bystander or WWJD knows...

Oh, don't forget "aletheia" either...she/he knows a lot!

**Posted by: Noahswife Mar 31 2007, 09:51 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 31 2007, 09:56 PM)**

I wonder if Mr. Deep Pockets plans to take on the defense of each individual board member if they are found to have breached their fiduciary duties? I'm sure Lee or Bystander or WWJD knows...

Snoopy, my first question would be "do they have liability insurance?" I know of few people who are willing to sit on a Board of Directors without having that type of insurance provided for them. If that has not been done it would only support the impression I have of how this board was set up and how it functions contrary to how many boards are run.

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 31 2007, 10:23 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 31 2007, 09:59 PM)**

Oh, don't forget "aletheia" either...she/he knows a lot!

Good point!!! VERY good point!!!

Although, tonight I might do better to forget her/him.....

---

**Posted by: princessdi Mar 31 2007, 10:27 PM**

Ok Snoopy, we know Aletheia claims to be female. We have to take her word for it an address her according to her preference.....

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Mar 31 2007, 10:29 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 31 2007, 10:51 PM)**

Snoopy, my first question would be "do they have liability insurance?" I know of few people who are willing to sit on a Board of Directors without having that type of insurance provided for them. If that has not been done it would only support the impression I have of how this board was set up and how it functions contrary to how many boards are run.

Yes, I agree. That is a very good question. And, I hope for their sakes they do have D&O insurance, because it may be tough to get now...

And from what I've read I also have an opinion on the establishment and functionality of the 3ABN board, but that may be irrelevant when it comes to the question of breach of fiduciary responsibilities...

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 31 2007, 11:00 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Mar 31 2007, 10:59 PM)**

Oh, don't forget "aletheia" either...she/he knows a lot!

only what she's been told by others... she, by her own admission, is "not a witness"...so at best one could say she's heard alot.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 30 2007, 03:42 PM)**

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. All the documentation will come out in Court.

---

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 14 2007, 01:49 PM)**

3ABN has no desire to take this to court.

Which is the truth and which is the lie, Lee?

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: mozart Mar 31 2007, 11:04 PM**

Lee? still patiently waiting for your answers. ty

---


**Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 31 2007, 11:06 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 30 2007, 02:42 PM)**

Mr. Josey. Please be patient. **All the documentation will come out in Court.** There is proof. You will just have to take my word for it. Why would Bystander make something like this up anyway...actually why would I even be on this forum except to present the truth. I have no agenda like so many others here.

Let this be a lesson for yourself. But you are deaf so I guess you can take your own advice.




---

**Posted by: Johann Mar 31 2007, 11:22 PM**

---

**QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Apr 1 2007, 07:06 AM)**



What a relief.

---

**Posted by: fallible humanbeing Apr 1 2007, 12:20 AM**

---

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 1 2007, 01:00 AM)**

only what she's been told by others... she, by her own admission, is "not a witness"...so at best one could say she's heard alot.

In His service,  
Mr. J  
Which is the truth and which is the lie, Lee?

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

Such a simple question MrJ! The answer is both. The truth, as Linda has demanded, will be revealed in court. This is the way she has wanted it because she believed that 3ABN would always do her bidding and hide the ruth of the situation. But, she played that hand too long and it will come back to haunt her - and you because you have chosen to support her in this effort to destroy 3ABN. You may have your personal reasons, but she has used you as she has many others to do her bidding and attempt to disparage Danny and 3ABN beyond repair.

Linda had the opportunity to move forward and develop a ministry that would bring others to Christ. She, on the other hand, decided to focus her energies on destroying 3ABN and using as many people as she could in the process in an effort to protect herself from having to bear any burden in this situation what so ever. She wants to be seen as the victim, responsibility free, she wants to "win" and that is all she is interested in.

You can hide behind Linda's lies and toss your poison arrows or you can dig into the facts (make your own calls) and discover for yourself where the truth exists. In the end, you are going to find that you owe individuals an apology and others you will demand an explanation from . . . at that point I will ask you which s which!

FHB

---

**Posted by: Observer Apr 1 2007, 04:23 AM**

**QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 31 2007, 08:51 PM)**

Snoopy, my first question would be "do they have liability insurance?" I know of few people who are willing to sit on a Board of Directors without having that type of insurance provided for them. If that has not been done it would only support the impression I have of how this board was set up and how it functions contrary to how many boards are run.

Re: D & O insurance:

I cannot imagine them not having such insurance. However, any modern policy will contain a list of exceptions. That is to say, it will contain a list of situations in which they will not defend the ones who hold the policies. One common exception today might be that they will not defend against charges of discrimination. Another exception might be that they would not defend against a charge of sexual assault. It may be assumed that there would also be a list of more exceptions.

It may very well be that when 3-ABN first purchased the policy, it contained no exceptions. If that is true, it is likely that over the years, as the policy has been renewed, the insurance company has added the exceptions.

How do I know: I am a corporate officer of a non-profit corporation, and a member of its Board. I know. Someone has threatened to sue us. When we notified our insurance carrier, it promptly sent us a letter notifying us of its list of situations in which it would not defend. Well, we believe that any lawsuit would be groundless. As it has not been filed, we cannot say that our insurance company would not defend us. But, all of us now have a very clear picture of the limitations of D & O insurance. Previously, we did not have that clarity.

---

**Posted by: Fran Apr 1 2007, 05:25 AM**

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Apr 1 2007, 01:20 AM) □

Such a simple question MrJ! The answer is both. The truth, as Linda has demanded, will be revealed in court. This is the way she has wanted it because she believed that 3ABN would always do her bidding and hide the truth of the situation. But, she played that hand too long and it will come back to haunt her - and you because you have chosen to support her in this effort to destroy 3ABN. You may have your personal reasons, but she has used you as she has many others to do her bidding and attempt to disparage Danny and 3ABN beyond repair.

Linda had the opportunity to move forward and develop a ministry that would bring others to Christ. She, on the other hand, decided to focus her energies on destroying 3ABN and using as many people as she could in the process in an effort to protect herself from having to bear any burden in this situation what so ever. She wants to be seen as the victim, responsibility free, she wants to "win" and that is all she is interested in.

You can hide behind Linda's lies and toss your poison arrows or you can dig into the facts (make your own calls) and discover for yourself where the truth exists. In the end, you are going to find that you owe individuals an apology and others you will demand an explanation from . . . at that point I will ask you which is which!

- FHB

FHB

I cannot believe that Linda has so much POWER over Danny and 3ABN!

If she orchestrated this mess, I have entirely underestimated her power! She is tiny and I have never heard her yell. I am sure she has. We all yell occasionally. However, I am a little confused!

If she is more powerful than Danny, why did she leave 3ABN instead of Danny? Why didn't she stay at 3ABN and marry her son's doctor? She could have arranged it so she was in charge of all those millions of dollars!

I guess she has just recently gained all this power. She has become so powerful that Danny is going to sue everyone he can that he believes is in cahoots with Linda!

If Linda can single handedly over throw 3ABN, maybe we have been right and 3ABN is the weak one in more areas than many have thought.

It seems that Danny cannot overpower Linda, so he has hired people to protect him from Linda. Fourteen lawyers no less!

Now, let me see;

1. Danny;
2. Brandy;
3. Melody;
4. Greg;
5. Kenneth Shelton;
6. Mrs Kenneth Shelton;
7. Ronnie Shelton; (sp)
8. Mrs. Ronnie Shelton;
9. Mollie;
10. Hal;
11. John Lomacang;
12. Mrs Lomacang;
13. Shelley;
14. DJ (or is it JD);
15. Walt Thompson;

16. The remaining Board Members; (# of loyals, unknown)
18. 17. The BIG DONOR that foots the bill;
19. Maybe the Conference President;
20. Lawyer #1;
21. Lawyer #2;
22. Lawyer #3;
23. Lawyer #4;
24. Lawyer #5;
25. Lawyer #6;
26. Lawyer #7;
27. Lawyer #8;
28. Lawyer #9;
29. Lawyer #10
30. Lawyer #11;
31. Lawyer #12;
32. Lawyer #13;
33. Lawyer #14;
34. Mr Riva (sp?);
35. Larry Ewing (sp?);
36. Tommy Shelton;
37. Carol Shelton;
38. Tammy Shelton-Chance;
39. Carol Shelton;

I am sure I have not listed every one. I am sure Tommy's kids could be added to that list. Now who does Linda have?

1. Mr. Joy; (Not a first hand witness)
2. Mr. Pickle; (Not a first hand witness)
3. Mr. Matthews; (Not a first hand witness)
4. Johann Thorvaldson (sp?);
5. Nathan Moore;
6. Linda's daughter;
7. Nathan Doctor;
8. Barbara Kerr
9. Sister;
10. Sonshineonme;
11. Beartrap;
12. Hoty;
13. Derrell Mundall;
14. Panama Pete;
15. and a few others

I never expected this for sure! Surely God is on her side. Why would it take so many to back Danny when he has all the evidence and backers. He has no want for money.

Linda has no ubiquitous money; no law firm with 14 lawyers; she only has Danny's words to defend herself. If 3ABN is that scared of Linda, I guess I do not have the information she has.

I have really underestimated her power. This is one battle that will be very lopsided. Jesus and Linda against the Great Army of men! This will be written in history pages since this evidence will be revealed to the world.

I hope this happens soon so everyone will know for sure what is truth and what is a lie!

Maybe you are right FHB, it looks like the balance of the scales of justice are heavy on Danny's side with all that bought power. Linda has her testimony and the power of God. If Danny is so afraid of her, maybe he knows something we don't.

Whew! It is good we don't judge books by their covers. Right? Are you one of Danny's independent lawyers?

---

**Posted by: Pickle Apr 1 2007, 10:12 AM**

Lee and FHB,

To the average reader it simply sounds completely idiotic and the height of stupidity to refuse to answer simple questions and provide proof as requested until doing so in court after suing the ones answering questions.

I can think of only one motive for such unreasonable, unchristian, and irresponsible stubbornness, other than deceptive bluffing: Get the judge to seal all records permanently, and/or get the parties to agree to settle with a stipulation that all details of the settlement and the evidence/proof be forever buried in silence.

Now if perchance that is part of the strategy, it would probably be better not to sue me.

But then again, I haven't even gotten a cease and desist letter yet. Not one. And I have yet to be threatened at all by anyone. While Danny told a good friend of mine I was going to be sued, he's never told me that, and neither has any named, official representative of 3ABN.

---

**Posted by: LadyTenor Apr 1 2007, 11:49 AM**

Exactly why I find all this talk of court.....



---

**Posted by: mozart Apr 1 2007, 12:26 PM**

OR MAYBE.....

Such a simple question FHB! The answer is both. The truth, as Danny has demanded, will be revealed in court. This is the way he has wanted it because he believed that 3ABN would always do his bidding and hide the truth of the situation. But, he has played that hand too long and it will come back to haunt him - and you because you have chosen to support him in his effort to destroy 3ABN instead of step aside. You may have your personal reasons, but he has used you as he has many others to do his bidding and attempt to disparage Linda and her friends & supporters.

Danny had the opportunity to move forward and develop a ministry that would bring others to Christ. He, on the other hand, decided to focus his energies on destroying Linda and using as many people as he could in the process in an effort to protect himself from having to bear any burden in this situation what-so-ever. He wants to be seen as the victim, responsibility free, he wants to "win" and not suffer any of the consequences of his actions and that is all he is interested in. Absolutely all.

You can hide behind Danny's lies and toss your poison arrows or you can dig into the facts (make your own calls) and discover for yourself where the truth exists. In the end, you are going to find that you owe individuals an apology and others you will demand an explanation from . . . at that point I will ask you which is which!

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Apr 1 2007, 01:11 PM**

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Apr 1 2007, 01:20 AM)

Linda had the opportunity to move forward and develop a ministry that would bring others to Christ.  
- FHB

Linda Shelton still does have the opportunity to move forward with a ministry. And when she does, Mr. Danny and Ms. Brandy Shelton won't be part of it.

I may be wrong, but as one of her first subjects, Linda Shelton may choose to speak of end-time events, such as earthquakes in diverse places.

For instance, Fallible, did you know there was an earthquake in Fayetteville, Arkansas? Now isn't that an unusual place for an earthquake: Fayetteville? <http://quake.ualr.edu/public/feb1962.htm> Earthquakes are happening more and more all over the place.

Where were you on February 3, 1962 - the date of the Fayetteville earthquake - Fallible? Were you able to feel that earthquake at all?

Eastern earthquakes, like the ones originating along the New Madrid fault line cover more territory than western earthquakes, such as the one that destroyed San Francisco, California. Isn't that just amazing, Fallible? Linda Shelton may have an entire sermon on this fascinating subject, Fallible. My guess it that Walt Thompson in Wisconsin -- and any other Thompsons in Wisconsin -- will really enjoy it.

Pete

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Apr 2 2007, 07:01 AM**

**QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Apr 1 2007, 02:20 AM)**

Such a simple question MrJ!

Then let Lee address her own statements... or have you hired on to be her skill as well?

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Apr 2 2007, 05:23 PM**

**QUOTE(Fran @ Apr 1 2007, 06:25 AM)**

FHB

I cannot believe that Linda has so much POWER over Danny and 3ABN!

If she orchestrated this mess, I have entirely underestimated her power! She is tiny and I have never heard her yell. I am sure she has. We all yell occasionally. However, I am a little confused!

If she is more powerful than Danny, why did she leave 3ABN instead of Danny? Why didn't she stay at 3ABN and marry her son's doctor? She could have arranged it so she was in charge of all those millions of dollars!

I guess she has just recently gained all this power. She has become so powerful that Danny is going to sue everyone he can that he believes is in cahoots with Linda!

If Linda can single handedly over throw 3ABN, maybe we have been right and 3ABN is the weak one in more areas than many have thought.



It seems that Danny cannot overpower Linda, so he has hired people to protect him from Linda. Fourteen lawyers no less!

Now, let me see;

1. Danny;
2. Brandy;
3. Melody;
4. Greg;
5. Kenneth Shelton;
6. Mrs Kenneth Shelton;
7. Ronnie Shelton; (sp)
8. Mrs. Ronnie Shelton;
9. Mollie;
10. Hal;
11. John Lomacang;
12. Mrs Lomacang;
13. Shelley;
14. DJ (or is it JD);
15. Walt Thompson;
16. The remaining Board Members; (# of loyals, unknown)
18. 17. The BIG DONOR that foots the bill;
19. Maybe the Conference President;
20. Lawyer #1;
21. Lawyer #2;
22. Lawyer #3;
23. Lawyer #4;
24. Lawyer #5;
25. Lawyer #6;
26. Lawyer #7;
27. Lawyer #8;
28. Lawyer #9;
29. Lawyer #10
30. Lawyer #11;
31. Lawyer #12;
32. Lawyer #13;
33. Lawyer #14;
34. Mr Riva (sp?);
35. Larry Ewing (sp?);
36. Tommy Shelton;
37. Carol Shelton;
38. Tammy Shelton-Chance;
39. Carol Shelton;

I am sure I have not listed every one. I am sure Tommy's kids could be added to that list. Now who does Linda have?

1. Mr. Joy; (Not a first hand witness)
2. Mr. Pickle; (Not a first hand witness)
3. Mr. Matthews; (Not a first hand witness)
4. Johann Thorvaldson (sp?);
5. Nathan Moore;
6. Linda's daughter;
7. Nathan Doctor;
8. Barbara Kerr
9. Sister;
10. Sonshineonme;
11. Beartrap;
12. Hoty;
13. Derrell Mundall;
14. Panama Pete;
15. and a few others

I never expected this for sure! Surely God is on her side. Why would it take so many to back Danny when he has all the evidence and backers. He has no want for money.

Linda has no ubiquitous money; no law firm with 14 lawyers; she only has Danny's words to defend herself. If 3ABN is that scared of Linda, I guess I do not have the information she has.

I have really underestimated her power. This is one battle that will be very lopsided. Jesus and Linda against the Great Army of men! This will be written in history pages since this evidence will be revealed to the world.

I hope this happens soon so everyone will know for sure what is truth and what is a lie!

Maybe you are right FHB, it looks like the balance of the scales of justice are heavy on Danny's side with all that bought power. Linda has her testimony and the power of God. If Danny is so afraid of her, maybe he knows something we don't.

Whew! It is good we don't judge books by their covers. Right? Are you one of Danny's independent lawyers?

☒ Oh my!!! ☒

☒ rofl ☒

☒ Oook the tears, ☒ just won't stop flowing

---

**Posted by: princessdi Apr 2 2007, 05:46 PM**

She just straight broke it down, didn't she? But it does bring up a good question. If Dannys has all these people around him to protect him and his interests, why is he worried about Linda and anything she might have to say?

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Apr 2 2007, 06:09 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 2 2007, 06:46 PM) ☐**

She just straight broke it down, didn't she? But it does bring up a good question. If Dannys has all these people around him to protect him and his interests, why is he worried about Linda and anything she might have to say?

Di, do you recall the theme song for the "Ever Increasing Faith" ministries of Frederick K. Prise? That them song is the first thing that came to mind after reading your post... Evidence, evidence...

---

**Posted by: Johann Apr 3 2007, 01:48 AM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 3 2007, 01:46 AM) ☐**

She just straight broke it down, didn't she? But it does bring up a good question. If Dannys has all these people around him to protect him and his interests, why is he worried about Linda and anything she might

have to say?

Reminds you of the final battle at the end of the Millennium. Then Satan still thinks he may be able to defy God with all the multitudes behind him. We are still in this sinful world where people generally think money and human support is mightier than God Himself.

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Apr 3 2007, 07:42 AM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 3 2007, 03:48 AM)**

Reminds you of the final battle at the end of the Millennium. Then Satan still thinks he may be able to defy God with all the multitudes behind him. We are still in this sinful world where people generally think money and human support is mightier than God Himself.

✕

---

**Posted by: Pickle Apr 8 2007, 08:59 AM**

Since anyone out there in the world could access it until Thursday or so, I guess it's fine to talk about it here.

---

**Posted by: calvin Apr 8 2007, 10:42 AM**

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Apr 8 2007, 08:59 AM)**

Since anyone out there in the world could access it until Thursday or so, I guess it's fine to talk about it here.

NO IT IS NOT ALRIGHT. Just because you can find information on the net or have knowledge by any other means of a BSDA member's identity or information that could lead to their identity does not make it right to post it here. It is still in violation of my privacy policy....and you knew that because I have warned you. You have been the most blatant violator of the policy than any member here with your constant attempt to expose FHB. You have been suspended for 14 days. If you come back and do it again, the suspension will be permanent.

---

**Posted by: Johann Apr 17 2007, 04:08 AM**

Back to the topic: What Is Happening With Linda?

Is there again stillness before a new storm? Many are holding their breath as they have discovered Linda has a new lawyer who shows much more interest and concern than the previous one she had. I have a good notion he is also considering all that Bystander, WWJD, Lee, and not the least Aletheia and some others have exposed on this board. I wonder if this realization is keeping them very quiet these days?

So many futile attempt at hiding the truth brings fear and trembling. Let's keep them in our prayers.

---

**Posted by: calvin Apr 17 2007, 03:29 PM**

Bystander and WWJD are no longer here.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 17 2007, 06:36 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Apr 17 2007, 02:29 PM)**

Bystander and WWJD are no longer here.

Some of us noticed a while back. Sure has been quiet without them.

Is the reason for their disappearance a secret, Boss?

---

**Posted by: calvin Apr 17 2007, 08:06 PM**

No I don't care to discuss it. You folks are not privilege to the emails and PMs that I receive and exchange that lead to some of the decisions I make, often along with the help of Clay and Di but not always.

If some gets banned the issue almost always has to do with (1) spamming; (2) serious and repeated breaches of forum rules; or (3) a major personality clash with a detrimental effect on other forum members.

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 17 2007, 09:37 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Apr 17 2007, 02:29 PM)**

Bystander and WWJD are no longer here.

Thank you Thank you Thank you

edited for content...lots to say but not necessary.

Rosyroi

@}---;---;-----

---

**Posted by: mozart Apr 17 2007, 09:54 PM**

I appreciate you taking dicipline calvin, sometimes it's nessessary and has nothing to do with what side you are on. I appreciate your effort to always be unbiased. I don't think I could do that day after day. My hat is off to you even when I disagree with you.

I think we do need to have healthy debate even when it is strong and everyone, whatever their view should have a right to speak, but when they just spew venom and want to fight instead of debate, then they prevent the rest of us from making any progress in trying to find answers and resolutions or just to talk to one another about things that are heavy on our hearts. I hope that's why we are all here; to find resolution. I hope no one is here to hurt or destroy or cause another to stumble. If I ever cause anyone to stumble, I give you permission to "snatch me baldheaded" (as Morgan Freeman said in Driving Miss

Daisy).  Thanks for this forum Calvin and to all those who volunteer their time to keep it upright.

than

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Apr 17 2007, 08:06 PM)**

No I don't care to discuss it. You folks are not privilege to the emails and PMs that I receive and exchange that lead to some of the decisions I make, often along with the help of Clay and Di but not always.

If some gets banned the issue almost always has to do with (1) spamming; (2) serious and repeated breaches of forum rules; or (3) a major personality clash with a detrimental effect on other forum members.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 18 2007, 12:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Apr 17 2007, 06:06 PM)**

No I don't care to discuss it. You folks are not privilege to the emails and PMs that I receive and exchange that lead to some of the decisions I make, often along with the help of Clay and Di but not always.

If some gets banned the issue almost always has to do with (1) spamming; (2) serious and repeated breaches of forum rules; or (3) a major personality clash with a detrimental effect on other forum members.

Calvin,

Thanks. Nuff said.

---

**Posted by: Brenda Apr 18 2007, 02:19 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Apr 19 2007, 04:58 AM)**

Calvin,

Thanks. Nuff said.

---

As a general comment, Calvin, I appreciate your fairness and your standards on this board.

---

**Posted by: Johann Apr 30 2007, 04:56 PM**

What is happening with Linda?

On top of everything else she has not heard from her son, Nathan, since March 17, and she has been told that he has disappeared. This worried mother solicits our prayers. She is also wondering if there are any people of BSDA who are good at finding missing people?

Nathan Moore was last at Pass Christian, Mississippi, working for Pink Marine Construction.

---

**Posted by: Fran Apr 30 2007, 08:41 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 30 2007, 05:56 PM)**

What is happening with Linda?

On top of everything else she has not heard from her son, Nathan, since March 17, and she has been told that he has disappeared. This worried mother solicits our prayers. She is also wondering if there are any people of BSDA who are good at finding missing people?

Nathan Moore was last at Pass Christian, Mississippi, working for Pink Marine Construction.

My, My, isn't this strange! right before court with Linda! This looks bad doesn't it?

Is there such a thing as coincidence?

---

**Posted by: Aunt B Apr 30 2007, 08:54 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 30 2007, 05:56 PM)**

What is happening with Linda?

On top of everything else she has not heard from her son, Nathan, since March 17, and she has been told that he has disappeared. This worried mother solicits our prayers. She is also wondering if there are any people of BSDA who are good at finding missing people?

Nathan Moore was last at Pass Christian, Mississippi, working for Pink Marine Construction.

Thanks for letting us know this Johann. I will be praying for Linda. I know how it feels to worry about a son. I don't know how to find "missing people" but I know God does. Praying for Nathan too.

Aunt B

---

**Posted by: Eirene Apr 30 2007, 10:11 PM**

**QUOTE(Fran @ Apr 30 2007, 08:41 PM)**

My, My, isn't this strange! right before court with Linda! This looks bad doesn't it?

Is there such a thing as coincidence?

Right before court with Linda? How so? The court date was last week, more than a month past Nathan's alleged disappearance. Yet another insinuation Fran?

Also Di ask Nathan's age. He is 26 or soon will be....

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 30 2007, 10:23 PM**

When is Nathan's birthday?

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: princessdi Apr 30 2007, 10:39 PM**

Thanks Eirene for the info. I will be keeping him in my prayers.

Eirene, I am gong to assume you are not a mother, please correct me if I am worng, but if my child was missing for a monthe before going to court, I might be more than a bit distracted, and a lot worried. if someone wanted to keep Linda of"off balance" that would be a good way.

**QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 09:11 PM)**

Right before court with Linda? How so? The court date was last week, more than a month past Nathan's alleged disappearance. Yet another insinuation Fran?  
Also Di ask Nathan's age. He is 26 or soon will be....

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 30 2007, 10:47 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 30 2007, 08:39 PM)**

Thanks Eirene for the info. I will be keeping him in my prayers.

Eirene, I am gong to assume you are not a mother, please correct me if I am worng, but if my child was missing for a monthe before going to court, I might be more than a bit distracted, and a lot worried. if someone wanted to keep Linda of"off balance" that would be a good way.

How about climbing the walls? Pacing the floor? Making frantic phone calls?

Yep Yep that would be me alright!

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: princessdi Apr 30 2007, 10:50 PM**

Ok?!! Now if he had been missing for a da y or a week, that might have been one thing, but a whole month?

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 30 2007, 09:47 PM)**

How about climbing the walls? Pacing the floor? Making frantic phone calls?

Yep Yep that would be me alright!

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: beartrap Apr 30 2007, 11:09 PM**

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 30 2007, 09:47 PM)**

How about climbing the walls? Pacing the floor? Making frantic phone calls?

Yep Yep that would be me alright!

Rosyroi

'es, Linda is pacing the floor and making frantic phone calls. She has been for weeks.

Whether a person's child is 6 or 26, they are still a person's child and will be worried about by a parent or any person who cares about and loves that person, or any human soul. Do you, Eirene? Are you concerned about Nathan? The disappearance of a person is nothing to be made light of due to age or anything else. This is a human being created by God. A soul who God loves as much as he loves any other soul on this planet.

Whether he is 26 or 55, doing drugs or performing televangelism, he is equally important in God's eyes. If Mark Finley, Danny Shelton, or Doug Bachelor disappeared for a month and no person could account for their whereabouts, would that be reason for anyone to be worried? Even though they are over the age of 26? Of course it would! Is Nathan any less of a child of God than them?

---

**Posted by: Fran Apr 30 2007, 11:22 PM**

**QUOTE(beartrap @ May 1 2007, 12:09 AM)**

Yes, Linda is pacing the floor and making frantic phone calls. She has been for weeks.

Whether a person's child is 6 or 26, they are still a person's child and will be worried about by a parent. The disappearance of a person is nothing to be made light of due to age or anything else. This is a human being created by God. A soul who God loves as much as he loves any other soul on this planet. Whether he is 26 or 55, doing drugs or performing televangelism, he is equally important in God's eyes. If Mark Finley, Danny Shelton, or Doug Bachelor disappeared for a month and no person could account for their whereabouts, would that be reason for anyone to be worried? Even though they are over the age of 26? Of course it would! Is Nathan any less of a child of God than them?

So he is not! Nathan is the apple of God's eye. There is no parent alive (I hope) that does not understand the love for a child.

We are all God's children. Lord, please keep Nathan safe and bring him home safe to his mother. Soon Lord! Please do not let evil near him.

---

**Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 11:40 PM**

a cold bitter heart is a wasteland. may God have mercy on you.



**QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 10:11 PM)**

Right before court with Linda? How so? The court date was last week, more than a month past Nathan's alleged disappearance. Yet another insinuation Fran?  
Also Di ask Nathan's age. He is 26 or soon will be....

---

**Posted by: Johann May 1 2007, 05:00 AM**

**QUOTE(mozart @ May 1 2007, 07:40 AM)**

a cold bitter heart is a wasteland. may God have mercy on you.

The most cruel thing is that this seems to be the real heart of people claiming to be mending broken hearts.

---

**Posted by: Observer May 1 2007, 06:22 AM**

**QUOTE(Fran @ Apr 30 2007, 08:41 PM)**

My, My, isn't this strange! right before court with Linda! This looks bad doesn't it?

Is there such a thing as coincidence?

Nathan was a troubled person, due to addiction to drugs, as I understand it. I have relatives, some close, and others not so close, who have been troubled by their use of alcohol and/or illegal drugs. Their treatment has been mixed. Some have kicked their habit, and some still struggle with it.

Speaking from that personal background, I can say that it would be quite reasonable to treat Nathan's disappearance as coincidence. Frankly, I doubt that there is any evidence to support any other conclusion. People dealing with such problems often simply disappear for a while.

Yes, such disappearances cause a lot of pain for those who love them, and worry about them. But, as a relative said to me the other day, in regard to a family member: Greg, I cannot live his life, I have got to let go. I am not responsible for the choices that he makes. Yes, that mother will worry. She does care.

So, also, Linda will care, and be concerned.

However, there is a factor in this that may be of interest: Let us wait and see how Danny Shelton and those who defend him respond to this. Will they either ignore, or respond with indifference? Or, will they respond in a manner that conveys genuine care for Nathan and concern for him and Linda?

They may see Linda and the rest of us an enemy. If so, the Bible says to love those you consider to be an enemy. Who among them will come public with a message of concern and caring for Nathan and Linda?

---

**Posted by: caribbean sda May 1 2007, 06:58 AM**

---

QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 07:00 AM)

The most cruel thing is that this seems to be the real heart of people claiming to be mending broken hearts.

like the old caribbean folks say, "rest dem at de foot ah deh cross..."

"just leave them at the foot of the cross."

I pray for the young man's safety and I pray for Linda who undoubtedly is in anguish right now. I hope all will be well with the family. It is certainly a trying time.

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete May 1 2007, 08:24 AM**

---

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 11:11 PM)

Right before court with Linda? How so? The court date was last week, more than a month past Nathan's alleged disappearance. Yet another insinuation Fran?  
Also Di ask Nathan's age. He is 26 or soon will be....

I'll give you some insinuations, Eirene.

I think it would have been wise for people to leave Nathan and his Norwegian doctor alone. This is true whether the patient is 24 or 94. And people should have been careful not to interfere with the patient's mother, either.

When a group of certified yahoos decided to interfere with that doctor-patient relationship -- as they seem to have done in this case regarding the Norwegian doctor -- the yahoos **must ultimately take full responsibility** for any adverse outcome down the road, as a result of their unwarranted meddling.

As for the court case, I understand depositions are due June 8. That's future tense. I should think it would be difficult to concentrate on depositions with my son missing.

---

**Posted by: watchbird May 1 2007, 10:38 AM**

---

QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 1 2007, 10:24 AM)

I'll give you some insinuations, Eirene.

I think it would have been wise for people to leave Nathan and his Norwegian doctor alone. This is true whether the patient is 24 or 94. And people should have been careful not interfere with the patient's mother, either.

When a group of certified yahoos decided to interfere with that doctor-patient relationship -- as they seem to have done in this case regarding the Norwegian doctor -- the yahoos **must ultimately take full responsible** for any adverse outcome down the road, as a result of their unwarranted meddling.

As for the court case, I understand depositions are due June 8. That's future tense. I should think it would be difficult to concentrate on depositions with my son missing.

Excellent points, Pete.

Responsibility.... that is the all to often neglected foundational principle of life.

And compassion... another one that seems in short supply in some circles....

Truly it is time for prayer...



---

**Posted by: Daryl Fawcett May 1 2007, 11:13 AM**

Many things are obviously happening with Linda, but right now her missing son is probably on the top of her list of happenings, therefore, I add my prayer that Nathan is OK and will be impressed to give her concerned mother a phone call.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 1 2007, 02:17 PM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 1 2007, 10:24 AM)**

I'll give you some insinuations, Eirene.

I think it would have been wise for people to leave Nathan and his Norwegian doctor alone. This is true whether the patient is 24 or 94. And people should have been careful not to interfere with the patient's mother, either.

When a group of certified yahoos decided to interfere with that doctor-patient relationship -- as they seem to have done in this case regarding the Norwegian doctor -- the yahoos **must ultimately take full responsibility** for any adverse outcome down the road, as a result of their unwarranted meddling.

-----  
**Who interfered between Nathan and his Doctor?** and how?

Nathan was and is an adult who went into treatment at Doctor Abrahamsen's clinic in Jan of 2004, and to the best of my knowledge, that was it. If there was ever any follow up, or continuing treatment after that, then maybe you (or another) could supply evidence to support that, as I would be interested to hear that.

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 1 2007, 10:24 AM)**

As for the court case, I understand depositions are due June 8. That's future tense. I should think it would be difficult to concentrate on depositions with my son missing.

True, although she probably already filled out all the necessary information and gave the documents to to her previous attorney long since, as that is usually done early on, and all that has to be done is for her new attorney to make the deposition.

The problem still is, as of right now Linda does not have a attorney listed in the online Franklin court records,

and hasn't since she fired her previous one. The records were updated the day of her last court appearance, (April 25)and nothing was changed then regarding an attorney, and has not been changed since.

And although Laird Heal can pay online (preferred) and it can take up to 2 days to be updated on the website according to them, or at the specific depository mentioned with a cashiers check, also supposed to be posted withing 2 days....

Something is clearly wrong, especially if he paid almost 3 wks ago now, and ahas the receipt, or copy of a cashier check as some here claimed.

The ARDC online database, still says there is no lawyer liscensed to practice in Illinois with his name, and looking him up in MA per their site, still lists him as not authorized to practice law.

"ARDC Lawyer Search Results from the ARDC database last updated as of April 30, 2007 at 12:50:36 PM: for the following terms: Last Name: heal, First Name: laird, status: All, State: MA, Country: usa Name Laird James Heal, Date Admitted June 4, 1991, City State Sterling MA Authorized to Practice? No"

<http://www.iardc.org/ardcroll.asp>

Nor is he listed under "change of staus" as is usual for those updating or changing records.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme May 1 2007, 04:44 PM**

I just wanted to let you all know, as of this morning, when I spoke to Linda, her son is still missing. A missing persons report has been filed.

I have posted a picture of Nathan and a few details over in the prayer request forum. Please feel free to copy that picture and send it around to anyone you know. If anyone sees him, hears something regarding him, or anything that might be helpful, please write to Linda at her website email address of [linda@lindashelton.org](mailto:linda@lindashelton.org).

This is very serious. The devil does use the timing of things to discourage and beat us down. She and her family needs our prayers.

The last time she spoke to him was March 17th. She is very very concerned, and with good reason.

That link is here <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=13459>

---

**Posted by: Johann May 1 2007, 05:21 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 1 2007, 10:17 PM)**

Who interfered **between Nathan and his Doctor?** and how?

Nathan was and is an adult who went into treatment at Doctor Abrahamsen's clinic in Jan of 2004, and to the best of my knowledge, that was it. If there was ever any follow up, or continuing treatment after that, then maybe you (or another) could supply evidence to support that, as I would be interested to hear that.

True, although she probably already filled out all the necessary information and gave the documents to to her previous attorney long since, as that is usually done early on, and all that has to be done is for her new attorney to make the deposition.

The problem still is, as of right now Linda does not have a attorney listed in the online Franklin court records, and hasn't since she fired her previous one. The records were updated the day of her last court appearance, (April 25)and nothing was changed then regarding an attorney, and has not been changed

since.

And although Laird Heal can pay online (preferred) and it can take up to 2 days to be updated on the website according to them, or at the specific depository mentioned with a cashiers check, also supposed to be posted withing 2 days....

Something is clearly wrong, especially if he paid almost 3 wks ago now, and ahas the receipt, or copy of a cashier check as some here claimed.

The ARDC online database, still says there is no lawyer liscensed to practice in Illinois with his name, and looking him up in MA per their site, still lists him as not authorized to practice law.

"ARDC Lawyer Search Results from the ARDC database last updated as of April 30, 2007 at 12:50:36 PM: for the following terms: Last Name: heal, First Name: laird, status: All, State: MA, Country: usa Name Laird James Heal, Date Admitted June 4, 1991, City State Sterling MA Authorized to Practice? No"

<http://www.iardc.org/ardcroll.asp>

Nor is he listed under "change of staus" as is usual for those updating or changing records.

have told you before, Aletheia, that I can verify that Nathan had further treatments in Norway later on by Dr. Arild Abrahamsen. Yes, he did return to Norway for further treatments. When he left Norway again and returned to the States, I moved into the same apartment where he had stayed. I even ate some of the food he left behind. It was all neatly labeled by his mother. She wanted to be sure he had some American food to eat while he was there, so that he would net get too homesick. Such are mothers, aren't they?

Then the other question:

If attorney Heal has a receipt to show that he has paid his fees, whose fault is that the information is not updated? Could there be a sleeping secretary somewhere?

---

**Posted by: Eirene May 1 2007, 11:43 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 05:21 PM)**

I have told you before, Aletheia, that I can verify that Nathan had further treatments in Norway later on by Dr. Arild Abrahamsen. Yes, he did return to Norway for further treatments. When he left Norway again and returned to the States, I moved into the same apartment where he had stayed. I even ate some of the food he left behind. It was all neatly labeled by his mother. She wanted to be sure he had some American food to eat while he was there, so that he would net get too homesick. Such are mothers, aren't they?

Then the other question:

If attorney Heal has a receipt to show that he has paid his fees, whose fault is that the information is not updated? Could there be a sleeping secretary somewhere?

The whole argument about why it hasn't been updated yet is a moot point. The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge ask him point blank if he was licensed to practice in Il he answered Yes your honor. It was only after the opposing side exposed to the judge that his status was inactive that he started making his excuses. The point is not about someone falling asleep at the wheel, it is about coming to IL knowing he was inactive to practice there and tried anyway until confronted. Then it would appear he lied to the judge.

Then your other questions to me. Show you when you have not told the truth or tried to spin things.

You stated DS married Brandi days after the divorce. The guam divorce was final in june 04. Final and LEGAL.

From that day forward they were divorced. Because Linda tried to challenge that divorce (which she willingly signed) in court, did not make it any less valid or legal. Her taking it to court was just a technicality. Your spin? They weren't "really" divorced until 06 when the US court UPHELD THE legalities of the guam divorce. See that word UPHELD. That means that it was legal in june of 04 which DS and his attorneys already knew. To imply they weren't actually divorced until 06 and then married Brandi soon after, according even to court records, would be a lie. Yet you have repeated this falsehood several times. You could not argue this in a court of law and win, so why should we fall for it?

Then you state that Danny was "SEEN" in MN on this last Sunday. Then go on to ponder was it money or documents etc....Since he wasn't there, then there would be nothing to ponder. But, after you told that, everybody was discussing it here as if it were fact. It wasn't. Yet, you haven't acknowledged that your sources got it wrong and you got it wrong. You just left it lie.

You maintained for a long time that the relationship between LS and Her doctor friend was strictly professional. Then after many questions were raised you said she went to Norway following the divorce, because she needed her "Best Friends." First it was stricktly professional, according to you but then somehow by the time of the divorce he was one of her best friends. (your words).

You led people to believe that you were invited to tell your side at a board meeting and then was threatened to not come back to campmeeting. You failed to say You were told to stay away from the campmeeting because your presence along with the doctor only spelled trouble. But, because you were ask to stay away from the campmeeting you implied that you were not allowed at the board meeting then, after they had ask you to come. You intertwined all to give people the wrong impression. The board meeting and the campmeeting were 2 different and seperate events. You were told you could still come to the board meeting and chose not to. Giving wrong impressions of events that happens still adds up to a falsehood. I could continue on repeating your own words and showing the fallacy of what you speak but I don't have a week to keep writing.

---

**Posted by: summertime May 2 2007, 10:44 AM**

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 2 2007, 12:43 AM)**

The whole argument about why it hasn't been updated yet is a moot point. The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge ask him point blank if he was licensed to practice in Il he answered Yes your honor. It was only after the opposing side exposed to the judge that his status was inactive that he started making his excuses. The point is not about someone falling asleep at the wheel, it is about coming to IL knowing he was inactive to practice there and tried anyway until confronted. Then it would appear he lied to the judge.

Then your other questions to me. Show you when you have not told the truth or tried to spin things.

You stated DS married Brandi days after the divorce. The guam divorce was final in june 04. Final and LEGAL. From that day forward they were divorced. Because Linda tried to challenge that divorce (which she willingly signed) in court, did not make it any less valid or legal. Her taking it to court was just a technicality. Your spin? They weren't "really" divorced until 06 when the US court UPHELD THE legalities of the guam divorce. See that word UPHELD. That means that it was legal in june of 04 which DS and his attorneys already knew. To imply they weren't actually divorced until 06 and then married Brandi soon after, according even to court records, would be a lie. Yet you have repeated this falsehood several times. You could not argue this in a court of law and win, so why should we fall for it?

Then you state that Danny was "SEEN" in MN on this last Sunday. Then go on to ponder was it money or documents etc....Since he wasn't there, then there would be nothing to ponder. But, after you told that, everybody was discussing it here as if it were fact. It wasn't. Yet, you haven't acknowledged that your sources got it wrong and you got it wrong. You just left it lie.

You maintained for a long time that the relationship between LS and Her doctor friend was strictly professional. Then after many questions were raised you said she went to Norway following the divorce, because she needed her "Best Friends." First it was stricktly professional, according to you but then somehow by the time of the divorce he was one of her best friends. (your words).

You led people to believe that you were invited to tell your side at a board meeting and then was threatened to not come back to campmeeting. You failed to say You were told to stay away from the campmeeting because your presence along with the doctor only spelled trouble. But, because you were ask to stay away from the campmeeting you implied that you were not allowed at the board meeting then, after they had ask you to come. You intertwined all to give people the wrong impression. The board meeting and the campmeeting were 2 different and seperate events. You were told you could still come to the board meeting and chose not to. Giving wrong impressions of events that happens still adds up to a falsehood.

I could continue on repeating your own words and showing the fallacy of what you speak but I don't have a week to keep writing.

---

**Posted by: summertime May 2 2007, 11:02 AM**

Eirene, surely you are not an innocent bystander who just happened by and has become so knowledgeable as to write the posts that I have read this week. I have written to Danny for information and the answers, which came straight from Mollie or others from the Danny Camp, sounds very much like your style of writing. ---I AM CERTAINLY NOT AMUSED OR IMPRESSED WITH YOUR STYLE OR MODE OF WRITING.----At best, you are a very hard and unsympathetic person. I have not posted for a long time, but just wanted to let some of you know that many are listening and are not impressed. Maybe it is people like you who has impressed Nathan to take some time off to sort things out---Nathan and Linda need our prayers, not our criticism.

---

**Posted by: Johann May 2 2007, 12:33 PM**

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 2 2007, 07:43 AM) □**

The whole argument about why it hasn't been updated yet is a moot point. The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge ask him point blank if he was licensed to practice in Il he answered Yes your honor.

'ou sound as if you were there. How many of you were assembled before the judge?

When I was a kid we knew the favorite horses and the dogs of all the farmers in the whole area. So when we saw a certain horse tied outside a neighbor's farmhouse, and we saw his dog watching the horse, we took it or granted the farmer was inside for a cup of coffee. He might be attending to some business at the same time. On rare occasions some other member of the family could be using his horse, but those were extremely rare occasions.

Nowadays it is the horsepower that count, even in the sky where they often leave a track. I have double checked, and my source still insists that Danny Shelton's jet was seen at St. Paul both Sunday and Tuesday his week. How many miles is that, and what is the cost in fuel, etc.?

It is difficult to blame Danny Shelton for spinning details in his own favor. He has even stated on TV that Satan is the god of details. How?

How to the other details.

If you think I sinned because I did not use the term UPHOLD, to describe what happened at the court in West Frankfort just before DS and Brandi's marriage, I apologize for that. I have never been good at memorizing definite terms in any language that I use, either English, Danish, Norwegian, Faeroese, Icelandic, or German. Using all of these languages means that I have no native language, and often exchange terms with synonyms. Even my wife finds it at times hilarious when I use uncommon or antique terms to describe things.

I was not arguing that the divorce was illegal.

I also really apologize that I made it possible for anyone to spin on my use of terms in connection with the relationship between Linda Shelton and Arild Abrahamsen. I have made several attempt to clarify þ but you have not heeded any of those. Other here on the net have done that too, and it looks like you never read what is being said.

Linda stated that at the time she was completely devastated because of the divorce, she felt she had no friends left anywhere except us. I suppose an unfriendly person would never understand that. It was therefore I stated that Linda needed to get away from those who made life impossible for her to see her best friends. By that I was never primarily referring to Dr. Arild Abrahamsen, but just as much to Irmgard and myself. Your spin on that demands an apology from you.

Eirene,

I appreciate your input. Now I know there is nothing but some minor misunderstandings. I apologize for not making myself so clear that you did not understand me. Just don't make these misunderstandings an occasion to spin other things, where there is nothing to spin.

Blessings,

Johann

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 3 2007, 09:23 AM**

I guess we all know it wasn't Linda's Doctor and she wasn't in treatment and nobody can answer this regarding Nathan?

"Who interfered between Nathan and his Doctor? and how?"

**QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 07:21 PM)**

I have told you before, Aletheia, that I can verify that Nathan had further treatments in Norway later on by Dr. Arild Abrahamsen. Yes, he did return to Norway for further treatments. When he left Norway again and returned to the States,

You did?!? Well that is certainly news to me! I'm sorry I must have missed it. **Would you be so kind as to look up that post and give me the link to it ?** so that I can read your explanation, and also figure out what conversation we were having, and why this was being discussed?

**QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 07:21 PM)**

I moved into the same apartment where he had stayed. I even ate some of the food he left behind. It was all neatly labeled by his mother. She wanted to be sure he had some American food to eat while he was there, so that he would net get too homesick. Such are mothers, aren't they?

I'm assuming you either took over nathan's rental or they don't clean between tenants then? In any case, as I've expressed before, it certainly is amazing, how you always happened to have been anywhere the topics here take us, and so can persoanly testify to so much..





**QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 07:21 PM)**

Then the other question:

If attorney Heal has a receipt to show that he has paid his fees, whose fault is that the information is not updated? Could there be a sleeping secretary somewhere?

Possibly, or maybe he was taking a short nap and forgot to just show his receipt to the court? that probably would have solved everything,

We don't really know what has caused this delay though do we? I am sure we can agree It is a perplexing and strange situation which needs to get fixed.

Later--

---

**Posted by: Jnana15 May 3 2007, 10:51 AM**

Aletheia, calm down and quit being so negative all of the time.

---

**Posted by: Observer May 3 2007, 11:04 AM**

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 1 2007, 11:43 PM)**

The whole argument about why it hasn't been updated yet is a moot point. The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge ask him point blank if he was licensed to practice in Il he answered Yes your honor. It was only after the opposing side exposed to the judge that his status was inactive that he started making his excuses. The point is not about someone falling asleep at the wheel, it is about coming to IL knowing he was inactive to practice there and tried anyway until confronted. Then it would appear he lied to the judge.

O. K. in sports terms one might call this either a "foul ball," or possibly "strike one." But, even if it is a strike one, the game is not over yet.

I have a somewhat cynical view of lawyers, to include experienced, competent ones. I expect them to make mistakes, all of them.

The game has entered a new phase with the litigation against Mr. Pickle and Mr. Joy. We who sit on the sidelines will probably observe each side making mistakes. Lawyers representing both sides will likely be slapped down by the judge for an error in process, or something.

Let us make the best of it, and not evaluate it beyond its importance. It is going to happen.

---

**Posted by: inga May 3 2007, 01:18 PM**

---

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 2 2007, 12:43 AM) □

You maintained for a long time that the relationship between LS and Her doctor friend was strictly professional. Then after many questions were raised you said she went to Norway following the divorce, because she needed her "Best Friends." First it was strictly professional, according to you but then somehow by the time of the divorce he was one of her best friends. (your words).

Eirene, you are clearly using the methodology of Satan in your posts. He is a liar from the beginning, but he doesn't usually come up with original lies. He simply twists the truth, possibly because that does more damage than outright, "original" lies. And that's exactly what you appear to do.

Case in point:

You insinuate that when Linda went to Norway to see her "best friends," she went to see Dr. Abrahamsen. In actual fact, she stayed with Irmgard and Johann Thorvaldsson who had befriended her. Since the doctor was a friend of the Thorvaldssons and was treating Irmgard, it was natural that Linda would run into him as well. But this is a far cry from your insinuation that Linda went to Norway specifically to be with Dr. Abrahamsen.

Note also that what Linda did **after the divorce** can hardly be used to justify the divorce that happened earlier. As I said, twisting truth is Satan's specialty.

---

**Posted by: Snoopy May 6 2007, 02:50 PM**

QUOTE(Eirene @ May 1 2007, 11:43 PM) □

The whole argument about why it hasn't been updated yet is a moot point. The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge asked him point blank if he was licensed to practice in IL he answered Yes your honor. It was only after the opposing side exposed to the judge that his status was inactive that he started making his excuses. The point is not about someone falling asleep at the wheel, it is about coming to IL knowing he was inactive to practice there and tried anyway until confronted. Then it would appear he lied to the judge.

Then your other questions to me. Show you when you have not told the truth or tried to spin things.

You stated DS married Brandi days after the divorce. The Guam divorce was final in June 04. Final and LEGAL. From that day forward they were divorced. Because Linda tried to challenge that divorce (which she willingly signed) in court, did not make it any less valid or legal. Her taking it to court was just a technicality. Your spin? They weren't "really" divorced until 06 when the US court UPHELD THE legalities of the Guam divorce. See that word UPHELD. That means that it was legal in June of 04 which DS and his attorneys already knew. To imply they weren't actually divorced until 06 and then married Brandi soon after, according even to court records, would be a lie. Yet you have repeated this falsehood several times. You could not argue this in a court of law and win, so why should we fall for it?


Then you state that Danny was "SEEN" in MN on this last Sunday. Then go on to ponder was it money or documents etc....Since he wasn't there, then there would be nothing to ponder. But, after you told that, everybody was discussing it here as if it were fact. It wasn't. Yet, you haven't acknowledged that your sources got it wrong and you got it wrong. You just left it lie.

You maintained for a long time that the relationship between LS and Her doctor friend was strictly professional. Then after many questions were raised you said she went to Norway following the divorce, because she needed her "Best Friends." First it was strictly professional, according to you but then somehow by the time of the divorce he was one of her best friends. (your words).

You led people to believe that you were invited to tell your side at a board meeting and then was threatened to not come back to campmeeting. You failed to say you were told to stay away from the campmeeting because your presence along with the doctor only spelled trouble. But, because you were asked to stay away from the campmeeting you implied that you were not allowed at the board meeting then,

after they had ask you to come. You intertwined all to give people the wrong impression. The board meeting and the campmeeting were 2 different and seperate events. You were told you could still come to the board meeting and chose not to. Giving wrong impressions of events that happens still adds up to a falsehood.


I could continue on repeating your own words and showing the fallacy of what you speak but I don't have a week to keep writing.

EEPERS!! 

hello, Eirene??


To quote a very wise acquaintance of mine, TAKE A PEPTO!!

Chill out girlfriend. I'm afraid you are going to blow a hose, or a fuse, or something!! Take a deep breath.... now another one...

You know? The more I read of your posts, the more familiar you sound... 

---

**Posted by: mozart May 6 2007, 06:54 PM**



---


**QUOTE(Snoopy @ May 6 2007, 01:50 PM)**

EEPERS!!

Hello, Eirene??

To quote a very wise acquaintance of mine, TAKE A PEPTO!!

Chill out girlfriend. I'm afraid you are going to blow a hose, or a fuse, or something!! Take a deep breath.... now another one...

You know? The more I read of your posts, the more familiar you sound... 

---

**Posted by: Johann May 8 2007, 02:07 PM**

Thank God, our prayers are answered. Nathan is found and all seems well for now. Linda appreciates you prayers.

---

**Posted by: daylily May 8 2007, 02:44 PM**

I am SO glad to hear that!! Thanks for letting us know.


---

**Posted by: lurker May 8 2007, 02:59 PM**

---


**QUOTE(daylily @ May 8 2007, 02:44 PM)**


I am SO glad to hear that!! Thanks for letting us know.

Amen! Praise God! 

---

**Posted by: SoulEspresso May 8 2007, 04:39 PM**



Keep praying ... 


---

**Posted by: princessdi May 8 2007, 04:41 PM**

Praise God!!!

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi May 8 2007, 04:46 PM**



thank you God for helping Linda find her son Nathan.

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: Jnana15 May 8 2007, 08:40 PM**

Praise God from whom all blessings flow!



---

**Posted by: IMM May 8 2007, 08:41 PM**

Thanks for updating us. The family will remain in my prayers.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 8 2007, 11:16 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 1 2007, 05:44 PM)**

I just wanted to let you all know, as of this morning, when I spoke to Linda, her son is still missing. **A missing persons report has been filed.**

I have posted a picture of Nathan and a few details over in the prayer request forum. Please feel free to copy that picture and send it around to anyone you know. If anyone sees him, hears something regarding him, or anything that might be helpful, please write to Linda at her website email address of linda@lindashelton.org.

This is very serious. The devil does use the timing of things to discourage and beat us down. She and her family needs our prayers.

The last time she spoke to him was March 17th. She is very very concerned, and with good reason.

That link is here <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=13459>

I don't know what the deal is here???? and don't wish to disrupt the prayer forum, so am posting here.

You wrote in the link above:

**"A missing persons report has been filed, and she feels very encouraged because the person that took her report has told her he would treat Nathan's case as if it were his own son he was searching for. One is very thankful and encouraged when they have someone that shows care and sincere concern with your situation as though it were their own....** please contact Linda at her website email address which is linda@lindashelton.org She is checking this email daily and welcomes any information."

When I wrote:

"I'm sure all are concerned and praying regarding this situation.

Usually, there is a contact person at the Police station, or a number there, where people with tips, or info, can call 24 hrs a day. That would be more quickly responded to then a e-mail to Linda and waiting on her to read and then report to them. Do you have that, or can you get it, and post it?

Thank you."

You answered:

"She requested it be done this way."

So I went to her website and nothing was on it about Nathan. so, I emailed her as I was concerned. No reply.

This all seemed weird to me, so I made some other inquiries.

And got this:

**QUOTE**

----- Original Message -----

From: <missing\_missing@isp.state.il.us>

To: <c\*\*\*\*>

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2007 3:04 PM

Ms \*\*\*\*:

**There has not been a missing report filed in Illinois for Nathan Moore.**

However, if he were last known to be in ~~Texas~~ Mississippi, there may be a report filed there. Without an exact name and date of birth I can not query the FBI's database to see if he is listed as missing.

You may want to check with the *other states* Clearinghouse for Missing persons

...

Sincerely,

Cinda Lubich, Clearinghouse Manager  
and Statewide AMBER Coordinator  
Illinois State Police  
Clearinghouse for Missing Persons  
217-785-4341 or 1-800-843-5763

Name: \*\*\*\*  
Address: \*\*\*\*  
City: \*\*\*\*  
State: \*\*\*\*  
ZIP: \*\*\*\*  
Email: \*\*\*\*  
Phone: \*\*\*\*  
Fax: \*\*\*\*

Comment: I am trying to find out whether a missing person case is factual, or not.

If you are not the correct entity to contact, I apologise.

It is being widely circulated on Internet Forums that "Nathan Moore" age 26, and the Son of Linda Shelton of Springfield Illinois has been missing for 6 weeks, and a missing person report has been filed by her. However no number has been given to call in tips or with info, and all are being requested to e-mail his Mother through her e-mail at her website [www.lindashelton.org](http://www.lindashelton.org) She does not appear to be available though...

Can you tell me if a missing person report has been made for Nathan Moore, or where I could go to find out if this is true or a false alarm?

.....

Thank you. Many people are worried and praying about this.

Sincerely,  
\*\*\*\*\*

For was one filed in Mississippi, I checked as Johann said that is where Nathan was working last...

Johann Posted Today, 03:07 PM

Thank God, our prayers are answered. Nathan is found and all seems well for now. Linda appreciates your prayers.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme May 8 2007, 11:25 PM**

Cindy,

Are you calling me a liar?  
I told you and all a report was filed.  
You don't believe me?  
Is that what you are saying here?

What's weird? You expected her to interject on her website that her sons is missing? Because she did not, she is lying? You expected her to write you back? Because you say she did not write you back, she is lying? Is that your point Cindy?

The report was filed. Why don't you call Linda yourself.

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 8 2007, 10:16 PM)**

I don't know what the deal is here???? and don't wish to disrupt the prayer forum, so am posting here.

You wrote in the link above:

**"A missing persons report has been filed, and she feels very encouraged because the person that took her report has told her he would treat Nathan's case as if it were his own son he was searching for. One is very thankful and encouraged when they have someone that shows care and sincere concern with your situation as though it were their own....** please contact Linda at her website email address which is linda@lindashelton.org She is checking this email daily and welcomes any information."

When I wrote:

"I'm sure all are concerned and praying regarding this situation.

Usually, there is a contact person at the Police station, or a number there, where people with tips, or info, can call 24 hrs a day. That would be more quickly responded to then a e-mail to Linda and waiting on her to read and then report to them. Do you have that, or can you get it, and post it?

Thank you."

You answered:

"She requested it be done this way."

So I went to her website and nothing was on it about Nathan. so, I emailed her as I was concerned. No reply.

This all seemed weird to me, so I made some other inquiries.

And got this:

Nor was one filed in Mississippi, I checked as Johann said that is where he last was...

Johann Posted Today, 03:07 PM

Thank God, our prayers are answered. Nathan is found and all seems well for now. Linda appreciates you prayers.

---

**Posted by: princessdi May 9 2007, 12:55 AM**

The only weird part is why you just would not pray for his safe return. The rest is none of your concern. You just had to pray, like everybody else. It did not require that you turn into Sherlock Holmes to verify anything. Linda is Nathan's mother, and unless you are his wife and/or girlfriend, sister, your concerned should have stopped at the request for prayer. What is your problem? There is a time and place for everything. This was highly insensitive, and very unchristlike.

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 8 2007, 10:16 PM)**

I don't know what the deal is here???? and don't wish to disrupt the prayer forum, so am posting here.

You wrote in the link above:

**"A missing persons report has been filed, and she feels very encouraged because the person that took her report has told her he would treat Nathan's case as if it were his own son he was searching for. One is very thankful and encouraged when they have someone that shows care and sincere concern with your situation as though it were their own....** please contact Linda at her website email address which is linda@lindashelton.org She is checking this email daily and welcomes any information."

When I wrote:

"I'm sure all are concerned and praying regarding this situation.

Usually, there is a contact person at the Police station, or a number there, where people with tips, or info,

can call 24 hrs a day. That would be more quickly responded to then a e-mail to Linda and waiting on her to read and then report to them. Do you have that, or can you get it, and post it?

Thank you."

You answered:

"She requested it be done this way."

So I went to her website and nothing was on it about Nathan. so, I emailed her as I was concerned. No reply.

This all seemed weird to me, so I made some other inquiries.

And got this:

Nor was one filed in Mississippi, I checked as Johann said that is where Nathan was working last...

Johann Posted Today, 03:07 PM

Thank God, our prayers are answered. Nathan is found and all seems well for now. Linda appreciates you prayers.

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 9 2007, 07:26 AM**

---

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 9 2007, 12:25 AM) □**

Cindy,

Are you calling me a liar?

I told you and all a report was filed.

You don't believe me?

Is that what you are saying here?

What's weird? You expected her to interject on her website that her sons is missing? Because she did not, she is lying? You expected her to write you back? Because you say she did not write you back, she is lying? Is that your point Cindy?

The report was filed. Why don't you call Linda yourself.

So I am not calling you a liar. Perhaps you should call Linda yourself.

There is a discrepancy between what you said she said, and what the Illinois state police and missing persons clearinghouse in both Illinois and Mississippi state. Maybe she can clear it up for you.

From: <missing\_missing@isp.state.il.us>

To: <c\*\*\*\*>

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2007 3:04 PM

As \*\*\*\*:

**There has not been a missing report filed in Illinois for Nathan Moore.....**

Sincerely,

Linda Lubich, Clearinghouse Manager  
and Statewide AMBER Coordinator  
Illinois State Police



**Posted by: awesumtenor May 9 2007, 07:42 AM**

The link on the IL State Police site that sends the email to where you checked for a missing person's report on Nathan is the department for missing and exploited \*children\*. Amber alerts are not put out for missing adults.

While Nathan is Linda's child, he is not a minor... and as such, the office which responded to you would be expected to give the answer it did... because they only deal with missing and exploited juveniles.

In His service,  
Mr. J

**QUOTE(princessdi @ May 9 2007, 02:55 AM)**

The only weird part is why you just would not pray for his safe return. The rest is none of your concern. You just had to pray, like everybody else. It did not require that you turn into Sherlock Holmes to verify anything. Linda is Nathan's mother, and unless you are his wife and/or girlfriend, sister, your concerned should have stopped at the request for prayer. What is your problem? There is a time and place for everything. This was highly insensitive, and very unchristlike.

It's not weird Di; it's typical... and it's sad...that a woman who says she is a mother could be so utterly devoid of compassion.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 9 2007, 08:07 AM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ May 9 2007, 02:55 AM)**

!.)The only weird part is why you just would not pray for his safe return.

That is not true, I did pray, and then wrote the following, to you. Why do you insist on constantly making these false accusations and false personal judgments?

**QUOTE**

QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 30 2007, 11:24 PM)  
Nathan? How old is he now?

Posted on: May 1 2007, 12:56 PM by Aletheia

I believe he is 26. Which makes it harder then when they are minors and you know where they are supposed to be, and they need to get their butts home, and if they aren't where they are supposed to be and don't come home, you know somethings definatly wrong.

Still Mothers never stop worrying about Children no matter how old they are. I'm 45 and my Mom still worries about me. My Sons are 23, and 25, and if I don't hear from them I start worrying and praying, because often in the past that meant they had problems or were in trouble and not ready to tell me yet. Thank God my oldest who has had addiction problems also, and lives across the United States from me is

keeping in close contact now, good or bad. It makes it easier to help, encourage, support, and advise. and know what to lift him up in prayer about.

I am not clear here though on what is meant by "missing". Is it that Linda hasn't heard from him in 6 wks, or that he hasn't been at home, or to work and no one has heard from him? If the latter is the case, was a missing persons report filed? It seems this would have been done at least 5 weeks ago???

In any case we should all pray for nathan and all involved, it would just help to know a little more to know what to pray about more specifically.

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 9 2007, 02:55 AM)

2.) The rest is none of your concern. You just had to pray, like everybody else. It did not require that you turn into Sherlock Holmes to verify anything. Linda is Nathan's mother, and unless you are his wife and/or girlfriend, sister, your concerned should have stopped at the request for prayer.

Did you read all that was posted here, and what was asked for in addition to prayer?

QUOTE

Johann Apr 30 2007, 05:56 PM Post #197

On top of everything else she has not heard from her son, Nathan, since March 17, and she has been told that he has disappeared. *This worried mother solicits our prayers. **She is also wondering if there are any people of BSDA who are good at finding missing people?***

Nathan Moore was last at Pass Christian, Mississippi, working for Pink Marine Construction.

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 9 2007, 02:55 AM)

What is your problem? There is a time and place for everything. This was highly insensitive, and very unchristlike.

beam, mote, eye...

QUOTE

Fran Apr 30 2007, 09:41 PM Post #198

**My, My, isn't this strange! right before court with Linda! This looks bad doesn't it?**

**Is there such a thing as coincidence?**

Eirene Apr 30 2007, 11:11 PM

Right before court with Linda? How so? The court date was last week, more than a month past Nathan's alleged disappearance. Yet another insinuation Fran?

Also Di asked Nathan's age. He is 26 or soon will be....

princessdi Apr 30 2007, 11:39 PM Post #202

Thanks Eirene for the info. I will be keeping him in my prayers.

Eirene, I am going to assume you are not a mother, **please correct me if I am wrong, but if my child was missing for a month before going to court, I might be more than a bit distracted, and a lot worried. if someone wanted to keep Linda off "off balance" that would be a good way.**

You were wrong, what you and Fran were doing is called evil surmising, which amounts to bearing false witness - sin

Lev 22:14 **Blessed are they that do his commandments**, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.

5 For without are dogs, and sorcerers, and whoremongers, and murderers, and idolaters, and **whosoever loveth and maketh a lie.**

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 9 2007, 08:20 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ May 9 2007, 09:42 AM) □**

The link on the IL State Police site that sends the email to where you checked for a missing person's report on Nathan is the department for missing and exploited \*children\*. Amber alerts are not put out for missing adults.

While Nathan is Linda's child, he is not a minor... and as such, the office which responded to you would be expected to give the answer it did... because they only deal with missing and exploited juveniles.

In His service,  
Mr. J

You are incorrect. I said nothing about an amber alert. The link, addresses and phone numbers are for both missing children and missing adults.

Now go to the link here: [http://www.theyaremissd.org/ncma/content.php?webid=state\\_clearinghouses](http://www.theyaremissd.org/ncma/content.php?webid=state_clearinghouses)

and see the same exact contact info:

#### ILLINOIS

Illinois State Police  
500 Iles Park Place, Suite 104  
Springfield, IL 62703-2982  
Phone: 1-217-785-4341  
Toll Free: 1-800-843-5763 (nationwide)  
Fax: 1-217-785-6793  
Email: [missing@isp.state.il.us](mailto:missing@isp.state.il.us)  
Website: [www.isp.state.il.us](http://www.isp.state.il.us)

**QUOTE**


It's not weird Di; it's typical... and it's sad...that a woman who says she is a mother could be so utterly devoid of compassion.

In His service,  
Mr. J

What else can you do but keep maligning and making personal attacks...

---

**Posted by: HUGGINS130 May 9 2007, 08:46 AM**



**Posted by: runner4him May 9 2007, 09:08 AM**

Aletheia, Aletheia....I just had to comment on your harsh reactions to the posts regarding Linda's missing son. It amazes me how you can continue to attack everyone who is expressing concern about a missing child...yes, an adult but still he is someone's child. Please stop and think about your words and I would ask you to rethink your approach. I do not know you but you are coming across in a mean spirited way and you are losing any credibility that you might have had in the past before I joined this forum...I have not been impressed with your posts. Why would you be suspicious of where the missing person's report might be filed? I want to think the best of you and your motives but you are making it very difficult. Prayer is vital...not only for a missing son or daughter but for the lost children of Israel....may God bless and may none of us lose our way.

**QUOTE**

What else can you do but keep maligning and making personal attacks...

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 9 2007, 09:24 AM**

**QUOTE(runner4him @ May 9 2007, 11:08 AM) □**

Aletheia, Aletheia....I just had to comment on your harsh reactions to the posts regarding Linda's missing son. It amazes me how you can continue to attack everyone who is expressing concern about a missing child...yes, an adult but still he is someone's child. Please stop and think about your words and I would ask you to rethink your approach. I do not know you but you are coming across in a mean spirited way and you are losing any credibility that you might have had in the past before I joined this forum...I have not been impressed with your posts. Why would you be suspicious of where the missing person's report might be filed? I want to think the best of you and your motives but you are making it very difficult. Prayer is vital...not only for a missing son or daughter but for the lost children of Israel....may God bless and may none of us lose our way.

What are you talking about?

I was concerned about Linda's son, and still am actually for i have gone through the same kind of things with my son.

Look in you mirror Aren't you, yourself reacting harshly here?

Why aren't you concerned that you may be being manipulated and lied to?

Is it worse to post something false, or to ask questions and point out the facts, and the truth?

Is it worse to be a liar whether by ignorance or willfully, or to call lies and error by their name?

It seems to me you've already demonstrated your answers...

Enough said?

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete May 9 2007, 09:26 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 9 2007, 08:26 AM) □**

There is a discrepancy between what you said she said, and what the Illinois state police and missing persons clearinghouse in both Illinois and Mississippi state. Maybe she can clear it up for you.

The discrepancy is based on the level of your abilities to find things on public Web sites and elsewhere, not necessarily between what Sonshineonme and Linda Shelton said.

One day, somebody points out that they think Laird J. Heal is not licensed in Illinois, based on what they can find on public Web sites. Later, we find that Laird Heal is licensed in Illinois, and then this licensing-conspiracy issue is quietly put to rest by the Dannyfingers.

And then that licensing issue is replaced with this "missing person" conspiracy theory.

And all of these unfounded theories are based on some manufactured flaws of Linda Shelton:

- Linda chose an unlicensed attorney who said he was licensed. Blah blah blah.
- Maybe Linda didn't really file any missing person reports. Blah Blah Blah Blah.
- Linda really did the hiring and promoting at 3ABN. Blah Blah Blah.
- Danny was "too busy" to be the President and do the hiring. It was really Linda's job. Blah Blah Blah.

Trying to make the entire weight of 3ABN's problems revolve around one solitary figure named Linda Shelton makes me laugh. It hasn't worked in three years, and it's not working now.

But, Aletheia, look at it this way: Nathan has been located, and now Linda and her Illinois-licensed attorney can focus on other things. That makes me very happy.

---

**Posted by: caribbean sda May 9 2007, 09:45 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 9 2007, 11:26 AM) □**


And all of these unfounded theories are based on some manufactured flaws of Linda Shelton:

- Linda chose an unlicensed attorney who said he was licensed. Blah blah blah.
- Maybe Linda didn't really file any missing person reports. Blah Blah Blah Blah.
- Linda really did the hiring and promoting at 3ABN. Blah Blah Blah.

- Danny was "too busy" to be the President and do the hiring. It was really Linda's job. Blah Blah Blah.


Trying to make the entire weight of 3ABN's problems revolve around one solitary figure named Linda Shelton makes me laugh. It hasn't worked in three years, and it's not working now.

But, Aletheia, look at it this way: Nathan has been located, and now Linda and her Illinois-licensed attorney can focus on other things. That makes me very happy.

..and the blah goes on and on and on... 

---

**Posted by: runner4him May 9 2007, 10:13 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 9 2007, 09:24 AM) **

What are you talking about?

Look in you mirror Aren't you, yourself reacting harshly here?

Why aren't you concerned that you may be being manipulated and lied to?

Is it worse to post something false, or to ask questions and point out the facts, and the truth?

Is it worse to be a liar whether by ignorance or willfully, or to call lies and error by their name?

It seems to me you've already demonstrated your answers...

Enough said by me here on this thread...

toodles..

Aletheia...Aletheia,

It sounds like you are calling me a liar "by ignorance or willfully"...where is this coming from? This is exactly what I was talking about. How and why would you call me a liar?

Sorry that you did not understand what I was trying to say to you about your words and harsh reactions. I was just trying to let you know how you are coming across. I may be new to this forum but I can assure you that I am able to read and research info without letting others manipulate me. I have completely reviewed all the info on [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com) and I still have a little more material to read on this site. I have been to the 3abn site and a couple other sites that give views of both sides of this current mess. I am the kind of person who likes to research both sides and then determine for myself what is true based totally on the facts. I have no hidden agenda...I was a supporter of 3abn for years with my Dish and offerings...I have given liberally to ASI for 3abn projects. After the rumor mill began with questions being raised on this site and from certain prominent faithful SDA leaders, I began to feel the need to investigate myself. I was convicted of my personal responsibility to dig and dig for the truth and to then to act in any way that I can. I am here to continue to learn and share with others, I have e-mailed friends to make them aware of the problems at 3abn (in order to save 3abn) and encouraging them to search for themselves, I have stopped all financial support, I have written letters to AF and elsewhere, I am praying for God to intervene. For me, the facts speak for themselves...there is a need for cleaning house at 3abn...the corruption must be stopped...the lawsuits are wrong...we need to be about the Lord's business of winning souls...we need to fear no man...I am ready for the boatload of trouble if need be as long as it is the Lord's boat and He is in it with me. Blessings.

r4H

---

**Posted by: princessdi May 9 2007, 12:45 PM**

I remember that Cindy, which is why I am shocked that you would do such a thing! You needed to stop at the prayer. You, of all people, should have understood maybe more than the rest of us. If you can't see how insensitive, discompassionate, and just plain evil your actions were, please ask somebody you really trust, but trust to tell you the truth whether you like it or not. Also, your implications about Linda did not go unnoticed. You really need to take hard look at when the defense of Danny is turning you into. It never even occurred to me(or anyone else) to overstep my bounds like that, let alone actually voice it as if you have some kind of authority. You can quote scripture all you want. Satan can quote them too, and better than you, but we already know his fate. Your heart has been revealed in this Cindy. However, perhaps you are a lot like Darth Vader and there is conflict within you, because, through this whole thing you seemed to be struggling with the right thing. You prayed and you even empathized, but in the end you just gave in to the dark side.

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 9 2007, 06:07 AM) □**

That is not true, I did pray, and then wrote the following, to you. Why do you insist on constantly making these false accusations and false personal judgments?

Did you read all that was posted here, and what was asked for in addition to prayer?  
Beam, mote, eye...

You were wrong, what you and Fran were doing is called evil surmising, which amounts to bearing false witness - sin

Rev 22:14 **Blessed are they that do his commandments**, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.

15 For without are dogs, and sorcerers, and whoremongers, and murderers, and idolaters, and **whosoever loveth and maketh a lie.**

---

**Posted by: IMM May 9 2007, 01:08 PM**

I read a lot and rarely post, due to the "if you can't say something nice..." rule my mamma taught me, but I just *have* to comment now.

Aletheia, you really went too far this time. What you did seems psycho and scary to me. I do not believe you were trying to help find Nathan. Also, what concern would it possibly be of yours about the contact information Linda left? He is 26, not 6. Using her email seems perfectly logical to me.

As far as her not replying to you, if I had given out an email address as contact for my missing child, I would probably not waste time replying to any message unless it was from someone who had seen or found him. I would probably not be in the mood for meaningless banter or to defend my methods of search.

...and to think some people refer to Gaillon Joy as a "self-appointed investigator" ??

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi May 9 2007, 08:45 PM**

My own two pennies worth...

Unfortunately it seem that whenever Alethia writes something in BSDA 3ABN threads that I cringe. It feels like very long very strong fingernails are scraping a very long time down on the chalk board.  OUCH!!!

Months ago I posted a request asking Alethia to re read what she types and walk away then come back and edit edit edit. Sigh...

It certainly would be refreshing to be able to read something from Alethia without it being in a nasty vindictive rude (add any other words that apply) attitude.

Oh well, my mother never told me life would be fair... carry on Cindy.

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: erik May 11 2007, 10:58 AM**

Hello all,

Does any one have any word on Nathan Shelton?

Last i had heard he was missing, we have been praying for his save return.

I was just wondering if that has happened yet?

Erik

---

**Posted by: princessdi May 11 2007, 11:02 AM**

He has been found, Erik. Click Here>>>>>>>>><http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=9174&st=225>

**QUOTE(erik @ May 11 2007, 08:58 AM)**

Hello all,

Does any one have any word on Nathan Shelton?

Last i had heard he was missing, we have been praying for his save return.

I was just wondering if that has happened yet?

Erik

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 11 2007, 11:04 AM**

---



**QUOTE(erik @ May 11 2007, 09:58 AM)**

Hello all,

Does any one have any word on Nathan Shelton?

Last i had heard he was missing, we have been praying for his save return.

I was just wondering if that has happened yet?

Erik

Hi Erik,

Here's a link to Johann's good news post one page back:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=9174&view=findpost&p=194643>

---

**Posted by: Eirene May 11 2007, 11:42 AM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ May 9 2007, 01:45 PM)**

[ **You prayed and you even empathized, but in the end you just gave in to the dark side.** [s]

That describes the attitude here, exactly concerning DS and 3abn. How many times have I read that we must pray for DS and 3abn and God loves them as much as he loves us. Then, in the next sentence will be unproven accusations, name calling and slanderous remarks. As you all like to say here, BEAM, MOTE, EYE.

---

**Posted by: princessdi May 11 2007, 12:12 PM**

Gurl Please! Danny can be wrong ALL day long, and that only means he requires more prayer. He is wrong, on several counts, but he doesn't want anyone to question him or does he want to own up to his actions. Doesn't make him less of a Child of God, just one struggling with sin, as we all are. It is not an either/or situation. He is a public figure so his struggles are also public.

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 11 2007, 10:42 AM)**

[color=#990000]

That describes the attitude here, exactly concerning DS and 3abn. How many times have I read that we must pray for DS and 3abn and God loves them as much as he loves us. Then, in the next sentence will be unproven accusations, name calling and slanderous remarks. As you all like to say here, BEAM, MOTE, EYE.

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete May 11 2007, 02:05 PM**

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 11 2007, 11:42 AM)**

That describes the attitude here, exactly concerning DS and 3abn. How many times have I read that we must pray for DS and 3abn and God loves them as much as he loves us. Then, in the next sentence will be **unproven accusations, name calling and slanderous remarks.** As you all like to say here, BEAM, MOTE, EYE.

In addition to the foregoing remark, wasn't the following remark also made by you, Eirene? Did you imply that Laird Heal may be a liar?

**QUOTE(Eirene @ May 1 2007, 11:43 PM)**

The guy knew his Arc number was not in active status when he came to Illinois and when the judge ask him point blank if he was licensed to practice in Il he answered Yes your honor. It was only after the opposing side exposed to the judge that his status was inactive that he started making his excuses. The point is not about someone falling asleep at the wheel, it is about coming to IL knowing he was inactive to practice there and tried anyway until confronted. **Then it would appear he lied to the judge.**

I noticed in the <http://www.iardc.org/lawyersearch.asp> that Laird Heal is fully licensed to practice law in Illinois. So, Eirene, who is doing the name calling with the unproven accusations? Wouldn't you need to include yourself in doing that?

Name, Date Admitted , City, State, **Authorized to Practice?**  
**Laird James Heal** June 4 1991, Sterling, MA, **Yes**

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi May 11 2007, 09:16 PM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 11 2007, 01:05 PM)**

In addition to the foregoing remark, wasn't the following remark also made by you, Eirene? Did you imply that Laird Heal may be a liar?

I noticed in the <http://www.iardc.org/lawyersearch.asp> that Laird Heal is fully licensed to practice law in Illinois. So, Eirene, who is doing the name calling with the unproven accusations? Wouldn't you need to include yourself in doing that?

Name, Date Admitted , City, State, **Authorized to Practice?**  
**Laird James Heal** June 4 1991, Sterling, MA, **Yes**

PP  THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!!





BSDA knew it. Dannyfingers tried to deny it. Didn't work.

Rosyroi

---

**Posted by: Fran May 11 2007, 10:53 PM**

**Eirene;**

Do this infer-maeshun mean he weren't "STUPID"?   I recon not a tall.  

Hummmmm.

I sure be glad him arnt stupid! Iz gotta put this hearin wrds in my handy dandy blues cloos notbook!

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 12 2007, 04:53 AM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 11 2007, 04:05 PM)**

In addition to the foregoing remark, wasn't the following remark also made by you, Eirene? Did you imply that Laird Heal may be a liar?

I noticed in the <http://www.iardc.org/lawyersearch.asp> that Laird Heal is fully licensed to practice law in Illinois. So, Eirene, who is doing the name calling with the unproven accusations? Wouldn't you need to include yourself in doing that?

Name, Date Admitted , City, State, **Authorized to Practice?**

**Laird James Heal** June 4 1991, Sterling, MA, **Yes**

PP, that is a pathetic attempt to malign another.

You know perfectly well you are quoting from, and responding to her May 1st post when Heal was NOT authorized to practice law. I myself quoted and posted that from the same website the day he went to court and wasn't authorized, and again just as recently as last week where he still wasn't authorized to practice law, or yet listed as Linda's attorney in the online case file in Franklin County. For the past month that has been the case.

**It just got changed.** as I myself noted yesterday.

When Laird Heal stood in court and told the judge "Yes your honor" (are you liscenced to practice law in Illinois") that was not true. He wasn't authorized to practice in Illinois, nor registered in the database, which states it may take up to 24 hours to show updates.

Falsely acusing Eirene not does not change those facts. Offering excuses as to why you all "think" he wasn't authorized to practice law in Illinois, without support or evidence, doesn't prove or change that either.

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ May 9 2007, 11:26 AM)**

The discrepancy is based on the level of your abilities to find things on public Web sites and elsewhere, not necessarily between what Sonshineonme and Linda Shelton said.

Clarification.

I did not get my info from a website. I only got the contact information from the website. I emailed the Illinois stste police, and then called the Mississippi State police. They both said "No missing report was filed for a Nathan Moore"

Argue with the State Police, as to why they disagree with L.S. as allegedly quoted by sonshineonme.

---

**Posted by: Pickle May 12 2007, 06:31 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 04:53 AM)**

When Laird Heal stood in court and told the judge "Yes your honor" (are you liscenced to practice law in Illinois") that was not true. He wasn't authorized to practice in Illinois, nor registered in the database, which states it may take up to 24 hours to show updates.

It seems to matter not how many times your blatant misstatements are corrected, you still make them anyway. That is wrong.

"Are you licensed?" "Yes."

"Was he authorized?" "No."

Judging from the way you worded your post, I take it to mean that you understand the difference between "licensed" and "authorized," and yet you still said, "that was not true."

---

**Posted by: Noahswife May 12 2007, 08:00 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 05:53 AM)**

I did not get my info from a website. I only got the contact information from the website. I emailed the Illinois stste police, and then called the Mississippi State police. They both said "No missing report was filed for a Nathan Moore"

Argue with the State Police, as to why they disagree with L.S. as allegedly quoted by sonshineonme.

**Aletheia!**

I have had enough of this line of attack and nonsense.

**YOU DID NOT DO YOUR HOMEWORK! I DID MINE AND THE REPORT WAS FILED IN THE RIGHT PLACE AND WITH THE RIGHT AUTHORITIES.....**

When you posted that there was no documentation, I understood why there was none in Illinois as it did not make sense to me that a missing persons report would be filed there if that is not where Nathan went missing. However, I have confirmed there was a report filed, where it was filed and that they now know where he is.

So many times on BSDA you have not seemed to understand how your personal credibility was at issue and why it mattered when reviewing anything else you had to say. You have now once again proven for everyone that reads here at BSDA that for whatever reason you either are not checking out things accurately, you come here with a huge bias against anything regarding Linda Shelton or you are being fed lines to post by someone else (who apparently does not care about your credibility here as they are in the shadows).

Do you have any clue how this makes you look to those of us who want to believe you are sincere in what you believe or post even if we disagree with you?

I was willing to think you were just misinformed here but your continued insistence on this point makes it impossible for me to believe that is what is going on.

**PLEASE Aletheia** think about this before you post again on matters where facts can in fact be verified as I did in this matter.

nw  
C:"I"

---

**Posted by: starbright May 12 2007, 08:23 AM**

Hello Noahswife: I am new here and still reading and learning. Could you give me a link to where you found the information that Nathan was reported to missing persons? Where was the report filed?

If you would provide the link so we could look it up, this would really show Aletheia was incorrect in her information.

---

**Posted by: seraph|m May 12 2007, 08:29 AM**

In thine own word...

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 07:53 AM)**

A, that is a pathetic attempt to malign another.

Mat 7:3 And why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye, but considerest not the beam that is in thine own eye?

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 12 2007, 08:41 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ May 12 2007, 07:31 AM)**

It seems to matter not how many times your blatant misstatements are corrected, you still make them anyway. That is wrong.

"Are you licensed?" "Yes."

"Was he authorized?" "No."

Judging from the way you worded your post, I take it to mean that you understand the difference between "licensed" and "authorized," and yet you still said, "that was not true."

Bob, **you know better** despite the word games you constantly play. The question by the Judge was, as Heal was in his Illinois court, was he liscenced to practice law in Illinois? You know, I quoted from the Illinois database, the very day he went to court, in Gregory Matthews "Linda's Litigation" thread post # 56, to..

**you :**

---

**QUOTE**

**QUOTE**

Bob Pickle:

Here's the scoop *according to Gailon*:

Attorney Laird J. Heal is licensed to practice law in Illinois.

Aletheia:  
Here's the real scoop:

**QUOTE**

<http://www.iardc.org/ardcroll.asp>

ARDC Lawyer Search Results from the ARDC database last updated as of April 25, 2007 at 12:14:42 PM:  
for the following terms: Last Name: Heal, First Name: Laird, status: All, **State: IL**, Country: usa

**Your search terms do not match the record of any lawyer licensed in Illinois.**

<http://www.iardc.org/ardcroll.asp>

ARDC Lawyer Search Results from the ARDC database last updated as of April 25, 2007 at 12:14:42 PM:  
for the following terms: Last Name: Heal, First Name: Laird, status: All, **State: MA**, Country: usa

Name Laird James Heal  
Date Admitted June 4, 1991  
City State Sterling MA  
Authorized to Practice? **No**

So, I don't care how many times you try and babble over the facts and evidence, it doesn't change it. This is just like your arguments and explanations about how Gailon Joy is an "innocent convicted embezzler" ( and before I get the protests, a Christins past is NOT relevant if they have repented, Joy obviously has not as he still claims he did nothing wrong)

The Attorney General of the United States used Joy's conviction as his case example for embezzlement, in the law textbook he authored, and still you try to babble around that. Your explanations and denials, as well as Matthews and Joy's, are just not credible to me.

**QUOTE**

**Embezzlement**

Fraudulent conversion of property lawfully possessed

**Embezzlement:** Fraudulent conversion of another's property by someone in lawful possession of the property. Embezzlement requires the intent to defraud the owner of the property. Conversion here means that the defendant handles the property inconsistently with the arrangement by which he or she has possession of it. Since many businesses rely on employees to receive payments and make disbursements, embezzlement is often a crime against a business..

**FACTS :**

Gailon A. Joy owned Credit management Services Corp., (CMS), a debt collection agency. When CMS made a collection, it would deposit the money in Barre, Vermont. CMS also had it's own separate account. However, CMS began transferring fund from the Barre account to it's own account to cover it's expenses. CMS received a collection for Stacey Fuel and Lumber Co., but never even told Stacey the money had been collected. A year later CMS filed for Bankruptcy. The State charged Joy with the crime of embezzling the collection made for Stacey. Joy claimed he intended to repay the money.

**Outcome :**

The Court upheld Joy's conviction, saying an intent to repay was irrelevant. --State v. Joy, 549A.2d 1033 (VT)

Source: Law of Business, p 29, by John D. Ashcroft

Readers,

This citation saying Joy's defense was "he was going to pay it back" is significant as he has maintained through both Bob Pickle and Gregory Matthews that his defense, and why he is a "innocent convicted embezzler" is because the money he was convicted of embezzling actually belonged to him, as it was owed him.... clearly that is not true.

You can find the reference and the entire book above at google book search

---

**Posted by: Clay May 12 2007, 08:44 AM**

The point is moot Cindy.... whether he was or was not... now he is... as for Mr. Joy's past... I view it as another attempt by you and those who share your view of majoring in minutiae..... obviously you get something from it because it is done daily....

thank you for sharing your **opinion**.....

---

**Posted by: Aletheia May 12 2007, 08:52 AM**

**QUOTE(starbright @ May 12 2007, 10:23 AM)**

Hello Noahswife: I am new here and still reading and learning. Could you give me a link to where you found the information that Nathan was reported to missing persons? Where was the report filed?

If you would provide the link so we could look it up, this would really show Aletheia was incorrect in her information.

Welcome, and thank you.

I would appreciate that also, as she forgot to cite the evidence and proof.

If she is correct, I need to know so I can apologise, and try to make it right.

Where was it filed then? It was first reported here by Johann who said he was last in Mississippi. They didn't know he was missing....


**QUOTE(Clay @ May 12 2007, 10:44 AM)**

The point is moot Cindy.... whether he was or was not... now he is... as for Mr. Joy's past... I view it as another attempt by you and those who share your view of majoring in minutiae..... obviously you get something from it because it is done daily....

thank you for sharing your **opinion**.....

Clay,


I'm sorry, but the point isn't moot just because you say so. I gave evidence to support what I posted. That isn't just my opinion as yours is.

Have a happy Sabbath. I'm off to worship. 

---


**Posted by: Clay May 12 2007, 08:56 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 09:52 AM)** 

Clay,

I'm sorry, but the point isn't moot just because you say so. I gave evidence to support what I posted. That isn't just my opinion as yours is.

Have a happy Sabbath. I'm off to worship. 


---

thank you again for your **opinion**.... and hope that your worship experience is rewarding.....

---

**Posted by: seraph|m May 12 2007, 08:58 AM**


---


**QUOTE(Clay @ May 12 2007, 11:44 AM)** 

The point is moot Cindy.... whether he was or was not... now he is... as for Mr. Joy's past... I view it as another attempt by you and those who share your view of majoring in minutiae..... obviously you get something from it because it is done daily....

thank you for sharing your **opinion**.....


---

 Don't miss the next exciting episode of "**Opinions**, er'body has one" When the next in depth search reveals AAAAAk...



**Posted by: Noahswife May 12 2007, 09:06 AM**

---

**QUOTE(starbright @ May 12 2007, 09:23 AM)** 

Hello Noahswife: I am new here and still reading and learning. Could you give me a link to where you found the information that Nathan was reported to missing persons? Where was the report filed?



If you would provide the link so we could look it up, this would really show Aletheia was incorrect in her information.

Starbright 

Welcome to BSDA. Please feel free to PM any one here to ask for help in locating information or answering informed questions. We have a number of very strong personalities here but as I am sure you have seen we each contribute in different ways and bring different "credentials" to these discussions. Please get to know us in LC or through our many posts both here in the 3abn thread and elsewhere on this forum. And PLEASE bring good when we party as we are known to do now and then.

I know there is so much to read here. It can be frustrating and just a few months ago which would be several hundred posts ago (thousands maybe? yikes!) I was totally overwhelmed and made more than one mistake in my posts. But you know what, people are kind if you take the time to demonstrate you are reading and not just asking people to hand you information (and believe me we have seen more than one borg/clone/finger demand information).

As for the post.....Here is where Johann posted about Nathan missing ----->  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=9174&view=findpost&p=193630>


Starbright. Please think about this. I am not going to due Aletheia's homework for her. Where would it be logical to file a report regarding a missing adult?

nw  
C"l"

---

**Posted by: starbright May 12 2007, 09:40 AM**

**QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 12 2007, 10:06 AM)**

Starbright 

I  
Starbright. Please think about this. I am not going to due Aletheia's homework for her. Where would it be logical to file a report regarding a missing adult?

nw  
C"l"

Thank you for the kind welcome. Could you help me since I don't know where to look. I understand it is logical to look in certain places but I've never filed a report before so I don't even know where to logically look.

Could you give me the link anyway? Or pm it to me?

---

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 12 2007, 09:44 AM**

**QUOTE(seraph|m @ May 12 2007, 10:29 AM)**

In thine own word...

Mat 7:3 And why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye, but considerest not the beam that is in thine own eye?

In the werds of the "lang-west"....

How are you gonna remove the toothpick from your brother's eye when you got a telephone pole/transformer station up in ya own.....

Recognize!

**QUOTE(Clay @ May 12 2007, 10:44 AM)**


The point is moot Cindy.... whether he was or was not... now he is... as for Mr. Joy's past... I view it as another attempt by you and those who share your view of majoring in minutiae..... obviously you get something from it because it is done daily....

thank you for sharing your **opinion**.....

Bless her heart....she don't know us well. We read and we **pay attention!**


**QUOTE(seraph|m @ May 12 2007, 10:58 AM)**

Don't miss the next exciting episode of "**Opinions**, er'body has one" When the next in depth search reveals AAAAAk...



.....not only are aliens on the planet Earth...they are running some of the major "religions-like" organizations.....

OOOoooo!



**Posted by: seraph|m May 12 2007, 10:30 AM**

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ May 12 2007, 12:44 PM)**

In the werds of the "lang-west"....


How are you gonna remove the toothpick from your brother's eye when you got a telephone pole/transformer station up in ya own.....


Recognize!

Bless her heart....she don't know us well. We read and we **pay attention!**  
.....not only are aliens on the planet Earth...they are running some of the major "religions-like" organizations.....

OOOoooo!




 pass me some meaty bitz danke



---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete May 12 2007, 11:27 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 04:53 AM)** 

PP, that is a pathetic attempt to malign another.

You know perfectly well you are quoting from, and responding to her May 1st post when Heal was NOT authorized to practice law. I myself quoted and posted that from the same website the day he went to court and wasn't authorized, and again just as recently as last week where he still wasn't authorized to practice law, or yet listed as Linda's attorney in the online case file in Franklin County. For the past month that has been the case.

Frankly, I didn't agree with Eirene's theory that Laird Heal may have lied to a judge. I wished to emphasize that point before the issue got brushed under the carpet by the Danny-fingers.

One case in point:

The Federal Communications Commission can take **months** to show that documents have been accepted and processed by them. That's why a FCC attorney gets a copy of the document hand-stamped with the date of delivery at the FCC's building.

Does that mean a radio or tv station shuts down during the period a document is not in the FCC database? Of course, not. I'm sure that some of the Dannyscribes, and those here who have proximity to the broadcasting industry, know that.

Since Mr. Heal is fully licensed, the paperwork would have had to have all been submitted by Mr. Heal, and taken care of, just as Mr. Heal told the judge.

Subsequent postings to a Web site would not necessarily have a legal bearing on anything.

Aletheia, the "pathetic attempt to malign another" (as you described it) occurred with the theory that Mr. Heal stood in front of a judge and may have lied. Lying to a judge would be a rather strong accusation if true, but, I sincerely doubt that it is.

Right now, you can probably look forward to the depositions that need to be completed by June 8, as the case

moves forward.

Pete

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme May 12 2007, 12:11 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 9 2007, 08:24 AM)**

What are you talking about?

I was concerned about Linda's son, and still am actually for i have gone through the same kind of things with my son.

Look in you mirror Aren't you, yourself reacting harshly here?

Why aren't you concerned that you may be being manipulated and lied to?

Is it worse to post something false, or to ask questions and point out the facts, and the truth?

Is it worse to be a liar whether by ignorance or willfully, or to call lies and error by their name?

It seems to me you've already demonstrated your answers...

Enough said?

Cindy,

You say you are very concerned about being lied to? You are blinded by lies to start with, so how are you ever going to see the truth when it is in front of you? This worries me about you. You need some new friends. Some that don't lead you down the path of foolishness. JMO.

No one lied to anyone about Nathan missing, a report being filed, and that Nathan is found. It's all true. As true as the sky is blue, the sea is deep, and this world is full of sin. Don't want to accept it, your choice.

I am not sure who you spoke to in Mississippi, maybe you could provide the person, date and time you spoke to them? That is where the report was filed. I have the name and number of the officer who took the report from Linda, and who was working on the case to look for him. I myself have talked to them.

The past couple days, I have thought about whether to provide this information to you, but I've decided I am not going to give it to you - you know why? I don't trust YOU. I don't trust that you can do you're research properly without making things worse. You have made this a spectacle, like so many other things. I think that's because you do poor research and I believe you are being used to hurt others, and it has nothing to do with getting "truth" out there....maybe you should do some serious thinking about that.

---


**Posted by: Noahswife May 12 2007, 01:32 PM**

---

**QUOTE(seraph|m @ May 12 2007, 09:58 AM)**



Don't miss the next exciting episode of "**Opinions**, er'body has one" When the next in depth search reveals AAAAAk...



sera ~

Surri,

Can I sign you up as an IRS Form 1099 to write more lines for me? Mine are far too serious.



IW  
:"j"

---

**Posted by: seraph|m May 12 2007, 01:42 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 12 2007, 03:11 PM)**

Cindy,

You say you are very concerned about being lied to? You are blinded by lies to start with, so how are you ever going to see the truth when it is in front of you? This worries me about you. You need some new friends. Some that don't lead you down the path of foolishness. JMO.

No one lied to anyone about Nathan missing, a report being filed, and that Nathan is found. It's all true. As true as the sky is blue, the sea is deep, and this world is full of sin. Don't want to accept it, your choice.

I am not sure who you spoke to in Mississippi, maybe you could provide the person, date and time you spoke to them? That is where the report was filed. I have the name and number of the officer who took the report from Linda, and who was working on the case to look for him. I myself have talked to them.

The past couple days, I have thought about whether to provide this information to you, but I've decided I am not going to give it to you - you know why? I don't trust YOU. I don't trust that you can do you're research properly without making things worse. You have made this a spectacle, like so many other things. I think that's because you do poor research and I believe you are being used to hurt others, and it has nothing to do with getting "truth" out there....maybe you should do some serious thinking about that.


Wise choice SSOM and very well said. It is truly sad, that a pawn does not know if, when or, how it is being used.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor May 12 2007, 02:00 PM**

---

**QUOTE(seraph|m @ May 12 2007, 03:42 PM)**


Wise choice SSOM and very well said. It is truly sad, that a pawn does not know if, when or, how it is being used. 

That way they don't know they are expendable until it is too late and, having run out the extent of their usefulness, they are tossed on the scrap heap...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: HUGGINS130 May 12 2007, 02:16 PM**



---

**Posted by: Fran May 12 2007, 05:34 PM**

**In my mind, I question why the payment did not get posted.**

**Look at the timing/date of the hearing for the divorce property settlement. Then look at the timing of the Lawsuit filed against Joy and Pickle!**

**Could this "error" have prevented any attempts for Linda to file any further suits against Danny and 3ABN?**

**There was just enough time for Danny to file suit against Joy and Pickle. THEN it got posted. My observation is this was very "Convenient." This I base on my knowledge of the relationships Danny has with folks in the area to get what he wants.**

**Since Danny & 3ABN filed against Joy and Pickle *first*, doesn't this leave a LOT of room for discovery? Please correct me if I got this wrong?**

**Come on legal folks contribute here for me.**

**Did Danny/3ABN follow Matthew 18 before he filed the suit. Danny could help with this as well as J/P. Is it possible to hear from these folks? Maybe not.**

**IMO 3ABN must be where some of the mis-information is coming from. I believe "loose lips sink ships" and open BIG cans of worms. Danny has very loose lips.**

**Discovery here we come! Too bad it is all sealed/impounded! Inquiring minds want to know!**

**(I must come to terms with this impounded stuff. Still sort of refusing to understand that -the right way- My problem)   **

---

**Posted by: Pickle May 12 2007, 09:42 PM**

Cindy,

I'm not sure that you have established that Laird was not an inactive licensed attorney in Illinois. What you cited said that his name didn't match any names in the database. It stopped short of saying that he wasn't licensed in Illinois.

Secondly, you haven't cited anything that would prove that Gailon's company was not holding in reserve an amount to offset lost payments Stacey Fuel received directly from debtors. Shouldn't you do that before being so emphatic that the point you're making is already proven before you make any attempt to prove it?

Fran,

I am unaware of any attempts by Danny or any 3ABN-related entity or individual to set the least esteemed among us in the church to judge regarding any issue discussed over the last 8 months, except Danny's divorce and remarriage and Linda's firing.

---


**Posted by: Fran May 13 2007, 04:04 AM**

QUOTE(Pickle @ May 12 2007, 10:42 PM)



Fran,

**I am unaware of any attempts by Danny or any 3ABN-related entity or individual to set the least esteemed among us in the church to judge regarding any issue discussed over the last 8 months, except Danny's divorce and remarriage and Linda's firing.**

**I am going to have to diagram that sentence! Then I will respond!**



**I taught Language once, and I do know the parts of speech. This one will be interesting!**



**I have to make sure I "get" it!**




---



**Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 13 2007, 10:23 AM**

QUOTE(Fran @ May 13 2007, 05:04 AM)

*I am going to have to diagram that sentence! Then I will respond!*



*I taught Language once, and I do know the parts of speech. This one will be interesting!*



*I have to make sure I "get" it!*



[/b]

Lemmie breaketh it down.....per the Lang-west....

Ahem....

"I don't know nobody (3ABN/Danny and Dem) that got with with the littlest of the big dawgs in de chach to conversate (not a word) about any of this mess except the divorce, quickie wedding, and Linda gettin' de ax...."

Ahem.....

To wit.....

---

**Posted by: Noahswife May 13 2007, 10:53 AM**

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ May 13 2007, 12:23 PM)**


Lemmie breaketh it down.....per the Lang-west....

Ahem....

"I don't know nobody (3ABN/Danny and Dem) that got with with the littlest of the big dawgs in de chach to conversate (not a word) about any of this mess except the divorce, quickie wedding, and Linda gettin' de ax...."

Ahem.....

To wit.....



Re:

No betta I could'a spoke....

Thanks for clearing this up for me.....

---

**Posted by: Eirene May 13 2007, 01:17 PM**

[quote name='Pickle' date='May 12 2007, 10:42 PM' post='195316']  
Cindy,

I'm not sure that you have established that Laird was not an inactive licensed attorney in Illinois. What you cited said that his name didn't match any names in the database. It stopped short of saying that he wasn't licensed in Illinois.

Of course it was established his license was not active in Illinois at the time of his court appearance. That is why the judge made his decision to put off the litigation until such time as Mrs. Shelton can get a "licensed" attorney in the state of Illinois. The case didn't go forward because of it.  
Pickle ,don't even pretend you don't know the details of what happened. It isn't like you 4 aren't in contact with each other.

---

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 13 2007, 07:32 PM**

Wasn't certified/licensed/"authorized" at the time of the trial.

Okay.


Is he now? Did he do something that the city/state/USA would "invalidate" him as a lawyer?

No.

Let it go.



He's certified/licensed/"authorized" at this time.



---

**Posted by: princessdi May 13 2007, 10:07 PM**

Just some other "clarification"...it was not Aletheia's business to be getting info from anywhere! Taht is the problem. Not your son missing, not your business. You were aksed to pray, that was your business.

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ May 12 2007, 02:53 AM)**

Clarification.

I did not get my info from a website. I only got the contact information from the website. I emailed the Illinois stste police, and then called the Mississippi State police. They both said "No missing report was filed for a Nathan Moore"

Argue with the State Police, as to why they disagree with L.S. as allegedly quoted by sonshineonme.

---

**Posted by: IMM May 14 2007, 12:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ May 13 2007, 11:07 PM)**

Just some other "clarification"...it was not Aletheia's business to be getting info from anywhere! Taht is the problem. Not your son missing, not your business. You were aksed to pray, that was your business.

ITA!


---

**Posted by: Snoopy Jun 2 2007, 10:17 PM**

---

**QUOTE(runner4him @ May 9 2007, 10:08 AM)**

Aletheia, Aletheia....I just had to comment on your harsh reactions to the posts regarding Linda's missing son. It amazes me how you can continue to attack everyone who is expressing concern about a missing child...yes, an adult but still he is someone's child. Please stop and think about your words and I would ask you to rethink your approach. I do not know you but you are coming across in a mean spirited way **and you are losing any credibility that you might have had in the past before I joined this forum...**I have not been impressed with your posts. Why would you be suspicious of where the missing person's report might be filed? I want to think the best of you and your motives but you are making it very difficult. Prayer is vital...not only for a missing son or daughter but for the lost children of Israel....may God bless and may none of us lose our way.

Don't worry, Runner....she didn't have much credibility in the past, either... 

---

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)  
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)