

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ [Http://savegailon.blogspot.com/](http://savegailon.blogspot.com/)

Posted by: Whtz Happenin May 23 2007, 07:42 PM

This looks like a spoof on www.save3abn.com.


<http://savegailon.blogspot.com/>

This is extremely sad for some to be this desperate. This site causes me to miss the DS supporters that have been banned here. They would not stoop this low.

At first this site to me was almost laughable, because of how off the wall it is and that it sure does not help defend 3ABN. But the more I think about them poking fun of Duane, Roger, Brad....., the more anger it stirs up.

I am going to stop with that before I get too worked up.

Posted by: mozart May 23 2007, 08:04 PM

i wouldn't put it past them. sounds like the devil's handywork. i'm not even gonna go look. not werf me time. 

QUOTE(Whtz Happenin @ May 23 2007, 07:42 PM)

This looks like a spoof on www.save3abn.com.




<http://savegailon.blogspot.com/>

This is extremely sad for some to be this desperate. This site causes me to miss the DS supporters that have been banned here. They would not stoop this low.

At first this site to me was almost laughable, because of how off the wall it is and that it sure does not help defend 3ABN. But the more I think about them poking fun of Duane, Roger, Brad....., the more anger it stirs up.

I am going to stop with that before I get too worked up.

Posted by: Grith May 23 2007, 09:06 PM

I'm just curious, so I went and took a glance. I didn't read through, just skimmed and that minute amount I found thoroughly disgusting. There's a lot of mockery and name calling. The language if foul. It belittles GJ and BSDA. (I wonder if what is posted there about Gailon Joy would qualify as libel?) Will the Dannyscribes and/or DS himself repudiate any association or connection to this dispicable site? Or is it possible that it has been set up with their knowledge and/or approval?   

WH, how did you come across this site? Is it being "advertised" someplace?

Posted by: beartrap May 23 2007, 09:28 PM

Wow! I don't envy Danny or 3ABN that kind of defense.

Posted by: Rosyroi May 23 2007, 09:34 PM

I read the whole thing. IMHO it is just a lot of silly garbage that doesn't say much but packed full of lies attempting to put down a lot of people.

Did you notice the words "The Anointed One"?

And using gangsta language??? In my opinion this is a feeble attempt to attack the intelligent

BSDA members below the belt.

Great big

From what I understand in this forum, there are a LOT of very very intelligent extremely well educated with high position people posting in this forum.

Who in the world is Brother LeRoy?

Carry on...wwjd, alethia, eirene,...etc...

JMO

Rosyroi

Posted by: Artiste May 23 2007, 10:33 PM

Rosyroi May 23 2007, 07:34 PM

I read the whole thing. IMHO it is just a lot of silly garbage that doesn't say much but packed full of lies attempting to put down a lot of people.

Did you notice the words "The Anointed One"?

And using gangsta language??? In my opinion this is a feeble attempt to attack the intelligent

BSDA members below the belt.

Great big

From what I understand in this forum, there are a LOT of very very intelligent extremely well educated with high position people posting in this forum.

Who in the world is Brother LeRoy?

Carry on...wwjd, alethia, eirene,...etc...

Aside from the unpleasant language and the note at the bottom that Seventh-day Adventists might be posting there (which does not make SDAs look good at all), the site might be helpful in a way.

There are no less than 10 links on the site that point to Save3ABN.com and 5 mentions of the web site name itself.

...remember...no such thing as "bad" publicity...

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 23 2007, 10:43 PM

Wow. I wish I were shocked, but having been on this board with the danny fingers, it looks like they're poking around somewhere else. Clearly the work of the same folks. I guess they wanted a one-way conversation.

Before I had accused them of being on the payroll of 3ABN. But this blog does not work to support 3ABN. Kinda ... dirty. Sheesh. Is this the best they can do?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 23 2007, 10:43 PM

I read it all. While in some ways it is over the top in bad taste, it nonetheless certainly sums up the attitude we heard post after post here before those representing Danny were escorted out of the door. It isn't surprising at all that the www.savegailon.blogspot.com would take the view of the issues that it does, for this is how they truly believe.

1. Danny, "The Anointed" good (no matter what sins he has covered up by influence or intimidation, and no matter the sins he has committed himself)
2. Tommy "forgiven" (even though he has never apologized to most of his alleged victims or offered any restitution or help in healing)(Hey, none were actually sodomized after all so how can they claim abuse!)
3. Linda and her children "EVIL"
4. Alleged victims "EVIL"

By their lame attempts at sounding "black" I am inclined to believe that the authors of the site are most likely caucasian with ingrained condescending attitudes towards people of color.

What the derogatory site has accomplished, IMO, is it has made quite clear the prevailing attitude of Danny and those blinded by his image and power.

It is just a shame that Scripture and Ellen White comments were included on this unChristian offering.

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 23 2007, 10:56 PM

Well, I tried to comment, and of course the comments are moderated. I don't expect them to publish what I wrote. But if enough of us wrote shaming comments and tried to post them, maybe they'd realize how foolish (in the biblical sense) they appear.

And I'm sure they're reading this, so hey dannyfingers--you ought to know that what you've written there is way more defamatory/slandorous than anything on save3abn.com. And you bring shame to the cause of Christ.

Posted by: Johann May 23 2007, 10:56 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 24 2007, 06:43 AM)

I read it all. While in some ways it is over the top in bad taste, it nonetheless certainly sums up the attitude we heard post after post here before those representing Danny were escorted out of the door. It isn't surprising at all that the www.savegailon.blogspot.com would take the view of the issues that it does, for this is how they truly believe.

1. Danny, "The Anointed" good (no matter what sins he has covered up by influence or intimidation, and no matter the sins he has committed himself)
2. Tommy "forgiven" (even though he has never apologized to most of his alleged victims or offered any restitution or help in healing)(Hey, none were actually sodomized after all so how can they claim abuse!)
3. Linda and her children "EVIL"
4. Alleged victims "EVIL"

By their lame attempts at sounding "black" I am inclined to believe that the authors of the site are most likely caucasian with ingrained condescending attitudes towards people of color.

What the derogatory site has accomplished, IMO, is it has made quite clear the prevailing attitude of Danny and those blinded by his image and power.

It is just a shame that Scripture and Ellen White comments were included on this unChristian offering.

imen!

Posted by: beartrap May 24 2007, 12:07 AM

One would almost think that Brother Leroy was someone who does NOT like Danny or 3ABN. This blog, and especially the words of Leroy are very damaging to the credibility and integrity of Danny and 3ABN if they represent them. But, as some have said, Leroy seems to be very representative of the attitude of Bystander, WWJD, Eirene and many others who were once amongst us. He also is very representative of people who "have not been posting on this site" who some of us know quite well.

Perhaps Steffan, or some other representative of Danny and 3ABN will consider repudiating the site and what has been said there. No man with any integrity would allow that to be publically said of his ex, and her children without jumping in to stop it, regardless what had happened between them.

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 12:43 AM

QUOTE(beartrap @ May 24 2007, 02:07 AM)

Perhaps Steffan, or some other representative of Danny and 3ABN will consider repudiating the site and what has been said there. No man with any integrity would allow that to be publically said of his ex, and her children without jumping in to stop it, regardless what had happened between them.

Considering what steffan said in his post last night regarding correcting rumors and errors:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12592&view=findpost&p=196453>

will certainly be interested in his response to the blog.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee May 24 2007, 02:18 AM

QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ May 23 2007, 07:42 PM) □

This looks like a spoof on www.save3abn.com.

<http://savegailon.blogspot.com/>

This is extremely sad for some to be this desperate. This site causes me to miss the DS supporters that have been banned here. They would not stoop this low.

At first this site to me was almost laughable, because of how off the wall it is and that it sure does not help defend 3ABN. But the more I think about them poking fun of Duane, Roger, Brad....., the more anger it stirs up.

I am going to stop with that before I get too worked up.

All I can say is that if Danny Shelton's cronies have to resort to these kinds of tactics, they must REALLY be desperate. It's just a last ditch effort to get me and others to be silent.

Sorry, dannyscribes, it's not going to work. In fact, maybe I should tell even more. I do have more emails I haven't released yet. Would you like those to be posted, too?

Once again, no names are signed. Things are attributed to me and others that we never said. That makes the site's author a coward AND a liar.

At least Gailon paid for a domain name. He didn't leech off of a free site. How cheap can you get?

Danny, are you man enough to publicly distance yourself from this site, or are you in the same category as the blogger? I think we already know the answer to that one.

BTW, any new word on the merger ploy?

Posted by: daylily May 24 2007, 04:13 AM

I read it and found it disgusting. I can't decide if it is meant to be an irony or if, as PB suggested, they believe what they say and made feeble attempts to sound "black". I guess I can't believe that any intelligent person would post such stuff in such a ridiculous way and think it would HELP 3ABN! But then everybody is not intelligent.

Daylily

Posted by: Shepherdswife May 24 2007, 05:21 AM

The bits I read sound vaguely familiar---same wording, same sentence structure, same method of taking events and twisting them to make them look ludicrous. Yup, I think the "banned ones" decided to get their own place where Clay and others couldn't tell them what to post! □

But I too think it will backfire. Any intelligent person who knows anything about abuse and victimization of any sort will be sickened and appalled by what they have posted. If I did not have enough evidence already that these people are sick enough to do what they have been accused of, this certainly threatens to tip the scales... □

They have spoofed the whole first page of save3abn.com, just changing the names to turn it around backwards, then gone downhill from there. But like you said, they are not man/woman enough to post their name...

Of course, that also gives them deniability, so they get to say what they want, then claim that someone else put it up there. □

shepherdswife

Posted by: Clay May 24 2007, 05:51 AM

I skimmed it..... nothing worse than a white person tryin to sound and write as if they are black.....
secondly, it is apparent that it is not only Danny who has a problem with people of color.... as we stated
earlier, just because you have blacks working for you does not mean you believe that they are equal....
lastly, that site is further proof that the color issue remains a serious problem in the U.S. and lies just
under the surface of the fake smiles worn by some.....

Posted by: FineArt May 24 2007, 06:21 AM

I found this interesting:

"Yet the ignoring of these allegations could potentially:

Cause Joy to spend eternity suffering in Hell (see Revelation 21:8)

Cause all those who love Joy's lies to join him in Hell for eternity"
Seems if they are going to defend 3ABN and the truth, they should know what 3ABN teaches about the
"state of the dead"

Also the proof that Linda was unfaithful is still based on telephone conversation.

They are reaching farrrrrrr.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 07:13 AM

When we were informed about this blog last night it brought to mind a post made here back in March by
Whtz Happenin:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12885&view=findpost&p=184878>

**"FHB, If this is keeping you up at night and you feel this strong about it, I suggest that you
start your own website, possibly www.savepickle.com. "**

I am not suggesting that the author of this blog is FHB for I could never imagine him creating such a
thing, only that someone seems to have taken Whtz's suggestion seriously.

I received a PM last night from one of the group but, as these are confidential, I am not at liberty to pass
on what was stated. So, I join those who are waiting for Danny's supporters to post their opinions here
about <http://savegailon.blogspot.com/> .

Posted by: Pickle May 24 2007, 08:19 AM

Hmm. Do you think Danny LEE Shelton knows Brother LEroy?

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 23 2007, 10:56 PM)

Well, I tried to comment, and of course the comments are moderated. I don't expect them to publish what
I wrote. But if enough of us wrote shaming comments and tried to post them, maybe they'd realize how
foolish (in the biblical sense) they appear.

I wonder if "Joy Boy" would publish your comments on <http://www.Save3ABN.com/> if Brother Leroy doesn't?

If anyone has a copy of what the site looked like last night, please send it my way. I think it's different this morning.

A fellow just called me and said he thinks the author might be Tommy, given the views expressed about hellfire.

I think it would be quite helpful for a lot of people to call 3ABN and ask them if they endorse the SaveGailon site.

Posted by: caribbean sda May 24 2007, 08:39 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 24 2007, 07:51 AM)

I skimmed it..... nothing worse than a white person tryin to sound and write as if they are black.... secondly, it is apparent that it is not only Danny who has a problem with people of color.... as we stated earlier, just because you have blacks working for you does not mean you believe that they are equal.... lastly, that site is further proof that the color issue remains a serious problem in the U.S. and lies just under the surface of the fake smiles worn by some.....

That blog serves no purpose in my opinion. It if wasn't so pathetic, it would be funny. Why hide behind Bro. Leroy, show your true color!

Posted by: Shepherdswife May 24 2007, 08:55 AM

QUOTE(caribbean sda @ May 24 2007, 09:39 AM)

That blog serves no purpose in my opinion. It if wasn't so pathetic, it would be funny. Why hide behind Bro. Leroy, show your true color!

Notice that any people who respond are asked to give initials and the home state, at the least. That they do not post anonymous notes. Hello? The whole blog is anonymous!! The profile has nothing that identifies the writer--name, location, anything!

Posted by: calvin May 24 2007, 08:59 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 23 2007, 10:56 PM)

Well, I tried to comment, and of course the comments are moderated. I don't expect them to publish what I wrote. **But if enough of us wrote shaming comments and tried to post them, maybe they'd realize how foolish (in the biblical sense) they appear.**

And I'm sure they're reading this, so hey dannyfingers--you ought to know that what you've written there is way more defamatory/slanderous than anything on save3abn.com. And you bring shame to the cause of Christ.

I would not dignify this website by posting any comments on it.

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 09:13 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ May 24 2007, 09:59 AM)

I would not dignify this website by posting any comments on it.

Totally agree with you Calvin but I was sure tempted.....

QUOTE(caribbean sda @ May 24 2007, 10:39 AM)

That blog serves no purpose in my opinion. It if wasn't so pathetic, it would be funny. Why hide behind Bro. Leroy, show your true color!

BTW, I have seen funnier blogs regarding 3abn.....

nw
C"i"

Posted by: caribbean sda May 24 2007, 09:19 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ May 24 2007, 10:59 AM)

I would not dignify this website by posting any comments on it.

me and you, boss!

Posted by: Pickle May 24 2007, 09:59 AM

Judging from the following, I would say that the man behind the blog site is Mr. "Alfred Smith" (or an associate of his), who wrote to Joy on May 4, 7, 11, and 14, and twice on May 16.

So where is Mr. Smith located? It appears that he may be from Germany or somewhere in that vicinity. I asked Johann if he knows of anyone over there that might write like this, and he didn't know of anyone.

Some of the language used in Smith's emails is also used on the blog site.

QUOTE(How It Currently Reads)

A Little History of the Current Crisis

By early March 2004, 3ABN co-founder Linda Shelton was betraying the trust of her husband and 3ABN co-founder Danny Shelton by having an inappropriate, long-distance relationship with a doctor in Norway. This resulted in her being placed on administrative leave and eventually being terminated in disgrace and divorced. The reason was simple: she was accused of being unfaithful to her husband, and of having

committed adultery.

Was she really unfaithful? Most marital vows involve a commitment to help the spouse until death parts the pair.

And Linda? She put out a lot of dirt to the likes of internet gadly and busybody Gailon Joy. That's unfaithfulness.

Linda Shelton and Gailon Joy have spread lies, gossip, and innuendo while employed by Satan.

These actions brought about the launch of this website.

QUOTE(How It Read on May 16)

A Little History of the Current Crisis

By early March 2004, 3ABN co-founder Linda Shelton was betraying the trust of her husband and 3ABN co-founder Danny Shelton by having an inappropriate, long-distance relationship with a doctor in Norway. This resulted in her being placed on administrative leave and eventually being terminated in disgrace and divorced. The reason was simple: she was accused of being unfaithful to her husband, and of having committed adultery.

Was she really unfaithful? Most marital vows involve a commitment to help the spouse until death parts the pair.

And Linda? She put out a lot of dirt to the likes of internet gadly and busybody Gailon Joy. That's unfaithfulness.

Gay activist Joy agrees, stating at save3abn.com, "Even if Linda was guilty as charged".

In a series of May, 2007, emails, Joy was confronted with his falsehoods. Joy has been unable to respond.

These actions on Joy's part brought about the launch of this website.

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 24 2007, 10:32 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ May 24 2007, 07:59 AM)


I would not dignify this website by posting any comments on it.

Well, fair enough. It *is* an embarassment to humanity, not to mention the church.


But I'm fairly convinced that my unpublished comment to them last night resulted in some of the changes I saw this morning.

Posted by: princessdi May 24 2007, 10:32 AM

Ok, so, I just looked at this website....I believe it was Aletheia who was trying to convince somebody that Danny and that whole southern IL bunch are not racist? Steffan, FHB, Danny somebody needs to say something like, "this is a ridiculous spoof, and joke in poor taste, before I start calling folks.....NAACP comes to mind right about now, but I am sure there are others and the media really loves race stories....make that denominational race stories. The church is too closely tied to 3ABN not to be dubbed

racist, right along with them. I give you all a few days to respond, but I am alerting at least one organization ASAP..... 

Posted by: sonshineonme May 24 2007, 10:41 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ May 24 2007, 08:59 AM) 

Judging from the following, I would say that the man behind the blog site is Mr. "Alfred Smith" (or an associate of his), who wrote to Joy on May 4, 7, 11, and 14, and twice on May 16.


So where is Mr. Smith located? It appears that he may be from Germany or somewhere in that vicinity. I asked Johann if he knows of anyone over there that might write like this, and he didn't know of anyone.


Some of the language used in Smith's emails is also used on the blog site.


So, yesterday, the 16th, it included this "In a series of May, 2007, emails, Joy was confronted with his falsehoods. Joy has been unable to respond." and now it does not?

Why remove it? How was Joy "UNABLE TO RESPOND"? Does this make sense? Gailon, unable?

Guess they have forgotten that we copy and save their comments for possible future "reference".

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 24 2007, 09:32 AM) 

Ok, so, I just looked at this website....I believe it was Aletheia who was trying to convince somebody that Danny and that whole southern IL bunch are not racist? Steffan, FHB, Danny somebody needs to say something like, "this is a ridiculous spoof, and joke in poor taste, before I start calling folks....NAACP comes to mind right about now, but I am sure there are others and the media really loves race stories....make that denominational race stories. The church is too closely tied to 3ABN not to be dubbed racist, right along with them. I give you all a few days to respond, but I am alerting at least one organization ASAP..... 

Lets see....EEOC....hmm....think they are watching? 

Some things came to mind while looking at this new website of Mr Leroy's...is this "desperation"?

Des·per·a·tion (dsp-rshn)

Desperation - desperate recklessness; "it was a policy of desperation"

Related words : foolhardiness,recklessness,rashness, thoughtlessness, tactlessness, impulsiveness

fool·har·dy (flhärd)

Adj. Fool·har·di·ER, fool·har·di·EST

Unwisely bold or venturesome; rash. Foolishly brave.

Synonyms impetuous, headlong, heedless, incautious.

reck·less (rkls)

Adj.

1. A. Heedless or careless.
b. Headstrong; rash.
2. Indifferent to or disregarding of consequences: *I.e. A reckless blogger or poster*

rash (rsh)

Adj. Rash·ER, rash·EST

1. Characterized by or resulting from ill-considered haste or boldness.

thought-less (thôtlis)

The trait of not thinking carefully before acting -

1. Marked by or showing lack of due thought or care; careless.
2. Inconsiderate; inattentive: *I.e. Thoughtless remarks.*
3. Lacking thought: *I.e. The debate turned into thoughtless bickering.*

tact-less (tktlis)

Adj.

Lacking or exhibiting a lack of tact; bluntly inconsiderate or indiscreet.

im-pul-sive (m-plsv)

Adj.

1. Inclined to act on impulse rather than thought.



Posted by: YogusBearus May 24 2007, 11:11 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 24 2007, 11:41 AM) □

Guess they have forgotten that we copy and save their comments for possible future "reference".

ROFL!

No, I don't think anyone has forgotten that everything is copied and saved...


Seriously, does anyone truly care about this new site? Should we really be surprised?

-bear

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee May 24 2007, 11:14 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 24 2007, 11:32 AM) □

Ok, so, I just looked at this website....I believe it was Aletheia who was trying to convince somebody that Danny and that whole southern IL bunch are not racist? Steffan, FHB, Danny somebody needs to say something like, "this is a ridiculous spoof, and joke in poor taste, before I start calling folks.....NAACP

comes to mind right about now, but I am sure there are others and the media really loves race stories....make that denominational race stories. The church is too closely tied to 3ABN not to be dubbed racist, right along with them. I give you all a few days to respond, but I am alerting at least one organization ASAP..... 

just forwarded the link to several people on my email list. If the author of this blog wants hits, I can certainly supply them.

Danny, how many more people should I forward this link to?


Posted by: watchbird May 24 2007, 11:22 AM

QUOTE(caribbean sda @ May 24 2007, 10:39 AM)

That blog serves no purpose in my opinion. It if wasn't so pathetic, it would be funny. Why hide behind Bro. Leroy, show your true color!

Serves no purpose? Of COURSE it serves a purpose.... are you forgetting that the owner of a site can trace identities through the automatic information that they get whenever anyone even *visits* the site?

QUOTE(Shepherdswife @ May 24 2007, 10:55 AM)

Notice that any people who respond are asked to give initials and the home state, at the least. That they do not post anonymous notes. Hello? The whole blog is anonymous!! The profile has nothing that identifies the writer--name, location, anything! 

And with the added information of initials and home state.... a good computer sleuth can come very close to pinpointing the exact identity of anyone who shows up on their activity log. "They" may be anonymous to readers and writers... but to whoever is owner... and to whoever the owner gives administrative privileges.... anonymity is seriously compromised... if not completely wiped out.

Posted by: sonshineonme May 24 2007, 11:23 AM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 10:11 AM)

ROFL!


No, I don't think anyone has forgotten that everything is copied and saved...

Seriously, does anyone truly care about this new site? Should we really be surprised?

-bear

Oh, I think for some in their rash impulse to be desperate, foolhardy and therefore reckless, I think they forget some things...that's usually what comes around to haunt them. So it goes (again).

Care, I would not say I *care* as it is far more interesting just to see their next attempt to spread the "truth" around.

Surprised, me, nope.  Nothing much on this planet surprises me anymore.

Posted by: watchbird May 24 2007, 11:28 AM


QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 01:11 PM) 

ROFL!

No, I don't think anyone has forgotten that everything is copied and saved...

Seriously, does anyone truly care about this new site? Should we really be surprised?

-bear

Just be sure you don't fall into a trap while you are ROF... 

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ May 24 2007, 01:14 PM) 

I just forwarded the link to several people on my email list. If the author of this blog wants hits, I can certainly supply them.

Danny, how many more people should I forward this link to?

I'm sure he wants hits. Do the people to whom you are forwarding links care if their identity is known? If not, then perhaps there is no problem. But if they want to stay under the radar they had best take the precaution of using a tight pseudonym and a very public "internet cafe" for their access.

AND if what I have been told about the type of invasive software that has been sometimes used to penetrate and examine a computer is correct... something like the software that allows your technician to remotely control and work on you computer at a distance.... except the invasive software does it's job without your express permission..... then perhaps a whole lot more caution is called for than is being exercised currently.

Posted by: FineArt May 24 2007, 11:33 AM

So what can they do to those that visit thier new web site? How are we in jepordy?

Posted by: Pickle May 24 2007, 11:53 AM

Watchbird,

I don't use MS browsers, so it shouldn't cause me any problems.

QUOTE(Save Gailon site)

Monday, 21 May 2007

RUMORS NOT EVIDENCE - PUT UP OR SHUT UP

Notice how the following email using the same language was sent just five days earlier.

QUOTE(Alfred Smith)

----- Original Message -----

Subject: you may be a hypocrite

Date: Wed, 16 May 2007 00:16:09 -0700 (PDT)

From: avro avro

To: aureporter

You claim Shelton is not transparent, and open, and does not respond to correspondence.

But how is it with Gailon? He can't post critical correspondence on his website and does not respond to correspondence. Is this not gross hypocrisy?

So, maybe the rest of your allegations are lies.

You have an opportunity to respond to these questions. If you don't, I must conclude that you engage in baseless fabrication and general lying.

For all occasions on which you allege Tommy Shelton engaged in sodomy, state date, time, place, name of all parties to the perversion, and identify all witnesses, and state whether it was **** or ****.

You allege that furniture was diverted from the 3abn studio to Danny's private house.

On what date did this occur?

What was the 3abn purchase order number?

What was the dollar amount?

You allege that 3abn paid money to a local school for sport equipment.

On what dates did this occur?

What were the 3abn check numbers used for the payment(s)?

Describe in detail the sport equipment paid for.

You can put up or shut up. And if you can't provide any support for your scandalous allegations? Maybe I can help you.

Alfred Smith

So, does 3ABN consider Smith to be a friend or foe, a valuable ally or a kook?

Posted by: YogusBearus May 24 2007, 12:00 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ May 24 2007, 12:28 PM)

Just be sure you don't fall into a trap while you are ROF...

I'm sure he wants hits. Do the people to whom you are forwarding links care if their identity is known? If not, then perhaps there is no problem. But if they want to stay under the radar they had best take the precaution of using a tight pseudonym and a very public "internet cafe" for their access.

AND if what I have been told about the type of invasive software that has been sometimes used to penetrate and examine a computer is correct... something like the software that allows your technician to remotely control and work on you computer at a distance.... except the invasive software does it's job

without your express permission..... then perhaps a whole lot more caution is called for than is being exercised currently.

and don't forget the potential for Jesuit infiltration while you're at it....



amused bear

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 24 2007, 12:12 PM

Well, a pseudonym doesn't hurt. The Internet can be dangerous, and if Danny & Co are capable of hiring private investigators to follow Linda, Arild and Johann around, wouldn't they be capable of trying to track down some of the more vigorous posters on blacksda ... to serve with papers?

I'm not hard to find...

Those who know Danny would say all this and more is possible. It doesn't hurt to take normal Internet precautions.

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 11:00 AM)

and don't forget the potential for Jesuit infiltration while you're at it....

stage whisper Do you think they know about me yet?

Posted by: mozart May 24 2007, 12:12 PM

Maybe I'm missing something, but I don't know why you guys are even taking this seriously enough to talk about it. Sounds like a juvenile prank to me and they are probably getting a kick out of the responses here.

QUOTE(calvin @ May 24 2007, 08:59 AM)

I would not dignify this website by posting any comments on it.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 01:13 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ May 24 2007, 09:22 AM)

"Serves no purpose"? Of COURSE it serves a purpose.... are you forgetting that the owner of a site can trace identities through the automatic information that they get whenever anyone even *visits* the site?

And with the added information of initials and home state.... a good computer sleuth can come very close



to pinpointing the exact identity of anyone who shows up on their activity log. "They" may be anonymous to readers and writers... but to whoever is owner... and to whoever the owner gives administrative privileges.... anonymity is seriously compromised... if not completely wiped out.

VB,

3logger is the owner of the site. They just make individual blog space available for folks, as users, to develop heir own blogs. I highly doubt that they give these folks the ability to collect such info on their visitors, but I im not the most technology savvy person around. Far from it.

'B

Posted by: sonshineonme May 24 2007, 01:22 PM

Might this be a spoof, joke or someones insane way of getting some point across? Could be.....  They are probably enJOYing (sorry ) all the reaction they are getting.

Posted by: awesumtenor May 24 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 02:00 PM) 

and don't forget the potential for Jesuit infiltration while you're at....



-amused bear

of course.... the pope is ultimately at the root of every possible conspiracy... the fact that we have met the enemy and he is us notwithstanding...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: awesumtenor May 24 2007, 02:12 PM

I suppose if this doesn't work, they'll break out the blackface and the Rochester and Amos n' Andy imitations... along with the fried chicken and watermelon of course...

And these are people claiming to be Christians... sad.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: beartrap May 24 2007, 02:21 PM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 11:00 AM) 

and don't forget the potential for Jesuit infiltration while you're at....






-amused bear

'es, those darned old Jesuits, and don't forget (dramatic pause)... the Masons. 3ABN keeps asking for dollars and have you seen what is on the dollar? A Masonic symbol. Oh, and someone has to ride the red wago... I mean dragon and drink the wine, could it be "da ho" of savegailon whose "methhead (satanist)"progeny spring forth from "previous affairs" that she had with her husband? Ahh... That son of Belial, Joy, will surely have his "*** smote" for having messed with "the Most High" in the person of Danny Shelton, the "ultimate playa." (BTW, "playa" is the Spanish word for "beach.")

Another amused bear

Posted by: mozart May 24 2007, 02:25 PM



QUOTE(beartrap @ May 24 2007, 02:21 PM)

Yes, those darned old Jesuits, and don't forget (dramatic pause)... the Masons. 3ABN keeps asking for dollars and have you seen what is on the dollar? A Masonic symbol. Oh, and someone has to ride the red wago... I mean dragon and drink the wine, could it be "da ho" of savegailon whose "methhead (satanist)"progeny spring forth from "previous affairs" that she had with her husband? Ahh... That son of Belial, Joy, will surely have his "*** smote" for having messed with "the Most High" in the person of Danny Shelton, the "ultimate playa." (BTW, "playa" is the Spanish word for "beach.")

Another amused bear

Posted by: LaurenceD May 24 2007, 02:46 PM

I haven't seen the site, but it kinda sounds like that crazy letter that was written to save3abn a month or so ago...if you remember. Maybe someone is trying to make the abandoned memebbers or 3abn look nuttier than they really are Probably not, but in this crazy world, where right and proper is often stood on its head, you never know.

Posted by: FineArt May 24 2007, 03:01 PM

what is bothering me is they are doing this with the words of scripture and quotes from SOP on their tongue.

Don't they know that "every word will come into judgement"?

I guess when they get deperate.....children throw tantrums!



Posted by: princessdi May 24 2007, 03:31 PM



Can't take those bears anywhere!

QUOTE(beartrap @ May 24 2007, 01:21 PM)

Yes, those darned old Jesuits, and don't forget (dramatic pause)... the Masons. 3ABN keeps asking for dollars and have you seen what is on the dollar? A Masonic symbol. Oh, and someone has to ride the red wago... I mean dragon and drink the wine, could it be "da ho" of savegailon whose "methhead (satanist)" progeny spring forth from "previous affairs" that she had with her husband? Ahh... That son of Belial, Joy, will surely have his "*** smote" for having messed with "the Most High" in the person of Danny Shelton, the "ultimate playa." (BTW, "playa" is the Spanish word for "beach.")

Another amused bear

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 03:37 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 24 2007, 05:31 PM)



Can't take those bears anywhere!



Noticed that Di!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 06:14 PM

This is the one and only comment I saw posted on this blog, and it appeared at the end:


QUOTE

Anonymous said...
Good perspective and great supporting Bible texts! Finally someone is getting 'it' right.
Thankyou for this site. God bless you.
Lydia S

17 May 2007 05:49

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 06:37 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ May 24 2007, 09:28 AM)

Just be sure you don't fall into a trap while you are ROF.... 

I'm sure he wants hits. Do the people to whom you are forwarding links care if their identity is known? If

not, then perhaps there is no problem. But if they want to stay under the radar they had best take the precaution of using a tight pseudonym and a very public "internet cafe" for their access.

AND if what I have been told about the type of invasive software that has been sometimes used to penetrate and examine a computer is correct... something like the software that allows your technician to remotely control and work on you computer at a distance.... except the invasive software does it's job without your express permission..... then perhaps a whole lot more caution is called for than is being exercised currently.

VB,

hope all will check out the link below that describes "RATs" or Remote Access Trojans.

<http://www.microsoft.com/protect/computer/viruses/rats.msp>

It was of interest to me that the article stated that it is software that is used by Criminals.

Although it may be an unlikely object of the savegailon blog, let's not get in a hurry to dismiss WB's warning. You just never know....

Posted by: Pickle May 24 2007, 09:40 PM

Sometime between May 16 and today, Brother Leroy wrote the following:

QUOTE(SaveGailon.blogspot.com)

Linda Shelton and Gailon Joy have spread lies, gossip, and innuendo while **employed by Satan.**

On May 11 "Alfred Smith" wrote the following:

QUOTE

----- Original Message -----

Subject: "gailon's" cowardly sniping

Date: Fri, 11 May 2007 08:42:53 -0700 (PDT)

From: avro avro

To: aureporter

I have conducted a little investigation into who you work for. I didn't need to waste any money on private detectives either, because the Bible gave it away.

It told me that Satan is the "accuser of the brethren". See Rev. 12:10.

So you accuse the brethren. You even do it "night and day". With some rather flimsy accusations.

I was unable to determine if you work for him full time, or just on a free-lance basis.

But it really doesn't make any difference. You are still on the express to perdition. There you will spend eternity with your boss.

Alfred Smith

p.s. The Bible talks a lot about forgiveness. It seems that you don't forgive the Sheltons for things they may never have even done. Anyone can make mistakes. Christians forgive. And Satanists, like Gailon Arthur Joy, are busy doing the work of their father.

John 8:44 (King James Version)

Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the

beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it.

p.s.s. I have reviewed your allegations concerning Tommy, and that's all they are -- just allegations. Unsigned. No notarized affidavits to be seen. Do you expect anyone to believe you? And if he has strayed? Well, so what. The Lord can forgive sins like that. Even if Gaylon Arthur Joy cannot.

And lastly, if you think Linda Shelton is such a catch, take her. I understand she got tired of shacking with the doctor in Norway, and is now available.

By the way, I am doubling my contributions to 3abn, as I believe the world has to hear the message. While 3abn is not perfect, who hasn't made a mistake or two, now and then?

Posted by: YogusBearus May 24 2007, 10:24 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 24 2007, 06:37 PM) □

WB,

I hope all will check out the link below that describes "RATs" or Remote Access Trojans.

<http://www.microsoft.com/protect/computer/viruses/rats.msp>

It was of interest to me that the article stated that it is software that is used by Criminals.

Although it may be an unlikely object of the savegailon blog, let's not get in a hurry to dismiss WB's warning. You just never know....

'B, nobody is dismissing WB or her warning. It truly is nasty on the net. That's why we purchase all that protection and are careful about where we go and what we download.

'es, your IP can be tracked quite easily. It seems to me that isn't an issue unless a person is afraid for reasons other than just visiting a web site or even posting on one. Maybe we need to distinguish between the potential general cyber threats out there and those that are primarily concerned that their identity being discovered and the potential for inclusion in McDanny's little legal game.

Does that make sense?

bear

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 10:29 PM

Alliot Vernon Roe designed a lightweight aircraft in 1913, the Avro 540, that appears to be the first plane developed to use as bombers by the A. V. Roe company in the U.K. The company was responsible for building bombers that were used extensively until 1945. Each plane took it's first name from the original designer, Alliot Vernon Roe, or Avro for short.

It is of interest to me that the "avro avro" appears in the From line of the email above. I suppose this fellow fancies himself a bomber of sorts.

Just a bit of trivia I thought would be fun...

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 24 2007, 09:24 PM)

PB, nobody is dismissing WB or her warning. It truly is nasty on the net. That's why we purchase all that protection and are careful about where we go and what we download.

Yes, your IP can be tracked quite easily. It seems to me that isn't an issue unless a person is afraid for reasons other than just visiting a web site or even posting on one. Maybe we need to distinguish between the potential general cyber threats out there and those that are primarily concerned that their identity being discovered and the potential for inclusion in McDanny's little legal game.

Does that make sense?

-bear

bear,

Well, actually I was sort of dismissing WB's warning because I didn't think a blog would be a problem. Then I found the RATs description.

Yes what you say does make sense! Now, how do we distinguish who is at risk of inclusion?



Posted by: Skyhook May 24 2007, 10:51 PM

PB, I am very impressed! Who else in the world would happen to have that obscure bit of information?

And where else would anyone have paid any attention to it? Yep, this aint Sabbath School.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 25 2007, 01:09 AM

QUOTE(Skyhook @ May 24 2007, 09:51 PM)

PB, I am very impressed! Who else in the world would happen to have that obscure bit of information? And where else would anyone have paid any attention to it? Yep, this aint Sabbath School.



'Tis Google that deserves the credit. I just typed in "avro avro" and started looking at the results.

Posted by: Clay May 25 2007, 04:48 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 24 2007, 11:41 PM)

bear,

Well, actually I was sort of dismissing WB's warning because I didn't think a blog would be a problem. Then I found the RATs description.

Yes what you say does make sense! Now, how do we distinguish who is at risk of inclusion?



A blog isn't a problem... unless you start downloading stuff from that site... if you are not opening attachments, or downloading from a place you don't know, you aren't gonna catch a virus.... just make sure your firewall is in place and your anti-virus software is current and you will be fine...


Posted by: Noahswife May 25 2007, 05:47 AM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ May 25 2007, 12:24 AM)

the potential for inclusion in McDanny's little legal game.

-bear

McDanny? You didn't really say that.....



Hey Di



What were you saying about those bears?



Posted by: sonshineonme May 25 2007, 10:35 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 25 2007, 04:47 AM)

McDanny? You didn't really say that.....



Hey Di



What were you saying about those bears?




instantly thought of Gray's when mcbear said that, and my next thought was I don't think I see mcdanny in he same catagory....now, mcbear.....

Posted by: princessdi May 25 2007, 10:37 AM

Ya' gotta luv 'em, though, NW!! McDanny!

QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 25 2007, 03:47 AM)

McDaddy? You didn't really say that.....



Hey Di h

What were you saying about those bears?



Posted by: Artiste May 31 2007, 05:46 PM

Interesting to see today's postings on this site--the little poll and all. (Something about should the dirty laundry be aired on National Enquirer.)

Also the quote from John Lomacang referring to DS as "the chosen servant of God..."

Posted by: Johann May 31 2007, 06:37 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 25 2007, 01:47 PM)

McDaddy? You didn't really say that.....



Hey Di h

What were you saying about those bears?



You better watch out on that copyright! In the kingdom of Denmark there was one upon time a fellow by the name of Allan who sold Wieners from his wagon. So some of his friends called him McAllan, and before long he put up a sign by his wagon where it said McAllan.

Everybody should know there is the giant McBurger - McDonald - and do you know what happened? The big Mc claimed he had a copyright on the McName, so he sued McAllan. McAllan claimed he had no hamburgers, and just like Pickle and Joy, lots of people felt sorry for tiny Allan and supported him financially against the giant. Believe it or not, but the judge made fun of the giant and said he didn't have a foot to stand on, so McAllan won. Unfortunately the whole thing was so hard on Allan and his family, that Allan lost his wife although he won the case. And this is a true story from Denmark.

Posted by: mozart May 31 2007, 06:51 PM

hmm.....interesting story johann. maybe we should cover that and kill two birds with one stone and use the term "GMcDanny".



QUOTE(Johann @ May 31 2007, 05:37 PM)

You better watch out on that copyright! In the kingdom of Denmark there was one upon time a fellow by the name of Allan who sold Wieners from his wagon. So some of his friends called him McAllan, and before long he put up a sign by his wagon where it said McAllan.

Everybody should know there is the giant McBurger - McDonald - and do you know what happened? The big Mc claimed he had a copyright on the McName, so he sued McAllan. McAllan claimed he had no hamburgers, and just like Pickle and Joy, lots of people felt sorry for tiny Allan and supported him financially against the giant. Believe it or not, but the judge made fun of the giant and said he didn't have a foot to stand on, so McAllan won. Unfortunately the whole thing was so hard on Allan and his family, that Allan lost his wife although he won the case. And this is a true story from Denmark.


Posted by: Rosyroi May 31 2007, 08:40 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ May 31 2007, 04:51 PM)

hmm.....interesting story johann. maybe we should cover that and kill two birds with one stone and use the term "GMcDanny".



5McDanny!!!



Thanks! I needed that.

Rosyroi

Posted by: Seraphim7 Jun 1 2007, 08:16 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ May 24 2007, 05:12 PM)

I suppose if this doesn't work, they'll break out the blackface and the Rochester and Amos n' Andy imitations... along with the fried chicken and watermelon of course...

And these are people claiming to be Christians... sad.

In His service,
Mr. J

Get out of my mind...  Let us not forget "step-n-fetchit" caricature

<http://www.ferris.edu/jimcrow/coon/>

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 1 2007, 09:20 AM

QUOTE(Seraphim7 @ Jun 1 2007, 06:16 AM)

Get out of my mind...  Let us not forget "step-n-fetchit" caricature

<http://www.ferris.edu/jimcrow/coon/>

Sera,

Great article! We all know the huge impact movies have had and still have on forming societal thought. Very sad that someone would find it acceptable to make a fortune creating a stereotype that would eventually lead to one white politician's 2007 comment that Barak Obama was "articulate".

In all fairness, though, we also have the stereotypes created of young southern white men and their law enforcement counterparts in such shows as the Dukes of Hazzard TV series. On second thought, perhaps that is more a case of art imitating life...

Posted by: princessdi Jun 1 2007, 10:50 AM

Ok so they have had 7 days to say something. I will let you all know what I find out. I will start Monday morning with a few conference officials and the NAACP.

QUOTE(princessdi @ May 24 2007, 09:32 AM)

Ok, so, I just looked at this website....I believe it was Aletheia who was trying to convince somebody that Danny and that whole southern IL bunch are not racist? Steffan, FHB, Danny somebody needs to say something like, "this is a ridiculous spoof, and joke in poor taste, before I start calling folks.....NAACP comes to mind right about now, but I am sure there are others and the media really loves race stories....make that denominational race stories. The church is too closely tied to 3ABN not to be dubbed racist, right along with them. I give you all a few days to respond, but I am alerting at least one organization ASAP.....

Posted by: Artiste Jun 1 2007, 11:41 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jun 1 2007, 08:50 AM)

Ok so they have had 7 days to say something. I will let you all know what I find out. I will start Monday morning with a few conference officials and the NAACP.

Wonderful idea!

Posted by: Seraphim7 Jun 2 2007, 12:30 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 1 2007, 12:20 PM)

Sera,

Great article! We all know the huge impact movies have had and still have on forming societal thought. Very sad that someone would find it acceptable to make a fortune creating a stereotype that would eventually lead to one white politician's 2007 comment that Barak Obama was "articulate".

In all fairness, though, **we also have the stereotypes created of young southern white men and their law enforcement counterparts in such shows as the Dukes of Hazzard TV series.** On second thought, perhaps that is more a case of art imitating life....

Though I understand the point you are attempting to make "in all fairness "WE" does not apply. And you ask, well why not? Well, because black folks did not create said shows to spoof or, caricature caucasians. Nor do I think such would be "allowed" to happen because our primary media outlets are run by the good old boys. Spike Lee notwithstanding, black folk don't have that kind of juice in mainstream media.

So, "in all fairness", y'all got this.

I know you're wondering, who is Spike Lee? Just do a google search

Posted by: SoulEspresso Jun 2 2007, 05:51 AM

QUOTE(Seraphim7 @ Jun 1 2007, 11:30 PM)

Though I understand the point you are attempting to make "in all fairness "WE" does not apply. And you ask, well why not? Well, because black folks did not create said shows to spoof or, caricature caucasians. Nor do I think such would be "allowed" to happen because our primary media outlets are run by the good old boys. Spike Lee not withstanding, black folk don't have that kind of juice in mainstream media.

So, "in all fairness", y'all got this.

Well, the Dukes of Hazzard stereotypes aren't exactly helpful. I agree that the mainstream media is by-and-large racist, but they also tend to portray poor whites in a very negative light as well. Could it be that it isn't just about race, but about class as well?

QUOTE

I know you're wondering, who is Spike Lee? Just do a google search

Come on, "do the right thing" and give some of us some credit ...

Posted by: Artiste Jun 2 2007, 10:14 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 2 2007, 03:51 AM)

Well, the Dukes of Hazzard stereotypes aren't exactly helpful. I agree that the mainstream media is by-and-large racist, but they also tend to portray poor whites in a very negative light as well. Could it be that it isn't just about race, but about class as well?
Come on, "do the right thing" and give some of us some credit ...

Why do you think we have to wonder who Spike Lee is? (Don't think we know even basic information like that?)

Posted by: Clay Jun 2 2007, 05:19 PM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 2 2007, 11:14 AM)

Why do you think we have to wonder who Spike Lee is? (Don't think we know even basic information like that?)

well glad you know.....

Posted by: steffan Jun 2 2007, 08:31 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jun 1 2007, 11:50 AM)

Ok so they have had 7 days to say something. I will let you all know what I find out. I will start Monday morning with a few conference officials and the NAACP.

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

Posted by: princessdi Jun 2 2007, 08:42 PM

I did not start this thread, Steffan. However, the idea that this site was mounted in defense of 3ABN and Danny comes from the site itself. You might want to let Danny know that this is out there. Even though he might not have sanctioned it, it is being done in his defense. It just maybe some over zealous supporter, but he probably needs to address it in some manner.

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 07:31 PM)

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 2 2007, 08:50 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 07:31 PM)

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

this sounds like something ds would say.

Posted by: Clay Jun 2 2007, 09:03 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 09:31 PM)

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

I wondered how long it would take before the veiled threats began....

Posted by: awesumtenor Jun 2 2007, 09:10 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jun 2 2007, 11:03 PM) □

I wondered how long it would take before the veiled threats began....

You'd think these people would try another tactic seeing that their attempts at intimidation are beyond feeble...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 2 2007, 09:13 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jun 2 2007, 08:10 PM) □

You'd think these people would try another tactic seeing that their attempts at intimidation are beyond feeble...

In His service,
Mr. J

They apparently only know how to do things one way.
You know the saying:
keep doing things the same way, get the same results.
I will say, sometimes they "postpone" or change their face a bit till they get in good...and WHAMO!
In the end, it's all the same. □

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jun 2 2007, 09:47 PM

That site is so off the wall how can anyone take it seriously? For the life of me I can't figure out which side it really supports and which side it harms. It's a farce. It certainly can't help Danny, not if anyone is thinking with at least half their brain that is □

Richard

Posted by: runner4him Jun 2 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 09:31 PM) □

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry

about.

steffan, When you say the things you do...you lose in the PR department. I guess you and your group just do not understand that these lawsuits and the threat of more will not hush up those who are committed to seeking out truth.

Posted by: Rosyroi Jun 2 2007, 11:03 PM

--edited for content--

☐ So sad... ☐

not worth repeating what everyone else is saying. ☐

Rosyroi

Posted by: runner4him Jun 2 2007, 11:17 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Jun 3 2007, 12:03 AM) ☐

--edited for content--

☐ So sad... ☐

not worth repeating what everyone else is saying. ☐

Rosyroi

sorry...I took my comments out of my last post. I said too much. I am upset about the lawsuit and secrecy and feel so sad when I hear of more threats against brothers and sisters of the faith.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jun 2 2007, 11:23 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 09:31 PM) ☐

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

Immm.....more tough talk from someone hiding behind a screen name.

Posted by: princessdi Jun 2 2007, 11:48 PM

It is akin to them doing a "black face" imitation. It is a clear swipe at BlackSDA. Now they can defend Danny with their dying breath if they like, but while I still live and breathe, they will not promote such racism and not hear from me.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jun 2 2007, 07:13 PM) □

They apparently only know how to do things one way.
You know the saying:
keep doing things the same way, get the same results.
I will say, sometimes they "postpone" or change their face a bit till they get in good...and WHAMO!
In the end, it's all the same. □

Posted by: Fran Jun 3 2007, 02:25 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jun 2 2007, 09:47 PM) □

That site is so off the wall how can anyone take it seriously? For the life of me I can't figure out which side it really supports and which side it harms. It's a farce. It certainly can't help Danny, not if anyone is thinking with at least half their brain that is □

Richard

In my opinion, I believe the Voltaire wanna be did it! □ □ □ □ □ □ □ rofl

Posted by: SoulEspresso Jun 3 2007, 08:31 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jun 2 2007, 08:13 PM) □

You know the saying:
keep doing things the same way, get the same results.

"Your system is perfectly calibrated to achieve your current results."

To expect different results from the same actions is the definition of insanity, is it not?

Or as per the Aimee Mann song (I think I posted this months ago),

*"It's not going to stop
It's not going to stop
It's not going to stop
'Til you wise up."*

Posted by: Noahswife Jun 3 2007, 09:02 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jun 3 2007, 01:23 AM)

Hmmm....more tough talk from someone hiding behind a screen name.

Just in case Steffan or anyone that read or posted at CF has forgotten.....

steffanphilip
Regular Member

(edited by NW)

I stopped posting last year in another forum when I realized that they are just bent.

Jim has pretty much said what I said to you in September, 2006. Nothing has changed and, when all is said and done, nothing will with your way of thinking.

And I don't post anonymously, either. You, on the other hand...

<http://www.christianforums.com/showpost.php?p=33349683&postcount=184>

Nor should we forget other infamous words by Steffan:

<http://www.christianforums.com/showpost.php?p=33433591&postcount=218>

nw
C"i"

Posted by: Noahswife Jun 3 2007, 09:16 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Jun 2 2007, 10:31 PM)

As one of the administrators, you need to be worrying about the title of this thread. Calvin very much should be. You state on this title that the gailon site is from 3abn's defense team. You state it as a fact. This is a ridiculous and unfounded statement and you have put it in print. That is something to worry about.

Steffan



You are off the hook!

You never did respond to my question about attending campmeeting prior to the 3abn campmeeting. I was disappointed as I thought we might do lunch.

Would you like to sit down some evening and over dinner discuss the legal issues you seem to knowledgable about?

nw
C"i"

Posted by: inga Jun 3 2007, 11:28 AM

QUOTE(Fran @ Jun 3 2007, 03:25 AM) □

In my opinion, I believe the Voltaire wanna be did it!

rofl

Come to think of it, that's **exactly** the kind of thing he would do.

I can't believe I didn't think of it. You get an *A+* for that, Fran.

For those not 'in the know,' the "Voltaire wannabe" is an Adventist lawyer who prowls various Adventist fora. His stock-in-trade is the assumption of persona far removed from his actual identity. On ClubAdventist, he assumed the persona of an ultra-conservative woman for the sake of stirring things up. It was a way of poking fun at conservatives -- especially if they fell into the trap of agreeing with him -- and a way of stirring up the liberals to argue with him/her. He seems to enjoy the brouhaha he creates.

Whoever is doing the blogspot is doing exactly the same thing -- pretending to be on 3ABN's side, pretending to be black -- stirring up folks on all sides. There's not a lot of folks interested in Adventism who have this trademark. In fact, although I've also browsed many fora, there's only one with this particular trademark and this particular skill.

And, Di, that's why I would recommend just ignoring this site. I suspect he's enjoying the reaction he's getting here.

Posted by: mozart Jun 3 2007, 01:40 PM

Adventist lawyer????????????!!!!!!! you're kidding. wow, what lawyer would have the time or inclination to do something like that?

QUOTE(inga @ Jun 3 2007, 10:28 AM) □

Come to think of it, that's **exactly** the kind of thing he would do.

I can't believe I didn't think of it. You get an *A+* for that, Fran.

For those not 'in the know,' the "Voltaire wannabe" is an Adventist lawyer who prowls various Adventist fora. His stock-in-trade is the assumption of persona far removed from his actual identity. On ClubAdventist, he assumed the persona of an ultra-conservative woman for the sake of stirring things up. It was a way of poking fun at conservatives -- especially if they fell into the trap of agreeing with him -- and a way of stirring up the liberals to argue with him/her. He seems to enjoy the brouhaha he creates.

Whoever is doing the blogspot is doing exactly the same thing -- pretending to be on 3ABN's side, pretending to be black -- stirring up folks on all sides. There's not a lot of folks interested in Adventism who have this trademark. In fact, although I've also browsed many fora, there's only one with this particular trademark and this particular skill.

And, Di, that's why I would recommend just ignoring this site. I suspect he's enjoying the reaction he's getting here.

Posted by: Observer Jun 3 2007, 02:08 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 3 2007, 01:40 PM) □

Adventist lawyer????????!!!!!!! you're kidding. wow,
what lawyer would have the time or inclination to do something like that?

Yes, and as he has some sort of a relationship with the SDA Church, and believes that he would be professionally injured if his true name were associated with what he posts, he would take great offense if someone were to post his actual name anyplace.

Several of us know who that person actually is.

I reviewed the website, and I have reservations that he would stoop so low as that website has gone. Although I do credit him with the literary skills to produce such. I am just having a hard time thinking of him going that low.

He and I certainly crossed over in Club Adventist when it allowed 3-ABN discussions.

There have been times when he has had multiple pen-names under which he posted. He could get quite some conversations going with himself. if he wanted to do so.

Here is what I think may (?) happen, if he is reading here in BSDA:

1) If he is actually not involved with that website, he will contact me personally, identify himself by his real name, and tell me that he is not involved with it.

2) On the other hand, he may threaten me if I reveal his true name. He does not want it known. But, in my mind, a lawsuit would do him much more harm than good.

We shall see what happens.

Posted by: mozart Jun 3 2007, 04:14 PM

☒ rofl

well it takes all kinds to make the world go round they say. i have always been known to think the best instead of the worst in people (until they prove me wrong), so i can't imagine it. i just can't believe that someone would spend all those years in law school and find their time was well spent doing such things. i haven't thought it even worth **my time** to read from those sites and i don't even have a college degree.

although i sound like i do. ☒ rofl ☒ rofl

sounds like a sociopath to me. i think this person needs some serious mental help. reminds me of something a very bored teenager would do. ugh. ☒

QUOTE(Observer @ Jun 3 2007, 01:08 PM) □

Yes, and as he has some sort of a relationship with the SDA Church, and believes that he would be professionally injured if his true name were associated with what he posts, he would take great offense if someone were to post his actual name anyplace.

Several of us know who that person actually is.

I reviewed the website, and I have reservations that he would stoop so low as that website has gone. Although I do credit him with the literary skills to produce such. I am just having a hard time thinking of him going that low.

He and I certainly crossed over in Club Adventist when it allowed 3-ABN discussions.

There have been times when he has had multiple pen-names under which he posted. He could get quite some conversations going with himself. if he wanted to do so.

Here is what I think may (?) happen, if he is reading here in BSDA:

1) If he is actually not involved with that website, he will contact me personally, identify himself by his real name, and tell me that he is not involved with it.

2) On the other hand, he may threaten me if I reveal his true name. He does not want it known. But, in my mind, a lawsuit would do him much more harm than good.

We shall see what happens.

Posted by: watchbird Jun 3 2007, 04:25 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 3 2007, 06:14 PM)

rofl

well it takes all kinds to make the world go round they say. i have always been known to think the best instead of the worst in people (until they prove me wrong), so i can't imagine it. i just can't believe that someone would spend all those years in law school and find their time was well spent doing such things. i haven't thought it even worth **my time** to read from those sites and i don't even have a college degree.

although i sound like i do. rofl rofl

sounds like a sociopath to me. i think this person needs some serious mental help. reminds me of something a very bored teenager would do. ugh.

That's OK Mozart... you don't have to imagine it. It's just another case where "truth is stranger than fiction".... and sadder too, I might add.

Posted by: mozart Jun 3 2007, 04:27 PM

boy you got that right !!

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jun 3 2007, 03:25 PM)

That's OK Mozart... you don't have to imagine it. It's just another case where "truth is stranger than fiction".... and sadder too, I might add.

Posted by: princessdi Jun 3 2007, 05:33 PM

Well, if indeed, he is this lawyer to whom you all refer, then he will enjoy the reaction he gets from several others even more.

QUOTE(inga @ Jun 3 2007, 09:28 AM)

Come to think of it, that's *exactly* the kind of thing he would do.

I can't believe I didn't think of it. You get an *A+* for that, Fran.

For those not 'in the know,' the "Voltaire wannabe" is an Adventist lawyer who prowls various Adventist fora. His stock-in-trade is the assumption of persona far removed from his actual identity. On ClubAdventist, he assumed the persona of an ultra-conservative woman for the sake of stirring things up. It was a way of poking fun at conservatives -- especially if they fell into the trap of agreeing with him -- and a way of stirring up the liberals to argue with him/her. He seems to enjoy the brouhaha he creates.

Whoever is doing the blogspot is doing exactly the same thing -- pretending to be on 3ABN's side, pretending to be black -- stirring up folks on all sides. There's not a lot of folks interested in Adventism who have this trademark. In fact, although I've also browsed many fora, there's only one with this particular trademark and this particular skill.

And, Di, that's why I would recommend just ignoring this site. I suspect he's enjoying the reaction he's getting here.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 6 2007, 10:23 PM

I notice that the "Save Gailon Joy" web site continues to post every week or several days.

Today's post addresses the accusations of spending too much money on a private jet.

It seems likely to me that this really is a defense for 3ABN.

Posted by: Whitey Jun 7 2007, 07:36 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 3 2007, 08:40 PM)

Adventist lawyer????????!!!!!!!!!!!!!! you're kidding. wow,
what lawyer would have the time or inclination to do something like that?

(here is a not too long ago extracted posting from ClubA about the pseudo-drag queen you all were just talking about...)

My dear Woof,

The late Mrs. Margaret Gray was widely known in other circles (*i.e.*, online forums) for, among other things, her polemics against the "gossip and innuendo" she was convinced in her wooden mind was being proliferated by those who opposed rather than toed the party line (party = 3ABN, Danny Shelton, and his board in this case). It became quite an obsession with her. Her diatribes, ensconced in a wide-ranging panoply of legal sounding juridicies, served well to distract the faithful from the real issues at hand. They gazed fearfully inward, alarmed that they might be partaking of such heinous proclivities, thereby distracted from the larger issues which had been at hand. Curiously, upon her "untimely demise" last year, she was reincarnated corporately shortly thereafter by her minions, who are legion; and they continue to this day to remain banded together, a Club loving group, in the schoolboys' online game-playing fellowship they'd held when they had been pulling her strings. That reincarnation, called the Memorial Foundation, is headquartered in California,

but has members scattered far and wide. They keep on truckin' with hardly a missed beat. As for Margaret's early history, she herself was, in fact from her very inception, but one of the many assorted unstable personalities of her squired creator. (Or perhaps it is the squire who was unstable?) Of course, California, as we all know, is world famous as a haven for such things as these, so I suppose all this is to be expected.

Now, against this backdrop, Woof, I see you wielding MargaretGray's cudgel mightily here once more, castigating purveyors of gossip and innuendo as always, and in exactly the same manner, taking up that cudgel as only she was able to do.

Are you risen back from the dead, Margaret? ... reincarnated to bay at the moon some more, er, I mean bark -- if so, it's *deja vu* all over again!

NormF

(a view of the offending person at his work place as vice-president :

<http://www.churchstate.org/article.php?id=2&PHPSESSID=115eb3e38af82721d0816cd65b41f161>)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 7 2007, 07:46 AM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 6 2007, 08:23 PM)

I notice that the "Save Gailon Joy" web site continues to post every week or several days.

Today's post addresses the accusations of spending too much money on a private jet.

It seems likely to me that this really is a defense for 3ABN.

Just went and read the new things posted. It seems that "Leroy" has softened his fake accent a bit and left the stronger fake accents to those supposedly writing in with their questions. Whoever wrote that blog needs a serious wake-up call. Not funny, not entertaining, not accurate and not nice.

If I had the author's ear for a moment I don't know if I would make a firm statement encouraging the author to remove the distasteful blog or if I would just reach out and give the ear a good, firm pinch.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 10 2007, 01:25 PM

In checking the "Save Gailon Joy" website, I notice that it has reached new lows.

I think something should be done about it.

If personnel from 3ABN are not responsible for this website, they need to step up and say so. Otherwise we will assume that they **are** the source!

Posted by: Clay Jun 10 2007, 02:13 PM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 10 2007, 02:25 PM)

In checking the "Save Gailon Joy" website, I notice that it has reached new lows.

I think something should be done about it.

If personnel from 3ABN are not responsible for this website, they need to step up and say so. Otherwise we will assume that they *are* the source!

this is the internet anyone can say just about anything.... remember it is those from the danny camp who felt that something should be done about bsda because in their opinion the discussion here had reached a new low.....

Posted by: mikell Jun 10 2007, 03:15 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jun 10 2007, 02:13 PM)

this is the internet anyone can say just about anything.... remember it is those from the danny camp who felt that something should be done about bsda because in their opinion the discussion here had reached a new low.....

Clay, a VERY good point. We must stand for the "freedom of the press" regardless how low it is getting on saveGailon site is. For sure, ARTISTE is right, that sight is pretty bad! And if 3ABN is so proud over having it there in all what is going on that site, shows their true colors. Could it not be said that what is going on saveGailon site itself is a paradigm of 3ABN's character?

Posted by: Whtz Happenin Jun 10 2007, 03:18 PM

Steffan,

I am curious to know your take on this website. Do you agree with the statements on the site?

Posted by: Artiste Jun 10 2007, 07:56 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jun 10 2007, 12:13 PM)

this is the internet anyone can say just about anything.... remember it is those from the danny camp who felt that something should be done about bsda because in their opinion the discussion here had reached a new low.....

Yes, you're right, Clay!

Being that anyone can say almost anything, I will continue saying what I think (at least I hope so...)

QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ Jun 10 2007, 01:18 PM)

Steffan,

I am curious to know your take on this website. Do you agree with the statements on the site?

Well?...

QUOTE(mikell @ Jun 10 2007, 01:15 PM)

Clay, a VERY good point. We must stand for the "freedom of the press" regardless how low it is getting on saveGailon site is. For sure, ARTISTE is right, that sight is pretty bad! And if 3ABN is so proud over having it there in all what is going on that site, shows their true colors. Could it not be said that what is going on saveGailon site itself is a paradigm of 3ABN's character?

Well, what about it, 3ABN?

Posted by: Seraphim7 Jun 10 2007, 08:37 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 2 2007, 08:51 AM)

Well, the Dukes of Hazzard stereotypes aren't exactly helpful. I agree that the mainstream media is by-and-large racist, but they also tend to portray poor whites in a very negative light as well. Could it be that it isn't just about race, but about class as well?
Come on, "do the right thing" and give some of us some credit ...

SE you seem to have missed the point I am making to PB. Her bringing up the DOH suggests to me that she does not seem to be aware that the depiction of those stereotypes have their origination with other white folks. Her argument about how whites are stereotyped is faulty. Read her comment again. And the suggestion, regarding Spike Lee, was not for folk who likely know who he is.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 10 2007, 10:02 PM

QUOTE(Seraphim7 @ Jun 10 2007, 06:37 PM)

SE you seem to have missed the point I am making to PB. Her bringing up the DOH suggests to me that she does not seem to be aware that the depiction of those stereotypes have their origination with other white folks. Her argument about how whites are stereotyped is faulty. Read her comment again. And the suggestion, regarding Spike Lee, was not for folk who likely know who he is.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13633&view=findpost&p=197746>

My point, Sera, was that I do understand that the white media has created and exploited stereotypes of black men and women for profit, and that those very stereotypes have had an immense impact on our (all inclusive "our" here) society. My reference to DOH was to show that the white media also exploits stereotypes of other people/groups as well. Cartoons have been rife with stereotypes as well, such as the character Speedy Gonzales, and many in Disney's Dumbo. Any stereotype of any group will have an effect on those viewing it, some more devastating than others.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stepin_Fetchit

Just curious, Sera who you think are the folk unlikely to know Spike Lee?

Posted by: Skyhook Jun 10 2007, 10:35 PM

Speaking of media exploiting stereotypes, Hee Haw was especially egregious. It seemed to be patterned after Laugh In and pandered to the dumb hillbilly stereotype with a little touch of vulgarity thrown in. Probably not as much vulgarity as Laugh In. I'm sure some of the famous country music stars who allowed themselves to be used like that were very well paid, but I wonder how they felt about it. It was said to be one of the 2 most widely watched syndicated TV shows in history, at least at the time. The other one was The Lawrence Welk Show. Does that say something about American taste or what!

Posted by: mikell Jun 11 2007, 12:20 AM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 10 2007, 07:56 PM)

Yes, you're right, Clay!

Being that anyone can say almost anything, I will continue saying what I think (at least I hope so...)
Well?...

Well, what about it, 3ABN?

Artiste, good question. What we are dealing with here is apples and oranges. Yes, everyone has the Constitution right of "freedom of speech" to say what they want to say, but not to do what they want to do. SaveGailon site says whatever they want. Can 3ABN do whatever they want to do?

Can they raise funds telling the people it is for missions, and yet spend their money on Lear Jet type plane – the Cessna Citation costing millions of their dollars? As the leaders of the GC and NAD who have to fly commercial, could not Danny do that also?

True, getting to an airport two hours before flights, like the other leaders do of our Church; might be a hardship to Danny, but just think of the millions of donors dollars will be best spent diverted to advance the Everlasting Gospel rather Danny and his cronies on his private jet. Back to the issue here, yes freedom of say, but not the freedom to con. Something to think about, jm(humble)o.

Posted by: justme Jun 12 2007, 10:17 AM

QUOTE(mikell @ Jun 11 2007, 02:20 AM)

Artiste, good question. What we are dealing with here is apples and oranges. Yes, everyone has the Constitution right of "freedom of speech" to say what they want to say, but not to do what they want to do. SaveGailon site says whatever they want. Can 3ABN do whatever they want to do?

Can they raise funds telling the people it is for missions, and yet spend their money on Lear Jet type plane - the Cessna Citation costing millions of their dollars? As the leaders of the GC and NAD who have to fly commercial, could not Danny do that also?

True, getting to an airport two hours before flights, like the other leaders do of our Church; might be a hardship to Danny, but just think of the millions of donors dollars will be best spent diverted to advance the Everlasting Gospel rather than Danny and his cronies on his private jet. Back to the issue here, yes freedom of say, but not the freedom to con. Something to think about, jm(humble)o. :)

Some of my favorite stories have come from our church leaders and evangelists who have been flying commercial airlines. They relate stories of conversations that took place as they sat in their seat next to a stranger. Some of those conversations have resulted in conversions.

Some of those conversations have opened the eyes of our evangelists to the real important issues that troubled souls do experience "out there in the world".

Those who provide their own transportation often miss these intimate experiences; the kinds of experiences that Jesus had while "rubbing shoulders" with "the common man". Those who control every aspect of their life miss out on what is "true and painful in the life of the average soul".

And they miss the opportunity to witness on a personal level without that person ever knowing the (perhaps, lofty) position our man holds. I challenge every witness of Jesus to give a personal witness WITHOUT ever mentioning that one is "President of Blah Blah Blah". But just be humble, to be a friend to the stranger. To shut oneself up in a private world and elevate oneself apart from the "man on the street" is a denial of one's calling before God.

Of course Jesus did not have a jet to help Him travel about. Although His ministry was far more important. He COULD have asked angels to fly Him from place to place. Instead He chose humility. He chose to move about in the midst of those to whom He came to minister. (In contrast to another "anointed one" who loves to point out that he, too, was a carpenter. Some are more like a "wood butcher") Having been a carpenter is no guarantee of having been called by God to do His work on earth. Even **having** been called does not justify arrogance and "a haughty look /attitude".

If the GC is taking notice and taking action to correct relationships with supporting ministries, then maybe the "tithes" that "self-interested", sorry, "self-supporting" ministries claim they have a right to, ... maybe those tithes and offerings will start going BACK to the SDA church. Do any of the "self-interested", oops, did it again, "self supporting" ministries still have the feeling that they have a right to the tithes and offerings of church members?

Ministries do accept tithes and at least one of them "justifies" it by saying that there are "more pie\$ in the refrigerator".

How long will people support con men who uses our "3 favorite last day messages" to milk us of God's funds? Then spend, willy nilly while putting a spin on God's last day messages. Instead of just naming ministries after the last day messages, how about living an example to us by actually LIVING IT!

Babylon in a 'masque' has crept in somewhere along the way into the minds and lives of God's children. The children to whom He gave a sobering message. Abandon the ways of the world! Do not seek power, do not seek wealth, do not seek admiration, do not be indulgent, do not be vengeful, do not be arrogant.

Be humble, be sacrificial, be contrite, be holy, be penitent, be available to God, be industrious, be a peacemaker, be forgiving, be harmless as a dove, be smart as a serpent without acting as one.

We are thrilled to see 3ABN back on Sky Angel. Pray to get Babylon, in all it's forms, out of there.

Some of those conversations have opened the eyes of our evangelists to the real important issues that troubled souls do experience "out there in the world".

Those who provide their own transportation often miss these intimate experiences; the kinds of experiences that Jesus had while "rubbing shoulders" with "the common man". Those who control every aspect of their life miss out on what is "true and painful in the life of the average soul".

And they miss the opportunity to witness on a personal level without that person ever knowing the (perhaps, lofty) position our man holds. I challenge every witness of Jesus to give a personal witness WITHOUT ever mentioning that one is "President of Blah Blah Blah". But just be humble, to be a friend to the stranger. To shut oneself up in a private world and elevate oneself apart from the "man on the street" is a denial of one's calling before God.

Of course Jesus did not have a jet to help Him travel about. Although His ministry was far more important. He COULD have asked angels to fly Him from place to place. Instead He chose humility. He chose to move about in the midst of those to whom He came to minister. (In contrast to another "anointed one" who loves to point out that he, too, was a carpenter. Some are more like a "wood butcher") Having been a carpenter is no guarantee of having been called by God to do His work on earth. Even **having** been called does not justify arrogance and "a haughty look

Very good post, Justme! These are things that many will be thinking about in the future and hopefully many will avoid making the same mistake of helping to build up a very powerful ministry headed by a man and a board who seek to put the stamp of approval on just about anything as long as the "end justifies the means". I guess the only real power the church member has is the pocketbook and we need to keep that in mind.

Posted by: mikell Jun 13 2007, 03:04 PM

QUOTE(justme @ Jun 12 2007, 10:17 AM)

Some of my favorite stories have come from our church leaders and evangelists who have been flying commercial airlines. They relate stories of conversations that took place as they sat in their seat next to a stranger. Some of those conversations have resulted in conversions.

Some of those conversations have opened the eyes of our evangelists to the real important issues that troubled souls do experience "out there in the world".

Those who provide their own transportation often miss these intimate experiences; the kinds of experiences that Jesus had while "rubbing shoulders" with "the common man". Those who control every aspect of their life miss out on what is "true and painful in the life of the average soul".

And they miss the opportunity to witness on a personal level without that person ever knowing the (perhaps, lofty) position our man holds. I challenge every witness of Jesus to give a personal witness WITHOUT ever mentioning that one is "President of Blah Blah Blah". But just be humble, to be a friend to the stranger. To shut oneself up in a private world and elevate oneself apart from the "man on the street" is a denial of one's calling before God.

Of course Jesus did not have a jet to help Him travel about. Although His ministry was far more important. He COULD have asked angels to fly Him from place to place. Instead He chose humility. He chose to move about in the midst of those to whom He came to minister. (In contrast to another "anointed one" who loves to point out that he, too, was a carpenter. Some are more like a "wood butcher") Having been a carpenter is no guarantee of having been called by God to do His work on earth. Even **having** been called does not justify arrogance and "a haughty look /attitude".

If the GC is taking notice and taking action to correct relationships with supporting ministries, then maybe the "tithes" that "self-interested", sorry, "self-supporting" ministries claim they have a right to, ... maybe those tithes and offerings will start going BACK to the SDA church. Do any of the "self-interested", oops, did it again, "self supporting" ministries still have the feeling that they have a right to the tithes and offerings of church members?

Ministries do accept tithes and at least one of them "justifies" it by saying that there are "more pie\$ in the refrigerator".

How long will people support con men who uses our "3 favorite last day messages" to milk us of God's funds? Then spend, willy nilly while putting a spin on God's last day messages. Instead of just naming ministries after the last day messages, how about living an example to us by actually LIVING IT!

Babylon in a 'masque' has crept in somewhere along the way into the minds and lives of God's children. The children to whom He gave a sobering message. Abandon the ways of the world! Do not seek power, do not seek wealth, do not seek admiration, do not be indulgent, do not be vengeful, do not be arrogant.

Be humble, be sacrificial, be contrite, be holy, be penitent, be available to God, be industrious, be a peacemaker, be forgiving, be harmless as a dove, be smart as a serpent without acting as one.

We are thrilled to see 3ABN back on Sky Angel. Pray to get Babylon, in all it's forms, out of there.


Oh, how I miss the days of "mending hearts and broken people". Gone forever!

Question: Would you support the GC if they/it established rules for "self-supporting" ministries to follow before they could call themselves "church supporting" ministries? What should those rules say? Do you think they could be followed or enforced? Should it be in the form of a logo? or a written-endorsement-line that ministries could display?

Would it be enough to withdraw support from those who go from "Self-Supporting" to "Self-Righteous", and on to "Self-Interested"?

ustme, you should write a BOOK! That was good. I flown many commercial flights witness to others sitting next to me. I just love your, Freudian slips, "'self-interested', sorry, 'self-supporting' ministries." I sure would support the GC, more so if the did establishe "rules for 'self-supporting' ministries." I like your ideas,

Posted by: Seraphim7 Jun 13 2007, 03:33 PM



Posted by: runner4him Jun 18 2007, 07:03 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 23 2007, 11:43 PM)

Wow. I wish I were shocked, but having been on this board with the danny fingers, it looks like they're poking around somewhere else. Clearly the work of the same folks. I guess they wanted a one-way conversation.

Before I had accused them of being on the payroll of 3ABN. But this blog does not work to support 3ABN.

Kinda ... dirty. Sheesh. Is this the best they can do?

just went to the savegailon site to look it over and my first reaction was shock! I guess I should not be... but I just cannot imagine anyone saying this kind of stuff about anyone! The site is absolutely terrible.... rashing a number of individuals. How could anyone stoop so low? This does not look good for 3abn to have someone like this on their side and putting out this kind of PR. They can forget the ones they might think are heir enemies and concentrate on the so called "friends" who are destroying them. So sad to see.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 18 2007, 07:17 AM

r4h,

The important phrase is "so-called friends" because we really don't know which "side" has created this site. It could be a demented supporter thinking they are helping 3abn, it could be demented antagonist who somehow thinks this will wound 3abn and it could be a demented comedian from neither "side" who is trying to make a statement of some kind.

My opinion is to simply avoid this demented site.

Posted by: mozart Jun 18 2007, 12:37 PM

i totally agree PB. i still haven't even gone there. the comments you guys have made about it are enough to tell me there's nothing there i want to see. sounds to me like a demented jokester from neither side; not a Christian either. just someone making fun of those of us who take this seriously whichever side we are on. it's not worth putting that filth in our minds just out of curiosity. the devil has a way of bringing those words and images back to us. we must remember "what we behold, we become".

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 18 2007, 07:17 AM) □

r4h,

The important phrase is "so-called friends" because we really don't know which "side" has created this site. It could be a demented supporter thinking they are helping 3abn, it could be demented antagonist who somehow thinks this will wound 3abn and it could be a demented comedian from neither "side" who is trying to make a statement of some kind.

My opinion is to simply avoid this demented site.

Posted by: Fran Jun 18 2007, 02:40 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 18 2007, 08:17 AM) □

r4h,

The important phrase is "so-called friends" because we really don't know which "side" has created this site. It could be a **demented** supporter thinking they are helping 3abn, it could be **demented** antagonist who somehow thinks this will wound 3abn and it could be a **demented** comedian from neither "side" who is trying to make a

statement of some kind.

My opinion is to simply avoid this **demented** site.

Yeah! What you said!

Posted by: Artiste Jun 18 2007, 03:08 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 18 2007, 10:37 AM)

i totally agree PB. i still haven't even gone there. the comments you guys have made about it are enough to tell me there's nothing there i want to see. sounds to me like a demented jokester from neither side; not a Christian either. just someone making fun of those of us who take this seriously whichever side we are on. it's not worth putting that filth in our minds just out of curiosity. the devil has a way of bringing those words and images back to us. we must remember "what we behold, we become".

The reason I lean towards the SaveGailon site being put out by the 3ABN camp is that someone who was not closely connected to this whole complex progression of events would have had to spend an extensive period of time reviewing save3abn and BSDA as well as coming up with the appropriate Bible and SOP quotes that are sprinkled throughout.

Someone is providing this material who seems to have a close connection with and cares deeply about the subjects here. They also seem to keep up with events that are happening.

I get the impression that the more recent and increased attacks on LS might be connected to her current publicized appearances, speaking and singing.

Posted by: runner4him Jun 18 2007, 06:32 PM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 18 2007, 04:08 PM)

The reason I lean towards the SaveGailon site being put out by the 3ABN camp is that someone who was not closely connected to this whole complex progression of events would have had to spend an extensive period of time reviewing save3abn and BSDA as well as coming up with the appropriate Bible and SOP quotes that are sprinkled throughout.

Someone is providing this material who seems to have a close connection with and cares deeply about the subjects here. They also seem to keep up with events that are happening.

I get the impression that the more recent and increased attacks on LS might be connected to her current publicized appearances, speaking and singing.

I agree with you Artiste. At first I dismissed it all until I saw all the documents posted everywhere with

detailed information about B.Kerr and LS.

I know an individual who wrote to 3abn about the site...they wanted to know if 3abn was behind the site and they wanted 3abn to make a statement against the site. The response was something like "I can only say that I do not know....it definitely is not a production of 3abn....I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control". From my friend's information, (per the response she got from 3abn)....W.T.'s wife is keeping up with the posts...reading them I mean. I am NOT implying that she is responsible for the site in any way but I took the comment to mean that she is keeping up with all the information that is out there.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 18 2007, 07:09 PM

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 18 2007, 04:32 PM)

...they wanted 3abn to make a statement against the site. The response was something like "I can only say that I do not know....it definitely is not a production of 3abn....***I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control***".

Very interesting!

Posted by: Rosyroi Jun 18 2007, 08:12 PM

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 18 2007, 05:32 PM)

I agree with you Artiste. At first I dismissed it all until I saw all the documents posted everywhere with detailed information about B.Kerr and LS.

I know an individual who wrote to 3abn about the site...they wanted to know if 3abn was behind the site and they wanted 3abn to make a statement against the site. The response was something like "I can only say that I do not know....it definitely is not a production of 3abn....I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control". From my friend's information, (per the response she got from 3abn)....W.T.'s wife is keeping up with the posts...reading them I mean.

It appears to me that 3ABN admin don't seem to be too upset or unhappy the site is out there.
jmho
Rosyroi

Posted by: mozart Jun 18 2007, 11:13 PM

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 18 2007, 06:32 PM)

I agree with you Artiste. At first I dismissed it all until I saw all the documents posted everywhere with detailed information about B.Kerr and LS.

I know an individual who wrote to 3abn about the site...they wanted to know if 3abn was behind the site and they wanted 3abn to make a statement against the site. The response was something like "I can only

say that I do not know....it definitely is not a production of 3abn....I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control". From my friend's information, (per the response she got from 3abn)...**W.T.'s wife is keeping up with the posts...reading them I mean.**

????

so you were told that she, for one, is "reading them"? and not replying, or having anyone else in authority at 3ABN replying? they replied here. why not there? if it's as terrible as ppl say, how can they not respond to that?

Posted by: Artiste Jun 18 2007, 11:24 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 18 2007, 09:13 PM)

????

so you were told that she, for one, is "reading them"? and not replying, or having anyone else in authority at 3ABN replying? they replied here. why not there? if it's as terrible as ppl say, how can they not respond to that?

maybe they can't respond to that because they are the ones producing it.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 19 2007, 12:02 AM

Just looked through the Savegailon site again.

Talk about defamatory and libelous statements!

I believe that if this site was posting against 3ABN instead of for it, 3ABN would have deployed their investigative resources by now, found out who was responsible for the site, and either threatened or gone through with a lawsuit for libel and slander.

Nothing but evil minds could come up with this material.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jun 19 2007, 02:28 AM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 19 2007, 01:02 AM)

Just looked through the Savegailon site again.

Talk about defamatory and libelous statements!

I believe that if this site was posting against 3ABN instead of for it, 3ABN would have deployed their investigative resources by now, found out who was responsible for the site, and either threatened or gone through with a lawsuit for libel and slander.

Nothing but evil minds could come up with this material.

I'm not too concerned about it. Anyone with a grain of intelligence can tell that the material was written by someone who probably rode the short bus to school.

Posted by: runner4him Jun 19 2007, 06:45 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 19 2007, 12:13 AM) □

????

so you were told that she, for one, is "reading them"? and not replying, or having anyone else in authority at 3ABN replying? they replied here. why not there? if it's as terrible as ppl say, how can they not respond to that? □

mozart....my thoughts exactly. I was just able to obtain this pertinent part of the e-mail that was sent from W.T. "In answer to your question about www.savegailon.bolgspot.com, I can only say that I do not know. I do not, but my wife does read the forums and is aware of the postings. It definitely is not a production of 3abn. We have, from the beginning striven to be above board in all of our activities. I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control."

The original writer to 3abn just wanted them to come out and make some kind of statement against the site.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 19 2007, 08:47 AM

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 19 2007, 05:45 AM) □

Mozart....my thoughts exactly. I was just able to obtain this pertinent part of the e-mail that was sent from **W.T. "In answer to your question about www.savegailon.bolgspot.com, I can only say that I do not know. I do not, but my wife does read the forums and is aware of the postings. It definitely is not a production of 3abn. We have, from the beginning striven to be above board in all of our activities. I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control."**

The original writer to 3abn just wanted them to come out and make some kind of statement against the site.

4h,

Please thank "the original writer" for this information!

, who recently advised all to avoid "this demented site", went there anyway after reading several posts regarding the new content. I am now seriously in conflict with myself over trying to decide if it is better to know what this enemy of truth is saying or if ignoring what is written there is tantamount to being in denial.

So, I have to withdraw my opinion about staying away from the site and instead advise that each should decide for themselves.

Posted by: runner4him Jun 19 2007, 09:05 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 19 2007, 09:47 AM) □

r4h,

Please thank "the original writer" for this information!

I, who recently advised all to avoid "this demented site", went there anyway after reading several posts regarding the new content. I am now seriously in conflict with myself over trying to decide if it is better to know what this enemy of truth is saying or if ignoring what is written there is tantamount to being in denial.

So, I have to withdraw my opinion about staying away from the site and instead advise that each should decide for themselves.

I personally would not frequent the site even on a weekly basis but in my opinion it is good to know what is out there just to be informed. I do think that 3abn is doing more damage to themselves by not making any statement...or why have they not sent a "Cease" letter like the one sent to rescue3abn? □

Posted by: Artiste Jun 19 2007, 10:04 AM

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 19 2007, 07:05 AM) □

I do think that 3abn is doing more damage to themselves by not making any statement...or why have they not sent a "Cease" letter like the one sent to rescue3abn? □

Might it be difficult for them to send a "Cease" letter to the savegailon site if they were originating the site themselves?

Well, on the other hand, maybe not. That could be consistent with a split personality.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 19 2007, 10:33 AM

WHY DOES THE TOP LINK ON THE SAVEGAILON BLOG LEAD TO THE MEMORIAL SITE OF RACHEL CORRIE, THE PROTESTER WHO WAS MURDERED IN COLD BLOOD BY THE ISRAELI BULLDOZER???

Posted by: Artiste Jun 19 2007, 10:57 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 19 2007, 06:47 AM)

...trying to decide if it is better to know what this enemy of truth is saying or if ignoring what is written there is tantamount to being in denial.

As PB suggested, it might not be good to be in denial.

Remember the fate of Danny's first wife.

Posted by: princessdi Jun 19 2007, 11:33 AM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 19 2007, 08:57 AM)

As PB suggested, it might not be good to be in denial.

*****Edited for content, any discussion of ex's are off limits*****

Posted by: Artiste Jun 19 2007, 01:06 PM

NEWSFLASH!!

One hour after viewing the original lead of the link "Tragedy" on the savegailon blog going directly to the Rachel Corrie Memorial Site, I see the "Tragedy" link now leads to "The Concentration Camps 1899-1902".

Posted by: Skyhook Jun 19 2007, 06:14 PM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 19 2007, 02:06 PM)

NEWSFLASH!!

One hour after viewing the original lead of the link "Tragedy" on the savegailon blog going directly to the Rachel Corrie Memorial Site, I see the "Tragedy" link now leads to "The Concentration Camps 1899-1902".

If I was to make a guess, my guess would be that it is either someone closely connected with 3abn, a relative or something like that who is a bit out of the mainstream, so to speak, or some Seventh-day Adventist odd ball kids who are having some sick "fun." I think it is more than one person. Or it is people from one of the Former Adventist websites and discussion forums who detest all things pertaining to Adventism. But that is all just uninformed speculation.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Jun 19 2007, 06:28 PM

Whoever it is, their Adventist connections are marginal. It's been mentioned before, but I looked again and sure enough, they have issues with, um, The State of the Dead.

Not members of the Adventist faith--not unless they joined on profession of faith with no study. I used to think it was Tommy, but since I last visited they put his picture next to denials of sodomy.

I'd say it's a gag, but the racism is so vitriolic ... my vote is a young member of the Shelton family who used to post here regularly until they got booted. But I don't know that.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jun 19 2007, 06:53 PM

Not an SDA? Well I guess, from what I hear, that could be just about half the people at 3"lawsuit city"ABN.

Maybe it's just someone who wants us to get diverted from the real issues by having a thread about them?

Richard

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 19 2007, 08:28 PM)

Whoever it is, their Adventist connections are marginal. It's been mentioned before, but I looked again and sure enough, they have issues with, um, The State of the Dead.

Not members of the Adventist faith--not unless they joined on profession of faith with no study. I used to think it was Tommy, but since I last visited they put his picture next to denials of sodomy.

I'd say it's a gag, but the racism is so vitriolic ... my vote is a young member of the Shelton family who used to post here regularly until they got booted. But I don't know that.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 20 2007, 12:07 AM

r4h,

This evening I was going back over yesterday's posts in this thread and found something that concerns me because it appears like it might be an unintentional insinuation that Walt Thompson's wife is somehow involved with the savegailon blog.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13633&view=findpost&p=200409>

On June 19, Artiste said:

QUOTE

The reason I lean towards the SaveGailon site being put out by the 3ABN camp is that someone who was not closely connected to this whole complex progression of events would have had to spend an extensive period of time reviewing save3abn and BSDA as well as coming up with the appropriate Bible and SOP quotes that are sprinkled throughout.

Someone is providing this material who seems to have a close connection with and cares deeply about the subjects here. They also seem to keep up with events that are happening.

I get the impression that the more recent and increased attacks on LS might be connected to her current publicized appearances, speaking and singing.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13633&view=findpost&p=200438>

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 18 2007, 05:32 PM)

I agree with you Artiste. At first I dismissed it all until I saw all the documents posted everywhere with detailed information about B.Kerr and LS.

I know an individual who wrote to 3abn about the site...they wanted to know if 3abn was behind the site and they wanted 3abn to make a statement against the site. The response was something like "I can only say that I do not know....it definitely is not a production of 3abn....I do not know and cannot say whether supporters of 3abn may be involved, but that is beyond our control". From my friend's information, (per the response she got from 3abn)....**W.T.'s wife is keeping up with the posts...reading them I mean.**

First of all, if you read the two bolded sentences from each post it does appear to insinuate that Walt's wife could be the one behind the site, or at least could be the foundation to lead to such speculation. I trust that this was accidental and that nobody here actually would entertain such a ludicrous idea.

Second, the very argument that Artiste uses for believing that the savegailon site is by someone at 3abn could be used as an argument that the author is someone who has been a member or a lurker at BSDA long enough to have followed all of the developments.

I believe that attempting to attribute this site to anyone is an exercise in futile speculation. I also believe that whoever created the site needs our prayers.

Posted by: beartrap Jun 20 2007, 12:21 AM

I would hope that this is a misunderstanding. I don't believe for one moment that Avon Thompson would EVER be involved in such a thing!!

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 19 2007, 11:07 PM)

r4h,

This evening I was going back over yesterday's posts in this thread and found something that concerns me because it appears like it might be an unintentional insinuation that Walt Thompson's wife is somehow involve with the savegailon blog.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13633&view=findpost&p=200409>

On June 19, Artiste said:

First of all, if you read the two bolded sentences from each post it does appear to insinuate that Walt's wife could be the one behind the site, or at least could be the foundation to lead to such speculation. I trust that this was accidental and that nobody here actually would entertain such a ludicrous idea.

Second, the very argument that Artiste uses for believing that the savegailon site is by someone at 3abn could be used as an argument that the author is someone who has been a member or a lurker at BSDA long enough to have followed all of the developments.

I believe that attempting to attribute this site to anyone is an exercise in futile speculation. I also believe that whoever created the site needs our prayers.

Posted by: Pickle Jun 20 2007, 01:57 AM

Why can't the site be authored by "Alfred Smith," writing from somewhere near Germany?

Posted by: Whitey Jun 20 2007, 02:42 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Jun 20 2007, 08:57 AM) □

Why can't the site be authored by "Alfred Smith," writing from somewhere near Germany?

am delighted the webmaster is NOT playing THAT Nazism card in this game. borrrring....

The caribbean flare of the site's ethnic flavor makes for a spicy touch when wondering about the ingredients, mo.

I believe that attempting to attribute this site to anyone is an exercise in futile speculation. I also believe that whoever created the site needs our prayers."
PeacefullyBewildered

Hearty AMEN to that, dear P.Bewildered one.

This whole blog is a cynical parody.
A cunning twisted contrivance with the look of a puff fish.

However, the challenge still mocks us in the face...
Who are the real people of the the thumbnail pics on savegailon.com?

Are the pics of real SDA church members posting their slant?

Or are they pictures of paid strangers who submitted their personal pictures to 'ghost writers' ?

Besides the pics of a vulture, a shark, TommyS, Linda, Danny, Norway Doctor, I see pics of commenting posters with nicknames (real names?) : Brother Leroy; Darnell; Julia & Margret; Eugenia W.; DeShawn; John J.; Jeremy; Jamal L.

Posted by: Fran Jun 20 2007, 04:18 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Jun 3 2007, 03:08 PM)

Yes, and as he has some sort of a relationship with the SDA Church, and believes that he would be professionally injured if his true name were associated with what he posts, he would take great offense if someone were to post his actual name anyplace.

Several of us know who that person actually is.

I reviewed the website, and I have reservations that he would stoop so low as that website has gone. Although I do credit him with the literary skills to produce such. I am just having a hard time thinking of him going that low.

He and I certainly crossed over in Club Adventist when it allowed 3-ABN discussions.

There have been times when he has had multiple pen-names under which he posted. He could get quite some conversations going with himself. if he wanted to do so.

Here is what I think may (?) happen, if he is reading here in BSDA:

1) If he is actually not involved with that website, he will contact me personally, identify himself by his real name, and tell me that he is not involved with it.

2) On the other hand, he may threaten me if I reveal his true name. He does not want it known. But, in my mind, a lawsuit would do him much more harm than good.

We shall see what happens.

Observer;

Well? What happened? It sure looks to me like he is doing it.

Posted by: Pickle Jun 20 2007, 04:18 AM

Just to be clear, when I said near Germany, I was referring to the location that "Alfred Smith" has apparently sent emails from in May, not to Nazism.

Posted by: runner4him Jun 20 2007, 05:57 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 20 2007, 01:07 AM)

r4h,

This evening I was going back over yesterday's posts in this thread and found something that concerns me because it appears like it might be an unintentional insinuation that Walt Thompson's wife is somehow involve with the savegailon blog.

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13633&view=findpost&p=200409>

On June 19, Artiste said:

First of all, if you read the two bolded sentences from each post it does appear to insinuate that Walt's wife could be the one behind the site, or at least could be the foundation to lead to such speculation. I trust that this was accidental and that nobody here actually would entertain such a ludicrous idea.

Second, the very argument that Artiste uses for believing that the savegailon site is by someone at 3abn could be used as an argument that the author is someone who has been a member or a lurker at BSDA long enough to have followed all of the developments.

I believe that attempting to attribute this site to anyone is an exercise in futile speculation. I also believe that whoever created the site needs our prayers.

never intended to imply that W.T.'s wife would have anything to do with creating this site! I did edit my previous post to add that. I just took the comment to mean that she is keeping up with the information out here so 3abn definitely knows about it and still they have not made a statement against the site. I agree...they or he/she needs our prayers! It is a sick site.

Posted by: Pickle Jun 20 2007, 07:36 AM

That link to the site on concentration camps, that site describes a sicko event from history. Is it accurate?

If it is accurate, then it further illustrates Ellen White's early 20th century statements that the time of trouble had already begun, and also illustrates her Civil War statements that speak about territories under Britain's control that were looking for opportunities for revenge and independence.

Posted by: Artiste Jun 21 2007, 11:07 AM

QUOTE(Artiste @ Jun 19 2007, 11:06 AM)

NEWSFLASH!!

One hour after viewing the original lead of the link "Tragedy" on the savegailon blog going directly to the Rachel Corrie Memorial Site, I see the "Tragedy" link now leads to "The Concentration Camps 1899-1902".

Today the top link "Tragedy" has been removed from the site.

Posted by: princessdi Jun 21 2007, 11:28 AM

Not that it makes any difference, however, R4H that is exactly how I interpreted you post. That WT's wife was monitoring or reading/aware of the site. Just as we know many if not all of them(3ABN Management/board) read and are aware of BSDA, save3abn, etc.

QUOTE(runner4him @ Jun 20 2007, 04:57 AM)

I never intended to imply that W.T.'s wife would have anything to do with creating this site! I did edit my previous post to add that. I just took the comment to mean that she is keeping up with the information out there so 3abn definitely knows about it and still they have not made a statement against the site. I agree...they or he/she needs our prayers! It is a sick site.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)