

212

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ An Unauthorized History Of 3abn

Posted by: sister Jun 21 2006, 08:52 PM

The Tree in the midst of the Garden...Part 4

Synopsis: In part 3 of "The Tree in the midst of the Garden" Mollie and Hal Steenson were introduced as "the collaborators in suggesting and sustaining the "first miracle" of 3ABN. It was Hal who advised Danny that by telling the story of Hal's purported "gift" of television equipment to Danny from a Pentecostal ministry, with Hal willing to sign a falsified document substantiating the "fact", this miracle would "prime the financial pump" and the donations would stream in to Danny's pockets. Then some years later, Mollie returns to 3ABN, snatched from the crumbling ruins of the ministry that she and Hal built. It was Danny Shelton who reached out his hand to her and after her installation as his personal secretary, she immediately starts affirming to Danny and proclaiming to everyone else in the 3ABN compound that God speaks to Danny and speaks to them through Danny. Mollie was now back in her element." It is at this point that we pick up our story.

To understand the chain of events that lead to Danny's delusions concerning his position as "godhead" at 3ABN, it is necessary to investigate the background of those who, like that age old serpent, have whispered into Danny's ear, "You will truly be like God". Neither one of these women came into leadership positions at 3ABN as Seventh-day Adventists. Why in a ministry that has recently begun to refer to itself as a supporting ministry of the SDA church, would principle members of the management team be members from other denominations?

The first woman, Mollie Steenson, is now baptized into the fellowship of the 3ABN church, although her privately shared reasons were neither doctrinal nor Biblical, but because "3ABN is *the cutting edge ministry*". With the securing of her church membership and later with the ousting of Linda Shelton as 3ABN Vice President, Mollie has risen in the ranks of 3ABN to become the General manager, a member of the 3ABN Board and as she has recently leaked to those in the know, the newest member of the Board of the Illinois Conference. Mollie's religious background: died in the wool, speaking in tongues, Pentecostal. Has this leopard change her spots? Or does she just know how to play the principles in this saga to her own advantage?

The second woman, ET Everett, builds upon the foundation laid by Mollie Steenson. ET has been involved in 3ABN over a number of years and in various capacities. She and her husband Dave were also personal friends of Danny and Linda, privately vacationing with them a number of times. ET's current position is manager of the 3ABN music production studio. Among the perks that she enjoys is a custom built, rent free apartment in the music studio complex. ET and Dave have never strayed from their charismatic background, content to remain encased within their belief system and faithfully attending church services on Sunday mornings; appearing to have no interest in Adventism, although both have worked for Danny and known him personally for many years.

At this point an inescapable dichotomy appears. First, why would a woman hold a managerial position in a ministry that is considered a cult by the denomination of whom she is an active member? That would be the equivalent of Pastor Walter Pierson, Jr. becoming a permanent front man for the Pope's USA tours. And why would she represent the head of this ministry as having the spiritual gifts of a prophet of God? Playing a spiritually dangerous "tag team" match with Mollie, ET is the woman who has lead Danny down the garden path giving him the following "word of knowledge": whatever thoughts and impressions Danny receives regarding *anything* is the voice of God. Soon Danny was looking at people and telling them that the Lord was revealing this or that about them. He was being shown things in dreams or visions. If you disagreed with him,

you were disagreeing with God himself because he had become the voice of God.

All of this prompts an unavoidable question: What is it in ET's religious belief system that allows her to see Danny Shelton as a latter day prophet, yet have no interest in the religion he claims to represent?


Like Adam was lead to that certain tree in the middle of the garden by Eve. Two women have been responsible for leading Danny Shelton to the perception that his voice is as the voice of God and all his thoughts and impressions concerning anything come from God. Like Eve they may have brought him to the tree, but like Adam before him, Danny choose to eat of it. Could Danny have walked away from the whisperings that have continued to lead him into greater delusion, bringing him to the point where he no longer perceived himself as constrained by the Ten Commandments of God? But as in the days before the Judges, when each man was a law unto himself, has Danny forged his own realm within which he is answerable neither to the laws of God or Man?

Seated upon his mount, Danny lifts himself up in the saddle. Raising up his hand he shadows he eyes from the glare of the afternoon sun. A smile spreads across his face as he observes a small portion of his realm. The tall grass in the open pasture land has not yet turned the golden hues of late summer and with great satisfaction he knows that all this and so much more belong to him alone. Looking at what *he* has made, *he* pronounces it good...so what, if the majority of the dollars that have paid for his expensive pleasures are provided by people who live on nothing more than nickel and dimes, there is no ethical dichotomy here. Hasn't he given them what they wanted? And besides, a man in his God ordained position should be entitled to the best the king's table has to offer. As his horse bends down his head to nibble on the still green shoots of grass, Danny muses over the last "Behind the Scenes" program: next time he must remember to blush a little, while he works it into the conversation of how people are perceiving him to be a prophet like Ellen White. Followed by a smile and a humble look this is guaranteed to achieve the correct response from his loyal viewers. One day they all will truly acknowledge who he is and place him in the position where he belongs... Realizing where his thoughts are taking him, his smile broadens to that of the Cheshire Cat from *Alice in Wonderland*. Knowing there is no need to question the source from which these impressions spring, he rest in the assurance that *all* his thoughts and impressions come from God...

I will conclude the final part of "The Tree in the Midst of the Garden" with a quote form a former 3ABN employee:

"I will never forget the man in Denver, CO at a church I was speaking in. After the service, he told me that I was so fortunate to be working with God's final prophet for the end times. He said that E.G. White was the prophet for her day, but Danny was a much greater prophet."

"Danny seems to have surrounded himself with people who enforce that in him, and it has come to the point where Even a board member who works at 3ABN said, when someone disagreed with Danny, "To us, Danny is God."

 TVsnack

Stay tuned...

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jun 21 2006, 10:32 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jun 21 2006, 09:52 PM)

... "Danny seems to have surrounded himself with people who enforce that in him, and it has come to the point where Even a board member who works at 3ABN said, when someone disagreed with

Danny, "To us, Danny is God."

4y LERD.....

ESUS IS COMING....and he's none too pleased...

Posted by: vonessa Jun 22 2006, 10:00 AM

What kind of woman would go by the name ET? I know that we are dealing with supernaturals and that spiritual warfare does involve other planets. Maybe she is a exterrestrial. I'm not joking. Satan always gives hints as to who he is - symbols. It is his way of tagging the Lords people.

Danny is a false god relying on satanic agencies to do what he wants.

Posted by: Clay Jun 22 2006, 10:12 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 22 2006, 11:00 AM)

What kind of woman would go by the name ET? I know that we are dealing with supernaturals and that spiritual warfare does involve other planets. Maybe she is a exterrestrial. I'm not joking. Satan always gives hints as to who he is - symbols. It is his way of tagging the Lords people.

Danny is a false god relying on satanic agencies to do what he wants.

inbelievable..... tagging the lords people.... interesting indeed....

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jun 22 2006, 11:23 AM

Vonessa I always wondered about her name too. I mean you really don't hear very many people especially women that go by initials & even then if they did it would be written E.T. not ET! Interesting indeed.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Jun 22 2006, 05:11 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jun 22 2006, 11:23 AM)

Vonessa I always wondered about her name too. I mean you really don't hear very many people especially women that go by initials & even then if they did it would be written E.T. not ET! Interesting indeed.

believe her name is Ethel Everett. I am not aware that the "T" in "ET" has anything to do with her rue middle name.

Posted by: statrei Jun 22 2006, 06:52 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Jun 22 2006, 06:11 PM)

I believe her name is Ethel Everett. I am not aware that the "T" in "ET" has anything to do with her true middle name.




ET are the first two letters of her name. That explains the absence of periods.

Posted by: HUGGINS130 Jun 22 2006, 07:14 PM

QUOTE




Danny is a false god relying on satanic agencies to do what he wants.

judge not!!!! there sure is a lot of judging going on at BSDA right now...
another thing what in the world is this..."Satan always gives hints as to who he is - symbols. It is his way of tagging the Lords people."

btw I am now officially off lurk mode...   pe  snk

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 22 2006, 07:19 PM

QUOTE(HUGGINS130 @ Jun 22 2006, 06:14 PM)

...btw I am now officially off lurk mode...   pe  snk

Really? I wouldn't have guessed...

Posted by: princessdi Jun 22 2006, 10:33 PM

I have no words for this one.....

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 22 2006, 09:00 AM)

What kind of woman would go by the name ET? I know that we are dealing with supernaturals and that spiritual warfare does involve other planets. Maybe she is a exterrestrial. I'm not joking. Satan always gives hints as to who he is - symbols. It is his way of tagging the Lords people.

Danny is a false god relying on satanic agencies to do what he wants.

Posted by: summertime Jun 23 2006, 07:07 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jun 22 2006, 10:33 PM)

I have no words for this one.....

You are right Di---no words, are we going too far---or not?

Posted by: beanchild Jun 23 2006, 08:55 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 22 2006, 12:00 PM)

What kind of woman would go by the name ET? I know that we are dealing with supernaturals and that spiritual warfare does involve other planets. Maybe she is a exterrestrial. I'm not joking. Satan always gives hints as to who he is - symbols. It is his way of tagging the Lords people.

Danny is a false god relying on satanic agencies to do what he wants.

ok. rofl although i can't very well say i'm surprised by that comment. some people. lawdamercy...

Posted by: Clay Jun 23 2006, 08:41 AM

QUOTE(summertime @ Jun 23 2006, 08:07 AM)

You are right Di---no words, are we going too far---or not?

well this thread is 61 pagus long.... you tell me, have you gone too far?

Posted by: vonessa Jun 23 2006, 08:45 AM

QUOTE(beachchild @ Jun 23 2006, 09:35 AM)

ok. not. although I can't very well say I'm surprised by that comment. some people. lawdamercy...

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together. She said

QUOTE

To understand the chain of events that lead to Danny's delusions concerning his position as "godhead" at 3A&N, it is necessary to investigate the background of those who, like that age old serpent, have whispered into Danny's ear, "You will truly be like God".

We must investigate these serpents and I look at the names. Am I the only one here who takes sister seriously? He is thinking he is a god and somebody has set him up for that.

We are dealing in spiritual realms and it might look like foolishness to him that believeth not, but as sister says, we must investigate.

Posted by: Clay Jun 23 2006, 08:52 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 08:45 AM)

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together. She said

We must investigate these serpents and I look at the names. Am I the only one here who takes sister seriously? He is thinking he is a god and somebody has set him up for that.

We are dealing in spiritual realms and it might look like foolishness to him that believeth not, but as sister says, we must investigate.

I thought Jesus said to be as wise as serpents and harmless as doves.... what is there to investigate? and once the investigation is complete what will happen? what is the purpose for the investigation? Danny Shelton is not the only person in this world or in the adventist church who may think that he is a god....

So when the investigation of him is done, who will be investigated next? Where does it end?

Posted by: vonessa Jun 23 2006, 08:57 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jun 23 2006, 09:52 AM)

I thought Jesus said to be as wise as serpents and harmless as doves.... what is there to investigate? and once the investigation is complete what will happen? what is the purpose for the investigation? Danny Shelton is not the only person in this world or in the adventist church who may think that he is a god....

So when the investigation of him is done, who will be investigated next? Where does it end?

If there is a person who thinks they are god in our midst, then dont you think we need to investigate them? Danny thinks he is a god, and either he is or he isnt. We cant investigate everybody. But when she has been given insite on someone we should take a second look. Those who the Lord calls us to investigate we must investigate.

Posted by: Clay Jun 23 2006, 09:02 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 03:07 AM)

If there is a person who thinks they are god in our midst, then dont you think we need to investigate them? Danny thinks he is a god, and either he is or he isnt. We cant investigate everybody. But when she has been given insite on someone we should take a second look. Those who the Lord calls us to investigate we must investigate.

well if people don't know that Mr. Shelton is not a god, then the problem is with them and not Mr. Shelton....

Sister is sharing her version of a story, and there are always at least two sides to every story....

Investigate if you must, IMO it is an effort in futility....

Posted by: beanchild Jun 23 2006, 09:13 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 03:45 AM)

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together ...

exactly. you put two and two together and for sure did not get four. or whatever 2+2 actually equals... ☹

Posted by: calvin Jun 23 2006, 09:16 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 03:45 AM)

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together. She said

We must investigate these serpents and I look at the names. Am I the only one here who takes

sister seriously? He is thinking he is a god and somebody has set him up for that.

We are dealing in spiritual realms and it might look like foolishness to him that believeth not, but as sister says, we must investigate.

Vonessa, you call what Sister has posted precious truths (before you edited your post). Well maybe so or maybe not. Maybe in time the truth will tell. I have learned to view most anything posted on message boards with a health dose of skepticism. Few of us really know each other. There is always another side, Danny's side which we have not heard. Much of what Sister has written has not been corroborated. For now this still makes for an interesting story.

Posted by: awesuntenor Jun 23 2006, 09:35 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jun 23 2006, 11:16 AM)

Much of what Sister has written has not been corroborated.


Jmm.. I think you mean 'corroborated'... but your drift is gotten...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Denny Jun 23 2006, 09:40 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 03:57 PM)

If there is a person who thinks they are god in our midst, then dont you think we need to investigate them? Danny thinks he is a god, and either he is or he isnt. We cant investigate everybody. But when she has been given insite on someone we should take a second look. Those who the Lord calls us to investigate we must investigate.

When did He say this? 

Posted by: Nuggie Jun 23 2006, 09:43 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 09:45 AM)

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together. She said

We must investigate these serpents and I look at the names. Am I the only one here who takes sister seriously? He is thinking he is a god and somebody has set him up for that.


We are dealing in spiritual realms and it might look like foolishness to him that believeth not, but as


sister says, we must investigate.

Danny's not the only person in this church with a god complex, trust me...anybody that is judgmental or who wears the "holier-than-thou" loin cloth is right up there with Mr. Shelton and quite a few stand in church pulpits every Sabbath morning...there's a reason why the Bible says to let the wheat and tares grow together until the harvest...humans are not in a position to discern who is weak wheat and who is a tare...plus, Danny could only have a god complex if he had followers that bestowed that on him, IMHO...

Posted by: statrei Jun 23 2006, 09:57 AM

QUOTE(Denny @ Jun 23 2006, 11:40 AM)

When did He say this? 

Maybe another person with a god complex like Danny. Who knows? 

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jun 23 2006, 11:35 AM)

Umm.. I think you mean 'corroborated'... but your drift is gotten...

In His service,
Mr. J

Or "collated."

Posted by: sonshineonline Jun 23 2006, 10:06 AM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jun 23 2006, 08:43 AM)

Danny's not the only person in this church with a god complex, trust me...anybody that is judgmental or who wears the "holier-than-thou" loin cloth is right up there with Mr. Shelton and quite a few stand in church pulpits every Sabbath morning...there's a reason why the Bible says to let the wheat and tares grow together until the harvest...humans are not in a position to discern who is weak wheat and who is a tare...plus, Danny could only have a god complex if he had followers that bestowed that on him, IMHO...

Nuggie, this was very well put (my opinion of course). We need to be open-eyed and wise. It's not easy to deal with so many issues and facts that cause us to wonder who the wheat and tares are - sometimes it is clear as crystal, others it's clear as mudd. So, the big idea here is to keep our eyes on Jesus and trust Him to give us wisdom when things are shown or revealed to us, we shouldn't be so shocked at it - he lived in it in his time and he warned us as well. We have to be careful not to get caught up in it that it affects us negatively. This is a hard balance to keep (for me). But it can be done, it's a conscience effort made continuously. My feeling of late has been if it doesn't make me cynical, it can make me stronger in the Lord. That's what I want. I don't mind finding out that there are serpents

all around, but I also don't want to become so afraid and cynical that it hurts my Christianity and most of all my relationship with Jesus. It's not easy for me at all. But I would rather know than not know. I don't want to be someone who supports the wrong when it's revealed to me. And in this case, it's been revealed to me (Danny & Sabn) and long before I ever came to BSDA, and for me with credibility that I can not dismiss. All you can do it the best you can do with what you have and walk with Jesus each step of the way. jmo.

Posted by: statrei Jun 23 2006, 10:26 AM

Humans who follow ideas critically can never be taken in by charlatans. I am concerned that those who have been bitten are not pushing for independent thought but only to remove the snake that bit them. Another snake will rise and it will be different from the first.

Posted by: Nuggie Jun 23 2006, 10:33 AM

QUOTE(statrei @ Jun 23 2006, 10:26 AM)

Humans who follow ideas critically can never be taken in by charlatans. I am concerned that those who have been bitten are not pushing for independent thought but only to remove the snake that bit them. Another snake will rise and it will be different from the first.

Deep, Dr. D...

Posted by: Clay Jun 23 2006, 10:50 AM

QUOTE(statrei @ Jun 23 2006, 10:26 AM)

Humans who follow ideas critically can never be taken in by charlatans. I am concerned that those who have been bitten are not pushing for independent thought but only to remove the snake that bit them. Another snake will rise and it will be different from the first.

"I freed a thousand slaves I could have freed a thousand more if only they knew they were slaves."
-Harriet Tubman-



Posted by: sonshieonna Jun 23 2006, 11:03 AM

QUOTE @ Jun 23 2006, 03:00 AM:

"I freed a thousand men. I could" have freed a thousand more if only they knew they were slaves."
-Harriet Tubman-

So, how does one come to see they are a slave? And, are we all slaves in some way? How do we learn to see where we are slaves? If people are being roped in, subtly controlled, used and manipulated and it "feels good" for what ever reason, how does the "light" come to them? What needs to be exposed and how much needs to be exposed? Is it different for everyone? Is there a bigger Force at work to help their eyes open up to it?



Posted by: p.incest Jun 23 2006, 11:05 AM

There it is, right there!!!

QUOTE

Hubris is the fallacy that critically can never be taken in by charlatans. I am concerned that those who have been bitten are not pushing for independent thought but only to remove the snake that bit them. Another snake will rise and it will be different from the first. - Statrei

QUOTE(Clay @ Jun 23 2006, 09:50 AM)

"I freed a thousand slaves I could have freed a thousand more if only they knew they were slaves."
-Harriet Tubman-

Posted by: statrei Jun 23 2006, 11:17 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jun 23 2006, 12:03 PM)

So, how does one come to see they are a slave? And, are we all slaves in some way? How do we learn to see where we are slaves? If people are being roped in, subtly controlled, used and manipulated and it "feels good" for what ever reason, how does the "light" come to them? What needs to be exposed and how much needs to be exposed? Is it different for everyone? Is there a bigger force at work to help their eyes open up to it?

The only difference between the worker of today and the American slave is the ability to make independent decisions about their future. Just like the old slave I wake up each morning and go to work for somebody else and return home when they tell me to. But unlike the slave I can decide not to go in tomorrow without fear for my life. If you live in the most beautiful house in the world with all the amenities of life but you cannot leave whenever you want to then you are a captive. If you follow the most illustrious ideas but you are not free to question those ideas then you are a captive of the one who developed those ideas. Too many confuse mental torpidity with piety and devotion. "Amen" is not a good substitute for "What does this mean?"

Posted by: sister Jun 23 2006, 12:09 PM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 09:45 AM)

Sister has said many important things to us, and I am just putting two and two together...Am I the only one here who takes sister seriously?

At this point I think it is time for me to jump into the midst of the fray and address some issues that Vonessa appears to continue churning up, resulting in a repeat performance by all those that enter into dialogue with her concerning my 3ABN narratives.

First, as a wordsmith I can appreciate the melodrama expressed in Vonessa's emotional response to my writings. These narratives have only been a small attempt of illuminating some aspects of life within the ministry of 3ABN that have remained hidden to both the devoted television viewer and the casual observer visiting the ministry complex. But what I have found unnerving is the extrapolations that Vonessa has made and the conclusions she has drawn from those extrapolations. And following that, the measures she has suggested be undertaken based, not upon what I have written, but upon the conclusions she has drawn from her own extrapolations and attributing this line of reasoning to

my writings. I beg to differ with Vonessa. I thank her for her appreciation of what I have written, but not for the inflammatory conclusions she has drawn, which draws attention away from the actual message and intent of the author of the narratives. Wild speculation and extrapolation tend to damage the credibility of what is written. So before we all get to far down the garden path, let us just turn around and get back on higher ground.

One last thought, the importance of my writing is in the eyes of the beholder. To the victims whose stories I have revealed hopefully it brings a certain vindication. The more people who know what goes on behind the scenes at SABN, the less likely that others will be victimized in the same manner. It doesn't ease their pain, but perhaps with the telling it will prepare the way for the time when more of the story is disclosed from other sources and more of the truth is uncovered. As to this being a one-sided account, it is the responsibility of the opposition to step forward and have their say. I do not choose, nor would I be expected to play Devil's Advocate and present their spin on the truth. This is a public forum and Calvin is gracious in giving everyone the same opportunity to represent themselves. Perhaps the silence is telling: what defense can be made when the truth has been revealed? I am still waiting for their answer...

Posted by: Clay Jun 23 2006, 01:12 PM

which is the danger in wordsmithing... some folks are not able to interpret or comprehend.... not suggesting its your fault, just making an observation.....

Posted by: sister Jun 23 2006, 01:15 PM

QUOTE (Clay @ Jun 23 2006, 01:12 PM)

which is the danger in wordsmithing... some folks are not able to interpret or comprehend.... not suggesting its your fault, just making an observation.....

A valid observation.

Posted by: beartrap Jun 23 2006, 03:30 PM

QUOTE (calvin @ Jun 23 2006, 11:16 AM)

There is always another side, Danny's side which we have not heard.

Haven't heard? Danny has had letters sent out to the 180,000 on his mailing list, spoken his side on worldwide television, and had his employees, board members, and associates do the same. Next to how widely his side has been heard, the other side has not uttered a peep.

Posted by: Jvat Jun 23 2006, 03:50 PM

To be fair though, Beartrap, most of Danny's utterings have revolved around his miracle stories and the situation as he sees it that led to his wife being divorced, no?

Posted by: beartrap Jun 23 2006, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Jun 23 2006, 04:50 PM)

To be fair though, Beartrap, most of Danny's utterings have revolved around his miracle stories and the situation as he sees it that led to his wife being divorced, no?



That is his side... isn't it?

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 23 2006, 04:18 PM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Jun 23 2006, 03:14 PM)



That is his side... isn't it?

That's what I was thinking....

Posted by: PaperTigers Jun 23 2006, 05:56 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jun 23 2006, 06:18 PM)



That's what I was thinking....

I'm thinking I have to agree

Posted by: Fran Jun 23 2006, 07:50 PM

QUOTE(PaperTigers @ Jun 23 2006, 06:56 PM)



I'm thinking I have to agree

I agree with you both, too!

Tonight, I have been trying to get on 3ABN's website to hear the self proclaimed, great one on 3ABN Today. All I can get is a blank page with 2 words in a large font that says, "Service Unavailable". What is happening? Anyone else having this happen?

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jun 23 2006, 08:28 PM


Fran I just tried to access the website too a minute ago & it said service unavailable for me as well.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 23 2006, 08:43 PM

QUOTE (Hersheys99 @ Jun 23 2006, 07:28 PM)

Fran I just tried to access the website too a minute ago & it said service unavailable for me as well.

Fran says: Tonight, I have been trying to get on 3ABN's website to hear the self proclaimed, great one on 3ABN Today. All I can get is a blank page with 2 words in a large font that says, "Service Unavailable". What is happening? Anyone else having this happen?

KINDA SPOOKEY if you ask me.
Maybe their on vacation.
Maybe their web guy quit.
Maybe their web guy got fired.
Maybe... y, i'll stop.  angel.gi

Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 23 2006, 08:57 PM

QUOTE (Hersheys99 @ Jun 23 2006, 07:28 PM)

Fran I just tried to access the website too a minute ago & it said service unavailable for me as well.

Ok, you can still get online another way...
<http://www.bwis.net/3abn/>
and click on "watch TV"
Danny is just now ending...

Posted by: sister Jun 23 2006, 09:19 PM

QUOTE (sonshineonme @ Jun 23 2006, 09:43 PM)

Fran says: Tonight, I have been trying to get on 3ABN's website to hear the self proclaimed, great one on 3ABN Today. All I can get is a blank page with 2 words in a large font that says, "Service Unavailable". What is happening? Anyone else having this happen?

KINDA SPOOKEY if you ask me.

Maybe their on vacation.

Maybe their web guy quit.

Maybe their web guy got fired.





Maybe...ok, i'll stop.     angel.gif

Or maybe too many SDA's have got nothing better to do on Friday evenings than watching "kosher"


 TVsnack.

TV?

Now that 3ABN is permanently bumped off Sky Angel, some of these same viewers

may be overloading the website bandwidth. The more difficult it becomes to watch online during the Sabbath hours, the sooner the donations will start drying up. We can only imagine the effect that could have on Danny,  He might have to start going to a dentist in Chicago, rather than flying the jet all the way to the California to get his teeth cleaned every six months. But we all have our burdens to carry.    rofl


Posted by: seeshell Jun 23 2006, 10:05 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Jun 23 2006, 09:19 PM) 

But we all have our burdens to carry.    rofl

Yeah.   rofl

Posted by: vonessa Jun 24 2006, 08:11 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Jun 23 2006, 01:09 PM) 

Perhaps the silence is roaring: what defense can be made when the truth has been revealed? I am still waiting for their answer...

Thank you again for telling these truths that we need to hear so desperately. There is no defense against what you said. It is a hammer of truth.

I agree that we are to judge, or at least be fruit inspectors. A farmer knows that he has to sell good fruit because if he lets a few bad apples by from time to time people think his fruit is bad. I bought

fruit at a fund raiser at our school and some of it was bad and I had to toss the whole box. Fortunately sister's fruit is good. Dannys - - not so good. If any of your fruit was bad then I would toss the box. Well, breakfast time for me.

I am going to try to make stripples for the family. I am the deaconess today so I have to get ready.

Happy Sabbath to all.

Posted by: calvin Jun 24 2006, 05:02 PM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Jun 23 2006, 03:30 PM)

Haven't heard? Danny has had letters sent out to the 180,000 on his mailing list, spoken his side on world wide television, and had his employees, board members, and associates do the same. Next to how widely his side has been heard, the other side has not uttered a peep.

Danny and others have given another side to his messy divorce. That is old and tired. I want to hear another side to Sister's allegations that God speaks to Danny, he is prophet of God, that stuff. No where in his public ministry have I heard him imply this....only from folks on BSDA.

Posted by: lurker Jun 24 2006, 06:10 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jun 24 2006, 05:02 PM)

Danny and others have given another side to his messy divorce. That is old and tired. I want to hear another side to Sister's allegations that God speaks to Danny, he is prophet of God, that stuff. No where in his public ministry have I heard him imply this....only from folks on BSDA.

Calvin, I don't think you watch 3ABn enough if you haven't heard Danny say that he had a word from the Lord or that the Lord told him something. I don't remember all the specific words he has said the Lord gave him but he did it again just recently. These things are supposed to be indications of what is going to happen in the ministry of 3ABN. Like "the Lord told me this is the year of growing and giving". This is just an example because I really don't remember his exact announcement. Next time he does it, I will try to remember to write it down and share it with you so you too can hear the latest word from the Lord to Danny.

Posted by: calvin Jun 24 2006, 08:47 PM

Thank you, then I stand corrected.

Posted by: summertime Jun 24 2006, 09:15 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jun 24 2006, 05:02 PM)

Danny and others have given another side to his messy divorce. That is old and tired. I want to hear another side to Sister's allegations that God speaks to Danny, he is prophet of God, that stuff.

No where in his public ministry have I heard him imply this...only from folks on BSDA.

had been watching 3ABN for a very long time until this whole problem came up with a fraudulent divorce and his untimely remarriage--When that happened, I no longer believed the things that Danny says. Many times over the years I have heard him say that God speaks to him. I remember when Linda was still on 3ABN she would make remarks that God had spoken to or impressed Danny to write a certain thing or do a certain thing. She was convinced to a fault that Danny was not to be questioned because God spoke to her husband directly. In spite of all the abuse that she has suffered from him, she has spoken to the fact that God spoke to Danny and told him to build a television station. If this is true, and I am not saying that it is or isn't, he has certainly lost his way and I believe that he is paying for it with the loss of Sky Angel and the Dish Network in India. God will find a way to finish the work without the grandiose efforts of a man who has hurt so many people.. In my opinion, and from the e-mails that he sent to me when I questioned him, he is a fraud and the sad part of it is that there are still leaders of the SDA Church who are still honoring him by their use of his network..I did question the remarks about the devil's slant on ET'a name. It is creepy to think so even though I do not accuse her in the least. It made me shudder. I have not heard much recently about the expensive recording studio at 3ABN, but maybe that is because I no longer watch 3ABN. I like to know that God deals with honest people who push his message forward, instead of a man who pushes himself in the front of a badly tarnished TV Station which should be used to the honor of God and his work. One day the whole truth will be known--Sister, Bear and others have put some of the truth in our hands. When the time comes, other good men will come forward besides those who have so bravely written on this forum after they have seen and suffered the indisgressions of 3ABN. Only God can clean up this mess and I believe that He will.

Post # 114: Panama_Pete Jun 24 2006, 10:15 PM

QUOTE (Pete @ Jun 24 2006, 09:10 PM):
Next time he does it, I will try to remember to write it down and share it with you so you will can hear the best word from the Lord to Danny.

[x] roll [x] [x] [x] [x]

[x] [x]

Post # 115: sister Jun 26 2006, 03:22 AM

Search for the "tip of the iceberg" or "a needle in a hay stack"...

In the north, in the icy waters surrounding western Greenland it is calving time. The birth pangs have reach their apex and with an eerie rumbling the baby is separated from the safety of it's mother's body and plunges into the breaking waves of an icy sea. With a tremendous splash instead of submerging it, then struggling to the surface again, only one-eighth of the body is visible as it slowly drifts out of reach from the shoreline. No life has been lost, for this is not an animate birth. The mother, a glacier on the western coast of Greenland and her child, an iceberg. As this process is repeated again and again, approximately 40,000 medium to large sized icebergs calve annually from Greenland's glaciers.

The "tip of the iceberg" expression can be explained as follows: Icebergs float because the density of ice is lower than that of seawater. And the ratio of these densities explains why 7/8 of the iceberg's mass must be below water level. Often icebergs are very unstable. It is dangerous to approach an iceberg because it can roll creating a huge disturbance in the surrounding water. It is even more dangerous to attempt to get on an iceberg, a rolling berg can dump you in very cold water before collapsing over on top of you.

Although the massive girth of an iceberg is hidden from view, approximately 1/8 of it can be easily seen and identified, but searching for the "tip of the iceberg" in the midst of an entire ocean is searching altogether different. In a global arena it is the equivalent of searching for a needle in a haystack. But there are clues and once you have been given further information, that will help you identify the object of your search, it becomes much easier.

Interestingly as these facts are, what relationship exists between icebergs, haystacks and 3ABN? With icebergs the bulk of what is there is hidden below the surface and only a relatively insignificant portion is in plain sight, as are the workings of Danny Shelton at 3ABN. And the needle in the haystack? So much of the "real" story of the corruption that goes on behind the scenes of 3ABN is very cleverly hidden from those on the "outside", finding the truth can become like searching for that needle: a seemingly impossible task. But what if someone approaches your haystack with a extremely sensitive metal detector and says, "Here take this, now you can find that needle!" "It will take time and effort, but it is possible." This is the intent of "The Unpublished History of 3ABN": Woven throughout the narratives are golden strands of truth embedded within the frame work of each story. This information becomes like the metal detector. But instead of separating a needle from a haystack, it is providing the reader with facts needed to help separate the truth of the programming from the error going on in the administration of 3ABN.

Testimony has revealed that Danny operates as a "god" within his ministry and considers himself infallible. The televised evidence? It comes from Danny's own mouth. You just need some facts and an understanding of how the game is played, while listening to what he is saying. Like the iceberg, there is still a "tip of evidence" above the surface to bear witness to what lies below the surface.

A word of caution: There are those who have approached this unstable mass and found out how dangerous it is to question Danny's actions. Like being caught on a rolling berg they found themselves dumped into freezing water and instead of an outstretched hand reaching out to draw them to safety, they found themselves the target of a mountain of ice crashing down, seeking to destroy them. How long will Danny continue to float in the Sea of 3ABN programming, his ever decreasingly exposing the majority of the clandestine activities of the "Shelton Gang" unobserved by the uninitiated viewer, donor or SDA church member?

 TVsnack
 Started: 2006-06-23 02:04 PM


Posted by vonessa Jun 23 2006, 02:04 PM

Quote: Post by vonessa @ Jun 23 2006, 02:02 AM

Testimony has revealed that Danny operates as a "god" within his ministry and considers himself infallible. The televised evidence? It comes from Danny's own mouth. You just need some facts and an understanding of how the game is played, while listening to what he is saying. Like the iceberg, there is still a "tip of evidence" above the surface to bear witness to what lies below the surface.

A word of caution: There are those who have approached this unstable mass and found out how

dangerous it is to question Danny's actions. Like being caught on a rolling berg they found themselves dumped into freezing water and instead of an outstretched hand reaching out to draw them to safety, they found themselves the target of a mountain of ice crashing down, seeking to destroy them. How long will Danny continue to float in the Sea of 3ABN programming, his lower density keeping the majority of the clandestine activities of the "Shelton Gang" unobserved by the unified viewer, donor or SDV church member?



Sister, do not wait until the next installment of this story. The iceberg is a good thing to compare it to. Only a small part of the iceberg that sunk the Titanic was seen above the water and the rest was below. Maybe only 10%! That means that we have only seen 1/10 of what the Shelton gang is capable of. We do not know what that is but because we know that we are only looking at the tip of the iceberg with the marriage, we can use our imaginations to realize what else he might have done.

It will be interesting to compare your notes with Danny's heavenly book as it is opened to us to investigate during the milenium if he is not saved. But those who are saved will have their books wiped clean. I hope that he will come to a knowledge of God, and be saved. Maybe then he will choose to tell us what he did.

Post by Fran Jun 26 2003, 08:17 PM

QUOTE: (Fran @ Jun 25 2003, 12:01 PM)

Sister, do not wait until the next installment of this story. The iceberg is a good thing to compare it to. Only a small part of the iceberg that sunk the Titanic was seen above the water and the rest was below. Maybe only 10%! That means that we have only seen 1/10 of what the Shelton gang is capable of. We do not know what that is but because we know that we are only looking at the tip of the iceberg with the marriage, we can use our imaginations to realize what else he might have done.

It will be interesting to compare your notes with Danny's heavenly book as it is opened to us to investigate during the milenium if he is not saved. But those who are saved will have their books wiped clean. I hope that he will come to a knowledge of God, and be saved. Maybe then he will choose to tell us what he did.

/one

reality. The bible does not teach reincarnation and I do not believe in it either. However, at times here, "100 years" that there is such a thing as reincarnation of evil spirits. I know it is "the evil one" following.

have I have had some degree of experience in split personalities over at CA. There was one person who appeared to be many people.

Well, I would like to say this person was revealed for what he was; a con man; liar; male; female; gay; straight; lesbian; fake; pretender; one that liked to stir the waters to cause the water to be really out of control. I would like to say these personas are still alive and well on CA.

Advise me if this unscrupulous fake persona was the main reason I do not read much over there any more. Another reason is that they edit everything.

When the forum was in its heyday, I went there a lot. This persona was there also. Can you believe it? VOA had a very sad death. Yet this split personality still lives on in many different other personas. Even when this person and its diverse array of personas go, the health of the forum is at risk.

I now believe this person has been reincarnated! Right here, before our very own eyes right here at BSDA

Vonesha what is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have exhibited to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the regular members are. Therefore, please speak from your heart of hearts, OK.

Oh, yes when you visit with the other personas, please say hello for me. Also, be very careful, the "Tip of the iceberg is showing".

Posted by: statrei Jun 26 2006, 08:42 PM

QUOTE (statrei @ Jun 26 2006, 09:17 PM) :

Vonesha what is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have exhibited to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the regular members are.

Pass me again in slow motion.

Posted by: Clay Jun 26 2006, 08:53 PM

QUOTE (statrei @ Jun 26 2006, 08:42 PM) :

Pass me again in slow motion.

me that is, obviously there is something I missed in the first reading...

Posted by: senshineonme Jun 26 2006, 09:57 PM

QUOTE (statrei @ Jun 26 2006, 08:42 PM)

Pass me again in slow motion.

QUOTE (statrei @ Jun 26 2006, 07:53 PM)

me that is, obviously there is something I missed in the first reading...

Are you sure you aren't getting her drift here?

Posted by: HUGGINS130 Jun 26 2006, 11:03 PM

QUOTE

Vonessa what is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have explained to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the regular members here. Therefore, please speak from your heart of hearts, OK.



QUOTE (Strei @ Jun 25 2006, 08:42 PM)

Posted by me again in slow motion.

QUOTE (Strei @ Jun 26 2006, 08:53 PM)

me to see obviously there is something I missed in the first reading...



Posted by: Fran Jun 26 2006, 11:08 PM

QUOTE (sineonme @ Jun 26 2006, 09:57 PM)

QUOTE (Strei @ Jun 26 2006, 08:42 PM)

Posted by me again in slow motion.
Are you sure you aren't getting her drift here?

WORKS everyone got it. I am sure Vonessa got it too.

Posted by: seraph|m Jun 26 2006, 11:49 PM

QUOTE (Fran @ Jun 25 2006, 10:17 PM)

I never believe this person has been reincarnated! Right here, before our very own eyes right here at BSDA.

Would you what is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have exhibited to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the regular members here. Therefore, please speak from your heart of hearts, OK.

Oh, yes when you visit with the other personas, please say hello for me. Also, be very careful, the "Tip of our iceberg is showing".

When your holiness is finished checking "our very own eyes", which are obviously full of splinters, please take a look at the sky outside of your window and share with us, what color it is?

Dan [signature]

Posted by: Fran Jun 27 2006, 12:30 AM

QUOTE: Graph[m @ Jun 26 2006, 11:49 PM)

When your holiness is finished checking "our very own eyes", which are obviously full of splinters, please take a look at the sky outside of your window and share with us, what color it is?

Dan [signature]

I know the answer! I can answer that. It is Black with different shapes of White scattered about. The largest object with some shading on it is called the Moon.

Now, many of you know the history of Margaret Gray? He lives on all the forums. Not even here. He is the one of whom I spoke. Margaret Gray was on the original SDA Online forum and was I. He was a friend of Hoaf, as was I. He was a member of CA, as I am. Now he has appeared here on BSDA, as I do.

He appeared as a she and a he..... At CA, I began to recognize his style even though the name and sex changed frequently. His purposes is to be holier than thou in his/her attitude and speech.

So many people complained about Margaret Gray that CA had a ceremony for her death. However, as the name of Margaret Grey was being mourned, so many new personas popped up it was ridiculous! It still seems many personas still exist for this person who wishes to remain unknown because he is a lawyer who holds a very high position in one of our General Conference Departments. Shame, Shame!

Have you seen this person around here anywhere? I have.

Does this help to your confusion?

Post by princessdi Jun 27 2006, 12:35 AM

Just tell us straight, Fran, we can take it.....Are you saying we have a mole?

Post by Clay Jun 27 2006, 07:52 AM

MODERATION:

Just a quick station break in this saga.... seems that on other forums there was a persona (no other way to describe it) that was (or is) a member and enjoyed posting extreme views for a relatively short time to yank a chain or two....

Here at BlackSDA there are guidelines, and we are also self monitoring in a sense... so if a member doesn't violate a guideline they are free to post whatever, and other members are free to call them out on whatever is posted... We rarely if ever censor posts, though we will edit if deemed necessary.

For those of you who believe that this "persona" has made an appearance here, I would recommend you take issue with what they post and not attack them as a person.....

Please continue....

Mod. Cliff


Post by statrei Jun 27 2006, 08:02 AM

Wearing a party hat; all the frills and stuff.

Post by Clay Jun 27 2006, 08:09 AM

Q: statrei @ Jun 27 2006, 08:02 AM

Wearing a party hat; all the frills and stuff.

jea: I get you nowhere bud..... 

Post by beanchild Jun 27 2006, 08:32 AM

Q: beanchild @ Jun 27 2006, 09:17 PM

Very good. What is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have expressed that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the regular members. Therefore, please speak from your heart of hearts, OK.

haa: lol!!! lol... where's my kleenex to wipe my watering eyes?!

Posted by: seeshell Jun 27 2006, 09:25 AM

QUOTE: (seeshell @ Jun 27 2006, 08:02 AM)

What is a hat; hat; all the frills and stuff.

[x] [x] rofl [x] rofl

Posted by: seraph|m Jun 27 2006, 10:21 AM

QUOTE: (seraph|m @ Jun 27 2006, 02:30 AM)

I know the answer! I can answer that. It is Black with different shapes of White scattered about. The only White object with some shading on it is called the Moon.

Let me guess. What color is the sky in your world?

QUOTE: (seraph|m @ Jun 27 2006, 02:30 AM)

Not to mention if you know the history of Margaret Gray? He lives on all the forums. Yes, even here. He is the one of whom I spoke. Margaret Gray was on the original SDA Online forum as was I. He was a member of Voaf, as was I. He was a member of CA, as I am. Now he has appeared here on 3ABN, as I have.

Your life and lives might be all the better with this knowledge, if we knew who this person was to begin with. But seriously though, how do we know YOU are not Mr. Gray

Posted by: watchbird Jun 27 2006, 01:45 PM

QUOTE: (watchbird @ Jun 27 2006, 03:22 AM)

Search for the "tip of the iceberg" or "a needle in a hay stack"...

In fact, as these facts are, what relationship exists between icebergs, haystacks and 3ABN? What is the bulk of what is there is hidden below the surface and only a relatively small portion is in plain sight, as are the workings of Danny Shelton at 3ABN. And the needle in the haystack? So much of the "real" story of the corruption that goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN is cleverly hidden from those on the "outside", finding the truth can become like searching for that needle: a seemingly impossible task. But what if someone approaches your

haystack with an extremely sensitive metal detector and says, "Here take this, now you can find that needle." It will take time and effort, but it is possible." This is the intent of "The Unauthorized History of 3ABN": Woven throughout the narratives are golden strands of truth entwined within the framework of each story. This information becomes like the metal detector. But instead of separating a needle from a haystack, it is providing the reader with facts needed to help separate the truth of the programming from the error going on in the administration of 3ABN.

Thank you for this clue as to how to benefit from your historical vignettes. I feel at times as though the basis of those who responded has been on the "frame work" rather than on the "golden strands of truth". I wonder if maybe we need to review the episodes you have already written, this time using the accumulated knowledge of them all, to see just what the fabric looks like if all those "golden strands" are woven together. Perhaps you could help us with that. I don't know what method you'd prefer, but it seems to me that if you were to take one or several of your vignettes and bring them out of the "meat" of the post or series of posts, that perhaps we could comprehend better the things that you speak.

QUICK REPLY

TVsnack

Start new thread

Post by [watchbird](#) Jun 27 2006, 02:00 PM

QUICK REPLY (Jun 27 2006, 07:52 AM)

MODERATOR:

Just a clarification break in this saga... seems that on other forums there was a persona (no other way to describe it) that was (or is) a member and enjoyed posting extreme views for the reaction or to you... one or two...

Here at BlackSDA there are guidelines, and we are also self monitoring in a sense... so if a member does not violate a guideline they are free to post whatever, and other members are free to tell them or delete if it is posted... We rarely if ever censor posts, though we will edit if deemed necessary...


For those of you who believe that this "persona" has made an appearance here, I would recommend you deal with what they post and not attack them as a person....

Please don't flame...

MODERATOR OFF

Before you put your MCD hat OFF....


Since the analogy of Margaret Gray is rather off for this thread, maybe it would be better to


move several posts that deal with that subject to another thread? 

Posted by: **Clay** Jun 27 2006, 02:05 PM

QUOTE (watchbird @ Jun 27 2006, 02:00 PM) :

Be sure to take your MOD hat OFF....

Since the history of Margaret Gray is rather  off for this thread, maybe it would be possible to

move several posts that deal with that subject to another thread? 

too long and hat is off at the moment, and at this juncture there is no reason to give a fictional person a new thread... mentioning of her was a bump in the road (for now), if however people are insistent on posting Margaret Gray sightings, then I'll move it....

Posted by: **sonshineonme** Jun 27 2006, 02:16 PM

QUOTE (watchbird @ Jun 27 2006, 12:45 PM) :

The Sister for this clue as to how to benefit from your historical vignettes. I feel at times as though the focus of those who responded has been on the "frame work" rather than on the "golden strands of truth". I wonder if maybe we need to review the episodes you have already written, this time using the accumulated knowledge of them all, to see just what the fabric looks like of all those "golden strands" are woven together. Perhaps you could help us with that. I don't know what might work best, but it seems to me that if you were to take one or several of your vignettes and bring us just the "meat" of the post or series of posts, that perhaps we could come up with better the things of which you speak.

I agree with watchbird. There really is so much to all this to digest. Putting all the pieces together. While there is still more to be written, a recap or sorts would be a great thing. The more you know, the more helpful has been to go back and see the "ahh haaa" in some things you and others have written about. You, along with so many these others that have been brave enough at the time to post their own first hand witness accounts, experiences and knowledge of being there, have the sincere desire to help others walk with you through these times in order to show what this is about or how to do it. It has helped many of us put so many suspicions, based on Danny's behavior and of how to know that have been through the fire at 3abn, together with more facts and experiences. Maybe some Q & A on some things? The walls are crumbling around 3abn, not only because so many are unable to share, but really mainly because of Danny's actions and lifestyle. He will and is taking himself down, and I believe he knows it too. It is just a matter of time. IMO, I believe God expects us to be wise and not turn a deaf ear, but to also learn from others. He does love people, and He is glorified even in these situations. Many would want to keep this hidden still for some kind of selfish or unguided gain, but God has a way and time of exposing everything, for the good and his Glory. Always within His incredible love and mercy, does it ever so gently as with... continued

hope that still many will come to repentance. God is still in control, we are just vessels willing to be used the same way to help those who have been stomped, and also to alert those who are being deceived. Of course, they can continue to choose to be deceived. For that we can do nothing more about.

Posted by: summertime Jun 27 2006, 02:29 PM

QUOTE (Antrei @ Jun 26 2006, 08:42 PM) :

Posted by me again in slow motion.

Re: slow motion---I am having trouble understanding.

Ah, how many Michael 'Somebody's' can there be?

Posted by: calvin Jun 27 2006, 10:13 PM

QUOTE (calvin @ Jun 26 2006, 09:17 PM) :

Very interesting. I know the Bible does not teach reincarnation and I do not believe in it either. However, at times there is a "appearance" that there is such a thing as reincarnation of evil spirits. I know of the evil ones of this.

I believe I have had some degree of experience in split personalities over at CA. There was one person that appeared to be many people.

Without a doubt I would say this person was revealed for what he was; a con man; liar; fake; female; glib; a veteran; fake; pretender; one that liked to stir the waters to cause the water to grow outrageously muddy with unrealistic theories. These personas are still at the end well on CA. This unscrupulous fake persona was the main reason I do not read much over there anymore. The other reason is that they edit everything.

When CA was in its heyday, I went there a lot. This persona was there also. Can you believe it? Very sad death. Yet this split personality still lives on in many different personas. Eventually this person and its diverse array of personas go, the health of the forum is at risk.

I believe this person has been reincarnated! Right here, before our very own eyes right here at BlackSDA.

Very interesting. What is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? I would expect to see you that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the other members. Therefore, please speak from your heart of hearts, OK.

Calvin, when you visit with the other personas, please say hello for me. Also, be careful, the iceberg is showing.

From the guidelines "No trolling is allowed on this topic. BTW we do have rules against trolling. From the guidelines "No trolling is allowed on this topic."

when I provoke large volumes of responses by posting absurdities, deliberately offensive insults, etc. I don't get you banned so please don't do it."

I have been following this thread very closely lately, have not seen any trolling. Please do not call anyone out publicly but take it up with the person or me, Clay or PrincessDi. Consider this a warning. This thread flame wars get started.

For all of you who are so passionately against Danny Shelton, please remember that this is a discussion board. Don't lash out at others that don't share in your passion or your version of the truth. All topics are open for debate.

Posted by: Danny Jun 28 2006, 04:27 AM

"What is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have explained to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the other members here."

Quote: (PrincessDi @ Jun 27 2006, 02:42 AM)
Please play me again in slow motion.

It's just a script for a comedy.....


Posted by: PrincessDi Jun 28 2006, 05:51 AM

Quote: (PrincessDi @ Jun 28 2006, 05:27 AM)
"What is your opinion of this matter? Will this person be in the Book of Life? You have explained to us that your word is gospel and you are much more righteous than the other members here."
It's just a script for a comedy.....

Would it be a Divine Comedy?


Posted by: Clay Jun 28 2006, 07:26 AM

Quote: (PrincessDi @ Jun 28 2006, 05:51 AM)
Would it be a Divine Comedy?

A comedy of errors? or would that be a comedy of eros? 

Post by Johann Jun 28 2006, 03:30 PM

Quote by Clay @ Jun 28 2006, 03:26 PM) []

A comedy of errors? or would that be a comedy of eros? 

I like the new picture

Post by Panama_Pete Jun 28 2006, 11:21 PM

Quote by Johann @ Jun 28 2006, 03:30 PM) []

I like the new picture

Yes, that was after I airbrushed and cropped the photo for Clay.

Here's some from the 10 AM photo session. It shows what I had to work with.

Peculiar, we'd need if Clay was sitting on that bench alone. Now you know.




Posted by J... on 06/16/2006, 09:12 AM

QUOTE (J... on 06/15/2006, 11:11 PM)

Yes, but the... and the... for Clay.

Here's a... from... what I had to wear...

People had... bench store. Now you know...



he's got job...

Posted


QUOTE: (C)

he's

off

Poste

On: **Re: [3ABN] (Jun 29 2006, 03:43 PM)**



And how many more of these off topic posts have to accrue before they will be removed from their own posts and the thread cleaned out to match its subject title?

Re: **by: [3ABN] Lisa Jun 29 2006, 06:54 PM**

I don't know how much I like the cop so much I printed it out. Is it a parody of a character?

Re: **by: [3ABN] Pete Jun 29 2006, 07:40 PM**

On: **(vonesse) @ Jun 29 2006, 07:44 PM**

I don't know how much I like the cop so much I printed it out. Is it a parody of a character?

You are talking about the best Gump character -- in Homer's parody of Gump -- right?

Let me require a mod for this thread concerning 3ABN "Unauthorized" title change:

The **ipso** name does not currently appear on 3ABN. However, Lisa [3ABN] is a well known vegetarian, and is known to subscribe to the diet. [3ABN] is a [3ABN].

Re: **by: [3ABN] Lisa Jun 30 2006, 11:07 PM**

Let me require a mod for this thread concerning 3ABN "Unauthorized" title change:

The **ipso** name does not currently appear on 3ABN. However, Lisa [3ABN] is a well known vegetarian, and is known to subscribe to the diet. [3ABN] is a [3ABN].

[3ABN] e]

[3ABN] ed but it's not on 3ABN. Maybe it should be life is like [3ABN].

Re: **by: [3ABN] Lisa Jun 30 2006, 01:37 AM**

On: **by: [3ABN] Lisa Jun 29 2006, 01:48 PM**

And how many more of these off topic posts have to accrue before they will be removed from their own posts and the thread cleaned out to match its subject title?

Topic: [thread cleaned out to match its subject title]

Topics wander when they wander.... when someone posts a comment that goes back "on topic" in the thread will head back in that direction..... we don't go back to the original topic on its own thread....

Topic: [said a lot that to say, if someone has something to add to this thread] with Dabn, then you need to post it.... otherwise the topic will wander....

Posted by: [username] Jun 30 2006, 09:23 AM

Posted by: [username] Jun 27 2006, 12:30 AM

I hope that answers your question. It is Black with different shading and about. The White subject with some shading on it is called the Moon.

Yes, even though I know the history of Margaret Gray? He liked her. Margaret Gray was on the board of the... as was I. He was a member of CA, a... here.

At CA, I began to recognize Margaret... and... purposes is to be holier than thou...

However, as the path of Margaret Gray was being incoured, so many new... was... down... he is a lawyer and holds a very high position in one of our... Shame!

How often is this person around here anywhere? I have.

Is this your confusion?

... number of those holding positions in our North... departments a... Margaret Grey? Some of them... by... in... my... I do believe that there are... not be bought or...

Posted by: [username] Jun 20 2006, 09:45 AM

Posted by: [username] Jun 20 2006, 03:37 AM

... if that to say, if someone has something to add to it... with
... to post it... otherwise the topic will wand...

... if we're really lucky, the topic will flat out die.

Post by: **Clay** Jun 30 2006, 01:45 PM

QUOTE (Sancho on Jun 30 2006, 09:45 AM) ...

... if we're really lucky, the topic will flat out die.

... you were there...

Post by: **Boomer** Jun 30 2006, 04:17 PM

... gotta ... what a bean's gotta do...

Post by: **vet** Jun 30 2006, 06:24 PM

QUOTE (Sancho on Jun 30 2006, 09:17 PM) ...

... gotta ... what a bean's gotta do...



04/03/2007, 00:22 PM

... SDA and this topic, however, I would like to know if this person
... community stuff regarding Danny and BARN.

04/03/2007, 06:33 AM

04/03/2007, 06:20 PM

... SDA and this topic, however, I would like to know if this person
... community stuff regarding Danny and BARN.

... have posted regarding Danny and BARN. It is a little hard to understand
... who posted these things who posted these things. I know they
... I have to conclude that you have not had the time to
... it would be a good idea to do so.

getting to be a lot of having members with inside information?

Posted: 07:00 PM EDT, 30 Jun 2006, 08:19 PM

Quote: (by E... @ Jun 30 2006, 07:29 PM) []

I think you have to read BlackSDA and this topic, however, I would like to know if this person knows anything about all the nitty gritty stuff regarding Dan... and ABN?

Don't you think that the "I am new to this topic" comment.

I remember in the past that you said the Maritime SDA Forum, which you are the administrator, was supposed to be used to stick to just the facts regarding Linda and Dan... Shelt... I don't remember income...

Don't you think, "how does this person know....?" speak to you... the... facts?

Even... whether... don't produce film at 11, I hope... act... around to tell... Maritime SDA Forum has high standard... which... said something... past.

I'm just... I am puzzled by the "new to this topic" comment. You have already formed opinions on the... matter, haven't you? I seem to recall... you had.


Have... since then? Did you see a stream of... any...? Or... perhaps, the... the... jet?

In... "how do they know" questions have been... that... by the... for the circumstances.

BS... I hope you enjoy your visit.

Posted: 07:00 PM EDT, 30 Jun 2006, 09:27 PM

I am... Dan... it was "new to this topic" on BlackSDA. I have known... years. He... I know that... personal... experience... Welcome... I am sure you



will... forum.

But... [x] plea

http://www.../magazine/96/open_boss-quiz.html

I... on... it had have had it for quite a while... it on... CA, but... at that time. However, after... persons... in past... This... experience, I think this could be... hear... their past... of measurement. What is the score... I'd... our true... and.

First, if the test have not worked for 3ABN could use it to help you find the current boss. This is the way I found my last boss and he scored very, very high on the test.

Is this test worth the path? Take the test.

For a quick test score

Two points for "yes,"

one point for "somewhat" or "maybe,"

and zero points for "no."

[1]

___ Is he superficially charming?

___ He has a strong personality and a terrific talker -- entertaining, but maybe a bit too much so?

___ He likes to run off as a supposed expert in a business meeting even though he really doesn't know much about the topic?

___ He is a bit sly? Seductive, but insincere?

___ He has a habit of using but unlikely anecdotes celebrating his own performance to persuade his colleagues to support a certain position this week -- and then argue the opposite position next week?

___ He likes to mention he will appear on TV and somehow get away without answering any of the tough questions or saying anything truly substantial?

Score: ___

[2]

___ He has a grandiose sense of self-worth?

___ He has a sense of entitlement?

___ He is arrogant?

___ He is vain?

___ He is egotistical?

___ He believes he is above the rules that apply to "little people"?

___ He believes that everything revolves around him?

___ He has a history of legal, financial, or personal problems, but they're just temporary, or blame someone else?

Score: ___

[3]

_____ Does he pretend to be a logical liar?

_____ Does he present his own past in a more positive light -- i.e., he might say that he rose above a terrible job or background even though he really couldn't help it?

_____ Does he lie habitually even though he can easily be found out?

_____ When he's caught, does he still act unconcerned because he thinks he can walk out of it?
Does he enjoy it?

_____ Does he pride himself on his knack for deceit?

_____ Does he care at all whether he knows he's a liar or whether he just loves himself -- believes his own lies?

SCC: _____

[4]

_____ Does he have a reputation for master manipulator?

_____ Does he use his skill at lying to cheat or manipulate other people in his own favor for money, power, status, or sex?

_____ Does he trick people brilliantly?

_____ Does he get into dishonest schemes such as cooking the books?

SCC: _____

[5]

_____ When he's caught, other people, does he feel a lack of remorse or guilt?

_____ Does he care about himself rather than the wreckage he's left on the lives of society at large?

_____ Does he act as if he's feeling bad but act as though he really does not?

_____ If he has never been convicted of a white-collar crime, but he has lied for years, does he not acknowledge what he did, even after getting out of prison?

_____ Does he blame others for the trouble he causes?

SCC: _____

[6]

_____ Does he have a shallow affect?

_____ Does he care about others, even when someone near him dies, is hurt, or falls seriously ill -- for example, does he visit in a hospital or attend the funeral?

_____ Does he have dramatic displays of emotion that are not warranted -- putting on a

theoretical manner, or playacting for effect?

___ Can he be said to be your friend but rarely or never ask about your health, family life or your emotional state?

___ Is he one of those tough-guy executives who brag about how emotions are their enemies and loss?

SC: ___

[7]

___ Can he be said to be lacking in empathy?

___ Does he seem to care (xxx [care] about the feelings or well-being of others?

___ Is he particularly selfish?

___ Does he ever mock others?

___ Is he emotionally or verbally abusive toward employees, "clients," and family members?

___ Can he fire employees without concern for how they'll get by without the job?

___ Can he be said to have committed embezzlement or stock fraud without concern for the harm he's doing to shareholders and pensioners who need their savings to pay for their retirement?

SC: ___

[8]

___ Can he be said to accept responsibility for his own actions?

___ Can he be said to cock up some excuse?

___ Can he be said to blame others for what he's done?

___ Can he be said to refuse investigation or on trial for a corporate crime to acknowledge wrongdoing even when the evidence is stacked against him?

SC: ___

Tot: ___

If your boss is a ...

1-4 ...

5-7 ...

8-10 ...

10+ ...

Post # 149: Chris [Wed Jun 29 2006, 01:31 AM]

QUOTE: [Caryl F. Casper [Wed Jun 30 2006, 06:29 PM)]

I am not here to discuss Black SDA and this topic, however, I would like to know if this person knows anything about all this nasty gritty stuff regarding Danny and the FBI?

Daryl welcome to the forum. This is a discussion board and as such there will be like the conversations at a potluck dinner on a Saturday.... lots of topics, some people may have more info and some may be speculative, either way it's still discussed...

You may find the topics we discuss here may not be the kind of things you'd see on your forum. It may be disconcerting because nothing is official.... however, the fellowship is good and the discussions are thought provoking....

Post # 148: sids [Wed Jun 29 2006, 06:58 AM]

Fran,
If you check the thread to page 24 and check post #39, I have already posted a modified version of the link on page 24. I have credited the same source. I am sure if you cover it to your part and credit it all to the original post. With the current length of the link and it is a long read, it is a little bit of a pain that has already been posted.

QUOTE: [Caryl F. Casper [Wed Jun 29 2006, 06:19 PM)]

"Is there a loss of empathy?"

The following excerpted for length and application, appeared in the 66 of *Fast Company*, a business magazine written by Jeffery, Beartrap, Brother Sam, and others. It is a piece I would like to take some time to discuss. It's applicability to the history of the world.

Could there be a loss of empathy? The standard clinical test for psychopaths is the PCL-R, developed by Robert Hare. It's overall, but a subset of eight traits defines the "cold, calculated, and ruthless" person prone to violence, callous, and remorseless use of power. What about the nonviolent person prone to violence, callous, and remorseless use of power? Here's our do-it-yourself quiz drawn from the test manual and Hare's book, *Without Conscience*. (Disclaimer: If you're not a professional, please don't use this as an amateur exercise.)

For each question, you score two points for "yes," one point for "maybe" or "no," and zero points for "no."

[1] You're a very charming person. You're a terrific talker — entertaining, witty, and you often let yourself off as a supposed expert on a wide range of subjects. You know how to flatter and how to charm. You know how to talk your way out of a bad situation. You know how to talk your way into a good one. You know how to talk your way out of a bad situation. You know how to talk your way into a good one. You know how to talk your way out of a bad situation. You know how to talk your way into a good one.

[2] **Does he have a grandiose sense of self-worth?**
 Does he think of himself as elegant? Superior? Domineering? Does he feel entitled above the rules that apply to "other people"? Does he act as though everything revolves around him? Does he often play his legal, financial, or personal problems, say they're just temporary, but come back in 6 months?

[3] **Is he a pathological liar?**
 Has he often vented his own guilt in a more positive light? Does he lie voluntarily even when he can easily be found out? If he's caught exposed, does he still act unrepentant because he knows he can work his way out of it? Does he lie by omission? Is he proud of his knack for getting away with it? Whether he lies to his wife or another, or he deceives himself and believes his own "bullshit."

[4] **Is he a con artist or master manipulator?**
 Does he use his charm and lying to cheat or manipulate other people in his quest for money, power, status, or sex? Does he "use" people brilliantly? Does he engage in manipulative behaviors such as con games, flattery, or flouting?

[5] **When he fails, does he feel a lack of remorse or guilt?**
 Is he remorseful about the wreckage he inflicts on others? Does he feel a sense of guilt that is large? Does he tell himself "it's not my fault" as though he really doesn't? Does he feel a sense of "it's my trouble" he caused?

[6] **Does he have shallow or feigned emotion?**
 Does he use emotion in small displays of emotion that are nothing more than a theatrical performance? Does he have shallow emotion?

[7] **Does he lack empathy?**
 Does he ignore or doubt the feelings or well-being of other people? Is he selfish? Does he belittle others? Is he emotionally or verbally abusive toward others? "Friends," are they? Does he ignore the employees without concern for their well-being? Does he care if the job? Can he care about others without concern for the harm he's causing?


[8] **Does he avoid responsibility for his own actions?**
 Does he always find a excuse? Does he blame others for his actions? Does he find a "under the wire" excuse, like deceitful accounting, does he find a "also, that's the way the world works" excuse? Does he find a "the hard evidence is stacked against him?"

Total Score: _____

If you score 1-4, you are a normal person.
 If you score 5-7, you are a bit of a con artist.
 If you score 8-10, you are a con artist.
 See "The Con Artist", Part 3...

Posted by veegee on Jul 1 2006, 07:23 AM


QUOTE (sister @ Jul 1 2006, 07:58 AM) :

Fran,
 If you go back on the thread to page 24 and check post #360, I know you had a better version of the info on the test and credited the same source. I am sure it was your part and not the author's noble post. With the current length of this thread it is hard to remember all the format that has already been posted. 


I used it in my book and she turned out only to be frustrating because she scored a 1. So it bugs me but I can't quarrel with it.


Posted by veegee on Jul 1 2006, 08:08 AM


QUOTE (sister @ Jul 1 2006, 08:27 PM) :

Back to the top of the thread. 

http://www.fishbase.org/magazine/96/open_top.html


I found this on the net and had it for quite a while. I don't know if it is from CA, but did not provide the source at that time. However, after hearing your post about DABN and your own experience, I think this could be used to help with the test loss using this method of measurement. What is the score you would give this post? 

Thank you Fran, for bringing us back on topic, and especially for giving me the link. I must admit however, I'm very confused when seeing 45 questions that should only yield a maximum score of 30. 

But the only help with the original sister's formatting of that test is the link above. 

And I can't help but bring up the test with the personal testimony of the person who did it at 3A. 

QUOTE (sister @ Jul 1 2006, 08:37 AM) :

Fran,
 If you go back on the thread to page 24 and check post #360, I know you had a better version of the info on the test and credited the same source. I am sure it was your part and not the author's noble post. With the current length of this thread it is hard to remember all the format that has already been posted. 

And thank you Sling for calling attention to your "edited version" (I think that approximated the format of the original text) and which therefore cleared my confusion. I will have to check the url that I mentioned.

And I think it is critical to answer the questions that many have had about the current employment of the women not spoken out in the past. But that is what the women and their families and employees have done. Their courage and strength grow in numbers.

Posted by: stlouis101 | 1 2006, 08:45 AM

I think Daryl was trying to say that it was his first time posting and that he had never heard of the text before discussed in the thread.

Posted by: stlouis101 | 1 2006, 11:03 AM

Q: [unclear] (6, 15:23 AM)
From: [unclear]
If you go back on this thread to page 24 and check post #350, I believe you posted an edited version of the union link. I created the same source. I am sure it was your part and not mine. I remember. With the current length of the thread, I am sure all the information has already been posted. [unclear] sig

Thank you for bringing this to my attention. Yes, it was my part. I would not have known otherwise. I see you did edit the post as well. I am so sorry.

Please do not sue or file or proceed. [unclear] sorry.

Posted by: stlouis101 | 1 2006, 03:41 PM

Yes, I am aware of this thread. I am aware of the union link. I have read most of this thread, 7 pages worth, to have a better understanding of what is going on. Most of the people who know about all of these so-called unions are the 3rd, or 4th, 5th, or etc. party source. If this is a matter of law, then this concerns me greatly. I do not know. I would not have known that sister is only passing on what she has heard. I am not concerned about it coming out. I am concerned about the fact that it is being passed on.

Now, if I and the other 1000 managed to find the time to read all the posts, I'm asking
them to do the same. I could be wondering while reading.

As I know for sure, I'm not reading.

As for why I would like to come a member here. I had thought of it when I was here
And you know what I was about this topic that finally prompted me to do it.

As for this being a topic on Haritime SDA OnLine goes, it is not a topic that
only those with a certain given access can see. It isn't a type of thing
display to the general Christian and Christian world. The topic is a topic
of determining what is factual, before posting it there. BSDA can only
however, what it would allow this type of thing to be posted, and
the values up to the legal action against them. I hope that you
can help me with this.

Posted by: [User] | 06, 03:56 PM

QU

It is not a topic that only those with a certain given access can see. It isn't a type of thing
display to the general Christian and Christian world. The topic is a topic
of determining what is factual, before posting it there. BSDA can only
however, what it would allow this type of thing to be posted, and
the values up to the legal action against them. I hope that you
can help me with this.

That is not a topic that only those with a certain given access can see. It isn't a type of thing
display to the general Christian and Christian world. The topic is a topic
of determining what is factual, before posting it there. BSDA can only
however, what it would allow this type of thing to be posted, and
the values up to the legal action against them. I hope that you
can help me with this.

As for why I would like to come a member here. I had thought of it when I was here
And you know what I was about this topic that finally prompted me to do it.

Different topics are being discussed... hope you enjoy it...
bsd... have you heard....

Posted by: [User] | 06, 04:09 PM

The topic is a topic of determining what is factual, before posting it there. BSDA can only
however, what it would allow this type of thing to be posted, and
the values up to the legal action against them. I hope that you
can help me with this.

Posted by: [User] | 06, 04:23 PM

QU

The topic is a topic of determining what is factual, before posting it there. BSDA can only
however, what it would allow this type of thing to be posted, and
the values up to the legal action against them. I hope that you
can help me with this.

What is written is what is written, as only that other person could have said who also spoke to me about it. However, what is written, is what is written. I don't know for anybody else's sake, but by Shelton himself, if he so chooses.

and in the absence of any compelling evidence it is someones right to believe some stories that have been written. If other witnesses have agreed has to be... perhaps is you... perhaps it is that there are former 3abn employees, and other people who were formerly associated with 3abn so they can...

The issue here was... to allow them to share the... on other forums or websites... that's neither good or bad, it's just... won't violate our guidelines... to their hearts content...

QUOTE:
how... in this... remains for...
Shelton...

Mr. Shelton... of the board... called us a... on...
http://www.black...
...
... what was posted, just...
... and that the...
... so I suspect...
... what...

Post ... 09:56 PM

QUOTE: ... (06, 04:11 PM) ...
Yes, I am... aware of...
I have... 7 pages...
Most... all of these... these
seen... party...
If this... concerns me greatly. I...
I would... passing on... or
etc... concerned about it...
hear...
Now, ... time to read... these
quest... with...
As...
As... I had... now.
And... finally prompted...
As for... OnLine goes, it... only
tho... can... It isn't a type...
the... work... the topic is a...
del...

how... the... type... thing to be posted... the... action against them. I have...

Jaryl, I think you're right that BSDA is more liberty in what we all do... CA. Very fun to... for discussion as it relates to... was you... there own favor, maybe this is... apart.

As for... against BSDA that... by... the moderator of the... of... discussions private. Don't... efforts

believe... the... we have by the... not... responsible for the... QuestionID=314 . But... work

Take... legal/... and contains all kinds of... al

Also... successful... nter...

http://... 4/11/2005/ART/... it was... provided... considered... the

QUO... a... from the... provisions of... shall be treated... any... ISPs... bridge

Part... F11

QUO... 04:09 PM... There... versus what is... With... only that other parts... SP...

- x... difference in... test
x... in the ear, the... mixer
nas... and like dry... changing

shape of the thread as it goes. And when it finally becomes recognizable even if it's not what you want.

QUOTE: how many of these threads in this topic, remains for another thread. I didn't know any. She said she'd be back.

It's not a question of whether it's written, and what is posted on the board will come out. It's a question of whether it's true and accurate to the point.

As for Daily of the month, we'll roll!

Isn't it a bit of a stretch to say so. So no one can accuse us of taking k. [x]



Posted: 07:52 PM

QUOTE: (16, 01:11 PM) [] Yes, I'm aware of the thread. I am aware of the board. I had to scroll through 7 pages worth, to have a look at the thread. Not that I'm not aware of all of these search engines, but as these search engines are not very good, Ith, or etc. party search engines. If I had to search for this, this concerns me greatly. I'd like to see the thread. I've been looking for a while, but only passing on the thread. Ith, or etc. party search engines. I'd like to see the thread. Not that I'm not aware of all of these search engines, but as these search engines are not very good, Ith, or etc. party search engines. As for the thread, I'd like to see the thread. Ith, or etc. party search engines. As for the thread, I'd like to see the thread. Ith, or etc. party search engines. As for the thread, I'd like to see the thread. Ith, or etc. party search engines.

Welcome to Black SDA Online Power Board. Your post refers directly to my thread. I don't personally. I

written by the person who created it at 3ABN. Many of the
also have the name of 3ABN shared from others who have been
including the name of the Shelton family, who have had
that have been removed with or further removed party

at person and have
employed at 3ABN,
names. Your assumption

Your definition of "fact" for a nation is true and you stress it
greater than the "fact" of my posts, being concerned
the administration of the members of the 3ABN Board last
until now, can't support it any longer.

it concerns you
with the family Shelton,
I have chosen to write

My understanding of "fact" is "Adverse and your forum
concerning "fact" until the "facts" are ascertained
admission of fact" - so what are the "facts"? Is it only
The person who is definitely biased, since Linda
end of the "fact" is to "hide the truth"? That is how the
When the person who is allowed to see the information
attitude that is "fact" on all his cohorts from
that is "fact" or "fact" for so many years.

information
of an
from Walt
ag or until the
thin the church.
private "fact"? This
of the "duplication

As a public figure, you have a right to an issue equal to
has been shown to be true from official 3ABN sources
anything that is true, it is true and it is true and
it.

Since this thread
is concerning
I will verify

Continue and I will do my best to do it and I will do my
towards you.

that I have directed

Sister

Posted by [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/] on 03/03/2007, 07:57 PM

Q: [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/0303070757PM.html] [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/0303070757PM.html]

You are asking me to read a thread I am already reading.

I have read over 100 pages worth, to have a

Comments: [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/0303070757PM.html] Only 61 pages to go in what
quite a bit of time. I have read other threads to find
her name and I am sure she knows has come to you.

Q: [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/0303070757PM.html]

Has anyone else seen any of these
Sister, I am sure you have seen these etc. party

Keep in mind that this is a public forum. To her or mine
quite a bit of time. I have read other threads to find
her name and I am sure she knows has come to you.

C: [http://www.black-sda.com/forums/over-board/0303070757PM.html]

If the author is not known, how does the title of the work help you to identify the author?

Good writing, if done right, will want to give how many of the "rules" at the beginning, and then explain the "in the mouth of two or three" rule, etc. came to be. If the author is not known, it is also that it was great reading.

Q10
I would like to know if the author is clear passing on with the etc. party section. I am a bit concerned about the author's handling of the etc. party section.

The author's explanation of the "in the mouth of two or three" rule is written in a way that is not clear. I would first like to know what she writes.

Q11
Not clear how the author is supposed to be able to read the etc. party section and those questions. I would like to know what she is reading.

Author's explanation of the "in the mouth of two or three" rule is not clear.

Q12
Author's explanation of the "in the mouth of two or three" rule is not clear.

Very good. I would like to know how you would handle the etc. party section.

Friday, July 13, 2006, 02:11 PM

Q13
I would like to know if the author is clear passing on with the etc. party section. I am a bit concerned about the author's handling of the etc. party section.

I believe that the author's explanation of the "in the mouth of two or three" rule is not clear.

Posted by [Name] on July 12, 2006, 11:19 AM

Subject: [Subject]

Re: [Subject]

How close to it all was I to know so much first hand? Now that is difficult to answer without giving clues to my identity. It is not that I am unwilling, but that to do so would be unwise. Am I a relative, friend, current or former employee of Danny Shelton? That would probably make the most sense considering the first person knowledge that I have. I will also admit to presenting second person information, but as in the case of Ronnie who did "detective work" for Danny, I speak for someone who is unable to speak for himself. Ronnie died a number of months ago.

If I have not sufficiently answered your questions, please indicate the area in which you need further elaboration.

Sister

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 2 2006, 01:24 PM

Read my questions again.

They didn't ask her to give herself away.

Posted by: statrei Jul 2 2006, 01:29 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 02:24 PM)

Read my questions again.

They didn't ask her to give herself away.

Daryl, why do you think it important that Danny should know who the writer is? You must be seeing something I am not.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 2 2006, 01:31 PM

Sister,

I can understand your caution, therefore, let me go into another direction.

I am only on page 10 of this topic as far as reading it goes.

Are you saying anywhere that Pastor Mark Finley and Pastor John Lomacang know the truth about Danny & Linda, but are spouting the lie?

Posted by: statrei Jul 2 2006, 01:47 PM

Daryl's questions raise another question. Earlier Daryl pointed out that the 3ABN fiasco has been behind the scenes on his forum to first determine the truth. Either Daryl recognized what Sister is posting here as the truth and is subtly trying to raise doubts by weakening Sister's credibility or no effort has been made at MSDAOL to determine that truth, in which case he could more concerned with defending the establishment no matter what. Which could it be?

In the end, the problem is not Danny but the system he represents and there are many who will defend that system at all costs.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 2 2006, 01:55 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 01:31 PM)

Sister,

I can understand your caution, therefore, let me go into another direction.

I am only on page 10 of this topic as far as reading it goes.

Are you saying anywhere that Pastor Mark Finley and Pastor John Lomacang know the truth about Danny & Linda, but are spouting the lie?

Daryl, you are ONLY on page 10? And you are back asking questions again already? We are now on page 69. You don't know enough yet to ask intelligent questions. Back to reading mode!

Excuse you, but it is extremely unkind, uncouth, and ingenious at best to ask this kind of questions when you have not even yet taken the time to read all that Sister (and many others) have already written. Your last question is especially repugnant since it asks Sister to make a judgemental statement about Finley and Lomacang that is not only inappropriate and unChristlike, but ITFA is impossible for anyone to make. Only God knows what Finley and Lomacang know or don't know and what their reasons are for continuing to support Danny Shelton given all that we are learning about him.

I very STRONGLY suggest again, that you finish reading what has already been said before you start putting anyone (especially Sister) through any more direct questioning.

It seems to me that quite obviously you do NOT understand the need for caution in this matter, or you would not be asking the kinds of questions that you are asking. And you certainly would not be asking them before you have read the total of the information that Sister and others (please don't focus completely on her) have given.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jul 2 2006, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 2 2006, 12:55 PM)

Daryl, you are ONLY on page 10? And you are back asking questions again already? We are now on page 69. You don't know enough yet to ask intelligent questions. Back to reading mode!

Excuse you, but it is extremely unkind, uncouth, and ingenious at best to ask this kind of questions when you have not even yet taken the time to read all that Sister (and many others) have already written. Your last question is especially repugnant since it asks Sister to make a judgemental statement about Finley and Lomacang that is not only inappropriate and unChristlike, but ITFA is impossible for anyone to make. Only God knows what Finley and Lomacang know or don't know and what their reasons are for continuing to support Danny Shelton given all that we are learning about him.

Daryl,

I am guessing you might like to just get to the "meat and potatoes" part of this situation, thinking you have it all summed up with facts you have had previously, but honestly, you need to read it all

FIRST, then ask. As for Lomacang and Finley, God is not the only one that knows, but THEY know what they have done, and NOT done with the information they have. Linda knows too. There are "facts" that can speak for themselves when it comes to both of them, and their "reasons" for their actions at this point. There are MANY "leaders" or "up front" people who keep quiet for personal gain and also to "let others deal with it", and they take no action because it may hurt them. They are among the ones that think the ends justify the means (keep the gospel going at whatever cost to someone on a personal level). This whole situation really should be an eye opener and WARNING to especially SDA's, that things are not always what they seem, that we can not hold ANY person up thinking that what looks like a chicken must be a chicken, that is an unsafe assumption to make, especially as this world falls apart. IT is to each individual to know where they stand with Christ, so they can be as wise as possible and not deceived by those who take the appearance of "christian" on the outside. I'm sure you know this too. Things will shock us, shake us and even rock our christian experience, but let that not destroy us, because God wants us to take heart in HIS power to bring us still closer to Him in spite of others and their leadership (or lack there of). We can't have loyalty to an organization, but only to our God. I make choices every day, hopefully responsible ones, to support those and that which I can respect. Search and seek, you will find.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 2 2006, 02:53 PM

I agree with you completely in that we should never elevate any human being, however, we must respect the position, but not necessarily the person, in the same way Paul respected the High Priest's position, although not the person himself.

I am very concerned about the person holding the position. If what is being said about this person is even remotely true, then that person needs to be exposed and removed, however, I am not sure if this the the proper way to do it, however, if I couldn't find any other way to do it, I would probably resort to this myself.

By the way, I am going to ignore any accusative posts, so don't waste your breath.

Posted by: sister Jul 2 2006, 02:55 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 02:31 PM)

Sister,

I can understand your caution, therefore, let me go into another direction.

I am only on page 10 of this topic as far as reading it goes.

Are you saying anywhere that Pastor Mark Finley and Pastor John Lomacang know the truth about Danny & Linda, but are spouting the lie?

Daryl,

I will answer any questions that I can concerning what I have written, but I do suggest that you limit your questions in regard to what you have already read. It will make the process much easier for both of us.

You do have the option to go to my profile and read everything that I have posted on BSDA. But I do believe that you would benefit from reading it within the context of the thread.

Sister

Posted by: watchbird Jul 2 2006, 03:22 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 02:53 PM)

I agree with you completely in that we should never elevate any human being, however, we must respect the position, but not necessarily the person, in the same way Paul respected the High Priest's position, although not the person himself.

I am very concerned about the person holding the position. If what is being said about this person is even remotely true, then that person needs to be exposed and removed, however, I am not sure if this the the proper way to do it, however, if I couldn't find any other way to do it, I would probably resort to this myself.

I would hope that you are not equating the owner of a TV uplink facility with the High Priest's position. That seems to be stretching things considerably far.

As for "exposed and removed", it sounds as though we are in agreement on this part. However, BSDA is not a legal body and has no power to remove anyone (other than from their own forum), so that part of the remedy has to be left to others. What BSDA **does** have, however, is the public "bulletin board" where things can be posted for all who wish to come and read. IOW, BSDA is a very important part of the "expose" part of what needs to be done. And it also provides a place where things of an "exposure" nature may be either confirmed by others with similar knowledge, or challenged by those who disagree with what is said. It seems a rather important point that so far there has been a lot more confirming going on that there has been challenging.

QUOTE(sister @ Jul 2 2006, 02:55 PM)

Daryl,

I will answer any questions that I can concerning what I have written, but I do suggest that you limit your questions in regard to what you have already read. It will make the process much easier for both of us.

You do have the option to go to my profile and read everything that I have posted on BSDA. But I do believe that you would benefit from reading it within the context of the thread.

Sister

Yes indeed, as Sister says, "within the context of the thread" is extremely important. For it is there that you will find people posing some of the same questions that you are wrestling with, and thus you will be able to read the answers as you go along. And also you will find people who will be adding details to her telling of the story, and thus not only confirming, but expanding upon it.

Enjoy the journey.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 2 2006, 03:39 PM

I just read the following from one of Sister's posts:

"The truth of the matter is that this \$100,000.00 worth of equipment actually came from Joe Crews, the founder of Amazing Facts, in exchange for air time."

Isn't there a way to confirm this either way from the records of Amazing Facts?

Posted by: statrei Jul 2 2006, 04:23 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 05:39 PM)

I just read the following from one of Sister's posts:

"The truth of the matter is that this \$100,000.00 worth of equipment actually came from Joe Crews, the founder of Amazing Facts, in exchange for air time."

Isn't there a way to confirm this either way from the records of Amazing Facts?

Apparently she has. Anyone who doubts her facts can call Amazing Facts.

Posted by: Fran Jul 2 2006, 04:34 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 01:31 PM)

Sister,

I can understand your caution, therefore, let me go into another direction.

I am only on page 10 of this topic as far as reading it goes.

Are you saying anywhere that Pastor Mark Finley and Pastor John Lomacang know the truth about Danny & Linda, but are spouting the lie?

From my point of view, I'd say that John and Mark both knew the problem Danny had. Linda's only problem was that she was in the dark about the problem until right before the end of the marriage. Then, I'd say she was in such shock she dove under cover to catch her breath and take time to reason out what had just happened. The wife is always the last to know. Wives will believe their husband lies before they will believe anyone else telling her the truth, but when it comes from the husband, it is like getting shot in the gut and bleeding for a very, very long time.

John and Mark came in about 5-6 years too late. The damage had already been done. There was no way to take his action back! It was a done deal and it was there right in his face. John and Mark were unable to change the past. All that they could do was deal with the problem right in their face with 3ABN at stake. The only choice Danny had was to get rid of Linda or be found out! They backed him for "The greater Good". Oops! Guess they decided wrong. It has NOT been for the greater good! It could be the perception of apparent death of him and 3ABN.

That is only my opinion. I understand that doesn't mean much anymore.

I have posted post after post showing documentation about how he is deceitful in his financial dealings. I have backed it up with public documentation. AToday wrote an article about their questionable practices. Then, Danny protested AToday's statement about a piano, and AToday wrote about that piano statement. It was published, but the statement didn't clear him by any means. It only brought MORE questions to mind.

Daryl, don't worry because no one cares about him misusing the Donors money. You see, he shares! He is not the only benefactor!

Does anyone care? Obviously not, because no one ever commented about the financial parts anyway. So, see, Danny could care less about any of this. He continues with the "God told me " line to this day. He begs for people to send 3ABN money. I would suggest he continues to believe he is too close to God to be harmed or have to pay the penalty for any of his earthly sins.

Who knows? He could be right, or terribly WRONG! Sister, keep posting. We are all waiting.

To everyone,

Can someone help me find the document that states the Linda can't talk for 2 years? I guess I missed that in the contract. Is that 2 years after the contract was signed, or 2 years after the divorce? Is she ever going to be released? I believe she signed under duress.

So Daryl, read the complete thread, every post and every thought. Then come back and ask your questions. In the mean time, those that are 1st hand witnesses should try to get this back on topic by post some more information.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jul 2 2006, 04:42 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Jul 2 2006, 03:34 PM)

From my point of view, I'd say that John and Mark both knew the problem Danny had. Linda's only problem was that she was in the dark about the problem until right before the end of the marriage. Then, I'd say she was in such shock she dove under cover to catch her breath and take time to reason out what had just happened. The wife is always the last to know. Wives will believe their husband lies before they will believe anyone else telling her the truth, but when it comes from the husband, it is like getting shot in the gut and bleeding for a very, very long time.

John and Mark came in about 5-6 years too late. The damage had already been done. There was no way to take his action back! It was a done deal and it was there right in his face. John and Mark were unable to change the past. All that they could do was deal with the problem right in their face with 3ABN at stake. The only choice Danny had was to get rid of Linda or be found out! They backed him for "The greater Good". Oops! Guess they decided wrong. It has NOT been for the greater good! It could be the perception of apparent death of him and 3ABN.

That is only my opinion. I understand that doesn't mean much anymore.

I have posted post after post showing documentation about how he is deceitful in his financial dealings. I have backed it up with public documentation. AToday wrote an article about their questionable practices. Then, Danny protested AToday's statement about a piano, and AToday wrote about that piano statement. It was published, but the statement didn't clear him by any means. It only brought MORE questions to mind.

Daryl, don't worry because no one cares about him misusing the Donors money. You see, he shares! He is not the only benefactor!

Does anyone care? Obviously not, because no one ever commented about the financial parts anyway. So, see, Danny could care less about any of this. He continues with the "God told me " line to this day. He begs for people to send 3ABN money. I would suggest he continues to believe he is too close to God to be harmed or have to pay the penalty for any of his earthly sins.

Who knows? He could be right, or terribly WRONG! Sister, keep posting. We are all waiting.

To everyone,

Can someone help me find the documetation that states the Linda can't talk for 2 years? I guess I missed that in the contract. Is that 2 years after the contract was signed, or 2 years after the divorce? Is she ever going to be released? I believe she signed under duress.

So Daryl, read the complete thread, every post and every thought. Then come back and ask your questions. In the mean time, those that are 1st hand witnesses should try to get this back on topic by post some more information.

Fran, did you go to her website (www.lindashelton.org) and see the attached document she has there that was was forced to sign? It states it there. She can not speak about this till Dec of this year, or her severence is cut off (gagged). Personally, I think this was a mixed blessing, as Danny is bringing himself down, and she can't be blamed for it. It also caused people to watch Danny's actions carefully, and notice his bashing of her while he knew she could say nothing in her own defense. That hurt him. Made people very suspicious. Yes, she did sign this under complete duress. I know this to be a fact.

Posted by: Fran Jul 2 2006, 05:09 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jul 2 2006, 04:42 PM) □

Fran, did you go to her website (www.lindashelton.org) and see the attached document she has there that was was forced to sign? It states it there. She can not speak about this till Dec of this year, or her severence is cut off (gagged). Personally, I think this was a mixed blessing, as Danny is bringing himself down, and she can't be blamed for it. It also caused people to watch Danny's actions carefully, and notice his bashing of her while he knew she could say nothing in her own defense. That hurt him. Made people very suspicious. Yes, she did sign this under complete duress. I know this to be a fact.

Thank you! Now everyone knows where it is.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 2 2006, 05:36 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Jul 2 2006, 04:34 PM) □

To everyone,

Can someone help me find the documetation that states the Linda can't talk for 2 years? I guess I missed that in the contract. Is that 2 years after the contract was signed, or 2 years after the divorce? Is she ever going to be released? I believe she signed under duress.

I agree. And the exact url is <http://www.lindashelton.org/contract.html>. It is not listed on one of her menus, but is to be found embedded in one of the "answers" in the Q&A page. It actually gives dates as well as length of time. Here is the exact wording of that section:

QUOTE

3. Three Angels also agrees to pay Linda Shelton \$75,000 for each of the years 2005 and 2006. This money will be paid out in 24 equal installments (\$6,250.00) beginning the first Monday of January, 2005, and continuing to be paid on the first Monday of each month for the next 23 months, ending with the payment on the first Monday of December 2006. These payments shall continue to be made only insofar as Mrs. Shelton complies with the conditions specified below.

I suppose the whole document could be posted here since it is already displayed in a public place. It is a facsimile, so it will either have to be posted as an image file or else typed by someone.

Posted by: Fran Jul 2 2006, 05:45 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Jul 2 2006, 06:09 PM)

Thank you! Now everyone knows where it is.

Can anyone explain #7 where it saYs, "during the period of payments to Mrs. Shelton or **afterwards**" means?

<http://www.lindashelton.org/>

Select the Question Tab and read #3, "Why is it that you are not able to defend yourself from the accusations in a frank and open manner?" With in the Answer you will see red letters "Click here to view this contract" Click on those word. You are there.

Or go to: <http://www.lindashelton.org/contract.html>.

I suggest the longer way so you can read all the questions and devotionals....

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 2 2006, 06:36 PM)


I suppose the whole document could be posted here since it is already displayed in a public place. It is a facsimile, so it will either have to be posted as an image file or else typed by someone.

I was going to do that before I asked for the 2 year question, but someone complained about long posts, so I refrained. If someone else would like to do that, I would be more than happy to read the 4 page contract again for another refresher.

Posted by: HUGGINS130 Jul 2 2006, 10:50 PM

QUOTE(beachchild @ Jun 30 2006, 09:45 AM)

actually, if we're really lucky, the topic will flat out die.

IN THE MEAN TIME  snk STILL LURKIN

Posted by: Clay Jul 3 2006, 12:06 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 03:53 PM)

I agree with you completely in that we should never elevate any human being, however, we must respect the position, but not necessarily the person, in the same way Paul respected the High Priest's position, although not the person himself.

I am very concerned about the person holding the position. If what is being said about this person is even remotely true, then that person needs to be exposed and removed, however, **I am not sure if this the the proper way to do it, however, if I couldn't find any other way to do it, I would probably resort to this myself.**

By the way, I am going to ignore any accusative posts, so don't waste your breath.

naw Daryl I don't think you would... while I don't know you, it seems that you would probably support the status quo until it was proven beyond a doubt that it was time for a change.... I say that based on my observation of your running of your forum, which IMO tends to steer clear of controversy be it theological or otherwise.... your questions suggest this approach, i.e. avoid controversy, make sure its factual before you make it public, respect the position unless there is proof to the contrary.....

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 3 2006, 05:55 AM

You are probably right, Clay.

You may know me better than I know myself, however, according to the Bible, a thing is established, if I remember correctly, by two or more witnesses.

Posted by: Clay Jul 3 2006, 06:18 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 3 2006, 05:55 AM)

You are probably right, Clay.

You may know me better than I know myself, however, according to the Bible, a thing is established, if I remember correctly, by two or more witnesses.

well as you will read in this particular thread, two or more witnesses have shared the same testimony..... and as I stated previously, I don't really know you, I have observed how your forum is run and base my perceptions on that observation.... let me add... I could be totally wrong....

Posted by: watchbird Jul 3 2006, 06:41 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 2 2006, 05:36 PM)

I agree. And the exact url is <http://www.lindashelton.org/contract.html>. It is not listed on one of her menus, but is to be found embedded in one of the "answers" in the Q&A page. It actually gives dates as well as length of time. . . .

I suppose the whole document could be posted here since it is already displayed in a public place. It is a facsimile, so it will either have to be posted as an image file or else typed by someone.

QUOTE(Fran @ Jul 2 2006, 05:45 PM)

I was going to do that before I asked for the 2 year question, but someone complained about long posts, so I refrained. If someone else would like to do that, I would be more than happy to read the 4 page contract again for another refresher.

Actually it is only three pages. And "someone" complaining about long posts is not the same as whether or not "long posts" are permissible on BSDA. Note the "Check Post Length" on the "reply" screen. It will tell you both the length that is permissible as well as the length of the one you have created.

The document as posted on Linda's site allows for downloading, one .jpg file per page. So I'd suggest that it would be best to post it as three .jpg files rather than copying it, as it then retains its value as a primary source document rather than merely being a "copy". I frankly don't know how to do that, but since I see pictures on here frequently, I know it is possible. So I'm sure someone can either do it or explain to you how to do it.

Once here where we can easily take a look at it, I think there are those who are familiar with legaleze that can analyze it utilizing their expertise and years of experience.

Posted by: statrei Jul 3 2006, 06:46 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 3 2006, 06:55 AM)

You are probably right, Clay.

You may know me better than I know myself, however, according to the Bible, a thing is established, if I remember correctly, by two or more witnesses.

Stop playing that act, Daryl. One only has to visit your forum and read why you took away my posting privileges to understand how you think. Now, I understand why you think like that. You think that the Adventist church rescued you so you have a commttment to what you think it is. That turns out to be what its leaders tell you it is.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 3 2006, 07:35 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 3 2006, 05:55 AM) □

You are probably right, Clay.

You may know me better than I know myself, however, according to the Bible, a thing is established, if I remember correctly, by two or more witnesses.

Yes, this is the principle that I think we are all standing on. And as Clay commented, you will find those "two or more witnesses" who have stepped forward on BSDA to confirm each other's testimony.

And before going further, I apologize for being somewhat snippy in my previous posts to you. Facing a thread of this length is indeed a daunting task. But working your way through it carefully will yield much information. The real problem comes, not with the mere time that it takes to read it all the way through, but how to hold in mind what one reads in order to compare it with what is said later. Let me suggest a method which, while it involves some investment of time on the front end, I have found most helpful in tackling a task of this kind and size.

In suggesting this method I am assuming that the posts can be printed out, one page of posts at a time, the same as they were on the CA forum. It does take time, and it generates a large stack of paper. But if you do that then you can sit down with the tools of research (hi-lighter, black marker, pen for taking notes in the margins, etc) in your hand and be able to mark or hi-light important points, and cross out frivolous or distracting posts, and even cross reference various points.

You will also be able to figure out (not the identity of those who choose to not use their real names, but) who are primary sources (by which I mean ones who were actually witnesses or even a part of the actions they are describing), who are secondary sources (those who have personally talked with or had extensive personal contact with the primary sources), who are researchers and have examined primary source documents, both public and legal as well as personal mail exchanged between those who were "part of the action". In some cases these individuals give their actual identities early on, but still use a pseudonym and are always referred to by their pseudonym so as to protect their identity from all but the serious researcher. Please respect their desire for privacy.

Your stated desire to find the truth of the matter will stand you in good stead if you add to that the patience to examine the evidence carefully and methodically.

I want to add one other note, however. No where, not on BSDA nor on CA nor in any of the voluminous emails, public announcements, or lengthy explanations/accusations that have been created and exchanged during the last two years will you find the answer to your questions as to what certain people really know or knew; and why, in view of what they knew or didn't know, did they do what they did (or are doing). It is quite obvious when there are as many contradictions and outright accusations of lying going on, that someone is lying. The task of those who search for truth is to make a basic decision as to whom they will believe.

But even then we will not have all the information necessary to answer all of our questions, nor can that decision be made strictly on the basis of how many voices there are on each side who are giving their "testimonies." We cannot even know how many voices there are speaking in harmony with the first person witnesses who are speaking out on BSDA. As Sister has said, what you see here is only the "tip of the iceberg". Now she has used that phrase primarily to mean the amount of wrongs that have been revealed. I will use it also to refer to the number of persons who have spoken out. And there are more reasons for this than what may be accounted for in the comments on BSDA. Yes, privacy and fear play a big part in the smallness of this number. But those are not the only reasons. And I am not at liberty to name these other reasons. In due time they will be revealed, but that time

is not now. So please be sensitive as to how much you probe beyond what is being revealed here.

Posted by: beanchild Jul 3 2006, 08:25 AM

QUOTE(vonessa @ Jun 30 2006, 07:24 PM)



Cant resist.

lol! don't i look spiffy.

Posted by: Clay Jul 3 2006, 08:34 AM

FYI:

since this thread has gotten so long, we will be dividing it into the major sections (based on sister's narratives), and then placing the comments to those sections there... be patient as I hope to have this completed in a few hours....

Posted by: Jvat Jul 3 2006, 08:49 AM

Over the weekend, Danny, on 3ABN, once again referred to the information that was being written about him versus the ministry that he was involved in.

Posted by: Clay Jul 3 2006, 01:00 PM

editing complete... there are 10 chapters so far.... this editing may make it easier for those who wish to follow the story, go back and reread a section or whatever....

continue.....

Posted by: Fran Jul 3 2006, 01:44 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 3 2006, 07:41 AM)

Actually it is only three pages. And "someone" complaining about long posts is not the same as whether or not "long posts" are permissible on BSDA. Note the "Check Post Length" on the "reply" screen. It will tell you both the length that is permissible as well as the length of the one you have created.

The document as posted on Linda's site allows for downloading, one .jpg file per page. So I'd suggest that it would be best to post it as three .jpg files rather than copying it, as it then retains its value as a primary source document rather than merely being a "copy". I frankly don't know how to do that, but since I see pictures on here frequently, I know it is possible. So I'm sure someone can either do it or explain to you how to do it.

Once here where we can easily take a look at it, I think there are those who are familiar with legaleze that can analyze it utilizing their expertise and years of experience.

Why don't you go ahead and post them. You sound so much more experienced at this sort of stuff. I believe they would benefit by visiting the site and read all the questions and the letters written so far. She has a lot to say other than just that document. In fact, if one reads carefully, they will be richly blessed, That is of course, just my opinion. I know, not very credible, but my opinion no less.

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 3 2006, 02:00 PM)

editing complete... there are 10 chapters so far.... this editing may make it easier for those who wish to follow the story, go back and reread a section or whatever....

continue.....

Thank you so much!

Posted by: Clay Jul 3 2006, 01:52 PM

QUOTE

Why don't you go ahead and post them. You sound so much more experienced at this sort of stuff. I believe they would benefit by visiting the site and read all the questions and the letters written so far. She has a lot to say other than just that document. In fact, if one reads carefully, they will be richly blessed, **That is of course, just my opinion. I know, not very credible, but my opinion no less.**

until proven otherwise your opinion is as credible as others who have posted here.... thank you for sharing it....

Posted by: watchbird Jul 3 2006, 04:06 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 3 2006, 01:00 PM)

editing complete... there are 10 chapters so far.... this editing may make it easier for those who wish to follow the story, go back and reread a section or whatever....

continue....

Yes, indeed. Thank you very much. That was a **lot** of work!

Now when we want to send someone to look at something, we can identify the "chapter" that it is in, and it will be much easier for them. Also, there have been points that Sister has made that I fully intended to follow up on or ask her for more detail on, but the conversation turned in another direction and I put it off till I could no longer easily find the original story.

And given the ease with which the BSDA "Search Forum" function works, it will now be very easy to find the 3ABN threads, even the ones which are no longer current.

Thanks again!

Posted by: watchbird Jul 4 2006, 09:26 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 3 2006, 04:06 PM)

Yes, indeed. Thank you very much. That was a **lot** of work!

Now when we want to send someone to look at something, we can identify the "chapter" that it is in, and it will be much easier for them. Also, there have been points that Sister has made that I fully intended to follow up on or ask her for more detail on, but the conversation turned in another direction and I put it off till I could no longer easily find the original story.

And given the ease with which the BSDA "Search Forum" function works, it will now be very easy to find the 3ABN threads, even the ones which are no longer current.

Thanks again!

Ah, I perceive I should "update" my thanks, for I see that not only did you increase the ease at which

we could access the chapters of Sister's "Unauthorized History", but you give us our very own forum in which to house them. And not only that but you pulled all of the other threads on 3ABN together in this very same spot so we won't even have to use the search function. And then, to top it all off, you pinned the "History" threads together and set them on the top. How nice. How luxurious, even.

Thank you Thank you Thank you Thank you

Posted by: calvin Jul 4 2006, 09:54 AM

Glad you like it. Sister, Clay, PrincessDi and I collaborated on this, thinking that the discussions would be easier to follow. I am asking everyone to keep the 3ABN discussions in this forum. I will continue to keep this forum on the main index page as long as there is active discussion. I suspect Sister had not wrote the final chapter.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 4 2006, 11:52 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 4 2006, 09:54 AM)

Glad you like it. Sister, Clay, PrincessDi and I collaborated on this, thinking that the discussions would be easier to follow. I am asking everyone to keep the 3ABN discussions in this forum. I will continue to keep this forum on the main index page as long as there is active discussion. I suspect Sister had not wrote the final chapter.

I am a little concerned as to what "active discussion" might mean in this context. I strongly suspect there may be some fairly lengthy times when there is not a lot new that can be said publicly, thus there might be periods when discussion seems to cease for a time.

But this thread is in many ways different from other forums you have here in that its value is not totally in the amount of current discussion that is going on but even more than that it is a record of history that will stay valuable for a very long time even after the final chapters have been written. And the content of those "final chapters" has not even been drafted out yet, for the events that will be written in them have not yet occurred. So I hope that however slack things might get, that you will keep it always here where those who have never yet read the early chapters may continue to have easy access to it, and those that stay away for an extended period of time may find it again in the same place as when they left.

Posted by: Clay Jul 4 2006, 12:04 PM

QUOTE

I am a little concerned as to what "active discussion" might mean in this context. I strongly suspect there may be some fairly lengthy times when there is not a lot new that can be said publicly, thus there might be periods when discussion seems to cease for a time.

Don't stress... active simply means interest... a new post, or rehashing some of the older points, clarifying etc..... it should be easy to do.....and I'll lend a hand every now and again..... probably....lol

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 7 2006, 07:14 PM

From the following quote posted by sister,

QUOTE

So it was for Johann Thorvaldsson when a letter arrived for him from Danny Shelton. Danny wrote that since Moses and David could get away with adultery and murder, so can he, since God has given him such a great task to perform.

comes the following question to Johann:

Do you still have a copy of this letter? If so, isn't this damaging evidence against Danny Shelton?

Posted by: watchbird Jul 7 2006, 07:56 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 7 2006, 07:14 PM)

From the following quote posted by sister,

QUOTE

So it was for Johann Thorvaldsson when a letter arrived for him from Danny Shelton. Danny wrote that since Moses and David could get away with adultery and murder, so can he, since God has given him such a great task to perform.

comes the following question to Johann:

Do you still have a copy of this letter? If so, isn't this damaging evidence against Danny Shelton?

Daryl, Johann will doubtless answer when he gets back to his computer (it's the middle of the night for him). In the meantime, I'll just say that yes, Johann has not only "this" letter, but many like this, several of which I have copies of in my own files. But please note, that in the Pentecostal fog in which Danny and his friends live and move, this is not "damaging evidence", this is proof that Danny is God's messenger for today, and as thus he lives under a special dispensation of sweet permissiveness.

Posted by: beartrap Jul 7 2006, 11:45 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 2 2006, 03:53 PM)

I agree with you completely in that we should never elevate any human being, however, we must respect the position, but not necessarily the person, in the same way Paul respected the High Priest's position, although not the person himself.

God himself invented the priesthood, according to the Bible, as opposed to 3ABN which was invented by a man, and was built on a lie.

Someone suggested calling Amazing Facts regarding the "equipment story." I suggest contacting 3ABN. Ask specifically if 3ABN ever recieved one piece of television production equipment, other than one SM-58 microphone that didn't work, from Hal and Mollie Steenson.

Fact - No equipment from the Steensons was ever recieved by 3ABN (other than the broken microphone)

Fact - 3ABN's first TV equipment was recieved from Amazing Facts on barter. There was no donated equipment.

Fact - Any miracle story regarding donated equipment at the founding of 3ABN is a lie.

Posted by: Clay Jul 9 2006, 01:44 PM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Jul 8 2006, 12:45 AM)

God himself invented the priesthood, according to the Bible, as opposed to 3ABN which was invented by a man, and was built on a lie.

Someone suggested calling Amazing Facts regarding the "equipment story." I suggest contacting 3ABN. Ask specifically if 3ABN ever recieved one piece of television production equipment, other than one SM-58 microphone that didn't work, from Hal and Mollie Steenson.

Fact - No equipment from the Steensons was ever recieved by 3ABN (other than the broken microphone)

Fact - 3ABN's first TV equipment was recieved from Amazing Facts on barter. There was no donated equipment.

Fact - Any miracle story regarding donated equipment at the founding of 3ABN is a lie.

thanks for a quick review of the "facts" for those who have not been keeping up.....

Posted by: beartrap Jul 9 2006, 05:29 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 9 2006, 02:44 PM)


thanks for a quick review of the "facts" for those who have not been keeping up.....

LOL!

Posted by: Jewel50 Jul 10 2006, 03:12 PM

Just a quick thank you for my first post for putting these into chapters. I have come at the right

time it seems to get an easy read.



I have just finished reading ALL 10 Chapters and personally I believe what Sister and the rest are saying. I also can understand why they need to do it this way.

I too know first hand how people do not want to BELIEVE anyone in a high position can do such things and how those in power will take care of their own "for the good of the cause". I pray that all this will soon come to a swift "just" end for God's cause. God does know the end of all things and He works things out in His own way and using His own timeline. We might not like His timeline, I know I sure do not, as I want justice done right now. However, patience is not my virtue. Something that God has been working on me with.

Keep save all of you concerned in this.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 10 2006, 04:23 PM

I also just finished reading all 10 Chapters and compiled it into a word file.

I will now be reviewing what I read from that file.

Any further questions that I may have will come from there, or from any new posts here and elsewhere.

Posted by: Johann Jul 10 2006, 05:34 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 8 2006, 03:14 AM)

From the following quote posted by sister,
comes the following question to Johann:

Do you still have a copy of this letter? If so, isn't this damaging evidence against Danny Shelton?

Normally it would, Daryl. But when an insitution and its cognizant partners employ up to 15 lawyers just to convince the world that he is telling the truth, then no evidence seems convincing. So where is the TRUTH? Only in the hands of Mammon?

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 10 2006, 08:32 PM

sister,

After reading all 10 Chapters, it appears that you are using both first hand and second or third hand information in your posts.

Am I correct in my assumption?

If I am correct in my assumption, can you repost your first hand information somewhere in a new topic?

Could others who may be here also post their own first hand information also in a new topic?

Posted by: statrei Jul 10 2006, 08:35 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 10 2006, 10:32 PM) □

sister,

After reading all 10 Chapters, it appears that you are using both first hand and second or third hand information in your posts.

Am I correct in my assumption?

If I am correct in my assumption, can you repost your first hand information somewhere in a new topic?

Could others who may be here also post their own first hand information also in a new topic?

At that point it becomes second information from you, so what are you trying to accomplish?

Posted by: Jvat Jul 11 2006, 04:52 AM

Daryl, aren't you going a bit too far now, with these questions?

Posted by: Clay Jul 11 2006, 05:07 AM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Jul 11 2006, 05:52 AM) □

Daryl, aren't you going a bit too far now, with these questions?

lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for..... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....



If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me..... □ □

Posted by: statrei Jul 11 2006, 05:37 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 11 2006, 07:07 AM) □

lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for..... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....

If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it



would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me.....  

n Daryl defense, this is the reaction of a man who is sorely disappointed by what he has learned here. Daryl represents that large group who believe that membership in the right organization is all that is needed. Having found that organization he has placed full confidence in that organization. Now, he must deal with the reality that the Emperor has no clothes. His fear is, if this is true of 3ABN how far does the rot go.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 11 2006, 07:55 AM





QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 11 2006, 05:07 AM)

lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for.... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....

If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me.....  

QUOTE(statrei @ Jul 11 2006, 05:37 AM)

In Daryl defense, this is the reaction of a man who is sorely disappointed by what he has learned here. Daryl represents that large group who believe that membership in the right organization is all that is needed. Having found that organization he has placed full confidence in that organization. Now, he must deal with the reality that the Emperor has no clothes. His fear is, if this is true of 3ABN how far does the rot go.

I think it is much simpler than that.  Look at his quandary from his point of view. He has established as "ground rules" that only first or second hand "witness" is admissible for posting on his site. He realizes that when he reports on first hand testimonies he has become second hand witness, so that's OK. But he can't report on second hand information, nor on information gathered by researchers, for that wouldn't meet his criteria. And in his protected little world, he wouldn't even think of giving his sources so his members could go and look for themselves. So now he's caught in his own legalities.  How can he escape?  

TVsnack.

Stay tuned.

Posted by: statrei Jul 11 2006, 08:11 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 11 2006, 08:55 AM)

I think it is much simpler than that. Look at his quandary from his point of view. He has established as "ground rules" that only first or second hand "witness" is admissible for posting on his site. He realizes that when he reports on first hand testimonies he has become second hand witness, so that's OK. But he can't report on second hand information, nor on information gathered by researchers, for that wouldn't meet his criterial. And in his protected little world, he wouldn't even **think** of giving his sources so his members could go and look for themselves. So now he's caught in his own legalities. How can he escape?

TVsnack
Stay tuned.

n my best Professor Higgins voice, "By golly, I think you've got it." But you do open up the possibility hat our dear friend, by not revealing his sources to his forum (I wish I could read those private forums but Daryl does not consider me Adventist enough to have that privilege) is parading that nformation as his. It makes one wonder given his question about copyright permissions for "The televangelist."

Posted by: seraph|m Jul 11 2006, 08:12 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 11 2006, 07:07 AM)

lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for..... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....

since the bro. has pointed out that he has all the info in a "file" that stands to reason the information s at his disposal to review whenever he chooses.

QUOTE

If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me.....

this was also the first thing that came to mind when I read his statement. Guess it's not just me.

Posted by: Clay Jul 11 2006, 08:14 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 11 2006, 08:55 AM)

I think it is much simpler than that. Look at his quandary from his point of view. He has established as "ground rules" that only first or second hand "witness" is admissible for posting on his site. He realizes that when he reports on first hand testimonies he has become second hand witness, so that's OK. But he can't report on second hand information, nor on information gathered

by researchers, for that wouldn't meet his criterial. And in his protected little world, he wouldn't even **think** of giving his sources so his members could go and look for themselves. So now he's caught in his own legalities. How can he escape?

TVsnack

Stay tuned.

ol.... oh well.... rofl

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Jul 11 2006, 10:29 AM

You are correct in that I wish to separate the posts containing witnessed facts from that of second hand or even third hand facts, unless those facts are, or can be, documented.

And Darius, since you so kindly asked, I will give you reading access there.

Posted by: seraph|m Jul 11 2006, 10:37 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 11 2006, 12:29 PM)

You are correct in that I wish to separate the posts containing witnessed facts from that of second hand or even third hand facts, unless those facts are, or can be, documented.

And Darius, since you so kindly asked, **I will give** you reading access there.

thy will be done...

Posted by: statrei Jul 11 2006, 10:38 AM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jul 11 2006, 11:37 AM)

thy will be done...

Jp to first class. Just like that.


Posted by: Nuggie Jul 11 2006, 10:41 AM

QUOTE(statrei @ Jul 11 2006, 11:38 AM)



Up to first class. Just like that.

See, Dr. D...it's all about **how** you ask and **who** you ask... 

Posted by: summertime Jul 11 2006, 12:23 PM


QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 11 2006, 05:07 AM) 

lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for..... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....



If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me.....  

Clay, I think that you are right. Could there be a method to his madness? Could a 16th lawyer/advisor be added to the 3ABN list? Maybe I am wrong. Seems odd. A devil's advocate may not even know the role he is playing.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jul 11 2006, 12:34 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 11 2006, 07:07 AM) 


lol yanno.... there are quite a few threads here in the 3abn section that if read with a keen eye might provide the material he is looking for..... I don't know how much more can be done, we have placed all the info in the same place, even have chapter divisions.... now the request is that all those with 1st hand info come front and center and tell their stories in a new thread....

If I didn't know better I'd think someone is trying to round up all the possible suspects so that it would be easier to retaliate..... but I could be wrong... it may just be the conspiracy theorist in me getting the best of me.....  

Maybe he's a ferenghi and his food has to be pre-masticated for him...

In His service,
Mr. J


Posted by: Clay Jul 11 2006, 12:36 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jul 11 2006, 12:34 PM) 

Maybe he's a ferenghi and his food has to be pre-masticated for him...

In His service,
Mr. J

you continue in your sickness...



or would a group pic be more appropriate?



Posted by: sonshineonme Jul 11 2006, 08:32 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jul 11 2006, 11:34 AM)

Maybe he's a ferenghi and his food has to be pre-masticated for him...

In His service,
Mr. J

rofl rofl rofl rofl

can you imagine what a joke that would be?

Posted by: princessdi Jul 11 2006, 08:45 PM

But you came her with some "old" second hand info trying to pass it off as first hand.....until you learned that we pay attention here. Not many need to be spoon fed, and if they do, not for long. Now you got the nerve(yeah I said it!) to ask for only first hand info? Those in the know, realize you haven't read enough posts. Carry on!

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Jul 11 2006, 09:29 AM)

You are correct in that I wish to separate the posts containing witnessed facts from that of second hand or even third hand facts, unless those facts are, or can be, documented.

And Darius, since you so kindly asked, I will give you reading access there.

See!!! You wrong, Kevin!!!



QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jul 11 2006, 11:34 AM)

Maybe he's a ferenghi and his food has to be pre-masticated for him...

In His service,
Mr. J