

Printable Version of Topic

194

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Danny Shelton Court Case?

Posted by: jdawgj Aug 14 2006, 02:20 PM

Has anyone heard of Danny Shelton being involved in another, serious, court case that is not directly related to his divorce? I do not want to say what I heard this was about, in case it is just another ugly rumor.

JDawg

Posted by: Clay Aug 14 2006, 02:40 PM


QUOTE(jdawgj @ Aug 14 2006, 02:20 PM)

Has anyone heard of Danny Shelton being involved in another, serious, court case that is not directly related to his divorce? I do not want to say what I heard this was about, in case it is just another ugly rumor.

JDawg

I don't know that it is a rumor but an allegation that may finally be seeing the light of day.... oh wait... there are several allegations out there so I guess you can take your pick....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Aug 14 2006, 04:49 PM



(...and I'm chewing furiously.....)

Posted by: watchbird Aug 14 2006, 06:31 PM

QUOTE(jdawgj @ Aug 14 2006, 02:20 PM)

Has anyone heard of Danny Shelton being involved in another, serious, court case that is not directly related to his divorce? I do not want to say what I heard this was about, in case it is just another ugly rumor.

JDawg

Whenever there is some legal action pending or even being thought about, there is a period of time when it is inappropriate (to put it mildly) for there to be any mention or speculation, or even questionings about it in a public venue such as this. I would highly recommend therefore, that if anyone here hears a rumor or an allegation ... or even if someone knows for sure that such an action

is being taken or contemplated ... that rather than questioning about it on the forum that they should quietly PM one or another of us who have been posting knowlegeably about various aspects of the situation and handle all things of this nature behind the scenes, in private, until such a time as those who are either involved or who have been asked to release that information posts it in an affirmative way. Once something of this nature has been posted, then questions will be appropriate. Until then it is speculation that should not be indulged.

Calvin.... or whoever is authorized to do this would you please close this thread until someone contacts you saying that such a topic is appropriate?


Until that is done, will everyone please respect this topic by not responding further nor indulging in speculation about it?

Thank you very much.....  sig

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 14 2006, 06:41 PM

I second that motion, watchbird.

Posted by: jdawgj Aug 14 2006, 06:52 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 14 2006, 05:31 PM) 

Whenever there is some legal action pending or even being thought about, there is a period of time when it is inappropriate (to put it mildly) for there to be any mention or speculation, or even questionings about it in a public venue such as this. I would highly recommend therefore, that if anyone here hears a rumor or an allegation ... or even if someone knows for sure that such an action is being taken or contemplated ... that rather than questioning about it on the forum that they should quietly PM one or another of us who have been posting knowlegeably about various aspects of the situation and handle all things of this nature behind the scenes, in private, until such a time as those who are either involved or who have been asked to release that information posts it in an affirmative way. Once something of this nature has been posted, then questions will be appropriate. Until then it is speculation that should not be indulged.

Calvin.... or whoever is authorized to do this would you please close this thread until someone contacts you saying that such a topic is appropriate?

Until that is done, will everyone please respect this topic by not responding further nor indulging in speculation about it?

Thank you very much.....  sig

Okay. I will not say a word publically. If someone wants to contact me briefly, if they think it appropriate, I would appreciate it.

Posted by: calvin Aug 14 2006, 08:11 PM

Folks here have made every type of allegation imaginable against this man. All we have been doing is speculating. Now you want to call this topic off limits. Sorry, you can't have it both ways. As it relates to 3abn, its fair play for discussion.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 14 2006, 08:21 PM

Careful Calvin, as Stan of Club Adventist may do to you as he has done to me over at Club Adventist for doing what I am doing at MSDAOL.

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 08:30 PM

Who is Stan?

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Aug 14 2006, 06:21 PM) □

Careful Calvin, as Stan of Club Adventist may do to you as he has done to me over at Club Adventist for doing what I am doing at MSDAOL.

Posted by: calvin Aug 14 2006, 08:41 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Aug 14 2006, 09:21 PM) □

Careful Calvin, as Stan of Club Adventist may do to you as he has done to me over at Club Adventist for doing what I am doing at MSDAOL.

I have not ideal what you are referring too or why is should fear anything Stan can do to me. Di, Stan is the Admin of CA.

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 08:50 PM

He is also a member here, right?

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 14 2006, 06:41 PM) □

I have not ideal what you are referring too or why is should fear anything Stan can do to me. Di, Stan is the Admin of CA.

Posted by: calvin Aug 14 2006, 08:59 PM

I don't know Di. IF so he has not identified himself to me.


Posted by: watchbird Aug 15 2006, 02:28 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 14 2006, 08:59 PM)

I don't know Di. IF so he has not identified himself to me.

Ah, but he has "identified" himself in his posts in other areas of BSDA.

What was interesting over at "Daryl's place" was what it was that got Stan all bent out of shape and caused him to throw a tantrum, stomp his feet, run away, and slam the door behind him. Makes one wonder if there is any connection between that and the reason why CA is such an inhospitable place


for anyone wanting to discuss 3ABN problems 

Posted by: Clay Aug 15 2006, 04:42 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 15 2006, 03:28 AM)

Ah, but he has "identified" himself in his posts in other areas of BSDA.

What was interesting over at "Daryl's place" was what it was that got Stan all bent out of shape and caused him to throw a tantrum, stomp his feet, run away, and slam the door behind him. Makes one wonder if there is any connection between that and the reason why CA is such an

inhospitable place for anyone wanting to discuss 3ABN problems 

let's face it, some of us in the church don't like to discuss anything unpleasant and we don't want to believe that those in leadership positions could do anything wrong...

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 15 2006, 06:58 AM

Perhaps I shouldn't have posted what I posted about Stan here. I did it out of frustration of Stan trying to tell me what I can and can't do at MSDAOL to the extent that he has removed my access to the 3ABN Topics at Club Adventist and greatly limited my access and posting abilities elsewhere at Club Adventist, all because I was allowing things at MSDAOL that he was then also allowing at Club Adventist. It's like the pot calling the kettle black.


Posted by: watchbird Aug 15 2006, 07:13 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Aug 15 2006, 06:58 AM)

Perhaps I shouldn't have posted what I posted about Stan here. I did it out of frustration of Stan trying to tell me what I can and can't do at MSDAOL to the extent that he has removed my access

to the 3ABN Topics at Club Adventist and greatly limited my access and posting abilities elsewhere at Club Adventist, all because I was allowing things at MSDAOL that he was then also allowing at Club Adventist. It's like the pot calling the kettle black.

don't think so. I think it was good that you posted that here, and it would be good for us to note the specific topic that he objected to. Some of us have wondered for a long time why there was so much resistance to anything being said on CA that was negative towards Danny and 3ABN, and only with a great deal of care and a lot of editing was it possible to put anything on there at all. So my question is, do we get a slight clue from the fact that he came to MSDAOL and posted right along with everyone else until the topic of Garwin's control of the church came up. Then it was tantrum time Did it maybe hit too close to some place marked out as "forbidden territory" in his world?

 sofa1.gif

Posted by: Rough7Seas Aug 15 2006, 07:38 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 15 2006, 05:42 AM)

let's face it, some of us in the church don't like to discuss anything unpleasant and we don't want to believe that those in leadership positions could do anything wrong...

Would you say that the rest don't want to believe that the church could be wrong?

Posted by: Clay Aug 15 2006, 07:45 AM

QUOTE(Rough7Seas @ Aug 15 2006, 07:38 AM)

Would you say that the rest don't want to believe that the church could be wrong?

so I would say that to some the church is NEVER wrong...

Posted by: Clay Aug 15 2006, 07:57 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 15 2006, 07:13 AM)

I don't think so. I think it was good that you posted that here, and it would be good for us to note the specific topic that he objected to. Some of us have wondered for a long time why there was so much resistance to anything being said on CA that was negative towards Danny and 3ABN, and only with a great deal of care and a lot of editing was it possible to put anything on there at all. So my question is, do we get a slight clue from the fact that he came to MSDAOL and posted right along with everyone else until the topic of Garwin's control of the church came up. Then it was tantrum time Did it maybe hit too close to some place marked out as "forbidden territory" in his world?



sounds like that area is becoming or already is a sacred cow.... sacred cows are barbequed around these parts.... if one is going to look at the big picture then look at the big picture... don't get ticked cause questions are being asked that are uncomfortable..... of course Stan is always welcome to state here what his objection is... and answer any additional questions people may have...

Posted by: watchbird Aug 15 2006, 08:21 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 15 2006, 07:57 AM)

sounds like that area is becoming or already is a sacred cow.... sacred cows are barbequed around these parts.... if one is going to look at the big picture then look at the big picture... don't get ticked cause questions are being asked that are uncomfortable..... of course Stan is always welcome to state here what his objection is... and answer any additional questions people may have...

sacred cows"..... "barbequed"..... I love it

brunchtime

Posted by: princessdi Aug 15 2006, 09:26 AM

We have a member named Stan.....

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 14 2006, 06:59 PM)

I don't know Di. IF so he has not identified himself to me.

Posted by: calvin Aug 15 2006, 10:19 AM

I have no ideal if this is the same Stan from CA

Posted by: watchbird Aug 15 2006, 11:00 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 15 2006, 10:19 AM)

I have no ideal if this is the same Stan from CA

The watchbird knows. PrincessDi knows. You can know too.

Posted by: calvin Aug 15 2006, 12:24 PM

The identity of anybody here is not important to me. All are welcome as long as they abide by a few common sense rules of conduct.

Posted by: inga Aug 15 2006, 05:43 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 15 2006, 08:45 AM)

no I would say that to some the church is NEVER wrong...

But in this case, we're not discussing "the church" nor even any sort of church entity! Calvin was spot on when he pointed out that 3ABN is a **business**. The Illinois state court judge concluded that 3ABN is a **family business**. Why don't we "get it" that 3ABN is a **business**?

It's **business** that just happens to exploit the Adventist message and the Adventist membership for profit. That makes it **very far** from being a "ministry" -- especially when you consider how they treat the folks who come to work in this "ministry."

Really now, if we take a look at the way Danny, Mollie, Walt & John L run the show, it's very clear that 3ABN is anything but a ministry. It's clearly a **business**. Once people "get that," the rest is much easier.

Again, let's join September in praying that God will open people's eyes. It's much better that Danny uses the rope he has to hang himself than for others to try to do it for him. And he can't seem to help himself from boasting sanctimoniously, accusing unspecified enemies and lying in such a manner that the thinking person can see -- certainly with the help of the Holy Spirit.

And just a word about Stan ...

Though I most thoroughly disagree with him, I really think that Stan would just like everyone to get along and play nice. In person, he's jolly and affable and makes everyone feel right at home. A couple of our boys and their wives, in discussing the personell at the B.C. Conference office noted how unfriendly the whole staff seemed to be -- making visiting members feel like they're intruding in a private club. They pointed out that a business would never get away with such "service." However, they mentioned a couple notable exceptions -- Stan Jensen, who makes everyone feel welcome, and the Duane, the manager of the ABC. So, the way I figure it, Stan just can't believe that all this evil stuff about Danny can possibly be true. It is all just evil gossip, and he's closing his ears to this "gossip."

Like I said, I disagree, but I can understand ... sort of ...

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 15 2006, 06:02 PM

I would like to think that this all is gossip over the thought that it is all true, however, I sadly feel that the bulk of it is true with some possible gossip here and there, and I stress some possible gossip.

Posted by: princessdi Aug 15 2006, 06:08 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Aug 15 2006, 04:43 PM) □

But in this case, we're not discussing "the church" nor even any sort of church entity! Calvin was spot on when he pointed out that 3ABN is a **business**. The Illinois state court judge concluded that 3ABN is a **family business**. Why don't we "get it" that 3ABN is a **business**?

Oh, I think "we" get it, Inga. The problem is there are those who are still offering their money, prayers and support who don't. They don't because this business is being portrayed as a "ministry" So they don't get it because they are being lied to. Simple!

It's **business** that just happens to exploit the Adventist message and the Adventist membership for profit. That makes it **very far** from being a "ministry" -- especially when you consider how they treat the folks who come to work in this "ministry."

Really now, if we take a look at the way Danny, Mollie, Walt & John L run the show, it's very clear that 3ABN is anything but a ministry. It's clearly a **business**. Once people "get that," the rest is much easier.

Again, let's join September in praying that God will open people's eyes. It's much better that Danny uses the rope he has to hang himself than for others to try to do it for him. And he can't seem to help himself from boasting sanctimoniously, accusing unspecified enemies and lying in such a manner that the thinking person can see -- certainly with the help of the Holy Spirit.

And just a word about Stan ...

Though I most thoroughly disagree with him, I really think that Stan would just like everyone to get along and play nice. In person, he's jolly and affable and makes everyone feel right at home. A couple of our boys and their wives, in discussing the personell at the B.C. Conference office noted how unfriendly the whole staff seemed to be -- making visiting members feel like they're intruding in a private club. They pointed out that a business would never get away with such "service." However, they mentioned a couple notable exceptions -- Stan Jensen, who makes everyone feel welcome, and the Duane, the manager of the ABC. So, the way I figure it, Stan just can't believe that all this evil stuff about Danny can possibly be true. It is all just evil gossip, and he's closing his ears to this "gossip."

Like I said, I disagree, but I can understand ... sort of ...

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 15 2006, 06:26 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Aug 15 2006, 04:43 PM) □

And just a word about Stan ...

Though I most thoroughly disagree with him, I really think that Stan would just like everyone to get along and play nice. In person, he's jolly and affable and makes everyone feel right at home. A couple of our boys and their wives, in discussing the personnell at the B.C. Conference office noted how unfriendly the whole staff seemed to be -- making visiting members feel like they're intruding in a private club. They pointed out that a business would never get away with such "service." However, they mentioned a couple notable exceptions -- Stan Jensen, who makes everyone feel welcome, and the Duane, the manager of the ABC. So, the way I figure it, Stan just can't believe that all this evil stuff about Danny can possibly be true. It is all just evil gossip, and he's closing his ears to this "gossip."

Like I said, I disagree, but I can understand ... sort of ...

It is nice to hear these things about Stan. I'm sure this has been an issue for him as well as the rest of us to work through, absorb and admit. My problem is this; I would not want to be deceived. I would not want to put my head in the sand, and I would not want to be responsible for helping others do either of these things. I would want to know, as much as it might hurt, because when you stump growth (even when it hurts) in yourself and others, you also carry a weight that is not necessary and a pain that is eventually going to blow up in your face. I hope that Stan will be willing to REALLY look at this head on, and realize what is really going on here, it won't do any good to pretend otherwise. I tell you, it is a situation for all of us individually to deal with. Not just the big players, but all of us. This is far more about what happened to one or two people, etc. This is evil at work. We all better open our eyes and let God teach us a thing or two, before it's too late. Stan owes nothing to anyone. Only to God and himself (in that order or course). It's not always easy, but God can move mountains. JMO



Posted by: inga Aug 15 2006, 11:43 PM

QUOTE

(princessdi @ Aug 15 sometime after 4:43 PM)

QUOTE

(inga @ Aug 15 2006, 04:43 PM)

But in this case, we're not discussing "the church" nor even any sort of church entity! Calvin was spot on when he pointed out that 3ABN is a business. The Illinois state court judge concluded that 3ABN is a family business. Why don't we "get it" that 3ABN is a business?

Oh, I think "we" get it, Inga. The problem is there are those who are still offering their money, prayers and support who don't. They don't because this business is being portrayed as a "ministry" So they don't get it because they are being lied to. Simple!

Yes, I'm sure most folks on this board "get it." I was thinking of the larger Adventist community.

figure that one of the most useful things we can do is to help people understand that 3ABN is a **business**. It will put all things re 3ABN in a totally different perspective for them.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)