



## Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

---

### BlackSDA \_ 3ABN \_ What We Know As Truth So Far

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 1 2007, 12:11 PM**

Happy New Year to all of you!

On this first day of 2007, I submit this thread topic to you as a sort of clearinghouse, a recap of information. Not just any information, not speculation but what actual truth we know and have discovered on this 3abn forum journey so far.

The types of information that would be acceptable here are:

1. First hand experience that you would feel comfortable sharing before God and in a court of law.
2. Information that can be verified with documentation.
3. Information that has been established as true by all parties involved, no matter how small.

When you submit information, you must also submit the documentation or type of verification you have or your personal statement that it is from your first hand experience.

If you know someone with documentation or verifiable first hand information that would be pertinent to this list, please encourage them to become members here on BSDA and share it with us.

As submissions are verified as items of truth, it would be nice to be able to list them in an area close to the beginning of this thread accompanied by the link to the initial submission.

To refute information posted as truth you must/should be able to verifiably demonstrate its inaccuracy.

I would simply ask that any frivolous challenges be avoided. I have edited out the final part that Calvin disagrees with. As he states, opinions are always welcome here.

---

**Posted by: calvin Jan 1 2007, 12:39 PM**

Opinions are always welcome here so a topic where only factual information is acceptable is something I would not enforce. As we have seen here, what one considers factual are often disputed.

It is my opinion that we have sliced and diced this story enough for people to form their own opinion as to what is truth without anymore summary or pinned topics.

---

**Posted by: Skyhook Jan 1 2007, 02:44 PM**

If I recall correctly, Gaillon Joy said that if ASI, 3abn and Linda did not come to an agreement about a fair and open investigation of all the facts, by an impartial panel, by Jan. 2007, he would go public with the results of his investigation. I assume "going public" means media attention. Is there any new information about Mr. Joy's plans?

---

**Posted by: Observer Jan 1 2007, 04:11 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Skyhook @ Jan 1 2007, 01:44 PM)**

If I recall correctly, Gaillon Joy said that if ASI, 3abn and Linda did not come to an agreement about a fair and open investigation of all the facts, by an impartial panel, by Jan. 2007, he would go public with the results of his investigation. I assume "going public" means media attention. Is there any new information about Mr. Joy's plans?

Several of us involved in these discussions believe that we are near a decision, whatever that decision may be. You will note that Mr. Joy' statement referenced January, and did not specifically state January 1. I personally expect that prior to the middle of January we will either be able to announce that an agreement has been reached in which ASI will mediate, or an announcement will be made that there has been a failure to reach such an agreement.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 2 2007, 08:17 PM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 1 2007, 11:39 AM)**

Opinions are always welcome here so a topic where only factual information is acceptable is something I would not enforce. As we have seen here, what one considers factual are often disputed.

It is my opinion that we have sliced and diced this story enough for people to form their own *opinion as to what is truth without anymore summary or pinned topics.*

Hi folks.

In a PM from Calvin yesterday he assured me that my topic was not inappropriate, only that he would not enforce facts only in the way I had requested. He further said that I could speak to Clay and PrincessDi to see if they would be willing to do such. (Clay, Di, ya interested?)

I received a PM from Aletheia telling me she thought the thread was a good idea and was sorry that it got shot down. It has not been shot down, but it sure shows how well respected the opinion of the owner of this site is!

I have asked Calvin if he could come over and reassure everyone that it is okay to carry on with the topic.

Thanks,  
PeacefullyBewildered

---

**Posted by: calvin Jan 2 2007, 09:17 PM**

PB is correct. I was giving my opinion as a members, not wearing my administrator hat. Also, I have no interest personally in moderating the topic as PB requested. Every topic has to live or die on its own merit. So if there is an interest people will respond accordingly.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 2 2007, 09:44 PM**

Thanks Calvin.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 3 2007, 07:35 AM**



Since there haven't been any submissions to the list to date, could we perhaps discuss if this is a worthwhile exercise?

---

**Posted by: Pickle Jan 3 2007, 08:37 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 3 2007, 07:35 AM)**



Since there haven't been any submissions to the list to date, could we perhaps discuss if this is a worthwhile exercise?

Feel free to copy and paste anything I've written that you think qualifies.

My hands are full at present, so I'll have to pass on doing it myself.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 3 2007, 08:59 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 3 2007, 08:35 AM)**



Since there haven't been any submissions to the list to date, could we perhaps discuss if this is a worthwhile exercise?

I say no; it would only be yet another regurgitation of that which is already been posted; there's a reason the forum software has search capability... and all of the information has been collected in a single sub-forum rather than being spread hither and yon...

What you are asking is an even further distillation to soundbite level, which I think would not help one see the how and why of the various positions found in this area. This is a 3 year on going conversation where the journey from the outset to now and the opinions expressed matter...not to mention the issue of who determines what has been 'proven'? There are some who will not believe it until they see the nail prints and thrust their hand in His side... even in the face of first hand testimony... so as far as they are concerned the issue is agnostic; it's unproven and unprovable... or at least that's their story and they are sticking to it..

Just my \$0.02

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 3 2007, 10:49 AM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 3 2007, 09:59 AM) □**

I say no; it would only be yet another regurgitation of that which is already been posted; there's a reason the forum software has search capability... and all of the information has been collected in a single sub-forum rather than being spread hither and yon...

What you are asking is an even further distillation to soundbite level, which I think would not help one see the how and why of the various positions found in this area. This is a 3 year on going conversation where the journey from the outset to now and the opinions expressed matter...not to mention the issue of who determines what has been 'proven'? There are some who will not believe it until they see the nail prints and thrust their hand in His side... even in the face of first hand testimony... so as far as they are concerned the issue is agnostic; it's unproven and unproveable... or at least that's their story and they are sticking to it..

Just my \$0.02

In His service,  
Mr. J

I agree with Mr. J. Those who come here seriously looking for information would want to see all that has been said from the beginning. Those who are not seriously looking for information would not believe what was said in one special thread anyhow.

We are at the stage where the main thing for most of us to do is to develop patience as we wait and see the results of the information that has already been disseminated... and to see what new things are being brought by current researchers. Repetitions of things already said only distract and frustrate the person who is looking for information and gets weary of bumping into the same things over and over again.

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 3 2007, 11:11 AM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 3 2007, 11:49 AM) □**

I agree with Mr. J. Those who come here seriously looking for information would want to see all that has been said from the beginning. Those who are not seriously looking for information would not believe what was said in one special thread anyhow.

We are at the stage where the main thing for most of us to do is to develop patience as we wait and see the results of the information that has already been disseminated... and to see what new things are being brought by current researchers. Repetitions of things already said only distract and frustrate the person who is looking for information and gets weary of bumping into the same things over and over again.

I agree with both Mr. J. and Watchbird. In addition to frustrating the person who is looking for information and gets weary of bumping into the same things over and over again, it is also frustrating to answer the same type of questions over and over again. I would suggest that newbies in the area of 3ABN do their homework first and read through the threads or use the search function as was

suggested.

Sister

---

**Posted by: Pickle Jan 3 2007, 11:17 AM**

Just to give another side of things, I'd say that something like the Factual Information forum at Maritime is helpful to folks who want to see the facts without a lot of bantering back and forth.

But whatever. Things are moving pretty fast these days anyway.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 3 2007, 11:30 AM**

Mr. J, WB, Sister, Pickle,

All of you make excellent points and I defer to those of you who have the wisdom and experience of longer membership here on the 3abn forum. 

We will let this topic slide onto the next page or so and get about the business of helping newbies learn to search the forum for themselves.

Pickle, you are right. Things seem to be progressing quickly now, anyway. Would you mind posting a link to the Factual Information forum on Maritime for those who might be interested?

---

**Posted by: Pickle Jan 3 2007, 11:39 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 3 2007, 11:30 AM)**

Pickle, you are right. Things seem to be progressing quickly now, anyway. Would you mind posting a link to the Factual Information forum on Maritime for those who might be interested?

There will be links to it throughout my more recent correspondence with Danny.

Here's a link: <http://www.maritime-sda-online.org/forums/ubbthreads.php?ubb=postlist&Board=107&page=1>

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 3 2007, 09:32 PM**

PB, Bob made a suggestion:

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Jan 3 2007, 09:37 AM)**

Feel free to copy and paste anything I've written that you think qualifies.

My hands are full at present, so I'll have to pass on doing it myself.

If you felt so inclined, you could briefly summarize some facts as you see them and include the URLs to the places where the evidence is posted. Many folks would find that helpful, I'm sure.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 4 2007, 11:11 AM**

---

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 3 2007, 08:32 PM) □**

PB, Bob made a suggestion:  
If you felt so inclined, you could briefly summarize some facts as you see them and include the URLs to the places where the evidence is posted. Many folks would find that helpful, I'm sure.

Alas, my plate is similarly full as well right now. If others would like to that would be great.  
PB

---

**Posted by: calvin Jan 4 2007, 01:35 PM**

Summary Truth So Far:

Danny/Linda divorce  
Linda fired  
Danny remarries

Everything else is speculation, he said/she said, who shot John.

See that was easy.

---

**Posted by: HUGGINS130 Jan 5 2007, 08:35 PM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 4 2007, 01:35 PM) □**

Summary Truth So Far:

Danny/Linda divorce  
Linda fired  
Danny remarries

Everything else is speculation, he said/she said, who shot John.

See that was easy.

 it's who shot J.R.

---

**Posted by: Treniece Jan 20 2007, 04:05 PM**

I don't know what to believe.

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 20 2007, 04:47 PM**

**QUOTE(Treniece @ Jan 20 2007, 05:05 PM) □**

I don't know what to believe.

Read from the beginning... starting with the pinned items... and go patiently through the "guided tour" to the "unauthorized history" threads. Keep track of "who's who" among the posters as you go. Go way back to the beginning... and work your way through the posts from the very beginning, notice how others also did not "know what to believe". Watch as they came to a decision point. Examine the evidence which brought them there. If you are really serious about learning, print it all off and work your way through it in hard copy where you can more easily sort and compare one things with another. And along the way you will come to understand that it is really not so simple as Calvin summarized.

It will not happen in a day for there is way too much reading material here to cover in a day. But gradually you will come to a conviction point in your own mind as to whom to believe and whom to disbelieve.

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 20 2007, 05:00 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 20 2007, 04:47 PM) □**

Read from the beginning... starting with the pinned items... and go patiently through the "guided tour" to the "unauthorized history" threads. Keep track of "who's who" among the posters as you go. Go way back to the beginning... and work your way through the posts from the very beginning, notice how others also did not "know what to believe". Watch as they came to a decision point. Examine the evidence which brought them there. If you are really serious about learning, print it all off and work your way through it in hard copy where you can more easily sort and compare one things with another. And along the way you will come to understand that it is really not so simple as Calvin summarized.

It will not happen in a day for there is way too much reading material here to cover in a day. But gradually you will come to a conviction point in your own mind as to whom to believe and whom to disbelieve.

There are more documents printed at <http://www.save3abn.com>

You may want to keep track of "who's who" in those documents, too, with little notes in a notebook.

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 20 2007, 05:37 PM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 20 2007, 05:00 PM) □**

There are more documents printed at <http://www.save3abn.com>

You may want to keep track of "who's who" in those documents, too, with little notes in a notebook.

By any chance has anyone created a timeline of events? I have learned in my work that such a visual tool is often helpful. I'm sure all here are very busy and not sitting around looking for something to do.  But if anyone who knows enough of the story is ever bored  it would sure help me put it all together! Maybe I'll start my own... There is software that does this kind of stuff. In the meantime, I am still reading...!!

|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|-----|

'01 '02 '03 '04 '05 '06 '07

June '04 March '06  
D&L divorce D&B marry

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 20 2007, 05:35 PM)**

By any chance has anyone created a timeline of events? I have learned in my work that such a visual tool is often helpful. I'm sure all here are very busy and not sitting around looking for something to do.  But if anyone who knows enough of the story is ever bored  it would sure help me put it all together! Maybe I'll start my own... There is software that does this kind of stuff. In the meantime, I am still reading...!!

|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|-----|

'01 '02 '03 '04 '05 '06 '07

June '04 March '06  
D&L divorce D&B marry

OK - I have formatting problems... but you get the idea? (Sorry - I am still learning how to use all the features here )

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 20 2007, 05:44 PM**

A timeline, as you suggest, would be an interesting tool!

---

**Posted by: Chimaz Jan 20 2007, 09:57 PM**

**QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 4 2007, 11:35 AM)**

Summary Truth So Far:

Danny/Linda divorce  
Linda fired  
Danny remarries

Everything else is speculation, he said/she said, who shot John.

See that was easy.

That's it! That's all?

What about all the allegations of child abuse?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 20 2007, 10:07 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Chimaz @ Jan 20 2007, 08:57 PM)**

That's it! That's all?

What about all the allegations of child abuse?

Chimaz, I believe that is Calvin's personal summary of what has been verified so far.

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 21 2007, 08:06 AM**

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 4 2007, 01:35 PM)**

Summary [/b]Truth So Far:

Danny/Linda divorce

Linda fired

Danny remarries

Everything else is speculation, **he said/she said, who shot John.**

**See that was easy.**

**You got it Calvin. The rest of this is just [b]gossip** even Pickle said "all he has is a title to a car". Probably it would be helpful if you would add a rule to your site **No Speculations**. Of course it may not be so popular then.

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 21 2007, 08:50 AM**

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 21 2007, 09:06 AM)**

You got it Calvin. The rest of this is just **gossip** even Pickle said "all he has is a title to a car". Probably it would be helpful if you would add a rule to your site **No Speculations**. Of course it may

not be so popular then.

Calvin was summarizing what is fact about Danny's firing of Linda and divorce and remarriage. He did not speak to the multitudes of others who have been summarily dismissed... either by firing or by pressure to resign.

Nor did he speak to a number of other areas where there is documentation of wrongdoing. Legal documents are not the only "proof" that is required by scriptural standards... there is also the witness of two or three people.... and there are a long list of things for which we have the witness of many more than two or three.

But... you would dearly love to have all of the focus put on Linda, wouldn't you... this has been the ploy from the beginning. Keep all the eyes on the red(headed) herring, and ... the nose being in the close proximity to the eyes as it is.... they won't smell the stench from the real carcasses.

Sorry... that dog don't hunt in these parts. 

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 21 2007, 08:56 AM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 21 2007, 08:50 AM)**

Calvin was summarizing what is fact about Danny's firing of Linda and divorce and remarriage. He did not speak to the multitudes of others who have been summarily dismissed... either by firing or by pressure to resign.

Nor did he speak to a number of other areas where there is documentation of wrongdoing. Legal documents are not the only "proof" that is required by scriptural standards... there is also the witness of two or three people.... and there are a long list of things for which we have the witness of many more than two or three.

But... you would dearly love to have all of the focus put on Linda, wouldn't you... this has been the ploy from the beginning. Keep all the eyes on the red(headed) herring, and ... the nose being in the close proximity to the eyes as it is.... they won't smell the stench from the real carcasses.

Sorry... that dog don't hunt in these parts. 

I have been shown that much sin has resulted from idleness. Active hands and minds do not find time to heed every temptation which the enemy suggests, but idle hands and brains are all ready for Satan to control. The mind, when not properly occupied, dwells upon improper things. 1T395

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 21 2007, 09:12 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 21 2007, 09:56 AM)**

I have been shown that much sin has resulted from idleness. Active hands and minds do not find

time to heed every temptation which the enemy suggests, but idle hands and brains are all ready for Satan to control. The mind, when not properly occupied, dwells upon improper things. 1T395

It sounds as though you must be VERY idle.

---

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 21 2007, 11:00 AM**

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 21 2007, 08:06 AM)**

You got it Calvin. The rest of this is just **gossip** even Pickle said "all he has is a title to a car". Probably it would be helpful if you would add a rule to your site **No Speculations**. Of course it may not be so popular then.

Yeah, let's do that. And while we are at it, let's ban all speculation from the media as well. Let's just make it illegal to speculate about anything or anyone. That would surely cut down on all that CNN, Fox Headline News, etc. has to report on...and save us a lot of time.

---

**Posted by: Chimaz Jan 21 2007, 11:02 AM**

It would be nice if there were an FAQ to bring new people up to speed. When I read the title to this thread... "What We Know As Truth So Far, Verifiable information on the 3abn situation" I thought voila!

Despite some resistance, this is what we have so far...

---

**QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 4 2007, 11:35 AM)**

Summary Truth So Far:

Danny/Linda divorce  
Linda fired  
Danny remarries

Everything else is speculation, he said/she said, who shot John.

See that was easy.

From my perspective if this is all that stands as the truth so far, and everything else including the allegations of child abuse is speculation, then I'm seriously perplexed by all the other stuff.

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 20 2007, 08:07 PM)**

Chimaz, I believe that is Calvin's personal summary of what has been verified so far.

It would be very helpful if we could establish summary of truth that isn't based on he said/she said. If

"everything else" as in the "aggregate sum total of all" isn't speculation, then could you be so kind to add to Calvin's three points?

- 1 - Danny /Linda divorce
- 2 - Linda fired
- 3 - Danny remarries
- 4 - ?
- 5 - ?
- 6 - ?
- 7 - ?

It would be ideal if this could be accomplished in a clear concise manner without lengthy statements. Please be succinct. Short snappy statements like first three do not require interpretation.

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 21 2007, 11:27 AM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 21 2007, 10:12 AM)**

It sounds as though you must be VERY idle.

Watch out for those bed sores!



**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 21 2007, 11:58 AM**

**QUOTE(Chimaz @ Jan 21 2007, 10:02 AM)**

It would be nice if there were an FAQ to bring new people up to speed. When I read the title to this thread... "What We Know As Truth So Far, Verifiable information on the 3abn situation" I thought voila!

I, once again, apologize for starting this topic because it has, indeed, diverted some folks from searching for truth themselves. It is very important to study the information for oneself, building the proper foundation of learning who is passing on "he-said/she-said" information and who is speaking from first-hand experience. There are many present and former employees of 3abn posting here who **have seen things with their own eyes**. Also, as watchbird said, there are many things posted here that are corroborated by two or three eye witnesses.

**QUOTE**

From my perspective if this is all that stands as the truth so far, and everything else **including the allegations of child abuse is speculation**, then I'm seriously perplexed by all the other stuff. It would be very helpful if we could establish summary of truth that isn't based on he said/she said. If "everything else" as in the "aggregate sum total of all" isn't speculation, then could you be so kind to add to Calvin's three points?

- 1 - Danny /Linda divorce
- 2 - Linda fired
- 3 - Danny remarries

I will flesh out your list with a few more succinct statements.

**QUOTE**

- 4 - ? Brad Dunning claims he was sexually assaulted by Tommy Shelton
- 5 - ? Roger Clem claims he was sexually assaulted by Tommy Shelton
- 6 - ? Duane Clem describes Tommy Shelton's multiple discomfoting advances.
- 7 - ? Tommy Shelton "confesses" by email to Duane Clem.

It would be ideal if this could be accomplished in a clear concise manner without lengthy statements. Please be succinct. Short snappy statements like first three do not require interpretation.

If you have read the statements from Roger Clem, Brad Dunning, Scott Clem, Duane Clem and Pastor Glenn Dryden, it is hard for me to understand how you can call the allegations of child abuse speculation!

If you are unwilling to weigh the information here on this forum for yourself it is unlikely that you will be able to draw any intelligent conclusions as to what is truth and what is mere speculation. I know it is a daunting task but if you feel a burden to know the truth it is the best way to proceed.

PB

**Posted by: Clay Jan 21 2007, 12:11 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 21 2007, 11:58 AM)**

I, once again, apologize for starting this topic because it has, indeed, diverted some folks from searching for truth themselves. It is very important to study the information for oneself, building the proper foundation of learning who is passing on "he-said/she-said" information and who is speaking from first-hand experience. There are many present and former employees of 3abn posting here who **have seen things with their own eyes**. Also, as watchbird said, there are many things posted here that are corroborated by two or three eye witnesses.

I will flesh out your list with a few more succinct statements.

If you have read the statements from Roger Clem, Brad Dunning, Scott Clem, Duane Clem and Pastor Glenn Dryden, it is hard for me to understand how you can call the allegations of child abuse speculation!

If you are unwilling to weigh the information here on this forum for yourself it is unlikely that you will be able to draw any intelligent conclusions as to what is truth and what is mere speculation. I know it is a daunting task but if you feel a burden to know the truth it is the best way to proceed.

PB

don't forget the context.... The chairperson of the 3abn board said that the allegations against Tommy Shelton were 30 yrs old or older.... and Tommy was hired by 3abn, part of his duties was the kids programming....

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 21 2007, 12:50 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 21 2007, 11:11 AM) □**

don't forget the context.... The chairperson of the 3abn board said that the allegations against Tommy Shelton were 30 yrs old or older.... and Tommy was hired by 3abn, part of his duties was the kids programming....

Well, they will be 30 years old in another 10 years or so.

---

**Posted by: Chimaz Jan 21 2007, 02:01 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 21 2007, 09:58 AM) □**

If you have read the statements from Roger Clem, Brad Dunning, Scott Clem, Duane Clem and Pastor Glenn Dryden, it is hard for me to understand how you can call the allegations of child abuse speculation!

If you are unwilling to weigh the information here on this forum for yourself it is unlikely that you will be able to draw any intelligent conclusions as to what is truth and what is mere speculation. I know it is a daunting task but if you feel a burden to know the truth it is the best way to proceed.

PB,

I did not call the allegations of child abuse speculation. I merely questioned them because The Boss didn't qualify those allegations as truth. Apart from the first three points, he said, "Everything else is speculation." Is The Boss not familiar with the statements from Brad Dunning and the Clem clan? If he knows these things, why does he dismiss the allegations and not qualify them as truth? Could it be they are inconclusive or lack credibility?

There's an overwhelming amount of information for new comers to sort through here, so there' got to be a better way to approach this subject than having to sort and sift through it all in order to come to an intelligent conclusion "as to what is truth and what is mere speculation." That's why a condensed version would be very helpful. I hope it isn't too much to ask for.

---

**Posted by: Brenda Jan 21 2007, 02:28 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Chimaz @ Jan 22 2007, 06:01 AM) □**

There's an overwhelming amount of information for new comers to sort through here, so there' got to be a better way to approach this subject than having to sort and sift through it all in order to come to an intelligent conclusion "as to what is truth and what is mere speculation." That's why a condensed version would be very helpful. I hope it isn't too much to ask for.

Chimaz I agree a condensed version of events here would be helpful but a few points occur to me

There is probably no one that has the time to produce such a version

Such a version could bring criticism from 'the other side' that it was biased, not painting the whole picture of what has been presented here.

In a sense, new readers are owed nothing here - they are as welcome as the 'oldies' to read whatever is written but how much they want to read or wade through is entirely their business.

Just my 0.02c (and I am quite happy to contribute the money to anyone wanting to start on the concentrated form  )

---

**Posted by: gardener Jan 21 2007, 02:57 PM**

What do you think about putting the letters from the abused in the pinned area?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 21 2007, 03:08 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Chimaz @ Jan 21 2007, 01:01 PM)**

PB,

I did not call the allegations of child abuse speculation. I merely questioned them because The Boss didn't qualify those allegations as truth. Apart from the first three points, he said, "Everything else is speculation." Is The Boss not familiar with the statements from Brad Dunning and the Clem clan? If he knows these things, why does he dismiss the allegations and not qualify them as truth? Could it be they are inconclusive or lack credibility?

There's an overwhelming amount of information for new comers to sort through here, so there' got to be a better way to approach this subject than having to sort and sift through it all in order to come to an intelligent conclusion "as to what is truth and what is mere speculation." That's why a condensed version would be very helpful. I hope it isn't too much to ask for.

Chimaz,

The Boss has told us he is staying neutral in this 3abn situation. He has allowed a small portion of BlackSDA.com to be used as the 3abn forum where information and opinions can be posted and discussed. I guess you would have to ask Calvin directly any questions about the statements from Brad Dunning and the Clem clan but he pretty much leaves it up to the individual member to arrive at their own conclusions.

I know there's an overwhelming amount of information here. When I first arrived in August of 2006 I tried to get others to give me the condensed version but was told the same thing that all newbies are - start with the pinned items on the top and then start going through the topics.

Keeping up with the most informative current topics while doing the background reading is also a good idea. As you go along, you will have the opportunity to ask the posters who appear to be in the know if their information comes from first-hand experience or from other sources - members such as sister, uncle sam, Panama\_Pete, husbandoftheyear, beartrap and Johann are just some who have

this first-hand, eyewitness information. Others, like Bob Pickle, Observer and Gailon Joy, are the investigators and post some of the fruits of their work. You will be able to tell, with some reading, those members who are close to the situation and those who, while not necessarily eyewitness, are discerning readers who provide helpful insight into it all.

If you are honestly searching for the truth, nobody will mind you asking questions about how they came to know the information or even advice on where to find things.

PB

**QUOTE(gardener @ Jan 21 2007, 01:57 PM)**

What do you think about putting the letters from the abused in the pinned area?

Having them all in one central area would be helpful but that would be up to Calvin and his administrators.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 21 2007, 04:01 PM**

Chimaz and other newbies,

Watchbird posted some valuable advice on page 2 of this topic on how best to proceed with your search of this 3abn forum. See post #21.

PB

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11965&st=15>

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 21 2007, 04:58 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 21 2007, 05:01 PM)**

Chimaz and other newbies,

Watchbird posted some valuable advice on page 2 of this topic on how best to proceed with your search of this 3abn forum. See post #21.

PB

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=11965&st=15>

The direct url to that is <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=11965&view=findpost&p=171384>

As to pinning more items to the top.. essentially that robs us of space for new posts on the page, so it isn't really a good idea to have so many... which is why all of the sections plus the guided tour to the Unauthorized History threads are to be found under one item at the top. But if you will take that one, and follow all the links in the guided tour you will have a fairly good overview of the topics as they were presented.

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 21 2007, 06:48 PM**

I have simple advice for "newbies" that are truly trying to find out the "whole truth".....

1. Don't ask any questions for the first week. Write down what you want to ask.
2. Read all "pinned" threads in the 3ABN area.
3. If after reading all the "pinned" threads in the 3ABN area you are still questioning; then refer back to your question, the thread it was in, and link them together - quoting the original comment and adding your question. Do this only in the "correct" thread/link.
4. Use the "PM" function to ask questions of particular members. They will answer if the questions are "sensible" and are not an attempt to "attack" or "just disprove" without listening to all sides.

HTH! 

---

**Posted by: howdy Jan 22 2007, 06:49 PM**

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jan 21 2007, 07:48 PM)**

I have simple advice for "newbies" that are truly trying to find out the "whole truth".....

1. Don't ask any questions for the first week. Write down what you want to ask.
2. Read all "pinned" threads in the 3ABN area.
3. If after reading all the "pinned" threads in the 3ABN area you are still questioning; then refer back to your question, the thread it was in, and link them together - quoting the original comment and adding your question. Do this only in the "correct" thread/link.
4. Use the "PM" function to ask questions of particular members. They will answer if the questions are "sensible" and are not an attempt to "attack" or "just disprove" without listening to all sides.

HTH! 

By the way someone stated categorically that G. Joy has made his "findings" public. Is this true and if so where can I read the results of G. Joy's "investigation"?

howdy

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 06:51 PM**

**QUOTE(howdy @ Jan 22 2007, 05:49 PM)**

By the way someone stated categorically that G. Joy has made his "findings" public. Is this true and if so where can I read the results of G. Joy's "investigation"?

howdy

Part of what Gailon has gathered can be found at [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com).

---

**Posted by: howdy Jan 22 2007, 08:18 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 22 2007, 07:51 PM) □**

Part of what Gailon has gathered can be found at [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com).

Well there is not much at that site. Any of us could have provided that information in 5 minutes without an investigation. Frankly I am getting "fed up" as I have mentioned before with these experts and "know-it-alls" who promised to blow the affair wide open months ago!

howdy

---

**Posted by: calvin Jan 22 2007, 09:02 PM**

**QUOTE(howdy @ Jan 22 2007, 08:18 PM) □**

Well there is not much at that site. Any of us could have provided that information in 5 minutes without an investigation. Frankly I am getting "fed up" as I have mentioned before with these experts and "know-it-alls" who promised to blow the affair wide open months ago!

howdy

I agree Howdy. Just what did he blow wide open? So he summarized what is here. Who would even find this guys website if they did not find it listed here first. So where is the mainstream media or the religious media? So is Joy really connected to get this story out to the masses,? I don't think so.

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 22 2007, 09:56 PM**

**QUOTE(howdy @ Jan 22 2007, 08:18 PM) □**

Well there is not much at that site. Any of us could have provided that information in 5 minutes without an investigation. Frankly I am getting "fed up" as I have mentioned before with these experts and "know-it-alls" who promised to blow the affair wide open months ago!

howdy

Howdy,

There is not really much here at all anywhere. All they do is set around and repeat the same things over, over, and over. I believe they are trying to brainwash themselves. They think once they believe it, they will accomplish some great thing. □

Gailon don't get on here much, that I have seen. I saw a post myself that he was going to do some big thing in January but well that's about gone. Maybe he is going to make another big statement and

then another date and well over, over, and over. As long as he can string them along, believe me they will fall for it. Most excitement they have had in awhile. They TALK, TALK, TALK and that is all!

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 10:09 PM**

**QUOTE(howdy @ Jan 22 2007, 07:18 PM) □**

Well there is not much at that site. Any of us could have provided that information in 5 minutes without an investigation. Frankly I am getting "fed up" as I have mentioned before with these experts and "know-it-alls" who promised to blow the affair wide open months ago!

howdy

Howdy,

Did you read Tommy Shelton's emailed "confession" to victim #7, Duane Clem? If this isn't starting to "blow the affair wide open" I don't know what would qualify.

Link to Tommy's confession

<http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm>

PB

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 10:31 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 08:56 PM) □**

Howdy,

There is not really much here at all anywhere. All they do is set around and repeat the same things over, over, and over. I believe they are trying to brainwash themselves. They think once they believe it, they will accomplish some great thing. □

Gailon don't get on here much, that I have seen. I saw a post myself that he was going to do some big thing in January but well that's about gone. Maybe he is going to make another big statement and then another date and well over, over, and over. As long as he can string them along, believe me they will fall for it. Most excitement they have had in awhile. They TALK, TALK, TALK and that is all!

Eyewitness,

I believe that I noticed it was you repeating things over and over and over most recently.

As for brainwashing ourselves, I don't buy it. What I believe is once you verify something with two or three witnesses, as the Bible suggests, one may safely believe it to be true. I have asked both you and bystander to refute the testimonies of Brad Dunning, Roger Clem and Scott Clem if you are able. I have not heard any compelling argument from either of you that these are not true testimonies. Can you refute Tommy Shelton's confession to Duane Clem?

What would our Lord have us do with these young men who have come forward and the other alleged victims who have not, as yet? Should we discount them and ignore what the Bible says about repentance and restitution? And what about Tommy? Would Jesus expect that he would be made accountable for his actions or is it enough to say he has asked God for forgiveness? What about the next time he might desire the companionship of someone other than his wife? Is it okay if the parents

of the potential prey are not aware that there is an alleged predator around their child.

I came here hoping **NOT** to find any detrimental truth about Danny, Tommy and others at 3abn. Unfortunately I found some. Show me where I have been brainwashed.

---

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)  
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)