

## Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

---

### BlackSDA \_ 3ABN \_ Did You Receive The Call?

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 22 2007, 11:59 AM**

Do you remember what happened to Miriam?

How about "but the false report of the unfaithful spies was accepted, and through it the whole congregation were deluded." PP Chapter 34. Numbers 13.

"The unfaithful spies were loud in denunciation of Caleb and Joshua and the cry was raised to stone them."

Oh, but there is more!

"The Glory of His Presence, like a flaming light, illuminated the tabernacle. All the people beheld the signal of the Lord. A mightier one than they, had reveled Himself, and none dared continue their resistance. The spies who brought the evil report crouched terror-stricken, and with bated breath sought their tents." . . . . .

"As ye have spoken in Mine ears, so will I do to you: your carcasses shall fall in this wilderness, and all that were numbered of you, according to your whole number, for twenty years old and upward. . . .

"When Moses made known to the people the divine decision, their rage was changed to mourning. They knew that their punishment was just. The ten unfaithful spies, divinely smitten by the plague, perished before the eyes of all Israel; and in their fate the people read their own doom." PP. Chapter 34

How about the Rebellion of Korah!

Have you forgotten that we serve the same God, today.

#### **Malachi 3:6 "For I am the Lord , I change not;. . .**

Moses speaking to the Korah and all his company:

"DEPART I PRAY YOU, FROM THE TENTS OF THESE WICKED MEN, AND TOUCH NOTHING OF THEIRS, LEAST YE BE CONSUMED IN ALL THEIR SINS." Numbers 16:26

What happened?

Numbers 16:31 "And it came to pass, as he had made an end of speaking all these words, that the ground clave asunder that was under them: and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up, and their houses, and all the men that appertained unto Korah, and all their goods. They, and all that appertained to them, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished from amount the congregation."

Good reading for those of you who do not know truth, observe here by curiosity or just love the way to destruction.

Patriarchs and Prophets, Chapter 35

We serve the same God!!!!!!

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

What is your response to this, more of the same?

We serve a loving God. He is full of Love but it is presumption to think that His Love will cover the

consequences of Sin.

You claim to be the "Trumpet Sounders". OK. Are you sure? These individuals above were very sure of themselves as well!

---

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 22 2007, 12:27 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 11:59 AM)**

Do you remember what happened to Miriam?

How about "but the false report of the unfaithful spies was accepted, and through it the whole congregation were deluded." PP Chapter 34. Numbers 13.

"The unfaithful spies were loud in denunciation of Caleb and Joshua and the cry was raised to stone them."

Oh, but there is more!

"The Glory of His Presence, like a flaming light, illuminated the tabernacle. All the people beheld the signal of the Lord. A mightier one than they, had reveled Himself, and none dared continue their resistance. The spies who brought the evil report crouched terror-stricken, and with bated breath sought their tents." . . . . .

"As ye have spoken in Mine ears, so will I do to you: your carcasses shall fall in this wilderness, and all that were numbered of you, according to your whole number, for twenty years old and upward. . . .

"When Moses made known to the people the divine decision, their rage was changed to mourning. They knew that their punishment was just. The ten unfaithful spies, divinely smitten by the plague, perished before the eyes of all Israel; and in their fate the people read their own doom."

PP. Chapter 34

How about the Rebellion of Korah!

Have you forgotten that we serve the same God, today.

**Malachi 3:6 "For I am the Lord , I change not; . . .**

Moses speaking to the Korah and all his company:

"DEPART I PRAY YOU, FROM THE TENTS OF THESE WICKED MEN, AND TOUCH NOTHING OF THEIRS, LEAST YE BE CONSUMED IN ALL THEIR SINS." Numbers 16:26

What happened?

Numbers 16:31 "And it came to pass, as he had made an end of speaking all these words, that the ground clave asunder that was under them: and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up, and their houses, and all the men that appertained unto Korah, and all their goods. They, and all that appertained to them, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished from amount the congregation."

Good reading for those of you who do not know truth, observe here by curiosity or just love the way to destruction.

Patriarchs and Prophets, Chapter 35

We serve the same God!!!!!!

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

What is your response to this, more of the same?

We serve a loving God. He is full of Love but it is presumption to think that His Love will cover the consequences of Sin.

You claim to be the "Trumpet Sounders". OK. Are you sure? These individuals above were very sure of themselves as well!

Eyewitness, what a wonderful comparison you have made. The similarities are frightening. Those that read your post with an honest heart will see and understand  
bystander

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 22 2007, 12:29 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 11:59 AM)**

Do you remember what happened to Miriam?

How about "but the false report of the unfaithful spies was accepted, and through it the whole congregation were deluded." PP Chapter 34. Numbers 13.

"The unfaithful spies were loud in denunciation of Caleb and Joshua and the cry was raised to stone them."

Oh, but there is more!

"The Glory of His Presence, like a flaming light, illuminated the tabernacle. All the people beheld the signal of the Lord. A mightier one than they, had reveled Himself, and none dared continue their resistance. The spies who brought the evil report crouched terror-stricken, and with bated breath sought their tents." . . . . .

"As ye have spoken in Mine ears, so will I do to you: your carcasses shall fall in this wilderness, and all that were numbered of you, according to your whole number, for twenty years old and upward. .

.. .

"When Moses made known to the people the divine decision, their rage was changed to mourning. They knew that their punishment was just. The ten unfaithful spies, divinely smitten by the plague, perished before the eyes of all Israel; and in their fate the people read their own doom."

PP. Chapter 34

How about the Rebellion of Korah!

Have you forgotten that we serve the same God, today.

**Malachi 3:6 "For I am the Lord, I change not;. . .**

Moses speaking to the Korah and all his company:

"DEPART I PRAY YOU, FROM THE TENTS OF THESE WICKED MEN, AND TOUCH NOTHING OF THEIRS, LEAST YE BE CONSUMED IN ALL THEIR SINS." Numbers 16:26

What happened?

Numbers 16:31 "And it came to pass, as he had made an end of speaking all these words, that the ground clave asunder that was under them: and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed them up, and their houses, and all the men that appertained unto Korah, and all their goods. They, and all that appertained to them, went down alive into the pit, and the earth closed upon them: and they perished from amount the congregation."

Good reading for those of you who do not know truth, observe here by curiosity or just love the way to destruction.

Patriarchs and Prophets, Chapter 35

We serve the same God!!!!!!

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

What is your response to this, more of the same?

We serve a loving God. He is full of Love but it is presumption to think that His Love will cover the consequences of Sin.

You claim to be the "Trumpet Sounders". OK. Are you sure? These individuals above were very sure of themselves as well!

Hi Eyewitness,

I really do appreciate the thoughts you have shared. I am not in either "camp" so to say, but I am curious about one thing. What sermon do you have prepared for those alleged abuse victims? What do we say to them in regards to their feelings and their salvation? Should they just be ignored? This entire mess is really quite troubling.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 12:33 PM**

Am I the only one that noticed these three are not on at the same time? Or is that a faulty observation?

---

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 22 2007, 10:29 AM)**

Hi Eyewitness,

I really do appreciate the thoughts you have shared. I am not in either "camp" so to say, but I am curious about one thing. What sermon do you have prepared for those alleged abuse victims? What do we say to them in regards to their feelings and their salvation? Should they just be ignored? This entire mess is really quite troubling.

Yes, they are nice words, but it is very very clear, "they" don't care about those you mentioned. That should be a clue, huh?

---

**Posted by: daylily Jan 22 2007, 01:10 PM**

sonshineonme, I agree. I've just been sitting here puzzling over this. If Joe Smith is as kind, caring and loving as he would like us to think, based on his pleas, why does he not feel any sorrow or sympathy for the Clem brothers or Linda? Many BSDA posters have said they pray for the Sheltons, etc. I honestly don't understand why he/she does not answer the questions asked of him/her. It seems to me that if he/she could honestly vindicate Tommy and/or Danny that he/she would do so rather than just rebuke bsda posters.

I have no eye witness accounts to give, no first hand experiences but I really don't think the Clem brothers would lie about something like this. And I really don't think Pickle would counterfeit letters and post them here. So what are we left to believe?

Joe, Eye Witness, Bystander..what are you trying to do? Is it a kind and loving thing to let people destroy themselves and others? I do see some sarcasm and unkind words here sometimes but for the most part I see folks trying to figure out what the truth is. What is your ultimate goal? Tommy's and Danny's (and others) salvation or their destruction?

If I remember correctly, Gailon Joy said if the 3ABN board did not respond to his findings within a certain time period, that he would go public with his findings. They didn't and he did. Why didn't the board respond? Did they want him to go public? Is he to be blamed for doing what he said he would do?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 22 2007, 01:18 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 22 2007, 11:27 AM) □**

Eyewitness, what a wonderful comparison you have made. The similarities are frightening. Those that read your post with an honest heart will see and understand  
bystander

Bystander, eye witness, Joe, Teresa it is frustrating for us here who have a burden to bring truth to light about dangerous behavior at 3abn, who bring forth scripture and SOP passages to clearly show God's support of our endeavors, and who DO look on you and your comrades with tender hearts full of the desire to see you step away from the denial you are clouded in, it is frustrating to see that you don't have eyes to see or ears to hear that reality that we are exposing! Whatever your motives have been to stay tucked away in the world you see through your Shelton-colored glasses I hope and pray that you will someday understand and walk out of the darkness into His marvelous light.

I know that some here have gotten cynical in their reactions and that sometimes there has been bantering about appearances. (I happen to think that Pastor Lomacang is a lovely man, ears, hair and all)

It is unfortunate that you have chosen to focus on those petty things and not the larger picture of what happens to young people whose trust is betrayed by their own shepherd. How does this affect the Lord's Ministry? Especially when the very president of that ministry has helped to cover up the alleged crimes and intimidate the victims into silence. Is this what Paul had in mind of how to deal with sinners? Somehow, as God and His angels are standing there at 3abn, I doubt that He is much pleased when he sees this behavior in His representatives.

Just know this, I and others will be praying that you do open your eyes and ears to the truth and then do what is within your power to rectify the wrongs instead of helping to hide them.

And, Eye witness, as far as your warning about what happened to Miriam, are you certain you are giving that warning to the right group? I think not. It might be prudent make a dash for the doors if the ground around 3abn starts shaking for I notice several things in Proverbs 6:16-19 that indicate that you and your cohorts better fit the characteristics of the unfaithful spies.

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

---

**Posted by: lookin4truth Jan 22 2007, 01:29 PM**

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 12:59 PM) □**

Do you remember what happened to Miriam?

How about "but the false report of the unfaithful spies was accepted, and through it the whole congregation were deluded." PP Chapter 34. Numbers 13.

"The unfaithful spies were loud in denunciation of Caleb and Joshua and the cry was raised to stone them."

Oh, but there is more!

"The Glory of His Presence, like a flaming light, illuminated the tabernacle. All the people beheld the signal of the Lord. A mightier one than they, had reveled Himself, and none dared continue their resistance. The spies who brought the evil report crouched terror-stricken, and with bated breath sought their tents." . . . . .

"As ye have spoken in Mine ears, so will I do to you: your carcasses shall fall in this wilderness, and

I don't recall reading in any of the passages you have sited where Moses was molesting children, and that Joshua or Caleb covered for him.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 22 2007, 01:37 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 22 2007, 09:18 PM) □**

Bystander, eye witness, Joe, Teresa it is frustrating for us here who have a burden to bring truth to light about dangerous behavior at 3abn, who bring forth scripture and SOP passages to clearly show God's support of our endeavors, and who DO look on you and your comrades with tender hearts full of the desire to see you step away from the denial you are clouded in, it is frustrating to see that you don't have eyes to see or ears to hear that reality that we are exposing! Whatever your motives have been to stay tucked away in the world you see through your Shelton-colored glasses I hope and pray that you will someday understand and walk out of the darkness into His marvelous light.

I know that some here have gotten cynical in their reactions and that sometimes there has been bantering about appearances. (I happen to think that Pastor Lomacang is a lovely man, ears, hair and all)

It is unfortunate that you have chosen to focus on those petty things and not the larger picture of what happens to young people whose trust is betrayed by their own shepherd. How does this affect the Lord's Ministry? Especially when the very president of that ministry has helped to cover up the alleged crimes and intimidate the victims into silence. Is this what Paul had in mind of how to deal with sinners? Somehow, as God and His angels are standing there at 3abn, I doubt that He is much pleased when he sees this behavior in His representatives.

Just know this, I and others will be praying that you do open your eyes and ears to the truth and then do what is within your power to rectify the wrongs instead of helping to hide them.

And, Eye witness, as far as your warning about what happened to Miriam, are you certain you are giving that warning to the right group? I think not. It might be prudent make a dash for the doors if the ground around 3abn starts shaking for I notice several things in Proverbs 6:16-19 that indicate that you and your cohorts better fit the characteristics of the unfaithful spies.

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness**

**that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

On MSDAOL Danny Shelton is quoted saying the Lord has shown him that Satan is the god of details. Is this why those details are so important to Danny's friends?

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 22 2007, 01:39 PM**

Ok?!!! This is just another example of comparing Danny to Moses, John the Baptist, etc. And they are wondering why we think they are sent by Danny.....I'll lay ya' odds Joe is on the "Pastorial Staff" at 3ABN. Who was it that preached a sermon comparing Danny to Moses?

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 22 2007, 02:13 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 22 2007, 12:29 PM)**

Hi Eyewitness,

I really do appreciate the thoughts you have shared. I am not in either "camp" so to say, but I am curious about one thing. What sermon do you have prepared for those alleged abuse victims? Speaking as a victim, the Word of The Lord is healing, and will allow forgiveness. We must me able to forgive so our Father in heaven can forgive our trespasses. There is not always healing for anyone that has truly been violated, Immediately. Yet, it IS POSSIBLE. What many do not understand is compassion does not bring healing. What is does when so much pity is involved is keep the wound open, cause humiliation and embarrassment, and keep the person from moving forward. Really not understandable to some on here, For obviously they are not victims.

What do we say to them in regards to their feelings and their salvation?

Victims need to hear that Jesus still loves them and they can still be people without the offense hanging in their profile. People who have been abused, HURT!!!

Should they just be ignored?

If one is a victim they will clearly seek help when they choose. Until then we don't need to paint a picture for their hurting. When we are put into their presences then we can help with the ministry of healing.

This entire mess is really quite troubling.

I agree and we are to be very careful with our words. These documents of these alleged victims are posted but again if they are not going to the authorities, do they want this all over the web. I feel for all parties involved here. Including those who feel they have been called to sound the trumpet.

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 22 2007, 12:33 PM)**

Am I the only one that noticed these three are not on at the same time? Or is that a faulty observation?

Yes, they are nice words, but it is very very clear, "they" don't care about those you mentioned. That should be a clue, huh?

sonshineonme,

Obviously you have no idea of my feelings, motives or anything about me. What you do show to me and to others is your heart.

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 22 2007, 02:36 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 22 2007, 02:39 PM) □**

Ok?!!! This is just another example of comparing Danny to Moses, John the Baptist, etc. And they are wondering why we think they are sent by Danny.....I'll lay ya' odds Joe is on the "Pastorial Staff" at 3ABN. Who was it that preached a sermon comparing Danny to Moses?

PrincessDi, I sure wouldn't want to wager against you in this. □

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 22 2007, 02:50 PM**

**QUOTE(daylily @ Jan 22 2007, 01:10 PM) □**

sonshineonme, I agree. I've just been sitting here puzzling over this. If Joe Smith is as kind, caring and loving as he would like us to think, based on his pleas, why does he not feel any sorrow or sympathy for the Clem brothers or Linda? Many BSDA posters have said they pray for the Sheltons, etc. I honestly don't understand why he/she does not answer the questions asked of him/her. It seems to me that if he/she could honestly vindicate Tommy and/or Danny that he/she would do so rather than just rebuke bsda posters.

I have no eye witness accounts to give, no first hand experiences but I really don't think the Clem brothers would lie about something like this. And I really don't think Pickle would counterfeit letters and post them here. So what are we left to believe?

Joe, Eye Witness, Bystander..what are you trying to do? Is it a kind and loving thing to let people destroy themselves and others? I do see some sarcasm and unkind words here sometimes but for the most part I see folks trying to figure out what the truth is. What is your ultimate goal? Tommy's and Danny's (and others) salvation or their destruction?

If I remember correctly, Gailon Joy said if the 3ABN board did not respond to his findings within a certain time period, that he would go public with his findings. They didn't and he did. Why didn't the board respond? Did they want him to go public? Is he to be blamed for doing what he said he would do?

There are some facts about Linda that I have not observed on this chat site. Facts that personally I can account for that Linda is doing, what Linda wants to do. Nothing here is a mystery for Linda. Linda had every opportunity to reconcile with Danny. I've saw the documents and know. Linda is doing what Linda wants to do. So people, let her move on with her life. She was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a possible beauty queen, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was. She refused to acknowledge this and continued her stubborn position of trying to get Danny to make a public statement with the views of 3ABN before she would come home to him. (I would quote her but it would be damaging to quote the words she used in regard to herself.) Linda refused to separate her marriage with Danny, and her job at 3ABN. As Calvin has stated before the truth we have so far is this:  
 Danny and Linda divorced  
 Linda's gone (by her own choosing I might add)



Danny remains at 3ABN. (by God's choosing I might add)

Linda has great opportunity to be a writer, she will not be forced to be a burger flipper, or a waitress she has potential to do much. I believe Johann has stated on this site she is speaking at churches, she has her ministry.

Let God determine if the grounds are biblical.

Let the courts decide if these people are truly victims, let Linda get on with her life and let Danny get on with his and MOST of all let the Ministry of God be the ministry of God and lets don't Give God Instructions. If we want to help then show up for duty not as bosses but as humble servants.

What should 3ABN do? Just as they are moving forward reach souls for Jesus. Ignoring all the threats and chatter of people who could be doing the same but choose not.

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 22 2007, 01:18 PM)**

Bystander, eye witness, Joe, Teresa it is frustrating for us here who have a burden to bring truth to light about dangerous behavior at 3abn, who bring forth scripture and SOP passages to clearly show God's support of our endeavors, and who DO look on you and your comrades with tender hearts full of the desire to see you step away from the denial you are clouded in, it is frustrating to see that you don't have eyes to see or ears to hear that reality that we are exposing! Whatever your motives have been to stay tucked away in the world you see through your Shelton-colored glasses I hope and pray that you will someday understand and walk out of the darkness into His marvelous light.

I know that some here have gotten cynical in their reactions and that sometimes there has been bantering about appearances. (I happen to think that Pastor Lomacang is a lovely man, ears, hair and all)

It is unfortunate that you have chosen to focus on those petty things and not the larger picture of what happens to young people whose trust is betrayed by their own shepherd. How does this affect the Lord's Ministry? Especially when the very president of that ministry has helped to cover up the alleged crimes and intimidate the victims into silence. Is this what Paul had in mind of how to deal with sinners? Somehow, as God and His angels are standing there at 3abn, I doubt that He is much pleased when he sees this behavior in His representatives.

Just know this, I and others will be praying that you do open your eyes and ears to the truth and then do what is within your power to rectify the wrongs instead of helping to hide them.

And, Eye witness, as far as your warning about what happened to Miriam, are you certain you are giving that warning to the right group? I think not. It might be prudent make a dash for the doors if the ground around 3abn starts shaking for I notice several things in Proverbs 6:16-19 that indicate that you and your cohorts better fit the characteristics of the unfaithful spies.

**Proverbs 6:16-19 "These six things doth the Lord hate: yes, seven are an abomination unto him: A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, an heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, A false witness that speaketh lies and he that soweth discord among brethren."**

Do pray for me. I will accept your prayers. As you kneel tonight please don't think of these words you have said to me for we all want to pray. God's Will Be Done!

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 22 2007, 02:53 PM**

yeah right Eye witness you saw the documents.... sure ya did... yep... alrighty then....

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 22 2007, 03:10 PM**

**QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Jan 22 2007, 01:29 PM) □**

I don't recall reading in any of the passages you have sited where Moses was molesting children, and that Joshua or Caleb covered for him.

No, but it sure speaks of a group of people who molest/abuse that which they feel is their right. You know, and I bet some of them did it with a sincere heart but it really didn't matter did it. They were all punished.

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 22 2007, 01:37 PM) □**

On MSDAOL Danny Shelton is quoted saying the Lord has shown him that Satan is the god of details. Is this why those details are so important to Danny's friends?

Details my friend have been splashed all over this forum. This is the most exciting thing that can talk about. Instead of the testimony of God in their own lives. Details that may or may not be true. I guess to them it don't matter as long as they are entertained.

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 22 2007, 01:39 PM) □**

Ok?!!! This is just another example of comparing Danny to Moses, John the Baptist, etc. And they are wondering why we think they are sent by Danny.....I'll lay ya' odds Joe is on the "Pastorial Staff" at 3ABN. Who was it that preached a sermon comparing Danny to Moses?

I will let see how did you say that lay ya' odds Joe is on the side of Grace and Mercy. Do you know anything about that?

**QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 22 2007, 02:53 PM) □**

yeah right Eye witness you saw the documents.... sure ya did... yep... alrighty then....

You know it Clay! I have already produced one document that Gailon used as some sort of serious proof to try to put a twist of credibility to him. □

Which by the way is another proof in itself that Linda is full aware of all this on here. She is doing what she wants to do.

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 22 2007, 04:02 PM**

You don't have to lay me about about this. I totally agree. He is for grace and mercy for Danny and all others who have yet to admit their wrongs repent, and rectify(where applicable). And it is being extended to them as they are still breathing giving them opportunity to repent and change their direction. Once again in, there is none for the alledged victims of Tommy Shelton(we know have two letters from victims?). He should have been removed until a thorough investigation was done. If he was innocnet then put him back, but if he was found guilty remove him permenently. You always err on the side of caution. There is none for Linda, who got shafted. I can't believe that any of you really truly bought that"spiritual adultery" claim, and then the promises of "evidence" that have never surfaced, not even in court when the Guam quickie divorce was contested. I mean that would have been the time, don'tcha' think? We ain't even mentioning the money. That was a joke.

I now many of you have never seen a movie called Diary of a Mad Black Woman, in fact, I know the title is probably intimidating enough to keep you from ever watching. But the story is really about a woman who is mistreated of her housband of 18 years. If you get a chance, please at least view the first 15 mins of this movie. It just ocurred to me the Danny did every this man did to his wife, except packing all of her stuff and dragging her out of the house kicking and screaming with the other woman looking on. I think we all agree Danny and 3ABN Board could have handled things a lot better.

God's grace and mercy is available to all, yours is conditional.

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 01:10 PM)**   
I will let see how did you say that lay ya' odds Joe is on the side of Grace and Mercy. Do you know anything about that?

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 22 2007, 04:22 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 22 2007, 11:39 AM)**   
Ok?!!! This is just another example of comparing Danny to Moses, John the Baptist, etc. And they are wondering why we think they are sent by Danny.....I'll lay ya' odds Joe is on the "Pastorial Staff" at 3ABN. Who was it that preached a sermon comparing Danny to Moses?

oh Di, you are a very intelligent person! I praise God for you!

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 12:13 PM)**   
sonshineonme,  
Obviously you have no idea of my feelings, motives or anything about me. What you do show to me and to others is your heart.

Sounds like you are passing judgement on me. No, you would not do that, now would you? If you really knew my heart, you would know the pain I feel knowing people are being deceived and hurt by those PRETENDING to serve man kind when it is evident they don't care at all. Actions speak, not mere words, no matter how pretty they sound my friend.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 22 2007, 04:35 PM**

The saddest part of all of this is these people are trying to do here what they've done in other forae; their sole purpose is to make the discourse so vitriolic and so contentious that the forum severely limits or altogether stops discussion on this subject.

They've been successful with this tactic in other forae so they figure it will work here. then they think if they come with their identity hidden, they will be assumed to be some objective uninvolved observer whose only concern is that Christ be uplifted

They are wrong... on all counts... and the only ones they deceive are themselves.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Nuggie Jan 22 2007, 04:53 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 22 2007, 05:35 PM) □**

**The saddest part of all of this is these people are trying to do here what they've done in other forae; their sole purpose is to make the discourse so vitriolic and so contentious that the forum severely limits or altogether stops discussion on this subject.**

They've been successful with this tactic in other forae so they figure it will work here. then they think if they come with their identity hidden, they will be assumed to be some objective uninvolved observer whose only concern is that Christ be uplifted

They are wrong... on all counts... and the only ones they deceive are themselves.

In His service,  
Mr. J

I had this thought yesterday, also...that maybe this was all at tactic to shut down the discussion. The attacks just seemed too similar and too coordinated.

---

**Posted by: calvin Jan 22 2007, 05:00 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 22 2007, 04:35 PM) □**

The saddest part of all of this is these people are trying to do here what they've done in other forae; their sole purpose is to make the discourse so vitriolic and so contentious that the forum severely limits or altogether stops discussion on this subject.

They've been successful with this tactic in other forae so they figure it will work here. then they think if they come with their identity hidden, they will be assumed to be some objective uninvolved observer whose only concern is that Christ be uplifted

They are wrong... on all counts... and the only ones they deceive are themselves.

In His service,  
Mr. J

Hey Kevin and like some of the other Chartered members and seasoned members can attest to in the 8 year history of BSDA, we have weathered far greater storms here than 3ABN and BSDA keeps going without missing a beat..

I don't really want to appear harsh, but I get so tired of people telling me how I need to run this place.

**QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jan 22 2007, 04:53 PM)**

I had this thought yesterday, also...that maybe this was all at tactic to shut down the discussion. The attacks just seemed too similar and too coordinated.

Well it ain't working!

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 22 2007, 05:11 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 22 2007, 05:35 PM)**

The saddest part of all of this is these people are trying to do here what they've done in other forae; their sole purpose is to make the discourse so vitriolic and so contentious that the forum severely limits or altogether stops discussion on this subject.

They've been successful with this tactic in other forae so they figure it will work here. then they think if they come with their identity hidden, they will be assumed to be some objective uninvolved observer whose only concern is that Christ be uplifted

They are wrong... on all counts... and the only ones they deceive are themselves.

In His service,  
Mr. J

Too true.

**QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jan 22 2007, 05:53 PM)**

I had this thought yesterday, also...that maybe this was all at tactic to shut down the discussion. The attacks just seemed too similar and too coordinated.

We believe that is by design.

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 22 2007, 06:00 PM)

Hey Kevin and like some of the other Chartered members and seasoned members can attest to in the 8 year history of BSDA, we have weathered far greater storms here than 3ABN and BSDA keeps going without missing a beat..

I don't really want to appear harsh, but I get so tired of people telling me how I need to run this place.  
Well it ain't working!

I was thinking they picked the wrong place to try to jack.  We won't go out like that.

Posted by: daylily Jan 22 2007, 05:14 PM

"Linda is doing what Linda wants to do. So people, let her move on with her life. She was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a possible beauty queen, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was. She refused to acknowledge this and continued her stubborn position of trying to get Danny to make a public statement with the views of 3ABN before she would come home to him. (I would quote her but it would be damaging to quote the words she used in regard to herself.) Linda refused to seperate her marriage with Danny, and her job at 3ABN."

Who told Linda her seat would be filled?

Who warned Linda that she would be replaced with a possible beauty queen?

When was she warned of this?

Was that person calling her a "worn out dishrag"?

What do you mean by "a public statement with the views of 3ABN? " Views about what? The "affair"? The divorce? Her job?

Are you really worried about damaging Linda?

Yes we heard that she said she didn't intend to "flip burgers". Danny, you already told us that.

Posted by: sister Jan 22 2007, 05:50 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 03:50 PM)

There are some facts about Linda that I have not observed on this chat site. Facts that personally I can account for that Linda is doing, what Linda wants to do. Nothing here is a mystery for Linda.  
**Linda had every opportunity to reconcile with Danny.** I've saw the documents and know.

It was Dan that got the quickie divorce in Guam. That is a documented fact. **Have you forgotten the testimony Linda's daughter gave regarding Danny's molestation of her? How can any woman be expected to reconcile with a man who molested her own daughter?**

There are facts that I know about Linda and Dan that have not appeared on this website. Do you want to compare notes? Or are you merely attempting to sway people with the appearance of authority and innuendo? That dog doesn't hunt here...

Sister

---

**Posted by: daylily Jan 22 2007, 06:07 PM**

About Moses...he lost his temper and lost the privilege of entering the Promised Land. His position of leadership and calling by God did not exempt him from the consequences of his sin.

---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 22 2007, 06:20 PM**

Excellent point! We can be forgiven, but that does not mean we do not have to suffer the consequences of our actions.

---

**QUOTE(daylily @ Jan 22 2007, 07:07 PM)**

About Moses...he lost his temper and lost the privilege of entering the Promised Land. His position of leadership and calling by God did not exempt him from the consequences of his sin.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 23 2007, 03:52 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 23 2007, 01:50 AM)**

It was Dan that got the quickie divorce in Guam. That is a documented fact. **Have you forgotten the testimony Linda's daughter gave regarding Danny's molestation of her? How can any woman be expected to reconcile with a man who molested her own daughter?**

There are facts that I know about Linda and Dan that have not appeared on this website. Do you want to compare notes? Or are you merely attempting to sway people with the appearance of authority and innuendo? That dog doesn't hunt here...

Sister

It now seems clear that this is exactly the purpose of the distractors - to make us all go through the fog of unimportant details that can be argued - so we forget all about the real issue.

Thanks, sister, for trying to wake us up and back to the realization of what this is all about. We have to get clear of the undocumented insinuations that the so called "eye witness" is trying to throw in our faces. Some of us have loads of documentations - like you mentioned - that we have not yet revealed - which could make headlines in the media, and make the position of "eye witness" extremely insecure. Is this what you are hoping for?

Let me state it again: I ran away once because I feared that Danny would throw me in prison. I have promised my Lord that I will not again permit wickedness to fill me with such fear.

I saw/heard those documents you referred to. I challenge you to reveal them here on the net to let

us evaluate them ourselves.

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 07:25 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 22 2007, 05:50 PM)**

It was Dan that got the quickie divorce in Guam. That is a documented fact. **Have you forgotten the testimony Linda's daughter gave regarding Danny's molestation of her? How can any woman be expected to reconcile with a man who molested her own daughter?**

There are facts that I know about Linda and Dan that have not appeared on this website. Do you want to compare notes? Or are you merely attempting to sway people with the appearance of authority and innuendo? That dog doesn't hunt here...

Sister

I have never heard of a divorce that the other person was unaware that they were getting a divorce! It takes two names on that document, right? Linda had already left her husband and refused to go home, demanding some kind of public statement on 3ABN that she was not the \_\_\_\_\_ of Revelation. Now if she was wanting such a statement then, it had nothing to do with **two years after the divorce** some alleged sttement.

Quick divorces are usually just that the parties involved don't want to contest. Would this be the case here? Linda was pretty happy with the Doctors treatment, even though there were zillion of others she could of switched to.

I think this forum site is interesting to some degree. It reminds me of a spider who dropped down from the rafters in a barn, ever so proud of itself. It slid down the one strand of web and began making a huge web that reach wide about. The spider was so happy about itself. It looked around and saw all the prey it had captured thinking that it would never have to do anything again but enjoy the fruits of its labor. Then one day the little spider was out and about running around so proud and it noticed the one strand of web that seemed to really go no where. So it severed the thread. Guess what, when the spider severed the single line of the web all the pride and joy of the little spider went down, down, down.

Isn't that a nice story. I don't know how you will apply it but I guess we can't cut the main thing from the main thing.

Have a nice day!

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 23 2007, 07:34 PM**


**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 08:25 PM)**

Guess what, when the spider severed the single line of the web all the pride and joy of the little spider went down, down, down.

Isn't that a nice story. I don't know how you will apply it but I guess we can't cut the main thing from the main thing.

Have a nice day!





Still idling away in here I see...spinning your own web (of deceit). What was that story about the pot and the kettle? 

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 23 2007, 07:40 PM**

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 23 2007, 05:34 PM)**


Still idling away in here I see...spinning your own web (of deceit). What was that story about the pot and the kettle? 


Yes, it's called obsession with an application of exquisite determination to manipulate and desecrate the truth to suit ones own prideful plan. 

---

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 08:10 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 23 2007, 07:40 PM)**

Yes, it's called obsession with an application of exquisite determination to manipulate and desecrate the truth to suit ones own prideful plan. 

Whew, your so impressive. You must really lower your intellect the rest of the time. Either that or you put the dictionary up. 

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 08:11 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 03:50 PM)**

**She [Linda] was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a possible beauty queen, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was.**

I find this statement VERRY interesting! 

**So who would tell Linda such a thing?**

**And on what basis?**

This sounds like there was a "beauty queen" standing in the wings before Linda was divorced or fired.

Who might that be?

Where is that "beauty queen" now?

Is she filling Linda's place in any way other than in Dan's bed?

Having told us this much, surely "Eye Witness" must know!

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 23 2007, 08:15 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 06:10 PM)**

Whew, your so impressive. You must really lower your intellect the rest of the time. Either that or you put the dictionary up.

Sticks and stones....

The truth hurts, doesn't it 'eyewitness'.

If you think that you show God's love and truth, which seems to be so important to you through your sort of banter, sarcasm and jabs, think (really hard) again. But wait, you care much more about lies than love or truth. That is quite clear.

**Posted by: inga Jan 23 2007, 08:24 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 08:25 PM)**

**Linda had already left her husband and refused to go home, demanding some kind of public statement on 3ABN that she was not the \_\_\_\_\_ of Revelation.**

Eye Witness, please stick around.

Your posts are getting more and more interesting, now that you are actually sharing some "eye witness" accounts instead of attacking veyrone and his dog.

Your statement above indicates that Dan called Linda 'the whore of Revelation' in front of enough people so that you (who are presumably only an eye witness, not Linda or Dan) know. (Hey, let's not get "delicate" here. We are all adults.)


Well, dear readers, do you blame Linda for wanting an apology from Dan for calling her the whore of Revelation in front of witnesses, before returning to Dan? (Of course, I suspect that this is just the tip of the iceberg. Undoubtedly "Eye Witness" can share much more.)

According to what Eye Witness is sharing, Dan figured he did not owe Linda an apology for calling her "the \_\_\_\_\_ of Revelation" and expected her to return to his bed nevertheless.

What does that say about Dan, besides his theology being totally out of whack?

(Hey, he **also** accused Linda of "spiritual adultery," which is just another small indicator of his theology being out of whack.)

Carry on, Eye Witness!



**Posted by: eye witness Jan 23 2007, 08:31 PM**

**QUOTE(daylily @ Jan 22 2007, 05:14 PM) □**

"Linda is doing what Linda wants to do. So people, let her move on with her life. She was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a possible beauty queen, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was. She refused to acknowledge this and continued her stubborn position of trying to get Danny to make a public statement with the views of 3ABN before she would come home to him. (I would quote her but it would be damaging to quote the words she used in regard to herself.) Linda refused to separate her marriage with Danny, and her job at 3ABN."

Who told Linda her seat would be filled?

Who warned Linda that she would be replaced with a possible beauty queen?

When was she warned of this?

Was that person calling her a "worn out dishrag"?

What do you mean by "a public statement with the views of 3ABN?" Views about what? The "affair"? The divorce? Her job?

Are you really worried about damaging Linda?

Yes we heard that she said she didn't intend to "flip burgers". Danny, you already told us that.

It would most acceptable for you to address me as Danny, **IF I WERE!!!!!!**

Thank you for refraining from calling me names that are not applicable.

Hey what does it matter who told her.

The fact is she didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on.

Zillions of Doctors but only one for Linda. Nathan could of got treatment from a long list of choices!

Have you ever thought of that?

EYE WITNESS

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 23 2007, 08:35 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 06:31 PM) □**

It would most acceptable for you to address me as Danny, **IF I WERE!!!!!!**

Thank you for refraining from calling me names that are not applicable.

Hey what does it matter who told her.

The fact is she didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on. Zillions of Doctors but only one for Linda. Nathan could of got treatement from a long list of choices! Have you ever thought of that?  
EYE WITNESS

crutch.qi there you go again....

blc  
careful, I sense you are losing your temper along with your empty argument

notworkir

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 23 2007, 10:39 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:10 PM)**   
Whew, your so impressive. You must really lower your intellect the rest of the time. Either that or you put the dictionary up.

Wow, you've come a long way, EW.  
Are growing tired of trying to reason wrongdoing so now you simply insult?

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:31 PM)**   
It would most acceptable for you to address me as Danny, **IF I WERE!!!!**  
Thank you for refraining from calling me names that are not applicable.  
Hey what does it matter who told her.  
The fact is she didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on. Zillions of Doctors but only one for Linda. Nathan could of got treatement from a long list of choices! Have you ever thought of that?  
EYE WITNESS

Okay - so you're always demanding proof of everyone - how would you know what Linda did or did not care about? How do you know that she didn't "listen?" And if I told you to change doctors, would you? You're really getting pathetic now.




---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 23 2007, 11:55 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 07:31 PM) □**

It would most acceptable for you to address me as Danny, **IF I WERE!!!!!!**

Thank you for refraining from calling me names that are not applicable.

Hey what does it matter who told her.

The fact is she didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on.

Zillions of Doctors but only one for Linda. Nathan could of got treatement from a long list of choices! Have you ever thought of that?

EYE WITNESS

So now you are positioning yourself as someone who has been around DS for quite some time since you know that:

1. It is a "fact" that she didn't listen.
2. She didn't care about her marriage.
3. Not only did she not care about her marriage, she didn't care about her marriage "very early on".

So since you seem to have been around the Sheltons for quite some time, can you shed some light on the allegations against Tommy Shelton? Are they true as several alleged victims have stated or do you have evidence that those witnesses are liars? Is the email confession by TS true or do you have evidence otherwise? I'd really like to know.


After chiding sonshineonme about her using a dictionary, I might suggest that you pick up one yourself and check out the difference between "of" (a preposition) and "have" (an actual verb). And that's as close to a chide as you're going to get out of me because I try my best, with the Lord's power, not to be mean-spirited.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 24 2007, 12:07 AM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 23 2007, 09:55 PM) □**

After chiding sonshineonme about her using a dictionary, I might suggest that you pick up one yourself and check out the difference between "of" (a preposition) and "have" (an actual verb). And that's as close to a chide as you're going to get out of me because I try my best, with the Lord's power, not to be mean-spirited.

I just thought I would mention, I didn't need to use a dictionary, I actually knew all those words (and what they mean) all by myself -  than

And I learned a long time ago that when someone is intimidated by and jealous of you (and maybe

your sentence structure and word usage), it's because they want to be like you.

I think it would be good for EW to get with the program and answer the questions he seems to be the eyewitness to and brought up to start with...what do you think?  (I have a feeling he'll be back!)

**Posted by: Johann Jan 24 2007, 12:18 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 24 2007, 04:31 AM)**

---

The fact is she didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on. Zillions of Doctors but only one for Linda. Nathan could of got treatment from a long list of choices! Have you ever thought of that?  
EYE WITNESS

Since you do not want to listen, EW, and you are not producing those documents you refer to, let me ask you another question: Did you see Nathan when he came home to West Frankfort after three weeks of treatments in Norway? i saw him after 10 days of those treatments and I did not recognize him.

Now you produce but one of those zillions of samples you claim to have, OK?

I have seen and talked to two American ladies who had zillions of opportunities to get healed by regular physicians in USA, but none of them could produce the results. They were both told they were incurable. And both have been cured by that one and only doctor in Norway.

I have read a letter by a specialist in USA who examined one of those patients 3 months after her return to America, and he wondered how the Norwegian doctor could give a such long lasting cure to one of his patients with an incurable disease. Now you give a a list of those zillion of doctors who cold make just that feat!

**Posted by: inga Jan 24 2007, 12:41 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 23 2007, 09:31 PM)**

It would most acceptable for you to address me as Danny, **IF I WERE!!!!!!**  
The fact is she [Linda] didn't listen, didn't care about her marriage, which by the way, was very early on.

Eye Witness, could you please share how you know that Linda didn't care about her marriage "very early on"? What were the symptoms?

Do you mean to say that Dan and Linda were only acting on the set when they were there holding hands in front of millions of viewers?

My agnostic Dad always thought they were only acting, but my believing mother is sure that what she

sees on the screen is the real thing. Who's right?

Is the Dan we see on 3ABN only an actor, or is he the same person as he is in real life?

Since you're an eye witness, surely you can tell us.

Inga

P.S. I'm still wondering who told Linda about the "beauty queen" waiting in the wings to take her place. Where is that beauty queen now?

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 24 2007, 03:12 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 24 2007, 12:18 AM) □**

Since you do not want to listen, EW, and you are not producing those documents you refer to, let me ask you another question: Did you see Nathan when he came home to West Frankfort after three weeks of treatments in Norway? I saw him after 10 days of those treatments and I did not recognize him.

Johann what is with you. You can't mean to tell me that you honestly think that Nathan could of only been cured by this Dr. Arild Abrahamsen??? I have personally seen people WORSE than Nathan healed from DRUGS.

Now you produce but one of those zillions of samples you claim to have, OK?

You seem to always want proof, produce the documents, you say. Well we both know medical records are confidential, but Friend let me tell you I personally know, there are other resources than, this DOCTOR, who can help bring healing. /color]

I have seen and talked to two American ladies who had zillions of opportunities to get healed by regular physicians in USA, but none of them could produce the results. They were both told they were incurable. And both have been cured by that one and only doctor in Norway.

[color=#CC0000]Really well Dr. Arild Abrahamsen has a pretty good history with the ladies of American then.

Very interesting!

What does he tell them so they can build their self-esteem? How does he elevate their *determination that they can proceed forward with certainty they will be healed?* I don't mean to be asking to many personal secrets of his, but well if he is the one with the cure, don't you want to see many other drug addicts healed? There is no way he could attend to them all!

Being he is an Elder in a Church surely he would like to share with others who are helping drug addicts, women who find their marriage less than exciting and needing to find a new thrill, so they can find healing in the US without having to stay at his house and so on and so forth.

Surely you and Abrahamsen would want "all" to receive healing, right?

I have read a letter by a specialist in USA who examined one of those patients 3 months after her return to America, and he wondered how the Norwegian doctor could give a such long lasting cure to one of his patients with an incurable disease. Now you give a a list of those zillion of doctors who cold make just that feat!

I wonder Johann what do you worship when there is healing? The gift giver or the gift receiver?

**Posted by: jene Jan 24 2007, 06:55 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 24 2007, 01:18 AM)**

Since you do not want to listen, EW, and you are not producing those documents you refer to, let me ask you another question: Did you see Nathan when he came home to West Frankfort after three weeks of treatments in Norway? i saw him after 10 days of those treatments and I did not recognize him.

Now you produce but one of those zillions of samples you claim to have, OK?

I have seen and talked to two American ladies who had zillions of opportunities to get healed by regular physicians in USA, but none of them could produce the results. They were both told they were incurable. And both have been cured by that one and only doctor in Norway.

I have read a letter by a specialist in USA who examined one of those patients 3 months after her return to America, and he wondered how the Norwegian doctor could give a such long lasting cure to one of his patients with an incurable disease. Now you give a a list of those zillion of doctors who cold make just that feat!



Are talking about Nathan's drug addiction or did he have some other disease? I am not necessarily asking you to divulge what the disease is if it is something else. I am just curious because I am very interested in natural health and my ears perk up whenever someone starts talking about curing incurable diseases.




Eyewitness,

Please answer this for me. Is Danny Shelton not an adulterer since no one has any evidence that Linda Shelton cheated and he remarried anyway?

Mat 19:9

And I say unto you, Whosoever shall put away his wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another committeth adultery: and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery.



**Posted by: inga Jan 24 2007, 07:29 PM**

**QUOTE(jene @ Jan 24 2007, 07:55 PM)**

Please answer this for me. Is Danny Shelton not an adulterer since no one has any evidence that Linda Shelton cheated and he remarried anyway?



Mat 19:9

And I say unto you, Whosoever shall put away his wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another committeth adultery: and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery.

It sure looks like Dan Shelton, NOT Linda Shelton is the adulterer, according to Matt 19:9

And then, when you consider that someone warned Linda, while she was still married to Dan, that there was a "beauty queen" ready to take her place if she didn't knuckle under, it sure looks that way ...

Eye witness is being a bit coy about revealing **who told Linda about the "beauty queen" while she was still married to Dan.**

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 24 2007, 07:39 PM**

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 24 2007, 07:29 PM) □


It sure looks like Dan Shelton, NOT Linda Shelton is the adulterer, according to Matt 19:9

And then, when you consider that someone warned Linda, while she was still married to Dan, that there was a "beauty queen" ready to take her place if she didn't knuckle under, it sure looks that way ...

Eye witness is being a bit coy about revealing **who told Linda about the "beauty queen" while she was still married to Dan.**

Hey Inga:

Just a coincidence? You decide.

This beauty queen was around Thompsonville while Linda was still married to Dan. 

<http://www.plusline.org/article.php?id=5189>

"As a busy nursing student and **"Miss Kentucky" beauty contestant** , Brenda, at age 18, had a promising future."

Pete


---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 24 2007, 08:05 PM**

QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 24 2007, 08:39 PM) □

Hey Inga:


Just a coincidence? You decide.

This beauty queen was around Thompsonville while Linda was still married to Dan. 

<http://www.plusline.org/article.php?id=5189>

"As a busy nursing student and **"Miss Kentucky" beauty contestant** , Brenda, at age 18, had a promising future."

Pete


Now you have me confused.... This talks about Brenda Walsh... but Danny did not marry Brenda, he married Brandi. So ... oh well ... I won't even guess at possibilities... what is the connection with what here? 

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 24 2007, 08:26 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 24 2007, 08:05 PM) □**

Now you have me confused.... This talks about Brenda Walsh... but Danny did not marry Brenda, he married Brandi. So ... oh well ... I won't even guess at possibilities... what is the connection with

what here? 

**QUOTE(eye witness)**

She was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a **possible** beauty queen, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was.

Not beauty queen but "possible beauty queen." One that didn't quite make it. A runner-up.

Theoretically:

What if a birthday-party planner heard this statement and thought this statement applied to her, herself, only to have her hopes dashed later, after she had already done her part as the chaperon, or "eye witness" in Norway?

---

**Posted by: inga Jan 24 2007, 08:35 PM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 24 2007, 09:26 PM) □**

Not beauty queen but "possible beauty queen." One that didn't quite make it. A runner-up.

Theoretically:

What if a birthday-party planner heard this statement and thought this statement applied to her, herself, only to have her hopes dashed later, after she had already done her part as the chaperon, or "eye witness" in Norway?

H'mm ... that scenario does kinda fit the events, doesn't it?

I see I have to be more careful in my posts, **remembering that a "possible beauty queen" was ready to take Linda's place, rather than a "beauty queen."**

Thanks for the correction. ☐

Isn't it interesting how "eye witness" knows so much, but is then unwilling to back up what he said? In a way he's right, that there's "no accountability" on the internet, any more than on satellite TV, **but the words so easily written on a chat board are impossible to recall without buying out the chat board owner.** I suspect he **wouldn't even try** to buy out Calvin. ☐ rofl

I seem to remember that when Dan first showed off Brandi, he said things like "Isn't she cute?" and other remarks drawing attention to her looks, which he seemed to perceive as "beauty queen" material -- **a possible beauty queen**, I suppose.

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 24 2007, 08:40 PM**

QUOTE(inga @ Jan 24 2007, 08:35 PM) ☐

H'mm ... that scenario does kinda fit the events, doesn't it?

I see I have to be more careful in my posts, **remembering that a "possible beauty queen" was ready to take Linda's place, rather than a "beauty queen."**

Thanks for the correction. ☐

Isn't it interesting how "eye witness" knows so much, but is then unwilling to back up what he said? In a way he's right, that there's "no accountability" on the internet, any more than on satellite TV, **but the words so easily written on a chat board are impossible to recall without buying out the chat board owner.** I suspect he **wouldn't even try** to buy out Calvin. ☐ rofl

I seem to remember that when Dan first showed off Brandi, he said things like "Isn't she cute?" and other remarks drawing attention to her looks, which he seemed to perceive as "beauty queen" material -- **a possible beauty queen**, I suppose.

Look even more carefully, "eye witness" is female. And then, knowing that, re-read my post. ☐ rofl


---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 24 2007, 10:08 PM**

QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 24 2007, 06:40 PM) ☐

Look even more carefully, "eye witness" is female. And then, knowing that, re-read my post.


 rofl

Hmmm I need to have some blanks filled in. I did not know Brenda was single. Looks like I haven't been watching 3ABN long enough. I assumed she was married. Sorry I didn't read the book. 

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 24 2007, 10:10 PM**

Now you know this is not somethin gyou should be saying if you are trying to help out Danny right? What do you mean she was told her seat would be filled by a beauty queen.....Now you know that folks who think know that Danny just didnt' see Brandy with new eyes when she just happen to move to T'ville a couple of months after Linda left.... You have got to be kidding! Oh, I see Linda was supposed to snap back into line after being told she would be replaced. Yeah right!

I don't mean no harm, but you know people like Danny know who to mess with. That is like the most sexist threat imaginable. and I got to wonder aobut you who thinks that was alright to say and that Linda's reaction to it was wrong. Worn out dish rag!!! Mercy!!!!

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 22 2007, 12:50 PM) **

There are some facts about Linda that I have not observed on this chat site. Facts that personally I can account for that Linda is doing, what Linda wants to do. Nothing here is a mystery for Linda. Linda had every opportunity to reconcile with Danny. I've saw the documents and know. **Linda is doing what Linda wants to do. So people, let her move on with her life. She was clearly told that one day her seat would be filled. Not with a worn out dish rag but with a possible beauty queen**, who can be as much used by the Lord as Linda was. She refused to acknowledge this and continued her stubborn position of trying to get Danny to make a public statement with the views of 3ABN before she would come home to him. (I would quote her but it would be damaging to quote the words she used in regard to herself.) Linda refused to seperate her marriage with Danny, and her job at 3ABN.

As Calvin has stated before the truth we have so far is this:

Danny and Linda divorced

Linda's gone (by her own choosing I might add)

Danny remains at 3ABN. (by God's choosing I might add)

Linda has great opportunity to be a writer, she will not be forced to be a burger flipper, or a waitress she has potential to do much. I believe Johann has stated on this site she is speaking at churches, she has her ministry.

Let God determine if the grounds are biblical.

Let the courts decided if these people are truly victims, let Linda get on with her life and let Danny get on with his and MOST of all let the Ministry of God be the ministry of God and lets don't Give God Instructions. If we want to help then show up for duty not as bosses but as humble servants.

What should 3ABN do? Just as they are moving forward reach souls for Jesus. Ignoring all the threats and chatter of people who could be doing the same but choose not.

Do pray for me. I will accept your prayers. As you kneel tonight please don't think of these words you have said to me for we all want to pray. God's Will Be Done!

**Posted by: roxe Jan 24 2007, 11:56 PM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 24 2007, 07:40 PM)**

Look even more carefully, "eye witness" is female. And then, knowing that, re-read my post.

rofl

Pete,

you think eye witness is Brenda, or Brandi???

BTW, anyone know where Brandi is?? and what she doing??

**Posted by: sister Jan 25 2007, 07:22 AM**

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Jan 24 2007, 11:08 PM)**

Hmmm I need to have some blanks filled in. I did not know Brenda was single. Looks like I haven't been watching 3ABN long enough. I assumed she was married. Sorry I didn't read the book.

Brenda is not single, she was staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband. Danny's big red truck was frequently seen parked in front of her apartment late at night. If a man accuses his wife (Linda) of spiritually adultery for simply speaking to someone of the opposite sex on the telephone for over ten minutes; then what about the behavior of this same married man (Danny) that spends hours alone with a married woman(Brenda), not his own wife, in her apartment late at night? Add the following to that: Brenda would go over to Danny's home and cook food for him, remain in his home late at night (while his wife was upstairs sleeping),throw a birthday party for him and not invite his wife (Linda). Now consider that this woman (Brenda) becomes the primary accuser of this man's (Danny) wife (Linda), while still claiming to be the wife's best friend? You would not need the investigative prowers of Sherlock Holmes, Mr. Monk or CSI to smell the rats in this situation.

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 25 2007, 07:56 AM**

**QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 24 2007, 09:26 PM)**

Not beauty queen but "possible beauty queen." One that didn't quite make it. A runner-up.

Theoretically:

What if a birthday-party planner heard this statement and thought this statement applied to her, herself, only to have her hopes dashed later, after she had already done her part as the chaperon, or "eye witness" in Norway?

Then the party planner missed the fact that the 'worn out dish rag' was referring to herself, rather than Linda... which would explain why, even after Linda was kicked to the curb she still found herself on the outside looking in...

Theoretically.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Panama\_Pete Jan 25 2007, 10:59 AM**

---

**QUOTE(roxe @ Jan 24 2007, 11:56 PM)**

BTW, anyone know where Brandi is?? and what she doing??

This weekend?

Probably just wearing dark sunglasses and a hat --- on Tobago --- an island off the coast of Venezuela, and daydreaming about other things as Danny paces and rambles on and on about "The Story of 3ABN."

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 25 2007, 12:16 PM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 25 2007, 03:22 PM)**

Brenda is not single, she was staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband. Danny's big red truck was frequently seen parked in front of her apartment late at night. If a man accuses his wife (Linda) of spiritually adultery for simply speaking to someone of the opposite sex on the telephone for over ten minutes; then what about the behavior of this same married man (Danny) that spends hours alone with a married woman (Brenda), not his own wife, in her apartment late at night? Add the following to that: Brenda would go over to Danny's home and cook food for him, remain in his home late at night (while his wife was upstairs sleeping), throw a birthday party for him and not invite his wife (Linda). Now consider that this woman (Brenda) becomes the primary accuser of this man's (Danny) wife (Linda), while still claiming to be the wife's best friend? You would not need the investigative powers of Sherlock Holmes, Mr. Monk or CSI to smell the rats in this situation.

Amazingly, but after this Danny sent me a statement signed by Brenda that she was married and had no thoughts of getting a divorce.

Could you figure out why Danny should send me this statement? (There were rumors floating that Brenda did not et satisfaction in her marriage, and therefore wanted a divorce - could that be the reason Danny sent me her statement?)

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 25 2007, 02:06 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 25 2007, 05:22 AM) □**

Brenda is not single, she was staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband. Danny's big red truck was frequently seen parked in front of her apartment late at night. If a man accuses his wife (Linda) of spiritually adultery for simply speaking to someone of the opposite sex on the telephone for over ten minutes; then what about the behavior of this same married man (Danny) that spends hours alone with a married woman (Brenda), not his own wife, in her apartment late at night? Add the following to that: Brenda would go over to Danny's home and cook food for him, remain in his home late at night (while his wife was upstairs sleeping), throw a birthday party for him and not invite his wife (Linda). Now consider that this woman (Brenda) becomes the primary accuser of this man's (Danny) wife (Linda), while still claiming to be the wife's best friend? You would not need the investigative prowess of Sherlock Holmes, Mr. Monk or CSI to smell the rats in this situation.

Still trying to get information in proper perspective. "...staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband." I remember Brenda stating on world wide television that she would spend her two week vacation time at 3ABN working.... and being busy the whole time she was there...(not exact words) I assumed she meant that was all the time she spent there. I assumed a lot of things. (note to self....quit assuming too much and continue reading BSDA)

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 25 2007, 02:50 PM**


**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Jan 25 2007, 12:06 PM) □**

Still trying to get information in proper perspective. "...staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband." I remember Brenda stating on world wide television that she would spend her two week vacation time at 3ABN working.... and being busy the whole time she was there...(not exact words) I assumed she meant that was all the time she spent there. I assumed a lot of things. (note to self....quit assuming too much and continue reading BSDA)

This is the problem. And this is what is counted on as well. Things are not as they appeared and it's hard on humans when they start to see this (in what ever senario). But, persistence for truth and honesty can never let us down, really. We just have to accept and learn what we can from what we discover. And PRAY A LOT!! Be encouraged all!!

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 25 2007, 03:44 PM**

I see we have more members of the Heathen Family who are astray!!  (That's a compliment, BTW)

QUOTE(Panama\_Pete @ Jan 25 2007, 08:59 AM)

This weekend?

Probably just wearing dark sunglasses and a hat --- on Tobago --- an island off the coast of Venezuela, and daydreaming about other things as Danny paces and rambles on and on about "The Story of 3ABN."

Posted by: eye witness Jan 25 2007, 05:23 PM

QUOTE(jene @ Jan 24 2007, 06:55 PM)



Are talking about Nathan's drug addiction or did he have some other disease? I am not necessarily asking you to divulge what the disease is if it is something else. I am just curious because I am very interested in natural health and my ears perk up whenever someone starts talking about curing incurable diseases.

Eyewitness,

Please answer this for me. Is Danny Shelton not an adulterer since no one has any evidence that Linda Shelton cheated and he remarried anyway?

Mat 19:9


And I say unto you, Whosoever shall put away his wife, except it be for fornication, and shall marry another committeth adultery: and whoso marrieth her which is put away doth commit adultery.



Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

Posted by: missthg Jan 25 2007, 05:34 PM

what was this? spiritual or emotional adultery - please define and show the evidence. you sounding like you were right there when she did it...so i'm real curious.....



QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 03:23 PM)



Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 25 2007, 05:36 PM**

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 03:23 PM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

I think your brain works in reverse. This makes ABSOLUTELY NO SENSE! Do you hear yourself?? I know, why do I even ask.....you are a provoker, not a truth teller. Tell me, how could wanting it ALL OUT ON THE TABLE be considered a "no show"? I think you better re-read the reason this didn't fly....someone else didn't want things out on the table! And while you are at it, SHOW US THE EVIDENCE!!! We are still waiting (including LINDA!)

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 25 2007, 05:39 PM**

First of all, Eyewitness, we are going to use our inside voices when we post, even in cyber space, there is yelling, it is not allow here, though.

Ok, on this this evidence they have proving Linda's adultery. Tell me this, was it presented in court when she contested the quickie divorce? And since Team Danny has had the floor all to themselves for almost three years now, why have they not presented this evidence to anyone? I mean truly it will not change my mind, in that I still maintain that Danny and 3ABN had an obligation to handle the situation no matter Linda's guilt or innocenc in a Christ-like manner, as they claim to be leaders and Christian living. They did not. They should have been the leaders in mending, healing and forgiveness, they were not. Once again, if Linda was so wrong, so guilty, why not let her speak for 2 1/2 years, why the gag order attached to the thread of taking any livliehood(sp)?

The only reason I lean toward Linda's direction is that Danny's first words to this board were lies (and were soon proven to be), Linda's weren't. now what are we supposed to think?

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 03:23 PM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 25 2007, 08:24 PM**

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 06:23 PM)

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

Was this to be the same exposure she received the first time. Come on! We keep hearing about the evidence, but I haven't seen it yet...?

Posted by: Observer Jan 25 2007, 08:30 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 04:23 PM)

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

I am not certain as to what you meant by the ASI meeting. But, I can speak for the recent attempt of ASI to facilitate a resolution.

One of the major problems was the amount of public disclosure that would take place in relationship to the efforts of ASI. A core value for Linda was that there be full public disclosure. She felt that her reputation had been so trashed that she had nothing to lose. Whatever the findings of ASI, she could only benefit by a disclosure that was complete and available to all to form their own opinion. That was Linda's position, and at the beginning, of course before any findings by ASI.

On one level that position was not subject to compromise.

However, while the base position was not subject to compromise, the specifics and details could have been discussed and some compromise on those in order to reach an agreement on the main issue-- full public disclosure, in a manner that the facts would be available to all.

Folks, when you have two parties, with major differences, you have to talk to each other to attempt to find some common ground. I do not believe that any real effort was expended in an attempt to discuss this with us and find a potential common ground for agreement. We were simply presented with an ASI position that concerned us in regard to full public disclosure.

I say again: You have to talk to your enemy. Some of that took place. But, those talks never went to the nitty-gritty of in-depth discussions of our issues of disagreement, in many cases. ASI pulled out before this happened.

Here is an illustration of how the discussion could have gone:

ASI: O. K. What do you mean by full disclosure, and what do you mean by public disclosure.

ASI: O.K. Here is what we think should be done. I see we agree on . . . . We have some concerns about . . . . I wonder, would . . . . be acceptable to you instead of . . . .?

ASI: How would it be if we changed . . . to . . .? Would that be acceptable?

NOTE: I am not concentrating on ASI. The same responses would be true for the so-called Linda's Team.

**Posted by: Johann Jan 25 2007, 08:40 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 24 2007, 11:12 PM)**

I wonder Johann what do you worship when there is healing? The gift giver or the gift receiver?

Who is worshipping whom? Are you talking in the realm of the fictitious Spiritual Adultery? Please get out into real life!!!

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 26 2007, 01:23 AM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

You must believe in the title of Danny's book, The Commandments Twice Removed! Linda did not break the one about adultery, but you are braking the one against a lying witness!

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 25 2007, 08:46 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 25 2007, 05:39 PM)**

First of all, Eyewitness, we are going to use our inside voices when we post, even in cyber space, there is yelling, it is not allow here, though.

Ok, on this this evidence they have proving Linda's adultery. Tell me this, was it presented in court when she contested the quickie divorce? And since Team Danny has had the floor all to themselves for almost three years now, why have they not presented this evidence to anyone? I mean truly it will not change my mind, in that I still maintain that Danny and 3ABN had an obligation to handle the situation no matter Linda's guilt or innocenc in a Christ-like manner, as they claim to be leaders and Christian living. They did not. **They should have been the leaders in mending, healing and forgiveness, they were not.** Once again, if Linda was so wrong, so guilty, why not let her speak for 2 1/2 years, why the gag order attached to the thread of taking any livliehood(sp)?

The only reason I lean toward Linda's direction is that Danny's first words to this board were lies (and were soon proven to be), Linda's weren't. now what are we supposed to think?

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHe really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 25 2007, 08:52 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 06:46 PM)**

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHe really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????

princessdi I don't see the answer you asked yet. I am waiting also....

**Posted by: Johann Jan 25 2007, 09:01 PM**

**QUOTE(jene @ Jan 25 2007, 02:55 AM)**

Are talking about Nathan's drug addiction or did he have some other disease? I am not necessarily asking you to divulge what the disease is if it is something else. I am just curious because I am very interested in natural health and my ears perk up whenever someone starts talking about curing incurable diseases.

Eyewitness,

---

P  DE  snk  TVsnack.

Since eye witness permits herself to divulge into medical problems - she might have a doctorate, but I have never known that to be in medicine - she is really off limits. Only because I was there did I find out that Nathan had other serious medical problems that none of the zillions of other medical doctors had discovered, as far as I know. My understanding is that it was when Nathan received treatments for something else that his condition improved so greatly that I did not recognize him again after ten days of treatment. When I first saw him there I was sure it was a different young man.

It seems like his foster father has all this time maintained that Nathan's only problems were with drugs, and so his evidence against Linda is somehow based on that.

It seems like eye witness even swallows the sinker and believes that all Danny says is the truth. This is what causes this great confusion.

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 25 2007, 09:18 PM**

And you were a eye witness to all this? Or did you hear it from Danny's camp?

Richard

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 09:46 PM) □**

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHE really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days????? □

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 25 2007, 09:25 PM**

Ok, Rosy? and she really needs to save al that about the Dr. and Linda, we have heard it all before and we didn't buy it then. Now if she has some picstures, etc. some evidence beside her word. then we can talk, otherwise.....Next!!!!

Excuse, just one more thing that probably won't be answered. Was all this activity with the Dr. before or after she was told she might be replaced byt the beauty queen? Please!

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Jan 25 2007, 06:52 PM) □**

princessdi I don't see the answer you asked yet. I am waiting also.... □

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 25 2007, 09:26 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 26 2007, 04:46 AM)**

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHE really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????

Linda did not only talk to the doctor during this period. My wife and I also talked to Linda on the phone, so we knew what was going on. I knew that a certain Dr. Kay Kuzma was assigned to speak to Linda by 3ABN. Linda talked to KK once, and when I encouraged her to keep on talking to her, because at that time I still considered her an honest person, Linda said she could not. Why? Because KK was so biased against her by telling her all the thwarted stories that you are relating here above, that she could not stand talking to her any more.

It may seem strange to some, but every time Linda and the doctor had talked on the phone I was given a report of their conversation. They wanted to be open and honest about it. I can tell you that the team that was supposed to help solve the problem between Danny and Linda created so much confusion. A couple of times I also spoke to Danny, and besides that he wrote me up to 3 e-mail a day. At the same time I saw e-mail he sent to others where he seemed to be a loving saint who wanted to save his marriage.

Linda was so frustrated because of all the lies that were told about her by her own husband, and repeated by everybody else around her, that she was forced to talk to someone on the outside.

Danny even admitted to me in one of his e-mails that he spoke with two faces. In his close dealings with Linda and in what he told me, he was not in the least interested in saving his marriage. All he wanted was a proof, genuine or not, that Linda had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else.

You swallowed his sinker too, his other face. God is our witness, so nothing can keep me from telling the truth any more.

---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 25 2007, 09:36 PM**

And even if what Danny and his supporters say was all 100% true that is still not a basis for a Biblical divorce. The red herrings are swimming in a school for sure. (Watchbird yes I know they really don't)

Richard

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 25 2007, 10:26 PM)**

Linda did not only talk to the doctor during this period. My wife and I also talked to Linda on the phone, so we knew what was going on. I knew that a certain Dr. Kay Kuzma was assigned to speak to Linda by 3ABN. Linda talked to KK once, and when I encouraged her to keep on talking to her, because at that time I still considered her an honest person, Linda said she could not. Why? Because KK was so biased against her by telling her all the thwarted stories that you are relating here above, that she could not stand talking to her any more.

It may seem strange to some, but every time Linda and the doctor had talked on the phone I was given a report of their conversation. They wanted to be open and honest about it. I can tell you that the team that was supposed to help solve the problem between Danny and Linda created so much confusion. A couple of times I also spoke to Danny, and besides that he wrote me up to 3 e-mail a day. At the same time I saw e-mail he sent to others where he seemed to be a loving saint who wanted to save his marriage.

Linda was so frustrated because of all the lies that were told about her by her own husband, and repeated by everybody else around her, that she was forced to talk to someone on the outside.

Danny even admitted to me in one of his e-mails that he spoke with two faces. In his close dealings with Linda and in what he told me, he was not in the least interested in saving his marriage. All he wanted was a proof, genuine or not, that Linda had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else.

You swallowed his sinker too, his other face. God is our witness, so nothing can keep me from telling the truth any more.

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 25 2007, 10:35 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 25 2007, 10:26 PM)**

Danny even admitted to me in one of his e-mails that he spoke with two faces. In his close dealings with Linda and in what he told me, he was not in the least interested in saving his marriage. All he wanted was a proof, genuine or not, that Linda had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else.

I would almost give you a kiss to see that email!

(I did say almost...but it would be a great addition!)

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 25 2007, 10:40 PM**

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 25 2007, 08:35 PM)**

I would almost give you a kiss to see that email!

(I did say almost...but it would be a great addition!)

hoty hoty hoty!!!



**Posted by: eye witness Jan 25 2007, 10:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 25 2007, 09:26 PM)**

Linda did not only talk to the doctor during this period. My wife and I also talked to Linda on the phone, so we knew what was going on. I knew that a certain Dr. Kay Kuzma was assigned to speak to Linda by 3ABN. Linda talked to KK once, and when I encouraged her to keep on talking to her, because at that time I still considered her an honest person, Linda said she could not. Why? Because KK was so biased against her by telling her all the thwarted stories that you are relating here above, that she could not stand talking to her any more.

It may seem strange to some, but every time Linda and the doctor had talked on the phone I was given a report of their conversation. They wanted to be open and honest about it. I can tell you that the team that was supposed to help solve the problem between Danny and Linda created so much confusion. A couple of times I also spoke to Danny, and besides that he wrote me up to 3 e-mail a day. At the same time I saw e-mail he sent to others where he seemed to be a loving saint who wanted to save his marriage.

Linda was so frustrated because of all the lies that were told about her by her own husband, and repeated by everybody else around her, that she was forced to talk to someone on the outside.

Danny even admitted to me in one of his e-mails that he spoke with two faces. In his close dealings with Linda and in what he told me, he was not in the least interested in saving his marriage. All he wanted was a proof, genuine or not, that Linda had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else.

You swallowed his sinker too, his other face. God is our witness, so nothing can keep me from telling the truth any more.

Johann, i want to say I believe that you believe what you are saying. I believe you are an honest man, I really do. I also believe that you have been duped... Doc Abrahamson is a psychologist. He is trained to manipulate the brain, and your thinking. That is what I believe he did to you and to Linda. Investigations were made on the Doc as well... and the results were... Linda wasn't the first. When you say you were posted on their conversations.. were you given the 5 hour version or the 5 minute report? You say Linda visited you and your wife when she was in Norway. I want to ask if she slept over at your house or at the Doctor's? The doctor's ..Right? Did she cook for you and your sick wife? She did for the doctor! Was Danny along when Linda was there, or just her girl friend. Was Nathan there EVERY TIME Linda visited the doctor? Please tell us.



You said she was forced to talk to someone "outside". That may be true, however it is never smart to tell your deepest thoughts to someone of the opposite sex... if they aren't your spouse. There are women phychologist as well. If you find another "burden Barer" it needs to be of the same sex. Besides, the doctor was suppose to be FOR NATHAN, not Linda.

Linda and Danny were counseled by professional marriage counselors, by pastors, by friends, by everyone that could talk to her. They all said the same. You must stop your relationship with this doctor for the sake of your marriage and your part in the ministry. She refused. The doctor was advised to break it off with Linda. It was unethical for him as a professional doctor and an elder in the SDA church to have this ongoing relationship with her because it was jepordizing her marriage and ministry. He would not quit! I should say "they would not quit"

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 25 2007, 10:43 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 07:46 PM)**

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHE really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? **Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others.** Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????

Eye witness, when was that program on? I heard someone mention it some time ago but never heard when or where.

**Posted by: Johann Jan 25 2007, 10:48 PM**

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 26 2007, 06:35 AM)**

I would almost give you a kiss to see that email!

(I did say almost...but it would be a great addition!)

Danny also wrote to me and asked me to delete his e-mails to me because they might be used against him. But I've kept them. In case of a court case!!! And in case Dr. Eye Witness keeps on too long!!!

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 25 2007, 10:51 PM**

I know that's right Johann!!!



**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 25 2007, 08:48 PM)**

Danny also wrote to me and asked me to delete his e-mails to me because they might be used against him. But I've kept them. In case of a court case!!! And in case Dr. Eye Witness keeps on too long!!!

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 25 2007, 10:53 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 25 2007, 11:48 PM)**


Danny also wrote to me and asked me to delete his e-mails to me because they might be used against him. But I've kept them. In case of a court case!!! And in case Dr. Eye Witness keeps on too long!!!

You are indeed a smart man!



**Posted by: no\_cults Jan 25 2007, 11:26 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 24 2007, 09:05 PM)**

Now you have me confused.... This talks about Brenda Walsh... but Danny did not marry Brenda, he married Brandi. So ... oh well ... I won't even guess at possibilities... what is the connection with what here? 

Most likely Danny is not stupid. Were he to marry Brenda (already married), would involve another divorce. No.... that would not have worked at all, and DS knew it.

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 26 2007, 02:03 AM**

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 25 2007, 09:18 PM)**

And you were a eye witness to all this? Or did you hear it from Danny's camp?

Richard

Richard, how much have the allegations made on this forum have you actually witnessed or have you just "heard it" from Linda's camp?

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 25 2007, 09:25 PM)**

Ok, Rosy? and she really needs to save al that about the Dr. and Linda, we have heard it all before and we didn't buy it then. Now if she has some picstures, etc. some evidence beside her word. then we can talk, otherwise.....Next!!!!

Excuse, just one more thing that probably won't be answered. Was all this activity with the Dr. before or after she was told she might be replaced byt the beauty queen? Please!

Di, I have to ask did you see pics ect..of all the allegations made here toward Danny & Tommy shelton? or did you just take someone's word for it

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 25 2007, 09:25 PM)**

Ok, Rosy? and she really needs to save al that about the Dr. and Linda, we have heard it all before and we didn't buy it then. Now if she has some picstures, etc. some evidence beside her word. then we can talk, otherwise.....Next!!!!

Excuse, just one more thing that probably won't be answered. Was all this activity with the Dr. before or after she was told she might be replaced byt the beauty queen? Please!

Again, did you hear Danny S. mention a beauty queen or were you told he did?

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 26 2007, 02:22 AM**

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Jan 25 2007, 02:06 PM)**

Still trying to get information in proper perspective. "...staying at 3ABN for prolonged periods of time without her husband." I remember Brenda stating on world wide television that she would spend her two week vacation time at 3ABN working.... and being busy the whole time she was there...(not exact words) I assumed she meant that was all the time she spent there. I assumed a lot of things. (note to self....quit assuming too much and continue reading BSDA)

You would be better off assuming than to believe what you read here. These people here have told me to find out facts so I have set about doing just that. What I found on this subject is that Brenda was almost never at the 3ABN apartments without at least 1 and many times, both, of her sisters. They always stayed together. I also found that they are known for working nonstop when they are there. They obviously have a lot of programming to do in a short period of time. They write, produce and do all the scheduling for all the kids programs..scheduling meaning that far in advance they are in touch with the parents of the children they want on the show and they try to schedule certain kids on certain days so the families can line out their time accordingly. Then they do the cooking programs which I understand, takes lots of preparation in advance. Then, they also do some decorating for sets, offices and such if there is a need. I have seen them on the air. It seems to me they work hard,

have sweet spirits and in no way deserve to be drugg into this mess just to be used as another tool to try to make Danny S. look bad. AND NO, I AM NOT DANNY S AS SISTER HAS ACCUSED ME OF BEING.

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 26 2007, 02:36 AM**

[quote name='Johann' date='Jan 25 2007, 09:26 PM' post='172667']

Danny even admitted to me in one of his e-mails that he spoke with two faces. In his close dealings with Linda and in what he told me, he was not in the least interested in saving his marriage. All he wanted was a proof, genuine or not, that Linda had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else.


Yohann, I am hoping sincerely that you "accidentally" phrased that wrong. By saying "all he wanted was a proof, genuine or not that LINDa had committed adultery so that he could marry someone else....it appears that you are saying that he said to you ,that he was not interested in healing his marriage, he just wanted proof of her adultery so he could marry someone else. Again, maybe it was an accident on your part to phrase it where it sounds like he said those words to you. Common sense. Even if he was guilty as sin he wouldn't have said so, much less of he was innocent of that which he has been accused of. I think you should correct how you said it.

**Posted by: Ozzie Jan 26 2007, 03:41 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 26 2007, 12:46 PM)**

I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHe really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional **burden barer** for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????


Is that the pillow talk you've heard from Danny?



Your anger is showing.



Maybe, you're afraid of another 'burden barer' (sic) in your relationship, not unlike the one that Linda had in her marriage?



**Posted by: Denny Jan 26 2007, 06:35 AM**

People who **insist** Linda committed adultery is this based on actually being there in the bedroom or listening to the phone calls or a confession from Linda herself or is this based on what they were told from her ex-husband and his friends? If one wanted to get rid of a spouse and make them look bad what better way to do it?  He (DS) should have followed Joseph's example, he wanted to put away Mary privately and not subject her to public ridicule- DS should have done the same whether he had grounds or not- people in the Adventsit church divorce and remarry all the time without thrashing their ex reputation.

**Posted by: sister Jan 26 2007, 06:45 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 26 2007, 03:03 AM)**

Richard, how much have the allegations made on this forum have you actually witnessed or have you just "heard it" from Linda's camp?

Di, I have to ask did you see pics ect..of all the allegations made here toward Danny & Tommy shelton? or did you just take someone's word for it

Again, did you hear Danny S. mention a beauty queen or were you told he did?

Bystander, Bystander, you are not fooling anyone by ignoring the questions that Richard and Di have asked you through attempting to turn the tables upon them by answering their questions with another question. THEY all are STILL WAITING for YOUR answers. Well....

Sister

**Posted by: Clay Jan 26 2007, 07:11 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 26 2007, 02:22 AM)**


You would be better off assuming than to believe what you read here. These people here have told me to find out facts so I have set about doing just that. What I found on this subject is that Brenda was almost never at the 3ABN apartments without at least 1 and many times, both, of her sisters. They always stayed together. snip...

These people? Is that like Ross Perot saying "You People?" I thought you were going to stand somewhere else? distraction by minutiae.... tiresome you are....


**Posted by: jene Jan 26 2007, 08:53 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 09:46 PM)**


I am sure that if it could be done over again, which it can't, it would be handled differently. My opinion, more in a Christ like way would be better. She just had no regard for ANYONE's advise in the matter. SHE really thought that what she had going on with the doctor, that her husband was suppose to accept it. Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an

additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????? 


So I'm sitting here reading this thread while I am waiting for my classmates to finish their computerized test. No one is allowed to leave the room until time is up. Eyewitness you almost got me in trouble for laughing out loud!!!! This is pure comedy. I thought in your response to my question you stated that there is serious evidence of Linda's "adultery". Yet, nobody knows what it is because for reasons that only the 3ABN mafia knows, the only way for the evidence to be revealed is to have a sham investigation by ASI. Yeah right! If Walt Thompson can publish a long letter on 3ABN after they fired her and give bogus info, then he can publish the serious evidence. Then I read this pathetic mess above. Now you are trying to say that Danny can divorce Linda because of his bruised manhood?



**Posted by: Clay Jan 26 2007, 08:56 AM**


**QUOTE(jene @ Jan 26 2007, 08:53 AM) **

So I'm sitting here reading this thread while I am waiting for my classmates to finish their computerized test. No one is allowed to leave the room until time is up. Eyewitness you almost got me in trouble for laughing out loud!!!! This is pure comedy. I thought in your response to my question you stated that there is serious evidence of Linda's "adultery". Yet, nobody knows what it is because for reasons that only the 3ABN mafia knows, the only way for the evidence to be revealed is to have a sham investigation by ASI. Yeah right! If Walt Thompson can publish a long letter on 3ABN after they fired her and give bogus info, then he can publish the serious evidence. Then I read this pathetic mess above. Now you are trying to say that Danny can divorce Linda because of his bruised manhood?



I am glad you see through all that mess....

**Posted by: caribbean sda Jan 26 2007, 09:01 AM**

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 25 2007, 11:36 PM) **


And even if what Danny and his supporters say was all 100% true that is still not a basis for a

Biblical divorce.

Why can't the people in Dannyland understand this? 

**Posted by: Clay Jan 26 2007, 09:07 AM**

**QUOTE(caribbean sda @ Jan 26 2007, 09:01 AM)**

Why can't the people in Dannyland understand this? 

cause they like the taste of the kool-aid...

**Posted by: Observer Jan 26 2007, 11:02 AM**

Re: "Talking to the men on this forum - would any of you allow in your marriage an additional burden barer for your wife? Who thought so much of him that she referred to him as her burden barer (on air) in the ministry of the Lord. I saw the program myself and so did millions of others. Men what if your wife locked herself in the bathroom, bedroom, and the car and talked to the same man 4 or 5 hours everyday. Would your manhood allow you to accept that, let alone your christian walk? I mean come on folks, she stayed at his house, without her husband and then say that it was a professional relationship! Women - when have you ever stayed at your doctors house for several days?????"

O. K. I will respond. For my response, I am as was Danny: I am in my second marriage, to a woman who brought a son into the relationship. That son has a significant problem with the use of illegal drugs. All previous attempts to kick the habbit have failed. My wife is at her wits end.

Here is what I would have done:

- 1) I would have learned everything that I could learn about such addictions.
- 2) I would have recognized that my part in the treatment of my step-son was critical.
- 3) I would have supported my wife by going to Europe with her to seek counsel from Dr. A.
- 4) I would have recognized that God wanted me to give priority to my family, and that if I did that, God would see that my ministry (3-ABN) continued without me.
- 5) I would have encouraged my wife to stay in Europe as long as necessary.
- 6) Once my wife returned to the US, without our son, I would have recognized that his treatment might have required my wife to spend hours on the telephone with our son's treatment team.

Frankly, my "manhood" would not have been challenged by any of this. My manhood is not determined by any of the above.

Let us say that the time came when my wife wanted to divorce me. This is what I would have done:

- 1) I would NOT have filed for a divorce. She would have had to have done it.
- 2) Following the divorce, I would have simply said: We reached a mutual agreement to divorce. There was no Biblical grounds on the part of either of us.
- 3) To close friends, I would have said: She wanted it.
- 4) I would have stayed single for years, if necessary, until my wife married again.
- 5) I would have taken responsibility for the care and nurture of my children, and worked together with my ex-wife for that goal.

How do I know that I would have done this in this hypothetical situation, because that is exactly what I did in this real situation.

NOTE: I did not have a son with an addiction problem.

Don't come to me with comments about "manhood." They do not fly with me.

Gregory Matthews

I need to clairfy a point here that may have been misunderstood.

I am divorced. The second section of my post is exactly what I did. E.g. My only public statement has been that we mutually agreed to a divorce, and privately I have stated that she wanted it. Those five statements apply to me.

The six statements in the first section is a statement of what I would have done, if I had been in a position such as Danny Shelton. But, no, I did not marry a woman who brought an addicted son to our marriage.

However, I am re-married, and this one has lasted for 21 years. The reallity of that marriage is that I brought two children into the family, and my new wife had to deal with them. She gave them her all, as I would have done if she had brought children into our marriage.

I appologize is anyone misunderstood.

Gregory Matthews

**Posted by: Clay Jan 26 2007, 11:08 AM**

Thank you Greg.... Thats what I am talking about.... AMEN!!!!!!!!!!



That is how a REAL Christian man would have handled it....

**Posted by: September Jan 26 2007, 12:39 PM**

Amen!! That's beautiful...what a blessing that post was to read!

**Posted by: Observer Jan 26 2007, 01:12 PM**

**QUOTE(September @ Jan 26 2007, 11:39 AM)**

Amen!! That's beautiful...what a blessing that post was to read!

Thank you. I will also say that the denomination worked with me. I was an ordained minister at the time of my divorce. I have maintained my credentials. I am still an ordained SDA minister.

Folks, divorce happens. Sometimes marriages cannot be put back together.

It is comming out of my personal experiences, and in dealing with divorced and divorcing members



that I have never criticized Danny for either his divorce, or his remarriage. While I am clearly on the side of Linda, she knows that I refuse to criticize her ex-husband in that area.

My criticism of Danny is in regard to the other issues. My criticism is also in regard to the way that Linda was treated, and the charges that I believe to be false.

The statement has been made that we who are on Linda's side have simply accepted her lies. Yes, I am putting this in my words. As it applies to me, that is false. In the early days, Danny Shelton was corresponding with me. I had communication with others also. My belief that Linda never gave Danny Biblical grounds for the divorce is based in part upon my personal communication with Danny.

Some may ask if my communication really came from Danny, and others. Well, that was once discussed with an attorney who contacted me regarding that correspondence. I will suggest that speaks for itself.

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 26 2007, 01:22 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 26 2007, 12:22 AM) □**

You would be better off assuming than to believe what you read here. These people here have told me to find out facts so I have set about doing just that. What I found on this subject is that Brenda was almost never at the 3ABN apartments without at least 1 and many times, both, of her sisters. They always stayed together. I also found that they are known for working nonstop when they are there. They obviously have a lot of programming to do in a short period of time. They write, produce and do all the scheduling for all the kids programs..scheduling meaning that far in advance they are in touch with the parents of the children they want on the show and they try to schedule certain kids on certain days so the families can line out their time accordingly. Then they do the cooking programs which I understand, takes lots of preparation in advance. Then, they also do some decorating for sets, offices and such if there is a need. I have seen them on the air. It seems to me they work hard, have sweet spirits and in no way deserve to be drugg into this mess just to be used as another tool to try to make Danny S. look bad. AND NO, I AM NOT DANNY S AS SISTER HAS ACCUSED ME OF BEING.

I don't want to get into any silly fight... I would like to know how you got this information. Was this first hand or something someone at 3ABN told you? Where did you get your facts? Guess it is now my turn to be shredded.

---

**Posted by: Observer Jan 26 2007, 01:33 PM**

I want to share a little more because I think it illustrates that even when people have problems that result in the breakup of a marriage, they can still relate in a civil manner.

When my ex-wife and I divorced, we both were granted joint custody of two minor children. That forced us to relate to each other for the benefit of our two children. There were times when I had to bite my tongue when speaking to my ex on the telephone, and possibly (?) :- ) she had to do the same. :- )

Following my marriage, my ex and my present wife corresponded, spoke regularly on the telephone, and began sending each other gifts. That relationship progressed to the point where we (myself, my wife, our son, and our dog) would spend vacations at the home of my ex, and my oldest son. By this time, my ex was single again. We did that so we could visit my two oldest sons by my ex-wife.

Yes, the time came when we had a falling out. Things did go south for a while. But, about 18 months ago, or so, we mended the relationship. We speak to my ex wife on the average of once a week. My present wife and my ex are back to exchanging gifts. We are not yet at the place where we could stay in their home on a visit. But, part of that is medical. A little over a year ago, my ex wife was in an accident, and she is still learning to walk. But, she is making progress.

Is this what could happen in the typical case? Probably not. In many cases, it would not be good to do so. But, it is an example of what people can do if they set their mind to build a relationship. It probably took special women (both my ex and my present) to build that type of a relationship. But, one factor in being able to do so was that during the years when the children were living with me (us) (I had them once for 13 months.). We attempted to put our anger and pain behind us, and to cooperate for the good of our children. That laid a foundation for other relationships.

No, nothing is perfect now. My ex and I still have to walk on eggs at times, and bite our tongues, so to speak. But, we can be civil, and sitting at the same table having a civil conversation. We just attempt to be mature enough to let go of sore points.

Unfortunately, when Danny and Linda divorced, . . . .

**Posted by: eye witness Jan 26 2007, 02:06 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 10:42 PM)**

Johann, I want to say I believe that you believe what you are saying. I believe you are an honest man, I really do. I also believe that you have been duped... Doc Abrahamson is a phychologist. He is trained to manipulate the brain, and your thinking. That is what I believe he did to you and to Linda. Investigations were made on the Doc as well... and the results were... Linda wasn't the first. When you say you were posted on their conversations.. **were you given the 5 hour version or the 5 minute report?** You say Linda visited you and your wife when she was in Norway. I want to **ask if she slept over at your house or at the Doctor's? The doctor's ..Right? Did she cook for you and your sick wife?** She did for the doctor! **Was Danny along when Linda was there, or just her girl friend. Was Nathan there EVERY TIME Linda visited the doctor? Please tell us.**

You said she was forced to talk to someone "outside". That may be true, however it is never smart to tell your deepest thoughts to someone of the opposite sex... if they aren't your spouse. There are women phychologist as well. If you find another "burden Barer" it needs to be of the same sex. Besides, the doctor was suppose to be FOR NATHAN, not Linda. Linda and Danny were counseled by professional marriage counselor, by pastors, by friends, by everyone that could talk to her. They all said the same. You must stop your relationship with this doctor for the sake of your marriage and your part in the ministry. She refused. The doctor was advised to break it off with Linda. It was unethical for him as a professional doctor and an elder in the SDA church to have this ongoing relationship with her because it was jepordizing her marriage and ministry. He would not quit! I should say "they would not quit"

Johann, I am still waiting for the answers to the above questions, would you please?  
eye witness

**QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 26 2007, 01:33 PM)**

I want to share a little more because I think it illustrates that even when people have problems that result in the breakup of a marriage, they can still relate in a civil manner.

When my ex-wife and I divorced, we both were granted joint custody of two minor children. That forced us to relate to each other for the benefit of our two children. There were times when I had to bite my tongue when speaking to my ex on the telephone, and possibly (?) :- she had to do the same. :-)


Following my marriage, my ex and my present wife corresponded, spoke regularly on the telephone, and began sending each other gifts. That relationship progressed to the point where we (myself, my wife, our son, and our dog) would spend vacations at the home of my ex, and my oldest son. By this time, my ex was single again. We did that so we could visit my two oldest sons by my ex-wife.

Yes, the time came when we had a falling out. Things did go south for a while. But, about 18 months ago, or so, we mended the relationship. We speak to my ex wife on the average of once a week. My present wife and my ex are back to exchanging gifts. We are not yet at the place where we could stay in their home on a visit. But, part of that is medical. A little over a year ago, my ex wife was in an accident, and she is still learning to walk. But, she is making progress.

Is this what could happen in the typical case? Probably not. In many cases, it would not be good to do so. But, it is an example of what people can do if they set their mind to build a relationship. It probably took special women (both my ex and my present) to build that type of a relationship. But, one factor in being able to do so was that during the years when the children were living with me (us) (I had them once for 13 months.). We attempted to put our anger and pain behind us, and to cooperate for the good of our children. That laid a foundation for other relationships.

No, nothing is perfect now. My ex and I still have to walk on eggs at times, and bite our tongues, so to speak. But, we can be civil, and sitting at the same table having a civil conversation. We just attempt to be mature enough to let go of sore points.

Unfortunately, when Danny and Linda divorced, . . . .

That's tooooo weird! no way are me and my husband going to his ex's for vacation. Anybody else think that's weird? 

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 26 2007, 02:10 PM**

nope Eyewitness only to you.... then again given the way you think its apparent why you think its strange..... in the words of D. L. Hughley, "you need Jesus"



Posted by: eye witness Jan 26 2007, 02:13 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 26 2007, 01:12 PM)

Thank you. I will also say **that the denomination worked with me.** I was an ordained minister at the time of my divorce. I have maintained my credentials. I am still an ordained SDA minister.

Folks, divorce happens. Sometimes marriages cannot be put back together.

It is coming out of my personal experiences, and in dealing with divorced and divorcing members that I have never criticized Danny for either his divorce, or his remarriage. While I am clearly on the side of Linda, she knows that I refuse to criticize her ex-husband in that area.

My criticism of Danny is in regard to the other issues. My criticism is also in regard to the way that Linda was treated, and the charges that I believe to be false.

The statement has been made that we who are on Linda's side have simply accepted her lies. Yes, I am putting this in my words. As it applies to me, that is false. In the early days, Danny Shelton was corresponding with me. I had communication with others also. My belief that Linda never gave Danny Biblical grounds for the divorce is based in part upon my personal communication with Danny.

Some may ask if my communication really came from Danny, and others. Well, that was once discussed with an attorney who contacted me regarding that correspondence. I will suggest that speaks for itself.

I think that is great that the denomination was willing to work with you on your divorce. And for you to keep your credentials. I want to ask if you have faith in the leadership of the denomination? I mean, can you trust them to make decisions about divorce and such?

One more question, would you trust the NAD to make a fair assessment of the 3ABN and ASI

situation? Keep your eyes open!

---

**Posted by: Brenda Jan 26 2007, 02:30 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 27 2007, 07:06 AM)**

[color=#993399]That's tooooo weird! no way are me and my husband going to his ex's for vacation. Anybody else think that's weird?  
eye witness

Perhaps reading Observer's post would answer your question more fully

"Following my marriage, my ex and my present wife corresponded, spoke regularly on the telephone, and began sending each other gifts. That relationship progressed to the point where we (myself, my wife, our son, and our dog) would spend vacations at the home of my ex, and my oldest son. By this time, my ex was single again. We did that so we could visit my two oldest sons by my ex-wife."

Sometimes after divorce, compromises for the sake of the children are in order.

Rather than thinking that weird, I would admire their united ability to put the children's good first.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 26 2007, 02:52 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 26 2007, 06:43 AM)**

Eye witness, when was that program on? I heard someone mention it some time ago but never heard when or where.

I have seen that program, and if needed, I think I would be able to secure a copy of it. Only with biased eyes and some editing will you get the results Dr. Eye Witness claims.

---

**Posted by: Spike Jan 26 2007, 03:03 PM**

Greg, thanks for sharing a private part of your life and showing how a true christian should live.

Eyewitness, nothing is impossible if Christ is the Lord of your life. If we can't get along here on this earth how are we going to in the new earth, so no it is not weird. Still praying for you. God Bless.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 26 2007, 03:09 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 26 2007, 01:23 AM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

Why is all this evidence invisible? You give me a good answer to that question. Then I'll consider replying to your post I missed - where there is not a single proof.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 26 2007, 03:16 PM**

Only those needing God to intervene is this area of their lives, as you are. Now, I could have said something else, because you are exhibiting the direct opposite of Observer's Christ-like behavior.

---

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 26 2007, 12:06 PM) □**

That's toooooo weird! no way are me and my husband going to his ex's for vacation. Anybody else think that's weird?  
eye witness

---

**Posted by: Observer Jan 26 2007, 03:34 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Brenda @ Jan 26 2007, 01:30 PM) □**

Perhaps reading Observer's post would answer your question more fully

"Following my marriage, my ex and my present wife corresponded, spoke regularly on the telephone, and began sending each other gifts. That relationship progressed to the point where we (myself, my wife, our son, and our dog) would spend vacations at the home of my ex, and my oldest son. By this time, my ex was single again. We did that so we could visit my two oldest sons by my ex-wife."

Sometimes after divorce, compromises for the sake of the children are in order.

Rather than thinking that weird, I would admire their united ability to put the children's good first.

NOTE: It has not always been easy. But, as said our mutual interests were regarding the children.

While single, I had joint responsibility for the children. As a single parent, they were with me for 13 months once.

Both of my sons lived with me following my remarriage.

I mentioned that we had a falling out once. That split my two sons. The one living with his mother, went to her side. The other went to my side. Part of healing that breach was because of my two sons,

even though they were adults. The one son was not speaking to me. I also needed to work to heal the breach. The split affect their relationship to each other. They needed the breach to be healed. Well, we talk now at least once a week.

Again, I do not hold that out for everyone. I simply point out that even in a divorce, people who have a mutual committment to make the best of it for everyone can do so.

By the way, I have not said anything negative about my ex-wife. Folks, is there every any need to do so? What is gained by doing so?

Where would we be now if things had gone differently in the 3-ABN divorce?

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 26 2007, 04:10 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness)**

Johann, i want to say I believe that you believe what you are saying. I believe you are an honest man, I really do. I also believe that you have been duped... Doc Abrahamson is a psychologist. He is trained to manipulate the brain, and your thinking. That is what I believe he did to you and to Linda. Investigations were made on the Doc as well... and the results were... Linda wasn't the first. When you say you were posted on their conversations.. were you given the 5 hour version or the 5 minute report? You say Linda visited you and your wife when she was in Norway. I want to ask if she slept over at your house or at the Doctor's? The doctor's ..Right? Did she cook for you and your sick wife? She did for the doctor! Was Danny along when Linda was there, or just her girl friend. Was Nathan there EVERY TIME Linda visited the doctor? Please tell us.

You said she was forced to talk to someone "outside". That may be true, however it is never smart to tell your deepest thoughts to someone of the opposite sex... if they aren't your spouse. There are women psychologist as well. If you find another "burden Barer" it needs to be of the same sex. Besides, the doctor was suppose to be FOR NATHAN, not Linda.

Linda and Danny were counseled by professional marriage counselors, by pastors, by friends, by everyone that could talk to her. They all said the same. You must stop your relationship with this doctor for the sake of your marriage and your part in the ministry. She refused. The doctor was advised to break it off with Linda. It was unethical for him as a professional doctor and an elder in the SDA church to have this ongoing relationship with her because it was jepordizing her marriage and ministry. He would not quit! I should say "they would not quit"

Where did Dr. Arild Abrahamsen study psychology? You must know. I know where he went to high school, where he took physical therapy, and where he studied medicine which gives him a license to practise medicine in most countries in Europe. I know of many of his extended courses in medicine, but none in psychology that I now of. What do you know of his powers of manipulating? I have not heard any of his friends claim he has that power - with one big exception, and that is Mr. Danny Shelton! Does that qualify Danny Shelton as an expert in that area?

If I stay in your incorrect terminology, I was given the 10 hour reports. I use that exaggerated term the same way you are using it. And Irmgard often took part in this, and we discussed it thoroughly so it was not only a male analogy and consultation as you are implying.

Linda never visited the doctor before her divorce, except for the one time when Brenda was there. And, yes, Nathan was there then. That was the only time Linda was in Norway before the divorce. Therefore Danny had no Biblical reason to demand a divorce.

Tell me what counselling was completed between Danny and Linda? Both Danny and Linda told me all

about their trip to Kansas both before and after. Before they left for Kansas Danny told me his only purpose for going was to find some experts who would agree with him that Linda had committed spiritual adultery and therefore he was entitled to divorce her and marry someone else.

This was to be an extended counselling with many sessions, the way I understood it. During that one and only session, according to what they told Linda, Danny talked most of the time, and most of the time he was telling them how terrible Linda was, and then he told them what he thought should be their verdict, that now he was free to divorce her and marry someone else. Just because Danny managed to tell them this, he later claimed they had said it.

You claim it was unwise for Linda to talk on the phone with a doctor in Norway. Was this session with professional counsellors in Kansas any better? Were you an eye witness to that counselling?

All the stories of how kind he was to her, and that he wanted to save his marriage came later after many people wrote and told them they were praying for their marriage.

The strangest thing about this whole thing is that the person most often quoted by Danny as counselling Linda and telling her what to do, called me some time ago. I reminded him how Danny has been referring to him as his main witness because of his extended counselling of Linda.

He was quiet for a moment.

- Johann, to tell you the truth, I never had a counselling session with Linda.

So, are you taking Danny's word for it, or the words of the counsellor?

You claim the doctor and Linda would not quit talking. How do you know?

I know that they refrained from talking on the phone because both wanted to save Linda and Danny's marriage. But this did not stop Danny's harrasing and demands that she must admit she had committed adultery so he could get rid of her.

I wrote and appealed to both Danny and Walt that they treat this case like Joseph did as recorded in Matt. 1. They refused. Even when talking to Walt at the end of May, 2004, I made another appeal to him to help Danny see how his marriage could be saved. His reply was,

- You know Danny! You know that he will not agree to that.

Danny wrote to me admitting that he was speaking with two faces throughout this. Which face of his are you listening to?

---

**Posted by: Noahswife Jan 26 2007, 05:55 PM**

Johann~

Thank you for taking the time for this post.

Sometimes I feel like I am in a Harry Potter movie as each side uses biblical texts and EGW to try to defeat each other.

I keep looking for facts here. Real, provable facts. Not opinions. Not conjecture. Not hearsay. I keep trying to determine credibility.

You have made certain statements about facts in your personal knowledge and I keep wondering why the 3ABN evangelists do not attack you or claim you are not telling the truth unless they know they cannot prove you are wrong. Instead, they just ignore them.



Once again you are making statements that I believe you must have personal knowledge of and are willing to be held accountable for having made those statements.

Will you now be attacked or just ignored? I am waiting.

Thank you.

noahswife

**Posted by: inga Jan 26 2007, 08:11 PM**

**QUOTE(missthg @ Jan 25 2007, 06:34 PM)**

what was this? spiritual or emotional adultery - please define and show the evidence. you sounding like you were right there when she did it...so i'm real curious.....



Yup, "eye witness" does sound like someone who was "right there" ...

Either eye witness is making this up from scratch, or ..

**Posted by: Fran Jan 26 2007, 11:13 PM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 05:23 PM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way.

And there is plenty of evidence!!!

**That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!**

She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

Huh? Integrity? ~ ASI and Integrity do not belong in the same sentence in my opinion!

Hey, eye witness, you need to give your proof to Danny Shelton. He is saying there was NO ADULTERY!

Why would Linda be at that meeting? Did she know the date and the time? She is under a gag order. She can't even defend herself. She clearly stated that Gailon, Bob, and Greg would represent her with the facts THEY found. Why were they not invited.

The meeting was to decide if Danny's marriage was biblical. That involves and investigation of Danny, not Linda. She is innocent and does not have to prove anything

ASI said it would meet at an agreed upon time and place. Did they inform anyone of their plans?

Isn't it a coincident that ASI dropped the ball right before Linda would supposedly be free to speak.


Danny would love to sue her, wouldn't he? You know how people say "Location, Location, and Location!" I say "Timing, Timing, and Timing!"

If there were evidence, Danny would be smearing it everywhere! Danny has proven to me that he hates Linda and wants to destroy her, but God won't allow it. Praise God for his hand of mercy. Poor Danny has just about bent over backwards to destroy Linda. She must have something special that God is protecting.

Never have I heard a bad word from Linda about Danny and only good about 3ABN. Danny has spent several years trying to push her buttons. Hasn't worked yet! I can't wait for her book of fiction so I can read the truth.

Now about integrity; ASI has none, and neither does 3ABN management as far as I am concerned. The NAD and GC leaders don't leave me impressed either!

ASI used to be right up there in my eyes, but not anymore. How low can they go? I am not on that dream team and I can express what I really feel. I don't have to pitter patter around like I am in a china factory. I can just say it. ASI must have very b---- noses about right now.




---

**Posted by: Hawk Jan 27 2007, 12:02 AM**

**QUOTE(eye witness @ Jan 25 2007, 05:23 PM)**

Linda committed adultery in the worse way. And there is plenty of evidence!!! **That is why Linda and her Team did not show for the ASI meeting!!!!!!** She was about to be exposed to a group with the greatest integrity.

What day and time did this ASI meeting take place? Where was it convened, this meeting that Linda and her team failed to show up at? Are you an eye witness or a false witness? So, tell us about this meeting, or are you bearing false witness regarding this failure to show up at a meeting? Did this meeting ever take place, or did you bear false witness twice in one sentence... "eye witness"/false witness?

---

**Posted by: Hawk Jan 27 2007, 04:28 PM**

Ummmm.... Eye Witness?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 27 2007, 04:31 PM**

**QUOTE(Hawk @ Jan 27 2007, 03:28 PM)**

Ummmm.... Eye Witness?

Unfortunately sometimes eye witness doesn't answer questions. Just thought you should know.

---

**Posted by: Hawk Jan 27 2007, 04:49 PM**

Thou shalt not bear false witness... "eye witness." So, did this meeting take place or not?

---

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 27 2007, 04:54 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Hawk @ Jan 27 2007, 05:49 PM)**

Thou shalt not bear false witness... "eye witness." So, did this meeting take place or not?

Get ready for the smoke, it's coming, just keep waiting. It would be nice to have a yes/no feature added for simple answers...

---

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)  
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)