

98

Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Privacy Policy Violation**Posted by: calvin Feb 1 2007, 09:49 PM**

A BSDA member's sexual orientation is off limits. I consider it to be violation of our privacy policy. I sent a warning PM to the offending members. So this notice is for anyone I missed or may have such plans.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 1 2007, 09:50 PM

Stop playing Calvin! There is no one that crass, bold, or ...dare I say -

"OFF"

...that would ask someone their sexual orientation....

Is there?

**Posted by: calvin Feb 1 2007, 09:57 PM**

Stop playing? Are you serious or being sarcastic? Can't always tell with you.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 1 2007, 10:01 PM**QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 1 2007, 11:57 PM) □**

Stop playing? Are you serious or being sarcastic? Can't always tell with you.

I'm being a bit of both....

There is no one that "OFF" that would actually question someone about their sexual orientation ON THE BOARD....or is there?

If so..... is that something that can get you banned? If not.... can the rule be altered so that it becomes something that gets you banned? There are certain things that truthfully speaking are just nobody's business.... JMO

...and yes...I was being sarcastic/serious at the same time....people amaze me at times....

**Posted by: calvin Feb 1 2007, 10:16 PM****QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 1 2007, 10:01 PM) □**

I'm being a bit of both....

There is no one that "OFF" that would actually question someone about their sexual orientation ON THE BOARD....or is there?

If so..... is that something that can get you banned? If not.... can the rule be altered so that it becomes something that gets you banned? There are certain things that truthfully speaking are just nobody's business.... JMO

...and yes...I was being sarcastic/serious at the same time....people amaze me at times....



Ok, thanks for the clarification. The offenders have been warned that they will be banned.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 1 2007, 10:22 PM

Calvin, will that also include people "speculating" about what somebody elses orientation may be? IOW, if anyone trys to suggest what someone elses orientation "might" be?

Posted by: calvin Feb 1 2007, 10:31 PM

Yes Sera speculation is included, whether explicit or implied.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 1 2007, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 1 2007, 11:31 PM) □

Yes Sera speculation is included, whether explicit or implied.

Thank you... just wanted to be sure I did not misunderstand. □

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 08:04 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 1 2007, 10:49 PM) □

A BSDA member's sexual orientation is off limits. I consider it to be violation of our privacy policy. I sent a warning PM to the offending members. So this notice is for anyone I missed or may have such plans.

I'm really not sure if I already violated this or not as I posted, a reference to being able to question one party but not the other, before seeing this notice?? If so I apologise.

But in any case just so I understand.

How does this apply to the allegations against Tommy Shelton which is posted here in almost every recent topic? and what can be posted here in regards to that?

His sexual orientation has been questioned as to whether he is into little boys or men...

There is also an issue of whether these things even happened and if they did whether it was assault or consensual.

If we can't mention someones sexual orientation, how are these things to be discussed, or proved true or false?

I do agree that members shouldn't as a rule have their private lives questioned, but if their private life is relevant to a discussion where they themselves are testifying about someone elses private life???

Thanks in advance for clarifying this issue so all know what can and cannot be said.

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 08:11 AM

Members here have rights and privileges as I define them. Public figures like Danny, Linda, and Tommy are not afforded those right here.

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 10:43 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 08:11 AM)

Members here have rights and privileges as I define them. **Public figures like Danny, Linda, and Tommy are not afforded those right here.**

I would ask you Calvin, how about the rights of the rest of the Shelton family? Some of them has had their sexual orintation slandered in the "televangelists" Are you going to edit those out like you did the comments about Clem? Or just edit this out and remove my membership? You have a BIG responsibility... you need to realize this.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 10:53 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:43 AM)

Or just edit this out and remove my membership?

You mean like you all did with Linda? Retaping shows, editing websites, re-printing brochures all in an attempt to make it seem as if she never existed...

All based on proof that doesnt exist... and please.. spare us the " oh, there is proof... you're gonna have to trust us" spiel. Had the proof been produced when the allegations were first broadcast, we probably arent even having this conversation. You have had countess opportunities to produce it since then and consistently have not done so... and in this and other threads you alternate between

whining about unfairness and making subtle and not so subtle threats to various people.

And still have not proven jack. Show us the stigmata...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 11:05 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:43 AM)

I would ask you Calvin, how about the rights of the rest of the Shelton family? Some of them has had their sexual orintation slandered in the "televangelists" Are you going to edit those out like you did the comments about Clem? Or just edit this out and remove my membership? You have a BIG responsibility... you need to realize this.

The serial bully:

- is a convincing, practised liar and when called to account, will make up anything spontaneously to fit their needs at that moment
- is glib, shallow and superficial with plenty of fine words and lots of form - but there's no substance
- is self-opinionated and displays arrogance, audacity, a superior sense of entitlement and sense of invulnerability and untouchability
- has a deep-seated contempt of clients in contrast to his or her professed compassion
- is a control freak and has a compulsive need to control everyone and everything you say, do, think and believe
- is also quick to belittle, undermine, denigrate and discredit anyone who calls, attempts to call, or might call the bully to account
- is mean-spirited, officious, and often unbelievably petty
- is constantly imposing on others a false reality made up of distortion and fabrication

There are actually more that could have gone on the list from this post alone...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 11:06 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 10:53 AM)

You mean like you all did with Linda? Retaping shows, editing websites, re-printing brochures all in an attempt to make it seem as if she never existed...

All based on proof that doesnt exist... and please.. spare us the " oh, there is proof... you're gonna

have to trust us" spiel. Had the proof been produced when the allegations were first broadcast, we probably aren't even having this conversation. You have had countless opportunities to produce it since then and consistently have not done so... and in this and other threads you alternate between whining about unfairness and making subtle and not so subtle threats to various people.

And still have not proven jack. Show us the stigmata...

In His service,
Mr. J

Now you are guilty of doing what you accused so many others of doing... switching the subject from the slanderous accusations of sexual orientation of other Sheltons .. that's not going away!
The proof exist.. why do you think Linda, since you brought her name up, is not talking now that the gag order expired at the end of December?.. she knows there is proof! She doesn't want it out there.

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 11:08 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:06 AM)

Now you are guilty of doing what you accused so many others of doing... switching the subject from the slanderous accusations of sexual orientation of other Sheltons .. that's not going away!
The proof exist.. why do you think Linda, since you brought her name up, is not talking now that the gag order expired at the end of December?.. she knows there is proof! She doesn't want it out there.

whateva.... isn't there something at 3abn you should be tending to?

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 11:10 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 11:05 AM)

The serial bully:

- is a convincing, practised liar and when called to account, will make up anything spontaneously to fit their needs at that moment
- is glib, shallow and superficial with plenty of fine words and lots of form - but there's no substance
- is self-opinionated and displays arrogance, audacity, a superior sense of entitlement and sense of invulnerability and untouchability
- has a deep-seated contempt of clients in contrast to his or her professed compassion
- is a control freak and has a compulsive need to control everyone and everything you say, do, think and believe
- is also quick to belittle, undermine, denigrate and discredit anyone who calls, attempts to call, or might call the bully to account
- is mean-spirited, officious, and often unbelievably petty
- is constantly imposing on others a false reality made up of distortion and fabrication

There are actually more that could have gone on the list from this post alone...

I'm confused.. are you talking about yourself here???

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 11:12 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 12:06 PM) □

Now you are guilty of doing what you accused so many others of doing... switching the subject from the slanderous accusations of sexual orientation of other Sheltons .. that's not going away!
The proof exist.. why do you think Linda, since you brought her name up, is not talking now that the gag order expired at the end of December.?.. she knows there is proof! She doesn't want it out there.

By this logic, the same could be said about all of your attempts to disparage those who have put Tommy under glass...thus what they say must be true too...

Thanks for clearing that up.

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE

I'm confused.. are you talking about yourself here???

Surely you can do better than that, mollie.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Skyhook Feb 2 2007, 11:13 AM

Mr. That is an excellent, comprehensive description of DS as far I can tell from what I have seen on TV and read here. You must have given him an MMPI.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 11:16 AM

I'm really not sure if I already violated this or not as I posted, a reference to being able to question one party but not the other, before seeing this notice?? If so I apologise.

But in any case just so I understand.

How does this apply to the allegations against Tommy Shelton which is posted here in almost every recent topic? and what can be posted here in regards to that?

As we have victims letters, etc. as proof to Tommy's actions, and as Calvin says, he is a public figure. He is fair game.

His sexual orientation has been questioned as to whether he is into little boys or men...

Cindy a preference for both young men and boys would still make him homosexual. That is not in question. Evidence, thus far shows he prefers young and male. What is in question is whether he is a pedophile.

There is also an issue of whether these things even happened and if they did whether it was assault or consensual.

Only to those who don't want to believe the victims own words. It happens which is why many victims don't speak up and speak out.

If we can't mention someones sexual orientation, how are these things to be discussed, or proved true or false?

I do agree that members shouldn't as a rule have their private lives questioned, but if their private life is relevant to a discussion where they themselves are testifying about someone elses private life???

It is a common practice by abusers of any kind to blame the victim. No matter the sexual preference of the victim, they have the right to refuse sexual advances from any one. It is the same as rape victims. the defense for the alleged rapist always wants to bring in the victims personal life, but that is immaterial to the fact that the rapist to that victim by force, often knowing nothing about that persons personal life. It is just as immaterial here. To put it bluntly, even if one of Tommy's victims were gay, as a married pastor, Tommy had no business approaching them for sex. They have the right to refuse his advances. It is also still an abuse of his authority as a pastor and leader of his congregation. Fact is, nothing would be in question here, we would not be even having this discussion, if Tommy had asked his wife, and her only for sex. Just that simple.

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 11:31 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 10:43 AM)

I would ask you Calvin, how about the rights of the rest of the Shelton family? Some of them has had their sexual orientation slandered in the "televangelists" Are you going to edit those out like you did the comments about Clem? **Or just edit this out and remove my membership?** You have a BIG responsibility... you need to realize this.

You keep this whining up, I will remove your membership.

This needs repeating since I have not said it in awhile. "I don't have to be fair" If I want this to be a pro-3ABN forum or a Anti-3ABN forum I can do that. It's my board. What you need to do Task Force is adhere to my Terms of Service. If not then leave, start your forum, blog, whatever, and then you can run it the way you want too.

Those have gotten to know me, know that one of my hot buttons is "whiners". I don't like whiners complaining about unfair I am, why I don't do this or that with others, etc. Whining will get you banned quicker than anything.

Posted by: task force Feb 2 2007, 11:50 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 11:31 AM)

You keep this whining up, I will remove your membership.

This needs repeating since I have not said it in awhile. **"I don't have to be fair"** If I want this to be a pro-3ABN forum or a Anti-3ABN forum I can do that. It's my board. **What you need to do Task Force is adhere to my Terms of Service.** If not then leave, start your forum, blog, whatever, and then you can run it the way you want too.

Those have gotten to know me, know that one of my hot buttons is "whiners". I don't like whiners complaining about unfair I am, why I don't do this or that with others, etc. Whining will get you banned quicker than anything.

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's winning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!

Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 11:55 AM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:50 AM)

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's winning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!

Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

Calvin is acting a lot more Christian about this than you are.

He doesn't HAVE to have your approval. It's HIS Board.

You're free to start your own board if you don't like this one. Of course, I don't know when you'd have time to run it, seeing how you have so much to do here.

BTW, whining has only one "n".

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 12:07 PM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 12:50 PM)

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's winning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!

Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

Give it a rest with the lawsuit silliness... we're not buying it.

That last thing you people want is to have to do discovery deposition after discovery deposition and everything you say is on the record and under oath... and unless there is a grand jury involved... that

stuff can be plastered all over...

Yeah... real genius move on your part...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 12:11 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 10:55 AM) □

Calvin is acting a lot more Christian about this than you are.

He doesn't HAVE to have your approval. It's HIS Board.

You're free to start your own board if you don't like this one. Of course, I don't know when you'd have time to run it, seeing how you have so much to do here.

BTW, whining has only one "n".

Perhaps he meant to write "whinnying" and forgot the "y".

 rofl


Sorry, just couldn't help it.

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 2 2007, 12:27 PM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 12:50 PM) □

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's whinning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!

Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

  ...wake me up when this tantrum is over...

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 12:51 PM

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 11:50 AM) □

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's whinning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!

Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

Your done here.



Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 01:07 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 2 2007, 12:16 PM)

To put it bluntly, even if one of Tommy's victims were gay, as a married pastor, Tommy had no business approaching them for sex. They have the right to refuse his advances. It is also still an abuse of his authority as a pastor and leader of his congregation. Fact is, nothing would be in question here, we would not be even having this discussion, if Tommy had asked his wife, and her only for sex. Just that simple. [/color]

Right on, PrincessDi! Keep reminding us of the **real issues!**

QUOTE(task force @ Feb 2 2007, 12:50 PM)

What a joke you are...Such PRIDE! It's oozing out of you! Now who's winning? I will adhere to the "terms of service" when you do!
Sure remove my membership "Boss" that won't stop the lawsuit coming your direction!

Now **that does sound like an 3ABN administrator -- Mollie or Dan, maybe?** That lawsuit reference is a dead giveaway! Now that they've alerted Gailon, more threats are likely on the way.

Hey, Calvin, please be kind to the poor beleaguered 3ABN administrators and let them keep posting! We really **do want to hear their side.** And Mollie is in a good position to drop some "insider" dope

now & then, as is Dan. h

Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 01:14 PM

see Task Force should have heeded my suggestion and tended to their 3abn bizness... but noooooooo had to antagonize the very one who could put them out..... not a bright bunch ova there...

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 01:19 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 2 2007, 01:07 PM)

Right on, PrincessDi! Keep reminding us of the **real issues!**
Now **that does sound like an 3ABN administrator -- Mollie or Dan, maybe?** That lawsuit

reference is a dead giveaway! Now that they've alerted Gailon, more threats are likely on the way.

Hey, Calvin, please be kind to the poor beleaguered 3ABN administrators and let them keep posting! We really **do want to hear their side**. And Mollie is in a good position to drop some "insider" dope

now & then, as is Dan.



They can keep posting as long as they respect me, the admins. and the rules. I warned this guy what my hot buttons were and he went right on ahead anyway.

No need for concern Inga, others will follow to take their place

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 01:14 PM)

see Task Force should have heeded my suggestion and tended to their 3abn bizness... but noooooooo had to antagonize the very one who could put them out..... not a bright bunch ova there...

I agree Clay. That was pretty stupid. That is if he wanted to stay here.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 01:25 PM

Wake up, Nuggie!! Trantrum over! I dont' know what he was thinking.....



QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 2 2007, 10:51 AM)

Your done here.



Posted by: Nuggie Feb 2 2007, 01:41 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 2 2007, 02:25 PM)

Wake up, Nuggie!! Trantrum over! I dont' know what he was thinking.....



Thank you...they're really a tiresome bunch...and not too bright either ...



Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 01:57 PM

[quote name='princessdi' date='Feb 2 2007, 10:16 AM' post='174657']

I'm really not sure if I already violated this or not as I posted, a reference to being able to question one party but not the other, before seeing this notice?? If so I apologise.

But in any case just so I understand.

How does this apply to the allegations against Tommy Shelton which is posted here in almost every recent topic? and what can be posted here in regards to that?

As we have victims letters, etc. as prrof to Tommy's actions, and as Calvin says, he is a public figure. He is fair game.

Cindy a preference for both young men and boys would still make him homosexual. That is not in question. Evidence, thus far shows he prefers young and male. What is in question is whether he is a pedohpile.

Wow that is some heavy accusations Di. A court of law would require a lot more proof than a few letters ,to convict someone...Oh, but I forgot....this court has it's own set of rules that constitutes conviction...After reading what's going on in the "lawsuit thread" I hope that your set of rules, of labeling someone a homosexual and/or child molester will somehow "match up" with the laws set of rules...I have a feeling that it won't but hey, good luck with that.

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 2 2007, 12:07 PM) □

Right on, PrincessDI! Keep reminding us of the **real issues!**

Now **that does sound like an 3ABN administrator -- Mollie or Dan, maybe?** That lawsuit reference is a dead giveaway! Now that they've alerted Gailon, more threats are likely on the way.

Hey, Calvin, please be kind to the poor beleaguered 3ABN administrators and let them keep posting! We really **do want to hear their side.** And Mollie is in a good position to drop some

"insider" dope now & then, as is Dan. h

Inga maybe you haven't seen Calvins warnings about trying to guess identities. It's against the rules. As far as the "lawsuit" being a dead giveaway...not hardly when we are all reading the "lawsuit" thread. That is how we know about it.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 11:07 AM) □

Give it a rest with the lawsuit silliness... we're not buying it.

That last thing you people want is to have to do discovery deposition after discovery deposition and everything you say is on the record and under oath... and unless there is a grand jury involved... that stuff can be plastered all over...

Yeah... real genius move on your part...

In His service,
Mr. J

In all fairness, whether it is "genius" or not, remains to be seen. Don't ya think?

Posted by: calvin Feb 2 2007, 02:04 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:57 PM)

Inga maybe you haven't seen Calvins warnings about trying to guess identities. It's against the rules. As far as the "lawsuit" being a dead giveaway...not hardly when we are all reading the "lawsuit" thread. That is how we know about it.
In all fairness, whether it is "genius" or not, remains to be seen. Don't ya think?

You can have fun guessing all you want too. Yall going to do it anyway. Can't kick everybody out. Just don't badger someone to identify themselves....and BTW, I am not telling anybody anything. So don't ask. Neither is Clay or PrincessDi.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 02:07 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 2 2007, 12:41 PM)

Thank you...they're really a tiresome bunch...and not too bright either ...

Who is bright or not, remains to be seen as we follow the lawsuit threads

Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 02:26 PM

That is no accusation. As I said even you have been implying the the advances were made to young men who are of consenting age. So, the question of his homosexuality is moot, even for you. What you are trying to get us to buy is that he is not a pedophile. I came to that conclusion from your(wwj, and Aletheia, etc.) posts. Plus it is just a statement of fact in answer to Cindy's statement here:

QUOTE

How does this apply to the allegations against Tommy Shelton which is posted here in almost every recent topic? and what can be posted here in regards to that?
His sexual orientation has been questioned as to whether he is into little boys or men...

Now, she may have mispoken, but there is no question as to a man's sexual orientation if he prefers with young men or little boys. What is in question is his pedophilia. Once again, even by your own statements, he was approaching at the very least, very young men, barely of consenting age. That has been established, that makes him at the very least bi-sexual, as he is married for many years, corect? So I make no assumptions here. This is what your and yours friends are inferring when you attempt to make these unfortunate encounters, consenting homosexual relationships. Now, you may

want to rethink your strategy at this point.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:57 AM) □

As quoted by Princessdi:

Cindy a preference for both young men and boys would still make him homosexual. That is not in question. Evidence, thus far shows he prefers young and male. What is in question is whether he is a pedophile.

Posted by: Observer Feb 2 2007, 02:37 PM

If my memory of time is correct, in the 1980s, a member of the Religion faculty at what is now Southern Adventist University wrote the book: HOMOSEXUALITY IN SCRIPTURE AND HISTORY. In my mind I consider that book to be very vaulable. It is out of print, but can be purchased on the Internet.

Anyway, the mere defination of "homosexuality" is not agreed upon by the people who work in the field. (That is the reason people argue as to whether or not homosexuals can change their orientation.) As there is no one defination upon which all can agree I will suggest that we ought not to call one a homosexual who has not identified him/her-self as such.

I support the decision of the Administration of this forum to tell us to quit calling people homosexual.

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 2 2007, 01:34 PM) □

If my memory of time is correct, in the 1980s, a member of the Religion faculty at what is now Southern Adventist University wrote the book: HOMOSEXUALITY IN SCRIPTURE AND HISTORY. In my mind I consider that book to be very vaulable. It is out of print, but can be purchased on the Internet.

Anyway, the mere defination of "homosexuality" is not agreed upon by the people who work in the field. (That is the reason people argue as to whether or not homosexuals can change their orientation.) As there is no one defination upon which all can agree I will suggest that we ought not to call one a homosexual who has not identified him/her-self as such.

I support the decision of the Administration of this forum to tell us to quit calling people homosexual.

Re: " . . . but there is no question as to a man's sexual orientation . . . "

I will suggest that his total sexual orientation has not been established. Until one knows another's total sexual orientation, one should be very careful in the statements made about that person.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 2 2007, 08:37 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 10:04 AM)

I'm really not sure if I already violated this or not as I posted, a reference to being able to question one party but not the other, before seeing this notice?? If so I apologise.

But in any case just so I understand.

How does this apply to the allegations against Tommy Shelton which is posted here in almost every recent topic? and what can be posted here in regards to that?

His sexual orientation has been questioned as to whether he is into little boys or men...

There is also an issue of whether these things even happened and if they did whether it was assault or consensual.

If we can't mention someones sexual orientation, how are these things to be discussed, or proved true or false?

I do agree that members shouldn't as a rule have their private lives questioned, but if their private life is relevant to a discussion where they themselves are testifying about someone elses private life???

Thanks in advance for clarifying this issue so all know what can and cannot be said.

I don't question/or wonder if he's gay/homosexual or even "bi-sexual" - what I know is that he is a molestor and a Pedophile. He has been "off the hook" for many a years and had sex with children (legally not of the age of "legal consent")...although we have discussed him (Tommy) being "gay/homosexual" that is not the true question/answer that anyone really is moreso in arms about....

Sure we are perturbed about the homosexual sex; as a Pastor; that is married...but the bigger picture that folks are not seeing is..... **TOMMY SHELTON HAD SEX WITH A CHILD, WHO WAS NOT OF THE "LEGAL AGE OF CONSENT"!!! POINT BLANK**

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 08:58 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 2 2007, 08:37 PM)

Sure we are perturbed about the homosexual sex; as a Pastor; that is married...but the bigger picture that folks are not seeing is..... **TOMMY SHELTON HAD SEX WITH A CHILD, WHO WAS NOT OF THE "LEGAL AGE OF CONSENT"!!! POINT BLANK**

Now, haven't you been paying attention? It hasn't been *proven*. We could all be lying. All us victims could have gotten together and made these disgusting stories up just to get our names out there.

Just thought I'd say it before Aletheia, Bystander, task force or eye witness did.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 09:13 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 07:58 PM)

Now, haven't you been paying attention? It hasn't been *proven*. We could all be lying. All us victims could have gotten together and made these disgusting stories up just to get our names out there.

Just thought I'd say it before Aletheia, Bystander, task force or eye witness did.

They may just do so anyway, but it is worth a try!

Anybody think to take group pictures while you were all together getting your stories straight?!



Posted by: Ozzie Feb 2 2007, 09:47 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 06:07 AM)

Who is bright or not, remains to be seen as we follow the lawsuit threads

Truly are tiresome, these people.

Maybe, Bystander needs to go and have a hair transplant? They are probably pulling their hair out over the allegations coming to the surface - at last!

Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 09:59 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 2 2007, 09:58 PM)

Now, haven't you been paying attention? It hasn't been *proven*. We could all be lying. All us victims could have gotten together and made these disgusting stories up just to get our names out there.

Just thought I'd say it before Aletheia, Bystander, task force or eye witness did.

Hey, Duane, I'm glad you have a sense of humor. That's God's gift to help us over the rough places in life.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 11:07 PM

QUOTE(Ozzie @ Feb 2 2007, 08:47 PM)

Truly are tiresome, these people.

Maybe, Bystander needs to go and have a hair transplant? They are probably pulling their hair out over the allegations coming to the surface - at last!

Let me explain something to you. Read the lawsuit. It is over copyrights and slander. This case will have nothing whatsoever to do with allegations being thrown at 3abn. Even if Gailon counter sues on some point of law, still, it will have nothing to do with 3 abn having to provide any kind of evidence on their finances, ect ect. They only people that can request a court order to go through finances, minutes, ect ect are the FBI or the IRS. Other than that, Gailon can do nothing but make a complaint which would, most likely, be thrown out of court. Regardless, either way, this lawsuit is a seperate issue entirely and 3abn will not be required to air any laundry.

Posted by: erik Feb 2 2007, 11:21 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:07 PM)

Let me explain something to you. Read the lawsuit. It is over copyrights and slander. This case will have nothing whatsoever to do with allegations being thrown at 3abn. Even if Gailon counter sues on some point of law, still, it will have nothing to do with 3 abn having to provide any kind of evidence on their finances, ect ect. They only people that can request a court order to go through finances, minutes, ect ect are the FBI or the IRS. Other than that, Gailon can do nothing but make a complaint which would, most likely, be thrown out of court. Regardless, either way, this lawsuit is a seperate issue entirely and 3abn will not be required to air any laundry.

Bystander,

if you are going to make a slander case you are going to have prove that he was falsely stating facts about 3abn. Sorry it might stay has tightly focused has you would like.

Secondly on the copy right issue you are going to have to go after every one else on the net that repost your broadcasts other wise you are get by the fair use act, i would think that you would also need to prove that mr. joy is or would in the future making a gain from the very limit use one part of one broadcast,.

as to the use of 3abn in the name save 3abn website he can quickly change the name to something like remove tommysheltonthechildmosleter.com what are you going to do then.

it is interesting that you think you can shut this whole legal mess on with out any hearing of the facts of the matter.

for that matter mr. joy can just help put class action lawsuit of all of tommy's victims together and use that has counter suit that does not directly address the slander and copy issues, if you think that that would result int he whole financial thing coming out then you are crazy because the first thing the lawyers are going to do is ask the court to tie up the assets of tommy shelton and 3abn since the 3abn lawyers has been party to trying to suppress the victims get justice.

I think that the shelton's and 3abn will highly regret taking this to court it is going to get very ugly.

Erik

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 2 2007, 11:41 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 2 2007, 09:21 PM) □

Bystander,

if you are going to make a slander case you are going to have prove that he was falsely stating facts about 3abn. Sorry it might stay has tightly focused has you would like.

Secondly on the copy right issue you are going to have to go after every one else on the net that repost your broadcasts other wise you are get by the fair use act, i would think that you would also need to prove that mr. joy is or would in the future making a gain from the very limit use one part of one broadcast,.

as to the use of 3abn in the name save 3abn website he can quickly change the name to something like remove tommysheltonthechildmosleter.com what are you going to do then.

it is interesting that you think you can shut this whole legal mess on with out any hearing of the facts of the matter.

for that matter mr. joy can just help put class action lawsuit of all of tommy's victims together and use that has counter suit that does not directly address the slander and copy issues, if you think that

that would result int he whole financial thing coming out then you are crazy because the first thing the lawyers are going to do is ask the court to tie up the assets of tommy shelton and 3abn since the 3abn lawyers has been party to trying to suppress the victims get justice.

I think that the shelton's and 3abn will highly regret taking this to court it is going to get very ugly.
Erik

AND, if you look carefully at that letter, you'll note that 3ABN's attorney in Minneapolis never actually threatened to sue, so all of this speculation about them suing Gailon has no foundation at all. Read the letter again. They never actually threatened anything. Gerald Duffy makes no threat of any kind against Gailon Joy, Bob Pickle, or anyone else. He simply makes demands. It was a simple cease and desist. You are right Erik - ds does NOT want this going to the court room, because he knows that Gailon can prove things, there are far too many witness and testimony that can be corroborated. tick, tick, tick...

Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 11:48 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 10:41 PM) □

AND, if you look carefully at that letter, you'll note that 3ABN's attorney in Minneapolis never actually threatened to sue, so all of this speculation about them suing Gailon has no foundation at

all. Read the letter again. They never actually threatened anything. Gerald Duffy makes no threat of any kind against Gailon Joy, Bob Pickle, or anyone else. He simply makes demands.
It was a simple cease and desist. You are right Erik - ds does NOT want this going to the court room, because he knows that Gailon can prove things, there are far too many witness and testimony that can be corroborated. tick, tick, tick...

Time will tell.....

I think that the shelton's and 3abn will highly regret taking this to court it is going to get very ugly.

Erik

[/quote]

Erik first, you keep saying "you" as in "you" think you can shut this whole mess up...I am not shutting anything up since I have no credentials or power to do so. You and sonshineonme are making huge assumptions as if you know more than the attorney involved. I am quite confident that he knows what he can or cannot do and will work the case accordingly.

I guess, in the end, we will all be educated as to what can and can't be done in a court of law.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 3 2007, 12:02 AM

Bystander, did you really mean to say "Read the lawsuit?" since all we have so far is an email copy of a letter being sent by certified mail.

nw

Posted by: Bystander Feb 3 2007, 12:11 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 2 2007, 11:02 PM)

Bystander, did you really mean to say "Read the lawsuit?" since all we have so far is an email copy of a letter being sent by certified mail.

nw

I stand corrected. Read the email copy of the attorneys letter concerning a lawsuit should gailon not cease and desist.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 3 2007, 12:28 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:11 PM)

I stand corrected. Read the email copy of the attorneys letter concerning a lawsuit should gailon not cease and desist.

pardon me, but Duffy NEVER mentioned a lawsuit or even the possibility of one. When you say "lawsuit" you are deviating from the truth and engaging in blatant prevarication. There is no such threat. I insist that you show evidence of any such email or admit that you have lied.

Posted by: erik Feb 3 2007, 02:38 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:48 PM) □

Time will tell....

I think that the shelton's and 3abn will highly regret taking this to court it is going to get very ugly.
Erik

Erik first, you keep saying "you" as in "you" think you can shut this whole mess up....I am not shutting anything up since I have no credentials or power to do so. You and sonshineonme are making huge assumptions as if you know more than the attorney involved. I am quite confident that he knows what he can or cannot do and will work the case accordingly.

I guess, in the end, we will all be educated as to what can and can't be done in a court of law.

bystander,

know more then enough about going to court, belief me it never quite works the way you planned, but you are correct the letter does say what they are going to if Mr. Joy fails to obey the commands of "god's" lawyers.

but where danny may have mis-guessed is how willing some on the other side are about going to court, they very well may decide to hit first and fast.

like my favorite business teach said suing is tool use it carefully.

Secondly why send a demanding letter if you are willing to back it up that would look very foolish.

my guess is danny and team are trying to make it look like they tried to christian about the whole going to court issue, but like you like to say time will tell.

erik

Posted by: wwjd Feb 4 2007, 12:11 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 3 2007, 12:28 AM) □

pardon me, but Duffy NEVER mentioned a lawsuit or even the possibility of one. When you say "lawsuit" you are deviating from the truth and engaging in blatant prevarication. There is no such threat. I insist that you show evidence of any such email or admit that you have

lied.

Now why would you say Bystander lied when his interpretation of the letter is different than yours? You insist? Please... I agree with bystander. If I personally had received such a letter I would, indeed, take it as a warning that would lead to a lawsuit if I did not cease and desist.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 4 2007, 12:15 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 4 2007, 10:11 AM) □

Now why would you say Bystander lied when his interpretation of the letter is different than yours? You insist? Please... I agree with bystander. If I personally had received such a letter I would, indeed, take it as a warning that would lead to a lawsuit if I did not cease and desist.

Now why would I think you and bystander would not think the same way?
Yes, I insist. But since bs can't speak upder his own ID now (he is not "online"), I'm sure you will take care of it for him/they.
So, I still insist. Show me, if you can.
You may receive such a "letter" some day....and maybe you might cease and desist.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 4 2007, 12:39 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 4 2007, 12:15 PM) □

Now why would I think you and bystander would not think the same way?
Yes, I insist. But since bs can't speak upder his own ID now (he is not "online"), I'm sure you will take care of it for him/they.
So, I still insist. Show me, if you can.
You may receive such a "letter" some day....and maybe you might cease and desist.

If I ever did receive such a letter, I would hope that I would be smart enough to do "just that" instead of trying to put on a "lawyer hat" and interpret the law as "I" think it reads.

Posted by: Grace Feb 4 2007, 12:44 PM

I'm puzzled by the sudden return of wwjd just after EW left. Pure coincidence, maybe.

□

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 4 2007, 12:51 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 4 2007, 10:39 AM)

If I ever did receive such a letter, I would hope that I would be smart enough to do "just that" instead of trying to put on a "lawyer hat" and interpret the law as "I" think it reads.

I would hope you would be smart enough too. That would be good advice in your case.
p.s. I still don't see your evidence....not going to show me?

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 4 2007, 02:09 PM

Since the "lawsuit" was brought up over here...I thought I would post this over here so it's understood....don't PM me with "idle threats"...don't send me any "quips" about how this lawsuit or that lawsuit ended up "stickin' someone" who was lying. I don't play.

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 4 2007, 01:19 AM)

Actually I wish someone would try to sue me for what I have said on this board. Why? For the time they waste, the name draggin' mess they would like to do, and the issues that would come out. **My college loans and my next degree will be PAID IN FULL!**

Got it?

Good.



Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)

© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)