



## Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

### BlackSDA \_ 3ABN \_ Chapter 11

**Posted by: sister Sep 16 2006, 07:50 AM**

*"Naive", Part 1*

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Clasping her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.

\* \* \* \* \*

I have remained silent so far in the discussion concerning certain character attributes of Linda. I can understand both sides of this issue: the portrayal of Linda by Sonshineonme as a very naive individual and the call for Linda's accountability in regard to what transpired under the rulership of 3ABN and the question of what knowledge she possessed of certain facts at the time.

In the former portrayal, it might be best to define the term "naive" as a "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", someone who looks for the good in situations and is shocked upon the discovery that everything in her world was not as it was depicted to her by her husband. Linda is an individual with these character traits and thus can easily be dominated and manipulated by a controlling and dishonest individual. When Linda was under the control of Danny and her perspective of situations were shaped primarily through his "interpretation" of the "facts", Linda's viewpoint seldom reflected the reality of the situation. Add to this mix a woman who deeply loves her husband and has been controlled by him for twenty years and you have both a case of abuse and manipulation: a woman who has been conditioned to believe everything her husband tells her and taught not to question his credibility or decisions. Considering Linda's character, this situation existed throughout their entire marriage, in varying degrees.

Now in regard to the situation cited in my third post of the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, based upon the above information, whose word is Linda going to believe in regard to the misuse of ministry funds: her husband or his cousin? If her husband tells her he paid for the horses himself and his cousin says he used ministry monies for private purchases, she will side with her husband. With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said. And further explains how she could make the following statement in utter confidence, "anything Danny says is right, even if he is wrong, we are like gods here." She never considered the possibility that Danny was lying...


1. She believed what her husband said was true
2. She did not believe that he would intentionally misrepresent his actions
3. She believed he was a godly man.

And in regard to the last part of the statement, "we are like gods here.",

4. I agree, it was not a very wise statement to make, no matter what her intentions were at the time. But as the old adage goes, "hindsight is 20/20 and if Linda could change that statement now, with the knowledge that she has today, I am sure she would have phrased her thoughts very differently. But, much later she did find out just how true that statement was in regard to the absolute control Danny has over 3ABN as a business and the functioning of the Board.

Were there actions on Danny's part that she knew about and protested against? Yes. Was she able to stop him? No. Did she try to reason with him? Yes. Did it produce her desired results? No. Did she suffer abuse for opposing him?

*Stay tuned for Part 2 of Naive...*




---

**Posted by: justme Sep 16 2006, 08:03 AM**

"sister",

Thank you so much!

This IS the Linda WE have known and LOVED as well!!!

These are the very point I have been trying to say for so long...

That's what I have been trying to say, but I don't have that gift of expression as do you.

THANK YOU

THANK YOU THANK YOU, "sister"

MORE, MORE PLEASE!

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 16 2006, 08:03 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Sep 16 2006, 05:50 AM) □**

*"Naive", Part 1*

Now in regard to the situation cited in my third post of the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, based upon the above information, whose word is Linda going to believe in regard to the misuse of ministry funds: her husband or his cousin? If her husband tells her he paid for the horses himself and his cousin says he used ministry monies for private purchases, she will side with her husband. With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said. And further explains how she could make the following statement in utter confidence, "anything Danny says is right, even if he is wrong, we are like gods here." She never considered the possibility that Danny was lying...


1. She believed what her husband said was true
2. She did not believe that he would intentionally misrepresent his actions
3. She believed he was a godly man.

And in regard to the last part of the statement, "we are like gods here.",

4. I agree, it was not a very wise statement to make, no matter what her intentions were at the time. But as the old adage goes, "hindsight is 20/20 and if Linda could change that statement now, with the knowledge that she has today, I am sure she would have phrased her thoughts very differently. But, much later she did find out just how true that statement was in regard to the absolute control Danny has over 3ABN as a business and the functioning of the Board.

Were there actions on Danny's part that she knew about and protested against? Yes. Was she able to stop him? No. Did she try to reason with him? Yes. Did it produce her desired results? No. Did she suffer abuse for opposing him?

*Stay tuned for Part 2 of Naive...*



Thank you, Sister, for putting the situation more into context. As I mentioned in a post in another thread, I understand the survival/coping mechanisms of a person being controlled by a dominant, abusive spouse.

The statement, in your "We Be Gods.." thread read by itself kind of painted a picture of Linda as dismissing misuse of funds. It certainly gave me pause. Thanks for clarifying.

**Posted by: Treniece Jan 27 2007, 03:45 PM**

So, is Danny accused of misusing 3abn funds also. If he used the money to buy horses maybe he considers the horses as 3abn horses. Where is the proof? How much truth is there in this rumour.

**Posted by: roxe Jan 27 2007, 06:33 PM**

sister,

when are the rest of the parts of chapter 11 going to be posted??  
it's been four months...

or are they being written now as they happen??

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 27 2007, 06:50 PM**

I consider a ministry using a corporate jet to fly it's leader around in a misuse of funds. I believe the figure is \$40,000 per month, not including expences like pilots, jet A fuel, insurance etc.

Richard

QUOTE(Treniece @ Jan 27 2007, 04:45 PM)

So, is Danny accused of misusing 3abn funds also. If he used the money to buy horses maybe he considers the horses as 3abn horses. Where is the proof? How much truth is there in this rumour.

Posted by: inga Jan 27 2007, 07:26 PM

QUOTE(Treniece @ Jan 27 2007, 04:45 PM)

So, is Danny accused of misusing 3abn funds also. If he used the money to buy horses maybe he considers the horses as 3abn horses.

So would that make a difference?


What "ministering" could horses do for 3ABN?

When you think of it, Dan Shelton doesn't have to "own" anything to support a lifestyle quite above the average folks. If 3ABN "owns" things that he can use as he wishes, that is quite sufficient, and he doesn't have to pay taxes on the income.

Posted by: Bystander Jan 28 2007, 03:33 PM

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.





Posted by: watchbird Jan 28 2007, 04:18 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 28 2007, 04:33 PM)

"Naive", Part 1


Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.



Now THAT reaction is not only the crassest thing I have seen in a long time... but also down right evil in my book!..... You have REALLY reached a new low with this one, "Bystander"!!!....  .....

**Posted by: Johann Jan 28 2007, 04:31 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 29 2007, 12:18 AM)**

Now THAT reaction is not only the crassest thing I have seen in a long time... but also down right evil in my book!..... You have REALLY reached a new low with this one, "Bystander"!!!....  .....


We know that both good and evil angels are all around us. Which ones will be permitted to guide us through this crucial issue?

**Posted by: Snoopy Jan 28 2007, 04:35 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 28 2007, 03:33 PM)**

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Clasping her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.



This is horrifying. Please tell me this post was a mistake.







**Posted by: Fran Jan 28 2007, 04:37 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 28 2007, 03:33 PM)**

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her

shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.

 rofl  rofl  rofl    :r

**Are you implying that Danny did not search the internet to find the kind of jet that he truly deserved? If I were to bet, I'd bet he really did!**

**As always, your "I hate Linda" Venom is dripping again! You really must stop drooling. I believe that paragraph.**

**I believe it depicts Linda, as a true Christian. I believe Linda is a person of much prayer. And yes, I believe Danny is about getting what he has determined that he deserves. He felt he was entitled to a better jet.**

**Linda clearly accepted the fact that she was entitled to nothing. She still remains faithful to God. She has had everything stolen from her, yet she still praises God. Oh that I would have her faith.**

**Surely you are laughing at Danny. Surely you would not belittle Linda's faith and prayers.**

**Well, maybe you would since you are doing everything in your power to destroy one classy lady.**

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 28 2007, 04:46 PM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 28 2007, 05:35 PM)**

This is horrifying. Please tell me this post was a mistake.

Bystander forgets that he will stand before God someday... and the standard for judging him will be " inasmuch as ye have done it unto the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me"

And in that day he will say...?

Dunno... but I bet he wont be ROFL...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: andithomas1 Jan 28 2007, 05:01 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 28 2007, 03:33 PM)**

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.



I really find it interesting that someone that professes to be a Christian would read this and respond in this manner. I find myself, as others have asking you why individuals on one side of this drama deserves understanding and forgiveness, but the lady doesn't. I think the "good book" tells us not be a respecter of persons, but when has that Bible truth ever been practiced in this church-Adventist Church.

Once again, I need to qualify my impression-I care nothing about 3ABN, can count the number of times I have watch its programming on one hand. The Shelton and other players in this fiasco mean nothing to me, but if half of what has been posted on this board is true, there are serious issues around 3ABN and the powers that be.

Lets dispel this fallacy for those who want to keep the status quo so that dying sinners can receive salvation-through 3ABN. The gospel was spread before 3ABN and will continue to be spread with or without it. We limit God, he doesn't need us.

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 28 2007, 06:33 PM**

In regard to Part 2 of "Naive", there is more to this story. I have not been ignoring the request that I continue the Saga of "An Unauthorized History of 3ABN" with the second part of "Naive", but I have been uncertain how to answer this request. I had come to a point in the "History" where I felt that the work the Lord had given me in regard to it was basically completed. Instead I had returned to being a participant in the 3ABN Forum, within BSDA, and with the pinning of the "History" considered that my task was completed. The story is not finished and continues on as I write this, but at this point I am questioning if it is my task to complete it here? Please continue to have patience with me in regard to this matter as I prayerfully consider my next step.

Sister

---

**Posted by: Observer Jan 28 2007, 07:02 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Sep 16 2006, 06:50 AM)**

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls

to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.

\* \* \* \* \*

I have remained silent so far in the discussion concerning certain character attributes of Linda. I can understand both sides of this issue: the portrayal of Linda by Sonshineonme as a very naive individual and the call for Linda's accountability in regard to what transpired under the rulership of 3ABN and the question of what knowledge she possessed of certain facts at the time.

In the former portrayal, it might be best to define the term "naive" as a "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", someone who looks for the good in situations and is shocked upon the discovery that *everything in her world was not as it was depicted to her by her husband*. Linda is an individual with these character traits and thus can easily be dominated and manipulated by a controlling and dishonest individual. When Linda was under the control of Danny and her perspective of situations were shaped primarily through his "interpretation" of the "facts", Linda's viewpoint seldom reflected the reality of the situation. Add to this mix a woman who deeply loves her husband and has been controlled by him for twenty years and you have both a case of abuse and manipulation: a woman who has been conditioned to believe everything her husband tells her and taught not to question his credibility or decisions. Considering Linda's character, this situation existed throughout their entire marriage, in varying degrees.

Now in regard to the situation cited in my third post of the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, based upon the above information, whose word is Linda going to believe in regard to the misuse of ministry funds: her husband or his cousin? If her husband tells her he paid for the horses himself and his cousin says he used ministry monies for private purchases, she will side with her husband. *With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe*. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said. And further explains how she could make the following statement in utter confidence, "anything Danny says is right, even if he is wrong, we are like gods here." She never considered the possibility that Danny was lying...

1. She believed what her husband said was true
2. She did not believe that he would intentionally misrepresent his actions
3. She believed he was a godly man.


And in regard to the last part of the statement, "we are like gods here.",

4. I agree, it was not a very wise statement to make, no matter what her intentions were at the time. But as the old adage goes, "hindsight is 20/20 and if Linda could change that statement now, with the knowledge that she has today, I am sure she would have phrased her thoughts very differently. But, much later she did find out just how true that statement was in regard to the absolute control Danny has over 3ABN as a business and the functioning of the Board.

Were there actions on Danny's part that she knew about and protested against? Yes. Was she able to stop him? No. Did she try to reason with him? Yes. Did it produce her desired results? No. Did she suffer abuse for opposing him?

*Stay tuned for Part 2 of Naive...*





The questions come: What did Linda know? Was she naive? These are honest and valid questions.

I will suggest that Sister has made some important points on the question of whether or not Linda was naive.

I have wondered for some time what I could say to add to this. I have stated that in my contact with Linda I has sensed that she was naive. But, I have wondered what more I could say. Well, I have thought of it.

One can be quite intelligent, informed, and a very competent person and still be naive in some areas of their life.

People have told me that I am naive in certain specific areas of my life, how I perceive people, and how I respond to them. My wife sometimes has to bring me into contact with reality.

I have professionally worked with abused women. They have typically been naive in regard to issue with the abusing partner.

The bottom line: A person can be naive in one part of their life, and very competent in others.

Linda has her strengths. Her spirituality is one of them. There are other areas of strength. She has learned lessons from this experience. They have been hard lessons. But, she has learned. Some people do not learn. She may have been naive in the past. She is not now where she once was. It is likely that in the future she will be where she is not today.

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 28 2007, 07:10 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Observer @ Jan 28 2007, 08:02 PM) □**

The questions come: What did Linda know? Was she naive? These are honest and valid questions.

I will suggest that Sister has made some important points on the question of whether or not Linda was naive.

I have wondered for some time what I could say to add to this. I have stated that in my contact with Linda I has sensed that she was naive. But, I have wondered what more I could say. Well, I have thought of it.


One can be quite intelligent, informed, and a very competent person and still be naive in some areas of their life.

People have told me that I am naive in certain specific areas of my life, how I perceive people, and how I respond to them. My wife sometimes has to bring me into contact with reality.


I have professionally worked with abused women. They have typically been naive in regard to issue with the abusing partner.

The bottom line: A person can be naive in one part of their life, and very competent in others.

Linda has her strengths. Her spirituality is one of them. There are other areas of strength. She has learned lessons from this experience. They have been hard lessons. But, she has learned. Some people do not learn. She may have been naive in the past. She is not now where she once was. It is likely that in the future she will be where she is not today.

Well said. 


**Posted by: roxe Jan 28 2007, 08:18 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 28 2007, 05:33 PM) **

In regard to Part 2 of "Naive", there is more to this story. I have not been ignoring the request that I continue the Saga of "An Unauthorized History of 3ABN" with the second part of "Naive" , but I have been uncertain how to answer this request. I had come to a point in the "History" where I felt that the work the Lord had given me in regard to it was basically completed. Instead I had returned to being a participant in the 3ABN Forum, within BSDA, and with the pinning of the "History" considered that my task was completed. The story is not finished and continues on as I write this, but at this point I am questioning if it is my task to complete it here? Please continue to have patience with me in regard to this matter as I prayerfully consider my next step.  
Sister

i'm praying for you, sister, that God will reveal His will to you in this matter.

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 29 2007, 01:35 AM**

**QUOTE(justme @ Sep 16 2006, 08:03 AM) **

"sister",

Thank you so much!

This IS the Linda WE have known and LOVED as well!!!


These are the very point I have been trying to say for so long...

That's what I have been trying to say, but I don't have that gift of expression as do you.

THANK YOU


THANK YOU THANK YOU, "sister"

MORE, MORE PLEASE!



LEE, EYEWITNESS, WWJD, HAROLD, FHB, ALTHEIA ect. ect....check this out...Honestly I haven't laughed this hard in years.....  

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 29 2007, 02:06 AM**

QUOTE(Fran @ Jan 28 2007, 04:37 PM) 

**Are you implying that Danny did not search the internet** to find the kind of jet that he truly deserved? If I were to bet, I'd bet he really did!


No I am not implying...I am ridiculing the ludicrous story that while Linda was crying and praying, he was coldly browsing the internet. Sister or whoever wrote that needs to apply for a comedy writing job on the Tonight Show.

As always, your "I hate Linda" Venom is dripping again! You really must stop drooling. I believe that paragraph.

I believe it depicts Linda, as a true Christian. I believe Linda is a person of much prayer. And yes, I believe Danny is about getting what he has determined that he deserves. He felt he was entitled to a better jet.

Linda clearly accepted the fact that **she was entitled to nothing.** she still remains faithful to God. **She has had everything stolen** from her, yet she still praises God. **Oh that I would have her faith.**

entitled to nothing???? Her settlement from the divorce and the severance from 3abn would be enough for some of us to retire on.....Stolen??? Please, because of all that money she has been able to do absolutely nothing as far as actual work goes. It has given her the means to travel, write, ect ect should she choose to.....Oh that I would have her faith???? Oh that I would have her money

And all these statements from the person that just said last night that she wasn't about the divorce of Danny & Linda and wasn't on either side. 

Surely you are laughing at Danny. Surely you would not belittle Linda's faith and prayers.

Well, maybe you would since you are doing everything in your power to destroy one classy lady.

[quote name='andithomas1' date='Jan 28 2007, 05:01 PM' post='173561']

I really find it interesting that someone that professes to be a Christian would read this and respond in this manner.

You are joking with me..right? You have to be if you have read all the nasty and hateful and judgmental comments that have been made on this forum . If you are going to get on to me then be fair and take the next week to reprimand all the others....

Thanks


**Posted by: Bystander Jan 29 2007, 02:36 AM**

**QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jan 28 2007, 04:35 PM)**

This is horrifying. Please tell me this post was a mistake.

No actually it wasn't. I see nothing wrong with laughing at enjoyable fiction. People get a grip. This is not the bible...it is not holy....it is someone's fictional story of how they THINK things played out. Because it was written does not make it so....Let's see if I was to post a similar paragraph except with the roles reversed, you guys would laugh your socks off and you know it. I can just imagine all the ugly comments that would follow.....c'mon, admit it, you know you would....

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 28 2007, 04:18 PM)**

Now THAT reaction is not only the crassest thing I have seen in a long time... but also down right evil in my book!..... You have REALLY reached a new low with this one, "Bystander"!!!.....  .....

If this is the crassest thing you have seen in a long time..you haven't been keep'in up with the comments of your friends on this forum

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 28 2007, 04:46 PM)**

Bystander forgets that he will stand before God someday... and the standard for judging him will be " inasmuch as ye have done it unto the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto me"

And in that day he will say...?

Dunno... but I bet he wont be ROFL...

In His service,  
Mr. J

Mr. J

Those comments coming from you makes this especially shocking. I have said several times to others that you Mr. J make the most ungodly, hateful and inconsiderate comments of anybody on here. Your whole attitude shows a lack of disregard for anyone's opinion if it doesn't agree with yours. You belittle, you insult and you demean and you have the audacity to quote "as ye have done it unto the least" to me??? How ironic that for weeks I have been wondering how you could put "in His service" after some of the comments you make. There is fun and then...there is YOU.

**QUOTE(Treniece @ Jan 27 2007, 03:45 PM)**

So, is Danny accused of misusing 3abn funds also. If he used the money to buy horses maybe he considers the horses as 3abn horses. Where is the proof? How much truth is there in this rumour.

None. make some phone calls.....and you will understand the real event. and the alleged incident has been posted like it was recent, it was not. It was approx 15 years ago

**Posted by: Clay Jan 29 2007, 09:28 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 02:36 AM)**

No actually it wasn't. I see nothing wrong with laughing at enjoyable fiction. People get a grip. This is not the bible...it is not holy....it is someone's fictional story of how they THINK things played out. Because it was written does not make it so....Let's see if I was to post a similar paragraph except with the roles reversed, you guys would laugh your socks off and you know it. I can just imagine all the ugly comments that would follow.....c'mon, admit it, you know you would....

If this is the crassest thing you have seen in a long time..you haven't been keep'in up with the comments of your friends on this forum

Mr. J

Those comments coming from you makes this especially shocking. I have said several times to others that you Mr. J make the most ungodly, hateful and inconsiderate comments of anybody on here. Your whole attitude shows a lack of disregard for anyone's opinion if it doesn't agree with yours. You belittle, you insult and you demean and you have the audacity to quote "as ye have done it unto the least" to me??? How ironic that for weeks I have been wondering how you could put "in His service" after some of the comments you make. There is fun and then...there is YOU. None. make some phone calls.....and you will understand the real event. and the alleged incident has been posted like it was recent, it was not. It was approx 15 years ago

alot to say for someone who was leaving.... so since you have decided to stay with us for a bit, let me take this opportunity to tell you that you are wrong, wouldn't recognize truth if it parked itself right on the tip of your nose.... Your distraction by minutiae will not work, neither will your minimizing the actions of those at 3abn..... Let me also thank you in advance for providing me with the opportunity to tell you how wrong you are every time you post.....

tiresome you remain...

---

**Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 29 2007, 10:12 AM**

**QUOTE**

LEE, EYEWITNESS, WWJD, HAROLD, FHB, ALTHEIA

Funny how he puts all the "crew" together isn't it.  

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 29 2007, 10:13 AM**


**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 03:36 AM)**

No actually it wasn't. I see nothing wrong with laughing at enjoyable fiction. People get a grip. This is not the bible...it is not holy....it is someone's fictional story of how they THINK things played out. Because it was written does not make it so....Let's see if I was to post a similar paragraph except with the roles reversed, you guys would laugh your socks off and you know it. I can just imagine all the ugly comments that would follow.....c'mon, admit it, you know you would....

Well... THANK YOU for another insight into your character. There IS something VERY wrong with laughing when someone else is weeping.... whether it be in fiction or biography... normal, sensitive, kindly people shed tears over the tears of others... including fictional characters. In this case, since it

was describing a true experience of a real person.... to laugh at her tears only shows you to be the subhuman beast that you obviously are inside. Thank you for stepping out into the open and revealing this gross flaw in your inner makeup!

Keep it up.... even your friends will look on you with abhorrence once they have seen enough....

Carry on....  .... the world is watching....

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 29 2007, 10:37 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 03:36 AM)**

Those comments coming from you makes this especially shocking. I have said several times to others that you Mr. J make the most ungodly, hateful and inconsiderate comments of anybody on here.

For all your crying about Matt 18, if you find my comments so offensive why have you had something to say about them to others when you have never even attempted to say anything to me in accordance with scripture? I am glad my comments have given you sufficient pause that you feel a need to discuss them with annas and caiaphas and the rest of that demonic Thompsonville sanhedrin you run with... that says I've hit you where you live and you don't like it. Score one for me...

**QUOTE**

Your whole attitude shows a lack of disregard for anyone's opinion if it doesn't agree with yours. You belittle, you insult and you demean and you have the audacity to quote "as ye have done it unto the least" to me??? How ironic that for weeks I have been wondering how you could put "in His service" after some of the comments you make. There is fun and then...there is YOU.

Whatever dude... if you think that your indignation moves me... think again; I've been called worse... by better people.

What I find ironic is when someone gives back to you what you give to others it hurts your little feelings, Bro. Hypocrite... and if you were doing unto others as you would have them do unto you, you wouldn't be in this position. Weighed in the same balance that you have weighed others in, you are waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay more wanting than you claim them to be... Whichever Shelton/Shelton flunky you are means absolutely nothing to me. It neither impresses nor intimidates me and there is nothing you can do to me or hold over my head like some latter day sword of Damocles to make me back off. I find it hilarious that you are consenting to threats made by PM and find jocularly in the tears of another... but when you are the target all of a sudden such behavior becomes patently offensive?

Go back to the kiddie pool in Thompsonville because you are drowning here in the deep end of the pool; you clearly are out of your element and out of your league here.

In His service (whether you like it or not),  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 29 2007, 10:53 AM**

BAM!!!!!! (had to borrow that from Emeril) there it is..... hey you tiresome Danny apologists.... that would be Bystander, Cindy, What would the devil do, Lee, Eyewitness, FHB.... ya'll take your vitamins before you come back again..... might help those tired arguments you keep trying to pass as logical thought.....

---

**Posted by: Denny Jan 29 2007, 11:02 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 29 2007, 04:53 PM)**

BAM!!!!!! (had to borrow that from Emeril) there it is..... hey you tiresome Danny apologists.... that would be Bystander, Cindy, What would the devil do, Lee, Eyewitness, FHB.... ya'll take your vitamins before you come back again..... might help those tired arguments you keep trying to pass as logical thought.....

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide:-

Using my imagination

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
- 3a. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
- 3b. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen
6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)
10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 29 2007, 11:14 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 29 2007, 12:02 PM)**

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide:-

Using my imagination

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
3. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
3. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen

6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)
10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3

Advocates? They wrote the rule...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 29 2007, 11:21 AM**

**QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 29 2007, 01:02 PM)**

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide:-

Using my imagination

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
3. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
3. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen
6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)
10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3

let's hope it doesn't go that far

---


**Posted by: watchbird Jan 29 2007, 11:31 AM**

**QUOTE(PaperTigers @ Jan 29 2007, 12:21 PM)**

let's hope it doesn't go that far

Whatchamean "let's hope"... it already has.... except possibly for #9... which is three years away in the future.




..... Stay tuned.....  ..... the story is not finished yet.....


---

**Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 29 2007, 11:34 AM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 29 2007, 01:31 PM)**

Whatchamean "let's hope"... it already has.... except possibly for #9... which is three years away in the future.

..... Stay tuned.....  ..... the story is not finished yet.....

that's the part i was talking about ... SAD SAD SAD 


---

**Posted by: inga Jan 29 2007, 05:01 PM**

**QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 29 2007, 12:02 PM)**

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide:-

Using my imagination

Hey, Denny, I think you've got it **almost right** below. But shouldn't the advice be to "**Find younger spouse**" (**6**) first? After all, it could be rather risky otherwise. One might not find a sexual partner for a while ... And I believe that #6 came first in the scenario we've been watching.... Of course, I could be wrong. It's happened once or twice before. 

**QUOTE**

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
- 3a. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
- 3b. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen
6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)

10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3

**Posted by: Johann Jan 29 2007, 05:23 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Jan 30 2007, 01:01 AM) □**

Hey, Denny, I think you've got it **almost right** below. But shouldn't the advice be to "**Find younger spouse**" (**6**) **first?** After all, it could be rather risky otherwise. One might not find a sexual partner for a while ... And I believe that #6 came first in the scenario we've been watching.... Of course, I could be wrong. It's happened once or twice before. □

Some wonder how much credibility a so called "eye witness" can have. One eye witness claims to have heard Danny stating that his Brandy had been chasing him for . . . [more than ten] years before they got married. How would you married ladies like to have a husband who lets a real young lady chase him for so long? - if you can depend on an eye witness account?

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 29 2007, 05:23 PM**

**QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 29 2007, 12:02 PM) □**

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide: -

Using my imagination

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
- 3a. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
- 3b. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen
6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)
10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3


Well, you're close Denny. Put #6 before 3b and you've got it right!

(Find next spouse BEFORE divorcing the other...)

**Posted by: sister Jan 29 2007, 06:43 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 03:36 AM)**

...and the alleged incident has been posted like it was recent, it was not. It was approx 15 years ago



Bystander: even Danny Shelton, whose behavior is akin to a hick, red-necked, Southern con artist who steals the nickles and dimes from old ladies on fixed incomes, while using God as his frontman; even he should not be ignorant enough to write the above. Or on second thought, perhaps he did make this very mistake?

First you call the incident alleged, then you say it was written in a way to suggest it was a recent event. After that you state that the incident took place approximately fifteen years ago. By your testimony you have supported the fact that the incident is in fact true and occurred approximately fifteen years ago. You were definitely the last person I expected to verify my statement, although I am sure that was not your intention...

Is the great "anointed one" here among us?




Sister

---

**Posted by: Fran Jan 29 2007, 08:34 PM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 29 2007, 06:43 PM)**



Bystander: even Danny Shelton, whose behavior is akin to a hick, red-necked, Southern con artist who steals the nickles and dimes from old ladies on fixed incomes, while using God as his frontman; even he should not be ignorant enough to write the above. Or on second thought, perhaps he did make this very mistake?

First you call the incident alleged, then you say it was written in a way to suggest it was a recent event. After that you state that the incident took place approximately fifteen years ago. By your testimony you have supported the fact that the incident is in fact true and occurred approximately fifteen years ago. You were definitely the last person I expected to verify my statement, although I am sure that was not your intention...

Is the great "anointed one" here among us?



Sister

I was going to post about this too!. To me this verifies that the financial problems were bad even 15

years ago! I believe the financial problems began from the very beginning!

Yes, I believe the self made "annointed one" has spoken. Give him enough rope and he will hang himself!

Hey, Bystander. let's talk about finances and LINDA SHELTON. You have shown us these are your favorite subjects.

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 29 2007, 09:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 29 2007, 09:02 AM)**

Hope they are not advocates of the new Adventist divorce guide:-

Using my imagination

1. Go on national tv and claim to be working on marriage problems
2. Leave spouse
- 3a. Expand lust rule to spiritual adultery (whatever that is)
- 3b. Divorce spouse
4. Gag spouse
5. Whilst gag is in effect defame character of former spouse on tv and to whoever will listen
6. Find younger spouse
7. Marry younger spouse
8. Defame confidants of former spouse
9. Attempt to get point 3 on the next General conference session of 2010 (who knows other 'suffering' colleagues in the church may benefit from this new rule)
10. Attend weddings for former colleagues who also experienced point 3

rofl

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 29 2007, 09:56 PM**

**QUOTE(andithomas1 @ Jan 28 2007, 03:01 PM)**

I really find it interesting that someone that professes to be a Christian would read this and respond in this manner. I find myself, as others have asking you why individuals on one side of this drama deserves understanding and forgiveness, but the lady doesn't. I think the "good book" tells us not be a respecter of persons, but when has that Bible truth ever been practiced in this church- Adventist Church.

Once again, I need to qualify my impression-I care nothing about 3ABN, can count the number of times I have watch its programming on one hand. The Shelton and other players in this fiasco mean nothing to me, but if half of what has been posted on this board is true, there are serious issues around 3ABN and the powers that be.

Lets dispel this fallacy for those who want to keep the status quo so that dying sinners can receive salvation-through 3ABN. The gospel was spread before 3ABN and will continue to be spread with or without it. We limit God, he doesn't need us.

Not just any "christian" [img alt="broken image icon"], but one that professes to be one and "share" the gospel to the whole world...what could be a worse witness (eyewitness) then this, not only for christiandom, but 7th Day Adventists, as this is NOT what WE are about. This is the devil's work, no doubt about that. What a shame. [img alt="broken image icon"]

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 30 2007, 12:46 AM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 29 2007, 05:43 PM)** [img alt="broken image icon"]

Is the great "anoointed one" here among us? [img alt="broken image icon"]

Sister

No, guess not it's just me bystander. As you stated, I said the "alleged" incident meaning that I was told that some years back a cousin that had been fired tried to make a story where the was none on some horses that were purchased approx 15 years. So yes there was some horses purchased and a cousin telling tales, that was the incident I was referring to.

Oh and sister, Yes please please write chapter 2 of naive. You know what they say, Laughter is the Best Medicine." Since it's all made up anyway why don't you switch it this time where Dan is the one with the single tear while Linda is in the private jet, on her way to a big shopping trip, and getting a pedicure along the way. [img alt="broken image icon"] rofl [img alt="broken image icon"] [img alt="broken image icon"] rofl

**Posted by: erik Jan 30 2007, 12:50 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 10:46 PM)** [img alt="broken image icon"]

No, guess not it's just me bystander. As you stated, I said the "alleged" incident meaning that I was told that some years back a cousin that had been fired tried to make a story where the was none on some horses that were purchased approx 15 years. So yes there was some horses purchased and a cousin telling tales, that was the incident I was referring to.

Oh and sister, Yes please please write chapter 2 of naive. You know what they say, Laughter is the Best Medicine." Since it's all made up anyway why don't you switch it this time where Dan is the one with the single tear while Linda is in the private jet, on her way to a big shopping trip, and getting a pedicure along the way. [img alt="broken image icon"] rofl [img alt="broken image icon"] [img alt="broken image icon"] rofl

Bystander,

Why is danny unwilling do what linda side during the ASI said they want a full and open laying out of the evidence of her affair, i say they asked for that to happen so why not do it. you really have nothing to lose, and it will prove she is a lair right?

just a thought.

erik w




---

**Posted by: Fran Jan 30 2007, 02:26 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 12:46 AM)**

No, guess not it's just me bystander. As you stated, I said the "alleged" incident meaning that I was told that some years back a cousin that had been fired tried to make a story where the was none on some horses that were purchased approx 15 years. So yes there was some horses purchased and a cousin telling tales, that was the incident I was referring to.

Oh and sister, Yes please please write chapter 2 of naive. You know what they say, Laughter is the Best Medicine." Since it's all made up anyway why don't you switch it this time where Dan is the one with the single tear while Linda is in the private jet, on her way to a big shopping trip, and getting a pedicure along the way.   

You just can't help it, can you? Gotta' trash Linda at every opportunity. Does Danny ever cry so anyone could write about it? I am of the impression Danny only causes tears. You also delight in hurting people. There is a time to laugh and a time to refrain from laughing. I believe you are not educated enough to know when you laugh and when you don't.

As I see it, If the story line ever says that Danny cried because his wife went shopping in the jet, I would laugh too. Danny probably had to get her out of the house to entertain his other interests.

Now, don't get me wrong, I am sure Danny can cry real crocodile tears if it suits his purpose. In fact he may have to cry real Tammy Fay Bakker tears to get more money from those dumb donors soon.

Come on Bystander, lets talk finances and trust funds. Why won't you come out and play?

---




**Posted by: Fran Jan 30 2007, 02:53 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 12:46 AM)**

No, guess not it's just me bystander. As you stated, I said the "alleged" incident meaning that I was told that some years back a cousin that had been fired tried to make a story where the was none on some horses that were purchased approx 15 years. So yes there was some horses purchased and a cousin telling tales, that was the incident I was referring to.

Oh and sister, Yes please please write chapter 2 of naive. You know what they say, Laughter is the Best Medicine." Since it's all made up anyway why don't you switch it this time where Dan is the one with the single tear while Linda is in the private jet, on her way to a big shopping trip, and

getting a pedicure along the way.   

Yes, there were horses! All right! Now we are really getting somewhere. Why would Danny's cousin, S. S, tell lies about Danny? Now here is a man that understand the principal of truth. Didn't Danny fire him because this cousin said Danny need to get help to stop lying? Didn't this make Danny mad enough to fire him? Just curious.

Then again, you will probably say he had an ax to grind. Why do all of 3ABN's past employees have an ax to grind with Danny? You know, maybe we could understand 1 or 2, but everyone having an ax to grind speaks volumns about Danny. Especially when everyone is grinding the same ax!

Time is getting short. Have you read the Save 3ABN website lately? What do you make of those stories? Sure looks bad for Danny and Tommy. Danny better call Mr. Moneyman again. This will probably cost Mr Moneyman more than he is willing to pay.




It won't be near \$250K this time. The victims just keep piling up.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 30 2007, 03:00 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 08:46 AM)**

No, guess not it's just me bystander. ' - - -way.   

How come you seem to use the same computer as Mr. Danny Shelton?

---

**Posted by: Fran Jan 30 2007, 03:10 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 30 2007, 03:00 AM)**

How come you seem to use the same computer as Mr. Danny Shelton?

You are pretty sharp Johann! I figured that out too! Now, all we have to do is go and document all those lies and all that bait!

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 05:52 AM**

---

**QUOTE(erik @ Jan 30 2007, 01:50 AM)**

Bystander,

Why is danny unwilling do what linda side during the ASI said they want a full and open laying out

of the evidence of her affair, i say they asked for that to happen so why not do it. you really have nothing to lose, and it will prove she is a fair right?

just a thought.

erik w

Umm... Eric, that **IS** what Danny and 3ABN agreed to do Did you read the ASI letter? The findings and conclusions with the reasons and evidence to support it were to be made public after ASI had heard both sides along with their witnesses and any evidence, Danny and 3ABN agreed to those terms.

Linda did not.

Linda said NOTHING, and her representatives wouldn't agree they wanted the whole process made public (unbiblical) and wanted every other thing under the sun, which ASI had no jurisdiction over, to be brought into it, so ASI had to withdraw.

As if they couldn't resolve the divorce issue, and then take care of the rest of their concerns after that????

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 06:05 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 06:52 AM) □**

...Linda said NOTHING, **and her representatives wouldn't agree they wanted the whole process made public (unbiblical) and wanted every other thing under the sun**, which ASI had no jurisdiction over, to be brought into it, so ASI had to withdraw.

As if they couldn't resolve the divorce issue, and then take care of the rest of their concerns after that????

**To be sure we understand you. Are you trying to tell us that trashing Linda on, T.V. to a worldwide audience, is biblical?**

---

**Posted by: Uncle Sam Jan 30 2007, 06:21 AM**

I just want to say when all of this started I bought Danny's story hook line and sinker...I was a loyal 3ABN viewer and supportor. After Linda left I felt sorry for Danny and the ministry so we decided as a family to go and volunteer. I defended 3ABN to anyone that would say anything negative, I defended Linda too. I felt if she had had an affair it was not a normal thing for her so I thought maybe she had a "midlife" crisis.

We went to 3ABN a few times, each time I saw things I wasn't quite comfortable with, nothing I could actually put my finger on. Or that others would think was worth mentioning. Then Danny and his "people" really started promoting Danny so the more he defended the more I searched what he was defending against. Than I found you guys:) I still wasn't sure until he married Brandi. That was hard for the "loyal" 3ABN viewer. I was teetering at this point. Than the 10 Commandment weekend came around. John Lomacang made a reference to people talking to their Dr. after hours on the phone....his sermon was on adultery. I couldn't believe it! Why would he



use that as an example? So I wrote him, Linda NEVER entered his mind when he said that...Now come on!

That did it for me, I don't know what Linda did, but I started to see more of the trashing, I guess my blinders were off. Some questions I have...

- 1) If danny was torn up about Linda, as they say. Why did he have to get the divorce? I know he says she was going to file, he wanted it more private. If my spouse wants a divorce from me, they have to work for it!
- 2) Why was Brenda with Linda instead of Danny. I know you all say he didn't like Nathan but what does he say?
- 3) How could you be married to someone 20 years and than in such a short time hate them so?
- 4) If you were so in love and your marriage was Christ centered how does this happen? Which one was playing house? And playing Christian?
- 5) If none of these "rumors" are true why not just let them die? Why defend yourself when the only place I know they are talking about this is on the web and 3ABN?
- 6) If Danny believes that Linda was "brainwashed" by this Dr. why didn't he just let it take it's course? If the Dr. was in it for one thing he would soon tire of Linda, if it were more than she could divorce Danny. If Danny loved Linda and was a Christian he would forgive her and take her back. It would have been hard but I feel the right thing to do. Danny put her in the position she was in when he did not support her. She should never had had the opportunity to be alone with the Dr. Danny should have been by her side. I have step children so I do have experience in these types of situations. I would NEVER let my spouse go through something like this alone!

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 07:56 AM**

**QUOTE(Fran @ Jan 30 2007, 03:53 AM) □**

Time is getting short. Have you read the Save 3ABN website lately? What do you make of those stories?

Disobedience to God, and a ignoring of his testimonies to the Church, is what I see.

The Almighty God said rebuke your brother and do not suffer sin upon him, but at the same time clarified and commanded how not to do that: thou shalt not run up and down among the people being a talebearer"

David had it right:

"Thy glory, O Israel, is slain upon thy high places!  
How are the mighty fallen!  
Tell it not in Gath,  
Publish it not in the streets of Ashkelon;  
Lest the daughters of the Philistines rejoice,  
Lest the daughters of the uncircumcised triumph."

So did Ellen white:

"...Go, burdened ones, and free yourselves from your burden in God's appointed way. First go tell

your brother his fault between you and him alone. If this fail, next take with you one or two friends, and tell him in their presence. If these steps fail, then tell it to the church. **Not an unbeliever is to be made acquainted with the slightest particular of the matter.** Telling it to the church is the last step to be taken. **Publish it not to the enemies of our faith. They have no right to the knowledge of church matters, lest the weakness and errors of Christ's followers be exposed.** " {2T 54.2}

" It pains me to say that there are unruly tongues among church members. There are false tongues that feed on mischief. There are sly, whispering tongues. There is tattling, impertinent meddling, adroit quizzing. Among the lovers of gossip some are actuated by curiosity, others by jealousy, many by hatred against those through whom God has spoken to reprove them. All these discordant elements are at work. Some conceal their real sentiments, while others are eager to publish all they know, or even suspect, of evil against another.

I saw that the very spirit of perjury, that would turn truth into falsehood, good into evil, and innocence into crime, is now active. Satan exults over the condition of God's professed people. While many are neglecting their own souls, they eagerly watch for an opportunity to criticize and condemn others. All have defects of character, and it is not hard to find something that jealousy can interpret to their injury. "Now," say these self-constituted judges, "we have facts. We will fasten upon them an accusation from which they can not clear themselves." They wait for a fitting opportunity and then produce their bundle of gossip and bring forth their tidbits.

In their efforts to carry a point, persons who have naturally a strong imagination are in danger of deceiving themselves and deceiving others. They gather up unguarded expressions from another, not considering that words may be uttered hastily and hence may not reflect the real sentiments of the speaker. But those unpremeditated remarks, often so trifling as to be unworthy of notice, are viewed through Satan's magnifying glass, pondered, and repeated until molehills become mountains. Separated from God, the surmisers of evil become the sport of temptation. They scarcely know the strength of their feelings or the effect of their words. While condemning the errors of others, they indulge far greater errors themselves. Consistency is a jewel.

Is there no law of kindness to be observed? Have Christians been authorized of God to criticize and condemn one another? Is it honorable, or even honest, to win from the lips of another, under the guise of friendship, secrets which have been entrusted to him, and then turn the knowledge thus gained to his injury? Is it Christian charity to gather up every floating report, to unearth everything that will cast suspicion on the character of another, and then take delight in using it to injure him? Satan exults when he can defame or wound a follower of Christ. He is "the accuser of our brethren." Shall Christians aid him in his work?

God's all-seeing eye notes the defects of all and the ruling passion of each, yet He bears with our mistakes and pities our weakness. He bids His people cherish the same spirit of tenderness and forbearance. True Christians will not exult in exposing the faults and deficiencies of others. They will turn away from vileness and deformity, to fix the mind upon that which is attractive and lovely. To the Christian every act of faultfinding, every word of censure or condemnation, is painful.

There have always been men and women who profess the truth, who have not conformed their lives to its sanctifying influence; men who are unfaithful, yet deceiving themselves and encouraging themselves in sin. Unbelief is seen in their life, their deportment, and character, and this terrible evil acts as does a canker.

Would all professed Christians use their investigative powers to see what evils needed to be corrected in themselves, instead of talking of others' wrongs, there would be a more healthy condition in the church today. Some will be honest when it costs nothing; but when policy will pay best, honesty is forgotten. Honesty and policy will not work together in the same mind. In time, either policy will be expelled, and truth and honesty reign supreme, or, if policy is cherished, honesty will be forgotten." Testimonies vol 5

and BLIND! BLIND! BLIND! and full of all malice and hypocrisy is what I also make of the "save3abn" website:

They publish the evil reports and then say:

"Lessen donor confidence in 3ABN, and thus threaten 3ABN's financial support and its very existence....Cause embarrassment to the Seventh-day Adventist Church if these allegations become more widely known."

and "In short, this website is intended to be a part of a larger effort to save 3ABN to the cause, and vindicate the character of God, whose name has been brought into reproach through the allegations leveled at 3ABN."

Who has raised the allegations publically and brought reproach on the cause of God, and embarassment before the world?!? They themselves!

Why? BECAUSE THEY ARE IN SIN THEMSELVES BY NOT OBEYING CHRIST!

I am quite sure I will be buried here in negative replies again, but I don't care. The truth and principles of God are here for those with a heart for the Lord to read and understand.

How are we to take care of all this, in private, in the Church?

" The matter should be thoroughly investigated. What is the charge brought against the erring one? Has he willfully transgressed the law of God? Has the offender been dishonest in his deal or trade with his brethren or the world? Has he been untruthful? Has he practiced deception? Has he been licentious? **Has he been severe, overbearing, and abusive in his family, with his neighbors, with his brethren, or worldlings? Has he shown a spirit of penuriousness, selfishness, covetousness; of questioning doubts, of faultfinding, of talebearing, of talking of his brethren's faults, magnifying their wrongs, having a spirit of bitterness against them? All these things are grave matters, and deserving of consideration.**

But the next question is: What course has been pursued toward the erring? Have the Bible rules been followed to the letter? Read before them the rules given by their Captain. Have they obeyed orders like faithful soldiers in the army? **To go contrary to the positive orders of the Captain of our salvation is deserving of severest censure.**

"Moreover if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother. But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established. And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church: but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as a heathen man and a publican. Verily I say unto you, Whatsoever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven" (Matthew 18:15-18). {9MR 194.4}

**Ministers and lay members of the church displease God when they allow individuals to tell them the errors and faults of their brethren. They should not listen to these reports, but should inquire: Have you strictly followed the injunctions of your Saviour? Have you gone to the offender and told him his faults between you and him alone? And has he refused to hear you? "Have you carefully and prayerfully taken two or three others, and labored with him in tenderness, humility, and meekness, your heart throbbing with love for his soul?" If the Captain's orders, in the rules given for the erring, have been strictly followed, then an advance step is to be taken-tell it to the church, and let action be taken in the case according to the Scriptures.** Then it is that heaven will ratify the decision made by the church in cutting off the offending member if he does not repent. **If these steps have not been taken, close**

**the ear to complaints, and thus refuse to take up a reproach against your neighbor. If there were no brethren and sisters to do this, evil tongues would soon cease; for they would not find so favorable a field in which to work in biting and devouring one another. {5T 616.4}**

Brethren, we need to fall on the Rock and be broken. Then we shall have the melting, subduing love of Jesus in our hearts. We shall follow the example of Jesus and of the angels, and not be like the Pharisees, who were proud, hard-hearted, and unsympathetic. God is not willing that even the lowest and most degraded should perish. In what light, then, can you regard any neglect of those who need your help?

**Christ has laid down rules to prevent unhappy divisions, but how many in our churches have followed his directions? "If thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and [tell it to every one you meet?] tell him his fault between thee and him alone: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother. But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word shall be established. And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church; but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as a heathen man and a publican." If the instructions which Christ has given were followed out in the spirit that every true Christian should have--if each, when aggrieved, would go to the offending member, and seek in kindness to correct the wrong by privately telling him of his fault, many a grievous trial would be averted.**

**When any one comes to a minister or to men in positions of trust, with complaints about a brother or sister, let them ask the reporter, "Have you complied with the rules our Saviour has given?" and if he has failed to carry out any particular of this instruction, do not listen to a word of his complaint. Refuse to take up a report against your brother or sister in the faith. If members of the church go entirely contrary to these rules, they make themselves subjects of church discipline and should be put under the censure of the church. This matter, so plainly taught in the lessons of Christ, has been passed over with strange indifference. The church has either neglected her work entirely, or has done it with harshness and severity, wounding and bruising souls. Measures should be taken to correct this cruel spirit of criticism, of judging one another's motives, as though Christ had revealed to men the hearts of their brethren. The neglect of doing aright, with wisdom and grace, the work that ought to have been done, has left churches weak, inefficient, and almost Christless.**

Jesus adds to the lesson these words: "Verily I say unto you, Whatsoever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven; and whatsoever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven." This assurance, that after the rules of Christ have been followed to the letter, the decisions of the church will be ratified in heaven, gives a solemn significance to the action of the church. No hasty steps should be taken to cut off names from the church books, or to place a member under censure until the case has been investigated, and the Bible rule fully obeyed. The word of Christ shows how necessary it is for church officers to be free from prejudice and selfish motives. Human minds and hearts, unless wholly sanctified, purified, and refined from partiality and prejudice, are liable to commit grave errors, to misjudge and deal unkindly and unjustly with souls that are the purchase of the blood of Christ. But the decision of an unjust judge will be of no account in the court of heaven. It will not make an innocent man guilty, nor change his character in the least before God. As surely as men in responsible positions become lifted up in their own esteem, and act as though they were to lord it over their brethren, they will render many decisions which heaven cannot ratify.

May God pity those who are watching, as did the Pharisees, to find something to condemn in their brethren, and who pride themselves on their wonderfully acute discernment. That which they call discernment is cold, satanic criticism, acuteness in suspecting and charging souls with evil intent who are less guilty than themselves. They are, like the enemy of God, accusers of the brethren. These souls, whatever their position or experience, need to humble themselves before God. How can they pray, "Forgive me as I forgive others"? "With what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again." "He shall have judgment without mercy, that hath showed no mercy." God grants no pardon to him whose penitence produces no humility, and whose faith does not work by love to purify the

soul. We need to study the example of Him who was meek and lowly, who, when he was reviled, reviled not again. A vindictive spirit will not be indulged by a true Christian.

The lessons which Christ has given us are to be studied, and incorporated into our religious life every day. "When ye stand praying, forgive, if ye have aught against any." "Love your enemies, bless them that curse you, do good to them that hate you, and pray for them which despitefully use you, and persecute you."

Who will stand for the Lord?

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 30 2007, 07:05 AM)

**To be sure we understand you. Are you trying to tell us that trashing Linda on, T.V. to a worldwide audience, is biblical?**

No I am not, and I have not seen any quotes of "trashing" taking place, but I even if what you say is true; you tell me this. Are you trying to say that would justify trashing Danny Shelton, his entire family, Walt Thompson, all the other 3ABN employees and board members who have been vilified, ridiculed and maligned, as well as ASI now?, also the entire Thompsonville Church and even the conference President???, and all the others that have been trashed here publically and on [www.save3abn.com](http://www.save3abn.com)?

If so FOR SHAME.

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 08:17 AM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 08:56 AM)

Who will stand for the Lord?  
No I am not...  
If so FOR SHAME.

If you have not heard Linda trashed in some of their broadcasts, then you obviously have not been watching, paying attention, or your statement is simply not truthful. But anyway, Aletheia give it a rest. Blah blah blah blah

P.S.

Please chill with the sniper runs. Taking pot shots, then running away seems so childish. If you can't take the heat, you know what they say... Also, you can come to LiveChat and speak your peace.

---

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 08:35 AM**

Sera - allow me to explain why the "hit and run"...

\*ahem\*

See...they "hit and run" because what they do is they get a "good post" from either Danny or one of his "camp members"...then they come back and post it. THEN...they discuss their next strategy.

& YES I DO THINK THEY ARE DOING THIS THIS WAY....

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 30 2007, 08:51 AM**

[quote name='Aletheia' date='Jan 30 2007, 08:56 AM' post='173920']  
Disobedience to God, and a ignoring of his testimonies to the Church, is what I see.  
[/quote]  
Still ignoring your log, I see, Cindy...

[quote]The Almighty God said rebuke your brother and do not suffer sin upon him, but at the same time clarified and commanded how not to do that: thou shalt not run up and down among the people being a talebearer"  
[/quote]

You mean as in one should not make false accusations against one's wife in order to divorce her while avoiding church discipline? That kind of tale-bearing?

[quote]David had it right:

"Thy glory, O Israel, is slain upon thy high places!  
How are the mighty fallen!  
Tell it not in Gath,  
Publish it not in the streets of Ashkelon;  
Lest the daughters of the Philistines rejoice,  
Lest the daughters of the uncircumcised triumph." [/quote]

Unfortunately for you... Thompsonville is not Israel.... There is no shekinah in the 3ABN worship center... and not being on Danny's side makes one neither a Philistine nor uncircumcised.

[quote]So did Ellen white:

"...Go, burdened ones, and free yourselves from your burden in God's appointed way. First go tell your brother his fault between you and him alone. If this fail, next take with you one or two friends, and tell him in their presence. If these steps fail, then tell it to the church. **Not an unbeliever is to be made acquainted with the slightest particular of the matter.** Telling it to the church is the last step to be taken. **Publish it not to the enemies of our faith. They have no right to the knowledge of church matters, lest the weakness and errors of Christ's followers be exposed.** " [/quote]

If you were tending to the beam in your own eye, you'd have seen that the following paragraphs apply to you and those of your ilk, to wit:

This is a pop quiz; guess which danny apologist each of these applies to:

[quote]" It pains me to say that there are unruly tongues among church members. There are false tongues that feed on mischief. [/quote]

That would be \_\_\_\_\_

[quote]There are sly, whispering tongues. [/quote]  
That would be \_\_\_\_\_

[quote]There is tattling, impertinent meddling, adroit quizzing. [/quote]

This would be \_\_\_\_\_

[quote]Among the lovers of gossip some are actuated by curiosity, others by jealousy, many by hatred against those through whom God has spoken to reprove them. All these discordant elements are at work. Some conceal their real sentiments, while others are eager to publish all they know, or even suspect, of evil against another. " [/quote]

This is \_\_\_\_\_

[quote] I saw that the very spirit of perjury, that would turn truth into falsehood, good into evil, and innocence into crime, is now active. Satan exults over the condition of God's professed people. While many are neglecting their own souls, they eagerly watch for an opportunity to criticize and condemn others. All have defects of character, and it is not hard to find something that jealousy can interpret to their injury. "Now," say these self-constituted judges, "we have facts. We will fasten upon them an accusation from which they can not clear themselves." They wait for a fitting opportunity and then produce their bundle of gossip and bring forth their tidbits. [/quote]

This is for \_\_\_\_\_

[quote] There have always been men and women who profess the truth, who have not conformed their lives to its sanctifying influence; men who are unfaithful, yet deceiving themselves and encouraging themselves in sin. Unbelief is seen in their life, their deportment, and character, and this terrible evil acts as does a canker. [/quote]

And this is for \_\_\_\_\_

[quote]

But the next question is: What course has been pursued toward the erring? Have the Bible rules been followed to the letter? Read before them the rules given by their Captain. Have they obeyed orders like faithful soldiers in the army? **To go contrary to the positive orders of the Captain of our salvation is deserving of severest censure.**

"Moreover if thy brother shall trespass against thee, go and tell him his fault between thee and him alone: if he shall hear thee, thou hast gained thy brother. But if he will not hear thee, then take with thee one or two more, that in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word may be established. And if he shall neglect to hear them, tell it unto the church: but if he neglect to hear the church, let him be unto thee as a heathen man and a publican. Verily I say unto you, Whatsoever ye shall bind on earth shall be bound in heaven: and whatsoever ye shall loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven" (Matthew 18:15-18). {9MR 194.4}

\*snip\*

[/quote]

Pot. Kettle. Black.

You keep repeating this... and never... NOT ONCE... have you even attempted to abide by it.

Weighed in the same balance you are found wanting. And you are a respecter of persons to boot. When bystander was noting how he spoke of me to others, where was this then? Why didn't you have this indignation then? Where was your great burden that Matt 18 be followed then? Aside from the fact that you were likely one of the 'others' with whom he was discussing me.... and I

am sure I'm not the only one.

You are a hypocrite Cindy... pure and simple... and the more you say, the greater your hypocrisy

Shame starts and ends at your feet. No matter how many fingers you try to point.

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 08:57 AM**

**QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 30 2007, 09:17 AM)**

If you have not heard Linda trashed in some of their broadcasts, then you obviously have not been watching, paying attention, or your statement is simply not truthful. But anyway, Aletheia give it a rest. Blah blah blah blah blah

P.S.

Please chill with the sniper runs. Taking pot shots, then running away seems so childish. If you can't take the heat, you know what they say... Also, you can come to LiveChat and speak your peace.

Where did I run to? I simply don't have time to be on here 24/7's, nor do I have the inclination to answer everyone who is critical of me, but can't adress what I post...

Nor can I come to live chat as my computer won't let me... It's old.. and cranky, and stubborn. I call her "Ms Freeze"

**Posted by: Chez Jan 30 2007, 09:01 AM**

**QUOTE(sister @ Jan 29 2007, 06:43 PM)**



Bystander: even Danny Shelton, whose behavior is akin to a hick, red-necked, Southern con artist who steals the nickles and dimes from old ladies on fixed incomes, while using God as his frontman; even he should not be ignorant enough to write the above. Or on second thought, perhaps he did make this very mistake?

First you call the incident alleged, then you say it was written in a way to suggest it was a recent event. After that you state that the incident took place approximately fifteen years ago. By your testimony you have supported the fact that the incident is in fact true and occurred approximately fifteen years ago. You were definitely the last person I expected to verify my statement, although I am sure that was not your intention...

Is the great "anointed one" here among us?





Sister

No. His pastor is.

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 30 2007, 09:02 AM**

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 30 2007, 02:00 AM)

How come you seem to use the same computer as Mr. Danny Shelton?

Johann and Fran,

How did you come to the conclusion that Bystander is using the same computer as Danny Shelton?

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 30 2007, 09:07 AM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 09:57 AM)

Nor can I come to live chat as my computer won't let me... It's old.. and cranky, and stubborn.  
I call her "Ms Freeze"

I guess computers, like pets, can take on the characteristics of their owners...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 09:17 AM**

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 30 2007, 10:02 AM)

Johann and Fran,

How did you come to the conclusion that Bystander is using the same computer as Danny Shelton?

Because they know the rules about trying to figure out identities are only applied if it concerns sister, or several of her cohorts?


---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 30 2007, 09:29 AM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 10:17 AM)

Because they know the rules about trying to figure out identities are only applied if it concerns sister, or several of her cohorts?

All complaints must be registered by dialing 1-800-WAA-AAA



In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: sister Jan 30 2007, 09:42 AM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 10:17 AM)

Because they know the rules about trying to figure out identities are only applied if it concerns sister, or several of her cohorts?

First of all I must say that this is all getting quite tiresome, Aletheia. You accuse others of not behaving in a Christian manner, you beat them over the head with Scripture and SOP (out of context) and now you start this childish rant in regard to following the rules. I would say that Calvin, Clay and PrincessDi have been very generous with you in regard to the rules. Secondly, I have no "cohorts". Back to the Rules: the rules apply to everyone. Calvin has gotten after me when I stepped over the line in this area and he was right, I deserved censure. He reminded me that I don't like it when people keep trying to out my identity. The reason this topic comes up so often in regard to "Sister" is that people continue to try and prove that I am Linda Shelton, which I am not. A number of times Calvin has made the statement that Linda and I do not have the same IP address, in fact, the last time he testified that we do not even live in the same city!


Sister

---

**Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 09:45 AM**

You know what Sista - you are right. We don't have to figure out who anyone is....

The devil will show itself soon enough. Why? 'Cause the devil always "trips up" eventually....



---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 30 2007, 10:26 AM**

**QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jan 30 2007, 06:35 AM)**

Sera - allow me to explain why the "hit and run"...

\*ahem\*

See...they "hit and run" because what they do is they get a "good post" from either Danny or one of his "camp members"...then they come back and post it. THEN...they discuss their next strategy.

**& YES I DO THINK THEY ARE DOING THIS THIS WAY....**

(when I first read it I thought it said "crap members" and I think that is more appropriate anyway. maybe after a cup of ~~coffee~~ (opps), ROMA, my eyes will not fail me again. Then again, I think my eyes actually saw truth through the haze of even morning tiredness. JMO  )

Oh and VERY good point Re!

---

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 30 2007, 11:37 AM**

**QUOTE(Fran @ Jan 30 2007, 02:53 AM)**

Time is getting short. Have you read the Save 3ABN website lately? What do you make of those stories? Sure looks bad for Danny and Tommy. Danny better call Mr. Moneyman again. This will probably cost Mr Moneyman more than he is willing to pay.

Call Mr. Moneyman? Mr. Moneyman?? c'mon Fran. You don't even know who or what you are talking about...hence MR MONEYMAN...how ridiculous

Oh and Danny S fired the cousin because the cousin said he needed help??? Who said, the cousin? You believe anything and everything that is said as long as it is negative. You ridicule anything and everything that is posted as positive.

YOU are not looking for any truth Fran. Your mind was made up a long time ago even though you say you have never met Danny or Linda...hard to believe...Therefore it would be a waste of time to try to discuss anything with you. Your goal is not to help 3abn, only to hurt. You rant and rave about forms and rules and receipts and added all up, it comes to nothing. Moneyman? Again YOU don't even know who or what you are referring to.

And by the way the save3abn site is also by GJ so why would that have any more validity than this one. He just has his rhetoric going on in 2 different places.

**QUOTE(Fran @ Jan 30 2007, 02:53 AM)**

Yes, there were horses! All right! Now we are really getting somewhere. Why would Danny's cousin, S. S, tell lies about Danny? Now here is a man that understand the principal of truth. Didn't Danny fire him because this cousin said Danny need to get help to stop lying? Didn't this make Danny mad enough to fire him? Just curious.

Then again, you will probably say he had an ax to grind. Why do all of 3ABN's past employees have an ax to grind with Danny?

mmm Just like, according to this forum, 3abn is corrupt, the board is corrupt, the whole shelton family is corrupt, the thompsonville church and pastor is corrupt and now ASI is corrupt....quite a stretch

---

**Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 30 2007, 11:43 AM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 01:37 PM)**

Just like, according to this forum, 3abn is corrupt, the board is corrupt, the whole shelton family is corrupt, the thompsonville church and pastor is corrupt and now ASI is corrupt....quite a stretch

I don't think the majority of us think 3ABN is corrupt, the administration, YES!! but not the ministry, I personally know a LOT of good people that work there, and I would never say that everyone there is corrupt.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Jan 30 2007, 12:10 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 30 2007, 03:00 AM)**

How come you seem to use the same computer as Mr. Danny Shelton?

Nice try Johann, once again, you are off the mark. I will say this for you though. I truly don't think you are intentionally lying with some of the stuff you put on here. I just think you have been brainwashed. Actually you are just as much a victim as 3abn.

---

**Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jan 30 2007, 12:12 PM**

Bystander, why do you refuse to answer my questions?

---

**Posted by: Clay Jan 30 2007, 12:14 PM**

---

**QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 30 2007, 12:12 PM)**

Bystander, why do you refuse to answer my questions?

he is standing by waiting for someone to give him the answers so he can answer you.....

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 30 2007, 12:15 PM**

Oh please! 3ABN a victim of what?

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 30 2007, 12:17 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 10:37 AM) □**

mmm Just like, according to this forum, 3abn is corrupt, the board is corrupt, the whole shelton family is corrupt, the thompsonville church and pastor is corrupt and now ASI is corrupt....quite a stretch

Brother Bystander,

I doubt that many on this forum feel that all of those people and organizations are corrupt.

I, for one, am very disappointed that **there is more and more evidence** to suggest that there is a person who claims to be Christian who has abused his power as a pastor and betrayed the trust of a number of young people.

I, for one, am very disappointed that **there is more and more evidence** to suggest that there are people who claim to be beaming the undiluted Gospel to the world while behind the scenes they are attempting to keep the grievous behavior of this loved one secret.

When I came here in August of 2006 I truly hoped and prayed that I would find this place a den of gossip, slander and vicious lies as I fully expected it to be. I was very disappointed. What I found here, instead, was a group of folks with a burden for truth. I have become one of them.

I have asked numerous times for you to show me proof that this evidence is false and you haven't responded. I am honestly willing to weigh credible evidence.

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 30 2007, 03:25 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 30 2007, 08:10 PM) □**

Nice try Johann, - - - I just think you have been brainwashed.

- - -

You are not quite as bad as Danny Shelton, Mr. Bystander! He claims I have Alzheimer - just see the postings of his letters on save3abn.com.

---

**Posted by: task force Jan 31 2007, 10:06 AM**

---

**QUOTE(sister @ Sep 16 2006, 07:50 AM) □**

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.

\* \* \* \* \*

I have remained silent so far in the discussion concerning certain character attributes of Linda. I can understand both sides of this issue: the portrayal of Linda by Sonshineonme as a very naive individual and the call for Linda's accountability in regard to what transpired under the rulership of 3ABN and the question of what knowledge she possessed of certain facts at the time.

In the former portrayal, it might be best to define the term "naive" as a "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", someone who looks for the good in situations and is shocked upon the discovery that everything in her world was not as it was depicted to her by her husband. Linda is an individual with these character traits and thus can easily be dominated and manipulated by a controlling and dishonest individual. When Linda was under the control of Danny and her perspective of situations were shaped primarily through his "interpretation" of the "facts", Linda's viewpoint seldom reflected the reality of the situation. Add to this mix a woman who deeply loves her husband and has been controlled by him for twenty years and you have both a case of abuse and manipulation: a woman who has been conditioned to believe everything her husband tells her and taught not to question his credibility or decisions. Considering Linda's character, this situation existed throughout their entire marriage, in varying degrees.

Now in regard to the situation cited in my third post of the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, based upon the above information, **whose word is Linda going to believe in regard to the misuse of ministry funds: her husband or his cousin? If her husband tells her he paid for the horses himself and his cousin says he used ministry monies for private purchases, she will side with her husband.** With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said. And further explains how she could make the following statement in utter confidence, "anything Danny says is right, even if he is wrong, we are like gods here." She never considered the possibility that Danny was lying...

1. She believed what her husband said was true
2. She did not believe that he would intentionally misrepresent his actions
3. She believed he was a godly man.

And in regard to the last part of the statement, "we are like gods here.",

4. I agree, it was not a very wise statement to make, no matter what her intentions were at the time. But as the old adage goes, "hindsight is 20/20 and if Linda could change that statement now, with the knowledge that she has today, I am sure she would have phrased her thoughts very differently. But, much later she did find out just how true that statement was in regard to the absolute control Danny has over 3ABN as a business and the functioning of the Board.

Were there actions on Danny's part that she knew about and protested against? Yes. Was she able to stop him? No. Did she try to reason with him? Yes. Did it produce her desired results? No. Did she suffer abuse for opposing him?

Stay tuned for Part 2 of Naive...

TVsnack.

I have been reading BSDA for some time. I must ask some questions about the above bolded part.

Does anyone to this day have proof that Danny did indeed by his horses with 3ABN money Or is the cousin wrong about where the money came from? It looks like Linda knows were the money came from because did they not have a joint bank account? if so, she would know who paid for the horses. From what I hear, didn't Danny and Linda just own 3 or 4 mares in the beginning, and they kept them bred to outside stallions? I hear that Danny and Linda both loved having babies born. If that is true, then most of his horses were born there and he didn't buy them somewhere else. Does anybody know, how many horses are we talking about?

I am concerned that Danny is **presumed** to be guilty and the Cousin, honest

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 31 2007, 11:08 AM**

**QUOTE(task force @ Jan 31 2007, 11:06 AM)**

I have been reading BSDA for some time. I must ask some questions about the above bolded part.

Does anyone to this day have proof that Danny did indeed by his horses with 3ABN money Or is the cousin wrong about where the money came from? It looks like Linda knows were the money came from because did they not have a joint bank account? if so, she would know who paid for the horses. From what I hear, didn't Danny and Linda just own 3 or 4 mares in the beginning, and they kept them bred to outside stallions? I hear that Danny and Linda both loved having babies born. If that is true, then most of his horses were born there and he didn't buy them somewhere else. Does anybody know, how many horses are we talking about?

I am concerned that Danny is **presumed** to be guilty and the Cousin, honest

**With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said.**

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 31 2007, 11:28 AM**

**QUOTE(task force @ Jan 31 2007, 11:06 AM)**

I have been reading BSDA for some time. I must ask some questions about the above bolded part.

Does anyone to this day have proof that Danny did indeed by his horses with 3ABN money Or is the cousin wrong about where the money came from? It looks like Linda knows were the money came from because did they not have a joint bank account? if so, she would know who paid for the horses. From what I hear, didn't Danny and Linda just own 3 or 4 mares in the beginning, and they kept them bred to outside stallions? I hear that Danny and Linda both loved having babies born. If that is true, then most of his horses were born there and he didn't buy them somewhere else. Does anybody know, how many horses are we talking about?  
I am concerned that Danny is **presumed** to be quilty and the Cousin, honest

Let's cut to the chase bud...

You and Cindy and others presume that this is a "pro-Linda" site... and therefore have set yourselves to attempt to indict Linda as complicit in Danny's misdeeds such that he cannot fall without taking her down... and as such, you assume if you are successful in tying her to his misdeeds, folk will back off him in order to save her.


For the umpteenth time, your presumption is wrong... so rather than go through this whole song and dance, just make whatever point it is you piped up to make... if your point has validity that will be noted... and if it is the same tired mess, that will be noted too...

Folks have things to do and they dont include unnecessary trips down rabbit holes that meander much but ultimately go nowhere.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: princessdi Jan 31 2007, 12:39 PM**

Wrong answer to the wrong question. Their computer had been identified, and rightly so. they were using the same pc, and possibly one in the same person. Jes, one more time we are going to talk about you reading before you post. 

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 07:17 AM)**

Because they know the rules about trying to figure out identities are only applied if it concerns sister, or several of her cohorts?

---

**Posted by: watchbird Jan 31 2007, 12:58 PM**

---

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 31 2007, 12:28 PM)**

Let's cut to the chase bud...

You and Cindy and others presume that this is a "pro-Linda" site... and therefore have set



yourselves to attempt to indict Linda as complicit in Danny's misdeeds such that he cannot fall without taking her down... and as such, you assume if you are successful in tying her to his misdeeds, folk will back off him in order to save her.

For the umpteenth time, your presumption is wrong... so rather than go through this whole song and dance, just make whatever point it is you piped up to make... if your point has validity that will be noted... and if it is the same tired mess, that will be noted too...

Folks have things to do and they dont include unnecessary trips down rabbit holes that meander much but ultimately go nowhere.

In His service,  
Mr. J

Ah, but in this case Mr. J, "task force" posted a neat little sign at the entrance of the "rabbit hole"....

"I am concerned that Danny is presumed to be guilty and the Cousin, honest"

Now who could the "cousin" be. For a little more of the cousin's story, see the section of <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=9823&view=findpost&p=138128>, called "The Family". Here are the applicable paragraphs...

About this time, the televangelist contacted a cousin with whom he had been quite close over the years. This cousin and his wife were operating two very successful businesses that they had built from scratch. He told the cousin some miracle stories, explained how God was using this ministry to reach the world, and told him that he was needed to be second in command. The cousin and his wife discussed it and decided that God's work was the most important thing. They divested themselves of their businesses, moved to the location of the ministry, bought a house, and started work. Within a short time, the cousin discovered that he had been duped. He saw financial mismanagement on a gross level, illegal activities, abuse of the employees, and much more. He confronted the televangelist with this wrongdoing and was told, "God called me, not you. That is why I am sitting here, and you are on that side of the desk." He was told to repent and submit.

Several days a week, the cousin was attending an afternoon class at a local college. The televangelist had him taking this course for work. Now the televangelist called the cousin's wife and told her that her husband was disappearing from work for hours at a time and no one knew where he was. He suggested that the cousin might be having an affair and asked her not to say anything. He would find out what was going on and protect her. As soon as her husband got home from work, she told him what the televangelist had said. They called and confronted him with his lies. He said that he simply didn't remember that the cousin was taking classes.


Finally, given the ultimatum, quit or be fired, he resigned. Meanwhile, the televangelist began a vicious smear campaign against him. The family was further split by what was said. The televangelist said, "If you want proof that I am right and he is wrong, just look at the fact that I am still here and he is gone. God is blessing me, not him."

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 03:05 PM**

**QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 31 2007, 12:08 PM) □**

**With the mind set she has developed with Danny, that is the only conclusion she could allow herself to believe. Unfortunately, she did not investigate further for herself, for she believed her husband. Add to that the knowledge that between them they earned about 100, 000 dollars a year and she saw no reason for Danny steal from the ministry, he could**

**afford to buy horses himself. That is where the "childlike trusting nature and vulnerability", which would usually be considered a positive trait in a Christian, is used to manipulate Linda into complete trust and compliance in what her husband said.** 

So.. the point here and the answer to the question was what?

No they didn't have joint bank accounts, or yes they did, and Linda either never looked at the statements, and had no idea what she or he ever spent or what their expenses were? or she did and didn't realize they hadn't bought the original horses?


So when the cousin made this accusation she didn't know where the bank statements and records were, or wasn't allowed to see them? what?!? She didn't even try to prove it to him that this was false?


Obviously she thought they were their horses, and not owned by 3ABN as somewhere around here she is quoted as saying in regards to the divorce that she was trying to get all this sorted out as she had no place to keep them at present and Danny had them...

This just seems weird to me, especially if as you say she trusted her husband. What women who loved her husband and trusted him wouldn't try to correct a misunderstanding between another family member and him, or defend him and show the proof?

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 03:20 PM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 31 2007, 01:39 PM)** 

Wrong answer to the wrong question. Their computer had been identified, and rightly so. they were using the same pc, and possibly one in the same person. Jes, one more time we are going to talk about you reading before you post. 

Well Di, that's very interesting...

I was referring to the way you enforce the rules, not to how they got the alleged information, or even about whether they were correct or not.

You say "wrong answer their computer \*HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED\*\* and rightly so"

I don't question that Calvin as owner and maybe even the administrators could do so, but I do wonder how Johann and Sister could do so????

That's who I was replying to yesterday morning at shortly after 7 am, and that was hours before the letter posted about the Clem brothers which brought about Calvins announcement.

Can you explain how Johann and Sister identified the computer and rightly so before then, Princess Di?

Or did you mis-speak?

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 03:32 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 11:05 PM)**

---

This just seems weird to me, especially if as you say she trusted her husband. What women who loved her husband and trusted him wouldn't try to correct a misunderstanding between another family member and him, or defend him and show the proof?

There is another possibility: Linda knew better than trying to correct her husband, because he got very annoyed with her if she tried? She might have had to swallow a lot in order to save the marriage?

I am just saying that there might be zillions of other possibilities than just the ones you think. Not every marriage functions just like it did with you and your former husband.

My first wife died, so now I am married to a second wife. There is absolutely no comparison between the two marriages. My present extremely happy marriage would be quickly destroyed if I'd keep making comparisons with my first.

Please, Cindy, stop giving the appearance that you are asking questions as if you know all the answers before you ask. How do you know when, where, and how Linda checked on Danny's lucrid spending sprees? He might well have spent thousands of dollars that he refused to tell Linda what he used the money for. Let's try to be fair.

---

**Posted by: YogusBearus Jan 31 2007, 03:40 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 03:32 PM)**

Please, Cindy, stop giving the appearance that you are asking questions as if you know all the answers before you ask. How do you know when, where, and how Linda checked on Danny's lucrid spending sprees? He might well have spent thousands of dollars that he refused to tell Linda what he used the money for. Let's try to be fair.

Let's see here. Cindy/Fair?

Alert! Alert! Oxymoron in the house.

-Yogi

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 31 2007, 04:10 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 04:20 PM)**

Well Di, that's very interesting...

I was referring to the way you enforce the rules, not to how they got the alleged information, or even about whether they were correct or not.

You say "wrong answer their computer \*HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED\*\* and rightly so"

I don't question that Calvin as owner and maybe even the administrators could do so, but I do wonder how Johann and Sister could do so????

That's who I was replying to yesterday morning at shortly after 7 am, and that was hours before the letter posted about the Clem brothers which brought about Calvins announcement.

Can you explain how Johann and Sister identified the computer and rightly so before then, Princess Di?

Or did you mis-speak?

Give it a rest, Cindy... your boy played with fire and he got burned. That's no one's fault but his.

One need not be a prophet to see where the course you have set will lead... but then again, you havent ever been mistaken for the sharpest tool in the shed... so do what you must... and let the chips fall where they may...

Don't forget to write once you're gone tho...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 04:24 PM**

---

**QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Jan 31 2007, 11:40 PM)**

---

Alert! Alert! Oxymoron in the house.

Welcome on board, finally, Yogi. Even an old man with Alzheimers, that's me according to Danny Shelton, senses your presence. I don't mind you calling an Alert! because I'm here. I do fairly well on a daily basis. And I still have a voice.

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 30 2007, 05:02 PM)**

Johann and Fran,

How did you come to the conclusion that Bystander is using the same computer as Danny Shelton?

We just happen to have eyes and are alert to clear signals. To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???

**Posted by: sister Jan 31 2007, 04:29 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 04:20 PM) □**

Well Di, that's very interesting...

I was referring to the way you enforce the rules, not to how they got the alleged information, or even about whether they were correct or not.

You say "wrong answer their computer \*HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED\*\* and rightly so"

I don't question that Calvin as owner and maybe even the administrators could do so, but I do wonder how Johann and Sister could do so????

That's who I was replying to yesterday morning at shortly after 7 am, and that was hours before the letter posted about the Clem brothers which brought about Calvins announcement.

Can you explain how Johann and Sister identified the computer and rightly so before then, Princess Di?

Or did you mis-speak?

Aletheia, I believe that you are the one suffering from the disease of "mis-speak". You have falsely attributed statements to me that I never made. I never identified that three "individuals" were all writing from the same computer. I am not privy to the information that Calvin, Clay or PrincessDi have in regard to IP addresses on BSDA. The comment that I made in regard to IP addresses was that Calvin confirmed that Linda Shelton and I do not have the same IP address, in fact we do not even live in the same city. As in so many other cases you are confused and do not base your statements upon fact.

*Aletheia, check your facts before posting, it could save you a lot of embarrassment in the long run.*

Sister

**Posted by: YogusBearus Jan 31 2007, 04:43 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 04:24 PM) □**

Welcome on board, finally, Yogi. Even an old man with Alzheimers, that's me according to Danny Shelton, senses your presence. I don't mind you calling an Alert! because I'm here. I do fairly well on a daily basis. And I still have a voice.

Thanks Johann. I think I suffer from that Alzheimer's stuff as well. On the other hand, there are benefits to the affliction:

- I'm always meeting new people
- I'm able to give myself a surprise party
- I hide my own easter eggs

-Yogi

**Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 04:48 PM**

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 1 2007, 12:43 AM) □

Thanks Johann. I think I suffer from that Alzheimer's stuff as well. On the other hand, there are benefits to the affliction:

- I'm always meeting new people
- I'm able to give myself a surprise party
- I hide my own easter eggs

-Yogi

We are not above having some fun together in between. This is what makes BSDA great!

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 05:04 PM**


QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM) □

We just happen to have eyes and are alert to clear signals. To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person.



"**may** have"?

Are you seriously trying to claim the reason you posted that Bystander was posting from Danny Shelton's computer--> is because Bystander's personal signature here on BSDA-- has to be shut off or it goes out in Danny Shelton's private e-mails from his email account ?????



You guys and your stories and excuses are killin me...

That was a good one. □

So far the only conclusion your clue leads me to is you really must think the people outside your fantasy world are idiots, just as you all keep claiming.

BUT since your good on substituting the maybes, may haves, and what if scenarios in place of the absolutes whenever questions are asked, (as in your other post to me above) go ahead, imply I'm an idiot or work for Danny or 3ABN, or whatever the latest accusation is, and spin another yarn with a possible interpretation of what you "**may** have" meant above. □ snç

---

**Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 31 2007, 05:07 PM**

---

**QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Jan 31 2007, 06:43 PM)**

Thanks Johann. I think I suffer from that Alzheimer's stuff as well. On the other hand, there are benefits to the affliction:

- I'm always meeting new people
- I'm able to give myself a surprise party
- I hide my own easter eggs

-Yogi

LOL

love the positive outlook Yogi!

---

**Posted by: caribbean sda Jan 31 2007, 05:27 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 05:20 PM)**

Well Di, that's very interesting...

I was referring to the way you enforce the rules, not to how they got the alleged information, or even about whether they were correct or not.

You say "wrong answer their computer \*HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED\*\* and rightly so"

I don't question that Calvin as owner and maybe even the administrators could do so, but I do wonder how Johann and Sister could do so????

That's who I was replying to yesterday morning at shortly after 7 am, and that was hours before the letter posted about the Clem brothers which brought about Calvins announcement.

Can you explain how Johann and Sister identified the computer and rightly so before then, Princess Di?



Or did you mis-speak?

lady...stop grasping at straws...your cohorts have been busted...



---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 31 2007, 06:45 PM**

Sorry,  off My mother passed away 14 months ago from Alzheimer's, as did my mother's mother before her. It runs in my family and I fully expect to be so afflicted. So am I offended by Alzheimer jokes? Nope not a bit, I love them. I suppose it's my way of dealing with what I consider inevitable in my own life, whatever, I find them really amusing. Always better to laugh than cry, or maybe laugh till you cry. 

Richard

quote name='YogusBearus' date='Jan 31 2007, 05:43 PM' post='174244']

Thanks Johann. I think I suffer from that Alzheimer's stuff as well. On the other hand, there are benefits to the affliction:

- I'm always meeting new people
- I'm able to give myself a surprise party
- I hide my own easter eggs

-Yogi  
[/quote]

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 31 2007, 06:57 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 02:20 PM)**

Well Di, that's very interesting...

I was referring to the way you enforce the rules, not to how they got the alleged information, or even about whether they were correct or not.

You say "wrong answer their computer \*HAD BEEN IDENTIFIED\*\* and rightly so"

I don't question that Calvin as owner and maybe even the administrators could do so, but I do wonder how Johann and Sister could do so????

That's who I was replying to yesterday morning at shortly after 7 am, and that was hours before the letter posted about the Clem brothers which brought about Calvins announcement.

Can you explain how Johann and Sister identified the computer and rightly so before then, Princess Di?

Or did you mis-speak?

Dear, if you will go back and read the posts you will discover that it was Fran and Johann that had figured out it was the same computer. I even asked how they knew but didn't get a response until Calvin cleared it up for all of us.

Plus, there were gramatical indications all along for anyone with eyes open to see that they were one and the same person as well. IMO

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 31 2007, 09:19 PM**


[quote name='Aletheia' date='Jan 31 2007, 03:04 PM' post='174248']





"**may** have"?

Are you seriously trying to claim the reason you posted that Bystander was posting from Danny Shelton's computer--> is because Bystander's personal signature here on BSDA-- has to be shut off or it goes out in Danny Shelton's private e-mails from his email account ?????



You guys and your stories and excuses are killin me...

That was a good one.

So far the only conclusion your clue leads me to is you really must think the people outside your fantasy world are idiots, just as you all keep claiming.

BUT since your good on substituting the maybes, may haves, and what if scenarios in place of the absolutes whenever questions are asked, (as in your other post to me above) go ahead, imply I'm an idiot or work for Danny or 3ABN, or whatever the latest accusation is, and spin another yarn

with a possible interpretation of what you "**may** have" meant above.

[/quote

**Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 31 2007, 09:27 PM**

**QUOTE**

No they didn't have joint bank accounts, or yes they did, and Linda either never looked at the statements, and had no idea what she or he ever spent or what their expenses were? or she did and didn't realize they hadn't bought the original horses?

Just because one has joint bank accounts don't mean you know what goes on in said account. I know my hubby couldn't have told you what we had or where the money went in our account. He had access to it but he totally trusted me with the accounts. All he ever did was ask if their was money when he wanted to make a purchase.

**Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 31 2007, 09:46 PM**

**QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jan 31 2007, 10:27 PM)**

Just because one has joint bank accounts don't mean you know what goes on in said account. I know my hubby couldn't have told you what we had or where the money went in our account. He had access to it but he totally trusted me with the accounts. All he ever did was ask if their was money when he wanted to make a purchase.

On the other hand, my wife takes care of the finances and has for some time. I trust her also but it is possible that she could be "stealing" money or buying small islands in the pacific and I wouldn't know!

Remember, where there's money, there will always be theives and places to hide the money.

---

**Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 31 2007, 09:53 PM**

---

**QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Jan 31 2007, 11:46 PM)**

On the other hand, my wife takes care of the finances and has for some time. I trust her also but it is possible that she could be "stealing" money or buying small islands in the pacific and I wouldn't know!

Remember, where there's money, there will always be theives and places to hide the money.

valid point, not everyone is hands on with money, especially if they feel they can trust the other person


---

**Posted by: YogusBearus Jan 31 2007, 10:00 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Jan 31 2007, 06:45 PM)**

Sorry,



My mother passed away 14 months ago from Alzheimer's, as did my mother's

mother before her. It runs in my family and I fully expect to be so afflicted. So am I offended by Alzheimer jokes? Nope not a bit, I love them. I suppose it's my way of dealing with what I consider inevitable in my own life, whatever, I find them really amusing. Always better to laugh than cry, or maybe laugh till you cry.



Richard

Thanks for your graciousness Richard. I would feel horrible if I offended you or anyone that has had to deal with this. I too have experienced what this nasty disease can do to a family. The care givers are the real victims.

-Yogi

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 10:26 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 31 2007, 07:57 PM)**

Dear, if you will go back and read the posts you will discover that it was Fran and Johann that had figured out it was the same computer. I even asked how they knew but didn't get a response until Calvin cleared it up for all of us.

Calvin cleared up how "they knew"??? That's not what you meant, right?

Anyway in reference to what else you said, you missed the part where it all started with Sister's questioning identities as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johanns claims...

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dewayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims, and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

So I fail to see why Di brought that up here, or how calvin's announcement had anything to do with johann's earlier claims that Bystander was posting from Danny's computer.

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 31 2007, 10:39 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 08:26 PM)**

Calvin cleared up how they knew??? That's not what you meant right?

Anyway in reference to what else you said, you missed the part where it all started with Sister's question identities as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johanns claims...

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dewayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims, and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

So I fail to see why Di brought that up here, or how calvin's announcement had anything to do with johann's claims that Bystander was posting from Danny's computer.

how is it that after I read your posts Aletheia, I am more confused about your exact points relating to exactly something in particular from someones elses posts about something that is a particular point??? (if you are getting what I mean, try understanding what I just said myself??!!)

---

**Posted by: Fran Jan 31 2007, 10:39 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 31 2007, 06:57 PM)**

**Dear, if you will go back and read the posts you will discover that it was Fran and Johann**

that had figured out it was the same computer. I even asked how they knew but didn't get a response until Calvin cleared it up for all of us.

Plus, there were gramatical indications all along for anyone with eyes open to see that they were one and the same person as well. IMO

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 30 2007, 09:02 AM)

Johann and Fran,

How did you come to the conclusion that Bystander is using the same computer as Danny Shelton?

Sorry I am so late in replying. I will let the IP stuff ride.

However, the messages themselves revealed who he truly was/is.

**1. He cannot help himself; he must trash Linda at every opportunity. He can't talk about financial problems. He feels that anyone and everyone knows he is entitled to "all" of 3ABN's money. 3ABN is his; he created it all by himself; he is entitles to spend it anyway he sees fit, especially if he receives a benefit.**

**2. He appears to have no conscience in very serious matters/issues. (See # 6)**

**3. He seems to believe that Tommy was/is entitled to his sexual preference and has no problem with Tommy's serious problem. Tommy was/is entitled to his preferences.**

**4. Every time he posted, he gave away information he would only know, which verified what others have said.**

**5. Danny's mindset seems to be unique to some specific individuals. Robert D Hare, PhD, describes these individuals much better than I can. He says these individuals "are social predators who charm, manipulate, and ruthlessly plow their way through life, leaving a broad trail of broken hearts, shattered expectations, and empty wallets. Completely lacking in conscience and in feelings for others; they selfishly take what they want and do as they please, violating social norms and expectation without the slightest sense of guilt or regret. Their bewildered victims desperately ask, "Who are these people?" "What makes them the way they are?" "How can we protect ourselves?"... (Without Conscience the Disturbing World ... By Robert D Hare, PhD)**

**6. Danny has serious entitlement issues in my opinion. (Why does he do that? By Lundy Bancroft) I believe he feels he is entitled to destroy Linda. She could not fulfill his entitlements. In fact there is "no one on earth" that can give him all he is entitled to.**

**7. He truly is uneducated in written communications. In fact, I do not believe he has ever written a book all by himself. I believe Linda may have been his ghost writer and or editor. Yet, he feels that he is the one entitled to the profits and royalties. His thoughts are scrambled and he has trouble linking like subject matter together. He is repetitive.**

**8. He seems to be a con from the beginning. He can make people believe what is not possible. He put the horses on the time line. He was having serious identity problems with God's money and his money as much as 15 years ago. Has it been that way since the beginning? I believe Danny has had this problem even as a child.**

**9. He is an Adventist only as long as this gravy train continues. He seems to feel the 10 Commandments were not written with him in mind. They are only a means to an end. He will start looking for greener pastures should this one dry up, but in the meantime he intends to milk the cash cow for all it is worth. He has shown he is above the 10 Commandments. God has given his special indulgences. He can commit adultery, but no one else can unless they are his partner.**

**10. His communications have shown his mindset. My advice to those around Danny is that they should be afraid, very afraid! When communicating with him, you must reach very low to his mentality. If he is blunt, be blunt. If he slithers, run. Be sure you are protected by a lawyer. Never talk to him alone. Use a tape recorder. You may need evidence. Going to jail for doing it could be worth while if it reveals his motives and his plans of destruction.**

---

**Posted by: seraph|m Jan 31 2007, 10:41 PM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 04:05 PM)

Blah blah blah blah blah...

I don't believe I was talking to you... did yo say something.

Didn't tink so.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 31 2007, 10:44 PM**

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 11:26 PM)

Yeah, but you missed the part where it all started with Sister as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johans claims...

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dewayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims, and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

Did it ever occur to you that your premise was wrong... oh yeah I forgot... you're *\*never\** wrong...

Nevertheless... suffice it to say that on the hunt you are currently engaged you couldnt find your posterior with GPS, both hands and a map... and it doesn't get more wrong than that... so your continued invective only serves as the digital equivalent of a dog chasing his tail...

But I reckon that will be entertaining for the dog... until he catches said tail...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Jan 31 2007, 10:44 PM**

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 31 2007, 08:39 PM)

how is it that after I read your posts Aletheia, I am more confused about your exact points relating to exactly something in particular from someones elses posts about something that is a particular point??? (if you are getting what I mean, try understanding what I just said myself??!!)

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 10:45 PM**

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jan 31 2007, 11:39 PM)

how is it that after I read your posts Aletheia, I am more confused about your exact points relating to exactly something in particular from someones elses posts about something that is a particular point??? (if you are getting what I mean, try understanding what I just said myself??!!)

I'm just answering what people post, or asking questions when things don't make sense to me, or if I think there should be more to it...

If you find me confusing or think I have no point, you could always ignore me. I won't mind, I promise.

**Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 10:46 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 1 2007, 06:26 AM)**

Calvin cleared up how "they knew"??? That's not what you meant, right?

Anyway in reference to what else you said, you missed the part where it all started with Sister's questioning identities as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johanns claims...

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dewayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims, and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

So I fail to see why Di brought that up here, or how calvin's announcement had anything to do with johann's earlier claims that Bystander was posting from Danny's computer.

Are you again claiming there is only one way of discovering things - your way?

**Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 31 2007, 10:53 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 08:45 PM)**

I'm just answering what people post, or asking questions when things don't make sense to me.

If you find me confusing or think I have no point, you could always ignore me. I won't mind, I promise.

Well, honestly, I do ignore you most of the time because of the reason I stated above. I have seriously tried to see your points and give them thought - but you seem to go in circes, ignoring the information that is laid out for you, and a bit of common sense. You don't have to be on a "side" to see it this way - you seem to create 10 more questions while others are being addressed.....I think you might be making it more complicated then it really is. You are looking for (and seeing) more then is really there. Of course, this only my opinoin. I just thought I would (finally) share it with you. My advice, take it or leave it (I have a feeling you will leave it) is R E L A X and L I S T E N, don't be so defensive, and maybe you might be surprised. Oh, and OPEN YOUR MIND up to other possibilities instead of trying to make a square fit in a circle. Again, JMO.

**Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 31 2007, 10:54 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 11:26 PM)**

Calvin cleared up how "they knew"??? That's not what you meant, right?

Calvin stated what he found. He never stated how he found it... and probably wont. If you have a problem with that... tough.

**QUOTE**

Anyway in reference to what else you said, you missed the part where it all started with Sister's questioning identities as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johanns claims...

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dewayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims, and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

So I fail to see why Di brought that up here, or how calvin's announcement had anything to do with johann's earlier claims that Bystander was posting from Danny's computer.

Cindy, get over it. Those users are gone...period. No one owes you any explanation for any decision in that wise. Yes, we know you don't like it... fine; your objection is noted for the record.

But beyond that, we just dont care... we just... dont.

But you are welcome to boycott BSDA in protest.

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Aletheia Jan 31 2007, 11:32 PM**

[quote name='awesumtenor' date='Jan 31 2007, 11:54 PM' post='174295']

Calvin stated what he found. He never stated how he found it... and probably wont. If you have a problem with that... tough.

Cindy, get over it. Those users are gone...period. No one owes you any explanation for any decision in that wise. Yes, we know you don't like it... fine; your objection is noted for the record.

But beyond that, we just dont care... we just... dont.

But you are welcome to boycott BSDA in protest.

In His service,  
Mr. J  
[/quote}

Note to readers:

100% of Mr Joseys posts to me, or to other's he disagree's with,(in the 3ABN area) are based on false theories and attaching words ,thoughts, actions motives, and intents on us that we haven't done, said or even thought, and then finding fault with us because of that.

He also specializes in accusing others of what he does, thus his favorite accusation is "hypocrite"

But don't take my word for it, see for yourselves... Watch him.



**Posted by: Ralph Feb 1 2007, 01:56 AM**

**QUOTE**(awesumtenor @ Jan 31 2007, 09:44 PM)

Nevertheless... suffice it to say that on the hunt you are currently engaged you couldnt find your posterior with GPS, both hands and a map...

In His service,  
Mr. J

I brought this to the attention of a pilot and we both about cracked up.  rofl

**Posted by: sister Feb 1 2007, 02:15 AM**

Seriously, Aletheia, have you considered boycotting BSDA? It would be a wonderful way of expressing your displeasure with Awesumtenor and believe me EVERYONE would notice your absence from the Forum. Just a suggestion...

And by the way, I have never attempted to find out your identity, nor have I any desire to do so. To make the claim that "Sister's questioning identities as usual" is offensive to me, because it is not true. Aletheia, could you please consider quitting your badmouthing of me and the others here who disagree with you. Play nice... You would be surprised how easily the folks here would accept your change in behavior and forgive the past.

Sister

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 1 2007, 08:04 AM**

**QUOTE**

Note to readers:

100% of Mr Joseys posts to me, or to other's he disagree's with,(in the 3ABN area) are based on false theories and attaching words ,thoughts, actions motives, and intents on us that we haven't done, said or even thought, and then finding fault with us because of that.

Note to Cindy... when you get a free moment, look up "projection". Any one of the several mental health professions who frequent the forum will tell you that what you are doing here is a textbook example of it. 100% of my posts that involve you have hit you where you live and they have noted your bias, your intractability, your acute myopia, your being a respecter of persons and other aspects of that "do as I say, not as I do" religion you've modeled since you came here... solely because it is the primrose path you have chosen to walk. You didnt have to come here pushing your particular brand of ugliness and condescension seasoned with just enough presumption to make you consistently wrong, pretending to be "seeking truth" when you in fact were not, figuring this set of colored folk werent astute enough to see what you were doing... and as usual, you were mistaken.

**QUOTE**

He also specializes in accusing others of what he does, thus his favorite accusation is "hypocrite"

But don't take my word for it, see for yourselves... Watch him.

I don't accuse, Cindy. I merely observe. But as far as you and hypocrisy is concerned.. I am not the only one who has observed your insisting that this forum's discussion of 3ABN was in violation of Matthew chapter 18 and yet when you have taken personal exception to any slight... real or imagined... you have yet to follow that which you insist other's should.

That is hypocrisy... and your doing it makes you a hypocrite.

I am not the one who insists that others prove any and everything they state while at the same time refusing to believe their proof and refusing to provide proof for anything you allege.

That is hypocrisy...and your doing it makes you a hypocrite.

And none of that has anything to do with my 'accusing' you of *\*anything\**. If I had not said a word, it would still be what it is...

So stop trying to hijack the thread and get back on topic... we were on Chapter 11. If you feel that we have to have a frank discussion of your flaws of personality and perception, please put it in a PM.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 1 2007, 08:13 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 31 2007, 09:26 PM)**

Calvin cleared up how "they knew"??? That's not what you meant, right?

That's not what I said so that's not what I meant. I asked them how they knew and didn't get an answer. Calvin verified their conclusions when he informed all of us that Bystander and wwjd - as well as dewayneholden - were using the same computer.  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=12336>

**QUOTE**

Anyway in reference to what else you said, you missed the part where it all started with Sister's questioning identities as usual, immediatly before the posts you refer to, and also the part where calvin said nothing till 9 1/2 hours after my question... and the part where that supposedly had nothing to do with Johanns claims...

Didn't miss it at all. Sister asked if the "annointed one" was here and Bystander answered "No, just me...". She didn't mention anything about using the same computer. I asked Fran and Johann my question because they were discussing it.

**QUOTE**

Remember? His reasons for checking was Dwayne Holden's post casting doubt on the Clem brother's claims,

Did Calvin tell you that his reason for checking was dewayneholden's post? If not, this is quite an

assumption. Are you sure that there was not an investigation already in process? wwjd wrote an intimidating PM to Hersheys99 a few days back and I recall Calvin mentioning that they were investigating.

**QUOTE**

and that was in an entirely different thread as well as being way after Johann's post...

And your point is.....???

**QUOTE**

So I fail to see why Di brought that up here, or how calvin's announcement had anything to do with johann's earlier claims that Bystander was posting from Danny's computer.

Yes, you do.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Feb 1 2007, 12:02 PM**

He basically, told you you were wrong.....again....which is true.....as so, so many others have.....get over it. Your friends got caught and tossed.....get over that, also. Everyone seemed to understand my post but you, but I am not surprised. I would say more, but they all have done such a wonderful job, they just left me with the official stuff. Here goes:

**\*\*\*If you have any questions or concerns about an Admin action, please PM a member of the Admin Team for clarification. Posting snide swipes at the Admins within your posts will result in suspension or deletion of your membership. This really is your only warning, you next violation will result in disciplinary action without further notice\*\*\***

**QUOTE**

Note to readers:

100% of Mr Joseys posts to me, or to other's he disagree's with,(in the 3ABN area) are based on false theories and attaching words ,thoughts, actions motives, and intents on us that we haven't done, said or even thought, and then finding fault with us because of that.

He also specializes in accusing others of what he does, thus his favorite accusation is "hypocrite"

But don't take my word for it, see for yourselves... Watch him.

---

**Posted by: task force Feb 1 2007, 01:33 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Jan 31 2007, 11:28 AM)**

Let's cut to the chase bud...

You and Cindy and others presume that this is a "pro-Linda" site... and therefore have set yourselves to attempt to **indict Linda as complicit in Danny's misdeeds** such that he cannot fall without taking her down... and as such, you assume if you are successful in tying her to his misdeeds, folk will back off him in order to save her.

**For the umpteenth time, your presumption is wrong...** so rather than go through this whole song and dance, just make whatever point it is you piped up to make... if your point has validity that will be noted... and if it is the same tired mess, that will be noted too...

Folks have things to do and they dont include unnecessary trips down rabbit holes that meander much but ultimately go nowhere.

In His service,  
Mr. J

I don't know where you get the idea, steve, that i was indicting Linda for anything, i wasn't. I was saying, **maybe the cousin is lying**, and Danny did not buy the horses with ministry money at all. Is it not Danny's word against his? For the umpteenth time? Maybe you need to check how many posts i have made before you make that statement.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Feb 1 2007, 01:50 PM**

Task Force, please use another color for your font, this yellow is difficult to see.

**QUOTE(task force @ Feb 1 2007, 11:33 AM)**

I don't know where you get the idea, steve, that i was indicting Linda for anything, i wasn't. I was saying, **maybe the cousin is lying**, and Danny did not buy the horses with ministry money at all. Is it not Danny's word against his? For the umpteenth time? Maybe you need to check how many posts i have made before you make that statement.

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 1 2007, 02:57 PM**

**QUOTE(task force @ Feb 1 2007, 02:33 PM)**

I don't know where you get the idea, steve,

I'm not steve... and contrary to the opinion of some we don't all look alike... not saying that you are numbered among those who think we do... just pointing that out for the record.

**QUOTE**

that i was indicting Linda for anything, i wasn't. I was saying, **maybe the cousin is lying**, and Danny did not buy the horses with ministry money at all. Is it not Danny's word against his? For the umpteenth time? Maybe you need to check how many posts i have made before you make that statement.

If you have the means to refute something, put it out there. If you don't, say so up front and acknowledge that you are putting forth nothing more that your own speculation which may or may not have any basis in fact. How many times you have posted is immaterial because you are hardly the

first person to come in here defending Danny... and in all likelihood, you wont be the last... and the track record of those who have preceeded you has been one of smoke, mirrors, obfuscation, sleight of hand and general foolishness... and I am sure I speak for many in saying we really dont need yet another act in the same vein... so let's just not get that particular carousel spinning again, ok?

So I ask... do you have proof of purchase for said equines that unequivocally shows the source of the funds utilized to purchase them?

Yes or no will suffice...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: watchbird Feb 1 2007, 03:35 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 1 2007, 03:57 PM) □**

So I ask... do you have proof of purchase for said equines that unequivocally shows the source of the funds utilized to purchase them?

Yes or no will suffice...

In His service,  
Mr. J

.... □ .... No, no, Mr J... that is letting him off way too easy... there are also the questions of who built the barns... who paid for the labor that built the barns... whose W2 form was the money on (if any) that went into the checkbook from whence came the check to pay for the horses that lived in the barns that were built by the labor of those who were paid to do work for what institution?

And that is only a beginning of questions....

A yes or a no will not suffice. Not at all.... □ .....

---

**Posted by: YogusBearus Feb 1 2007, 03:42 PM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 1 2007, 03:35 PM) □**

.... □ .... No, no, Mr J... that is letting him off way too easy... there are also the questions of who built the barns... who paid for the labor that built the barns... whose W2 form was the money on (if any) that went into the checkbook from whence came the check to pay for the horses that lived in the barns that were built by the labor of those who were paid to do work for what institution?

And that is only a beginning of questions....

A yes or a no will not suffice. Not at all.... □ .....

Oh My! That sounds a lot like co-mingling. So the monthly check I send to 3abn might be purchasing oats?

-Yogi

---

**Posted by: watchbird Feb 1 2007, 03:50 PM**

**QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 1 2007, 04:42 PM)**

Oh My! That sounds a lot like co-mingling. So the monthly check I send to 3abn might be purchasing oats?

-Yogi

Well.... probably not that directly.... it would have to go through a few wash and spin cycles first.... but essentially.... .. well you can find more of the story in the Unauthorized History thread pinned at the top... check through the "guided tour" post in that thread....

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 1 2007, 03:50 PM**

**QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 1 2007, 04:42 PM)**

Oh My! That sounds a lot like co-mingling. So the monthly check I send to 3abn might be purchasing oats?

-Yogi

or jet fuel... or drinks with little umbrellas in them... or someone's silence... the list goes on...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Johann Feb 1 2007, 09:38 PM**

**QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 1 2007, 11:42 PM)**

Oh My! That sounds a lot like co-mingling. So the monthly check I send to 3abn might be purchasing oats?


-Yogi

I believe sister gives an interesting account of how the money is divided as it arrives.

**Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 01:57 AM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM)**

To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???



**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 06:21 AM**

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 1 2007, 01:02 PM)**

He basically, told you you were wrong.....again....which is true.....as so, so many others have.....get over it. Your friends got caught and tossed.....get over that, also. Everyone seemed to understand my post but you, but I am not surprised. I would say more, but they all have done such a wonderful job, they just left me with the official stuff. Here goes:

**\*\*\*If you have any questions or concerns about an Admin action, please PM a member of the Admin Team for clarification. Posting snide swipes at the Admins within your posts will result in suspension or deletion of your membership. This really is your only warning, you next violation will result in disciplinary action without further notice\*\*\***

Really Di, how do you say the things you do? I don't know and have never met Bystander , and other then two posts on here have never even talked to him. Since everyone keeps claiming who my friends are, maybe since he's back, it's time I introduced myself to him and some others. :-)

2nd-- When and if I decide to make a complaint, I will do so through proper channels. And I will prove my point, not make snide remarks.

My comment about the rules on here applying to some and not others was meant towards you and all the members here, who protest about others and then exempt yourselves and your friends when posting, and was in reference to Johann's blatant disregard for the rules in his post, and all of the regulars excuses for why people can try to figure out if Danny is here, but better not try and figure out if Linda is here.

Another example. Don't question Duane Clems sexuality-- that's mean, he's a minister and works with kids.

Do question Tommy Shelton's sexuality -- that's righteous because he's a minister and works with kids.

Don't suggest Danny's cousin may have lied-- that's diverting from the topic  
Do claim it is fact that Danny did without any proof-- that's justified.

Do write long viperish tirades against Danny like Fran did for that's loving.

Don't even question the factual basis of Sister's chapter 11 here, or you are hatred incarnate, and are not worthy to have Linda wipe her feet upon you,

Do notice the least word from those you disagree with and take offense.  
Don't notice fran's hatespeech calling for the death of tommy Shelton...

The list goes on and on and on...

I had no opinions when I arrived, thatnks to reading all here as you all kept telling me to do, I now have many.

3rd Kevin Josey claims I was complaining about Calvin's call,, but he's wrong. I never even brought it up, YOU did, Look at the posts please, and see for yourself.

It's rather hard to tell when you are posting as a Administrator or just a member with your own bias, except for the red letters in my response, I'm just replying to you as a member, so I hope this isn't amiss.

Please do consider that some may be evaluating this website and it's merits as a Seventh-day Adventist one, by what is written here...

**Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 11:00 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 07:21 AM) □**

Another example. Don't question Duane Clems sexuality-- that's mean, he's aminister and works with kids.

Do question Tommy Shelton's sexuality -- that's righteous because he's a minister and works with kids.this website and it's merits as a Seventh-day Adventist one, by what is written here...

If you can't see the difference about the validity of allegations against Tommy Shelton and **your implied allegations against Duane Clem**, you're either utterly clueless or a liar about not coming here with a bias, possibly both. □

To summarize:

More than half a dozen persons allege being approached sexually by Tommy Shelton, with at least one being a minor. This is in writing. Other witnesses allege seeing him in compromising circumstances with minors.

Duane Clem was one of the young people in his pastoral care upon whom Tommy Shelton forced his sexual perversion, **and you are trying to reduce his credibility by suggesting that it was consensual sex between adults!!!!** And, by the way, sexual abuse does not require actual physical contact.

**The issue is not that they are both males or that both may be in similar circumstances in life. The issue is that there is good evidence that Tommy Shelton forced himself sexually on a number of boys and young men in his pastoral care.**

And the issue is **not homosexuality!**

Your tactics are despicable!! □ □



**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 11:04 AM**

**QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 1 2007, 04:35 PM) □**

.... □ .... No, no, Mr J... that is letting him off way too easy... there are also the questions of who built the barns... who paid for the labor that built the barns... whose W2 form was the money on (if any) that went into the checkbook from whence came the check to pay for the horses that lived in the barns that were built by the labor of those who were paid to do work for what institution?

And that is only a beginning of questions....

A yes or a no will not suffice. Not at all... □ .....

if you don't have the answers to those questions yourself, then you shouldn't be making unsupported accusations or supporting those who do, or finding fault with those who you claim have not done so.

The burden of proof lies on the one asserting something as fact, NOT on those who questions others rush to judgment, or why they don't give the benefit of the doubt, or why they aren't merciful and don't provide what they claim.

Anyone who is honest would question anything which is not supported, or proved against ANY OTHER, that isn't sin or blindness, that's the way God's people are supposed to think and do...

PROVE ALL THINGS, HOLD FAST THAT WHICH IS GOOD.

**Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 11:10 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 11:04 AM) □**

if you don't have the answers to those questions, then you shouldn't be making unsupported accusations or supporting those who do.

The burden of proof lies on the one asserting something as fact, NOT on those who questions others rush to judgment without giving the benefit of the doubt, being merciful or proving what they claim. Anyone who is honest would question anything which is not supported, or proved, that isn't sin or blindness that's the way God's people are supposed to think and do...

and you know how God's people are suppose to think and do because what? He told you? Thats what you do? Thats what you were told? you arrived at this conclusion how? **by the way... you have problems with the actions of an administrator... tell Calvin... I think you were told that once before.....**

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 11:27 AM**

Awesometenor claimed:

"I don't accuse, Cindy. I merely observe. But as far as you and hypocrisy is concerned.. I am not the only one who has observed your insisting that this forum's discussion of 3ABN was in violation

of Matthew chapter 18 and yet when you have taken personal exception to any slight... real or imagined... you have yet to follow that which you insist other's should."

-----

Well going by your definition, what I have "observed" is that you think I am supposed to abide by your interpretations and opinions or else I'm at fault.

The truth is -- when someone says something publically they can be answered in public.

I have also "observed" you don't know the difference between going to the person privately whom you have a problem with, or think is in error and sin, (according to matt 18), going back with 2 or 3 others, and then taking it to the church to decide, if they don't hear you,; AND going and talking about them to others in public or publishing it to others which is gossip, slander and libel.and condemned by God as talebearing.

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 11:34 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 12:27 PM)**

Awesometenor claimed:

"I don't accuse, Cindy. I merely observe. But as far as you and hypocrisy is concerned.. I am not the only one who has observed your insisting that this forum's discussion of 3ABN was in violation of Matthew chapter 18 and yet when you have taken personal exception to any slight... real or imagined... you have yet to follow that which you insist other's should."

-----

Well going by your definition, what I have "observed" is that you think I am supposed to abide by your interpretations and opinions or else I'm at fault.

The truth is -- when someone says something publically they can be answered in public.

I have also "observed" you don't know the difference between going to the person privately whom you have a problem with, or think is in error and sin, (according to matt 18), going back with 2 or 3 others, and then taking it to the church to decide, if they don't hear you,; AND going and talking about them to others in public or publishing it to others which is gossip, slander and libel.and condemned by God as talebearing.

Not with GPS,both hands and a map, Cindy...

You're still not even close.

In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 11:37 AM**

**QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 12:10 PM)**

Aletheia wrote:

if you don't have the answers to those questions, then you shouldn't be making unsupported

accusations or supporting those who do.

The burden of proof lies on the one asserting something as fact, NOT on those who questions others rush to judgment without giving the benefit of the doubt, being merciful or proving what they claim. Anyone who is honest would question anything which is not supported, or proved, that isn't sin or blindness that's the way God's people are supposed to think and do...

clay objected:

and you know how God's people are suppose to think and do because what? He told you? Thats what you do? Thats what you were told? you arrived at this conclusion how? **by the way... you have problems with the actions of an administrator... tell Calvin... I think you were told that once before.....**

Certainly he told me, he told us all! He doesn't leave his people in ignorance about what right standards and principles are! His word and testimony (not mine) is VERY clear. I quoted it earlier in this thread. If you disagree with that , then address it!

And also BTW: my problems with you and Di are as posters, because of your opiinions which I disagree with, ( I am quite sure that you are not trying to claim your opinions and posted words can't be questioned or disagreed with merely because you are also Administrators) when I have a problem with you or her as Administrators, or anything you do in that capacity, I'll go to Calvin, Capiche?

---

**Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 2 2007, 11:43 AM**

**QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 2 2007, 09:10 AM)**

and you know how God's people are suppose to think and do because what? He told you? Thats what you do? Thats what you were told? you arrived at this conclusion how? **by the way... you have problems with the actions of an administrator... tell Calvin... I think you were told that once before.....**

Hmmm had no idea this was a law office. Thought it was a forum for opinions and that we had the right to our opinions.

**QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Feb 2 2007, 09:38 AM)**

Hmmm had no idea this was a law office. Thought it was a forum for opinions and that we had the right to our opinions.

Sorry Clay, my post was not for you but the the "truth seeker"

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 11:48 AM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 12:37 PM)**

Certainly he told me, he told us all...iHs word (not mine) is clear. I posted it earlier in this thread. If you disagree with that , address it!

And also BTW: my problems with you and Di are as posters, because of your opiinions which I disagree with, ( I am quite sure that you are not trying to claim your opinions and posted words can't be questioned or disagreed with merely because you are also Administrators) when I have a problem with you or her as Administrators, or anythng you do in that capacity, I'll go to Calvin, Capiche?

You are trying to hide behind allegedly disagreeing with them as posters... but the fact remains that your disagreement is regarding their actions as administrators...not their statements as posters...but dont take the grace you have been extended in this regard as an indication that you are fooling anyone... you have made the claim that when you complain, you will do so through the appropriate channels...problem is all you do is complain and whine about how unfair you think things are....

all of it is calculated and all of it is contrived... and when the cup of your iniquity is full...



In His service,  
Mr. J

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 12:21 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 12:48 PM) □**

You are trying to hide behind allegedly disagreeing with them as posters... but the fact remains that your disagreement is regarding their actions as administrators...not their statements as posters...but dont take the grace you have been extended in this regard as an indication that you are fooling anyone... you have made the claim that when you complain, you will do so through the appropriate channels...problem is all you do is complain and whine about how unfair you think things are....

all of it is calculated and all of it is contrived... and when the cup of your iniquity is full...



In His service,  
Mr. J

Where did Christ behead anyone he disgreed with, or warn about such?

Let the readers again judge the spirit and fruits mainifeses here for themselves...

Rev 6:9-11And when he had opened the fifth seal, I saw under the altar the souls of them that were slain for the word of God, and for the testimony which they held:And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, dost thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that

dwell on the earth? And white robes were given unto every one of them; and it was said unto them, that they should rest yet for a little season, until their fellowservants also and their brethren, that should be killed as they were, should be fulfilled.

Rev 20:4 And I saw thrones, and they sat upon them, and judgment was given unto them: and **I saw the souls of them that were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God**, and which had not worshipped the beast, neither his image, neither had received his mark upon their foreheads, or in their hands; and they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years.

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 12:38 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 10:48 AM) □**

You are trying to hide behind allegedly disagreeing with them as posters... but the fact remains that your disagreement is regarding their actions as administrators...not their statements as posters...but dont take the grace you have been extended in this regard as an indication that you are fooling anyone... you have made the claim that when you complain, you will do so through the appropriate channels...problem is all you do is complain and whine about how unfair you think things are....

all of it is calculated and all of it is contrived... and when the cup of your iniquity is full...



In His service,  
Mr. J

Mr. J,

I am assuming that by your guillotine emoticon you are referring to someone being cut off from membership in this Forum and not having their head separated from their body. Am I right?

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 12:42 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 01:21 PM) □**

Where did Christ behead anyone he disagreed with, or warn about such?

There wasn't an Ananias and Sapphira smiley... nor was there one of she-bears coming out of the woods... nor was there one of closing up the Red Sea on Pharoah and his army... nor was there one of those not written in the book of life being cast into the lake of fire.... the list goes on... and on... and on... all of them the end of those who would defy God and do what is right in their own eyes...there are also no smileys specific to the warnings given to those who start down that path... so the smiley chosen conveys the message

**QUOTE**

Let the readers again judge the spirit and fruits mainifesed here for themselves...

Rev 6:9-11 And when he had opened the fifth seal, I saw under the altar the souls of them that were slain for the word of God, and for the testimony which they held: And they cried with a loud voice, saying, How long, O Lord, holy and true, dost thou not judge and avenge our blood on them that dwell on the earth? And white robes were given unto every one of them; and it was said unto them, that they should rest yet for a little season, until their fellowservants also and their brethren, that should be killed as they were, should be fulfilled.

Rev 20:4 And I saw thrones, and they sat upon them, and judgment was given unto them: and **I saw the souls of them that were beheaded for the witness of Jesus, and for the word of God**, and which had not worshipped the beast, neither his image, neither had received his mark upon their foreheads, or in their hands; and they lived and reigned with Christ a thousand years.

You really need to come up with a better holier-than-thou, Cindy... and the readers have already discerned the spirit you manifest...rationalizing a sexual predator and placing the blame for his actions on those he victimized... saying it's ok for a married pastor to have homosexual sex if you believe it was consensual...

Show me where Christ EVER turned the blind eye to sin that you have in this forum.... give me chapter and verse, please...

In His service,  
Mr. J

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 01:38 PM)** 

Mr. J,

I am assuming that by your guillotine emoticon you are referring to someone being cut off from membership in this Forum and not having their head separated from their body. Am I right?

Yes... dont be led down the primrose path of Cindy's faux indignation.

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 2 2007, 12:44 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 01:38 PM)** 

Mr. J,

I am assuming that by your guillotine emoticon you are referring to someone being cut off from membership in this Forum and not having their head separated from their body. Am I right?

Why would you assume that PB? Are you aquainted with the scriptural reference about the cup of

iniquity being filled and such?

What are they in reference to?!?

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 12:53 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 01:44 PM) □**

Why would you assume that PB? Are you acquainted with the scriptual reference about the cup of iniquity being filled and such?

What are they in reference to?!?

If you need to know, ask task force... but ask not for whom the bell tolls...

In His service,  
Mr. J

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 12:55 PM**

**QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 2 2007, 11:42 AM) □**

Yes... dont be led down the primrose path of Cindy's faux indignation.

In His service,  
Mr. J

I asked you because Cindy seems to be paying attention to your posts while ignoring mine. I have given up trying to understand Cindy or get her to understand me. We seem to speak different languages.

PB

---

**Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 2 2007, 12:57 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 01:55 PM) □**

I asked you because Cindy seems to be paying attention to your posts while ignoring mine. I have given up trying to understand Cindy or get her to understand me. We seem to speak different languages.

PB

I know; it's all good...

In His service,

Mr. J

**Posted by: sister Feb 2 2007, 01:10 PM**

Aletheia, fighting with other members and continually questioning their Christianity tends to negate your self-professed purpose of being a seeker of truth. You have very little credibility at this point, at least preserve what is left. Perhaps you should consider a self imposed time out?

Sister

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 03:09 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 2 2007, 05:21 AM)**

My comment about the rules on here applying to some and not others was meant towards you and all the members here, who protest about others and then exempt yourselves and your friends when posting, and was in reference to Johann's blatant disregard for the rules in his post, and all of the regulars' excuses for why people can try to figure out if Danny is here, but better not try and figure out if Linda is here.

Another example. Don't question Duane Clems sexuality-- that's mean, he's a minister and works with kids.

Do question Tommy Shelton's sexuality -- that's righteous because he's a minister and works with kids.

Don't suggest Danny's cousin may have lied-- that's diverting from the topic

Do claim it is fact that Danny did without any proof-- that's justified.

Do write long viperish tirades against Danny like Fran did for that's loving.

Don't even question the factual basis of Sister's chapter 11 here, or you are hatred incarnate, and are not worthy to have Linda wipe her feet upon you,

Do notice the least word from those you disagree with and take offense.

Don't notice fran's hatespeech calling for the death of tommy Shelton...

Aletheia' the beauty in which you made those "comparison" statements, takes my breath away...On that note I would like to officially introduce myself (bystander) to you and hope that we can be friends (since we have been accused of that already.) Over and Over the inconsistencies have been "consistent" so to speak. I have been told by a number of people that have come to read these threads that the one sidedness on the things you just stated, has been unbelievable. Actually, one person said that "any moron" could see the way the wind blows here.!! Anyway, Aletheia, you ask thoughtful questions, have some very good insight and I respect the fact that you fight the battles with scripture as Jesus did. Only thing is, scripture doesn't work here. Only accusations do. But don't give up...there will be plenty of lurkers here that totally agree and understand our points, scripturally and otherwise. I also have been floored at the lack of concern for Fran's statement. For those lurkers that have been "on the fence" I am positive, her statement, will push them off onto the side of right.

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 11:55 AM)**

I asked you because Cindy seems to be paying attention to your posts while ignoring mine. I have



given up trying to understand Cindy or get her to understand me. We seem to speak different languages.

PB

And that, would be a compliment to Cindy

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 03:26 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 1 2007, 08:38 PM) □**

I believe sister gives an interesting account of how the money is divided as it arrives.

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM) \*

To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???

Yohann, the other day I gave you the benefit of the doubt by saying I didn't think you were lying, I just think you are brainwashed. It seems I might have to retract that statement. What you said about Danny S. leaving his Bystander name on while he wrote a personal email is totally untrue. I believe, electronically it makes no sense either. Now you are joining with the rest on planting seeds to make people believe that statement is truth when it is not. "To give a clue" sounds like you know what you are talking about." You insert the word "seem" so that you cannot be accused of telling an intentional lie. But in fact, you are by your deceit in implying such a thing when it is 100% false. I am dissappointed.

---

**Posted by: princessdi Feb 2 2007, 03:28 PM**

Please, tell us Bystander, how exactly do you know this to be a fact?

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 01:26 PM) □**

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM) \*

To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???

Yohann, the other day I gave you the benefit of the doubt by saying I didn't think you were lying, I just think you are brainwashed. It seems I might have to retract that statement. **What you said about Danny S. leaving his Bystander name on while he wrote a personal email is totally untrue. I believe, electronically it makes no sense either.** Now you are joining with the rest on planting seeds to make people believe that statement is truth when it is not. "To give a clue" sounds like you know what you are talking about." You insert the word "seem" so that you cannot be accused of telling an intentional lie. But in fact, you are by your deceit in implying such a thing when it is 100% false. I am dissappointed.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 03:33 PM**

---

**QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 2 2007, 02:28 PM)**

Please, tell us Bystander, how exactly do you know this to be a fact?

Because I am not Danny and have never been anywhere near his computer. That's how.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Feb 2 2007, 03:40 PM**

Someone called me today and said you might be John Lomacang. Is that true?

Problem is since you've already been caught telling falsehoods, it's difficult to believe whatever you say.

---

**Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 03:41 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:09 PM)**

On that note I would like to officially introduce myself (bystander) to you and hope that we can be friends (since we have been accused of that already.)

Ha! What kind of introduction is that??!!  **Not even a *firstname*, let alone a last name![/i]**

---

**QUOTE**

Anyway, Aletheia, you ask thoughtful questions, have some very good insight and I respect the fact that you fight the battles with scripture as Jesus did.

Well, well, well ... birds of a feather do flock together.

You forget, Bystander, that **Satan also fights battles with Scripture.**

Let the reader judge who uses Scripture the way Satan does (to promote evil) or the way Jesus does (to promote righteousness).

The Pharisees quoted Scripture and crucified Jesus. And modern Pharisees would do it again, judging by the evidence they give here.

---

**Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 04:00 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:26 PM)**

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM) \*

To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???

Yohann, the other day I gave you the benefit of the doubt by saying I didn't think you were lying, I just think you are brainwashed. It seems I might have to retract that statement. What you said about Danny S. leaving his Bystander name on while he wrote a personal email is totally untrue. I believe, electronically it makes no sense either. Now you are joining with the rest on planting seeds to make people believe that statement is truth when it is not. "To give a clue" sounds like you know what you are talking about." You insert the word "seem" so that you cannot be accused of telling an intentional lie. But in fact, you are by your deceit in implying such a thing when it is 100% false. I am dissapointed.

Judge for yourself: Was this worse than when Mr. Danny insinuated in his writing to 3ABN supporters that Dr. Arild Abrahamsen had killed his wife? To me that sounded as if he knew what he was talking about! - It was a lot more than just a "clue".

Perhaps someone else had planted it, I do not know. But I did see such a letter where both of those names appeared as if written by the same person. I'll take it along to court if that is needed.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:26 PM)

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 05:24 PM) \*

To give you a clue, Danny may have forgotten to turn off his bystander signature when he wrote a personal letter to a certain person. What Danny calls Alzheimers could be a condition that gives added perception - who knows???

Yohann, the other day I gave you the benefit of the doubt by saying I didn't think you were lying, I just think you are brainwashed. It seems I might have to retract that statement. What you said about Danny S. leaving his Bystander name on while he wrote a personal email is totally untrue. I believe, electronically it makes no sense either. Now you are joining with the rest on planting seeds to make people believe that statement is truth when it is not. "To give a clue" sounds like you know what you are talking about." You insert the word "seem" so that you cannot be accused of telling an intentional lie. But in fact, you are by your deceit in implying such a thing when it is 100% false. I am dissapointed.

Judge for yourself: Was this worse than when Mr. Danny insinuated in his writing to 3ABN supporters that Dr. Arild Abrahamsen had killed his wife? To me that sounded as if he knew what he was talking about! - It was a lot more than just a "clue".

Perhaps someone else had planted it, I do not know. But I did see such a letter where both of those names appeared as if written by the same person. I'll take it along to court if that is needed.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:09 PM**


---

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 16 2006, 06:50 AM) □

"Naive", Part 1

Linda sits quietly in a chair, gazing out the living room window. Danny has just left the room. Her shoulders begins to shake as a single tear slowly makes its way down her cheek, as her lips begin to tremble she hears the roar of Danny's truck as he drives away... Soon the single tear is joined by many others, etching her delicate features like stained glass. Claspng her hands together she falls to her knees and begins to pray... At the same time Linda is praying, Danny is seated in his office viewing a website for private jets.

Stay tuned for Part 2 of Naive...[/i]



Sister, I am unclear who is the author of this little "gem". Is it you, Linda, someone else? Really, no matter who authored it I have some questions. Several on this forum attacked me because I laughed at the portrayal. I was told this is true so how could I laugh? My observation is this: If Linda wrote this, then she must have the unique ability to be in 2 places at once. How else could she know, as she knelt in prayer at her home, what Danny was looking at, on the internet, at the 3abn office? The same assumption would have to be made to any that claim to be an eyewitness to this story. They also would have to be in 2 places at once. Now, we all know that this isn't possible. So, obviously the author is getting that version from someone or is just using her imagination. Either way, the "truth" of the story has no credibility. If someone is involved in a bitter divorce and decides to write a book (via another party) obviously that book will be totally one sided and spun in a way as to invoke all kinds of evilness on the other party. Those types of books have no validity to a reader that knows there are 2 sides to every story and would like to read "both sides" to get a fair and accurate accounting. As stated before, the only kind of work that would tell what one person is doing in one location while at the same time, describing what another person is looking at in a different location, would obviously be considered, fiction.

---

**Posted by: Pickle Feb 2 2007, 04:36 PM**

Looks like Danny may not think Sister is Linda after all.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:38 PM**

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 2 2007, 03:36 PM) □

Looks like Danny may not think Sister is Linda after all.

I would not know what Danny thinks or does not think...I am not he

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 06:14 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 02:09 PM) □**

Aletheia' the beauty in which you made those "comparison" statements, takes my breath away...On that note I would like to officially introduce myself (bystander) to you and hope that we can be friends (since we have been accused of that already.) Over and Over the inconsistencies have been "consistent" so to speak. I have been told by a number of people that have come to read these threads that the one sidedness on the things you just stated, has been unbelievable. Actually, one person said that "any moron" could see the way the wind blows here.!! Anyway, Aletheia, you ask thoughtful questions, have some very good insight and I respect the fact that you fight the battles with scripture as Jesus did. Only thing is, scripture doesn't work here. Only accusations do. But don't give up...there will be plenty of lurkers here that totally agree and understand our points, scripturally and otherwise. I also have been floored at the lack of concern for Fran's statement. For those lurkers that have been "on the fence" I am positive, her statement, will push them off onto the side of right. And that, would be a compliment to Cindy

Bystander, I will say that there are plenty of members here who post scripture and Spirit of Prophecy, myself included, in support of our positions. If you have read extensively here you would know that. As in any group of people there are lots of different personalities here as the posts reflect. It makes life more interesting, IMO.

As far as what Fran said about the death penalty in Texas, I have to say I don't agree with the spirit of the statement but it is her right, according to the membership rules here on BSDA, to express her opinion. It is God who will mete out that type of punishment when ALL have had every chance to choose between Him and His adversary. I don't hate Tommy Shelton, as I have said before. I love him as much as any Christian human can. Jesus purchased him right along with all of the rest of us when he died. I pray that Tommy Shelton, who has confessed to his "inappropriate" behavior to one victim by email, will choose to stop hiding, throw off the millstone of guilt and make proper amends to his alleged victims while he still has the time and life to do it.


---


**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 06:38 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 03:09 PM) □**

Sister, I am unclear who is the author of this little "gem". Is it you, Linda, someone else? Really, no matter who authored it I have some questions. Several on this forum attacked me because I laughed at the portrayal. I was told this is true so how could I laugh? My observation is this: If Linda wrote this, then she must have the unique ability to be in 2 places at once. How else could she know, as she knelt in prayer at her home, what Danny was looking at, on the internet, at the 3abn office? The same assumption would have to be made to any that claim to be an eyewitness to this story. They also would have to be in 2 places at once. Now, we all know that this isn't possible. So, obviously the author is getting that version from someone or is just using her imagination. Either way, the "truth" of the story has no credibility. If someone is involved in a bitter divorce and decides to write a book (via another party) obviously that book will be totally one sided and spun in a way as to invoke all kinds of evilness on the other party. Those types of books have no validity to a reader that knows there are 2 sides to every story and would like to read "both sides" to get a fair and accurate accounting. As stated before, the only kind of work that would tell what one person is doing in one location while at the same time, describing what another person is looking at in a different location, would obviously be considered, fiction.

Bystander. I'm going to engage in a bit of whimsy here. Where is the original Bystander and who are you?! Since you came back from being banned your grammer has vastly improved, your posts are thoughtful and far more well organized. You just don't sound like your old self. Guess the vacation

was good for you! 


Okay folks, I know that was completely  off but I just had to get it off my chest.

PB

---

**Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 2 2007, 06:52 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 04:38 PM)**

Bystander. I'm going to engage in a bit of whimsy here. Where is the original Bystander and who are you?! Since you came back from being banned your grammer has vastly improved, your posts are thoughtful and far more well organized. You just don't sound like your old self. Guess the vacation was good for you! 

Okay folks, I know that was completely  off but I just had to get it off my chest.

PB

PB, I noticed the same thing as well, so I am glad you brought it up! If you and I noticed, I'm SURE many others did as well.....so, now bystander (aka "bs")knows we know too.

And, I thought bs made it clear he/she/they (really it's they) have a nice little list of people in front of them....who would make lists like that....??

---

**Posted by: Clay Feb 2 2007, 07:05 PM**

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 06:52 PM)**

PB, I noticed the same thing as well, so I am glad you brought it up! If you and I noticed, I'm SURE many others did as well.....so, now bystander (aka "bs")knows we know too.

And, I thought bs made it clear he/she/they (really it's they) have a nice little list of people in front of them....who would make lists like that....??

perhaps its Bystander by committee... the saying goes, 2 heads are better than one... so there must be 3 or 4 heads collaborating in this effort.... cute but still tiresome...

---

**Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 09:19 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 07:38 PM)**

Bystander. I'm going to engage in a bit of whimsy here. Where is the original Bystander and who are you?! Since you came back from being banned your grammer has vastly improved, your posts are thoughtful and far more well organized. You just don't sound like your old self. Guess the vacation was good for you!

Took the words right out of my mouth!!

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 09:47 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Feb 2 2007, 08:19 PM)**

Took the words right out of my mouth!!

Why, thank you pb and inga. It might have to do with the fact that I had been very much "under the weather" healthwise but am doing much better now. One cannot think properly when they are ill.

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 05:52 PM)**

PB, I noticed the same thing as well, so I am glad you brought it up! If you and I noticed, I'm SURE many others did as well.....so, now bystander (aka "bs")knows we know too.

And, I thought bs made it clear he/she/they (really it's they) have a nice little list of people in front of them....who would make lists like that....??

Sorry to dissappoint sonshineonme but I can promise you, there is only 1 me. As I said to PB and Inga, I had been feeling poorly until lately and one cannot think clearly when on is ill. Really, though, aren't you kind of relieved that there is, only one, me???

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 09:53 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:42 PM)**

Why, thank you pb and inga. It might have to do with the fact that I had been very much "under the weather" healthwise but am doing much better now. One cannot think properly when they are ill.

Brother Bystander, sorry to hear that you have been so ill. I'm glad you are doing much better now. I agree that it is sometimes difficult to think properly when the body is sick or even hungry . I've never seen such a dramatic change in one's grammer and ability to turn a phrase as the before and after in this case. But, whatever the reason, it is most refreshing.

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 09:58 PM**

**QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 2 2007, 03:00 PM)**

Judge for yourself: Was this worse than when Mr. Danny insinuated in his writing to 3ABN supporters that Dr. Arild Abrahamsen had killed his wife? To me that sounded as if he knew what he was talking about! - It was a lot more than just a "clue".

Perhaps someone else had planted it, I do not know. But I did see such a letter where both of those names appeared as if written by the same person. I'll take it along to court if that is needed.  
Judge for yourself: Was this worse than when Mr. Danny insinuated in his writing to 3ABN supporters that Dr. Arild Abrahamsen had killed his wife? To me that sounded as if he knew what he was talking about! - It was a lot more than just a "clue".

Perhaps someone else had planted it, I do not know. But I did see such a letter where both of those names appeared as if written by the same person. I'll take it along to court if that is needed.

I have seen no such writing to 3abn supporters neither have I even heard of such a writing. Please do take it along to court because no matter how you thought it "appeared" it isn't true and this can be proven. Actually it is ludicrous to think that someone with a travel and work schedule like Danny's would or could waste his time on a little forum such as this. As I said in another post, if Danny is going to answer any accusations or questions of any kind, it will be somewhere that counts with credible people that deal in evidence, not gossip.

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 08:53 PM)**

Brother Bystander, sorry to hear that you have been so ill. I'm glad you are doing much better now. I agree that it is sometimes difficult to think properly when the body is sick or even hungry  . I've never seen such a dramatic change in one's grammar and ability to turn a phrase as the before and after in this case. But, whatever the reason, it is most refreshing.

Why thank you PB. I didn't realize myself, that I was doing such a poor job due to illness. Guess that was because I was ill

**Posted by: lookin4truth Feb 2 2007, 09:59 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:47 PM)**

Why, thank you pb and inga. It might have to do with the fact that I had been very much "under the weather" healthwise but am doing much better now. One cannot think properly when they are ill.

Bystander,

Please take care of yourself. We wouldn't want your blood pressure to get to stroke level.



---

**Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 2 2007, 10:01 PM**

Ill he says. Someone else was ill lately, couldn't make a Florida date. Hmmm.....

---

**Posted by: Brenda Feb 2 2007, 10:03 PM**

This forum must carry more importance than our friend Bystander has suggested it in other threads, if he can devote so much time to it while he has been so unwell.

But I with the others here rejoice that his health has been restored so fully and noticeably.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 10:08 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 2 2007, 02:40 PM)** □

Someone called me today and said you might be John Lomacang. Is that true?

Problem is since you've already been caught telling falsehoods, it's difficult to believe whatever you say.

Oh my. that is quite an accusation. Other than to come back to this forum when I first thought I wouldn't, I do not know what you are referring to. And coming back was just a change of mind, not a lie. I have never, nor would I ever intentionally tell a lie to anyone on this forum. Therefore, I resent your accusations but....what you think won't worry me for long..... □

Oh wait, maybe I should have asked first...were you accusing bystander of telling falsehoods or John Lomacang of telling them. If you were accusing John L then you can forget Bystanders resentment.

---

**QUOTE(Brenda @ Feb 2 2007, 09:03 PM)** □

This forum must carry more importance than our friend Bystander has suggested it in other threads, if he can devote so much time to it while he has been so unwell.

But I with the others here rejoice that his health has been restored so fully and noticeably.

No, not importance, just an enjoyable way to pass the time when you don't feel like being up and around. Thank you though, that you are rejoicing at my restoration.

---

**QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Feb 2 2007, 08:59 PM)** □

Bystander,

Please take care of yourself. We wouldn't want your blood pressure to get to stroke level. □

No worries there...I have perfect blood pressure  
Thank you for caring

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 10:15 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:08 PM)**

Oh my. that is quite an accusation. Other than to come back to this forum when I first thought I wouldn't, I do not know what you are referring to. And coming back was just a change of mind, not a lie. I have never, nor would I ever intentionally tell a lie to anyone on this forum. Therefore, I resent your accusations but....what you think won't worry me for long.....

Oh wait, maybe I should have asked first...were you accusing bystander of telling falsehoods or John Lomacang of telling them. If you were accusing John L then you can forget Bystanders resentment.

No, not importance, just an enjoyable way to pass the time when you don't feel like being up and around. Thank you though, that you are rejoicing at my restoration.

No worries there...I have perfect blood pressure

Thank you for caring

Being accused of being John Lomacang should not be considered a criticism, IMO. He's a wonderful man of God as far as I know. Of course, he would know who Herb Douglass is so I know you couldn't be John Lomacang. But, I'm just a grandma who can hide her own Easter eggs and then have a hard time finding them again.

**Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 10:21 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:58 PM)**

`[/color]`

Why thank you PB. I didn't realize myself, that I was doing such a poor job due to illness. Guess that was because I was ill

I must say that you also have a much better sense of humor now.

Lessee ... that was quite an accomplishment for a short break:

Enough English courses to make a difference between a Grade-4 English level and College level, both in vocabulary, grammar and organizational skills. Acquisition of a more sophisticated sense of humor. Forgetting of some bothersome details. H'mm ... Can you tell me where to get such a magic potion?

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:08 PM)**

Oh my. that is quite an accusation. Other than to come back to this forum when I first thought I wouldn't, I do not know what you are referring to. And coming back was just a change of mind, not a lie. I have never, nor would I ever intentionally tell a lie to anyone on this forum. Therefore, I resent your accusations but....what you think won't worry me for long.....

...

No, not importance, just an enjoyable way to pass the time when you don't feel like being up and around. Thank you though, that you are rejoicing at my restoration.

No worries there...I have perfect blood pressure

Thank you for caring

Come to think of it, I think we've me two version of Bystander before this ... Maybe this is the original Bystander coming back? (I haven't compared the latest posts with the original ones.)

**Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 2 2007, 10:26 PM**

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 2 2007, 08:21 PM) □

I must say that you also have a much better sense of humor now. □

Lessee ... that was quite an accomplishment for a short break:  
Enough English courses to make a difference between a Grade-4 English level and College level, both in vocabulary, grammar and organizational skills. Acquisition of a more sophisticated sense of humor. Forgetting of some bothersome details. H'mm ... Can you tell me where to get such a magic potion? □

Come to think of it, I think we've me two version of Bystander before this ... Maybe this is the original Bystander coming back? (I haven't compared the latest posts with the original ones.)

naaa, you are thinking of eyewitness □ ....he/she/they had more then one "personality". Remember? normal, then RAGE, and so on? (i.e. out of control outbreaks of anger, bitterness and jealousy)

**Posted by: Ozzie Feb 2 2007, 10:28 PM**

QUOTE(Bystander @ Jan 29 2007, 05:35 PM) □

☒ rofl ☒ rofl ☒ rofl ☒ rofl ☒ rofl ☒ rofl

LEE, EYEWITNESS, WWJD, HAROLD, FHB, ALTHEIA ect. ect....check this out...Honestly I haven't laughed this hard in years..... ☒ ☒

**You are 'sick' Bystander!** ☒ as-if

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 10:28 PM**

Bystander, could I ask you a personal question? Are you a Seventh-day Adventist? I'm not trying to identify you, just get to know you.

PB

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 10:42 PM**

**QUOTE(inga @ Feb 2 2007, 09:21 PM)**

I must say that you also have a much better sense of humor now.

Lessee ... that was quite an accomplishment for a short break:  
Enough English courses to make a difference between a Grade-4 English level and College level, both in vocabulary, grammar and organizational skills. Acquisition of a more sophisticated sense of humor. Forgetting of some bothersome details. H'mm ... Can you tell me where to get such a magic potion?

Come to think of it, I think we've me two version of Bystander before this ... Maybe this is the original Bystander coming back? (I haven't compared the latest posts with the original ones.)

I certainly can tell you where to get the magic potion. From your doctor. perscription medicine for severe migraines can indeed, seem, like a magic.

No need to waste your time comparing posts I can promise you there is only 1 me. Thanks for the compliments though. I guess it is hard to organize your thoughts and be careful of grammar when one has those annoying migraine spots in front of your eyes.

**QUOTE(Ozzie @ Feb 2 2007, 09:28 PM)**

**You are 'sick' Bystander!**  as-if

No, didn't you read my other posts, I am much better now.....

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 09:28 PM)**

Bystander, could I ask you a personal question? Are you a Seventh-day Adventist? I'm not trying to identify you, just get to know you.

PB

I am in the sense that I believe that Saturday is the true Sabbath and I also believe in the state of the dead. There are other things, I am not sure I agree with....but that is another story

**QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 2 2007, 09:26 PM)**

naaa, you are thinking of eyewitness  ...he/she/they had more then one "personality". Remember? normal, then RAGE, and so on? (i.e. out of control outbreaks of anger, bitterness and jealousy)

Then, undoubtedly, eyewitness fit right in this group

---


**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 10:44 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:40 PM)**

I certainly can tell you where to get the magic potion. From your doctor. perscription medicine for severe migraines can indeed, seem, like a magic.

No need to waste your time comparing posts I can promise you there is only 1 me. Thanks for the compliments though. I guess it is hard to organize your thoughts and be careful of grammar when one has those annoying migraine spots in front of your eyes.

No, didn't you read my other posts, I am much better now..... 

I am in the sense that I believe that Saturday is the true Sabbath and I also believe in the state of the dead. There are other things, I am not sure I agree with....but that is another story

No magic potions ever worked for my migraines. Imitrex, nada! I finally went vegan two years ago, thanks Dr. Diehl, and have had very few subsequent migraines.

What are those other things that you're not sure you agree with?

PB

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 10:45 PM**

---

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 09:44 PM)**

No magic potions ever worked for my migraines. Imitrex, nada! I finally went vegan two years ago, thanks Dr. Diehl, and have had very few subsequent migraines.

What are those other things that you're not sure you agree with?

PB

Well, that is a topic best left for another day.


---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 10:50 PM**

---

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:45 PM)**

Well, that is a topic best left for another day.

That's okay by me, but what better day than the Sabbath for such a topic? 

Anyway, the world must seem like a more beautiful place now that the migraine has lifted and I'm glad we kept you entertained while you were in the midst of it.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 10:58 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 09:50 PM)**

That's okay by me, but what better day than the Sabbath for such a topic?

Anyway, the world must seem like a more beautiful place now that the migraine has lifted and I'm glad we kept you entertained while you were in the midst of it.

Thank you, me too

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 09:15 PM)**

Being accused of being John Lomacang should not be considered a criticism, IMO. He's a wonderful man of God as far as I know. Of course, he would know who Herb Douglass is so I know you couldn't be John Lomacang. But, I'm just a grandma who can hide her own Easter eggs and then have a hard time finding them again.

I agree. What I know of John L I believe he is a man of God. That is why I wonder why so many here accuse him of doing wrong by saying he is in Danny's back pocket" and all of that junk. He appears to me to be a man of honor, but, that is just my opinion.

---

**Posted by: Fran Feb 2 2007, 11:05 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:47 PM)**

Why, thank you PB and Inga. It might have to do with the fact that I had been very much "under the weather" health wise but am doing much better now. One cannot think properly when they are ill.

Sorry to disappoint SonShineOnMe but I can promise you, there is only 1 me. As I said to PB and Inga, I had been feeling poorly until lately and one cannot think clearly when on is ill. Really, though, aren't you kind of relieved that there is, only one, me???

Allow me a moment if you will, Bystander.

Since you asked, I praise God that there is only one of you.

Let me introduce myself to you.

My name is Linda. I have been abused by my spouse.

My name is Duane/Diane. I have been molested.

My name is Clay. I stand for what I believe.

My name is Diane. I not only stand for myself, but I stand for others as well.

My name is sister. I like to "Bring good things to Light."

I am daughter. I had a saint for a mother. None of what happened to me was her fault.

I am a child of Hal & Mollie. I had an alcoholic father.

My mother was Linda too. She was holy and my spiritual mentor.

I was Observer at one time, but I got over it. I can not be Observer because of what I have been dealt. This is nothing against Observer. I just no longer tolerate what I did yesteryear.

My name is Bob, I want to know truth.

My name is Gailon Joy. I have integrity.

I am NOT Aletheia, Mystery man, or WWJD. This person has no integrity. He/she/it is all about himself. I question his character as a person. There is much scripture quoted, but never ones that apply to him/her/them. They are always right and everyone else is always wrong! He is always threatening people.

I am not Danny. I would not be able to look myself in the mirror. However, as we have seen, Danny is right proud of his actions and has no problem looking in the mirror.

I am not Tommy. I have not left of wide swath of allegations against me for sexually molesting anyone or being sexually inappropriate in any way. I do not feel that it is OK for that to happen.

This is only part of who I am. If I told you who I really was, I might give you a fright.

Is your friend picking up the bill for this one too, or are the donors?

I can no longer speak to you! You are despicable and not worthy of my time

---

**Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 2 2007, 11:11 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:58 PM)**

Thank you, me too

I agree. What I know of John L I believe he is a man of God. That is why I wonder why so many here accuse him of doing wrong by saying he is in Danny's back pocket" and all of that junk. He appears to me to be a man of honor, but, that is just my opinion.

I only know him through what I have seen on 3abn. I watch the programming every week day with my grandmother so I see him quite often. Of course, if the behind the scenes John L. is different than what goes out over the air they could have a point. I just don't know.

I would have to say that I would be extremely disappointed if I were to find out that he was aware of the allegations against Tommy and did nothing to seek out the truth of the matter and work to resolve the situation for the sake of the alleged victims.

Do you have any input on the emailed confession that Tommy sent to Duane? I haven't seen anybody

refute that as being the truth.

---

**Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 11:58 PM**

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 10:11 PM) □**

I only know him through what I have seen on 3abn. I watch the programming every week day with my grandmother so I see him quite often. Of course, if the behind the scenes John L. is different than what goes out over the air they could have a point. I just don't know.

I would have to say that I would be extremely disappointed if I were to find out that he was aware of the allegations against Tommy and did nothing to seek out the truth of the matter and work to resolve the situation for the sake of the alleged victims.

Do you have any input on the emailed confession that Tommy sent to Duane? I haven't seen anybody refute that as being the truth.

The person or persons who might refute it are not on this forum as far as I can see.

**QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 2 2007, 10:11 PM) □**

I only know him through what I have seen on 3abn. I watch the programming every week day with my grandmother so I see him quite often. Of course, if the behind the scenes John L. is different than what goes out over the air they could have a point. I just don't know.

I would have to say that I would be extremely disappointed if I were to find out that he was aware of the allegations against Tommy and did nothing to seek out the truth of the matter and work to resolve the situation for the sake of the alleged victims.

Do you have any input on the emailed confession that Tommy sent to Duane? I haven't seen anybody refute that as being the truth.

To whom it may concern. I stated several days ago that any communication with Fran would stop because of her invitation to Tommy S to come to TEXas to receive the death penalty. No matter what side you take up for on this forum, I find it inconceivable that a profesed christian would make this statement. So Fran may rant and rave as her little picture shows but I have nothing to discuss with anyone that would make that invitation.

---

**Posted by: Green Cochoa Feb 3 2007, 07:08 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 10:42 PM) □**


[SNIP]

No need to waste your time comparing posts **I can promise you there is only 1 me. ...**


What you DIDN'T say is more telling. You DIDN'T say there was only, and always was only, one



"Bystander" on this forum who was represented by one and only one human counterpart. In other words, Bystander could easily be any one of a number of "Chinese multiples" such as the three in that famous fable who each faced a death which he could conquer on behalf of a convicted sibling--and in the end, none was put to death.

I would make an educated guess that it would not matter WHO was typing at the computer under the name "Bystander," he or she would always claim to be himself or herself, or to quote your profound assertion, "me." I hate to suggest that you would have to give your name in real life in order to lend credibility to your statements either, for "you" could easily be replaced by another "you" in the next post. 

I have seen, personally, a minimum of three literary styles in your posts. If it is true that you are a single individual across all of those posts, I would say you may have missed your calling in life.

Perhaps your "me, myself, and I" is quite a set of triplets indeed! 

**Posted by: Johann Feb 3 2007, 07:10 AM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 07:58 AM)**

The person or persons who might refute it are not on this forum as far as I can see. To whom it may concern. I stated several days ago that any communication with Fran would stop because of her invitation to Tommy S to come to TExas to receive the death penalty. No matter what side you take up for on this forum, I find it inconceivable that a professed christian would make this statement. So Fran may rant and rave as her little picture shows but I have nothing to discuss with anyone that would make that invitation.

But you have no problem defending Danny Shelton who "implied" Dr. Arild Abrahamsen murdered his own wife? Where is your consistency?

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 11 2007, 08:37 PM**


**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:09 PM)**

Aletheia' the beauty in which you made those "comparison" statements, takes my breath away...**On that note I would like to officially introduce myself (bystander) to you and hope that we can be friends (since we have been accused of that already.)** Over and Over the inconsistencies have been "consistent" so to speak. I have been told by a number of people that have come to read these threads that the one sidedness on the things you just stated, has been unbelievable. Actually, one person said that "any moron" could see the way the wind blows here.!! Anyway, Aletheia, you ask thoughtful questions, have some very good insight and I respect the fact that you fight the battles with scripture as Jesus did. Only thing is, scripture doesn't work here. Only accusations do. But don't give up...there will be plenty of lurkers here that totally agree and understand our points, scripturally and otherwise. I also have been floored at the lack of concern for Fran's statement. For those lurkers that have been "on the fence" I am positive, her statement, will push them off onto the side of right.

And that, would be a compliment to Cindy

Bystander,

I am sorry about my delayed response here.


I am pleased to make your acquaintance. 

Oh, who am I kidding?



week!

I've very much appreciated all your posts during the last

I am sure we're going to be great friends, and a bonus to that will be that those who were already claiming this will now be telling the truth. 


May God's blessings be yours and the glory be His.

~ Cindy

**Posted by: Clay Feb 11 2007, 09:01 PM**

**QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:58 PM)** 


To whom it may concern. I stated several days ago that any communication with Fran would stop because of her invitation to Tommy S to come to TExas to receive the death penalty. No matter what side you take up for on this forum, I find it inconceivable that a profesed christian would make this statement. So Fran may rant and rave as her little picture shows but I have nothing to discuss with anyone that would make that invitation.


why don't you stop with these declarative statements...  you might change your mind... after all you did make a declarative statement about this place and how you would be leaving... only to change your mind.... so just do, or don't do... just spare us the statements...

**Posted by: Aletheia Feb 11 2007, 09:34 PM**


QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:58 PM)

To whom it may concern. I stated several days ago that any communication with Fran would stop because of her invitation to Tommy S to come to TExas to receive the death penalty. No matter what side you take up for on this forum, I find it inconceivable that a profesed christian would make this statement. So Fran may rant and rave as her little picture shows but I have nothing to discuss with anyone that would make that invitation.

**QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 11 2007, 10:01 PM)** 

why don't you stop with these declarative statements...  you might change your mind...

after-all you did make a declarative statement about this place and how you would be leaving... only to change your mind.... so just do, or don't do... just spare us the statements...

Hi Clay 

You must have missed Fran's apology in response to this:  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175214>

And Bystander's reply:  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175331>

Nice huh?


~ Aletheia

---

**Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 10:56 PM**

**QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 11 2007, 09:34 PM)**

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:58 PM)

Hi Clay 

You must have missed Fran's apology in response to this:  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175214>


And Bystander's reply:  
<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175331>  
Nice huh?  
~ Aletheia

Aletheia, thank you and could you pm me please, yours is not working  
wwjd

---

**Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 11:08 PM**

**QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 11 2007, 09:01 PM)**

why don't you stop with these declarative statements...  you might change your mind... after-all you did make a declarative statement about this place and how you would be leaving...

only to change your mind... so just do, or don't do... just spare us the statements...

Hey clay, why did I know (read my other posts last night) that you wouldn't make it to the rally, much less make your views known to anyone

---

**Posted by: Clay Feb 12 2007, 07:20 AM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 11:08 PM) □**

Hey clay, why did I know (read my other posts last night) that you wouldn't make it to the rally, much less make your views known to anyone

hey wwjd.... I think I was talking to bystander... oh that's right sometimes you post under that name..... my bad...

---

**Posted by: Clay Feb 12 2007, 10:19 AM**

---

**QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 11:08 PM) □**

Hey clay, why did I know (read my other posts last night) that you wouldn't make it to the rally, much less make your views known to anyone

ummmmm probably because I have a life.... and the Babbie Mason concert was quite enjoyable.... had I known you were interested in meeting me you could have PMmed me and I would have given you my phone number and we could have set up a time to meet... Oh well... maybe next time...

---

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)  
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)