



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Our Posts From BsdA Are Showing Up On Save3abn

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 02:30 AM

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

Posted by: missthg Feb 13 2007, 03:30 AM

what are you so scared of?

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 12:30 AM) □

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 04:53 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 01:30 AM) □

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

What do you expect? If 3-ABN takes Mr. Joy to court, you can expect for your posts to be drug along into the battle, if the lawsuit develops as it might, along, of course with a lot of other people's posts.

Calvin has stated that Internet forums have a lot of freedom in regard to what they post. I was reading yesterday the law in regard to posting on the Internet, while it is complex, it does have protections written into it in regard to protecting the people who run these Internet Forums against litigation. If you post here in this public forum, be prepared for others to copy your posts elsewhere.

By the way, Calvin does not have the legal right to require Mr. Joy to remove those posts. If you want a post of yours removed, you need to contact Mr. Joy and if he refuses, you need to avail yourself of any legal remedies that you believe you have.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 13 2007, 06:42 AM

Welcome to the internet. If you don't want your posts all over the net then don't post. Pretty simple. There is nothing private about public forums, nor should there be, that is just the nature of the beast.


Richard

Posted by: watchbird Feb 13 2007, 06:49 AM


QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 03:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.



This is a public bulletin board. What "most of us expected" when we posted here was for our comments to stay on public record for as long as time and the internet shall last. Perhaps it is time for you to come out of your make-believe world (where one man can control everything and everyone) and start posting responsibly rather than putting out junk that you think will go away as soon as the cameras go off and the "credits" start to roll.



Posted by: calvin Feb 13 2007, 07:30 AM

and your problem is? Just what do you want me to do about it? As others have said, BSDA is a

public open forum, you knew that when you started posting here. I would think if you are really speaking your convictions here that you would stand behind what you say anywhere it appears.

Go tell it to save3abn

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 07:36 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 02:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

rofl were you all naive or just stupid...

internet 101: if you don't want to see it all over the internet, don't post it...

internet 101a: put in an email anything you wouldn't mind seeing in a daily newspaper....

internet 101b: unless the person can be verified never assume that who you are talking to is actually who they say they are....

that last one really applies to the danny apologists....

"stop this practice...." somebody skurred...

Posted by: HUGGINS130 Feb 13 2007, 07:47 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 08:36 AM)

rofl were you all naive or just stupid...

internet 101: if you don't want to see it all over the internet, don't post it...

internet 101a: put in an email anything you wouldn't mind seeing in a daily newspaper....

internet 101b: unless the person can be verified never assume that who you are talking to is actually who they say they are....

that last one really applies to the danny apologists....

"stop this practice...." somebody skurred...

internet 101 their mouse is their best friend...right click copy paste...it's legal!!! yeah somebody skurred

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 13 2007, 08:13 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 02:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

It's interesting that a Danny apologist doesn't want his or her comments on that site. Doesn't Danny need defending there too? Or is there something you'd like to go back and delete?

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 08:52 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 13 2007, 08:13 AM)

It's interesting that a Danny apologist doesn't want his or her comments on that site. Doesn't Danny need defending there too? Or is there something you'd like to go back and delete?

yanno..... come here all big and bad, bluffing, quit saying this or you will get sued.... watch your mouth, you can't say that.... now they are whining.... "they can't post our comments over there, make them stop....."

this is rich.... simply rich.....

Posted by: Lee Feb 13 2007, 09:10 AM

Better be careful what you say on here.

It is all being recorded and you will be meeting up with it again.

No, this is not a threat. Nor is it a "whine." It is a promise.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 09:14 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 09:10 AM)

Better be careful what you say on here.

It is all being recorded and you will be meeting up with it again.

No, this is not a threat. Nor is it a "whine." It is a promise.

whateva Lee.... you still haven't said much.... you want some cheese with that whine? Unlike some we are not skurred....

Posted by: erik Feb 13 2007, 09:23 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 13 2007, 04:42 AM)

Welcome to the internet. If you don't want your posts all over the net then don't post. Pretty simple. There is nothing private about public forums, nor should there be, that is just the nature of the beast.

Richard

amen

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 13 2007, 09:33 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 13 2007, 06:30 AM)

and your problem is? Just what do you want me to do about it? As others have said, BSDA is a public open forum, you knew that when you started posting here. **I would think if you are really speaking your convictions here that you would stand behind what you say anywhere it appears.**

Go tell it to save3abn

That's what I'm talkin' about!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 13 2007, 10:06 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 09:10 AM)

Better be careful what you say on here.

It is all being recorded and you will be meeting up with it again.

No, this is not a threat. Nor is it a "whine." It is a promise.

Why record it? It's being stored on the server. That just seems like extra work to me.

I stand behind every word I write. That's why I sign my name to every post.

I notice YOU don't seem to be comfortable doing that. Drop the tough act, it's not working.

Posted by: Lee Feb 13 2007, 10:07 AM

Clay, you can ridicule me all you want. I couldn't care less.

But will you ridicule God also? In my post I was speaking of the judgment day when everyone will have to meet every word they have spoken with all its motives and intents of the heart.

Yes, it is a promise that everyone will meet their words again. Heavenly records do not lie.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 13 2007, 10:12 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 02:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

Yes, the BSDA handles [names] of Danny's apologists appear on the www.Save3abn.com page, including your's, WWJD.

Did the Danny Shelton apologists ever understand how Linda Shelton could be upset that parts of her phone calls, along with added commentary by her ex-husband, may have been turned into printed transcripts and shared with others? I don't think so.

However, when your's or Bystander's handles are printed and shared elsewhere, WWJD, it feels a little different, doesn't it? Suddenly, it's happening to you, and that's so much different than if it happens to someone else you don't care about?

Suddenly, you "must insist" that these comments be removed. Suddenly, you feel a sense of outrage.

Maybe that's God's plan, WWJD; He's trying to teach you in the only way you will understand. My interpretation is that God is knocking on door of your heart, WWJD, and all you need to do is open the door.

Just a thought.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 13 2007, 10:16 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 13 2007, 09:12 AM)

Maybe that's God's plan, WWJD; He's trying to teach you in the only way you will understand. My interpretation is that God is knocking on door of your heart, WWJD, and all you need to do is open the door.

Just a thought.

Great point Pete.

WWJD, please let HIM come in.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 10:20 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 03:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

WWJD,

I do not want to assume how much internet chat or posting experience you have. However, I disagree with your statement that "when WE joined here, I think most of us expected....." I do not know who you believe "we" are but if you are speaking on behalf of anyone other than yourself, you can only be speaking for a few uninformed individuals who failed to exercise the judgement of a reasonable (and informed) person IMO.

Please refer to my post last night wondering why you have not created your own site where you can try to set the rules.

This site is not a commercial for Las Vegas.

nw

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 10:28 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 11:07 AM)

I was speaking of the judgment day when everyone will have to meet every word they have spoken with all its motives and intents of the heart.

Yes, it is a promise that everyone will meet their words again. Heavenly records do not lie.

You're right; they dont... and you and others will have to give an answer for why you put loyalty to a man in his sin almost to the point of idolatry above justice and righteous judgement... your continued enabling of another's sin makes you guilty of that sin... ask Eli... or the family of Achan.

And as you have correctly noted here... God is indeed watching... as MLK once said:

Truth crushed to earth will rise again. How long? Not long! Because no lie can live forever. How long? Not long!... Truth forever on the scaffold, wrong forever on the throne. Yet that scaffold sways the future and behind the dim unknown standeth God within the shadow, keeping watch over his own. How long? Not long! Because the arc of the moral universe is long but it bends toward justice.

You have chosen to serve unrighteous mammon and prop up the oppressor and said the devil take the oppressed... and God is watching... and the day is coming when He will say to you "in as much as ye have done it unto the least of these my brethren ye have done it unto me..."

Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen and repent...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 10:38 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 13 2007, 10:12 AM)

Did the Danny Shelton apologists ever understand how Linda Shelton could be upset that parts of her phone calls, along with added commentary by her ex-husband, may have been turned into printed transcripts and shared with others? I don't think so.

MAY have been? Again with the May have, might be, appears like....Pete where are those transcripts? I have never seen one and obviously you haven't either.

However, when your's or Bystander's handles are printed and shared elsewhere, WWJD, it feels a little different, doesn't it? Suddenly, it's happening to you, and that's so much different than if it happens to someone else you don't care about?

Wrong on all counts....Remember Pete what is said on this site? You can't go after someone unless they are a public figure? huh? Remember that? I am not a "public" figure and I did not give permission for my post to go elsewhere. Huge difference

Just a thought.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 10:45 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 10:28 AM) □

You're right; they dont... and you and others will have to give an answer for why you put loyalty to a man in his sin almost to the point of idolatry above justice and righteous judgement... your continued enabling of another's sin makes you guilty of that sin... ask Eli... or the family of Achan.

And as you have correctly noted here... God is indeed watching... as MLK once said:

Truth crushed to earth will rise again. How long? Not long! Because no lie can live forever. How long? Not long!... Truth forever on the scaffold, wrong forever on the throne. Yet that scaffold sways the future and behind the dim unknown standeth God within the shadow, keeping watch over his own. How long? Not long! Because the arc of the moral universe is long but it bends toward justice.

You have chosen to serve unrighteous mammon and prop up the oppressor and said the devil take the oppressed... and God is watching... and the day is coming when He will say to you "in as much as ye have done it unto the least of these my brethren ye have done it unto me..."

Remember therefore from whence thou art fallen and repent...

In His service,
Mr. J

thank you Mr. J.... well said... Lee reread it again.... cause that's my response to your empty threats...

Posted by: Lee Feb 13 2007, 10:52 AM

Clay, I wasn't making an "empty threat." If you will open your bible, you can read it for yourself. Will you say God was making an "empty threat" when He wrote it in the Bible?

Mr. J--are you also ready to meet God in the judgment day who has a complete record of your words? You don't need to worry about me because I know I must meet my words again!

Mat 12:35-37 A good man out of the good treasure of the heart bringeth forth good things: and an evil man out of the evil treasure bringeth forth evil things. But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 10:53 AM

WWJD

"I did not give permission for my post to go elsewhere. "

As was stated by Observer above,

You should seek legal advise on whether your permission is necessary for your posts here at BlackSDA to be reposted elsewhere on the internet.

You and you alone are responsible for knowing what an informed and reasonable poster should know about posting in a public forum.

There is no point in rehashing your complaint.

And, please do not complain that those of us who believe your permission was and is not necessary are attacking you.

nw

Also, isn't the topic of this thread whether a poster has to give permission to allow his/her post to be posted elsewhere on the internet and whether it is reasonable to have that expectation?

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 10:56 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 10:52 AM)

Clay, I wasn't making an "empty threat." If you will open your bible, you can read it for yourself. Will you say God was making an "empty threat" when He wrote it in the Bible?

Mr. J--are you also ready to meet God in the judgment day who has a complete record of your words? You don't need to worry about me because I know I must meet my words again!

empty threat Lee... I have no problem with what I am saying.... my conscience is clear.... hope yours is... news flash... God didn't write the bible....

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 11:01 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 13 2007, 07:30 AM)

and your problem is? Just what do you want me to do about it? As others have said, BSDA is a public open forum, you knew that when you started posting here. I would think if you are really speaking your convictions here that you would stand behind what you say anywhere it appears.

Go tell it to save3abn

I do stand behind what I say on BSDA. I did not say anything on save 3abn. Don't play dumb people. you know there is a difference.

edited for content.... you will not take shots at Calvin....

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 11:05 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 09:58 AM)

I do stand behind what I say on BSDA. I did not say anything on save 3abn. Don't play dumb people. you know there is a difference. Calvin you like to spout about your power as administrator, but when it comes to taking responsibility for anything, you suddenly, have no power to control anything and you have no accountability when Gailon hijacks your people's comments. If that is the case, looks like you don't have much power at all do you?

Lurkers you see what is happening here. For those of you that are thinking about becoming members, you can see it would not be in your best interest if the administrator can not see to it that your comments here can be hijacked by anyone and put anywhere.

For those of you that have jumped on the bandwagon with the "why do you care if people see what you say, and the "don't you stand behind it" phrases.....That's good to know you feel so strongly about your own comments. We will see how long that last if you end up in court along with Gailon and the rest for your slanderous comments.

WWJD: Any rights to what is posted here come under copyright law. Calvin, as the Administrator of this forum, does not gain any copyright rights due to someone posting here. Calvin has no legal authority to prevent someone from copying from this public forum and posting elsewhere.

Whatever copyright rights exist belong to the author of the post. Frankly, in my opinion, none of you have done what is required of you to be able to assert such rights.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 11:05 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 07:36 AM)

rofl were you all naive or just stupid...

internet 101: if you don't want to see it all over the internet, don't post it...

internet 101a: put in an email anything you wouldn't mind seeing in a daily newspaper....

internet 101b: unless the person can be verified never assume that who you are talking to is actually who they say they are....

that last one really applies to the danny apologists...

"stop this practice...." somebody skurred...

Someone like you will be the first to run if charged with slander. You wouldn't eve face 3abn at their rally to ask some of your questions. Get real.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 13 2007, 11:07 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 11:01 AM)

I do stand behind what I say on BSDA. I did not say anything on save 3abn. Don't play dumb people. you know there is a difference. Calvin you like to spout about your power as administrator, but when it comes to taking responsibility for anything, you suddenly, have no power to control anything and you have no accountability when Gailon hijacks your people's comments. If that is the case, looks like you don't have much power at all do you?

Lurkers you see what is happening here. For those of you that are thinking about becoming members, you can see it would not be in your best interest if the administrator can not see to it that your comments here can be hijacked by anyone and put anywhere.

For those of you that have jumped on the bandwagon with the "why do you care if people see what you say, and the "don't you stand behind it" phrases.....That's good to know you feel so strongly about your own comments. We will see how long that last if you end up in court along with Gailon and the rest for your slanderous comments.

By the way, Pete. It is not just Danny's apologists, as you call us on there. So is PB and Hoty, you might be next. Before you start spouting how you stand behind what you say, you better be sure of that if you are drug into court along with GAilon because your comments end up on his site.

My comments aren't slander, thank you.

Lawsuits have been threatened over and over again. I think those who are supposedly being "slandered" know better than to drag this into court. They might have to cough up information that they don't want anyone to know about.

I'll say it one more time. Intimidation and scare tactics won't work anymore. It's over. Deal with it.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 11:09 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 11:05 AM)

Someone like you will be the first to run if charged with slander. You wouldn't eve face 3abn at their rally to ask some of your questions. Get real.

someone like me? you don't know me... and you haven't been paying attention.... I think you are stuck on stupid..... I don't have any questions for Danny and Co..... my mother use to say, "why ask for the truth from a liar....."

Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 11:10 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 06:07 PM)

Clay, you can ridicule me all you want. I couldn't care less.

But will you ridicule God also? In my post I was speaking of the judgment day when everyone will have to meet every word they have spoken with all its motives and intents of the heart.

Yes, it is a promise that everyone will meet their words again. Heavenly records do not lie.

Are you herewith stating that your, Bystander's, and WWJD's lies will not be presented at the final judgment, just because you happen to be associated with 3ABN? When did God grant you this exemption?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 11:13 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 09:14 AM)

whateva Lee.... you still haven't said much.... you want some cheese with that whine? Unlike some we are not skurred....

You don't have to convince me you're not skurred....you lack discernment in all area's. You won't be skurred...until you are actually served with court papers....You will then be one of the first to want your mama and a pacy.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 11:14 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 12:05 PM)

Whatever copyright rights exist belong to the author of the post. Frankly, in my opinion, none of you have done what is required of you to be able to assert such rights.

Please note that Observer is stating his opinion based on what he has read about copyright law and the internet. Each person who posts here has the responsibility to be informed and should seek the informed opinion of someone who practices copyright or internet law if you have any questions about what your rights or protections are regarding this complex legal question.

nw

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 11:19 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 13 2007, 11:10 AM)

Are you herewith stating that your, Bystander's, and WWJD's lies will not be presented at the final judgment, just because you happen to be associated with 3ABN? When did God grant you this exemption?

So you are now out and out calling us liars? You a 73 year old retired pastor should know better.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 11:23 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 11:13 AM) □

You don't have to convince me you're not skurred....you lack discernment in all area's. You won't be skurred...until you are actually served with court papers....You will then be one of the first to want your mama and a pacy.

whateva... don't you have something at 3abn to do? I am sure you are suppose to be taping something..... take your whining self somewhere....

Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 11:24 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 06:52 PM) □

Clay, I wasn't making an "empty threat." If you will open your bible, you can read it for yourself. Will you say God was making an "empty threat" when He wrote it in the Bible?

Mr. J--are you also ready to meet God in the judgment day who has a complete record of your words? You don't need to worry about me because I know I must meet my words again!

Mat 12:35-37 A good man out of the good treasure of the heart bringeth forth good things: and an evil man out of the evil treasure bringeth forth evil things. But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

If you don't think you have written an untruth yourself, Lee, then you are supporting the greatest liars I have met in my 50 years of serving my Lord and His Church on Earth.

Are you still ready to meet **your Lord** in the final judgment?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 13 2007, 11:25 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 11:23 AM) □

whateva... don't you have something at 3abn to do? I am sure you are suppose to be taping something..... take your whining self somewhere....

Maybe wwjd IS doing something at 3ABN. It appears that they now have to work in shifts to keep up with damage control efforts here.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 13 2007, 11:26 AM

wwjd, I would think that you would be thankful that your side of the 3abn issue is being represented on save3abn.com.

Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 11:31 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 07:19 PM) □

So you are now out and out calling us liars? - - -

Give me a term that fits better.

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 11:35 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 10:14 AM) □

Please note that Observer is stating his opinion based on what he has read about copyright law and the internet. Each person who posts here has the responsibility to be informed and should seek the informed opinion of someone who practices copyright or internet law if you have any questions about what your rights or protections are regarding this complex legal question.

nw

As I have stated: I am not a licensed attorney. I am not trained in law. I am not providing legal advice to a specific situation. I am not providing legal advice at all. I am expressing my personal opinion. Any of you who are concerned about copyright issues and material that you post here should seek the advice of a licensed attorney competent to give you advice in the area of law in which you seek the advice.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 11:46 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 11:52 AM) □

Clay, I wasn't making an "empty threat." If you will open your bible, you can read it for yourself. Will you say God was making an "empty threat" when He wrote it in the Bible?

Mr. J--are you also ready to meet God in the judgment day who has a complete record of your words? You don't need to worry about me because I know I must meet my words again!

Mat 12:35-37 A good man out of the good treasure of the heart bringeth forth good things: and an evil man out of the evil treasure bringeth forth evil things. But I say unto you, That every idle word that men shall speak, they shall give account thereof in the day of judgment. For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

I am indeed ready to meet God in the judgement, unworthy though I be... because I know in whom I have believed... and His name aint Danny... and I am persuaded that He is able to keep that which I have committed unto him... the very saving of my soul... against that day. God is not finished with me yet... and He is the one who is able to keep me from falling and present me faultless before the presence of His glory with exceeding joy

And it matters not what you think about my walk with Christ... not one iota... Because you aint Jesus...so save your phariseeism for someone impressed and/or cowed by it... because I got a new name, over in Zion, written on a white stone...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 13 2007, 11:48 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 01:05 PM)

WWJD: Any rights to what is posted here come under copyright law. Calvin, as the Administrator of this forum, does not gain any copyright rights due to someone posting here. Calvin has no legal authority to prevent someone from copying from this public forum and posting elsewhere.

Whatever copyright rights exist belong to the author of the post. Frankly, in my opinion, none of you have done what is required of you to be able to assert such rights.

I'm not sure your last statement is correct.

"According to U.S. law, at least, an author's original works are covered by copyright, even without a formal notice incorporated into the work . . . Technically, any Internet posting (such as blogs or emails) could be considered copyrighted material unless explicitly stated otherwise." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public_domain#Public_domain_and_the_Internet)

It can be argued that each post is an original piece of work by the writer of that post or that the authors of the many emails posted here, Maritime, save3abn are also original works protected under copyright law. The fact of the matter is that most copyright law was written for the printed word that was NOT digital. The digital world has created a situation where these laws are going under tremendous scrutiny and redefinition.

It is rather simple to establish copyright, simply include the symbol for copyright - © - at the end of each post and include the date. Don't use parenthesis as that could technically be argued away as not an indication of copyright ownership.

The Fair Use Doctrine, which might be used as a defense for taking material from this BBS and using it on another website probably wouldn't apply since it general applies to "use for scholarship or review" as opposed to expose or fictional writing.

The truth of the matter is, in my opinion, that Mr. Joy and Mr. Pickle are intentionally using postings by those they classify as "Danny Apologists" (a term coined by, I think, our very own Clay). They use them to begin a particular rant knowing that it will probably not sit well with the individual who made the post over here and the fact that it saves them from having to do substantive writing to introduce said rant themselves. There really is no legal precedent that I can find for someone to sue Mr. Joy and Mr. Pickle over the "cut and paste" use of their posts. It may be unethical, for which I think a strong argument can be made, but it probably isn't illegal - or not to any degree that should lead

someone to waste time filing a law suit.

Now, you can make a plea with Mr. Joy and Mr. Pickle to have your words removed. However, they will demand some sort of identity verification which will mean you need to prove you are the person who posts under a pseudonym. At that point they will have your identity and will not hesitate, I believe, to go after your personal life and bare it to the world. They will use your identity in an attempt to discredit anything you say and paint you in an unfavorable light.

So, the choice would be yours, do you care if they know who you are? If not make a case and have your words removed. If you want to remain anonymous then just chalk it up as an unsurprising lack of ethical behavior on their part. After all, how hard would it be for Mr. Pickle to PM you here and ask if you minded if they used your post. If you said yes they could say so on their site. If you said no, they would probably still use it and indicate that you hadn't given permission for it's use (though that wouldn't look so good now would it?)

- FHB

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 11:50 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 13 2007, 10:25 AM)

Maybe wwd IS doing something at 3ABN. It appears that they now have to work in shifts to keep up with damage control efforts here.

If someone gets too addicted to BSDA, someone might miss out on a basketball practice.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 13 2007, 11:54 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 13 2007, 11:48 AM)

Now, you can make a plea with Mr. Joy and Mr. Pickle to have your words removed. However, they will demand some sort of identity verification which will mean you need to prove you are the person who posts under a pseudonym. At that point they will have your identity and will not hesitate, I believe, to go after your personal life and bare it to the world. They will use your identity in an attempt to discredit anything you say and paint you in an unfavorable light.

Oh, you mean like what has been done to me on numerous occasions? The only problem is, no one could find anything juicy enough on me, so they had to resort to insinuations.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 13 2007, 12:56 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 13 2007, 08:42 AM)

Welcome to the internet. **If you don't want your posts all over the net then don't post.** Pretty simple. There is nothing private about public

forums, nor should there be, that is just the nature of the beast.

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 13 2007, 09:30 AM)

....I would think if you are really speaking your convictions here that you would stand behind what you say **anywhere** it appears.

Nuff said right there.....

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 11:10 AM)

Better be careful what you say on here.

It is all being recorded and you will be meeting up with it again.

No, this is not a threat. Nor is it a "whine." It is a promise.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 13 2007, 01:07 PM)

My comments aren't slander, thank you.

Lawsuits have been threatened over and over again. I think those who are supposedly being "slandered" know better than to drag this into court. They might have to cough up information that they don't want anyone to know about.

I'll say it one more time. **Intimidation and scare tactics won't work anymore.** It's over. Deal with it.

I said it before too Ex3ABN and I agree with you.

I **PROMISE** that if **ANYONE** thinks they can threaten me with intimidation and "court" - they better be ready to back it up. IN COURT. Why? I need my education and my next degree paid in full..... GOD is on my side. In WHOM (or in this case WHAT) shall I fear?

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 12:20 PM)

....This site is not a commercial for Las Vegas.

I'm gonna use this somewhere...this is hot! and **TRUTH!**

Now.... merinate on dat!

Posted by: princessdi Feb 13 2007, 12:59 PM

Are you kidding? You *ARE* posting on the internet! Come on! You are not that stupid. Cut and Paste is a basic function. Then what are you worried about? If this indeed the truth, where does it matter where it is posted. Are you posting something here, and something else on other sites? Get a grip!

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 12:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 01:01 PM

QUOTE

According to U.S. law, at least, an author's original works are covered by copyright, even without a formal notice incorporated into the work . . . Technically, any Internet posting (such as blogs or emails) could be considered copyrighted material unless explicitly stated otherwise.

I agree with your statement above. As I understand U.S. copyright law:

- 1) An author's original works are protected from the moment of their birth.
- 2) There is no requirement that the author place either the copyright symbol, or a statement of copyright, in that intellectual work. e.g. Copyright protection exists without such.

I did not state that copyright protection did not exist for people posting in this Internet forum. I stated that I questioned whether or not the people posting had complied with the requirements of the law in regard to asserting their copyright rights. There is a big difference between what I stated, and what you reference.

As I understand the U.S. law, once the holder of a copyright does what is required by the law, that person may assert those rights against future infringements, but not for infringements prior to compliance with the law. Prior to doing what the law requires, the holder of those rights may not seek protection from a U.S. court.

There are potentially other issues that may come into play here, that make the questions of whether or not one can copy and post. I am not getting into those.

As I am neither a licensed attorney, nor an expert in the law, anyone seeking specific advice in this area should see the advice of an attorney competent in copyright law. It is quite complex.

Posted by: Lee Feb 13 2007, 01:06 PM

Johann, I am not exempted. I didn't say I was. I have made my share of mistakes, but I have never lied. I do not lie because I am a Christian and I believe in the judgment of God.

Posted by: Pickle Feb 13 2007, 01:18 PM

Gregory,

My understanding is that if Danny et. al. can prove that their BSDA postings have declined in profitability because of Gailon's site posting them, then they could collect on damages. However, if those particular postings have little or no commercial value, I'm not sure they would have a case, even without the fair use doctrine.

As far as fair use goes, if the copying and excerpts are done for the purpose of comment and criticism, then it could be permissible even if those postings could be proven to have commercial value.

I say "could be" because when the courts decide whether something is fair use or not, there are four factors that they consider, and they apply them on a case by case basis. There is no hard and fast rule.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 13 2007, 01:22 PM

This is dedicated to you wwjd and all your friends



Artist or band: Questionmark & The Mysterians



Too many teardrops for one heart to be cryin
 Too many teardrops for one heart to carry on
 You're way on top now since you left me
 You're always laughin way down at me
 But watch out now, I'm gonna get there
 We'll be together for just a little while
 And then I'm gonna put you way down here
 And you'll start cryin ninety-six tears
 Cry, cry

And when the sun comes up, I'll be on top
 You'll be right down there, lookin up
 And I might wave, come up here
 But I don't see you wavin now
 I'm way down here, wonderin how
 I'm gonna get you but I know now
 I'll just cry, cry, Ill just cry

Too many teardrops for one heart to be cryin
 Too many teardrops for one heart to carry on
 You're gonna cry ninety-six tears
 You're gonna cry ninety-six tears
 You're gonna cry, cry cry cry now
 You're gonna cry, cry, cry, cry
 Ninety-six tears
 C'mon and lemme hear you cry, now
 Ninety-six tears, I wanna hear you cry

Night and day, yeah, all night long
 Uh-ninety-six tears, cry cry cry
 C'mon baby, let me hear you cry now, all night long
 Uh ninety-six tears, yeah c'mon now
 Uh-ninety-six tears
 x (96)



Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 02:01 PM)

It is quite complex.

This is an understatement at best. Most attorneys who are competent in other areas would never give you legal advise in this area of intellectual property law and refer you to someone who devotes their practice to and has experience in litigating these issues.

It is a legal practice area where you are going to get what you pay for.....caveat emptor....

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 01:43 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 12:33 PM)

This is an understatement at best. Most attorneys who are competent in other areas would never give you legal advise in this area of intellectual property law and refer you to someone who devotes their practice to and has experience in litigating these issues.

It is a legal practice area where you are going to get what you pay for.....caveat emptor....

Correct. And, I am not giving legal advice.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 13 2007, 02:05 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 03:01 PM)

I did not state that copyright protection did not exist for people posting in this Internet forum. I stated that I questioned whether or not the people posting had complied with the requirements of

the law in regard to asserting their copyright rights. There is a big difference between what I stated, and what you reference.

As I understand the U.S. law, once the holder of a copyright does what is required by the law, that person may assert those rights against future infringements, but not for infringements prior to compliance with the law. Prior to doing what the law requires, the holder of those rights may not seek protection from a U.S. court.

Gregory,

My apologies if it seemed I was casting what you said in a different light. I didn't intend to as I understood what you were saying.

<http://www.copyright.gov/circs/circ1.html>

QUOTE

How to Secure a Copyright
Copyright Secured Automatically upon Creation

The way in which copyright protection is secured is frequently misunderstood. No publication or registration or other action in the Copyright Office is required to secure copyright. (See following note.) There are, however, certain definite advantages to registration. See "Copyright Registration."

Copyright is secured automatically when the work is created, and a work is "created" when it is fixed in a copy or phonorecord for the first time. "Copies" are material objects from which a work can be read or visually perceived either directly or with the aid of a machine or device, such as books, manuscripts, sheet music, film, videotape, or microfilm. "Phonorecords" are material objects embodying fixations of sounds (excluding, by statutory definition, motion picture soundtracks), such as cassette tapes, CDs, or LPs. Thus, for example, a song (the "work") can be fixed in sheet music ("copies") or in phonograph disks ("phonorecords"), or both. If a work is prepared over a period of time, the part of the work that is fixed on a particular date constitutes the created work as of that date.

Additionally from the same site in regards to "registration":

QUOTE

Copyright Registration

In general, copyright registration is a legal formality intended to make a public record of the basic facts of a particular copyright. However, registration is not a condition of copyright protection. Even though registration is not a requirement for protection, the copyright law provides several inducements or advantages to encourage copyright owners to make registration. Among these advantages are the following:

- * Registration establishes a public record of the copyright claim.
- * Before an infringement suit may be filed in court, registration is necessary for works of U.S. origin.
- * If made before or within 5 years of publication, registration will establish prima facie evidence in court of the validity of the copyright and of the facts stated in the certificate.
- * If registration is made within 3 months after publication of the work or prior to an infringement of the work, statutory damages and attorney's fees will be available to the copyright owner in court

actions. Otherwise, only an award of actual damages and profits is available to the copyright owner.

* Registration allows the owner of the copyright to record the registration with the U. S. Customs Service for protection against the importation of infringing copies. For additional information, go to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection website at www.cbp.gov/xp/cgov/import. Click on "Intellectual Property Rights."

Registration may be made at any time within the life of the copyright. Unlike the law before 1978, when a work has been registered in unpublished form, it is not necessary to make another registration when the work becomes published, although the copyright owner may register the published edition, if desired.

While copyright law is akin to a foreign language, there are two facts that are rather simple:

1. Copyright exists as soon as you write it.
2. If you register a copyright within 3 months (or prior to an infringement), your potential monetary compensation in a legal case is greater than if you register it within five years from its inception or after an infringement.

So it is the actual legal case where things get tremendously complicated because of things such as the Fair Use Doctrine.

So, if you want what you say here to be protected you have to run get it registered and then proceed with a potentially protracted legal case. As for me - too much work and wasted time involved.

- FHB


Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 13 2007, 02:24 PM

The quick fix/solution is that if you don't want your words repeated - then don't say them in the first place...

This still leads one to wonder if you are so "FOR" what you are saying to be truth - why not want it screamed all over the land....

Post it where you want - I don't care. Why? If it's truth here at BSDA it will be truth in a newspaper, magazine, other internet site, written on a piece of scrap paper, toilet paper, (mock) cocktail napkin, in Japanese, German, w/ spray paint on the side of a bus, w/ gravy on a large spread of mashed potatoes.....

It won't matter where it is said - as long as it's truth.



Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 13 2007, 02:36 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 13 2007, 02:05 PM)

So, if you want what you say here to be protected you have to run get it registered and then proceed with a potentially protracted legal case. As for me - too much work and wasted time involved.

- FHB

And you might also have to tell the Copyright Office your name so you can claim your publishing royalties.

Unless, of course, you want your royalty checks to say Pay to the Order of "Fallible H. Being. "

 rofl

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 13 2007, 02:39 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 13 2007, 03:24 PM) □


The quick fix/solution is that if you don't want your words repeated - then don't say them in the first place...

This still leads one to wonder if you are so "FOR" what you are saying to be truth - why not want it screamed all over the land....

Post it where you want - I don't care. Why? If it's truth here at BSDA it will be truth in a newspaper, magazine, other internet site, written on a piece of scrap paper, toilet paper, (mock)cocktail napkin, in Japanese, German, w/ spray paint on the side of a bus, w/ gravy on a large spread of mashed potatoes.....

It won't matter where it is said - as long as it's truth.

 snç

Holla. 

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 13 2007, 02:42 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 13 2007, 12:36 PM) □

And you might also have to tell the Copyright Office your name so you can claim your publishing royalties.

Unless, of course, you want your royalty checks to say Pay to the Order of "Fallible H. Being. "

 rofl

 that is helarious! 

we need the humor in amongst this mess!

Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 02:50 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 09:06 PM)

Johann, I am not exempted. I didn't say I was. I have made my share of mistakes, but I have never lied. I do not lie because I am a Christian and I believe in the judgment of God.

Then be careful, Lee, that you don't break that record. "Show me who you associate with, and I'll tell you who you are!" Watch out that this does not meet you in the final judgment.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 13 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 03:06 PM)

Johann, I am not exempted. I didn't say I was. I have made my share of mistakes, but I have never lied. I do not lie because I am a Christian and I believe in the judgment of God.

Really?

You have never told a lie? Even if it was in-advertantly?



Whoa.....

You're good....

.....and even beyond Danny himself. Being that he has admitted to falsehoods/wrongs....

Maybe you should lead 3ABN now....



Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 13 2007, 06:36 PM

The only Person I've ever met Who never told a lie died for me on Calvery. I know Jesus, He's a friend of mine, you are not Jesus.

Richard

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 13 2007, 02:06 PM)

Johann, I am not exempted. I didn't say I was. I have made my share of mistakes, but I have

never lied. I do not lie because I am a Christian and I believe in the judgment of God.

Posted by: inga Feb 14 2007, 12:01 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 03:30 AM)

People, several posts that have been made here have shown up on Gailon's site. Bystander, Lee, Altheia, Hoty, PB and possibly others. This is wrong!!! When we joined here, I think most of us expected our comments here, to stay ,here. How has this happened? As I know of, none of these people have given permission for their comments to be taken over there. If 3 abn is taking Gailon to court over his site, do you want your comments on there to be drug in along with Gailons? Who knows what all that could mean.

I must insist that Calvin contact GJ and stop this practice and more over, to remove what he has already used there.

I'm not sure how much difference it makes that comments from here are on Gailon's site as well, since this is an **open** forum available to search engines. If it were a private forum, publicizing posts from here would be unethical. As it is, it's more like copying anonymous excerpts from a newspaper.

At any rate, it isn't Calvin's responsibility to ask Gailon to remove your posts. It's yours. Of course, you'll may have to prove to Gailon that the person writing to him to remove the post is the same one that actually posted the post here in the first place. That might prove to be an interesting exercise.




Posted by: seraph|m Feb 14 2007, 01:15 AM

QUOTE(missthg @ Feb 13 2007, 04:30 AM)

what are you so scared of?

Hmmm



Posted by: Pickle Feb 14 2007, 12:05 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 13 2007, 02:05 PM)

...

So it is the actual legal case where things get tremendously complicated because of things such as the Fair Use Doctrine.

So, if you want what you say here to be protected you have to run get it registered and then proceed with a potentially protracted legal case. As for me - too much work and wasted time involved.

- FHB

And I believe that would include proving in court that one has lost profits by someone else publishing their posts on another site. Correct?

Personally, after reading what <http://www.Save3ABN.com/> has posted, I would be embarrassed to claim in court that some of those posts were mine, and that I had intended to profit later from those posts.

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 14 2007, 08:42 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 09:13 AM) □

You don't have to convince me you're not skurred....you lack discernment in all area's. You won't be skurred...until you are actually served with court papers....You will then be one of the first to want your mama and a pacy.

Clay I am assuming you will be posting your served papers. Looking forward to it but I won't be holding my breath. □

Happy Valentine!
Rosyroi

Posted by: Clay Feb 15 2007, 07:09 AM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Feb 14 2007, 08:42 PM) □

Clay I am assuming you will be posting your served papers. Looking forward to it but I won't be holding my breath. □

Happy Valentine!
Rosyroi

you know I will..... and because they will be public, hopefully I can identify these pretenders who are hiding behind these screen names.... because if one can sue, one can also countersue.....

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 15 2007, 07:57 AM

It does seem that the apologist would have more credibility in their postings if they would reveal

their real names does it not? Afterall what do they have to be fearful of? I'm not phishing, just giving my 2 cents worth. (And 2 pennies aren't worth a tinkers dam these days)

Richard

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 15 2007, 08:09 AM)

you know I will..... and because they will be public, hopefully I can identify these pretenders who are hiding behind these screen names.... because if one can sue, one can also countersue.....

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 15 2007, 10:06 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 15 2007, 08:57 AM)

It does seem that the apologist would have more credibility in their postings if they would reveal their real names does it not? Afterall what do they have to be fearful of? I'm not phishing, just giving my 2 cents worth. (And 2 pennies aren't worth a tinkers dam these days)

Richard



Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 15 2007, 11:36 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 15 2007, 08:57 AM)

It does seem that the apologist would have more credibility in their postings if they would reveal their real names does it not? Afterall what do they have to be fearful of? I'm not phishing, just giving my 2 cents worth. (And 2 pennies aren't worth a tinkers dam these days)

Richard

You presume they are here to establish their own credibility... which, as their actions indicate, they are not... they are only here to attempt to destroy the credibility of others... by any smarmy means necessary...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: roxe Feb 15 2007, 01:23 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 15 2007, 10:36 AM) □

You presume they are here to establish their own credibility... which, as their actions indicate, they are not... they are only here to attempt to destroy the credibility of others... by any smarmy means necessary...

In His service,
Mr. J


oh, thats what i thot they're doing... establishing THEIR credibility...
except its not the kind of credibility they think it is...

IMO, they're establishing their credibility to lie, obfuscate, obstruct, insinuate, destroy, attack, BULLY, FLAME... etc...

hmmm... wonder if ds runs a class where he teaches this....



Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 15 2007, 05:18 PM

Ya, what was I thinking  I must have thought they were actually here to defend Danny not just cut down others.

Richard

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 15 2007, 12:36 PM) □

You presume they are here to establish their own credibility... which, as their actions indicate, they are not... they are only here to attempt to destroy the credibility of others... by any smarmy means necessary...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 15 2007, 09:48 PM

E'ry now and den I have to "repost" something I said....

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 13 2007, 04:24 PM) □


The quick fix/solution is that if you don't want your words repeated - then don't say them in the first place...

This still leads one to wonder if you are so "FOR" what you are saying to be truth - why not want it screamed all over the land....


Post it where you want - I don't care. Why? If it's

truth here at BSDA it will be truth in a newspaper, magazine, other internet site, written on a piece of scrap paper, toilet paper, (mock)cocktail napkin, in Japanese, German, w/ spray paint on the side of a bus, w/ gravy on a large spread of mashed potatoes.....

It won't matter where it is said - as long as it's truth.



Again.... merinate on dat!



QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 13 2007, 08:36 PM) □

The only Person I've ever met Who never told a lie died for me on Calvery. I know Jesus, He's a friend of mine, you are not Jesus.

Word.....

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 15 2007, 09:09 AM) □

you know I will.... and because they will be public, hopefully I can identify these pretenders who are hiding behind these screen names.... **because if one can sue, one can also countersue....**


Say it again Clay!!! Nevah mind....I'll do it for you....

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 15 2007, 09:09 AM) □

you know I will.... and because they will be public, hopefully I can identify these pretenders who are hiding behind these screen names.... **because if one can sue, one can also countersue....**

Now...merinate on dat too!

I got school bills to pay. Bring on de cheddah. I will put the ed-ja-ma-kation **on hold** for dis....



Posted by: Fran Feb 15 2007, 09:56 PM

Anybody watching 3ABN?

Comments?

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 15 2007, 10:09 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Feb 15 2007, 10:56 PM)

Anybody watching 3ABN?

Comments?

Didn't watch. Anything new?

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 15 2007, 10:13 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 15 2007, 10:09 PM)

Didn't watch. Anything new?

Donations are down...

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 15 2007, 10:13 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Feb 15 2007, 10:56 PM)

Anybody watching 3ABN?

Comments?

Maybe this should be a separate thread?

nw

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 15 2007, 10:14 PM

Has anyone noticed that save3abn website has hit over 30,000 guests?

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 15 2007, 10:15 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 15 2007, 08:09 PM)

Didn't watch. Anything new?

HOTY, I don't know how you can stand being in that kind of "world" all day...really, I'm serious. I think it can hurt a mind.

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 15 2007, 08:14 PM)

Has anyone noticed that save3abn website has hit over 30,000 guests?

Yes, I have been watching....but, it's just a "little" group doncha ya know.

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 15 2007, 10:17 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 15 2007, 10:13 PM)

Maybe this should be a separate thread?

nw

I would surely think so. That was quite a program!

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 16 2007, 01:06 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 16 2007, 12:14 AM)

Has anyone noticed that save3abn website has hit over 30,000 guests?

HOTY,

These are probably not unique hits. How many times have you been to the site, maybe 10? Each on of those drove the counter up by one. I have been there a number of times as well so deduct mine from the total because I am not buying the veracity of the site. While some truth may exist there it is buried deep in the moreas of untruth.

30,000 more realistically might be 18,000 unique hits.

- FHB

Posted by: September Feb 16 2007, 01:29 PM

Usually 'counters' like that don't count more than one hit from each ISP number, if I'm not

misaken. So, it is probably 30,000 unique hits.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 16 2007, 01:30 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 16 2007, 02:06 PM)

HOTY,

These are probably not unique hits. How many times have you been to the site, maybe 10? Each on of those drove the counter up by one. I have been there a number of times as well so deduct mine from the total because I am not buying the veracity of the site. While some truth may exist there it is buried deep in the moreas of untruth.

30,000 more realistically might be 18,000 unique hits.

- FHB

Yes, I thought the same thing at first and then did a little experiment by noting the number and then leaving and coming back. The number didn't changed. I believe counters log addresses, right? If so, then all 30,000 are unique. However, 18,000 or 30,000, it's still being viewed by many, and the number is rising.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 16 2007, 01:45 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 16 2007, 03:30 PM)

Yes, I thought the same thing at first and then did a little experiment by noting the number and then leaving and coming back. The number didn't changed. I believe counters log addresses, right? If so, then all 30,000 are unique. However, 18,000 or 30,000, it's still being viewed by many, and the number is rising.

There are a variey of counters each behaves a little differently and not knowing what type it is I can't say one way or the other. Maybe Bob can answer that question for you.

- FHB

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 16 2007, 01:48 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 15 2007, 09:14 PM)

Has anyone noticed that save3abn website has hit over 30,000 guests?



I did.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 16 2007, 02:22 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 16 2007, 12:06 PM)

HOTY,

These are probably not unique hits. How many times have you been to the site, maybe 10? Each on of those drove the counter up by one. I have been there a number of times as well so deduct mine from the total because I am not buying the veracity of the site. While some truth may exist there it is buried deep in the moreas of untruth.

30,000 more realistically might be 18,000 unique hits.

- FHB

FHB,

By "moreas" are you referring to an area of low-lying soggy ground, a quagmire? Or are you invoking the French/Greek symbolist poet Jean Moreas? ie, "While some truth may exist there, it is buried deep in the" symbolist verses "of untruth". Moreas, as a quagmire, would make sense if you are Dutch either in your heritage or influence as your spelling is close, with the "r" and "e" transposed.

Or did you just misspell "morass"?



Just funnin' with ya, FHB

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 16 2007, 04:16 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 16 2007, 04:22 PM)


FHB,

By "moreas" are you referring to an area of low-lying soggy ground, a quagmire? Or are you invoking the French/Greek symbolist poet Jean Moreas? ie, "While some truth may exist there, it is buried deep in the" symbolist verses "of untruth". Moreas, as a quagmire, would make sense if you are Dutch either in your heritage or influence as your spelling is close, with the "r" and "e" transposed.

Or did you just misspell "morass"?



PB,

I knew I spelled it wrong when I hit submit - but didn't go back to correct it. Of course I meant morass: something that hinders, engulfs, or overwhelms. Oh well . . . now I am going to be paranoid and go back and check every post to make sure I haven't made any other delightfully entertaining mistakes. 

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)