



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Questions For Linda?

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 19 2007, 07:11 PM

After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 19 2007, 10:54 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 19 2007, 06:11 PM)

After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

I would like to know when Linda became aware of the allegations against Tommy Shelton and what her opinion of it all was.

Posted by: erik Feb 19 2007, 11:17 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 19 2007, 05:11 PM)

After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

It would interesting to hear linda speak to the charges of the wrong use of funds, what she was aware of and when, and if she needs to say any thing about her votes on the such matters?

Also the charge from her daughter that danny well was less the fatherly in actions, would like to her side of that.

Also the crazy PTK issue, would like to her thoughts on that these many years later, maybe hear what she wishes she might have done?

Erik

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 20 2007, 07:54 AM

I would like to know Linda's version of the pregnancy test.

What was said on the tape recording that Danny says they have, the one sided tape?

Also, I want to know why Danny wasn't with Linda in Norway? I asked Danny and he said Linda didn't want him to go with her.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 20 2007, 08:55 AM

Did Linda find any bugging devices in any place where she lived?

Posted by: Observer Feb 20 2007, 09:28 AM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 20 2007, 06:54 AM) □

I would like to know Linda's version of the pregnancy test.

What was said on the tape recording that Danny says they have, the one sided tape?

Also, I want to know why Danny wasn't with Linda in Norway? I asked Danny and he said Linda didn't want him to go with her.

If that is accurate, it may be because of the relationship that Danny is said to have had with Nathan, and the claimed lack of involvement in Nathan's life.

If it were me: I would be involved in my stepson's life. If my wife went to acrosss the ocean to visit a clinician, we would have had a discusison of the need for me to be involved. Substance abuse problems require involvement of family members.

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 11:00 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 20 2007, 09:28 AM) □

If that is accurate, it may be because of the relationship that Danny is said to have had with

Nathan, and the claimed lack of involvement in Nathan's life.

If it were me: I would be involved in my stepson's life. If my wife went to acrosss the ocean to visit a clinician, we would have had a discusion of the need for me to be involved. Substance abuse problems require involvement of family members.

Good points.... obviously someone ignored the counsel given in Child Guidance.....(emphasis mine)

An Errant Youth Who Needed Sympathy.--Your letters I have read with interest and sympathy. **I would say your son now needs a father as he has never needed one before.** He has erred; you know it, and he knows that you know it; and words that you would have spoken to him in his innocency with safety, and which would not have produced any bad results, would now seem like unkindness and be sharp as a knife. . . . I know that parents feel the shame of the wrongdoing of a child that has dishonored them very keenly, but does the erring one wound and bruise the heart of the earthly parent any more than we as the children of God bruise our heavenly Parent, who has given us *and is still giving us His love, inviting us to return and repent of our sins and iniquities and He will pardon our transgression?* {CG 266.1}

Do not withdraw your love now. That love and sympathy is needed now as never before. When others look with coldness and put the worst construction upon the misdeeds of your boy, should not the father and mother in pitying tenderness seek to guide his footsteps into safe paths? I do not know the character of your son's sins, but I am safe in saying, whatever they may be, Let no comments from human lips, no pressure from human actions, of those who think they are doing justice, lead you to pursue a course which can be interpreted by your son that you feel too much mortified and dishonored to ever take him back into confidence and to forget his transgressions. **Let nothing cause you to lose hope, nothing to cut off your love and tenderness for the erring one. Just because he is erring, he needs you, and he wants a father and a mother to help him to recover himself from the snare of Satan.** Hold him fast by faith and love, and cling to the all-pitying Redeemer, remembering that he has One who has an interest in him, even above your own. . . . {CG 266.2}

Do not talk discouragement and hopelessness. Talk courage. Tell him he can redeem himself, that you, his father and mother, will help him to take hold from above to plant his feet on the solid Rock, Christ Jesus, to find a sure support and unfailing strength in Jesus. If his fault be ever so grievous, it will not cure your son to press this constantly upon him. A right course of action is needed to save a soul from death and keep a soul from committing a multitude of sins. {CG 267.1}

Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 11:44 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 19 2007, 05:11 PM) □

□ After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

Linda,

I friend of mine asked if you or danny has taken a polygraph test about this whole topic, if not is it something that you would consider?

Erik

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 20 2007, 09:00 AM)

Good points.... obviously someone ignored the counsel given in Child Guidance.....(emphasis mine)

An Errant Youth Who Needed Sympathy.--Your letters I have read with interest and sympathy. **I would say your son now needs a father as he has never needed one before.** He has erred; you know it, and he knows that you know it; and words that you would have spoken to him in his innocency with safety, and which would not have produced any bad results, would now seem like unkindness and be sharp as a knife. . . . I know that parents feel the shame of the wrongdoing of a child that has dishonored them very keenly, but does the erring one wound and bruise the heart of the earthly parent any more than we as the children of God bruise our heavenly Parent, who has given us and is still giving us His love, inviting us to return and repent of our sins and iniquities and **He will pardon our transgression?** {CG 266.1}

Do not withdraw your love now. That love and sympathy is needed now as never before. When others look with coldness and put the worst construction upon the misdeeds of your boy, should not the father and mother in pitying tenderness seek to guide his footsteps into safe paths? I do not know the character of your son's sins, but I am safe in saying, whatever they may be, Let no comments from human lips, no pressure from human actions, of those who think they are doing justice, lead you to pursue a course which can be interpreted by your son that you feel too much mortified and dishonored to ever take him back into confidence and to forget his transgressions. **Let nothing cause you to lose hope, nothing to cut off your love and tenderness for the erring one. Just because he is erring, he needs you, and he wants a father and a mother to help him to recover himself from the snare of Satan.** Hold him fast by faith and love, and cling to the all-pitying Redeemer, remembering that he has One who has an interest in him, even above your own. . . . {CG 266.2}

Do not talk discouragement and hopelessness. Talk courage. Tell him he can redeem himself, that you, his father and mother, will help him to take hold from above to plant his feet on the solid Rock, Christ Jesus, to find a sure support and unfailing strength in Jesus. If his fault be ever so grievous, it will not cure your son to press this constantly upon him. A right course of action is needed to save a soul from death and keep a soul from committing a multitude of sins. {CG 267.1}

Clay,

Amen is all i can say, and brings up the whole questions of danny duties has father, if maybe he let them slide?

Erik

Posted by: Chez Feb 20 2007, 12:20 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 19 2007, 07:11 PM)

After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

Linda,

Was Danny abusive to you? If so, in what way(s)? Did you know about the rumors concerning Danny's relationships with Brenda and Brandy and also Tommy Shelton's relationships with boys? Was the Shelton family kind to you during your marriage to Danny? How was your relationship with Melody and Danny's other children? Why did you agree to a divorce? Were you coerced to consent to a divorce? How are you doing and when will the book be available to the public?

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 12:49 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 20 2007, 09:28 AM)

If that is accurate, it may be because of the relationship that Danny is said to have had with Nathan, and the claimed lack of involvement in Nathan's life.

If it were me: I would be involved in my stepson's life. If my wife went to acrosss the ocean to visit a clinician, we would have had a discusion of the need for me to be involved. Substance abuse problems require involvement of family members.

Greg it would seem that you have joined the crowd here with assumptions instead of fact. You used the "if that is accurate" "it may be". The truth is, other than your original source, you don't know Nathan or Danny and you have know idea what kind of relationship they had. That is what is so ridiculous about this forum. Those of you that listen to 1 person or her friends as your source believe what they say over lot's of people that know the ones involved and therefore, don't have to speculate or assume. Your source has all kind of axes to grind and reasons for doing what they do, when many others, here, that know the people involved, know the things that happened, know who was wrong *and who was right, and have no reason to say otherwise, are dismissed because they are not saying what the Linda supporters want to hear.* Truth is, none of the people from 3abn, discussed on this forum, are anything like you paint them to be. That is what happens when many painting the picture don't know anyone involved and are going on gossip, innuendo and allegations. Mr. J was a perfect example on his assessment of Carol Shelton. He knows absolutely nothing about her, but he had her all figured out. Greg, you are smart enough to see the truth in what I say. Think it over.

Posted by: Observer Feb 20 2007, 01:00 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 11:49 AM)

Greg it would seem that you have joined the crowd here with assumptions instead of fact. You used the "if that is accurate" "it may be". The truth is, other than your original source, you don't know Nathan or Danny and you have know idea what kind of relationship they had. That is what is so ridiculous about this forum. Those of you that listen to 1 person or her friends as your source believe what they say over lot's of people that know the ones involved and therefore, don't have to speculate or assume. Your source has all kind of axes to grind and reasons for doing what they do,

when many others, here, that know the people involved, know the things that happened, know who was wrong and who was right, and have no reason to say otherwise, are dismissed because they are not saying what the Linda supporters want to hear. Truth is, none of the people from 3abn, discussed on this forum, are anything like you paint them to be. That is what happens when many painting the picture don't know anyone involved and are going on gossip, innuendo and allegations. Mr. J was a perfect example on his assessment of Carol Shelton. He knows absolutely nothing about her, but he had her all figured out. Greg, you are smart enough to see the truth in what I say. Think it over.

Yes, I put "if" and "it may be" in there for a reason. You are correct. I gave a possible reason.

I will remind you that when the work of Danny's divorce first came out I contacted Dr. Thompson and asked him if Danny had divorced Linda. Dr. Thompson replied to the effect that he was not going to comment on a personal issue with Danny. Latter he informed me that he was not going to comment on anything that I asked him.

I do not fault him for that. He had that right.

But, that is a good example of how this has been mishandled from day one. When 3-ABN, and its official spokesperson will not comment on a matter that is a public record, it leaves people to with no recourse who attempt to find the truth. (I guess I just did fault him.)

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 01:05 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 20 2007, 01:00 PM) □

Yes, I put "if" and "it may be" in there for a reason. You are correct. I gave a possible reason.

I will remind you that when the work of Danny's divorce first came out I contacted Dr. Thompson and asked him if Danny had divorced Linda. Dr. Thompson replied to the effect that he was not going to comment on a personal issue with Danny. Latter he informed me that he was not going to comment on anything that I asked him.

I do not fault him for that. He had that right.

But, that is a good example of how this has been mishandled from day one. When 3-ABN, and its official spokesperson will not comment on a matter that is a public record, it leaves people to with no recourse who attempt to find the truth. (I guess I just did fault him.)

Greg, there is never an excuse for spreading false allegations because you have been given no information to the contrary.

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 01:07 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 12:49 PM)

Greg it would seem that you have joined the crowd here with assumptions instead of fact. You used the "if that is accurate" "it may be". The truth is, other than your original source, you don't know Nathan or Danny and you have know idea what kind of relationship they had. That is what is so ridiculous about this forum. Those of you that listen to 1 person or her friends as your source believe what they say over lot's of people that know the ones involved and therefore, don't have to speculate or assume. snip.....

well we do know that it was Linda and not Danny who went to get treatment for the son..... the fact is that most men who are fathers would be the ones to get treatment for their son (step or otherwise) and not leave it to their wives to handle that..... Now maybe you would do what Danny did, but the men I know, would never do that....

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 01:05 PM)

Greg, there is never an excuse for spreading false allegations because you have been given no information to the contrary.

It hasn't stopped you.....

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 02:06 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 20 2007, 01:07 PM)

well we do know that it was Linda and not Danny who went to get treatment for the son..... the fact is that most men who are fathers would be the ones to get treatment for their son (step or otherwise) and not leave it to their wives to handle that..... Now maybe you would do what Danny did, but the men I know, would never do that....
It hasn't stopped you.....

Clay I have addressed sister, you answered, I have addressed Greg, you answered, Are you following me? Maybe I need to get a restraining order. rofl

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 02:09 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 02:06 PM)

Clay I have addressed sister, you answered, I have addressed Greg, you answered, Are you following me? Maybe I need to get a restraining order. rofl

no need to follow you.... your path is predictable.... we know where you are going.... just like the mistress of the house knew where her slavemaster hubby was sneaking away to in the middle of the night....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 02:12 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 04:06 PM)

Clay I have addressed sister, you answered, I have addressed Greg, you answered, Are you following me? Maybe I need to get a restraining order. rofl

Are you speaking of another thread? Sister has not been in this thread as of yet. Greg answered you and then Clay followed with a "supporting" word.

What are you saying here?



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 02:17 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 01:06 PM)

Clay I have addressed sister, you answered, I have addressed Greg, you answered, Are you following me? Maybe I need to get a restraining order. rofl

Bystander,
You commented on my post about Carol to wwjd, why? Because this is an open forum. If you wish to address a comment to a specific person,(to the exclusion of all of the rest of us) Calvin has this nifty Personal Message feature built into this site that would allow you to do so without the need of a restraining order.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 02:20 PM

...and why is he talkin' about sister in this thread? She ain't even been up in dis heyah one....



Posted by: Carmel Feb 20 2007, 02:21 PM

Linda,

I don't know you well, but I have seen you (and spoke with you) in person on a few occasions, and you have always looked and sounded just like Linda that we all know from watching 3ABN. I admire you for what you have been for many people around the globe, for handling the things with dignity now that you are still going through the hell on earth, and I wish you a peace that passes all understanding. I am sure God is watching over you, keeping you in His hand, and HE IS now your husband, comforter and friend.

I also have a few questions and hope you will have the wisdom and courage to answer them in

the right way.

1. Why were Mollie and Hal Steenson so important to 3ABN from the beginning that they (3abn) were willing to imply that the camera equipment was donated by them, and not tell the truth about Joe Crews and their arrangement with him?
2. Is it truth that Danny has paid his accumulated debt with the half of the first \$50,000.00 of which 3abn loves to tell in their "miracle story"?
3. Have you had any contact with Bob Ellis lately, and did he change his opinion about the 3abn after he wrote "A Channel of Blessing" book.

Be of good courage, there are many of us praying for you.

Carmel

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 20 2007, 02:23 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 19 2007, 05:11 PM)

After talking with Linda today, the idea came up that before she gets her new website changes uploaded, it might be nice to hear from anyone here that may have a question she may be able to address publicly on her website. She wants to address questions she feels are particularly pertinent.

Because of deadline constraints, you will have only until noon tomorrow (pacific time) to post your questions here. As you post them in this thread, I will copy them and get them off to her as soon as I can. We'll do the best we can do in this last minute effort!

Thank you for the questions that have been posted. I have gotten them all to Linda.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 02:28 PM

QUOTE(Carmel @ Feb 20 2007, 02:21 PM)

Linda,

2. Is it truth that Danny has paid his accumulated debt with the half of the first \$50,000.00 of which 3abn loves to tell in their "miracle story"?

Wow, I hope she answers that one with proof of course. If she has none (which she doesn't because it didn't happen) then the liabilities just keep piling up. Also, if she said he did, she was married to him and would have been responsible also. Good thing it's a false allegation.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 02:35 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 01:28 PM)

Wow, I hope she answers that one with proof of course. If she has none (which she doesn't because it didn't happen) then the liabilities just keep piling up. Also, if she said he did, she was married to him and would have been responsible also. Good thing it's a false allegation.

Wow! It only takes you 7 minutes to check on, type in and post your reply to Carmel's question/allegation. You DO have a direct line to Danny, don't you! I don't suppose you are willing to give out that number...

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 02:43 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 04:28 PM)

Wow, I hope she answers that one with proof of course. If she has none (which she doesn't because it didn't happen) then the liabilities just keep piling up. Also, if she said he did, she was married to him and would have been responsible also. Good thing it's a false allegation.

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 20 2007, 04:35 PM)

Wow! It only takes you **7 minutes** to check on, type in and post your reply to Carmel's question/allegation. You DO have a direct line to Danny, don't you! I don't suppose you are willing to give out that number...

I thought the same thing! Then the second thing I thought was that this has gotta be Danny under another name.... why? Because "Bystander" straight came out and said that the "proof" doesn't exist... like he knows what exists or not....

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 20 2007, 02:43 PM)

I thought the same thing! Then the second thing I thought was that this has gotta be Danny under another name.... why? Because "Bystander" straight came out and said that the "proof" doesn't exist... like he knows what exists or not....

Re'.... Thomas Jefferson knew those kids of Sally's was his... he didn't have to confer with anyone.... he knew....

Posted by: YogusBearus Feb 20 2007, 02:52 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 20 2007, 02:43 PM) □

I thought the same thing! Then the second thing I thought was that this has gotta be Danny under another name.... why? Because "Bystander" straight came out and said that the "proof" doesn't exist... like he knows what exists or not....

10-4 on that! So, does 3ban have a T-3 or something to expedite participation?

- A. Goto last unread
- B. Type type type type...
- C. Post
- D. Refresh
- E. Repeat

LOL!

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 02:58 PM



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 02:59 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 20 2007, 01:51 PM) □

Re'.... Thomas Jefferson knew those kids of Sally's was his... he didn't have to confer with anyone.... he knew....

Yes, he knew. But because he was a big old stinker and didn't proudly make that fact known, Sally's kids are still trying to prove their DNA to this day. Sad.

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 03:04 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 20 2007, 02:59 PM) □

Yes, he knew. But because he was a big old stinker and didn't proudly make that fact known, Sally's kids are still trying to prove their DNA to this day. Sad.

but the Jefferson family knows.... they won't admit it... but they know..... seems like the Sheltons and Jeffersons have something in common... they know family secrets, just won't admit to them publicly.....

Posted by: princessdi Feb 20 2007, 03:05 PM

Bystander, you know stupid is never attractive, right? You are better than this, I just know it.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 12:28 PM) □

Wow, I hope she answers that one with proof of course. If she has none (which she doesn't because it didn't happen) then the liabilities just keep piling up. Also, if she said he did, she was married to him and would have been responsible also. Good thing it's a false allegation.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)