



BLACKSDA

The Black Adventist Online Community

[Gallery](#)
[Arcade](#)
[Contact](#)
[Shoutbox](#)
[Statistics](#)
[Calendar](#)
[Members](#)
[Search](#)
[Help](#)

Logged in as: **Pickle** ([Log Out](#))
 [My Controls](#) · [View New Posts](#) · [My Assistant](#) · [My Friends](#) · [0 New Messages](#)

[Live Chat](#)

[Messages](#)

[BSDA Shoutbox](#)

► [BlackSDA](#) > [General Fellowship](#) > [The Café](#) > [3ABN](#)

14 Pages ▾ [1](#) [2](#) [3](#) > »

[AddReply](#) [NewTopic](#)

[Why Did Linda Buy The Pregnancy Test Kit?, Spin off from the "Thompson Respond to Abrahamsen" thread.](#)
[Options ▾](#)

Why did Linda Buy the Pregnancy Test Kit?

Let's document all the theories we have read here and take a vote.

-  **It was an innocent practical joke on Danny. Come on Danny get with it, lighten up, have a little fun. Theorist, Johann and Watchbird**
-  **Linda really thought she could have been pregnant. Hey, after all that sterilization stuff does not always work. Theorist, Summertime.**
-  **Linda did it to spite Danny. Man got no business going through my stuff. Theorist, Panama Pete**
-  **Hey, Danny planted it. I know Danny, this sounds like him. He is such an evil, wicked man. Theorist, I don't remember and too lazy to go back through the post and look it up.**
-  **I really don't care**

Total Votes: 50

[Vote!](#) [Show Results](#)

 **calvin**

Jul 28 2006, 12:58 PM

Post #1

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Why Did Linda Buy The Pregnancy Test Kit?

Posted by: calvin Jul 28 2006, 12:58 PM

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

Posted by: Clay Jul 28 2006, 01:05 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 12:58 PM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

we can tell.... 🙄

Posted by: princessdi Jul 28 2006, 01:07 PM

ya' think?!!! 🙄

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 10:58 AM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

Posted by: watchbird Jul 28 2006, 01:11 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 11:58 AM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

Sorry. Slow or fast day, I'm not playing this kind of game. I am not "spinning theories", I am reporting as accurately as my memory allows what was told to me by the primary participant in this scene, Linda herself.

The rest of you can spin theories all you want. I'm reporting. You can say that Linda lied. You can say she was self deceived. You can examine motives all you want. But I do not think that something that has been made this serious of an accusation should be made into a voting game on whose got the best "theory".

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jul 28 2006, 01:15 PM

Me thinks he has way too much time on his hands today!! 🙄 It will only let me pick one answer though & there are 2 that I want to choose. 😊

Watchbird no one is saying anyone is not telling the truth. Sometimes it does a person good to lighten up no matter what the topic is it releases a lot of stress & EVERY situation needs something to make you chuckle over to lighten things up.

Posted by: calvin Jul 28 2006, 01:20 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 28 2006, 12:11 PM) [snapback]142210[/snapback]

Sorry. Slow or fast day, I'm not playing this kind of game. I am not "spinning theories", I am reporting as accurately as my memory allows what was told to me by the primary participant in this scene, Linda herself.

The rest of you can spin theories all you want. I'm reporting. You can say that Linda lied. You can say she was self deceived. You can examine motives all you want. But I do not think that something that has been made this serious of an accusation should be made into a voting game on whose got the best "theory".

Hmmm, guess I must have struck a nerve. Watchbird, most believe you, you're ahead in the count.

Posted by: seraph|m Jul 28 2006, 01:26 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 02:20 PM) [snapback]142213[/snapback]

Hmmm, guess I must have struck a nerve. Watchbird, most believe you, you're ahead in the count.

My impression of the poll is that it was to lighten up the whole mood of the 3ABN stuff. 🙄 BTJM

Posted by: watchbird Jul 28 2006, 01:30 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jul 28 2006, 12:15 PM) [snapback]142211[/snapback]

Me thinks he has way too much time on his hands today!! 🙄 It will only let me pick one answer though & there are 2 that I want to choose. 😊

Watchbird no one is saying anyone is not telling the truth. Sometimes it does a person good to lighten up no matter what the topic is it releases a lot of stress & EVERY situation needs something to make you chuckle over to lighten things up.

Call me a dullhead if you wish. But I have never, even as a small child, seen any humor in anything that harms another human being. And there is a great deal of difference between a reporter--even an analyses the news--and one who spins theories out of circumstantial evidence and the imaginings of their own mind.

What we are dealing with here is an event, which according to the person who did it, and according to her "accomplice", her grown daughter, was for the purpose of "giving Danny something to find". That has been used by her enemies to harrass her and make her life miserable for over two years now. I can see her point of view, and

I can laugh with her--at the same time I am crying inside for her because of the way her prank "backfired" on her. But don't expect me to play silly little games nor sit still while being called a "theorist" over something that has been used with as deadly an intent as this has been.

I've laughed along with the rest of your over other truly humorous things--and I appreciate the role of humor in lightening the serious load. But sorry. I still can't play this kind of "voting games" with this topic.

Those of you who can ... go ahead and have your fun. But before you have too much of it, think how it will affect those who come here and read for information and find this lack of distinction between reporters and theorists, and think how it will feel to the primary actress in this little drama.

Posted by: calvin Jul 28 2006, 01:31 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jul 28 2006, 12:26 PM) [snapback]142218[/snapback]

My impression of the poll is that it was to lighten up the whole mood of the 3ABN stuff. 🙄 BTJM

You are correct Sera. With such time on my hands, I think I can hear the golf course calling my name. Talk later.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 28 2006, 01:40 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 12:20 PM) [snapback]142213[/snapback]

Hmmm, guess I must have struck a nerve. Watchbird, most believe you, you're ahead in the count.

Yes, you've "struck a nerve". I've been down. I've been in the dust and know what it is to have the passersby kick dust on me rather than to have bound up my wounds. And there are some things in life that I will never ever ever make games about nor laugh about.

And frankly, I don't care about the "count". I'm not here to play games. I'm not here to "make points". I'm here because of a driving burning in my bones that says great injustices are being done in the name of my church and of my God. And everything else has been laid aside to do whatever I can to forward the cause of right and justice.

It's a slow day? Then why not write a few emails that will spread the word a little further?

Let's hasten the day when Linda and Bear and Sister and untold others can all sit down together and laugh together in peace and freedom.

Too heavy?

Heavier than Linda's burden?

Heavier than others who don't even dare speak out about their heavy burden?

Sorry about that.

Back to your regular programming as the saying goes ...



Posted by: simplysaved Jul 28 2006, 01:47 PM

Whoo-hoo!!!! 🙄 🙄 🙄 🙄 (almost in tears)...but it is the million dollar question... 🙄 🙄 🙄
Okay, "I'm Done"!!

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 11:58 AM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 28 2006, 12:05 PM) [snapback]142208[/snapback]

we can tell.... 🙄

Posted by: Nuggie Jul 28 2006, 02:21 PM

This is my favorite 3ABN thread... 🙄 🙄

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 11:58 AM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 🙄

Glad I'm not the only one that's feeling slow today... 😊 ...and this rain isn't helping me feel perky at all...

Posted by: inga Jul 28 2006, 03:05 PM

When I saw this "poll" among the subject lines -- before I read what 'watchbird' had to say, I was quite startled. I consider this in extremely poor taste at best. And, no matter how we view the poll, it serves to trivialize a serious and tragic situation.

IMO, it was an error in judgment to put up this poll -- the kind of thing that can happen on a slow Friday afternoon. 😞

Posted by: Nuggie Jul 28 2006, 03:51 PM

I've kept up with most of the 3ABN threads and there's been a whole lot of jokes and sarcastic comments made about most

of the characters in this saga...I'm just wondering why this particular thread (which is obviously lighthearted) is so much worse than all the other humor that's all throughout the other threads... 🙄 Sometimes laughter helps cut the tension,

no?



Posted by: watchbird Jul 28 2006, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jul 28 2006, 02:51 PM) [snapback]142246[/snapback]

I've kept up with most of the 3ABN threads and there's been a whole lot of jokes and sarcastic comments made about most of the characters in this saga...I'm just wondering why this particular thread (which is obviously lighthearted) is so much worse than all the other humor that's all throughout the other threads... 🙄

Sometimes laughter helps cut the tension, no?



You are correct, and I too have appreciated the "humor breaks" that came now and then. What set me off on this particular thing was not the humor--we had had that in what we had already been discussing--but the idea of a "poll", with it's implications (though I'm sure that wasn't really what Calvin intended), that things of right and wrong could be decided by "vote".

And while I'm in "true confession mode" I might as well go on to confess I was pretty teed off (especially in view of the fact that speculation had been set "out of bounds" for posting) to see that in this "poll" the "speculation" that was errant, and was admitted to be so by the original poster, was treated on the same level as the reporting and analysing that others were doing.

And then there was the basic facts of how this act had been twisted into an assasination weapon and used repeatedly on Linda by one entity or another for the last two years. That's a heck of a lot of punishment for an unwise choice of "practical joke" playing. It just suddenly all got to me--that's all. It has been anything but a "slow day" here.

But it's OK. I've had my little blowup.



I'm all back together now.



Carry on. 😊

Posted by: missthg Jul 28 2006, 05:56 PM

ya need to just have a good laugh...it won't kill ya

[

quote name='watchbird' date='Jul 28 2006, 02:41 PM' post='142248']

You are correct, and I too have appreciated the "humor breaks" that came now and then. What set me off on this particular thing was not the humor--we had had that in what we had already been discussing--but the idea of a "poll", with it's implications (though I'm sure that wasn't really what Calvin intended), that things of right and wrong could be decided by "vote".

And while I'm in "true confession mode" I might as well go on to confess I was pretty teed off (especially in view of the fact that speculation had been set "out of bounds" for posting) to see that in this "poll" the "speculation" that was errant, and was admitted to be so by the original poster, was treated on the same level as the reporting and analysing that others were doing.

And then there was the basic facts of how this act had been twisted into an assassination weapon and used repeatedly on Linda by one entity or another for the last two years. That's a heck of a lot of punishment for an unwise choice of "practical joke" playing. It just suddenly all got to me--that's all. It has been anything but a "slow day" here.

But it's OK. I've had my little blowup.



I'm all back together now.



Carry on. 😊

[/quote]

Posted by: Clay Jul 28 2006, 06:39 PM

innocent poll nothing malicious..... Linda with her sense of humor bought something to yank Danny's chain.... it didn't quite work... that's life.... not every prank goes as planned....

as for this thread, yeah some are reading wayyyyy too much into it.... if you don't agree with the premise, maybe this is a thread that's not for you....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jul 28 2006, 06:56 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jul 28 2006, 03:51 PM) [snapback]142246[/snapback]

I've kept up with most of the 3ABN threads and **there's been a whole lot of jokes and sarcastic comments made about most of the characters in this saga...I'm just wondering why this particular thread (which is obviously lighthearted) is so much worse than all the other humor that's all throughout the other threads...**

🙄 Sometimes laughter helps cut the tension, no? 🤔

I joke. I also can be serious.

Even when it is at my expense..... ("I'm pretty much in hell fryin' as I type for eating meat per particular folks on the berd....")

Now....what makes the jokes in the threads different from a topic on one?



NO difference..... What's sad - is that if Linda came here she most likely would actually laugh - **knowing and understanding** ain't no body *really talking about her*.....

Posted by: Clay Jul 28 2006, 07:05 PM

I forgot to add one thing.... here at bsda we question and/or dissect everything.... if you notice there are NO sacred cows.... none... not even the 3abn saga.... we have been empathetic.... we have discussed it when no other board would openly touch it... and we understand that injustice was done... however, that does not and will not keep us from looking at it from a humorous viewpoint....that's the way we roll..... 😊

Posted by: watchbird Jul 28 2006, 07:18 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 28 2006, 06:05 PM) [snapback]142270[/snapback]

I forgot to add one thing.... here at bsda we question and/or dissect everything.... if you notice there are NO sacred cows.... none... not even the 3abn saga.... we have been empathetic.... we have discussed it when no other board would openly touch it... and we understand that injustice was done... however, that does not and will not keep us from looking at it from a humorous viewpoint....that's the way we roll..... 😊

Y'all done goooood! Love ya ever one. As I said--I'm OK now. Still a little frazzled. It's been a lonnnng week. And by Friday I'm runnin' on a pretty short chain. Gimme a day and I'll be back with laughin' gang. 😊

Thank God for Sabbath! 😊 😊 😊

Posted by: September Jul 28 2006, 10:15 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 12:58 PM) [snapback]142207[/snapback]

Hey, it's a slow day at work on a Friday afternoon. 😊

I'm sorry but that poll is in very bad taste and not funny at all. How can people who claim to be Christians and who expect behavior that is honest and forthright from Danny, stoop this low? This is nothing more than tabeloid gossip and it is wrong.

You are asking churches and pastors to come to this website to find the truth about 3ABN and then you post this poll--how is that going to aid credibility? It won't. I'll tell you, it looks more like folks having a heyday with nothing but gossip instead of honest Christians rallying around those that Danny has hurt and seriously calling for truth and

restoration.

I have come to BSDA to aid in my search for the truth and for vindication for Linda. I have done my part to spread the letters of Dr. A to prominent people--this is a serious matter and one that should not be taken lightly.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jul 28 2006, 10:25 PM

QUOTE(September @ Jul 28 2006, 11:15 PM) [snapback]142286[/snapback]

I'm sorry but that poll is in very bad taste and not funny at all. How can people who claim to be Christians and who expect behavior that is honest and forthright from Danny, stoop this low? This is nothing more than tabloid gossip and it is wrong.

You are asking churches and pastors to come to this website to find the truth about 3ABN and then you post this poll--how is that going to aid credibility? It won't. I'll tell you, it looks more like folks having a heyday with nothing but gossip instead of honest Christians rallying around those that Danny has hurt and seriously calling for truth and restoration.

I have come to BSDA to aid in my search for the truth and for vindication for Linda. I have done my part to spread the letters of Dr. A to prominent people--this is a serious matter and one that should not be taken lightly.

...and you can honestly say in your heart of hearts that you have never made a joke to lighten a mood?

If you have not - then you are not human.

Yes I said it.

Whut?

Serious.

Go read all of the threads....there are "jokes" peppered throughout.... no different. And if you didn't go through each of those threads...and "rant" on each of those jokes then just let it go....

It ain't that deep.

Ain't no body said no body was "going to hell"....

Ain't no body said that Danny was "selling children on the black market"....

Ain't no body calling Linda the new "madam" of 3ABN....

I repeat....it ain't that deep. Then again - maybe I understand that sometimes jokes are made to make an uncomfortable subject more comfortable by the tone of "lightening the mood"....

Sorry...

Maybe I'm the only one that understands this concept.....



Posted by: September Jul 28 2006, 10:38 PM

QUOTE

...and you can honestly say in your heart of hearts that you have never made a joke to lighten a mood?

I can honestly say from my heart of hearts that I have never joked about this whole 3ABN, Danny/Linda saga. Nothing about it is funny. If I want to lighten the mood I find something else to laugh about.

I have been the victim of spiritual abuse--over and over again and if anyone was making jokes or making light of my circumstances that would hurt me deeply. Knowing that, I treat others how I would want to be treated. If I were Linda and I came here and read that poll--as well as some of the other 'jokes' interspersed throughout these threads, I would be hurt. You can call me too sensitive or whatever, but that's how I feel in my heart of hearts.

Posted by: missthg Jul 28 2006, 10:39 PM

it aint just you girl....folks is just a little to tense...

sounds like this town needs an enema!

Posted by: sonshineonme Jul 28 2006, 10:50 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jul 28 2006, 08:25 PM) [snapback]142289[/snapback]

...and you can honestly say in your heart of hearts that you have never made a joke to lighten a mood?

If you have not - then you are not human.

Yes I said it.

Whut?

Serious.

Go read all of the threads....there are "jokes" peppered throughout..... no different. And if you didn't go through each of those threads...and "rant" on each of those jokes then just let it go....

It ain't that deep.

Ain't no body said no body was "going to hell"....

Ain't no body said that Danny was "selling children on the black market"....

Ain't no body calling Linda the new "madam" of 3ABN....

I repeat....it ain't that deep. Then again - maybe I understand that sometimes jokes are made to make an uncomfortable subject more comfortable by the tone of "lightening the mood"....

Sorry...

Maybe I'm the only one that understands this concept.....



QUOTE(September @ Jul 28 2006, 11:15 PM)

I'm sorry but that poll is in very bad taste and not funny at all. How can people who claim to be Christians and who expect behavior that is honest and forthright from Danny, stoop this low? This is nothing more than tabeloid gossip and it is wrong.

You are asking churches and pastors to come to this website to find the truth about 3ABN and then you post this poll--how is that going to aid credibility? It won't. I'll tell you, it looks more like folks having a heyday with nothing but gossip instead of honest Christians rallying around those that Danny has hurt and seriously calling for truth and restoration.

I have come to BSDA to aid in my search for the truth and for vindication for Linda. I have done my part to spread the letters of Dr. A to prominant people--this is a serious matter and one that should not be taken lightly.

+++++

Yes, no sacred cows, this is true, and good. I don't think it has to do with being deep or not deep either.

I have felt like saying something, but not sure how to do it without sounding like I can't take a joke. I can take a joke, I appreciate jokes...and yes, they are peppered all through varios threads...I think it's because this thread was started and based on possible theories of why a PT was in the house and that bothers me...not about turtlenecks or hair seeds or toilet brushes! This was something that someone used to make a case on that ruined someones life and ministry. This was a NOTHING thing used to make SOMETHING worth lying about. And the lies and spin have only snow-balled ever since. What a shame.

Maybe I should sit on it a few more days and then wait to post (too late!), but often my first instinct is the best, so I'm going to post anyway.

Maybe it's because I am too close to the situation to see it as a "joke" in it's truest sense?? In any case, it is OMO, but, I think this was in poor taste. I don't see any humor in it. I don't see it as "joke-worthy". There is only one reason why Linda did what she did. It's not something to really be made fun of. She probably shouldn't have done it because she had no idea of the fire she was playing with. Has no one provoked a spouse at any time? Where things ever so bad in your marriage that you have fleeting seconds of "who cares, it's over"? I wouldn't have wanted to be in her shoes then or now - dealing with the entire world sorting through your own personal stuff, trying to tell who made mistakes, tells lies, who is trustworthy and who is right and wrong in hind-sight. When you get the raw and shocking hard treatment of a spouse and so called trusted christian co-workers, because of your husbands lies & greed (& ego), you have to find ways to cope with it on a daily basis as she is now. There are parts of this that people still don't know - that are also part of this senerio, but it's easy to overlook that and make light of a serious part of this. If it was all told, peoples eyes would bug out of their heads. Ok, that was my unasked for 2 cents.

and as my daughter would say to me at this point "HAPPY SABBATH MOM!". In other words, moving right along to what really matters!

Posted by: alramwill Jul 28 2006, 10:57 PM

QUOTE(missthg @ Jul 28 2006, 10:39 PM) [snapback]142293[/snapback]

it aint just you girl....folks is just a little to tense...

sounds like this town needs an enema!

🙄 And that would relieve the tenseness....how? Just asking... 😊

Posted by: calvin Jul 28 2006, 11:24 PM

QUOTE(September @ Jul 28 2006, 10:15 PM) [snapback]142286[/snapback]

I'm sorry but that poll is in very bad taste and not funny at all. How can people who claim to be Christians and who expect behavior that is honest and forthright from Danny, stoop this low? This is nothing more than tabeloid gossip and it is wrong.

You are asking churches and pastors to come to this website to find the truth about 3ABN and then you post this poll--how is that going to aid credibility? It won't. I'll tell you, it looks more like folks having a heyday with nothing but gossip instead of honest Christians rallying around those that Danny has hurt and seriously calling for truth and restoration.

I have come to BSDA to aid in my search for the truth and for vindication for Linda. I have done my part to spread the letters of Dr. A to prominent people--this is a serious matter and one that should not be taken lightly.

BSDA is first and foremost a discussion board. We are not here to present the truth or a one sided anything. **BSDA is not the Linda Shelton love center.** Everyone must read for themselves and determine what truth is. All viewpoints are welcome.

I am not the least bit concerned about my/BSDA creditability. Our creditability lies in the fact that we offer frank open discussion for all to view on any issue surrounding the Adventist church.

Yall put this stupid thing Linda did out here. Now we suppose to walk on egg shells...and the explanations I am reading for the pregnancy test kit are ridiculous. But hey, that is just my opinion. This poll points out some of the contradictory viewpoints and the craziness of the whole 3ABN saga.

Oh yeah, we have plenty of fun here. I make no apologies.

Posted by: Nuggie Jul 28 2006, 11:29 PM

QUOTE(missthg @ Jul 28 2006, 10:39 PM) [snapback]142293[/snapback]

it aint just you girl....folks is just a little to tense...

sounds like this town needs an enema!

Ooohh girl...just had a flashback to those rubber tube pink thingies with the long rubber hose that my Grandma used to use back in the olden days (like back in the sixties 🤔)...

Posted by: alramwill Jul 28 2006, 11:40 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Jul 28 2006, 11:29 PM) [snapback]142310[/snapback]

Ooohh girl...just had a flashback to those rubber tube pink thingies with the long rubber hose that my Grandma used to use back in the olden days (like back in the sixties 🤔)...

TMI!

Posted by: calvin Jul 28 2006, 11:49 PM

Now how did we get from pregnancy test to enemas? 🤔

Posted by: watchbird Jul 29 2006, 05:51 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 10:49 PM) [snapback]142313[/snapback]

Now how did we get from pregnancy test to enemas? 🤔

🤔 Wasn't it inevitable? 🤔 Gotta clean out this mess somehow! 🤔



Worship leaders take your places....



Posted by: summertime Jul 29 2006, 12:38 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 28 2006, 04:41 PM) [snapback]142248[/snapback]

You are correct, and I too have appreciated the "humor breaks" that came now and then. What set me off on this particular thing was not the humor--we had had that in what we had already been discussing--but the idea of a "poll", with it's implications (though I'm sure that wasn't really what Calvin intended), that things of right and wrong could be decided by "vote".

And while I'm in "true confession mode" I might as well go on to confess I was pretty teed off (especially in view of the fact that speculation had been set "out of bounds" for posting) to see that in this "poll" the "speculation" that was errant, and was admitted to be so by the original poster, was treated on the same level as the reporting and analysing that others were doing.

And then there was the basic facts of how this act had been twisted into an assassination weapon and used repeatedly on Linda by one entity or another for the last two years. That's a heck of a lot of punishment for an unwise choice of "practical joke" playing. It just suddenly all got to me--that's all. It has been anything but a "slow day" here.

But it's OK. I've had my little blowup.



I'm all back together now.



Carry on. 😊

Even though I have written my opinion of this subject and was wrong, I do think that Walt Thompson has scrapped the bottom of the barrel of decency when he spread this story at all. To do this just to make a point was a disgrace to the Medical profession as a whole. This whole story now should be thrown into the 'personal' file and we should find something to talk about that would be of more benefit to any stranger who might come to this website looking for the truth.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jul 29 2006, 01:43 PM

QUOTE(September @ Jul 28 2006, 10:38 PM) [snapback]142292[/snapback]

I can honestly say from my heart of hearts that I have never joked about this whole 3ABN, Danny/Linda saga. Nothing about it is funny. If I want to lighten the mood I find something else to laugh about.

I have been the victim of spiritual abuse--over and over again and if anyone was making jokes or making light of my circumstances that would hurt me deeply. Knowing that, I treat others how I would want to be treated. If I were Linda and I came here and read that poll--as well as some of the other 'jokes' interspersed throughout these threads, I would be hurt. You can call me too sensitive or whatever, but that's how I feel in my heart of hearts.

Okay...then September - I'm gonna be blunt.

You are not human. Everyone in the world has laughed at something inappropriate - then maybe later looked back

and been like..."OOOoooo"

You haven't heard something during this 3ABN thing and even gave a little giggle?

Like the fact that Danny was using the 3ABN apartment/sleeping quarters like a "HO-tel"?



Wow.....

Since this board should remain so "serious" about even 3ABN - then I say from now on there should be no "tongue in cheek" no "innuendos" no "puns" no "double talk" no "left off ideas...." nothing but strict factual information from here to eternity. Also - since you can say in your heart of hearts that you never have joked at all about this 3 ABN saga - that although it is "not funny" it is *hilarious in a way* then maybe this board is not for you.

Humans laugh when things are tense to relax.

Humans laugh when things are deep to calm down.

Humans have jokes at funerals (the epitome of sadness) to lighten the mood.

....but we are to act *different* here.

I learn something new everyday. 😊

BTW: you are speaking to a victim of sexual assault who can even find something funny in the whole mess of **my mess**....

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 28 2006, 11:24 PM) [snapback]142309[/snapback]

BSDA is first and foremost a discussion board. We are not here to present the truth or a one sided anything. **BSDA is not the Linda Shelton love center.** Everyone must read for themselves and determine what truth is. All viewpoints are welcome.

I am not the least bit concerned about my/BSDA credibility. Our credibility lies in the fact that we offer frank open discussion for all to view on any issue surrounding the Adventist church.

Yall put this stupid thing Linda did out here. Now we suppose to walk on egg shells... and the explanations I am reading for the pregnancy test kit are ridiculous. But hey, that is just my opinion. This poll points out some of the contradictory viewpoints and the craziness of the whole 3ABN saga.

Oh yeah, we have plenty of fun here. I make no apologies.

....and if anyone doesn't see that the entire 3ABN Saga is not only sad, but ridiculous, and slightly twisted - then you are only looking for what you want to see. Some of the stuff that has been put out there would be "laughed at and funny" if it was in a movie - what makes it not "laughable" here....

Oh yeah....it's "close to home"..... the "acts" themselves may not be funny...but the **explanations** that are being given are hilarious and quite certifiable IMPO - (in my "professional opinion").

QUOTE(summertime @ Jul 29 2006, 12:38 PM) [snapback]142344[/snapback]

Even though I have written my opinion of this subject and was wrong, I do think that Walt Thompson has scrapped the bottom of the barrel of decency when he spread this story at all. To do this just to make a point

was a disgrace to the Medical profession as a whole. This whole story now should be thrown into the 'personal' file and we should find something to talk about that would be of more benefit to any stranger who might come to this website looking for the truth.

He put it out there..... so sadly it will be used.

Now.

What makes this poll so bad...because it's crass...because it is not "humorous"....

How about it's bad because it's actual reasons that folks have said?



How about it's more truth than some folks want to see within the entire "Days of 3ABN" Saga?

Oh...that's right...I keep forgetting.....

The "truth", no jokes, no light-heartedness, no smiles, stay stiff, never laugh, die.

Sorry.



Posted by: watchbird Jul 29 2006, 01:54 PM

QUOTE(summertime @ Jul 29 2006, 11:38 AM) [snapback]142344[/snapback]

Even though I have written my opinion of this subject and was wrong, I do think that Walt Thompson has scrapped the bottom of the barrel of decency when he spread this story at all. To do this just to make a point was a disgrace to the Medical profession as a whole. This whole story now should be thrown into the 'personal' file and we should find something to talk about that would be of more benefit to any stranger who might come to this website looking for the truth.

Well..... what else could Walt do?  When the "spiritual adultery" charge was shown to be a mess of hokum so


he had to drop that, and all he had to cling to was Danny's affirmation that he had "proof", and that "proof" turned out to be a receipt for an unused pg test.....what else could he do but to pass along the "Word of of the Lord as spoken through the mouth of Dan" (See Genesis 49:17) to the inquirers who came looking for information?



The problem with throwing the whole story into the "personal" file is that since this is "Exhibit A" as presented by the "prosecution", it must, therefore, be kept on display by the "defense"..... (along with the records of its cross examinations, psychological analyses by teams of "expert witnesses", "popular opinion" polls, and audience reactions).

But as far as this being the "bottom of the barrel of decency".....don't get your hopes up that high. Remember that iceberg that Sister told us about? Not enough of the "tip of the iceberg" has been chipped away yet for us to be able to see the part still hidden below the surface. Or to put it back into the "metaphor of the day".....the "bottom of the barrel" has not yet been reached.

Stay tuned ...



Posted by: watchbird Jul 29 2006, 02:17 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jul 29 2006, 12:43 PM) [snapback]142351[/snapback]

. . . Wow.....

Since this board should remain so "serious" about even 3ABN - then I say from now on there should be no "tongue in cheek" no "innuendos" no "puns" no "double talk" no "left off ideas...." nothing but strict factual information from here to eternity.

 I can see plainly you have picked up someone else's script! This ain't you c'mon on now. Get back in character however will us old fuddie-duddies pick up our "laugh now" clues if you aren't giving us our cues?



QUOTE

....and if anyone doesn't see that the entire 3ABN Saga is not only sad, but ridiculous, and slightly twisted - then you are only looking for what you want to see. Some of the stuff that has been put out there would be "laughed at and funny" if it was in a movie - what makes it not "laughable" here....

You got it right there. Made into a movie or TV serial it could make someone millions. But admit it, it's easier to laugh in a movie cause you know it's only pretend, and you know the actors will get up and go home to their respective domiciles at the end of the day.... even if lying in their coffins on the set. It's this living in "the middle of the book" where "the truth is stranger than fiction" that wears us down after a while. 

QUOTE

Oh yeah....it's "close to home"..... the "acts" themselves may not be funny...but the explanations that are being given are hilarious and quite certifiable IMPO - (in my "professional opinion").

He put it out there..... so sadly it will be used.

Now.

What makes this poll so bad...because it's crass...because it is not "humorous"....

How about it's bad because it's actual reasons that folks have said?



How about it's more truth than some folks want to see within the entire "Days of 3ABN" Saga?

Oh...that's right...I keep forgetting.....

The "truth", no jokes, no light-heartedness, no smiles, stay stiff, never laugh, die.

Sorry.



Good points, but frankly, you are much more believable in your "clown" role..... but gotta admit ... you put on a pretty good act here too. Take a bow or two.



Posted by: Fran Jul 29 2006, 09:50 PM

Well, what about the Sheltie. Neighbor dogs are such scoundrals, for sure!  It could have been for the dog!

We all know how they eat the homework! Sounds logical to me. 

Posted by: calvin Jul 29 2006, 10:11 PM

Now, no one has mentioned this, but with the Shelton's having a jet plane and stable of horses, I am sure they had a butler. Everyone knows the butler did it.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jul 29 2006, 11:35 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jul 29 2006, 02:17 PM) [snapback]142359[/snapback]

.....Good points, but frankly, you are much more believable in your "clown" role..... but gotta admit ... you put on a pretty good act here too. Take a bow or two.



Even the clown is "serious" when the makeup is removed....

Everyone - back to your serious side. After all - this 3ABN stuff is serious. There is nothing *laughable* about it at all....

Where I'm sure that Linda has cried - I bet she has laughed at some of this stuff because it is so stupid.... - but of course....

The WERLD SHOULD BE STRAIGHTFACED!



Is this better?

Posted by: summertime Jul 29 2006, 11:56 PM

, maybe I am wrong--where is my sense of humor? I guess that I just don't want anymore innocent people hurt--And those long hosed enemas did hurt--In my early days if you got a cold, you got an enema. "ALways start from the bottom up," was

the creed of the day. Today they have a fancy name--colon cleansing, and probably that is good. Maybe Danny could try it for perfecting the ridiculous. (I think that I had better go to bed)---or maybe the butler did do it. I am waiting to see more of the tip of the iceberg.---Might not be so funny.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 30 2006, 12:38 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 29 2006, 09:11 PM) [snapback]142400[/snapback]

Now, no one has mentioned this, but with the Shelton's having a jet plane and stable of horses, I am sure they had a butler. Everyone knows the butler did it.

No butlers in "self-sacrificing" self-supporting "ministries". It's the lower eschelons of "worker bees" that do the work for the "top layers" ... even stuff like building a barn for the owner's horses, for example. Don't know about grooming them and cleaning out the stables. Maybe someone who actually worked there can fill us in on these details. Also don't know what other "personal services" those "workers" were called upon to fill....those details are buried down in the "iceberg" somewhere..... 

Posted by: alramwill Jul 30 2006, 12:42 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 29 2006, 10:11 PM) [snapback]142400[/snapback]

Now, no one has mentioned this, but with the Shelton's having a jet plane and stable of horses, I am sure they had a butler. Everyone knows the butler did it.

If the butler was black, the blame's definitely getting put in their lap.

Posted by: watchbird Jul 30 2006, 12:49 AM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jul 29 2006, 10:35 PM) [snapback]142412[/snapback]

Even the clown is "serious" when the makeup is removed....

And even while still wearing it. I still recall my Daddy quoting poetry to me when I was but a child. One of his favorites began ...

"The royal feast was done; the King
Sought some new sport to banish care,
And to his jester cried: "Sir Fool,
Kneel now, and make for us a prayer!"

The jester doffed his cap and bells,
And stood the mocking court before;
They could not see the bitter smile
Behind the painted grin he wore.....

QUOTE

Everyone - back to your serious side. After all - this 3ABN stuff is serious. There is nothing *laughable* about it at all....

Where I'm sure that Linda has cried - I bet she has laughed at some of this stuff because it is so stupid.... - but of course....

The WERLD SHOULD BE STRAIGHTFACED!



Is this better?

Oh hey, you're **good** in any role. but you are still better when you are showing the seriousness of it all through your "jester" makeup. (That dialogue in another thread was absolutely priceless. Actually, it was good enough to set a price on it.... if you could just find a buyer..... 😊)

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jul 30 2006, 01:13 AM

QUOTE

Oh hey, you're good in any role. but you are still better when you are showing the seriousness of it all through your "jester" makeup. (That dialogue in another thread was absolutely priceless. Actually, it was good enough to set a price on it.... if you could just find a buyer.

I have 25 do I hear 30? 30 anyone ?? 🙄

Posted by: nick Jul 30 2006, 03:12 AM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jul 30 2006, 07:13 AM) [snapback]142428[/snapback]

I have 25 do I hear 30? 30 anyone ?? 🙄

I have 31.50.... please no more bidding i really want it and kinda low on cash 🙄

Posted by: Nuggie Jul 30 2006, 07:11 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jul 29 2006, 09:11 PM) [snapback]142400[/snapback]

Now, no one has mentioned this, but with the Shelton's having a jet plane and stable of horses, I am sure they had a butler. Everyone knows the butler did it.

QUOTE(alramwill @ Jul 29 2006, 11:42 PM) [snapback]142426[/snapback]

If the butler was black, the blame's definitely getting put in their lap.



Posted by: tall73 Jul 30 2006, 11:18 PM

I think the idea of a poll on this is a good one. I just wonder why there is no option that reads "she bought a pregnancy test because she thought she could be pregnant because of an extra-marital affair."

Because that is actually the other option to the one presented as her side. And it is somewhat of an important factor how many take one side over the other if we are to evaluate all of this out in the open as is the claim.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jul 30 2006, 11:59 PM

Tall - I actually agree with you. Toss it all up for interpretation....

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 5 2006, 06:11 AM

This topic says, "Why did Linda buy....."

I thought I had read somewhere that it wasn't even Linda who bought it, and that it wasn't even in Linda's shopping bag, but was rather in her daughter's shopping bag as she was the one who had bought it.

Posted by: calvin Aug 5 2006, 06:25 AM

Now Daryl if you or someone else had brought this theory up before I did the poll, I would have added it. Don't remember reading that one.

Posted by: watchbird Aug 5 2006, 06:32 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Aug 5 2006, 05:11 AM) [snapback]143377[/snapback]

This topic says, "Why did Linda buy....."

I thought I had read somewhere that it wasn't even Linda who bought it, and that it wasn't even in Linda's shopping bag, but was rather in her daughter's shopping bag as she was the one who had bought it.

That was a question raised by someone. No one who brought the story direct from Linda's telling has ever varied as to who bought it, or even whose idea it was to buy it. There was some variance in the stories as to whose room

it was "hidden" in. Johann knows the story best, and he says it was put in the closet in her daughter's room, so I consider that to be the accurate one. (And I interpret "her daughter's room" to mean the one still called that, even though it had been several years since her daughter had actually lived there.)

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 5 2006, 05:25 AM) [snapback]143380[/snapback]

Now Daryl if you or someone else had brought this theory up before I did the poll, I would have added it. Don't remember reading that one.

I think it was one that was raised after you did what you did.

Posted by: lurker Aug 5 2006, 07:14 AM

Here are the two posts by Johann which said that the test was in Alyssa's bag in her room. The first is from the Maritime forum and starts with a question from the Thompson letter.

Is it right for a married woman to purchase a pregnancy test kit when she knows her husband is sterilized?

Post 1.[Johann Thorvaldsson] This statement is ridiculous. Danny went into another woman's room, where he had no business, searching through a shopping bag where he found this pregnancy test. Looks like he needed so badly some evidence against Linda that he got a pregnancy test that he found in another woman's quarter, and then proclaimed to the whole world that now he had the proof!

Post 2.Well, as far as I recall I knew about this pregnancy test even before before Danny discovered it. Linda and Alyssa were talking about how they could catch Danny in all of his snooping around searching everywhere for his "proofs" of what wasn't there. They were joking and laughing at his strange behavior. This is when they discovered the pregnancy tests, and they laughed about it, and even sent the waves of their laughing to us, her best friends in Scandinavia.

According to Linda, Danny would usually start checking things already in the car, but even though they left the bag in the car for a while this time, Danny wasn't there to snoop. So they carried the bag into Alyssa's room. And this is where Danny took the bag. This is why I said he'd gone into another woman's room to get his "proof", because that is what happened. And you can be certain Alyssa will verify all of this, if needed.

Well, as far as I recall I knew about this pregnancy test even before before Danny discovered it. Linda and Alyssa were talking about how they could catch Danny in all of his snooping around searching everywhere for his "proofs" of what wasn't there. They were joking and laughing at his strange behavior. This is when they discovered the pregnancy tests, and they laughed about it, and even sent the waves of their laughing to us, her best friends in Scandinavia.

According to Linda, Danny would usually start checking things already in the car, but even though they left the bag in the car for a while this time, Danny wasn't there to snoop. So they carried the bag into Alyssa's room. And this is where Danny took the bag. This is why I said he'd gone into another woman's room to get his "proof", because that is what happened. And you can be certain Alyssa will verify all of this, if needed.

My comment:**I do believe that someone said that Linda's name was on the receipt which is why I indicated that although it was bought as a joke and to catch Danny snooping, because it was in Alyssa's bag it would have appeared to a normal person that Linda had bought it for Alyssa or that Alyssa could have bought it and borrowed the money from her mom. In other words, it appeared to be Alyssa's not Linda's. As it was in Alyssa's bag in her room, I did not believe that anything would indicate to me that it was Linda's nor did anything indicate that it was bought for her use. To me, it is non evidence of the most laughable kind.**

Posted by: Observer Aug 5 2006, 08:08 AM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jul 30 2006, 09:59 PM) [snapback]142610[/snapback]

Tall - I actually agree with you. Toss it all up for interpretation....

Daryl, when this first surfaced several people did make comments that the test was purchased either by or for another woman. As the discussion developed it became the consensus that Linda did purchase it.

Posted by: watchbird Aug 5 2006, 08:54 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Aug 5 2006, 07:08 AM) [snapback]143393[/snapback]

Daryl, when this first surfaced several people did make comments that the test was purchased either by or for another woman. As the discussion developed it became the consensus that Linda did purchase it.

There is quite a difference between discussing whether or not Linda purchased it and if she did whom she purchased it for and discussing what an objective observer would conclude from seeing that there was one purchased.

One would be judging the honesty of either Linda or of those who reported the story...or analyzing or speculating on her "real" motives and/or her wisdom (or lack thereof) in doing it.

The other would be based on Danny's interpretation and use of what he found---and comparing his reaction with that which might be made by an objective observer.

Unfortunately, these were all so intermingled that it was not always easy to tell who was doing which. That was one complaint that I had on the poll --- it did not distinguish between the many types of discussions that had been going on in the other thread.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Aug 5 2006, 02:02 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Aug 5 2006, 08:08 AM) [snapback]143393[/snapback]

Daryl, when this first surfaced several people did make comments that the test was purchased either by or for another woman. As the discussion developed it became the consensus that Linda did purchase it.

So even with this...Linda could have purchased the test...but for someone else.....



Then again - no one discusses the "other" options.....just the one they want to see.



Posted by: Fstpicker Aug 5 2006, 02:23 PM

In reading all this about the so-called pregnancy test, has anyone considered why this pregnancy test would have been attributed to be intended for Linda's use? If she is about Danny's age, or even close to it, wouldn't she be past the normal age of pregnancy anyway? Why has she been accused of buying it for herself anyway? How wierd is that?! Doesn't this strike any of you as being totally absurd?

This whole thing seems worse than a soap opera. Worse, because it is real life and not just pretend. Worse, because many people have been hurt by all this, and it isn't over with apparently, either.

My heart goes out to Linda and what she has gone through in all of this, as well as many of the others who either worked for 3ABN, or were some how associated with the organization. She has been a good portion of what I see on the show as a "shining light", and a positive ambassador for the 3ABN organization overall IMO. That light has been thrown out in a manner of which, at the very least, has left a quest mark in the minds of many. She made 3ABN the positive TV show that it was whenever she was on the set with her genuine smile and warm personality, and her Christ-like spirit.

God help us, is all I can say.

Fstpicker

Posted by: Treniece Jan 27 2007, 04:36 PM

In my judgment of things, planting a pregnancy test was and unwise move by Linda and her daughter.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 27 2007, 05:14 PM

...if anything - it gave Danny more ammo....but my whole thought on the matter is that if she was preggers - it would be visible to all (unless aborted).

Danny and other "sins" can be hidden.....

JMO

Posted by: Green Cochoa Jan 30 2007, 03:16 AM

I did not find a good answer in the poll, so I cannot vote. I would be obliged to say, "NONE OF THE ABOVE." I think, when the truth eventually comes out (if it ever does due to the sensitivity of the situation) some people may be in for a real surprise on this one.

Posted by: wwjd Jan 30 2007, 01:29 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 5 2006, 05:32 AM) [snapback]143382[/snapback]

That was a question raised by someone. No one who brought the story direct from Linda's telling has ever varied as to who bought it, or even whose idea it was to buy it. There was some variance in the stories as to whose room it was "hidden" in. **Johann knows the story best**, and he says it was put in the closet in her daughter's room, so I consider that to be the accurate one. (And I interpret "her daughter's room" to mean the one still called that, even though it had been several years since her daughter had actually lived there.) I think it was one that was raised after you did what you did.

Unless Johann was there he only knows the story by second hand information...Linda's

Posted by: Clay Jan 30 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Jan 30 2007, 12:29 PM) [snapback]173993[/snapback]

Unless Johann was there he only knows the story by second hand information...Linda's

get thee behind us.....

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 30 2007, 02:13 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Jan 30 2007, 01:29 PM) [snapback]173993[/snapback]

Unless Johann was there he only knows the story by second hand information...Linda's

Seeing that you werent there, by whose hand are you getting your information?

Pro 6:16-19 These six things doth the LORD hate: yea, seven are an abomination unto him: (17) A proud look, a lying tongue, and hands that shed innocent blood, (18) A heart that deviseth wicked imaginations, feet that be swift in running to mischief, (19) A false witness that speaketh lies, and he that soweth discord among brethren.

But you already knew this, didn't you, JL... or KS (both of you have replied as this name) ...not that it matters to you; you are only concerned with rehearsing the matter so you can go before your sanhedrin and say 'we verily have heard...' knowing that you just made it up.

The last song the character of Judas sings in Jesus Christ, Superstar is titled " Blood Money/Damned for all time"

So keep on doing what Judas would do... but sooner or later, you will have to give an accounting to God... right before you are cast into the outer darkness... where there will be wailing and gnashing of teeth...

Damned for all time.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Johann Jan 30 2007, 02:56 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Jan 30 2007, 08:29 PM) [snapback]173993[/snapback]

Unless Johann was there he only knows the story by second hand information...Linda's

Don't forget that there were three persons in on this, all equally "guilty" and equal "eye witnesses!! So there are

other sources of information than Linda. Remember the Biblical call for two witnesses? You are still reading your Bible, aren't you?

By the way, wvjd, how is it going with the project under the name of "Behold the Lord" - or something like that? Do you feel this was just what Jesus would have done?

Posted by: justme Jan 30 2007, 08:02 PM

If my memory serves me correctly, the Pregnancy Test Kit (PTK) was "found" in Linda's car. I don't remember ever hearing that she has a receipt for it.

Knowing of Danny's "upcoming plans" for Linda, it's logical to surmise that he planted it himself, OR, that it was "planted" by one of his "loyalists" many of whom would be thrilled to have an opportunity to "plant something on Linda" to gain favor with Danny. It happened many times before, by Brenda, by Shellie, by Mollie.

OR, .. if she did buy it, perhaps it was for one of her friends, as a favor for that friend, to help to avoid possible embarrassment, maybe even for Melody, since she was apparently "with child" between husbands. One problem with this one is that Melody would not even speak to Linda, let alone look at her.

Knowing that Danny was about to "give her the boot" would Linda want to know if she WAS carrying a child by Danny?

Posted by: Uncle Sam Jan 30 2007, 08:32 PM

It is common knowledge that Danny didn't like Nathan but how was Linda's relationship with Melody?

Posted by: eye witness Feb 1 2007, 05:38 PM

QUOTE(justme @ Jan 30 2007, 07:02 PM) [snapback]174090[/snapback]

If my memory serves me correctly, the Pregnancy Test Kit (PTK) was "found" in Linda's car. I don't remember ever hearing that she has a receipt for it.

Knowing of Danny's "upcoming plans" for Linda, it's logical to surmise that he planted it himself, OR, that it was "planted" by one of his "loyalists" many of whom would be thrilled to have an opportunity to "plant something on Linda" to gain favor with Danny. It happened many times before, by Brenda, by Shellie, by Mollie.

OR, .. if she did buy it, perhaps it was for one of her friends, as a favor for that friend, to help to avoid possible embarrassment, maybe even for Melody, since she was apparently "with child" between husbands. One problem with this one is that Melody would not even speak to Linda, let alone look at her.

Knowing that Danny was about to "give her the boot" would Linda want to know if she WAS carrying a child by Danny?

The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not.

Posted by: erik Feb 1 2007, 06:18 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Feb 1 2007, 02:38 PM) [snapback]174447[/snapback]

The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not.

EW,

I agree the purchase of the PTK was foolish if it was done for a joke.

HOW do you know it was for linda to see if linda was pregnant ?

DID you see linda use it?

HAVE you seen a used PTK or was it unopened?

I think it is fair if your side of this story is correct to say that both linda and danny were making some foolish choices at this point in their marriage.

Erik

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 1 2007, 06:31 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 1 2007, 04:18 PM) [snapback]174462[/snapback]

EW,

I agree the purchase of the PTK was foolish if it was done for a joke.

HOW do you know it was for linda to see if linda was pregnant ?

DID you see linda use it?

HAVE you seen a used PTK or was it unopened?

I think it is fair if your side of this story is correct to say that both linda and danny were making some foolish choices at this point in their marriage.

Erik

Excellent points Erik

Posted by: Johann Feb 1 2007, 07:02 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Feb 2 2007, 12:38 AM) [snapback]174447[/snapback]

The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not.

How can you be an "eye witness" unless you were there? Where and how did you see this pt? Did Danny show it to you, or were you there?

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 1 2007, 07:16 PM

QUOTE

when he had been fixed for years

Did he share that information with you & give you the exact date when he got fixed? Were you there when he had it done? If not then how can you be an eye witness.

Posted by: sister Feb 1 2007, 08:45 PM

QUOTE(eye witness @ Feb 1 2007, 05:38 PM) [snapback]174447[/snapback]

The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not.

I like the others here would like you to tell how you know it was purchased and brought home for Linda and by Linda? Were you there with Linda shopping that day? 🤔 Did she tell you she bought it for herself? 🤔 Were you working undercover as a PI for Danny? 🤔 Did you come up to Linda in the store and pretend to be taking a survey and asked her if she was purchasing a pregnancy herself, for her own use? Were you wearing a wire? Did you ask her to speak directly into the flower on your jacket lapel? Your explanation should be quite interesting. Everyone is waiting for your answer...

Sister

Posted by: Fran Feb 2 2007, 02:13 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 1 2007, 07:45 PM) [snapback]174492[/snapback]

I like the others here would like you to tell how you know it was purchased and brought home for Linda and by

Linda? Were you there with Linda shopping that day? 🤔 Did she tell you she bought it for herself? 🗨️ Were you working undercover as a PI for Danny? 🤪 Did you come up to Linda in the store and pretend to be taking a survey and asked her if she was purchasing a pregnancy herself, for her own use? Were you wearing a wire? Did you ask her to speak directly into the flower on your jacket lapel? Your explanation should be quite interesting. Everyone is waiting for your answer...

Sister

Sister~ Now, THAT is funny! for real!



Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:32 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 1 2007, 06:45 PM) [snapback]174492[/snapback]

I like the others here would like you to tell how you know it was purchased and brought home for Linda and by Linda? Were you there with Linda shopping that day? 🤔 Did she tell you she bought it for herself? 🗨️ Were you working undercover as a PI for Danny? 🤪 Did you come up to Linda in the store and pretend to be taking a survey and asked her if she was purchasing a pregnancy herself, for her own use? Were you wearing a wire? Did you ask her to speak directly into the flower on your jacket lapel? Your explanation should be quite interesting. Everyone is waiting for your answer...

Sister

I see your point. Now, let's turn that around. Were you? were you there when she brought it home? Were you with her shopping that day? Were you at their house to see where she put it and Danny's reaction to it? If you answer no to any of these questions then you are getting your info from Linda just the same as you accuse others of getting theirs from Danny. What is the difference?

Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 06:04 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 11:32 PM) [snapback]174760[/snapback]

I see your point. Now, let's turn that around. Were you? were you there when she brought it home? Were you with her shopping that day? Were you at their house to see where she put it and Danny's reaction to it? If you answer no to any of these questions then you are getting your info from Linda just the same as you accuse others of getting theirs from Danny. What is the difference?

You did not read my post above - or you conveniently ignored it. Linda was not alone when this happened. There were other witnesses. Did you ask Alyssa to give you her version - testimony - since you were not there?

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 2 2007, 06:18 PM

So EW, if I understand your posts above correctly, you are stating your belief/opinion that the purchase of the kit was

nothing more than a joke to hurt DS? If so, what is the whole point of this kit even being an issue worthy of any ongoing discussion?

nw

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 06:48 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Feb 1 2007, 06:16 PM) [snapback]174477[/snapback]

Did he share that information with you & give you the exact date when he got fixed? Were you there when he had it done? If not then how can you be an eye witness.

Ugh... 😞

No offense, but I'd really rather not know the answer to that one.

Posted by: sister Feb 2 2007, 07:44 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 04:32 PM) [snapback]174760[/snapback]

I see your point. Now, let's turn that around. Were you? were you there when she brought it home? Were you with her shopping that day? Were you at their house to see where she put it and Danny's reaction to it? If you answer no to any of these questions then you are getting your info from Linda just the same as you accuse others of getting theirs from Danny. What is the difference?

Bystander, had you read through this entire thread you will find that I have made no statements regarding the above situation. I approached Eye Witness as a "seeker of truth", asking questions and giving him the opportunity to enlighten me in regard to the claims he has made, which I have bolded, in his post that follows:

"The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not."

Of course there is another possibility that I did not list... 🤔 Perhaps Eye Witness is Linda! 🗨️

According to Eye Witness: **"Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was."** This statement clearly implies that Linda bought the pregnancy test for no other reason than to hurt Danny. To extrapolate further, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, since Linda had sex with her husband, who had had a vasectomy, than she would not need to purchase a pregnancy test, other than to hurt Danny. Therefore one must logically conclude, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, Linda had not participated in a sexual affair with another man.

Sister

Posted by: inga Feb 2 2007, 10:35 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 2 2007, 07:44 PM) [snapback]174819[/snapback]

According to Eye Witness: "**Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was.**" This statement clearly implies that Linda bought the pregnancy test for no other reason than to hurt Danny. To extrapolate further, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, since Linda had sex with her husband, who had had a vasectomy, than she would not need to purchase a pregnancy test, other than to hurt Danny. Therefore one must logically conclude, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, Linda had not participated in a sexual affair with another man.

Yup, that's what Eye Witness said.

And since Eye Witness is an eye witness, it corroborates Linda's steadfast testimony: Linda did not have an affair with someone other than her husband.

Maybe this is the beginning of Eye Witness's truth-telling? 🙄

Carry on, Eye Witness ... 🙄 🙄

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 06:31 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 2 2007, 06:44 PM) [snapback]174819[/snapback]

"The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not."

Sister

I would of never dreamed that the Linda on TV would have done such a thing as a joke. My word, these tidbits that are passed around on here are just awful. A JOKE? Thing is, if she wanted this other man why didn't she just leave Danny?

So many open holes and so many opinions who can make heads or tails of this mess. One minute I want to think one thing and then another minute something else. I don't know how anyone is suppose to get truth here.

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 07:22 PM

Glenetta, you are trying to make us believe you just happened to stumble in here as some poor innocent trying to make up your mind about the allegations about 3ABN. You gave yourself away early in the game that you came with a specific agenda to make anyone who does not side with Danny look ridiculous. 🙄

You quote Bystander, as though Sister wrote it, when Sister was actually critiquing his post. In other words, you are

clearly here only to confuse and to destroy credibility.

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 06:31 PM) [snapback]176557[/snapback]

I would of never dreamed that the Linda on TV would have done such a thing as a joke. My word, these tidbits that are passed around on here are just awful. A JOKE? Thing is, if she wanted this other man why didn't she just leave Danny?

You are insinuating that Linda wanted to leave Danny. **What evidence do you have that Linda wanted to leave Danny? Come out with it!!** 🙄

QUOTE

So many open holes and so many opinions who can make heads or tails of this mess. One minute I want to think one thing and then another minute something else. I don't know how anyone is suppose to get truth here.

That's exactly what you are trying to prevent, isn't it -- that anyone will get the truth here!

Contrary to your expectations, a lot of folks who come here can see through tactics such as those exhibited by you, Bystander, WWJD, Eye Witness, and others with the same agenda. They use the brains God gave them. 😊

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 07:35 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 2 2007, 07:44 PM) [snapback]174819[/snapback]

Bystander, had you read through this entire thread you will find that I have made no statements regarding the above situation. I approached Eye Witness as a "seeker of truth", asking questions and giving him the opportunity to enlighten me in regard to the claims he has made, which I have bolded, in his post that follows:

"The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not."

Of course there is another possibility that I did not list... 🙄 Perhaps Eye Witness is Linda! 🙄

According to Eye Witness: **"Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was."** This statement clearly implies that Linda bought the pregnancy test for no other reason than to hurt Danny. To extrapolate further, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, since Linda had sex with her husband, who had had a vasectomy, than she would not need to purchase a pregnancy test, other than to hurt Danny. Therefore one must logically conclude, based upon the testimony of Eye Witness, Linda had not participated in a sexual affair with another man.

Sister

Above is the entire quote of what I posted.

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 06:31 PM) [snapback]176557[/snapback]

I would of never dreamed that the Linda on TV would have done such a thing as a joke. My word, these tidbits that are passed around on here are just awful. A JOKE? Thing is, if she wanted this other man why didn't she just leave Danny?

So many open holes and so many opinions who can make heads or tails of this mess. One minute I want to think one thing and then another minute something else. I don't know how anyone is suppose to get truth here.

I believe the way Glenetta quoted me gave an impression different from what I had stated.

Linda was faithful to her husband, loved him and was not looking for another man. Unfortunately the same could not be said for Danny.

Sister

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 08:07 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 06:35 PM) [snapback]176580[/snapback]

Above is the entire quote of what I posted.

I believe the way Glenetta quoted me gave an impression different from what I had stated.

Linda was faithful to her husband, loved him and was not looking for another man. Unfortunately the same could not be said for Danny.

Sister

Sister are you following me around the place. Why put words into Johann's mouth. He said that they had dates and that he was there!!!! So if anyone would know anything it would not be "you" it would be Johann.

You are not only  you are   because you were not there so I should not listen to what you think. Can't you find someone else to try to convince your opinion.

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:11 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 07:07 PM) [snapback]176593[/snapback]

Sister are you following me around the place. Why put words into Johann's mouth. He said that they had dates and that he was there!!!! So if anyone would know anything it would not be "you" it would be Johann.

You are not only  you are   because you were not there so I should not listen to what you think.

Can't you find someone else to try to convince your opinion.

funny.... I got the impression that you were doing that very thing.... but since you are new here and already have all the truth, perhaps you should share with us why Danny didn't fight for his marriage but chose to use the idea of

spiritual adultery to get a quick divorce in Guam.....

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 08:38 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 08:07 PM) [snapback]176593[/snapback]

Sister are you following me around the place. Why put words into Johann's mouth. He said that they had dates and that he was there!!!! So if anyone would know anything it would not be "you" it would be Johann.

You are not only  you are   because you were not there so I should not listen to what you think.

Can't you find someone else to try to convince your opinion.

Glenetta, I do not have to follow you around, you just keep showing up with the same deceitful statements on different threads. **Johann never said that Linda and the Doctor had dates. YOU are the one who continues to misquote him.** That is not my opinion, it is a fact. Others have already re-read the post you are referring to and only you appear to see something there that no one else sees. In fact, Inga made the following statement in regard to your allegations:

QUOTE

"That Johann said they had dates is a blatant lie. It must take a lot of practice to lie so boldly."

And in that same thread on Corporate "worship" at 3ABN Johann has answered your allegations as follows:

QUOTE

What dates are you talking about? Sure, the three of us had meetings to discuss what to do about Danny's official lies about us. All three of us were in the same boat - made by Danny Shelton. My wife, Irmgard, had also been involved, but she was too sick to travel.

Those pictures were taken by Danny's Private Investigators when we came to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting in 2004. By using the right kind of a telephoto lens they avoided getting me into the picture. That is easy for an expensive Private Investigator.

According to Pastor John Lomacang Danny had already made up his mind he wanted to get rid of Linda before we got into the picture. Danny was only waiting for an opportunity where he could make it look like he had biblical grounds for a divorce, and it appeared to him that he could use Dr. Arild Abrahamsen as his scapegoat.

I do not understand how you get the impression from what I said that the doctor and Linda were at fault? Danny made it appear like they were at fault by issuing false statements.

Danny got so angry at me for refusing to help him verify this false accusation, that he had Dr. Walt Thompson fire me from my employment at 3ABN.

So, enough of this nonsense, Glenetta.

Sister

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 11:35 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 06:35 PM) [snapback]176580[/snapback]

Above is the entire quote of what I posted.

I believe the way Glenetta quoted me gave an impression different from what I had stated.

Linda was faithful to her husband, loved him and was not looking for another man. Unfortunately the same could not be said for Danny.

Sister

"The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not."

Sister

What I have been saying on this topic is "this is no joke for any husband, boyfriend and I can't believe that Linda Shelton would do such a thing. Why doesn't she/Linda Shelton come on this place and let the truth be known about all this stuff! Why would she let all this be said, and never peep a peep? Is she guilty????????? That is what my mission is to find out. I refuse to think that all these people who have talked to Linda, Mark Finley, John Lamcang, 3ABN board, Danny, friends are all wrong and deceiving us. I can't buy any of that. Now this pregnancy test, again that is no JOKE! And Danny being why would she do such a thing. . . . unless well she had. This does not make sense at all. Does it to you? If it does please tell me how?

Posted by: sister Feb 9 2007, 12:49 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:35 AM) [snapback]176792[/snapback]

"The Pregnancy Test Kit was purchased and brought home for Linda by Linda. When she was caught with it she tried to turn it into a joke. What kind of joke for your husband is that.. .., when he had been fixed for years. Which must of worked because Linda didn't get pregnant for all those years. What kind of joke for your husband when he is question you already about the affair with the Doctor. NO HUMOR there. Linda knew this would hurt Danny and that is why it was placed where it was and how it was. Believe it or not."

Sister

What I have been saying on this topic is "this is no joke for any husband, boyfriend and I can't believe that Linda Shelton would do such a thing. Why doesn't she/Linda Shelton come on this place and let the truth be known about all this stuff! Why would she let all this be said, and never peep a peep? Is she guilty????????? That is what my mission is to find out. I refuse to think that all these people who have talked to Linda, Mark Finley, John Lamcang, 3ABN board, Danny, friends are all wrong and deceiving us. I can't buy any of that. Now this pregnancy test, again that is no JOKE! And Danny being why would she do such a thing. . . . unless well she had. This does not make sense at all. Does it to you? If it does please tell me how?

Again, Glenetta has quoted me out of context in order to give the impression that I made the above statement. **The above statement was made by Bystander, not myself, I was merely quoting it.** This was obviously posted in this manner to deceive the reader. Glenetta, you are no seeker of truth if you are using these methods to justify your conclusions.

Sister

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 12:55 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:35 AM) [snapback]176792[/snapback]

This does not make sense at all. Does it to you? If it does please tell me how? [/color]

Glenetta, you AGAIN quoted Sister's post in such a way that makes it appear that SHE was saying what she was critiquing. Either you are not purposely misquoting/lying, or you simply do not understand what you read.

Either way, your comments are only confusing, misleading and downright wrong.

[Edit: By the way, my statement was written before I saw Sister's post. I have a very slow connection, and it takes a while to download a page and then to upload my reply.]

Posted by: Ralph Feb 9 2007, 02:28 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 10:55 AM) [snapback]176821[/snapback]

Glenetta, you AGAIN quoted Sister's post in such a way that makes it appear that SHE was saying what she was critiquing. Either you are not purposely misquoting/lying, or you simply do not understand what you read.

Either way, your comments are only confusing, misleading and downright wrong.

Although Glenetta wasn't paying attention, part of the problem is that Bystander hasn't figured out how to use the quote function at the bottom of the page, so what he/she writes can easily get garbled with the reply.

For Newbies, try this if you wish to split a post. (I'll put spaces in this so it goes through.)

At the beginning of a quote, insert [quote] (That's a square bracket, the word, "quote", square bracket)

To end quote [/ quote] (That's square bracket, backslash, quote, square bracket.)

Please take the time to preview your post to make sure that it looks right before you send it. And yes, there is also a spell check. I don't know whether or not it would correct spelling of Johann's name.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 11 2007, 02:15 PM

[quote name='Ralph' date='Feb 9 2007, 01:28 PM' post='176845']

Although Glenetta wasn't paying attention, part of the problem is that Bystander hasn't figured out how to use the quote function at the bottom of the page, so what he/she writes can easily get garbled with the reply.

ralph what is not garbled up on this post, is the fact Linda purchased a pregnancy test. The only need for that would be if there was foul play on her part. This is not garbled or in anyway mistaken for it is truth. Linda has apparently stated, "it was a joke for Danny". WHAT KIND OF JOKE IS THAT! **She is having marital problems and she does this to be funny???** There is only one thing that is left to think this. You don't get pregnant holding hands with your friend!!!!

Posted by: Denny Feb 12 2007, 08:56 AM

"ralph what is not garbled up on this post, is the fact Linda purchased a pregnancy test. **The only need for that would be if there was foul play on her part.** This is not garbled or in anyway mistaken for it is truth. Linda has apparently stated, "it was a joke for Danny". WHAT KIND OF JOKE IS THAT! She is having marital problems and she does this to be funny???. There is only one thing that is left to think this. You don't get pregnant holding hands with your friend!!"

Er no anyone can purchase a pregnancy test kit whether foul play has ocured or not, probably a stupid move on her part (we are entitled to those moments now and again). I'm sure she regrets it now sometimes we do things to wind people up but the action backfires. So yes to Linda it was a joke. As for your implication she must be guilty of something more than holding hands you basing this on a pregnany test kit now but then even if they are married as a wife why can't she buy the test her husband may have been fixed but that operation is not 100% egg proof

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 12 2007, 10:13 PM

Vastectomies are NOT 100% effective!

I personally know a man whose wife became pregnant 7 years after his surgery. Shocked, he went to the doctor and was told he was as fertile as a 17 year old and functioning at about 90%!

So, perhaps Linda did think she was pregnant. It is possible!

Posted by: watchbird Feb 12 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:35 AM) [snapback]176792[/snapback]

What I have been saying on this topic is "this is no joke for any husband, boyfriend and I can't believe that Linda Shelton would do such a thing. Why doesn't she/Linda Shelton come on this place and let the truth be known about all this stuff! Why would she let all this be said, and never peep a peep? Is she guilty????????? That is what my mission is to find out. I refuse to think that all these people who have talked to Linda, Mark Finley, John Lamcang, 3ABN board, Danny, friends are all wrong and deceiving us. I can't buy any of that. Now this pregnancy test, again that is no JOKE! And Danny being why would she do such a thing. . . . unless well she had. This does not make sense at all. Does it to you? If it does please tell me how?

If it is really your "mission" to find out... anything.... then how about stopping your senseless chatter and go read.... and BELIEVE.... the first person accounts that people have been putting on here for the last two plus years.

And stop the silly "I can't believe" stuff just allow people to speak for themelves. As for Linda coming on here about the reason she bought the pregnancy test.... she personally told a great number of us... at different times

and in different ways... the same reason. That she was tired of Danny's snooping in her stuff to see what she had bought.... so she got this sudden impulse to give him something to "find". And yes. she did it for a joke... and was still laughing over a year later when she told me about it.... on the phone.... at the way his face went through several changes of emotions when he found it and challenged her on it. She also told of laughing in his face over it.... and over his reaction to it. Was she smart? Absolutely not. But smart or stupid to do it... the reason still is that at the time she thought she was playing a practical joke on him.

Now... call me a liar if you want. Call Linda a liar for telling me something that wasn't the way things actually were. But recognize if you do so, what you are doing... and don't play any kind of pious "I know how the world SHOULD turn".... and claim that you can't read and comprehend what you are reading.... as you have been doing ever since you started on this board.

If you really can't read... then you should go home and take some lessons rather than parading your disability for all the world to see here. 🙄🙄

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 02:44 AM



QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 04:31 PM) [snapback]176557[/snapback]

One minute I want to think one thing and then another minute something else.

Just say "imagine" . . . 🙄🙄

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 03:10 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 12 2007, 09:41 PM) [snapback]177801[/snapback]

If it is really your "mission" to find out... anything.... then how about stopping your senseless chatter and go read.... and BELIEVE.... the first person accounts that people have been putting on here for the last two plus years.

And stop the silly "I can't believe" stuff just allow people to speak for themselves. As for Linda coming on here about the reason she bought the pregnancy test.... she personally told a great number of us... at different times and in different ways... the same reason. That she was tired of Danny's snooping in her stuff to see what she had bought.... so she got this sudden impulse to give him something to "find". And yes. she did it for a joke... and was still laughing over a year later when she told me about it.... on the phone.... at the way his face went through several changes of emotions when he found it and challenged her on it. **She also told of laughing in his face** over it.... and over his reaction to it. Was she smart? Absolutely not. But smart or stupid to do it... the reason still is that at the time she thought she was playing a practical joke on him.

I am so relieved that finally, finally someone admitted that the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda!!! I have said time and again where else could they originate? Several here have gotten their stories from her, they post the stuff and everyone runs with it and then says Linda is staying mum and never trashes her ex and she is taking the high road.... 🙄🙄 The pregnancy kit story all told from her angle.

Gosh it must be gospel.

Thank you so much watchbird for finally telling the truth....

Now lets look at the "she said how she laughed in his face"...We have a man that believes with all his heart his wife is cheating on him. He is tore up, he is angry and he is hurt so ...knowing all of this, she decides to "plant" a pregnancy test for a joke, of course. Then when he finds it, and to him, it confirms his worst fears, making the hurt almost unbearable, she, then, laughs in his face at his changing emotions. Yes. that certainly sounds like someone who loved her husband dearly and was trying "so hard" to reconcile.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 03:19 AM

A man phoned me and told me through tears that his wife had moved out and probably was with another guy. He and his wife had been married for over 25 years and he didn't have the slightest idea that there was a problem.

I asked him, "If your wife had sex with this guy, would you still take her back?"

He thought for a while and gave a reply that showed just how much their marriage meant to him. "Yes," he said at last. And then he commented, "What is a half hour -- or even a night's tryst -- compared to 25 years of love and memories?"

Now that's love! I don't like to see cheating happen, but I can truthfully say that there are other actions that are far more detrimental to a relationship.

If all the charges against Linda were indeed true, how did Danny's actions compare to this person who was a non-professing Christian? A person usually sees the actions of others through his own eyeglasses. To the pure in heart, others look pure. Unfortunately the converse is also true.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 03:24 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 13 2007, 02:19 AM) [snapback]177855[/snapback]

A man phoned me and told me through tears that his wife had moved out and probably was with another guy. He and his wife had been married for over 25 years and he didn't have the slightest idea that there was a problem.

I asked him, "If your wife had sex with this guy, would you still take her back?"

He thought for a while and gave a reply that showed just how much their marriage meant to him. "Yes," he said at last. And then he commented, "What is a half hour -- or even a night's tryst -- compared to 25 years of love and memories?"

Now that's love! I don't like to see cheating happen, but I can truthfully say that there are other actions that are far more detrimental to a relationship.

If all the charges against Linda were indeed true, how did Danny's actions compare to this person who was a non-professing Christian? A person usually sees the actions of others through his own eyeglasses. To the pure in heart, others look pure. Unfortunately the converse is also true.

I totally agree with your assesment of "true love." It is Danny's actions which you have been misinformed about. What you did not ask your friend (or you did not post it, if you did) was would he stay married to her if she refused to give up the 3rd party? A refusal that was not just given once but over and over again. D. was totally willing to forgive and reconcile but three's a crowd

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 06:08 AM

QUOTE

I refuse to think that all these people who have talked to Linda, Mark Finley, John Lamcang, 3ABN board, Danny, friends are all wrong and deceiving us.

Mark Finley's name has been brought into this repeatedly. We have been told that he is willing to make a statement to anyone who contacts him--or words to that effect.

Please contact him and publish his statement.

Thank you.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 01:10 AM) [snapback]177853[/snapback]

I am so relieved that finally, finally someone admitted that the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda!!! I have said time and again where else could they originate? Several here have gotten their stories from her, they post the stuff and everyone runs with it and then says Linda is staying mum and never trashes her ex and she is taking the high road....  The pregnancy kit story all told from her angle. Gosh it must be gospel.

Throughout the years people have contacted Linda. What could you expect? They have asked her questions. She has responded to questions that people have asked her. What would you expect her to do?

Do not over generalize that. One can not logically state that because she answered an individual question that she is responsible for 'all the stories and alligations against Danny.'

Posted by: sister Feb 13 2007, 10:10 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 01:10 AM)

I am so relieved that finally, finally someone admitted that the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda!!! I have said time and again where else could they originate? Several here have gotten their stories from her, they post the stuff and everyone runs with it and then says Linda is staying mum and never trashes her ex and she is taking the high road.... The pregnancy kit story all told from her angle. Gosh it must be gospel.

WWJD, you again are factually challenged. To logically draw the above conclusion from one statement from Watchbird about an isolated situation is an assumption that is impossible to support. Watchbird never said that "the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda". I, for one, received none of the facts for any of the information I posted from Linda. That is the truth. At the time that I wrote "An Unauthorized History of 3ABN" no one, except my husband, knew who "sister" was and I did not contact anyone for background

information in regard to 3ABN. I wrote from the knowledge I had from being at 3ABN, living in Thompsonville and being a member of the Thompsonville SDA church. I am not Linda, I am a married woman, she is not. With all of this in mind I agree with the following statement from Observer.

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 13 2007, 06:08 AM) [snapback]177867[/snapback]

Mark Finley's name has been brought into this repeatedly. We have been told that he is willing to make a statement to anyone who contacts him--or words to that effect.

Please contact him and publish his statement.

Thank you.

Throughout the years people have contacted Linda. What could you expect? They have asked her questions. She has responded to questions that people have asked her. What would you expect her to do?

Do not over generalize that. One can not logically state that because she answered an individual question that she is responsible for 'all the stories and alligations against Danny.'

WWJD, you bring shame to yourself by making such bold misrepresentations of the facts. You are not fooling anyone, "that dog don't hunt here".

Sister

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 13 2007, 10:42 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 02:10 AM) [snapback]177853[/snapback]

I am so relieved that finally, finally someone admitted that the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda!!! I have said time and again where else could they originate?

Go away. You've been lurking here trying to confuse things and muddy the waters. If you're here looking for the facts, I'm Pope Benedict.

So the truth about what really happened **with the pregnancy test** came from Linda. Does that mean she was colluding with Church of God members for two decades scaring up allegations against Tommy so that Danny would get in trouble for trying to cover for his brother? Yeah, that's it. Or if not, maybe not **all** the stories came from Linda.

Have you even read save3abn.com? I don't know how anyone could go through that information, which is documented and firsthand, and still believe that Danny doesn't have something to hide. **I would love to see evidence that would exonerate Danny and Co. from the charges of embezzlement, covering up sex abuse, and character assassination**--not because I'm a fan of 3ABN, but because people I love are fans and I don't want to see them or my church, my spiritual home, hurt. **All we get from anyone connected with 3ABN is stonewalling, dissembling and denial**--lies, like yours.

If there's truth on 3ABN's side, let's have it, for God's sake. Don't you know what this is going to do to the church?

I came back to these boards looking for better information so I could be prepared to deal with in my churches

when it becomes more public. It doesn't help when people like you come in here prepared to defend Danny no matter what the cost--even your own integrity. I'm still new, but I feel that on blacksda, honest seekers are welcome here, if they're willing to do the reading to catch up--but not people who came with their minds made up over against any facts.

Your logic doesn't follow normal rules. The rules for your logic are, defend Danny and make Linda look bad no matter whose words you have to twist. **It's called lying, I see you doing it over and over again** in this thread and others--so go away.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 11:54 AM

well said SoulEspresso..... you have summed up my feelings regarding these danny apologists... most of them anyway....

Posted by: watchbird Feb 13 2007, 12:38 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 03:10 AM) [snapback]177853[/snapback]

I am so relieved that finally, finally someone admitted that the source for all the stories and allegations against Danny are coming from Linda!!! I have said time and again where else could they originate? Several here have gotten their stories from her, they post the stuff and everyone runs with it and then says Linda is staying mum and never trashes her ex and she is taking the high road...  The pregnancy kit story all told from her angle. Gosh it must be gospel.

Thank you so much watchbird for finally telling the truth....

Now lets look at the "she said how she laughed in his face"... We have a man that believes with all his heart his wife is cheating on him. He is tore up, he is angry and he is hurt soknowing all of this, she decides to "plant" a pregnancy test for a joke, of course. Then when he finds it, and to him, it confirms his worst fears, making the hurt almost unbearable, she, then, laughs in his face at his changing emotions. Yes. that certainly sounds like someone who loved her husband dearly and was trying "so hard" to reconcile.

.....    with all I have read from you... I still simply cannot believe that ANYONE could be so grossly desperate as to try and leap from one point to a generalization covering all possibilities in one gigantic leap... not even Evil Knievle would try THAT stunt... 

Whatever the facts of the dynamics are between two people.... the MOTIVES of one of them can ONLY be learned from asking the person whose motives one is trying to figure out. Therefore, for this "fact".... there is only ONE person in the whole world who would know... and that is Linda herself. Therefore I asked her personally... up front... and directly... not only for the narrative of the event, but also WHY she had done it.

That does NOT mean that she is the only one who supplied me with details of the narrative. Nor does it mean that she was the only one who told me what they understood her motives to be. And you can do all the fancy footwork, highsky dives, wheelie-deelies that you want.... your conclusions as stated above are not even close to the world of reality.

But.... carry on..... the more such assinine things you say, the more detailed view you give of your inner self.... and I congratulate you on reaching a new low... for frankly, I had long ago thought you couldn't possibly paint yourself any worse..... But... the elusive "J" is getting more and more "elusive".... from Jacob to Judas to.... what? ... "Jerkas"....?

Whatever... carry on.....



Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 01:00 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 13 2007, 11:38 AM) [snapback]177960[/snapback]

..... 😞 🗑️ 😞 with all I have read from you... I still simply cannot believe that ANYONE could be so grossly desperate as to try and leap from one point to a generalization covering all possibilities in one gigantic leap... not even Evil Knievle would try THAT stunt.... 😞

Whatever the facts of the dynamics are between two people.... the MOTIVES of one of them can ONLY be learned from asking the person whose motives one is trying to figure out. Therefore, for this "fact".... there is only **ONE person in the whole world who would know... and that is Linda herself.** Therefore I asked her personally... up front... and directly... not only for the narrative of the event, but also WHY she had done it. "elusive".... from Jacob to Judas to.... what? ... "Jerkas"....? Whatever... carry on.....

Please Watchbird, let up..your words slay me...There is only ONE person? Sorry, there were 2 in that room. You were told What Danny said and/or what his reactions were by her. You are also saying there is nill chance that what was told to you about why the test was purchased, could be a lie. Talk about naive..... No one can possibly leap to generalizations as well as you. You have done it for months. Not to mention, common sense says, if you called to ask her about one thing, you asked her about a lot more incidents. All answers given obviously would be 100% true, therefore, you think you are "in the know." There are 2 sides to everything, maybe you should give the Danny the same courtesy as you have given Linda and ask him some of those questions. That would be the only fair way wouldn't it? That is why marriage counselors talk to both people, because they cannot get a fair or accurate take on the situation by only hearing one side. Enough already. We know who your source is and you are no longer credible.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 13 2007, 01:13 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 13 2007, 09:42 AM) [snapback]177917[/snapback]

Go away. You've been lurking here trying to confuse things and muddy the waters. If you're here looking for the facts, I'm Pope Benedict.

Have you even read save3abn.com? I don't know how anyone could go through that information, which is documented and firsthand, and still believe that Danny doesn't have something to hide. **I would love to see evidence that would exonerate Danny and Co. from the charges of embezzlement, covering up sex abuse, and character assassination**--not because I'm a fan of 3ABN, but because people I love are fans and I don't want to see them or my church, my spiritual home, hurt. **All we get from anyone connected with 3ABN is stonewalling, dissembling and denial**--lies, like yours.

Your logic doesn't follow normal rules. The rules for your logic are, defend Danny and make Linda look bad no matter whose words you have to twist. **It's called lying, I see you doing it over and over again** in this thread and others--so go away.

charges of embezzlement? Right, Gailon would know...There has not been any evidence whatsoever of embezzlement. Maybe you are confusing Danny with Gailon. A man starts his own website. He is not a church leader, he is not an attorney and he is not a licensed investigator. But if it is there (the site) then it must be true. How, gullible & naive is that? Have you ever been to 3abn. Have you even met any of the people involved. Do you have a clue as to who all they have to account to? I doubt if you can answer yes to even one of those questions. As to your accusation of character assassination....I couldn't stop laughing...that was how many sentences after you just basically called Danny ,an embezzler, hiding sexual abuse, stonewalling, denial, dissembling and character assassination. And as I said all of your thoughts and accusations come from what you have read...unbelievable, that pretty much says it all.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 03:31 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 12:00 PM) [snapback]177971[/snapback]

Please Watchbird, let up..your words slay me...There is only ONE person? Sorry, there were 2 in that room. You were told What Danny said and/or what his reactions were by her. You are also saying there is nill chance that what was told to you about why the test was purchased, could be a lie. Talk about naive....

No one can possibly leap to generalizations as well as you. You have done it for months. Not to mention, common sense says, if you called to ask her about one thing, you asked her about a lot more incidents. All answers given obviously would be 100% true, therefore, you think you are "in the know." There are 2 sides to everything, maybe you should give the Danny the same courtesy as you have given Linda and ask him some of those questions. That would be the only fair way wouldn't it? That is why marriage counselors talk to both people, because they cannot get a fair or accurate take on the situation by only hearing one side. Enough already. We know who your source is and you are no longer credible.

[color=#000099]This has been very well said. Can you believe a hurt women, a women who these people say was railroaded, laughing in the face of her husband after he found her pregnancy test. /color]

edited for content.... you just got back, thus you need to mind your manners....

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 04:17 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 01:31 PM) [snapback]178013[/snapback]

[color=#000099]This has been very well said. Can you believe a hurt women, a women who these people say was railroaded, laughing in the face of her husband after he found her pregnancy test. /color]

I would like to know why do you have to sound so nasty? If Linda is as you say two faced than why do you care what she says? If 3ABN has not been hurt, financially, by all of this mess why do you care what is/has been said? My issue is, has always been, the response of 3ABN over this mess. If Linda did all they say she has, than I would say she was playing church all of this time. She had to be playing for a long time to fall for this Dr. so easily. 3ABN has responded in such a negative way. Why do they really care what is said on a message board? Even if letters

are sent to churches, pastors and whoever else why should they care if they are not being effected by it?

I believe it was done in spite for Linda to buy the pregnancy test. But it seems to me neither Danny or Linda were acting very mature in this breakup. It is sad to me neither of them acted like Christians and I believe they both need to repent. Since it was such a public breakup than there should be accountability. I don't believe they need to get on TV and cry like Jimmy Swaggert but it would be nice if something was done to show they both made mistakes. Than maybe people could move on.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 04:23 PM

where I come from that is called a "stank" attitude....

Posted by: Johann Feb 13 2007, 04:39 PM

QUOTE(wwjid @ Feb 13 2007, 08:13 PM) [snapback]177975[/snapback]

-- Have you ever been to 3abn. Have you even met any of the people involved. Do you have a clue as to who all they have to account to? I doubt if you can answer yes to even one of those questions.

There are a number of us here who have been to 3ABN, who have met the people involved. You have still not been able to convince us that you are telling nothing but the truth.

Then a number of people here have experienced things first-hand through another method. They have noticed Danny Shelton's own accounts and seen how he contradicts himself. How he covers up former statements with new cover-up stories. How he thwarts the facts to make them look like they verify the opposite. As I have often said, Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy!

Posted by: erik Feb 13 2007, 04:47 PM

To the supporters of Danny S.

Can i ask why this is such a big deal to Danny's case against Linda?

I mean when i read this for the first time months ago i said to myself that was a stupid thing to do on Linda's Part, and even stupider on Danny's part to make Big deal out of it.

I am mean i can not even count the number of times my wife has bought a PT for someone other then herself, and yes even before i knew her.

Did or did not Linda have a Daughter of child bearing age? Did or did not Linda have friends of Child bearing age. So to bring it forward has proof of an affair is a high risk move.

Now I will say that It was a very poor choice of ways to make a point to her husband, and i also thought when i read that was reason that she bought i can see why some would think it funny, but is was a very unwise move, But i am not sure it is worth 100 plus posts by the rest of us(yes i know i am guilty of posting about)

So if that is the best case you have of Linda's affair stay out of court it ,will just get linda a ruling that she was foolish not

that she is guilty of any thing. And if i were the judge hearing the case i would laugh the case out my back door and fine the moving party for wasting the courts time.

See i am being fair to both danny and linda in this post they both messed this one from were i sit.

ERik

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 04:51 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 04:23 PM) [snapback]178024[/snapback]

where I come from that is called a "stank" attitude....

Clay,

On another thread you provided us with some guidelines for internet posting on a public forum.

For those of us born and educated elsewhere, maybe a quick set of definitions for words used here on BlackSDA that are not easily found in the standard dictionary will help someone like myself interpret some of the posts and stop misconstruing words or coming up with our own definition in place of what the word commonly understood to mean?



nw

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 05:18 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 03:51 PM) [snapback]178029[/snapback]

Clay,

On another thread you provided us with some guidelines for internet posting on a public forum.

For those of us born and educated elsewhere, maybe a quick set of definitions for words used here on BlackSDA that are not easily found in the standard dictionary will help someone like myself interpret some of the posts and stop misconstruing words or coming up with our own definition in place of what the word commonly understood to mean?



nw

well "stank" means bad, terrible, nasty....

which thread was that?

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 13 2007, 05:19 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 13 2007, 05:47 PM) [snapback]178027[/snapback]

To the supporters of Danny S.

Can i ask why this is such a big deal to Danny's case against Linda?

I mean when i read this for the first time months ago i said to myself that was a stupid thing to do on Linda's Part, and even stupider on Danny's part to make Big deal out of it.

ERik

Erik,

I am not sure that is a good assessment. It didn't reappear at the top of this forum until recently. The last post prior to late January 2007 was back in August of 2006.

It is a rather small part of the whole picture. From a pro-Danny perspective it speaks to the issue of character. Quite a bit of what has been posted here is an attempt to define Danny's character. So, it seems a fair consideration, when one wants to get a complete picture, to consider acts that reveal character from both sides.

It isn't an issue of who was "stupider" as you put it. It could be argued that both were less than clear thinking in the whole situation, but in the thros of a divorce common sense doesn't always reign supreme.

- FHB

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 05:20 PM

For anyone that knows...how was it so easy for people to believe that Linda would have an affair? I mean why did not one reputable person stand up and say this isn't something Linda would do? What was in her character that would let people believe she was capable of this? She was in the ministry for at least 20 years, she knew many of the leaders in the church why did no one say anything? I can understand the employees but what about Mark Finley, Doug Batchelor etc.....no one on the board?

I know some say people said she had an ego, does that mean they thought she could have an affair. Didn't her and Danny seem to be in love? Could people see through their marriage? What was it????

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 05:51 PM

QUOTE

Johann'[b] date='Feb 13 2007, 03:39 PM' post='178025']

There are a number of us here who have been to 3ABN, who have met the people involved. You have still not been able to convince us that you are telling nothing but the truth.

Then a number of people here have experienced things first-hand through another method. They have noticed Danny Shelton's own accounts and seen how he contradicts himself. How he covers up former statements with new cover-up stories. How he thwarts the facts to make them look like they verify the opposite. As I have often said, **Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy!**

The man has dedicated his life for many years now to the ministry. He began as a young boy singing praises to God. Then after loosing his life long partner, wife, in a car accident, he continues to push on with his best foot forward. He re-marries to a shy lady, one who had justed faced some troubled times in a recent marriage. Not happy with what she had, she divorced. Here now are two small children hurting being divided between their father and mother. The big hearted man comes along, his soft heart falls for the shy lady, they marry, he unites with them as a family. The man receives a dream to build a television station that will reach the world. People are getting to know the saviour in ways that no one would have ever thought possible. The word of God is taken from house to house.

The shy lady soon becomes the opening show. She has aged but thinks the music video's of a 40+ lady will reach the young. Thousands are spent to supply her desire, her idea, of speading the gospel. The shyness has totally vainish, her self esteem is plenty gleaming. Now instead of conservative clothes, its bright pink purses and bright yellow sandels. Her face is saging and Botox is the answer. What happened to the shy little lady? The maid couldn't keep up the washing, the clothes were many, shoe racks had to be hung on every door. As the long ago shy lady was on her self made journey things all around got boring, just like her first marriage. She felt frisky and free but the man was still dedicating his life to Jesus. Then one day as he sat waiting for the, long ago shy lady, to return from her routine shopping spree, with a lonely tear running down his face. He knows that she is drulling over this other man and don't know how to talk or communicate with her anymore. Praying he waits for her to return. He hears the roar of her "Up Bound" vehicle screech into the garage. She comes rushing into the house heading for the bathroom. Quickly she throws the packages on the counter. He makes his way in to the bags to see if by chance, this time she had brought some food home. He was tired of cereal. He looks in the bag and to his hurt and surprise. A PREGNANCY TEST!!!! His heart is broken, his family shattered for he knew that it could not be him. She exits the bathroom and begins LAUGHING IN HIS FACE. He knows that now there is no doubt that her friend is much more. YOU DON'T GET PREGNANT HOLDING HANDS! She laughs and taughts him, leaves him, and wants a divorce.

Johann How can you think that what you have said Danny Shelton is Danny Sheton's worse enemy. I would have to say that maybe it was the once, long ago, shy lady, that has been unhappy.

I have mentioned no names here and surely this will not get me suspended again. But it is the other side of Unauthorized History of the PREGNANCY TEST.

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 05:55 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 03:51 PM) [snapback]178044[/snapback]

The man has dedicated his life for many years now to the ministry. He began as a young boy singing praises to God. Then after loosing his life long partner, wife, in a car accident, he continues to push on with his best foot forward. He re-marries to a shy lady, one who had justed faced some troubled times in a recent marriage. Not happy with what she had, she divorced. Here now are two small children hurting being divided between their father and mother. The big hearted man comes along, his soft heart falls for the shy lady, they marry, he unites with them as a family. The man receives a dream to build a television station that will reach the world.

People are getting to know the saviour in ways that no one would have ever thought possible. The word of God is taken from house to house.

The shy lady soon becomes the opening show. She has aged but thinks the music video's of a 40+ lady will reach the young. Thousands are spent to supply her desire, her idea, of speading the gospel. The shyness has totally vainish, her self esteem is plenty gleaming. Now instead of conservative clothes, its bright pink purses

and bright yellow sandels. Her face is saging and Botox is the answer. What happened to the shy little lady? The maid couldn't keep up the washing, the clothes were many, shoe racks had to be hung on every door. As the long ago shy lady was on her self made journey things all around got boring, just like her first marriage. She felt frisky and free but the man was still dedicating his life to Jesus. Then one day as he sat waiting for the, long ago shy lady, to return from her routine shopping spree, with a lonely tear running down his face. He knows that she is drulling over this other man and don't know how to talk or communicate with her anymore. Praying he waits for her to return. He hears the roar of her "Up Bound" vehicle screech into the garage. She comes rushing into the house heading for the bathroom. Quickly she throws the packages on the counter. He makes his way in to the bags to see if by chance, this time she had brought some food home. He was tired of cereal. He looks in the bag and to his hurt and surprise. A PREGNANCY TEST!!!! His heart is broken, his family shattered for he knew that it could not be him. She exits the bathroom and begins LAUGHING IN HIS FACE. He knows that now there is no doubt that her friend is much more. YOU DON'T GET PREGNANT HOLDING HANDS! She laughs and taughts him, leaves him, and wants a divorce.

Johann How can you think that what you have said Danny Shelton is Danny Sheton's worse enemy. I would have to say that maybe it was the once, long ago, shy lady, that has been unhappy.

I have mentioned no names here and surely this will not get me suspended again. But it is the other side of Unauthorized History of the PREGNANCY TEST.

Not that this will change anything but...I thought her first marriage ended because she was becoming an SDA?

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:01 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 13 2007, 04:55 PM) [snapback]178046[/snapback]

Not that this will change anything but...I thought her first marriage ended because she was becoming an SDA?

****Edited for content****

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 06:02 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 04:01 PM) [snapback]178047[/snapback]

Edited for Content

On 3ABN it was said that he was not happy with her becoming SDA so he wanted her out....

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:03 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 13 2007, 04:55 PM) [snapback]178046[/snapback]

Not that this will change anything but...I thought her first marriage ended because she was becoming an SDA?

Lets face it Uncle Sam we all have sins and past that haunt us. I heard that the requirement for heaven is to be a sinner and everyone that I know qualifies.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 06:04 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 05:51 PM) [snapback]178044[/snapback]

I have mentioned no names here and surely this will not get me suspended again. But it is the other side of Unauthorized History of the PREGNANCY TEST.

The spirit you manifest is what will get you evicted... and seeing you cannot avoid being what you are, I'm betting the under on how long you stick around from this point...

The real question here is what has Linda done to you that has you serving up haterade by the gallon? Did she steal Danny from you 20+ years ago? Because you have some seriously deep-seated issues bordering on the sociopathic where she is concerned... and it may behoove you to seek some professional help in this regard...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:06 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 13 2007, 05:02 PM) [snapback]178048[/snapback]

On 3ABN it was said that he was not happy with her becoming SDA so he wanted her out...

I don't know what you heard but there was more anger involved than him at her! Who suffers though is the children, every time the children are the ones hurt. Oh, but no one ever thinks about the children its just me, myself and I. It continued on until now well husband #2. Then the son well that is the results of divorce and shyness turning into look at me.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 06:08 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:01 PM) [snapback]178047[/snapback]

Edited for Content

Were you there? Did you see it? Did you place the 911 call? Or is this another thing you heard... come clean, glenetta; why are you so angry with Linda? Your attempts to claim to be an objective observer are an abject failure.

From your comments, it is plain you feel that Linda has wronged you on a personal level... so tell us... what's your beef with Linda?

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 06:09 PM

Also, if she was so worried about being younger why would she "run off" with such an old man???? My mother did much of the same when she was Linda's age. But she left with a man half her age. Her and my dad divorced but about two years later my mother came to her senses and they remarried. That is why I cannot figure out why Danny was so quick to divorce her. If her behavior was something out of the ordinary why didn't he wait to see if she would realize what she was doing. My parents were not even really Christians when my dad decided to forgive my mother and take her back. Maybe if Danny would have given her time and space they could have worked this out....

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:13 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 05:04 PM) [snapback]178050[/snapback]

The spirit you manifest is what will get you evicted... and seeing you cannot avoid being what you are, I'm betting the under on how long you stick around from this point...

The real question here is what has Linda done to you that has you serving up haterade by the gallon? Did she steal Danny from you 20+ years ago? Because you have some seriously deep-seated issues bordering on the sociopathic where she is concerned... and it may behoove you to seek some professional help in this regard...

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr J. I never metioned any names in the above Unauthorized History of the Pregnancy Test. And I wonder "what has D. done to you that has you serving up haterade" by the gallon? I don't hate anyone. I do feel that other people need their opinion respected as you think yours should be. I am not a sociopathic and I do not need profession help. How is it that you can say such a think to people and its ok? Have you considered your own suggestion sir?

Posted by: erik Feb 13 2007, 06:18 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 02:51 PM) [snapback]178044[/snapback]

The man has dedicated his life for many years now to the ministry. He began as a young boy singing praises to God. Then after loosing his life long partner, wife, in a car accident, he continues to push on with his best foot forward. He re-marries to a shy lady, one who had justed faced some troubled times in a recent marriage. Not happy with what she had, she divorced. Here now are two small children hurting being divided between their father and mother. The big hearted man comes along, his soft heart falls for the shy lady, they marry, he unites with them as a family. The man receives a dream to build a television station that will reach the world. People are getting to know the saviour in ways that no one would have ever thought possible. The word of God is taken from house to house.

The shy lady soon becomes the opening show. She has aged but thinks the music video's of a 40+ lady will reach the young. Thousands are spent to supply her desire, her idea, of speading the gospel. The shyness has totally vainish, her self esteem is plenty gleaming. Now instead of conservative clothes, its bright pink purses

and bright yellow sandels. Her face is saging and Botox is the answer. What happened to the shy little lady? The maid couldn't keep up the washing, the clothes were many, shoe racks had to be hung on every door. As the long ago shy lady was on her self made journey things all around got boring, just like her first marriage. She felt frisky and free but the man was still dedicating his life to Jesus. Then one day as he sat waiting for the, long ago shy lady, to return from her routine shopping spree, with a lonely tear running down his face. He knows that she is drulling over this other man and don't know how to talk or communicate with her anymore. Praying he waits for her to return. He hears the roar of her "Up Bound" vehicle screech into the garage. She comes rushing into the house heading for the bathroom. Quickly she throws the packages on the counter. He makes his way in to the bags to see if by chance, this time she had brought some food home. He was tired of cereal. He looks in the bag and to his hurt and surprise. A PREGNANCY TEST!!!! His heart is broken, his family shattered for he knew that it could not be him. She exits the bathroom and begins LAUGHING IN HIS FACE. He knows that now there is no doubt that her friend is much more. YOU DON'T GET PREGNANT HOLDING HANDS! She laughs and taughts him, leaves him, and wants a divorce.

Johann How can you think that what you have said Danny Shelton is Danny Sheton's worse enemy. I would have to say that maybe it was the once, long ago, shy lady, that has been unhappy.

I have mentioned no names here and surely this will not get me suspended again. But it is the other side of Unauthorized History of the PREGNANCY TEST.

If i read this right you are saying that she brought home PT instead of FOOD?

Good Grief if Danny was Smart enough to set a tv network with the lords help then i would think he is smart enough to drive to the store and buy some food, i mean this getting weird.

I know that this post was suppose to make me feel bad for danny, but know we are suppose to believe that Linda ran danny, is this best defense of Danny we can find Accuse Linda of every thing Danny is Supposed to be Guilt of?

Now mind you this is the brother of man that has Successfully hidden away his preying on men younger then himself for thirty years. I am lost(not really) either danny is smart or stupid not both. at the same time.

Glenetta please decide which you want Danny to be.

Secondly were you in the house when this event happenned? yes or no.

If no you are taking someones word for what happenned.

If yes then you are either Linda(i personal do not think you are linda) or Danny, or a unnamed person that would have heard the story has it happened.

So can please let the rest of us which of the above you are?

erik

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 06:18 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 04:06 PM) [snapback]178051[/snapback]

Keep talkin'. I want to see just how desperate you are.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 13 2007, 06:20 PM

****Glenetta, speculation is not allowed about Danny's or Linda's first marriages. Yes that will get you suspended. I am edited out those portions, please don't try to slide them in again.****

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 06:20 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 05:51 PM) [snapback]178044[/snapback]

The man has dedicated his life for many years now to the ministry. He began as a young boy singing praises to God. Then after loosing his life long partner, wife, in a car accident, he continues to push on with his best foot forward. He re-marries to a shy lady, one who had justed faced some troubled times in a recent marriage. Not happy with what she had, she divorced. Here now are two small children hurting being divided between their father and mother. The big hearted man comes along, his soft heart falls for the shy lady, they marry, he unites with them as a family. The man receives a dream to build a television station that will reach the world. People are getting to know the saviour in ways that no one would have ever thought possible. The word of God is taken from house to house.

The shy lady soon becomes the opening show. She has aged but thinks the music video's of a 40+ lady will reach the young. Thousands are spent to supply her desire, her idea, of speading the gospel. The shyness has totally vainish, her self esteem is plenty gleaming. Now instead of conservative clothes, its bright pink purses and bright yellow sandels. Her face is saging and Botox is the answer. What happened to the shy little lady? The maid couldn't keep up the washing, the clothes were many, shoe racks had to be hung on every door. As the long ago shy lady was on her self made journey things all around got boring, just like her first marriage. She felt frisky and free but the man was still dedicating his life to Jesus. Then one day as he sat waiting for the, long ago shy lady, to return from her routine shopping spree, with a lonely tear running down his face. He knows that she is drulling over this other man and don't know how to talk or communicate with her anymore. Praying he waits for her to return. He hears the roar of her "Up Bound" vehicle screech into the garage. She comes rushing into the house heading for the bathroom. Quickly she throws the packages on the counter. He makes his way in to the bags to see if by chance, this time she had brought some food home. He was tired of cereal. He looks in the bag and to his hurt and surprise. A PREGNANCY TEST!!!! His heart is broken, his family shattered for he knew that it could not be him. She exits the bathroom and begins LAUGHING IN HIS FACE. He knows that now there is no doubt that her friend is much more. YOU DON'T GET PREGNANT HOLDING HANDS! She laughs and taughts him, leaves him, and wants a divorce.

Johann How can you think that what you have said Danny Shelton is Danny Sheton's worse enemy. I would have to say that maybe it was the once, long ago, shy lady, that has been unhappy.

I have mentioned no names here and surely this will not get me suspended again. But it is the other side of Unauthorized History of the PREGNANCY TEST.



Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:30 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 13 2007, 05:09 PM) [snapback]178053[/snapback]

Also, if she was so worried about being younger why would she "run off" with such an old man???? My mother did much of the same when she was Linda's age. But she left with a man half her age. Her and my dad divorced but about two years later my mother came to her senses and they remarried. That is why I cannot figure out why Danny was so quick to divorce her. If her behavior was something out of the ordinary why didn't he wait to see if she would realize what she was doing. My parents were not even really Christians when my dad decided to forgive my mother and take her back. Maybe if Danny would have given her time and space they could have worked this out....

D. did give her time and lots of it. He begged her to give up the third party. They had councilors evaluate the problems and then L. decided that she wouldn't go any more. I question now, Was she pregnant? You don't buy a test for no reason. L left D and would not return home. He sent her roses, he called and told her he loved her, please come home he would say. Nothing would work. It was stated from her that she could wear her heels when with this fellow. See the shoes were important stuff, that goes along with feeling fisky and free, she went from shy lady to, out there.

D was as quick as L wanted with the divorce. D. ask her to get her hormones check and she refused that also. She wanted out of the marriage. So after time and time of being rejected he gave the lady he loved, then, what she wanted but apparently the third party didn't want her. Now it just her and the dog Sheltie.

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 13 2007, 05:20 PM) [snapback]178061[/snapback]



Nuggie there has to be a bitter women behind this killing of 3ABN face it. There is too much that is on here that only L. could be passing.

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 06:31 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:30 PM) [snapback]178062[/snapback]

D. did give her time and lots of it. He begged her to give up the third party. They had councilors evaluate the problems and then L. decided that she wouldn't go any more. I question now, Was she pregnant? You don't buy a test for no reason. L left D and would not return home. He sent her roses, he called and told her he loved her, please come home he would say. Nothing would work. It was stated from her that she could wear her heels when with this fellow. See the shoes were important stuff, that goes along with feeling fisky and free, she went from shy lady to, out there.

D was as quick as L wanted with the divorce. D. ask her to get her hormones check and she refused that also. She wanted out of the marriage. So after time and time of being rejected he gave the lady he loved, then, what she wanted but apparently the third party didn't want her. Now it just her and the dog Sheltie.

Nuggie there has to be a bitter women behind this killing of 3ABN face it. There is too much that is on here that only L. could be passing.

You sure have an awful lot of inside personal information...or are you just making this up as you go along...

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 06:33 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:13 PM) [snapback]178056[/snapback]

Mr J. I never metioned any names in the above Unauthorized History of the Pregnancy Test. And I wonder "what has D. done to you that has you serving up haterade" by the gallon? I don't hate anyone. I do feel that other people need their opinion respected as you think yours should be. I am not a sociopathic and I do not need profession help. How is it that you can say such a think to people and its ok? Have you considered your own suggestion sir?

I haven't been hating on Danny... I havent referred to him as Janus... I havent targeted him or speculated about how his first marriage ended... and your omission of names doesnt matter; in the context of all of the comments you have made regarding Linda, it is plain that it is she you were referring to and that you have a great deal of personal animosity towards her... and that animosity makes you take on sociopathic traits when you discuss her... Mental health professionals in the house, please correct me if I'm mistaken... but I dont think I am.

The question remains... looking at your portrait of Danny, you sound like one who was far more intimate than an employee in your effusive adulation... is that why you harbor so much enmity toward Linda?

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:35 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 13 2007, 05:20 PM) [snapback]178060[/snapback]

******Glenetta, speculation is not allowed about Danny's or Linda's first marriages. Yes that will get you suspended. I am edited out those portions, please don't try to slide them in again.******

Help me I am confused. Would you please tell me here or in a PM what can I talk about. The courts have records di.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 05:33 PM) [snapback]178064[/snapback]

I haven't been hating on Danny... I havent referred to him as Janus... I havent targeted him or speculated about how his first marriage ended... and your omission of names doesnt matter; in the context of all of the comments you have made regarding Linda, it is plain that it is she you were referring to and that you have a great deal of personal animosity towards her... and that animosity makes you take on sociopathic traits when you discuss her... Mental health professionals in the house, please correct me if I'm mistaken... but I dont think I am.

The question remains... looking at your portrait of Danny, you sound like one who was far more intimate than an employee in your effusive adulation... is that why you harbor so much enmity toward Linda?

In His service,
Mr. J

Whatever!

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 06:36 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 04:30 PM) [snapback]178062[/snapback]

D..

Keep talkin'.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 13 2007, 06:42 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:35 PM) [snapback]178065[/snapback]

Help me I am confused.
Whatever!

 Finally a confession, and honest answer... Now we know for true, from your own mouth, you are confused. As wwdj would say, thank you. 

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 06:44 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 13 2007, 06:42 PM) [snapback]178067[/snapback]

 Finally a confession, and honest answer... Now we know for true, from your own mouth, you are confused. As wwdj would say, thank you. 

  That's the best post of the day!  

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 06:44 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 13 2007, 06:18 PM) [snapback]178057[/snapback]

..... I am lost(not really) either danny is smart or stupid not both. at the same time.

Glenetta please decide which you want Danny to be.

Secondly were you in the house when this event happended? yes or no.

If no you are taking someones word for what happended.

If yes then you are either Linda(i personal do not think you are linda) or Danny, or a unnamed person that would have heard the story has it happened.

So can please let the rest of us which of the above you are?

erik

Glenetta,

Would you please answer Erik's questions. Or at least identify how you know about this incident unless you can think of a possibility other than what Erik proposed?

nw

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 13 2007, 06:44 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:30 PM) [snapback]178062[/snapback]

D. did give her time and lots of it. He begged her to give up the third party. They had councilors evaluate the problems and then L. decided that she wouldn't go any more. I question now, Was she pregnant? You don't buy a test for no reason. L left D and would not return home. He sent her roses, he called and told her he loved her, please come home he would say. Nothing would work. It was stated from her that she could wear her heels when with this fellow. See the shoes were important stuff, that goes along with feeling fisky and free, she went from shy lady to, out there.

D was as quick as L wanted with the divorce. D. ask her to get her hormones check and she refused that also. She wanted out of the marriage. So after time and time of being rejected he gave the lady he loved, then, what she wanted but apparently the third party didn't want her. Now it just her and the dog Sheltie.

Nuggie there has to be a bitter women behind this killing of 3ABN face it. There is too much that is on here that only L. could be passing.

It is too late now. My point was/is if Danny thought enough of it being Linda's hormones, he should have realized they can make you do crazy things. The only timeline I know of everything from start to finish was months...Why not just seperate and let the divorce come later if ever, unless one wants to remarry.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 06:45 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 13 2007, 05:31 PM) [snapback]178063[/snapback]

You sure have an awful lot of inside personal information...or are you just making this up as you go along...

Making things up as I go along? Inside personal information? I will tell you there were many who were involved in trying to help L reconcile with D. but she just flat refused to do it. That is why is so awful to see all this bad stuff floating around about D. and the family when it is apparent to many that she will get fame, some way, some how. What a sad thing TV can do to some people. Really she was once a shy lady. Withdrawn wouldn't even sing her own songs. Then little by little she became what she is today. I don't feel like poor L. She has taken and taken and

manipulated people to give her exactly what she wants for a long time now. Look at her team they dig dirt, sling mud, and believe me if a fly could speak we would know all about pride. What a way to get attention and revenge. She actually thought that she should remain VP with a man on the side. The board did right by letting her go. I support that decision 100%. D. had no option but to give her up to the other man.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 13 2007, 06:45 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 13 2007, 06:33 PM) [snapback]178064[/snapback]

The question remains... looking at your portrait of Danny, you sound like one who was far more intimate than an employee in your effusive adulation... is that why you harbor so much enmity toward Linda?

In His service,
Mr. J

Very interesting questions... 

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 06:50 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:45 PM) [snapback]178071[/snapback]

Making things up as I go along? Inside personal information? I will tell you there were many who were involved in trying to help L reconcile with D. but she just flat refused to do it. That is why is so awful to see all this bad stuff floating around about D. and the family when it is apparent to many that she will get fame, some way, some how. What a sad thing TV can do to some people. Really she was once a shy lady. Withdrawn wouldn't even sing her own songs. Then little by little she became what she is today. I don't feel like poor L. She has taken and taken and manipulated people to give her exactly what she wants for a long time now. Look at her team they dig dirt, sling mud, and believe me if a fly could speak we would know all about pride. What a way to get attention and revenge. She actually thought that she should remain VP with a man on the side. The board did right by letting her go. I support that decision 100%. D. had no option but to give her up to the other man.

Gurl, you got issues...lots of 'em... 

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 06:53 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 13 2007, 05:18 PM) [snapback]178034[/snapback]

well "stank" means bad, terrible, nasty....

which thread was that?

The one about posts from BlackSDA showing up at Save3abn.

You said

"internet 101: if you don't want to see it all over the internet, don't post it..."

internet 101a: put in an email anything you wouldn't mind seeing in a daily newspaper....

internet 101b: unless the person can be verified never assume that who you are talking to is actually who they say they are...."

good advice.

nw

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 13 2007, 06:56 PM

QUOTE

QUOTE

Ex3ABNViewer Posted Today, 06:44 PM

Why not just separate and let the divorce come later if ever, unless one wants to remarry.

Exactly!!!



Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 07:03 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 13 2007, 05:50 PM) [snapback]178073[/snapback]

Gurl, you got issues...lots of 'em... 😊

It is not right that all the attention is at D. The truth is it takes two to tango. L is not an innocent shy little lady and **she has issues**. People do not place correct application to the accusations at the ministry. L was there, she was VP and if there was any wrong doing her face should be shinning out as well. Even in marriage there is a share of assets and liabilities. Same with the ministry if there was wrong going on then she Linda is as much responsible today as she was then She was VP.! The pregnancy test should make it really clear to a lot of people you don't play this kind of joke on anyone at a time when the road is as ruff as it was. This was a serious concern of hers or why would she of purchased it and bring it home.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 07:10 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 13 2007, 05:53 PM) [snapback]178074[/snapback]

The one about posts from BlackSDA showing up at Save3abn.

You said

"internet 101: if you don't want to see it all over the internet, don't post it..."

internet 101a: put in an email anything you wouldn't mind seeing in a daily newspaper....

internet 101b: unless the person can be verified never assume that who you are talking to is actually who they say they are...."

good advise.

nw

ahhhh yes.... I wanted to make sure that was the one to which you were referring...

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 07:10 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 07:03 PM) [snapback]178077[/snapback]

It is not right that all the attention is at D. The truth is it takes two to tango. L is not an innocent shy little lady and **she has issues**. People do not place correct application to the accusations at the ministry. L was there, she was VP and if there was any wrong doing her face should be shinning out as well. Even in marriage there is a share of assets and liabilities. Same with the ministry if there was wrong going on then she Linda is as much responsible today as she was then She was VP.! The pregnancy test should make it really clear to a lot of people you don't play this kind of joke on anyone at a time when the road is as ruff as it was. This was a serious concern of hers or why would she of purchased it and bring it home.

Do you get this worked up when you think about the allegations against Tommy Shelton...or is it only Linda that gets your blood pressure up?

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 07:14 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Feb 13 2007, 05:56 PM) [snapback]178075[/snapback]

Why not just seperate and let the divorce come later if ever, unless one wants to remarry.

Exactly!!! 

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not?

See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L prophesy for D.

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 13 2007, 06:10 PM) [snapback]178080[/snapback]

Do you get this worked up when you think about the allegations against Tommy Shelton...or is it only Linda that gets your blood pressure up?

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 07:15 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 07:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not? See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L prophecy for D.

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



Are you a skater by any chance...'cause you're awfully good at slipping and sliding past stuff you don't want to answer.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 13 2007, 07:17 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 07:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not? See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L prophecy for D.

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



Wow no where have I read or heard it was her idea to get the divorce. Well gee if I was remarried I sure in the heck wouldn't be living here while they lived somewhere else. You just totally do not make sense at all. I was referring to D in my post as not getting the divorce & remarrying.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 13 2007, 07:20 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 07:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not? See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L

prophecy for D.

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



Glenetta, you need to stop hatin on Linda Shelton. 🙄

Ok so she was married to Danny and you were not. So, she got the spot light as Mrs. S for over twenty years and is still respected by millions, in spite of you people trying to drag her through the mud, while you simply had to wait in the wings. So, Danny married somebody else the first chance he got... sheesh, get over it and move on with your own life. I feel sorry for you gurl, cuz Linda has gotten on with her life while you're still waitin. 🙄

And btw, I got a husband so I ain't in hover mode...

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 07:21 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 05:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

...

Keep talkin'. The pieces are coming together very nicely. Another post or two, and I'll be sure where you fit into the puzzle.

Posted by: Clay Feb 13 2007, 07:23 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 13 2007, 06:20 PM) [snapback]178087[/snapback]

Glenetta, you need to stop hatin on Linda Shelton. 🙄

Ok so she was married to Danny and you were not. So, she got the spot light as Mrs. S for over twenty years and is still respected by millions, in spite of you people trying to drag her through the mud, while you simply had to wait in the wings. So, Danny married somebody else the first chance he got... sheesh, get over it and move on with your own life. I feel sorry for you gurl, cuz Linda has gotten on with her life while you're still waitin. 🙄

she does sound like she is carrying a flame for Danny doesn't she? Unrequited love perhaps?

Posted by: daylily Feb 13 2007, 07:35 PM

But it seems like she would be angry at Danny, not Linda if that were the case.

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 13 2007, 07:37 PM

QUOTE(daylily @ Feb 13 2007, 07:35 PM) [snapback]178092[/snapback]

But it seems like she would be angry at Danny, not Linda if that were the case.

Not necessarily...remember the astronaut diaper lady?

Posted by: daylily Feb 13 2007, 07:45 PM

Oh yeah! I forgot about her 🙄

Posted by: glenetta Feb 13 2007, 07:55 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Feb 13 2007, 06:17 PM) [snapback]178085[/snapback]

Wow no where have I read or heard it was her idea to get the divorce. Well gee if I was remarried I sure in the heck wouldn't be living here while they lived somewhere else. You just totally do not make sense at all. I was referring to D in my post as not getting the divorce & remarrying.

There are all kinds out there Hercheys99.

edited for content..... glenetta this is your last warning this evening, if I have to edit another one of your posts your privileges will be suspended for 48 hours....

Posted by: Ralph Feb 13 2007, 07:58 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 05:55 PM) [snapback]178103[/snapback]

There are all kinds out there Hercheys99.

Bingo! But I won't give your identity away in any post.

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 13 2007, 07:59 PM

This is new to me...So he lives here too? Do they live together? If they are married why hide it? Earlier I thought it was stated that she was alone with her dog?????

Posted by: daylily Feb 13 2007, 08:02 PM

Didn't Glenetta or somebody just say the doctor didn't want her and it was jsut her and Sheltie?

Posted by: gracetoyou Feb 13 2007, 08:27 PM

Glenetta, can you give us any insight as to why Brenda Walsh, in the books Mending Broken People and From Battered to Blessed, consistently refers to Linda Shelton as "the vice-president" instead of calling her by her name, Linda Shelton?

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 13 2007, 08:36 PM

We are all missing a big point in this debate and it's one I've already made!

Vastecomies are not 100% effective. Over time, a man can be fertile again. You may read my previous post for more information.

HOTY

Posted by: Bystander Feb 13 2007, 08:39 PM

QUOTE(daylily @ Feb 13 2007, 07:02 PM) [snapback]178107[/snapback]

Didn't Glenetta or somebody just say the doctor didn't want her and it was jsut her and Sheltie?

I think Glenetta was trying to say, in a nice way, that he didn't want to MARRY her.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 08:46 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 08:39 PM) [snapback]178113[/snapback]

I think Glenetta was trying to say, in a nice way, that he didn't want to MARRY her.

Glenetta gots a mouth that works... so let her state her specific meaning... that way you don't have to think...

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 13 2007, 07:59 PM) [snapback]178105[/snapback]

This is new to me...So he lives here too? Do they live together? If they are married why hide it? Earlier I thought it was stated that she was alone with her dog?????

Don't believe the hype, Sam.. a woman scorned and all that...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: calvin Feb 13 2007, 08:47 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 06:55 PM) [snapback]178103[/snapback]

There are all kinds out there Hercheys99.

edited for content..... glenetta this is your last warning this evening, if I have to edit another one of your posts your privileges will be suspended for 48 hours....

No, the suspension will be permanent. We are not going keep coming behind you editing post.

Posted by: erik Feb 14 2007, 12:13 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 13 2007, 05:39 PM) [snapback]178113[/snapback]

I think Glenetta was trying to say, in a nice way, that he didn't want to MARRY her.

I have a set of questions for Glenetta and Bystander? (or any one else that knows the answers)

If the Doc. did not want to marry Linda, why not?

Why would any of you care if she did marry the Doc, your man is already remarried?

would linda marrying the Doc. prove there was an affair?

Who saw this ring on Linda finger at the GC(would like names), and Is there Pic's to Prove that the Ring exists?

Why do the rest of need to know if the Doc did not want to marry linda, is that some sort of a slam on linda?

Maybe the Doc was just showing some kinda of wisdom, that your Man danny could not by not getting married?

OR is all this talk about the Doc not wanting to marry linda just a bunch of hot air or gossip you pick the term you like best? (but, wait is that not what you accuse the rest of us of doing?)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 14 2007, 12:40 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 13 2007, 08:42 AM) [snapback]177917[/snapback]

Go away. You've been lurking here trying to confuse things and muddy the waters. If you're here looking for the facts, I'm Pope Benedict.

So the truth about what really happened **with the pregnancy test** came from Linda. Does that mean she was colluding with Church of God members for two decades scaring up allegations against Tommy so that Danny would get in trouble for trying to cover for his brother? Yeah, that's it. Or if not, maybe not **all** the stories came from Linda.

Have you even read save3abn.com? I don't know how anyone could go through that information, which is documented and firsthand, and still believe that Danny doesn't have something to hide. **I would love to see evidence that would exonerate Danny and Co. from the charges of embezzlement, covering up sex abuse, and character assassination**--not because I'm a fan of 3ABN, but because people I love are fans and I don't want to see them or my church, my spiritual home, hurt. **All we get from anyone connected with 3ABN is stonewalling, dissembling and denial**--lies, like yours.

If there's truth on 3ABN's side, let's have it, for God's sake. Don't you know what this is going to do to the church?

I came back to these boards looking for better information so I could be prepared to deal with in my churches when it becomes more public. It doesn't help when people like you come in here prepared to defend Danny no matter what the cost--even your own integrity. I'm still new, but I feel that on blacksda, honest seekers are

welcome here, if they're willing to do the reading to catch up--but not people who came with their minds made up over against any facts.

Your logic doesn't follow normal rules. The rules for your logic are, defend Danny and make Linda look bad no matter whose words you have to twist. **It's called lying, I see you doing it over and over again** in this thread and others--so get away.

SoulEspresso, I really like your style! It is obvious that you have carefully studied the information here and on save3abn.

Posted by: erik Feb 14 2007, 12:43 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 04:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not? See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L prophecy for D.

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



Glenetta,

You seem to have new information you are bringing to light that Linda forced the divorce issue?

Can you please tell us based on what grounds that happened, and any proof you might have would be awesome, because after nicely blaming Linda for two plus years the 3abn is now saying she wanted and asked and made Danny divorce her???????????

erik

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 14 2007, 12:47 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 11:00 AM) [snapback]177971[/snapback]

Please Watchbird, let up..your words slay me...There is only ONE person? Sorry, there were 2 in that room. You were told what Danny said and/or what his reactions were by her. You are also saying there is still chance that what was told to you about why the test was purchased, could be a lie. Talk about naive.....

Did you hear Danny's part of the story? If so, what is it?

QUOTE

No one can possibly leap to generalizations as well as you. You have done it for months. Not to mention, common sense says, if you called to ask her about one thing, you asked her about a lot more incidents. All answers given obviously would be 100% true, therefore, you think you are "in the know." There are 2 sides to everything, maybe you should give **the Danny** the same courtesy as you have given Linda and ask him some of those questions. That would be the only fair way wouldn't it? That is why marriage counselors talk to both people, because they cannot get a fair or accurate take on the situation by only hearing one side. Enough already. We know who your source is and you are no longer credible.

The Danny? This is a new one. Is that like The Donald? Sure hope he doesn't go for the same lame combover.

 Sorry wwd, I just couldn't resist. We all make mistakes and sometimes it's just fun to playfully exploit them. Turnabout is fair play so I'll be waiting to see what you have in store for me.

Posted by: inga Feb 14 2007, 01:28 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 13 2007, 07:14 PM) [snapback]178081[/snapback]

Exactly my point. Why did she insist that they get a divorce. Then there is L. showing up at the GC with the wedding band on. Did she re-marry? I don't know, but why would she wear a wedding band if not? See I guess that after the pregnancy test was discovered and **she told D.** that all he would ever get was a worn out dish rag, she was quite surprised when the new Mrs. S came along, 2 years later and did not match her-L prophecy for D.

We are on a certain topic and I have learned what happens when you get



And **why should we believe you** about the so-called "wedding band" when eye witnesses **saw no wedding band?**

You seem to know an awfully lot of things for someone not directly involved with Danny Shelton. Maybe you are a fly and were on the wall when these conversations took place? 

Posted by: daylily Feb 14 2007, 07:00 AM

The doctor didn't want to marry Linda but she had a wedding band on at the GC? You are not making any sense. Just what ARE your accusations?

Posted by: Grace Feb 14 2007, 07:23 AM

QUOTE(daylily @ Feb 14 2007, 01:00 PM) [snapback]178200[/snapback]

The doctor didn't want to marry Linda but she had a wedding band on at the GC? You are not making any sense. Just what ARE your accusations?

 Yes, please, clarify!... And I'd like to have a straight answer, if you're able to give it, which i doubt.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 14 2007, 08:05 AM

All these questions for Glenetta. If she gets banned from here we'll never know the "truth". 😊

Posted by: sister Feb 14 2007, 09:35 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 14 2007, 08:05 AM) [snapback]178211[/snapback]

All these questions for Glenetta. If she gets banned from here we'll never know the "truth". 😊



Posted by: Clay Feb 14 2007, 11:00 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 14 2007, 07:05 AM) [snapback]178211[/snapback]

All these questions for Glenetta. If she gets banned from here we'll never know the "truth". 😊

that would be an oxymoron.... glenetta and truth? Come on Richard.... its more like glenetta and smoke & mirrors.... she is unregistered so I guess we will never know what else would have come from that warped view....

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 14 2007, 11:02 AM

wwjd hasn't been on here in some hours but neither have I, so I'll reply to this.

wwjd, if you're still there, please read this carefully and be sure you understand it before you reply. I'm trying to be considerate.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 13 2007, 12:13 PM) [snapback]177975[/snapback]

charges of embezzlement? Right, Gailon would know...There has not been any evidence whatsoever of embezzlement. Maybe you are confusing Danny with Gailon. A man starts his own website. He is not a church leader, he is not an attorney and he is not a licensed investigator. But if it is there (the site) then it must be true. How, gullible & naive is that? Have you ever been to 3abn. Have you even met any of the people involved. Do you have a clue as to who all they have to account to? I doubt if you can answer yes to even one of those questions.

Lots of people here have been there and see it, firsthand, as Johann noted. His accounts are eyewitness--does that make him gullible? I want to believe the people at 3ABN are accountable to the same Person we're all accountable to--I'm writing this, because I'm accountable.

The truth is, unless you see something with your own eyes, or clear evidence to that something, it's hearsay. That's a legal term--it means, you told me, or I told you. None of us can possibly be eyewitness to everything we need to see or know in life--we have to rely on hearsay. A lot of what's in history books is old hearsay.

Some of what's on that save3abn.com website is hearsay. It's testimony of eyewitnesses, much of it, but it's hearsay **to us** until it's established in court.

Let's say that I say Danny is innocent and you say he's guilty. On what evidence? If I saw firsthand that Linda was having an affair (with a hidden camera or whatever), until I establish it with undoctored evidence, or my word is accepted in a court of law, all my words are hearsay to you. If you saw firsthand that Linda was not having an affair, until you establish it with undoctored evidence, your words are all hearsay to me.

For now, we have to rely on hearsay for our judgments. I have to rely on hearsay to help the people I'm spiritually responsible for through the upcoming crisis. I'm trying to weigh the hearsay--and although there are attitude problems on all sides, my own included, well ... I see evidence on one hand, and no evidence, or inadequate evidence on the other.

As for gullibility, that really depends on your point of view. If you happen to believe the wrong person's account of an event you did not see, does that make you gullible? I could accuse you of gullibility for believing something I don't, but unless you saw it yourself, my accusation means nothing.

Instead I accused you of lying because you took on Danny's tactic of saying, "*See? She bought a pregnancy test! She's lying! She had an affair! So all these accusations that I swept child molestation allegations under the rug, and that I used 3ABN money for personal and family items--those are all lies too!*" Danny has been using Linda's alleged affair for a smokescreen against all these other accusations. That doesn't mean any of them are true--it means Danny is either stupid or has something to hide--**based on available evidence**.

Maybe it was unkind to accuse you of lying--so I'm sorry if I was wrong and if I hurt your feelings. But at the very least, you have leaped to conclusions and said some unloving, unChristlike, un-WWJD things about some of these people here, without actually reading what they're saying. Let me demonstrate with one of my own posts.

QUOTE

As to your accusation of character assassination....I couldn't stop laughing...that was how many sentences after you just basically called Danny ,an embezzler, hiding sexual abuse, stonewalling, denial, dissembling and character assassination.

Now, either you're not paying attention, or you're deliberately distorting the facts (lying). Here's my post, edited for length (the rest is earlier in the thread):

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 13 2007, 09:42 AM) [snapback]177917[/snapback]

So the truth about what really happened **with the pregnancy test** came from Linda. Does that mean she was colluding with Church of God members for two decades scaring up allegations against Tommy so that Danny would get in trouble for trying to cover for his brother? If not, maybe not **all** the stories came from Linda.

Have you even read save3abn.com? I don't know how anyone could go through that information, which is documented and firsthand, and still believe that Danny doesn't have something to hide. **I would love to see evidence that would exonerate Danny and Co. from the charges of embezzlement, covering up sex abuse, and character assassination**--not because I'm a fan of 3ABN, but because people I love are fans and I don't want to see them or my church, my spiritual home, hurt. **All we get from anyone connected with 3ABN is stonewalling, dissembling and denial**--lies, like yours.

If there's truth on 3ABN's side, let's have it, for God's sake. Don't you know what this is going to do to the church?

See? I was pointing to the fact that **the evidence presented** paints Danny in a terrible light. **I don't want any of these things to be true!** **I don't want Danny to be a liar or a crook, I don't want Tommy to be a child molestor, I don't want Linda to be an adulteress, I don't want 3ABN to go down in flames, and most of all I DON'T WANT THE NAME OF CHRIST TO BE DRAGGED THROUGH THE MUD!**

So if you've got evidence that is not based on what you read or what somebody told you, let's have it. If you're an eyewitness, identify yourself and start giving names and dates. (After all, we know who a lot of the people on this board are!) Otherwise, get thee ...

Posted by: erik Feb 14 2007, 11:17 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 14 2007, 08:02 AM) [snapback]178229[/snapback]

wwjd hasn't been on here in some hours but neither have I, so I'll reply to this.

wwjd, if you're still there, please read this carefully and be sure you understand it before you reply. I'm trying to be considerate.

Lots of people here have been there and see it, firsthand, as Johann noted. His accounts are eyewitness--does that make him gullible? I want to believe the people at 3ABN are accountable to the same Person we're all accountable to--I'm writing this, because **I'm** accountable.

The truth is, unless you see something with your own eyes, or clear evidence *to* that something, it's hearsay. That's a legal term--it means, you told me, or I told you. None of us can possibly be eyewitness to everything we need to see or know in life--we have to rely on hearsay. A lot of what's in history books is old hearsay.

Some of what's on that save3abn.com website is hearsay. It's testimony of eyewitnesses, much of it, but it's hearsay **to us** until it's established in court.

Let's say that I say Danny is innocent and you say he's guilty. On what evidence? If I saw firsthand that Linda was having an affair (with a hidden camera or whatever), until I establish it with undoctored evidence, or my word is accepted in a court of law, all my words are hearsay to you. If you saw firsthand that Linda was not having an affair, until you establish it with undoctored evidence, your words are all hearsay to me.

For now, we have to rely on hearsay for our judgments. I have to rely on hearsay to help the people I'm spiritually responsible for through the upcoming crisis. I'm trying to weigh the hearsay--and although there are attitude problems on all sides, my own included, well ... I see evidence on one hand, and no evidence, or inadequate evidence on the other.

As for gullibility, that really depends on your point of view. If you happen to believe the wrong person's account of an event you did not see, does that make you gullible? I could accuse you of gullibility for believing something I don't, but unless you saw it yourself, my accusation means nothing.

Instead I accused you of lying because you took on Danny's tactic of saying, "*See? She bought a pregnancy test! She's lying! She had an affair! So all these accusations that I swept child molestation allegations under the rug, and that I used 3ABN money for personal and family items--those are all lies too!*" Danny has been using Linda's alleged affair for a smokescreen against all these other accusations. That doesn't mean any of them are true--it means Danny is either stupid or has something to hide--**based on available evidence**.

Maybe it was unkind to accuse you of lying--so I'm sorry if I was wrong and if I hurt your feelings. But at the very least, you have leaped to conclusions and said some unloving, unChristlike, un-WWJD things about some of

these people here, without actually reading what they're saying. Let me demonstrate with one of my own posts. Now, either you're not paying attention, or you're deliberately distorting the facts (lying). Here's my post, edited for length (the rest is earlier in the thread):

See? I was pointing to the fact that **the evidence presented** paints Danny in a terrible light. **I don't want any of these things to be true!** **I don't want Danny to be a liar or a crook, I don't want Tommy to be a child molestor, I don't want Linda to be an adulteress, I don't want 3ABN to go down in flames, and most of all I DON'T WANT THE NAME OF CHRIST TO BE DRAGGED THROUGH THE MUD!**

So if you've got evidence that is not based on what you read or what somebody told you, let's have it. If you're an eyewitness, identify yourself and start giving names and dates. (After all, we know who a lot of the people on this board are!) Otherwise, get thee ...

Amen, Amen, Amen

Erik

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 14 2007, 12:48 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 14 2007, 08:17 AM) [snapback]178233[/snapback]

Amen, Amen, Amen
Erik

AMEN AMEN AMEN

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 14 2007, 05:09 PM

Of course Clay I was kidding, why else would I put the word "truth" in quotes?

Richard

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 14 2007, 11:00 AM) [snapback]178228[/snapback]

that would be an oxymoron.... glenetta and truth? Come on Richard.... its more like glenetta and smoke & mirrors.... she is unregistered so I guess we will never know what else would have come from that warped view.....

Posted by: Clay Feb 14 2007, 05:15 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 14 2007, 04:09 PM) [snapback]178316[/snapback]

Of course Clay I was kidding, why else would I put the word "truth" in quotes?

Richard

yeah I figured as much.... just makin sure...lol 🤔

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 15 2007, 02:47 PM

I have one other question...yes I know it has been talked about before. If Linda loved Danny as she claims, why the pregnancy test? I know it was said to be a practical joke, etc. But why would she not think it would set him off? On the other hand if she thought she was pregnant why would she not take the test somewhere else? The test just doesn't make sense for either side....

[moved from another thread....](#)

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 15 2007, 02:53 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 15 2007, 02:47 PM) [snapback]178518[/snapback]

I have one other question...yes I know it has been talked about before. If Linda loved Danny as she claims, why the pregnancy test? I know it was said to be a practical joke, etc. But why would she not think it would set him off? On the other hand if she thought she was pregnant why would she not take the test somewhere else? The test just doesn't make sense for either side....



[moved from another thread....](#)

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 15 2007, 02:59 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 15 2007, 12:53 PM) [snapback]178520[/snapback]



I am not off topic, Linda claimed in her letter that she was in love with Danny. And she was 100% faithful...so I would like to hear her explanation of the test.

[moved from another thread....](#)

Posted by: calvin Feb 15 2007, 03:01 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 15 2007, 01:47 PM) [snapback]178518[/snapback]

I have one other question...yes I know it has been talked about before. If Linda loved Danny as she claims, why the pregnancy test? I know it was said to be a practical joke, etc. But why would she not think it would set him off? On the other hand if she thought she was pregnant why would she not take the test somewhere else? The test just doesn't make sense for either side....

Sam, you know we already have a pregnancy test thread going. So **DON'T HIJACK THIS THREAD** or anybody else hijack it either. Go over there and get your answer. 🙄

[moved from another thread...](#)

Posted by: watchbird Feb 15 2007, 03:06 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 15 2007, 02:59 PM) [snapback]178521[/snapback]

I am not off topic, Linda claimed in her letter that she was in love with Danny. And she was 100% faithful...so I would like to hear her explanation of the test.

You have been given her explanation of the incident... several times. It is something that is covered in the Unauthorized History.... and it also has been discussed at length in at least two other threads that come to mind immediately... and there may be more. Use the search function. Don't forget to reset the parameters so the results will point to each individual post rather than to a thread. You can find it. It does not need to be brought up in yet another thread. If you read everything and still have questions, then ask them in one of the threads devoted to that topic. But keep in mind that "why" questions do not have answers that are satisfying.... especially when they involve why someone does whatever they do.

[moved from another thread...](#)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 15 2007, 03:13 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 15 2007, 12:59 PM) [snapback]178521[/snapback]

I am not off topic, Linda claimed in her letter that she was in love with Danny. And she was 100% faithful...so I would like to hear her explanation of the test.

Uncle Sam, I can see your logic but I also see that asking this question here, like Calvin states, would be hijacking the thread.

What I would suggest since Linda's letter clearly states that she doesn't visit the chat rooms and, therefore, you cannot realistically expect her to answer this question here, it might be best to go to her web site and email her. When you get your answer, please share it with the rest of us (over in the pregnancy test thread).

PB

[moved from another thread...](#)

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 15 2007, 03:24 PM

Watchbird and PB why don't you go answer Uncle Sam in the appropriate thread so he can answer you too?

Off topic discussion is never caused by just one...

Observer, I just read your really long multiple replies to me ... 

have you ever heard of the KISS principle? as in Keep it simple silly?

I'll get back to you, with a simple rebuttal.

Posted by: Uncle Sam Feb 15 2007, 03:30 PM

I read the new letter from Linda. She states that she loved Danny so much, than why the pregnancy test? I know it was said to be a practical joke, etc. But why would she not think it would set him off? On the other hand if she thought she was pregnant why would she not take the test somewhere else? The test just doesn't make sense for either side....

I am not on either side just trying to see how all of the pieces fit together....

BTW I have emailed Linda to ask her the same, she hasn't had time to respond, but hopefully she will.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 15 2007, 03:56 PM

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Feb 15 2007, 03:30 PM) [snapback]178532[/snapback]

I read the new letter from Linda. She states that she loved Danny so much, than why the pregnancy test? I know it was said to be a practical joke, etc. But why would she not think it would set him off? On the other hand if she thought she was pregnant why would she not take the test somewhere else? The test just doesn't make sense for either side....

I am not on either side just trying to see how all of the pieces fit together....

BTW I have emailed Linda to ask her the same, she hasn't had time to respond, but hopefully she will.

I find it very difficult to believe that you have gone through all of the other threads where this has been discussed at length. This thread which is called a "poll" was AFTER the threads in which the subject had been discussed in great detail.

If you are really wanting to see how the pieces all fit together, then your first task is to find and examine all of the "pieces"... rather than asking others to regurgitate and reproduce the pieces that have already been discussed

from a myriad of perspectives and angles.

They are here! But very few of them are in this thread. Go and look for them.

Posted by: Pickle Feb 25 2007, 08:27 PM

I have just received an email sent by Linda from her daughter's email account on May 6, 2004. It appears to document **before** the fact the idea that the pregnancy test was intended to be a joke. The fact that she used her daughter's email account suggests that her daughter was indeed in on the prank.

It is said that in divorces both sides are always wrong in some way. Linda erred in pulling such a stupid prank. I think she'll admit that freely.

One troubling detail that I would like to get Danny or his aliases/comrades to comment on is that she claims that when Danny pawed through the sack and found the kit (maybe it never got hidden in the car as originally planned), they both laughed. She took that to mean that Danny understood it to be a joke. Comments?

QUOTE(Linda Shelton @ Thu, 6 May 2004 17:21:55 -0700 (PDT))

Date: Thu, 6 May 2004 17:21:55 -0700 (PDT)

From: [Linda's daughter]

To: [Dr. Arild Abrahamsen]

...

You know how Dan has been searching my car? I've got a little surprise for him. But I wanted to warn you that a brand new rumor may very well make its way to Norway. Tomorrow he's going to find a carefully hidden pregnancy test in it. My only regret is that I won't be there to see his face. Do you think it will be worth the rumors?? I think so!!

...

LS

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 25 2007, 10:50 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 25 2007, 09:27 PM) [snapback]180634[/snapback]

I have just received an email sent by Linda from her daughter's email account on May 6, 2004. It appears to document **before** the fact the idea that the pregnancy test was intended to be a joke. The fact that she used her daughter's email account suggests that her daughter was indeed in on the prank.

It is said that in divorces both sides are always wrong in some way. Linda erred in pulling such a stupid prank. I think she'll admit that freely.

One troubling detail that I would like to get Danny or his aliases/comrades to comment on is that she claims that when Danny pawed through the sack and found the kit (maybe it never got hidden in the car as originally

planned), they both laughed. She took that to mean that Danny understood it to be a joke. Comments?

Bob,

You have avoided this question. Can you take a moment and head <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12650&view=findpost&p=180119> and answer the question you were presented with?

- FHB

Posted by: Johann Feb 25 2007, 10:58 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 26 2007, 05:50 AM) [snapback]180652[/snapback]

Bob,

You have avoided this question. Can you take a moment and head <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12650&view=findpost&p=180119> and answer the question you were presented with?

- FHB

Now the Dannels are desperate. What is the question?

I can confirm the information given, because I also knew of their shopping trip and what they bought before Danny discovered it.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 25 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 25 2007, 11:58 PM) [snapback]180653[/snapback]

Now the Dannels are desperate. What is the question?

I can confirm the information given, because I also knew of their shopping trip and what they bought before Danny discovered it.

Johann,

Click the word HERE. Simply as that.

- FHB

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 12:21 AM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 25 2007, 07:27 PM) [snapback]180634[/snapback]

One troubling detail that I would like to get Danny or his aliases/comrades to comment on is that **she claims that when Danny pawed through the sack and found the kit (maybe it never got hidden in the car as originally planned), they both laughed.** She took that to mean that Danny understood it to be a joke. Comments?

Right, right, It all makes sense now. He was already suspecting that she was having an affair...then he finds, what appears to be, a hidden pregnancy test. Yes,yes, I bet he absolutely doubled over with laughter. What a funny joke. 🤔 When he found it "they both laughed" which would imply they were together. Why would he be "pawing" through her things right in front of her. I believe somewhere she had said that she wasn't in the same room which was why he was "pawing" through her things.

The email submitted between Linda and the Doctor only goes against her. Who sends an email of a somewhat "intimate" nature to a physician that you have a strictly professional relationship with? At the very least, she is telling him about a haha joke she is going to play on her husband while at the same time, the doctor knows it is "he" who is the suspect. Yes , that is all hilarious. 😄 Makes total sense 🤔

Posted by: Johann Feb 26 2007, 01:47 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 26 2007, 06:05 AM) [snapback]180655[/snapback]

Johann,

Click the word [HERE](#). Simply as that.

- FHB

I did and lo and behold there I found nothing relevant to this!

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 26 2007, 05:58 AM

This email if it is true makes me sad. It shows the spirit of what Linda was trying to do and she knew the trouble, "rumors", it would bring. It does not sound like she was acting in a Christian way which is what her defenders say she has maintained taking the higher road in all of this. After reading this it is easy for me to now see how she could taunt Danny as has been posted by his defenders. It is all so sad to me that this seems to be her true character.

Posted by: Observer Feb 26 2007, 06:28 AM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 26 2007, 03:58 AM) [snapback]180699[/snapback]

This email if it is true makes me sad. It shows the spirit of what Linda was trying to do and she knew the trouble, "rumors", it would bring. It does not sound like she was acting in a Christian way which is what her defenders say she has maintained taking the higher road in all of this. After reading this it is easy for me to now see how she could taunt Danny as has been posted by his defenders. It is all so sad to me that this seems to be her true character.

Linda's defenders have never claimed that she was perfect.

The central issues here, as we see them are:

- 1) Did Linda give Danny Biblical reasons to divorce her, as understood by the typical conservative SDA follower of 3-ABN? For that person, Biblical reasons are physical adultery. For us, that answer is "NO she did not."
- 2) The manner in which Danny and 3-ABN treated Linda following the divorce.

Folks, these two issues are still ongoing. They are the reasons that we are still at this point of impasse. If Danny and 3-ABN had simply allowed Linda to ride quietly off into the sunset, we would likely not be at the place where we are today.

It should be noted that it seems to me to be the goal of 3-ABN supporters to take the focus off of the central issues, and to focus on much more minor issues. Find some event in which one can charge that Linda responded in a less than perfect way. Place the focus on that, and people will either forget the main charges, or will doubt them.

That is exactly how lawyers conduct cross-examinations. They attempt to generate doubt in some minor issue in the testimony. By doing so they can transfer that doubt to the main issue even when they can not mount a challenge directly to it.

As to the pregnancy test: If Linda had it to do over again, if she could have foreseen the consequences, I do not believe that this would have developed as it has. All of us have probably, under great stress, done something that we later came to realize was dumb, and we really did not realize fully the consequences of our actions.

As has been pointed out there are several good reasons for the purchase of that pregnancy test:

- 1) It could have been for someone else.
- 2) People (Danny) who have been "fixed" do have the "fix" go bad and father children. Linda was still living with Danny at the time. She may have needed to check to see if Danny was on the way to becoming a Father.

After everything has been said. One still might say that Linda demonstrated her humanity, and her lack of perfection in the development of this issue. To that I will say: Focus on the central issues. Linda has never been claimed by us to be perfect.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 26 2007, 07:44 AM

Being stupid is not the same as being guilty. I think we've all done stupid and dumb things as jokes or for other innocent reasons only to have them blow up in our faces. Hindsight is 20/20 as they say. Lets not let this be the ultimate red herring.

Richard

Posted by: lurker Feb 26 2007, 08:19 AM

If I were in Linda's place at the time, and still cared for my husband; If I felt that he was behaving ridiculously suspicious and felt that he could be teased about his behavior and shown how silly it was; If we had joked about various things in the past

and had been able to see the absurdity of some of the situations we had found ourselves in and laughed about them, I might have done it too.

In my eyes, his jealousy would either have kept escalating or he would have had to realize that it was unreasonable and bizzare. Do you meet the prepostorous with an outlandish joke? Maybe if you are hoping he will come back down to earth and resume normal thinking. Maybe if you love him and feel that he still loves you too.

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 10:37 AM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 26 2007, 04:58 AM) [snapback]180699[/snapback]

This email if it is true makes me sad. It shows the spirit of what Linda was trying to do and she knew the trouble, "rumors", it would bring. It does not sound like she was acting in a Christian way which is what her defenders say she has maintained taking the higher road in all of this. After reading this it is easy for me to now see how she could taunt Danny as has been posted by his defenders. It is all so sad to me that this seems to be her true character.

not necessarily.... if you understand the context then the behavior makes more sense..... this one incident while viewed as a stupid thing to do does NOT make one an adulterer... in spite of what the dannyclones may have been programmed to believe and defend vigorously.....

Posted by: missthg Feb 26 2007, 10:48 AM

the dannyclones as you call them seem to have a lifetime perscription for stuck on stupid pills....

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 26 2007, 07:37 AM) [snapback]180738[/snapback]

not necessarily.... if you understand the context then the behavior makes more sense..... this one incident while viewed as a stupid thing to do does NOT make one an adulterer... in spite of what the dannyclones may have been programmed to believe and defend vigorously.....

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 26 2007, 10:50 AM

Being new, I haven't read all the other threads that discuss this in detail, but if it's true that Linda committed "spiritual" adultery, well...what an opportunity for a hardliner to stone the woman, per John 8, Lev 20, and Deut 22. And of course the same would go for any married man that even looks at another woman admiringly.

The way Muslim's handle this type of thing, and the way God instructed Israel to deal with marriage problems (until Christ introduced the new way).

What are we to do with a lost sheep? Yell at it, give it three chances to want to do better, then kick it while it's down, or offer it some money to stay away. And don't think about what casused that sheep to became so scared and confused.

I kind of wonder if they both wanted out at this point. Things may not have been going very good anyway, and never is when God isn't in the marriage. This may have been the opportunity to jump, with every hard argument why.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 26 2007, 11:23 AM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Feb 26 2007, 10:50 AM) [snapback]180743[/snapback]

Being new, I haven't read all the other threads that discuss this in detail, but if it's true that Linda committed "spiritual" adultery, well...what an opportunity for a hardliner to stone the woman, per John 8, Lev 20, and Deut 22. And of course the same would go for any married man that even looks at another woman admiringly.

The way Muslim's handle this type of thing, and the way God instructed Israel to deal with marriage problems (until Christ introduced the new way).

What are we to do with a lost sheep? Yell at it, give it three chances to want to do better, then kick it while it's down, or offer it some money to stay away. And don't think about what caused that sheep to become so scared and confused.

I kind of wonder if they both wanted out at this point. Things may not have been going very good anyway, and never is when God isn't in the marriage. This may have been the opportunity to jump, with every hard argument why.

LaurenceD, as the name of this thread says... this one was a spinoff from another thread... and it was in that one where this subject had already been discussed and cussed and discussed again until it was worn to shreds. It would probably be much more helpful if additional comments on this topic would be mostly put on that other thread... unless Clay gets in a "bonding mode" and pastes the two together. (hint... hint...) And I've now bumped that one forward so reading from it will be easier to do.



Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 02:44 PM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 26 2007, 04:58 AM) [snapback]180699[/snapback]

This email if it is true makes me sad. It shows the spirit of what Linda was trying to do and she knew the trouble, "rumors", it would bring. It does not sound like she was acting in a Christian way which is what her defenders say she has maintained taking the higher road in all of this. After reading this it is easy for me to now see how she could taunt Danny as has been posted by his defenders. It is all so sad to me that this seems to be her true character.

You have true insight, which is rare on this board. To act like that they had a "good laugh" together over this is absolutely ridiculous. I believe Richard S. said something to that affect. There is nothing funny if you believe your wife or husband is cheating on you. If you are sure in your own mind, and sure you are being lied to you are filled with hurt and pain. The relationship is already strained to the max, then you find a pregnancy test, knowing you have had a vasectomy years before. They had a good Laugh together about it? I think not. I doubt they were laughing about anything at this point in time, much less something like that. A joke? I don't think so.

Posted by: erik Feb 26 2007, 02:50 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 11:44 AM) [snapback]180787[/snapback]

You have true insight, which is rare on this board. To act like that they had a "good laugh" together over this is absolutely ridiculous. I believe Richard S. said something to that affect. There is nothing funny if you believe your wife or husband is cheating on you. If you are sure in your own mind, and sure you are being lied to you are filled with hurt and pain. The relationship is already strained to the max, then you find a pregnancy test, knowing you have had a vasectomy years before. They had a good Laugh together about it? I think not. I doubt they were laughing about anything at this point in time, much less something like that. A joke? I don't think so.

bystander,

It was a poor joke and yes unchristlike, but it also happens to be about the only thing you have on linda.

I mean the PTK does not prove that she was having an affair, it is also clear from the letter that danny was already making wild charges against her.

So if you want to charge linda with playing a joke that was unwise and even unchristlike fine.

but at least admit that this "joke" was not done in vacuum, I the tone of the letter also said in a way that strongly suggests that no affair was on going, only the charges that one was.

So again i would say that you and danny should put out the proof of the affair and but an end to Linda's "lies"

erik

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 03:10 PM

Here's some insight.... if all it takes is a pregnancy test kit for you to run off and get a divorce, you were looking for any excuse to get out of the marriage.....

Let's compare that with Joseph who found out that the person he was engaged to was pregnant and not by him....

nuff said.... how's that for insight....

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 03:15 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 26 2007, 02:10 PM) [snapback]180795[/snapback]

Here's some insight.... if all it takes is a pregnancy test kit for you to run off and get a divorce, you were looking for any excuse to get out of the marriage.....

Let's compare that with Joseph who found out that the person he was engaged to was pregnant and not by

him...

nuff said... how's that for insight...

Since nowhere has it been stated that anyone got a divorce due to the discovery of a pregnancy test, I would have to say that your "insight" is somewhat lacking once again. You need to be careful, you are starting to put the "pickle spin" on things. 😏

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 03:22 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 02:15 PM) [snapback]180800[/snapback]

Since nowhere has it been stated that anyone got a divorce due to the discovery of a pregnancy test, I would have to say that your "insight" is somewhat lacking once again. You need to be careful, you are starting to put the "pickle spin" on things. 😏

thank you for your response... I disagree.... have a good day....

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 26 2007, 06:35 PM

Excellent point Clay.

Which brings up the fact that even if Linda was guilty of adultery, Danny, if he really loved her like he claimed, would have fought tooth and nail to preserve the union. Even if she had pressed him for a divorce he would have resisted, instead what happened? A quickie divorce in Guam.

Richard

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 26 2007, 03:10 PM) [snapback]180795[/snapback]

Here's some insight.... if all it takes is a pregnancy test kit for you to run off and get a divorce, you were looking for any excuse to get out of the marriage.....

Let's compare that with Joseph who found out that the person he was engaged to was pregnant and not by him....

nuff said... how's that for insight...

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 26 2007, 06:50 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 26 2007, 06:28 AM) [snapback]180704[/snapback]

Linda's defenders have never claimed that she was perfect.

The central issues here, as we see them are:

1) Did Linda give Danny Biblical reasons to divorce her, as understood by the typical conservative SDA follower of 3-ABN? For that person, Biblical reasons are physical adultery. For us, that answer is "NO she did not."

2) The manner in which Danny and 3-ABN treated Linda following the divorce.

Folks, these two issues are still ongoing. They are the reasons that we are still at this point of impasse. If Danny and 3-ABN had simply allowed Linda to ride quietly off into the sunset, we would likely not be at the place where we are today.

It should be noted that it seems to me to be the goal of 3-ABN supporters to take the focus off of the central issues, and to focus on much more minor issues. Find some event in which one can charge that Linda responded in a less than perfect way. Place the focus on that, and people will either forget the main charges, or will doubt them.

That is exactly how lawyers conduct cross-examinations. They attempt to generate doubt in some minor issue in the testimony. By doing so they can transfer that doubt to the main issue even when they can not mount a challenge directly to it.

As to the pregnancy test: If Linda had it to do over again, if she could have foreseen the consequences, I do not believe that this would have developed as it has. All of us have probably, under great stress, done something that we later came to realize was dumb, and we really did not realize fully the consequences of our actions. As has been pointed out there are several good reasons for the purchase of that pregnancy test:

1) It could have been for someone else.

2) People (Danny) who have been "fixed" do have the "fix" go bad and father children. Linda was still living with Danny at the time. She may have needed to check to see if Danny was on the way to becoming a Father.

After everything has been said. One still might say that Linda demonstrated her humanity, and her lack of perfection in the development of this issue. To that I will say: Focus on the central issues. Linda has never been claimed by us to be perfect.

Well it's not about being less than perfect, AFAIC it's a nasty cruel joke to play on your spouse.

Here's another question, if this letter is legit.

She'd talked to the Doctor about D.S. searching her car before, why???

How did she know that? Because D.S. found something in it? Like the nice watch the Doctor had given L.S.??

Somehow I don't believe either Linda or Danny were laughing...

The fact that she was laughing with the Doctor and her husband was the butt of the joke?

Sick...

Posted by: andithomas1 Feb 26 2007, 07:10 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 26 2007, 05:50 PM) [snapback]180848[/snapback]

Well it's not about being less than perfect, AFAIC it's a nasty cruel joke to play on your spouse.

Here's another question, if this letter is legit.

She'd talked to the Doctor about D.S. searching her car before, why???

How did she know that? Because D.S. found something in it? Like the nice watch the Doctor had given L.S.??
Somehow I don't believe either Linda or Danny were laughing...

The fact that she was laughing with the Doctor and her husband was the butt of the joke?

Sick...

Sick-No

Inappropriate-maybe

Having sex with minors-abominable

Posted by: gardener Feb 26 2007, 07:59 PM

Having gone through a rather nasty divorce myself I can understand why Linda would have planted the preg test knowing that DS was pawing through all her things just looking for anything to back up his paranoid suspicions. We don't always use the best discretion at times like those. Sometimes it turns into games of payback. Not always the smartest things to do but sometime irresistible non the less.

Posted by: erik Feb 26 2007, 08:09 PM

QUOTE(andithomas1 @ Feb 26 2007, 04:10 PM) [snapback]180849[/snapback]

Sick-No

Inappropriate-maybe

Having sex with minors-abominable

great points

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 09:25 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 26 2007, 05:50 PM) [snapback]180848[/snapback]

Well it's not about being less than perfect, AFAIC it's a nasty cruel joke to play on your spouse.

Here's another question, if this letter is legit.

She'd talked to the Doctor about D.S. searching her car before, why???

How did she know that? Because D.S. found something in it? Like the nice watch the Doctor had given L.S.?? Somehow I don't believe either Linda or Danny were laughing...

The fact that she was laughing with the Doctor and her husband was the butt of the joke?

Sick...

thank you for your response... I disagree.... the fact remains while the prank might have been in poor taste it should not have been the catalyst for him to seek a divorce.... continue to spin it, but that is a basic fact.... have a nice day....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 26 2007, 09:59 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 26 2007, 11:37 AM) [snapback]180738[/snapback]

not necessarily.... if you understand the context then the behavior makes more sense..... this one incident while viewed as a stupid thing to do does NOT make one an adulterer... in spite of what the dannyclones may have been programmed to believe and defend vigorously.....

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 26 2007, 10:25 PM) [snapback]180867[/snapback]

...the fact remains while the prank might have been in poor taste it should not have been the catalyst for him to seek a divorce.... continue to spin it, but that is a basic fact.... have a nice day....

Dead on point....to which I place my "mini-skit" up yet again....

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jul 29 2006, 03:02 PM) [snapback]142355[/snapback]

He (H) - You cheatin' on my gurl?

She (S) - No baby - you know I ain't cheatin on you....

H - Yeah, I think you are cheatin on me. Where you been all day?

S - I've been at work. I work from 8 am to 5pm...and It's only 5:06pm now....

H - What you been doing since 5pm?

S - You know what....I'mma admit it. I was cheatin' for 1 minutes. Although it takes me 5 minutes to get home, we did it in one minute, I sped home and yes. I'm cheatin on you.

H - I knew it!

S - You stupid!

H - I knew it. Guess what? I've been cheatin on you too?

S - I been known you were cheatin on me.....I just haven't been cheatin on you....

H - You jes admitted it!

S - Dummy! Can you add up time....only one person in the world can do something in one minuteyou.

H - Ohhhhh. Now you're jes being mean....

S - No! I'm being truthful....I wasn't cheating on you...but you jes proved my Momma right. You only go about repeatedly accusing when you know you're dirty yourself....

H - Huh?

S - Nevermind....I gotta go pack a bag...

H - Where you going?

S - Duh! Where are you going.....



Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 11:15 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 26 2007, 05:50 PM) [snapback]180848[snapback]

Well it's not about being less then perfect, AFAIC it's a nasty cruel joke to play on your spouse.

Here's another question, if this letter is legit.

She'd talked to the Doctor about D.S. searching her car before, why???

How did she know that? Because D.S. found something in it? Like the nice watch the Doctor had given L.S.??
Somehow I don't believe either Linda or Danny were laughing...

The fact that she was laughing with the Doctor and her husband was the butt of the joke?

Sick...

Excellent point Aletheia. She had obviously been talking to the Doc about the details of her marriage. Why? Her only contact was supposed to be about Nathan. She was obviously close enough "friends" with the Doc to laugh with him at what she was doing to DS. You have her talking to another man about something as private as her marriage, laughing with him at her husband, receiving a watch from him and you all wonder why Danny was afraid for their marriage and looked through her things?

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 26 2007, 11:52 PM

In other words, "at last we have proof of her cheatin, yes sirrie right there proof that she was talkin to the good doc about something other than Nathan and by george that means cheatin, cheatin I tell ya, and that's good enough for a divorce, yup she was a cheatin alright. Cheatin over the phone lines (kinky). Good enough for a Guam quickie divorce"



while Rome burns

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 11:15 PM) [snapback]180888[/snapback]

Excellent point Aletheia. She had obviously been talking to the Doc about the details of her marriage. Why? Her only contact was supposed to be about Nathan. She was obviously close enough "friends" with the Doc to laugh with him at what she was doing to DS. You have her talking to another man about something as private as her marriage, laughing with him at her husband, receiving a watch from him and you all wonder why Danny was afraid for their marriage and looked through her things?

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 12:03 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 08:15 PM) [snapback]180888[/snapback]

Excellent point Aletheia. She had obviously been talking to the Doc about the details of her marriage. Why? Her only contact was supposed to be about Nathan. She was obviously close enough "friends" with the Doc to laugh with him at what she was doing to DS. You have her talking to another man about something as private as her marriage, laughing with him at her husband, receiving a watch from him and you all wonder why Danny was afraid for their marriage and looked through her things?

Bystander,

THE PTK test by its self means nothing.

The letter were she is talking to the doc, about something other then Nathan, HELLO she very clearly is warning the doc, that rumors are going to get deeper about them because of her "joke".

It would seem to me that she clearly was already being charged with rumors about the doc.

SO while I agree the PTK test was a foolish thing to do, it is not proof of an affair.

It is clear from the letter that the linda and the doc had at least a casual friendship, but i am not sure were having casual friends of the oppiste sex when you are married person is the same has having AFFAIRS.

Bystander, if you have a a problem with Linda's sense of humor i can understand and even agree with that, but to grow a joke into something more that is pushing it.

But what bothers me is that YOU and others of the defend Danny crowd clearly believe that the only reason that Linda bought the PTK was because she had been having sexual intercourse with the Good DOC from Norway, and was worried that she was with child, and IS CLEARLY not the case from the PTK and the letter.

NOW IF,

you have some other proof stop sitting on it and bring it out, my best guess that it does not exist.

ERik

Posted by: sister Feb 27 2007, 01:00 AM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 12:03 AM) [snapback]180893[/snapback]

Bystander,

THE PTK test by its self means nothing.

The letter were she is talking to the doc, about something other then Nathan, HELLO she very clearly is warning the doc, that rumors are going to get deeper about them because of her "joke".

It would seem to me that she clearly was already being charged with rumors about the doc.

SO while I agree the PTK test was a foolish thing to do, it is not proof of an affair.

It is clear from the letter that the linda and the doc had at least a casual friendship, but i am not sure were having casual friends of the oppiste sex when you are married person is the same has having AFFAIRS.

Bystander, if you have a a problem with Linda's sense of humor i can understand and even agree with that, but to grow a joke into something more that is pushing it.

But what bothers me is that YOU and others of the defend Danny crowd clearly believe that the only reason that Linda bought the PTK was because she had been having sexual intercourse with the Good DOC from Norway, and was worried that she was with child, and IS CLEARLY not the case from the PTK and the letter.

NOW IF,

you have some other proof stop sitting on it and bring it out, my best guess that it does not exist.

ERik

Erik, there is no proof because there was no affair. If Bystander and Co. have proof, than I am willing to listen. If not, then it is just more evil surmising on their part. End of story.

Sister

Posted by: Bystander Feb 27 2007, 01:41 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 27 2007, 12:00 AM) [snapback]180905[/snapback]

Erik, there is no proof because there was no affair. If Bystander and Co. have proof, than I am willing to listen. If not, then it is just more evil surmising on their part. End of story.

Sister

I truly wish it was the end of the story, but sadly it is not. Let me just say that no one on this board has done any more evil surmising, accusing and throwing allegations than you, sister. You have shown, over and over that you care not who gets hurt by your evil slander. Even children are not exempt when you try to ruin their parents. And don't try to tell me that whomever, did it to themselves by their own behavior because, you know and God knows, no matter what anyone did or didn't do, it doesn't need to be all over the internet. I really have no idea why that you hate 3abn so much, or why you hate the shelton's so much, and truthfully, I really don't care. No matter what vengeance you seek and and what reasons you have for doing so, nothing excuses your attempts at trying to destroy, reputations, families, marriages, the children involved, a whole entire church, and a great ministry. You have even slandered the families of your "friends." With friends like you, who needs enemy's. You have allowed your evil imagination and surmisings to run wild, and have shown nothing or no one any kind of respect, not even for those that are deceased and cannot defend themselves. Your hate and bitterness show through with every post that you make. The word says, If you are guilty of breaking one, then you are guilty of breaking all.....think about it...slander, untruths, deceitful insinuations, the spreading of rumors, it is all sin in the eyes of God. Therefore, you are no less sinful than those that you accuse of such horrible wrongs. The difference between you and others on your side of the camp, is that you never just make statements that you don't like DS or the way he does things or you think there needs to be some changes made etc etc...you dive in and try to drive blows way below the belt and you don't care who gets in the way. Some of the people you have tried to destroy have never, done anything to you. And, in fact, have been good to you. But hey they are just deterrents on your mission to try and take DS down, so strike them down too, no matter who they are. I would love for christianitys sake to just say you don't realize what you are doing or you are just making some big mistakes. But I can't. The things you have said are calculated and thought out. Just remember whether a person murders, steals, commits adultery, or assasinates a persons character with slander, falsehoods and halftruths, the fires will burn the same for the sinners. It isn't too late to stop what you are doing. I and others pray for you and for the bitterness and hate that pushes you to do this. We will keep praying.

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 02:43 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 10:41 PM) [snapback]180913[/snapback]

I truly wish it was the end of the story, but sadly it is not. Let me just say that no one on this board has done any more evil surmising, accusing and throwing allegations than you, sister. You have shown, over and over that you care not who gets hurt by your evil slander. Even children are not exempt when you try to ruin their parents. And don't try to tell me that whomever, did it to themselves by their own behavior because, you know and God knows, no matter what anyone did or didn't do, it doesn't need to be all over the internet. I really have no idea why that you hate 3abn so much, or why you hate the shelton's so much, and truthfully, I really don't care. No matter what vengeance you seek and and what reasons you have for doing so, nothing excuses your attempts at trying to destroy, reputations, families, marriages, the children involved, a whole entire church, and a great ministry. You have even slandered the families of your "friends." With friends like you, who needs enemy's. You have allowed your evil imagination and surmisings to run wild, and have shown nothing or no one any kind of

respect, not even for those that are deceased and cannot defend themselves. Your hate and bitterness show through with every post that you make. The word says, If you are guilty of breaking one, then you are guilty of breaking all....think about it...slander, untruths, deceitful insinuations, the spreading of rumors, it is all sin in the eyes of God. Therefore, you are no less sinful than those that you accuse of such horrible wrongs.

The difference between you and others on your side of the camp, is that you never just make statements that you don't like DS or the way he does things or you think there needs to be some changes made etc etc...you dive in and try to drive blows way below the belt and you don't care who gets in the way. Some of the people you have tried to destroy have never, done anything to you. And, in fact, have been good to you. But hey they are just deterrents on your mission to try and take DS down, so strike them down too, no matter who they are.

I would love for christianitys sake to just say you don't realize what you are doing or you are just making some big mistakes. But I can't. The things you have said are calculated and thought out.

Just remember whether a person murders, steals, commits adultery, or assasinates a persons character with slander, falsehoods and halftruths, the fires will burn the same for the sinners. It isn't too late to stop what you are doing. I and others pray for you and for the bitterness and hate that pushes you to do this. We will keep praying.

bystander,

now that we have settled sisters enteral fate can you awnser the question i asked

erik

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 27 2007, 08:00 AM

If having close friends of the opposite sex was the same as having an affair both my wife and I would have had grounds for divorce years ago. Instead we've both, separately and together embraced those friendships and used them to make our lives complete. **Having friends of the opposite sex is not a sin, but if you are a control freak then I suppose it would be....**

Richard

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 12:03 AM) [snapback]180893[/snapback]

Bystander,

THE PTK test by its self means nothing.

The letter were she is talking to the doc, about something other then Nathan, HELLO she very clearly is warning the doc, that rumors are going to get deeper about them because of her "joke".

It would seem to me that she clearly was already being charged with rumors about the doc.

SO while I agree the PTK test was a foolish thing to do, it is not proof of an affair.

It is clear from the letter that the linda and the doc had at least a casual friendship, but i am not sure were having casual friends of the oppiste sex when you are married person is the same has having AFFAIRS.

Bystander, if you have a a problem with Linda's sense of humor i can understand and even agree with that, but

to grow a joke into something more that is pushing it.

But what bothers me is that YOU and others of the defend Danny crowd clearly believe that the only reason that Linda bought the PTK was because she had been having sexual intercourse with the Good DOC from Norway, and was worried that she was with child, and IS CLEARLY not the case from the PTK and the letter.

NOW IF,

you have some other proof stop sitting on it and bring it out, my best guess that it does not exist.

ERik

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 27 2007, 08:08 AM

I realize the pregnancy test was not enough to cause a divorce or establish cheating per se. I think the email shows how bad this situation had gotten. Linda says she loved/loves 3ABN? Yet she knew this would add to the rumors and thought it would be funny????

I realize that we all make mistakes. I just think the tone of the email shows how low Danny and Linda had gone through this saga. I wish they both would have taken time off instead of dragging all of this through the "public eye". Sad to say I feel this shows Linda was vindictive, yes I know through divorce situations people can do things they normally wouldn't do. If that is true for Linda why can it not be the same for Danny?

I keep going back and forth on this whole saga. I have not "picked a side" yet, I am waiting to hear from Linda herself. I have heard from Danny and Walt. I do feel the divorce was way to quick regardless of what Linda did or didn't do. I also think 3ABN said too much, but I wonder how innocent is Linda in all of these other rumors? Just waiting to hear what she has to say.

Posted by: lurker Feb 27 2007, 08:40 AM

If the Doctor was the only one Linda communicated with about the ptk, this email might have been considered to be the "smoking gun" but he wasn't. We know she told others beforehand. She has said that she was counseling about a situation at 3ABN involving some high profile people there with a person who was safe to talk to because of distance. She also believed this person was not biased as those locally might be.

From all that has been said, I believe the **situation** involved her marriage and another person besides Danny who was a high profile person at 3ABN. I believe the person she was seeking advice from was the Doctor and that instead of trying to undermine her marriage, she was letting **her friends** and the Doctor know that she thought she could get through to Danny with her joke, and that it would be worth doing it. The doctor didn't advise her to do this, she told him she was going to do it. Unfortunately, it was a poor joke and backfired.

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 09:48 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 27 2007, 07:40 AM) [snapback]180934[/snapback]

If the Doctor was the only one Linda communicated with about the ptk, this email might have been considered to be the "smoking gun" but he wasn't. We know she told others beforehand. She has said that she was counseling about a situation at 3ABN involving some high profile people there with a person who was safe to talk to because of distance. She also believed this person was not biased as those locally might be.

From all that has been said, I believe the **situation** involved her marriage and another person besides Danny who was a high profile person at 3ABN. I believe the person she was seeking advice from was the Doctor and that instead of trying to undermine her marriage, she was letting **her friends** and the Doctor know that she thought she could get through to Danny with her joke, and that it would be worth doing it. The doctor didn't advise her to do this, she told him she was going to do it. Unfortunately, it was a poor joke and backfired.

all you have said might be true.... fact remains Danny had NO grounds for a divorce..... NONE.....

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 09:54 AM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer)

I keep going back and forth on this whole saga. I have not "picked a side" yet, I am waiting to hear from Linda herself. I have heard from Danny and Walt. I do feel the divorce was way to quick regardless of what Linda did or didn't do. I also think 3ABN said too much, but I wonder how innocent is Linda in all of these other rumors? Just waiting to hear what she has to say.

After the great live speech on 3abn about the wayward Linda, and how they had repeatedly asked her to stop her so-called affair, but she refused, I was convinced she must be the guilty one and was little devil in the woodpile.

But, I reserved the possibility there may be another side to the story. When I read on Linda's website that she'd never been unfaithful to her husband, and loved the ministry, and wished them only the best, and demonstrated an attitude of forgiveness to those who treated her wrong, I knew this was just the beginning of a deeper insight in the matter.

Having discovered blacksda.com has given me an even clearer picture--but do have to sort things out carefully. When I first started reading the archives here, I tried very hard to stay unassuming. But as I began to get acquainted with this character **Bystander**--just reading and analyzing his posts and writing style--I began to feel the presence of someone who felt cornered, someone who was feeling burned and had the disposition of a scorched wildcat, someone whose plan had backfired on them, someone who was overly defensive...whose sarcasim was uncalled for and fairly immature--kinda like that of a know-it-all-never-been-wrong-in-my-entire-life type of "fighter."

So, as gravity would have it, when we give objectivity a fair chance, I began to fall one way rather than the other. Now, every post I read reinforces the call. Something's wrong.

Funny how God sometimes gives glimpses of truth to those that aren't in positions to look too close.

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 10:04 AM

very good insight Laurence.... flesh and blood didnt reveal that.... keep reading.... and try to find the answer to those simple questions.... Did Danny have grounds for divorce? Why did he treat his wife of 20 yrs the way he did? Why would his stepdaughter make an allegation of inappropriate sexual contact?

After contemplating those three questions move on to the more complicated one of how are/were the employees at 3abn treated by Danny Shelton? Why the lies surrounding Tommy Shelton? Why the lies about Linda's and the trashing of their reputation?

That will keep you busy for a day or two.....

Posted by: Lee Feb 27 2007, 10:31 AM

Believe me, Bystander is not "cornered" at all. Nor has he been "burned" by anyone.

Laurence I find your comments very strange. Let me tell you something--I do hope you are listening carefully. Bystander is very close to the situation at 3ABN. Very close.

I do hope you understand what I am saying.

Because he is close to 3ABN, HE KNOWS. He knows exactly what has been going on at 3ABN, all the ins and outs, ups and downs. He knows Danny and he knows Linda.

It would be smart to sit up and pay attention to what Bystander says.

Posted by: lurker Feb 27 2007, 10:33 AM

Continuing to mull this all over in my own mind: 1. Someone is already speaking with a trusted person about her offspring's medical and emotional problems 2. Becomes concerned about her spouse and his possible attraction to another person 2. Speaks to the person treating her "child" about her concerns 4. Mentions her concerns to her spouse 5. Spouse retorts "Me? You're the one with a boyfriend!" 5. Spouse attempts to discredit both wife and the person he fears has been told of this situation by accusing them both 6. Spouse attempts to discredit anyone who will not join him in accusing them

This seems to me to be the modus operendi - when accused of something, do not deny it. Do not bring up proof of innocence. Attack the character of the accuser. In fact, when anyone mentions any concerns for this person, take it as a personal attack and reply in the same spirit he ascribes to others, assuming they have the worst, most hatefull motives for questioning him.

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 10:44 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 07:31 AM) [snapback]180954[/snapback]

Believe me, Bystander is not "cornered" at all. Nor has he been "burned" by anyone.

Laurence I find your comments very strange. Let me tell you something--I do hope you are listening carefully. Bystander is very close to the situation at 3ABN. Very close.

I do hope you understand what I am saying.

Because he is close to 3ABN, HE KNOWS. He knows exactly what has been going on at 3ABN, all the ins and outs, ups and downs. He knows Danny and he knows Linda.

It would be smart to sit up and pay attention to what Bystander says.

Lee,

IF he is that close to the 3abn, one of two things is going on either he hopelessly blinded by the closeness to 3abn, or he is sinfully covering others mistakes.

I again call on all Danny's supporters listen to Linda bring out the proof, lets get this over with, I for one do not care if it was gotten by wrong methods, (of course that will not look good for Danny, but it would make things clearer)

OR if Danny is wanting to save the proof for court fine, then put your name out there, I am only saying this to help make your side more transparent and believable. Because right now bystander sound a lot like the guy in my church that is sure that danny has done nothing wrong, but when you ask questions well danny is a godly man he would never do anything wrong, he is under attack of satan.(the sad part is danny and 3abn are under attack of satan, but it might not be from the angle they think it is.)

AGAIN, the truth never has to hide it will always stand up to a very close checking out.

Also remeber I came to this site ready to defend danny, and sure that he was under attack from satan. But DANNY"S letters and action changed my mind. So if there is proof that can clear danny and 3abn lets get it out on the table.

Erik

Posted by: watchbird Feb 27 2007, 10:50 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 10:31 AM) [snapback]180954[/snapback]

Believe me, Bystander is not "cornered" at all. Nor has he been "burned" by anyone.

Laurence I find your comments very strange. Let me tell you something--I do hope you are listening carefully. Bystander is very close to the situation at 3ABN. Very close.

I do hope you understand what I am saying.

Because he is close to 3ABN, HE KNOWS. He knows exactly what has been going on at 3ABN, all the ins and outs, ups and downs. He knows Danny and he knows Linda.

It would be smart to sit up and pay attention to what Bystander says.

Don't forget, Lee, this is BlackSDA... where the motto is... "This ain't Sabbath School... we pay attention".

So yes, we HAVE been sitting up and paying attention. And yes... we DO understand what you are saying... maybe more than you would prefer us to understand.

And isn't it interesting that we agree with Laurence in the way we understand Bystander to reveal by his words, comments, and general demeanor?.....

Carry on..... But know that you'll have to do better than this to gain any credibility here.....



Posted by: September Feb 27 2007, 10:51 AM

If Bystander is that close to the situation at 3abn--"very close" as you say, then does he know all the details that went on behind closed doors between Danny and Linda? Is he so close that he knows all the ins and outs, ups and downs, about the marriage of Danny and Linda? I'm not talking about his being so close to the situation because Danny said thus and so, but is Bystander so close that he knows things that no one else knows because he's privy to what goes on behind closed doors?

To quote you: I do hope you understand what I'm saying.

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 10:31 AM) [snapback]180954[/snapback]

Believe me, Bystander is not "cornered" at all. Nor has he been "burned" by anyone.

Laurence I find your comments very strange. Let me tell you something--I do hope you are listening carefully. Bystander is very close to the situation at 3ABN. Very close.

I do hope you understand what I am saying.

Because he is close to 3ABN, HE KNOWS. He knows exactly what has been going on at 3ABN, all the ins and outs, ups and downs. He knows Danny and he knows Linda.

It would be smart to sit up and pay attention to what Bystander says.

Posted by: Lee Feb 27 2007, 10:53 AM

"get it out on the table." ??? This will be done in Court. Be patient Erik--you know how long it takes for the wheels of justice to turn.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 12:04 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 09:53 AM) [snapback]180963[/snapback]

"get it out on the table." ??? This will be done in Court. Be patient Erik--you know how long it takes for the wheels of justice to turn.

Oh it's so hard to be patient -- because when this DOES get to court, all the masks will be off.

Maybe even yours, Lee.

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 12:16 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 07:53 AM) [snapback]180963[/snapback]

"get it out on the table." ??? This will be done in Court. Be patient Erik--you know how long it takes for the wheels of justice to turn.

Lee,

I 3abn has to go to court to get the truth out then they in my mind have some thing to hid, it would seem to me a much better path to take the list of charges against them and say true not true and here is why and this is were the proof is from 9-12 on Wednesdays or something like this.

What most of the 3abn crowd seems to be missing is going to court is going to damage 3abn, they might win, but it will still hurt.

IF TRUTH IS really on their side then do what i suggested make statement put the proof in room make it open to the public, even for a limited time each week, and then let truth take care of it's self.

I agree the wheels of justice do turn slowly, and from were i sit they have been slowly turning for almost three years, but now they are going and going faster,.

But there is still time to save 3abn from the freight train of truth, by putting the truth out there for all to see and look at.

WHAT pray tell me is gained by letting the courts instead of the breathern get first look at the truth.

Lee if bystander really is that close then lets have the truth otherwise he is just a bystander that might know the people we discuss but really does not know the people, if you get my point.

GOING to court is bad all the way around, but i fear 3abn is to stubborn to see the danger, so they will end up falling into the trap Satan has set for them.

ERik

Posted by: princessdi Feb 27 2007, 12:23 PM

A nasty joke at a time when couple are defintiely being "nasty" to each other. As someone said, you are not always excercising wisdom at this most difficult time. it is difficult to relate if you have never been through or even witnessed this sort of thing. Sometimes, you just have to laugh to keep from crying. I would say that Bystander and the rest have picked a great ally in one who has had almost no adverse life experiences. If Danny was searching her car for evidence that was not there, it is defintiely laughable. What else could it be? He was making himself the butt of a joke by trying to maintain something to be true that was not. Basically, Linda should have paid more attention, as Danny seems to have been building a case for himself..... 🤔

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 26 2007, 03:50 PM) [snapback]180848[/snapback]

Well it's not about being less then perfect, AFAIC it's a nasty cruel joke to play on your spouse.

Here's another question, if this letter is legit.

She'd talked to the Doctor about D.S. searching her car before, why???

How did she know that? Because D.S. found something in it? Like the nice watch the Doctor had given L.S.?? Somehow I don't believe either Linda or Danny were laughing...

The fact that she was laughing with the Doctor and her husband was the butt of the joke?

Sick...

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 12:24 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 27 2007, 09:53 AM) [snapback]180963[/snapback]

"get it out on the table." ??? This will be done in Court. Be patient Erik--you know how long it takes for the wheels of justice to turn.

promises promises... thank you for the comment Lee, however I disagree.... this has been smoke and mirrors from Danny from the very beginning.... and it is still happening 3 yrs hence.... He was wrong, he had no biblical basis for a divorce, he had no right to trash her reputation, and he gave her the short end of the stick financially..... those are facts that he and his defenders like to overlook or minimize.....

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 12:28 PM

I appreciate your effort to clear things up, Lee. And, I would never underestimate the seemingly insurmountable challenge you must face. A whole different approach is required though. If I may, let me offer some suggestions for more effective communication...

QUOTE(Lee)

Believe me, Bystander is not "cornered" at all. Nor has he been "burned" by anyone.

Perhaps you could refrain from using expressions like, "believe me." What's a revelation to you is only a story to someone else. I don't form my beliefs from stories, but rather from demonstrations, forthrightness, and logic. This is no time for faith, regardless of your urgent desire for me to believe you. His indubitable demonstration (attitude in posting) defies your claims.

QUOTE(Lee)

Laurence I find your comments very strange. Let me tell you something--I do hope you are listening carefully. Bystander is very close to the situation at 3ABN. Very close.

This statement is not useful to me at all. It's reminiscent of the old outdated authoritarian method of teaching undergraduates. I know, my loss ;-)

QUOTE(Lee)

I do hope you understand what I am saying.

It's important to come to the surface with what you're trying to get across, and not bury your well-meaning

intentions with such deep implication. Full disclosure is required for there to be any progress. "His life was an open book."

QUOTE(Lee)

Because he is close to 3ABN, HE KNOWS. He knows exactly what has been going on at 3ABN, all the ins and outs, ups and downs. He knows Danny and he knows Linda.

How do you know he *really* knows? I get the distinct impression he's trying to shape public perception rather than offering unbiased accounts. I'm hardly influenced by people that may have a conflict of interest, or an apparent strategy to reshape public perception.

QUOTE(Lee)

It would be smart to sit up and pay attention to what Bystander says.

Unless, of course, he's unable to demonstrate what he's saying, or offer valid arguments. Stories and biased accounts don't make it no matter how accurate they may possible be. People who are susceptible to believing through such a process are nothing but sheep. If one cannot demonstrate what one is saying, nothing is really being said at all. I'd be happy if he'd do more than just offer official fiats. Nowadays, so much more is expected from those searching for truth.

BTW, re all this court-talk, surely you realize the damage has already been done. Litigation is beside the point at this stage of the game.

Please don't be offended by my conclusions so far. There's still a ways to go, and I leave the door open. The *best* way for you to look at things may not be the *right* way at all.

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 12:31 PM

Laurence I like the way you think and present yourself.... very reasonable whatever conclusions you may come to... 😊

Posted by: sister Feb 27 2007, 02:24 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 01:41 AM) [snapback]180913[/snapback]

I truly wish it was the end of the story, but sadly it is not. Let me just say that no one on this board has done any more evil surmising, accusing and throwing allegations than you, sister. You have shown, over and over that you care not who gets hurt by your evil slander. Even children are not exempt when you try to ruin their parents. And don't try to tell me that whomever, did it to themselves by their own behavior because, you know and God knows, no matter what anyone did or didn't do, it doesn't need to be all over the internet. I really have no idea why that you hate 3abn so much, or why you hate the shelton's so much, and truthfully, I really don't care. No matter what vengeance you seek and and what reasons you have for doing so, nothing excuses your attempts at trying to destroy, reputations, families, marriages, the children involved, a whole entire church, and a great ministry. You have even slandered the families of your "friends." With friends like you, who needs enemy's. You have allowed your evil imagination and surmisings to run wild, and have shown nothing or no one any kind of respect, not even for those that are deceased and cannot defend themselves. Your hate and bitterness show through with every post that you make. The word says, If you are guilty of breaking one, then you are guilty of breaking all.....think about it...slander, untruths, deceitful insinuations, the spreading of rumors, it is all sin in the eyes of God. Therefore, you are no less sinful than those that you accuse of such horrible wrongs.

The difference between you and others on your side of the camp, is that you never just make statements that you don't like DS or the way he does things or you think there needs to be some changes made etc etc...you dive in and try to drive blows way below the belt and you don't care who gets in the way. Some of the people you have tried to destroy have never, done anything to you. And, in fact, have been good to you. But hey they are just deterrents on your mission to try and take DS down, so strike them down too, no matter who they are. I would love for christianitys sake to just say you don't realize what you are doing or you are just making some big mistakes. But I can't. The things you have said are calculated and thought out. Just remember whether a person murders, steals, commits adultery, or assasinates a persons character with slander, falsehoods and halftruths, the fires will burn the same for the sinners. It isn't too late to stop what you are doing. I and others pray for you and for the bitterness and hate that pushes you to do this. We will keep praying.

Bystander, your post speaks volumes about you and nothing about me. There is no bitterness or hate within my heart toward the ministry of 3ABN, I have not committed slander through falsehoods and halftruths, but I have given true testimony in the hope that the camp will be cleansed and God's character will no longer be misrepresented through the heinous actions of individuals that claim to represent him to the world or as ministers of the Gospel.

Bystander, I forgive you for your attempts to slander my good name and misrepresent my motives. I have pity for you in my heart because of the yoke of ignorance and bitterness that burdens you. I wish you the complete healing power of the Holy Spirit that comes to those that repent and accept the Lordship of Christ in their lives.

Sister

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 02:41 PM

That is so true sister. What's written inbetween the lines of that post cannot be hidden from the eyes of those who have acquired a more profound and perceptive wisdom. But, thank God he has hidden these things from the worldly-wise and revealed them to babes like you.

By opening his mouth, a fool only slays himself.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 02:53 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 27 2007, 01:24 PM) [snapback]181015[/snapback]

Bystander, your post speaks volumes about you and nothing about me. There is no bitterness or hate within my heart toward the ministry of 3ABN, I have not committed slander through falsehoods and halftruths, but I have given true testimony in the hope that the camp will be cleansed and God's character will no longer be misrepresented through the heinous actions of individuals that claim to represent him to the world or as ministers of the Gospel.

Bystander, I forgive you for your attempts to slander my good name and misrepresent my motives. I have pity for you in my heart because of the yoke of ignorance and bitterness that burdens you. I wish you the complete healing power of the Holy Spirit that comes to those that repent and accept the Lordship of Christ in their lives.

Sister

This is the kind of spirit we should all exhibit here ...

Posted by: Bystander Feb 27 2007, 03:12 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 01:53 PM) [snapback]181023[/snapback]

This is the kind of spirit we should all exhibit here ...

You show once again that you can't see the forest for the trees. Were you online the other night when sister attacked Melody? She did it with such a smug and "tell all" spirit. She did it with no concern for her friend Derrell or the children involved. Have you read her other hundreds of post that reek with satisfaction of trying to destroy reputations? When she is not, out and out calling people, liars, adulterers, thieves, etc...she plants innuendo that causes speculation and finally grows into a "fact" before it is all said and done. Then, if called on it, she always back pedals to "oh my, I am just doing what God wants me to do, and I will pray for 3abn. Go back, expresso, Look at the spirit of her posts.

If that is the kind of spirit we all should exhibit then I am truly fearful for the name of christianity. I am sure we have all, at times, said things that we shouldn't have but her posts are way beyond that. They are cruel, nasty, and smack with an air of superiority and, a hate, that she cannot hide.

And again, haven't you believed what she has to say without asking her to identify herself, since she claims to know firsthand?

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 03:28 PM

Isaiah had an attitude like that, early on (Ch. 1-6), where he said woe unto everyone else. But then he looked in the mirror, and in the light of humility finally exclaimed, "woe is me, for I am undone, for I am a man of unclean lips."

Posted by: Johann Feb 27 2007, 03:37 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 10:12 PM) [snapback]181026[/snapback]

You show once again that you can't see the forest for the trees. Were you online the other night when sister attacked Melody? She did it with such a smug and "tell all" spirit. She did it with no concern for her friend Derrell or the children involved. Have you read her other hundreds of post that reek with satisfaction of trying to destroy reputations? When she is not, out and out calling people, liars, adulterers, thieves, etc...she plants innuendo that causes speculation and finally grows into a "fact" before it is all said and done. Then, if called on it, she always back pedals to "oh my, I am just doing what God wants me to do, and I will pray for 3abn. Go back, expresso, Look at the spirit of her posts.

If that is the kind of spirit we all should exhibit then I am truly fearful for the name of christianity. I am sure we have all, at times, said things that we shouldn't have but her posts are way beyond that. They are cruel, nasty, and smack with an air of superiority and, a hate, that she cannot hide.

And again, haven't you believed what she has to say without asking her to identify herself, since she claims to know firsthand?

If you call her methods cruel, then I need a much stronger word to describe your methods. Here you give the impression that since she is attempting to make things right you feel hurt. In stead of handling the issues you attack the person who uses her God-given talents in concise and humorous writing to challenge what is

destroying 3ABN right now. Why not rather ask, What does God want us to do to make things right?

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 04:02 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 12:12 PM) [snapback]181026[/snapback]

You show once again that you can't see the forest for the trees. Were you online the other night when sister attacked Melody? She did it with such a smug and "tell all" spirit. She did it with no concern for her friend Derrell or the children involved. Have you read her other hundreds of post that reek with satisfaction of trying to destroy reputations? When she is not, out and out calling people, liars, adulterers, thieves, etc...she plants innuendo that causes speculation and finally grows into a "fact" before it is all said and done. Then, if called on it, she always back pedals to "oh my, I am just doing what God wants me to do, and I will pray for 3abn. Go back, expresso, Look at the spirit of her posts.

If that is the kind of spirit we all should exhibit then I am truly fearful for the name of christianity. I am sure we have all, at times, said things that we shouldn't have but her posts are way beyond that. They are cruel, nasty, and smack with an air of superiority and, a hate, that she cannot hide.

And again, haven't you believed what she has to say without asking her to identify herself, since she claims to know firsthand?

Bystander,

Why do you fear sister the most out of the all the people on this forum she seems to bother you the most, or are you scared that she might know things you would rater not be made public.

I am really wondering why you are so scared of her, while i read her posts and listen to them like i do yours, her post are not the ones that carry the most weight with me personally.

Johann, b. kerr, and duane's, posts carry the strongest rings of truth.

but you have no were near the venmon for them i wonder why?

Maybe sister is more dangerous to you then the rest of us think.

Sister maybe you can tell us all why bystander really seems to have it out for you.

Erik

Posted by: Johann Feb 27 2007, 04:23 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 11:02 PM) [snapback]181037[/snapback]

Bystander,

Why do you fear sister the most out of the all the people on this forum she seems to bother you the most, or are you scared that she might know things you would rater not be made public.

I am really wondering why you are so scared of her, while i read her posts and listen to them like i do yours, her post are not the ones that carry the most weight with me personally.

Johann, b. kerr, and duane's, posts carry the strongest rings of truth.

but you have no were near the venmon for them i wonder why?

Maybe sister is more dangerous to you then the rest of us think.

Sister maybe you can tell us all why bystander really seems to have it out for you.

Erik

Thank you, Eric. Bystander knows who the three of us are, and he is dying to find out who sister is. He might realize that she has an extraordinary powerful pen that may pierce a certain image he is defending.

I cannot say for sure, but the time may come soon when he will know too well who sister is.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 27 2007, 04:24 PM

All this court talk is tiresome. If Danny and 3abn actually have proof then let them present it to the web sites that are bringing the charges against them. We do pay attention here, if they can present verifiable truth I would gladly change my mind about the situation. The fact is they have not shown a shard of truth to contradict the charges against them. If they had the proof why did they not present it years ago so none of this would be going on now? The only reason for them to take anyone to court is to silence the truth through high priced lawyers. The fact it if they had proof and would have presented it to the people there would be no need for anyone to go to court or these forums.

So what is happening is the Dannyscribes, Bystander, Lee, Altheia, wwjd and the like get on here and bolster the case, not of Danny, but of his critics. If they really want to silence the critics and avoid the courts let them reveal themselves for who they are and show us the proof. Put up or shut up should be their motto, instead of speak up and show nothing. (Ok actually they are showing plenty, but it's not what they are trying to show)

Richard

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 04:38 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 27 2007, 01:23 PM) [snapback]181042[/snapback]

Thank you, Eric. Bystander knows who the three of us are, and he is dying to find out who sister is. He might realize that she has an extraordinary powerful pen that may pierce a certain image he is defending.

I cannot say for sure, but the time may come soon when he will know too well who sister is.

johann,

Well i just wish that every body would just let every body else know all the facts that they have then the rest of us can judge accordingly in the mean time i understand reason why some conceal their names.

I mean it has been stated the truth has nothing to hid, lets get the facts and rest of us on this forum and around

the world in the sda church can judge for ourself's.

By the way your years of service to the SDA church remind of my grandfather, his family was from Sweden, also for that matter thank you for your years of working for the church.

Erik

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 05:49 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 02:12 PM) [snapback]181026[/snapback]

You show once again that you can't see the forest for the trees. Were you online the other night when sister attacked Melody? She did it with such a smug and "tell all" spirit. She did it with no concern for her friend Derrell or the children involved. Have you read her other hundreds of post that reek with satisfaction of trying to destroy reputations? When she is not, out and out calling people, liars, adulterers, thieves, etc...she plants innuendo that causes speculation and finally grows into a "fact" before it is all said and done. Then, if called on it, she always back pedals to "oh my, I am just doing what God wants me to do, and I will pray for 3abn. Go back, expresso, Look at the spirit of her posts.

If that is the kind of spirit we all should exhibit then I am truly fearful for the name of christianity. I am sure we have all, at times, said things that we shouldn't have but her posts are way beyond that. They are cruel, nasty, and smack with an air of superiority and, a hate, that she cannot hide.

And again, haven't you believed what she has to say without asking her to identify herself, since she claims to know firsthand?

Techniques used in this post by Bystander:

1. Accusation by way of projection (psychology term meaning taking one's own issues and assigning them to other personalities)

I didn't automatically believe her, Bystander. I do now--because her testimony is corroborated by other tales of woe coming out of 3ABN. People whose names we know.

We don't know your name, or wwd's, or Aletheia's, or Lee's. You are all on the side of entrenched powers, and you have a lot less to lose by revealing yourself than sister does. Heck you guys are the ones with the big law firm on your side ...

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 27 2007, 06:08 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 05:49 PM) [snapback]181066[/snapback]

We don't know your name, or wwd's, or Aletheia's, or Lee's.

Aletheia did identify herself as "Cindy, from Lafayette, IN" early on... others continue to rehearse for their CourtTV closeup, invoking their 5th amendment right against self incrimination... who knew that not being anonymous could be incriminating...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 06:11 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 04:49 PM) [snapback]181066[/snapback]

Techniques used in this post by Bystander:

1. Accusation by way of projection (psychology term meaning taking one's own issues and assigning them to other personalities)

I didn't automatically believe her, Bystander. I do now--because her testimony is corroborated by other tales of woe coming out of 3ABN. People whose names we know.

We don't know your name, or wwd's, or Aletheia's, or Lee's. You are all on the side of entrenched powers, and you have a lot less to lose by revealing yourself than sister does. Heck you guys are the ones with the big law firm on your side ...

Ecc 4:1 I looked again and saw people being mistreated everywhere on earth. They were crying, but no one was there to offer comfort, and those who **mistreated them were powerful.**

seems that happens more often than not..... the powerful oppress....

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 06:42 PM

Good point Clay. To go along with that, I heard on 3abn yesterday that the Kingdom of Heaven does not use the principle of force, but rather relies on voluntary action. May we assume the likes of BKerr was dropped from the program, voluntarily?

:raises one eyebrow:

Posted by: Johann Feb 28 2007, 03:55 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 28 2007, 12:49 AM) [snapback]181066[/snapback]

Techniques used in this post by Bystander:

1. Accusation by way of projection (psychology term meaning taking one's own issues and assigning them to other personalities)

This projection is what I discovered from the very beginning of the accusations hurled at Linda. Again and again I had the opportunity to verify that so many of the accusations were things the accuser had done him/her-self that were projected to someone else.

The worst part of it is that the accuser seemed so honest in describing how someone else did what that person had done him/her self, and they managed to convince so many they were telling the truth.

This is a general observation I have made and one of many reasons I know I am telling the truth - because I was

in a situation where I could observe and experience the happening myself or have them verified by eye witnesses. It seemed strange, but several of these eye witnesses had absolutely nothing to do with the case itself, but honestly told me what they had observed.

Such projections have caused the greatest tragedy I have experienced in my life. I feel so sorry for the people working so hard on letting such evil continue.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 28 2007, 04:03 PM

Ok, I just have to say this.....I just can't believe that 17 pages later there is still discussion about this pregnancy test.....Never mind.....

Posted by: Pickle Feb 28 2007, 04:29 PM

Just in case you didn't notice, on page 13 is an email from Linda to Dr. Abrahamsen warning him about the joke she was about to pull on Danny the next day.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 28 2007, 04:37 PM

I noticed, but it has always been maintained that she meant it for a joke. four pages longer is still to long, if you have proof that she said in advance that it was a joke. there is no more discussion, she didn't think she was pregnant, her intentions were clear.....case closed, BTJM..... 🙄

Posted by: Johann Feb 28 2007, 04:46 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 28 2007, 11:37 PM) [snapback]181282[/snapback]

I noticed, but it has always been maintained that she meant it for a joke. four pages longer is still to long, if you have proof that she said in advance that it was a joke. there is no more discussion, she didn't think she was pregnant, her intentions were clear.....case closed, BTJM..... 🙄

That would be heaven on earth if the oppressed could get that easily away from their aggressors.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 28 2007, 05:16 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 28 2007, 04:03 PM) [snapback]181273[/snapback]

Ok, I just have to say this.....I just can't believe that 17 pages later there is still discussion about this pregnancy test.....Never mind.....

(psssst, Di..... There are 7 pages on the previous thread about it... before you even start counting the 17 pages on this thread.)



Posted by: princessdi Feb 28 2007, 07:09 PM

LOL!! See! That's what I mean! Far too many pages for something maintained from the beginning and now supported with

documentation.

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 28 2007, 02:16 PM) [snapback]181293[/snapback]

(psssst, Di..... There are 7 pages on the previous thread about it.... before you even start counting the 17 pages on this thread.) 😊

Posted by: Bystander Feb 28 2007, 07:46 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 28 2007, 03:29 PM) [snapback]181279[/snapback]

Just in case you didn't notice, on page 13 is an email from Linda to Dr. Abrahamsen warning him about the joke she was about to pull on Danny the next day.

Yes we all saw the "tone" of that email. Pretty friendly attitude for a professional relationship. Especially when the Doc knew that he was the suspect. So, the story is, DS suspects his wife of having an affair with the afore mentioned doc. He is hurt and upset. So, she and the doc have a little email chat about how funny it would be to make DS think she is pregnant from the suspected affair? And the suspect that claims DS was a lunatic, goes along with it? And yet she maintains that she loved him to a fault. Why would you purposely hurt somebody in that way, and call it a joke. You can twist and spin and excuse all you want, but to quote sister, "this dog don't hunt."

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 28 2007, 07:55 PM

Bystander, that dog may hunt just fine if you follow the trail more closely, and don't let bitterness cloud your perception. Remember anything being said about a husband who shrouded the atmosphere of his home with doubt, jealousy, and skepticism...pawing through every bag and drawer for something, just something? Have any idea the harm and separation that comes from this kind of attitude? Women get real tired of this. And, it sounds all too familiar in a marriage where God no longer exists.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 28 2007, 08:41 PM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Feb 28 2007, 06:55 PM) [snapback]181330[/snapback]

Bystander, that dog may hunt just fine if you follow the trail more closely, and don't let bitterness cloud your perception. Remember anything being said about a husband who shrouded the atmosphere of his home with doubt, jealousy, and skepticism...pawing through every bag and drawer for something, just something? Have any idea the harm and separation that comes from this kind of attitude? Women get real tired of this. And, it sounds all too familiar in a marriage where God no longer exists.

I have a very real feeling that you do not know the parties involved (or at least one of them) and probably have never been to 3abn. Read my other posts of how anyone that knows DS knows he always put LS on a pedestal to the point of being nauseating. Now if a man thought that highly of his wife, something caused him to suspect that

wrong doing had entered into the picture. A man doesn't just wake up one day after 20 years praising and loving his wife and "just decide" that she is being unfaithful. He had not been suspicious and hanging a dark cloud over their marriage for 20 years. Why start now out of no where. Use your head man. Many verifiable "things" led him up to that point of thinking. Of course I know I am wasting my time. There are too many things you don't know and I am sure, don't want to know, for you to come to any kind of intelligent conclusion. So, pontificate all you want, but I will say, as nicely as I can, You have no idea what you're talking about. 😊

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 28 2007, 08:45 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 26 2007, 06:28 AM) [snapback]180704[/snapback]

As to the pregnancy test.....

As has been pointed out there are several good reasons for the purchase of that pregnancy test:

- 1) It could have been for someone else.
- 2) People (Danny) who have been "fixed" do have the "fix" go bad and father children. Linda was still living with Danny at the time. She may have needed to check to see if Danny was on the way

You are supposed to be representing Linda...

(and so didn't mention as she is accused of adultery, and her ex-husband is fixed, that possibly she bought a pregnancy test -not found in her car- because she thought she may have become pregnant from another who wasn't sterile...)

Why did you post the above, when Bob just posted a letter allegedly from her to the Doctor claiming "You know how Dan has been searching my car? I've got a little surprise for him. But I wanted to warn you that a brand new rumor may very well make its way to Norway. Tomorrow he's going to find a carefully hidden pregnancy test in it. My only regret is that I won't be there to see his face. Do you think it will be worth the rumors?? I think so!!?"

If either of your "could have beens" are true, then who lied?

Linda in her letter?

Linda in telling Bob this was a letter she had sent, when she didn't?

Or Bob, in claiming the letter was from Linda???

Posted by: Bystander Feb 28 2007, 08:47 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 03:02 PM) [snapback]181037[/snapback]

Bystander,

Maybe sister is more dangerous to you then the rest of us think.

Erik

I don't know who sister is, so how could she be dangerous to me? I have my suspicions, as we all do but can't say for a fact.

Why does she bother me? Because she tries harder than most to paint 3abn and the people there, in the most horrible ways that she can think of, with no thought to all that she is hurting needlessly. That tells me that there is a motive, revenge and a vendetta that she seeks to accomplish. Why does that bother me? I have seldom run into people that truly "want" and "desire" to hurt the way she does.

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 28 2007, 08:58 PM

I'm sure you (strike that) he loved her. Jealousy is a good sign sometimes. But, what he may not have understood--and this is where the dog hunts--is that if a woman loses interest, it's not *really* another man's fault per se. I can't say what happened for sure, as I know none of the parties involved, but if what you claim is true, I can assure you that a man's focus is misdirected if he's begging his disinterested wife to stay. This would be the perspective of a man who has lost touch and can no longer reason from cause to effect.

You get my drift?

Posted by: erik Feb 28 2007, 10:00 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 05:41 PM) [snapback]181348[/snapback]

I have a very real feeling that you do not know the parties involved (or at least one of them) and probably have never been to 3abn. Read my other posts of how anyone that knows DS knows he always put LS on a pedestal to the point of being nauseating. Now if a man thought that highly of his wife, something caused him to suspect that wrong doing had entered into the picture. A man doesn't just wake up one day after 20 years praising and loving his wife and "just decide" that she is being unfaithful. He had not been suspicious and hanging a dark cloud over their marriage for 20 years. Why start now out of no where. Use your head man. Many verifiable "things" led him up to that point of thinking. Of course I know I am wasting my time. There are too many things you don't know and I am sure, don't want to know, for you to come to any kind of intelligent conclusion. So, pontificate all you want, but I will say, as nicely as I can, You have no idea what you're talking about. 😊

bystander,

how can we know what is top secret in 3 abn land if no tells us, so we can make smart decisions based on facts, your group is holding the cards play them or go home, but which every you do please stop teasing us.

erik

Posted by: watchbird Feb 28 2007, 10:39 PM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Feb 28 2007, 08:58 PM) [snapback]181356[/snapback]

I'm sure you (strike that) he loved her. Jealousy is a good sign sometimes. But, what he may not have

understood--and this is where the dog hunts--is that if a woman loses interest, it's not *really* another man's fault per se. I can't say what happened for sure, as I know none of the parties involved, but if what you claim is true, I can assure you that a man's focus is misdirected if he's begging his disinterested wife to stay. This would be the perspective of a man who has lost touch and can no longer reason from cause to effect.

You get my drift?

But what if what BS claims is NOT true?

What if, instead of "begging his disinterested wife to stay" he is in fact answering her distressed cries of "WHY are you being so mean to me?" by saying, "Because I want you to get out."

What if, when he asks the evangelist (on camera) to pray that his wife comes back to him, she, seeing the scene on TV asks plaintively, "Why is he saying that? I haven't left him. I stayed away from campmeeting because he TOLD me to do so."

What if he tells his family that he is getting a divorce from Linda even before he tells her he wants her to get one?

What if, in fact, he reasons very well from "cause to effect", and knows that he cannot merely dump Linda and marry another.... but he has to do it in such a way as to convince OTHERS, including his lady love, as well as his board chairman, the prominent evangelist who gives him so much credibility by the nice things he says about him.... and all of his board members... that it was Linda who ditched him, left, ran away to some other man?

Keep reading Laurence. Check out the "back trail" of all the things that BS says about others.... see how accurately his portrayal and judgement of them are. Read through Sister's posts.... you can do that, you know, without having to read all of the dialogue in each thread.... just go to her profile, click on "Profile Options", choose "Find member's posts", and you'll have a list of all of her posts arranged in newest first order. She has 451 posts since she started posting here almost a year ago. See how many of them you have to read in order to confirm or contradict the picture of Sister that BS paints of her.

I suspect it will not be long before you join some of us in observing that BS, as well as other Dannyites on board, tend to describe themselves more accurately than they do those whom they claim they are describing.

Carry on.....



Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 1 2007, 12:24 AM

I'm speechless.

I've been reading sister's posts. Thanks.

Posted by: Fran Mar 1 2007, 01:35 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 07:47 PM) [snapback]181352[/snapback]

I don't know who sister is, so how could she be dangerous to me? I have my suspicions, as we all do but can't say for a fact.

Why does she bother me? Because she tries harder than most to paint Sabn and the people there, in the most horrible ways that she can think of, with no thought to all that she is hurting needlessly. That tells me that there is a motive, revenge and a vendetta that she seeks to accomplish. Why does that bother me? I have seldom run into people that truly "want" and "desire" to hurt the way she does.

Huh?

Do I see a severe case of transference? Linda has been under a gag order since "Forever" and has just asked to put it all on the table. And through all her silence, when has she said enough to get this comment?

I have seldom run into people that truly "want" and "desire" to hurt the way she does.

The more I read, the more I see that there is something very wrong in this statement, IMO. I have never talked to Linda. I have sent her emails telling her how much her silence and courage has done for me.

As I see it, she is the one with the fruits of the spirit. IMO, I do not see good fruit from comments such as those that have been made about Linda, including this comment above. I seem to remember some very disgusting emails that Danny sent out that never got posted. IMO, they should be released as soon as possible. These emails would definitely shed some new light on this situation.

Danny has stated he is going to sue several for slander and liable. I believe, IMO, that Linda could/should do the very same thing. Better yet, it might be good to keep those emails for court. The people should be able to read ALL of those communications all at once.

This is not about Linda, IMO.

Posted by: daylily Mar 1 2007, 07:53 AM

QUOTE

Read my other posts of how anyone that knows DS knows he always put LS on a pedestal to the point of being nauseating.

I don't know, having not heard this myself, but it sounds insincere and fake to me to brag on somebody to the point of being nauseating. Was he trying to convince her and whoever heard him of how much he loved her because he needed to cover tracks?

Posted by: watchbird Mar 1 2007, 08:41 AM

In response to Bystander's comment....."Read my other posts of how anyone that knows DS knows he always put LS on a pedestal to the point of being nauseating."

Daylily said.....

QUOTE(daylily @ Mar 1 2007, 07:53 AM) [snapback]181417[/snapback]

I don't know, having not heard this myself, but it sounds insincere and fake to me to brag on somebody to the point of being nauseating. Was he trying to convince her and whoever heard him of how much he loved her because he needed to cover tracks?

What is striking about this comment of Bystander's is that it goes so against the statements of others who have witnessed Danny and Linda together and who made comments much different than this. Search through BSDA and you will find these.... varying from some who worked with them to some who merely saw them on TV, yet noticed that there were subtle (and not-so-subtle) putdowns and not only unkind, but cutting remarks in what Danny said about or to Linda.... even when cloaked in garments of "praise" or "humor".

Bystander's comments... as well as his phraseology..... however, sounds remarkably similar to what Danny himself has said. I find that rather interesting. BS must be a very "close" associate of Danny to have picked up and internalized this so well.

Posted by: Clay Mar 1 2007, 09:47 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 1 2007, 07:41 AM) [snapback]181420[/snapback]

Bystander's comments... as well as his phraseology..... however, sounds remarkably similar to what Danny himself has said. I find that rather interesting. BS must be a very "close" associate of Danny to have picked up and internalized this so well.

dannyclone.....

Posted by: justme Mar 1 2007, 12:56 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ Feb 28 2007, 05:29 PM) [snapback]181279[/snapback]

Just in case you didn't notice, on page 13 is an email from Linda to Dr. Abrahamsen warning him about the joke she was about to pull on Danny the next day.

I'm so curious as to HOW this supposed email from Linda to Dr. Abrahamsen got published.

Did Linda decide it too good to keep to herself?

Did Dr.Abrahamsen wish to share it?

or is it another "intercepted email"

or another "fabricated email"?


It doesn't sound like either of them to divulge it if true; unless it was supposed to truly be a joke to post it,

Is it a joke on us, too?

Oh what fodder we choose to chew on.

We will NEVER know the truth, even after hundreds of pages of "posts", will we ...!

Posted by: Clay Mar 1 2007, 01:06 PM



Hillary Clinton goes to her doctor for a physical, only to find out that she's pregnant. She is furious... Here she's in the middle of her first run for president, and as Senator of New York this has happened to her. She calls home, gets Bill on the phone and immediately starts screaming;

"How could you have let this happen? With all that's going on right now, you go and get me pregnant! How could you? I can't believe this! I just found out I am five weeks pregnant and it is all your fault! Your fault!

Well, what have you got to say?" There is nothing but dead silence on the phone. She screams again, "Did you hear me?"

Finally she hears Bill's very, very quiet voice. In a barely audible whisper, he says, "Who is this?"



okay, back to your regularly scheduled thread.... (that seems to never end)

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 1 2007, 01:14 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 06:41 PM) [snapback]181348[/snapback]

I have a very real feeling that you do not know the parties involved (or at least one of them) and probably have never been to 3abn. Read my other posts of how anyone that knows DS knows he always put LS on a pedestal to the point of being nauseating. Now if a man thought that highly of his wife, something caused him to suspect that wrong doing had entered into the picture. A man doesn't just wake up one day after 20 years praising and loving his wife and "just decide" that she is being unfaithful. He had not been suspicious and hanging a dark cloud over their marriage for 20 years. Why start now out of no where. Use your head man. **Many verifiable "things" led him up to that point of thinking.** Of course I know I am wasting my time. There are too many things you don't know and I am sure, don't want to know, for you to come to any kind of intelligent conclusion. So, pontificate all you want, but I will say, as nicely as I can, You have no idea what you're talking about. 😊


Bystander,

I'm very willing to listen. Please list the "Many verifiable 'things' that led DS up to that point of thinking. You are only wasting your time if there really aren't such "things".

PB

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 1 2007, 01:17 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 1 2007, 01:06 PM) [snapback]181478[/snapback]



Hillary Clinton goes to her doctor for a physical, only to find out that she's pregnant. She is furious... Here she's in the middle of her first run for president, and as Senator of New York this has happened to her. She calls home, gets Bill on the phone and immediately starts screaming;

"How could you have let this happen? With all that's going on right now, you go and get me pregnant! How could you? I can't believe this! I just found out I am five weeks pregnant and it is all your fault! Your fault!

Well, what have you got to say?" There is nothing but dead silence on the phone. She screams again, "Did you hear me?"

Finally she hears Bill's very, very quiet voice. In a barely audible whisper, he says, "Who is this?"



okay, back to your regularly scheduled thread... (that seems to never end)

Now yo know 🤔

HH, you need to hursh you mouf 🤔

Posted by: watchbird Mar 1 2007, 02:03 PM

QUOTE(justme @ Mar 1 2007, 12:56 PM) [snapback]181475[/snapback]

I'm so curious as to HOW this supposed email from Linda to Dr. Abrahamsen got published.

Did Linda decide it too good to keep to herself?

Did Dr. Abrahamsen wish to share it?

or is it another "intercepted email"

or another "fabricated email"?

It doesn't sound like either of them to divulge it if true; unless it was supposed to truly be a joke to post it,

Is it a joke on us, too?

Oh what fodder we choose to chew on.

We will NEVER know the truth, even after hundreds of pages of "posts", will we ...!

Read the two threads from the beginning. The truth has already been posted here.... and verified by more than two sources. There are a few variances in details among those first presenting the story, but when put together it turned out that they were all part of the same narrative, and meshed perfectly. For example, a hasty reading would seem to disagree as to where the pg test was left for Danny to find.... but a more careful one reveals that after leaving the shopping bags in the car for sometime without Danny exploring them, that the one containing the pg test was moved to a room in the house... one that Danny had no business even being in.... but was the place where he finally discovered it.

Only much later on did the Dannyites come on and tell the story completely differently. But if you examine the "totally different" story, you will find that it matches the story that Danny and Walt have told from the beginning. A story that does NOT match the ones told by Linda on her website, by Johann telling of his first person experiences, nor by Arild who was the other person accused of being a demon and of "stealing Linda". Your decision as to what is truth will be made depending on which of the basic stories you believe. And many here have found that an easy decision to make once they read all of the testimonies from both sides.

As for <http://www.blackstda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=10145&view=findpost&p=180634>..... My take on it is that it is genuine, and that it was originally sent not only to Arild, but also to others of Linda's friends. If you read the threads on this, you will find it stated that several of Linda's friends knew about it at the time..... and knew of it in advance of the actual moment that Danny discovered the pg test.

It has doubtless been said enough times, that Linda's friends, and Linda herself, think it was a stupid thing to do. Unfortunately, none of them realized just how stupid and dissuaded her from it before it actually played itself out. But in their defense for not doing so, keep the time line in mind. This was May 6. Neither Linda NOR her friends realized at this time that Danny's determination to rid himself of Linda was cast in stone. And they doubtless shared her thought that perhaps something so "far out" as this would jolt him with a realization of how unreasonable his actions toward her were being. Hindsight only revealed that she had merely played into his hands and given him something tangible that he would claim was "proof" that she had been physically unfaithful to him.

As for knowing the truth, each will have to decide for themselves whether to continue to "chew on fodder" or to accept the first person testimonies of those who have identified themselves here and told the story as they experienced and witnessed it.

Posted by: Bystander Mar 1 2007, 05:26 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Mar 1 2007, 01:03 PM) [snapback]181489[/snapback]

As for knowing the truth, each will have to decide for themselves whether to continue to "chew on fodder" or to accept the **first person testimonies of those who have identified themselves here and told the story as they experienced and witnessed it.**

What people that witnessed it? Did I miss something? Who was there when Linda planted it. Who else was there when Danny found it? Who else was there to see and hear the exchange that took place between them, when it was found. If you are calling Linda the first person Testimony, that doesn't hold water, Danny has his side too. Unless there was someone else in the car, then in the house, then in the room then you are barking up the wrong tree. Same with the naive junk. Who was there to see Linda fall on her knees in prayer and at the same time see Dan in his office at 3abn looking on the internet. Same with Johann and the "springfield trip" between him, Linda and the Doc. He turned in at 10pm. He doesn't know what, if anything, transpired after that between the two. You say Danny wanted to get "rid" of her....Did you hear him say that? Do you know anyone that did hear him say that, other than what you get from Linda? Even if someone other than Linda told you that, how do you know unless you

were there? You have always ignored the fact that DS took LS all the way to the airport to catch a flight to Norway right after the divorce WITHOUT NATHAN. Why haven't you and the other mimics addressed that? Oh wait, I know, you are going to tell us she developed a sudden medical problem that only one doc in the whole world could fix? Address the fact that she ask DS to take her. And he did. Not to mention the conversation on the 2 hour drive. I await with baited breath to see what kind of concoction you come up with to explain or maybe deny the Norway trip without her son.

Posted by: Chez Mar 1 2007, 05:40 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 1 2007, 04:26 PM) [snapback]181532[/snapback]

[/color]

What people that witnessed it? Did I miss something? Who was there when Linda planted it. Who else was there when Danny found it? Who else was there to see and hear the exchange that took place between them, when it was found. If you are calling Linda the first person Testimony, that doesn't hold water, Danny has his side too. Unless there was someone else in the car, then in the house, then in the room then you are barking up the wrong tree. Same with the naive junk. Who was there to see Linda fall on her knees in prayer and at the same time see Dan in his office at 3abn looking on the internet. Same with Johann and the "springfield trip" between him, Linda and the Doc. He turned in at 10pm. He doesn't know what, if anything, transpired after that between the two. You say Danny wanted to get "rid" of her....Did you hear him say that? Do you know anyone that did hear him say that, other than what you get from Linda? Even if someone other than Linda told you that, how do you know unless you were there? You have always ignored the fact that DS took LS all the way to the airport to catch a flight to Norway right after the divorce WITHOUT NATHAN. Why haven't you and the other mimics addressed that? Oh wait, I know, you are going to tell us she developed a sudden medical problem that only one doc in the whole world could fix? Address the fact that she ask DS to take her. And he did. Not to mention the conversation on the 2 hour drive. I await with baited breath to see what kind of concoction you come up with to explain or maybe deny the Norway trip without her son.

Who told you that Danny drove her to the airport? Did Danny tell you that? Danny DID get rid of her!! He quickly divorced her. When people heard about the situation with Linda and Danny, they automatically believed Danny that Linda was unfaithful. However, minds began to change when they heard of the quick divorce. More minds have changed since Danny's marriage to Brandy. There was a hint of Danny's feelings for Brandy at her baptism. We didn't know who she was, but it was obvious that he had feelings for her.

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 1 2007, 05:53 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 1 2007, 05:26 PM) [snapback]181532[/snapback]

[/color]

BLAH BLAH BLAH, YADA, CLANG CLANG...

Bystander when exactly are you going to stop spewing the fodder that proceeds from your lips?

You want to speculate on whether the LS did whatever with the Dr. after being left alone, then lets speculate on why you and wwjd, after coming out of the closet so to speak, have not told us what you two de behind that keyboard of ours? One minute you are the epitome of truth because you are upstanding members defending DS/TS and their upstanding members then, in the next breath, one of you sticketh the foot in the mouth of the

other. Y'all simplee need to hursh 🙄

Just HURSH cuz hoof in mouth is NOT a good look 🙄

Neither of you has ANY credibility here. Not one shred of credibility. With that said, Get Thee Hence and go bow before the porcelain bowl of your master for whatever else it is his desires to serve up, which you will, with undoubted glee, spew here.

Posted by: watchbird Mar 1 2007, 06:23 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 1 2007, 05:26 PM) [snapback]181532[/snapback]

[/color] I await with baited breath to see what kind of concoction you come up with to explain or maybe deny the Norway trip without her son.

Be careful with that "baited breath" act.... you never know what you might attract with the "bait".... OTOH... if you meant bated breath.... then you still better be careful.... cause I've know folk who actually held their breath so long they passed out... and didn't start breathing again ever..... so sad..... happened to a cousin of mine actually.... a

Navy Seal..... but that's



.... sorry about that.... 😞

Posted by: graceto you Mar 1 2007, 06:34 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 28 2007, 04:55 PM) [snapback]181271[/snapback]

This projection is what I discovered from the very beginning of the accusations hurled at Linda. Again and again I had the opportunity to verify that so many of the accusations were things the accuser had done him/her-self that were projected to someone else.

The worst part of it is that the accuser seemed so honest in describing how someone else did what that person had done him/her self, and they managed to convince so many they were telling the truth.

"He who is guilty of wrong is the first to suspect wrong. By condemning another he is trying to conceal or excuse the evil of his own heart." Thoughts From the Mount of Blessing, p. 126

Posted by: gardener Mar 1 2007, 06:46 PM

What I don't understand is why if DS loved Linda soooo much did he give up on her after only a couple of months. and got rid of her as quick as he could. I saw a program on 3ABN not too long ago where DS interviewed a couple where the wife had an affair with a pastor and how the couple worked it out and didn't give up on each other. Quite frankly it made DS look pretty bad when you think how quickly he disposed of Linda. Also, before all that happened I saw a "on the porch" program around valentines day where Danny came out and sat and talked with Linda. Can't remember now why but what I saw made me think then something wasn't right in that relationship. My daughter who isn't SDA even remarked about it.



Posted by: Richard Sherwin Mar 1 2007, 07:36 PM




What? We're allowed to tell Clinton jokes on here? I thought this was Clinton country and only Bush jokes were allowed

Where have all the Dannyites gone today? Did they finally get the memo that they are hurting Danny by posting on here and should quit? Well Bystander was here but of course he only tells the truth so maybe Danny allows him to post.

Richard

QUOTE(Clay @ Mar 1 2007, 01:06 PM) [snapback]181478[/snapback]



Hillary Clinton goes to her doctor for a physical, only to find out that she's pregnant. She is furious... Here she's in the middle of her first run for president, and as Senator of New York this has happened to her. She calls home, gets Bill on the phone and immediately starts screaming;

"How could you have let this happen? With all that's going on right now, you go and get me pregnant! How could you? I can't believe this! I just found out I am five weeks pregnant and it is all your fault! Your fault!

Well, what have you got to say?" There is nothing but dead silence on the phone. She screams again, "Did you hear me?"

Finally she hears Bill's very, very quiet voice. In a barely audible whisper, he says, "Who is this?"



okay, back to your regularly scheduled thread.... (that seems to never end)

Posted by: lurker Mar 1 2007, 08:04 PM

One of the things I have been wondering right along is why Bystander and WWJD would try so hard to paint Danny as such a poor figure of a man that he couldn't keep a woman. He comes off looking pretty wimpy and lame in their version of things. Continually trying to please and constantly trying for sympathy and forever being rejected.

Looking for evidence and finding it and then being laughed at.

He looks much more macho in the opposition's version. Having women on the side and rejecting Linda instead of being

rejected.

Could their version of things be correct? Maybe that is why he drives such a big truck.

Posted by: Johann Mar 1 2007, 09:21 PM

[quote name='Bystander' date='Mar 2 2007, 12:26 AM' post='181532']
[/color]

What people that witnessed it? Did I miss something? Who was there when Linda planted it. [/quote]

Linda was not alone, so she has witnesses!

Who else was there when Danny found it? Who else was there to see and hear the exchange that took place between them, when it was found. If you are calling Linda the first person Testimony, that doesn't hold water, Danny has his side too. Unless there was someone else in the car, then in the house, then in the room then you are barking up the wrong tree.

If two witnesses contradict each other there is no proof! Why should Linda tell us when she came to visit us in Norway that she had driven alone to the airport and was surprised to see Danny there?

Same with the naive junk. Who was there to see Linda fall on her knees in prayer and at the same time see Dan in his office at 3abn looking on the internet. Same with Johann and the "springfield trip" between him, Linda and the Doc. He turned in at 10pm. He doesn't know what, if anything, transpired after that between the two.

Johann did not turn in at 10 pm. Johann and Arild Abrahamsen drove together to their hotel at 3 am from Alyssa's apartments because they were both trying to solve a software problem in Linda's computer so she could finish her letter to the board by morning!

You say Danny wanted to get "rid" of her....Did you hear him say that?

yes, definitely! Danny told me in his e-mails to me. He sent me up to three a day during that period, and he definitely made it clear that he wanted to get a divorce and get rid of Linda. When I suggested a plan to save their marriage to Walt Thompson, he stated,

- Johann, you know Danny! He will not accept that!

Do you know anyone that did hear him say that, other than what you get from Linda? Even if someone other than Linda told you that, how do you know unless you were there? You have always ignored the fact that DS took LS all the way to the airport to catch a flight to Norway right after the divorce WITHOUT NATHAN. Why haven't you and the other mimics addressed that? Oh wait, I know, you are going to tell us she developed a sudden medical problem that only one doc in the whole world could fix? Address the fact that she ask DS to take her. And he did. Not to mention the conversation on the 2 hour drive. I await with baited breath to see what kind of concoction you come up with to explain or maybe deny the Norway trip without her son.

[/quote]

Yes, Linda denies that Danny took her to the airport. She needed to get away from the ordeal to see her three best friends in Norway, and we were all so happy to see her. We had such a great time together! She dreaded to go back to Carbondale, but she had to.

Several times Danny and his scribes have insinuated that her trip to Norway after the divorce was one of the reasons he had to divorce her. What kind of "concoction" is that?

Posted by: wwjd Mar 2 2007, 03:56 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 1 2007, 08:21 PM) [snapback]181575[snapback]

Linda was not alone, so she has witnesses!

Who else was there when Danny found it? Who else was there to see and hear the exchange that took place between them, when it was found. If you are calling Linda the first person Testimony, that doesn't hold water, Danny has his side too. Unless there was someone else in the car, then in the house, then in the room then you are barking up the wrong tree.

If two witnesses contradict each other there is no proof! Why should Linda tell us when she came to visit us in Norway that she had driven alone to the airport and was surprised to see Danny there?

Exactly!!! So don't try to say there were "witnesses" about the pregnancy test. No one else was there when it all come down. It is her word against his, which are the facts in most of the information put on this board. The truth is no one else was with them during many discussions and/or events that took place.

She drove alone and was surprised to see Danny there? Surely you're kidding!!!! This post alone proves how prejudiced and gullible you are where Linda is concerned. The divorce is done, they aren't really having contact but Danny knows where she is going and who she is going to see and what time her flight leaves, and just "shows up" at an airport 2 1/2 hours away!!!! 🤔 Or are you trying to say he ran into her by accident?

🤔 If not, what are you saying? If he had come on his own to the airport what purpose would it have been for? Only one, that he loved her and was still willing to reconcile if she wouldn't go. And, that is what happened except, he drove her. Why, because she called him and ask him to. That is how he knew where she was going and when.

Now, even more telling, you said the reason for her trip was that she was coming to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS in the world? How can that be? All along she has maintained that the only relationship that she had with the doc, was strictly a professional relationship because of her son!!!

She has stuck with that story and so have her supporters throughout this whole ordeal!!!! Now you say he was one of her BEST FRIENDS?

Let's get real, shall we? If he had become "her best friend" how did that happen. That doesn't happen overnight and by talking strictly about her son's case. That must mean she had many more conversations with him than just professional. Since we confide in our best friends, that must mean she was confiding details of her And Danny's troubles to him (we already know that because of the supposed email to him about the pregnancy test). This says she had been talking to him all the while they were still married. Conclusion? She was a married woman. Doc was a single man. All this years of claiming strictly professional level, was not true. Danny became aware of all of these conversations and knew they went way beyond Nathan. He ask her to stop being personally involved with another man because at the very least, it was very inappropriate. She refused. He ask her several more times, she wouldn't. The very fact that she wouldn't stop, seeing the rift it was causing, says a whole lot. He demanded she stop when he found other "evidence" that proved to him, just how far things had went. She didn't. He went to his pastor. The pastor talked to her, she wouldn't stop. HOW many others talked to her? She wouldn't stop. There is no point in going on. When your husband says this is causing big trouble in our marriage, and pastors, friends, counselors say this is wrong it is inappropriate. To work on your marriage, the first thing you have to do is end this other relationship, and the wife still refuses. That says everything about her feelings for the other person. From there it went from bad to worse, and other evidence came to light to show that this had possibly not just been a "phone" relationship. Regardless, all the while DS is willing to work it out and all she has to do first, is stop the contact that had went way beyond her son.

Now I say all of that because ,Johann ,you did not deny that she went straight to Norway after the divorce. And you are the one who said she came to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS. She shouldn't have made a "male" "best friend" while she was married, that she would then, run to, half way across the world to see as soon as she

was free. Everyone here spouts that DS wouldn't take time to work on the marriage. How can you when your wife refuses to take the first step? As said before, there are many other things that came to light and even so, he was willing to work it out. She wasn't.

You say Danny wanted to get "rid" of her....Did you hear him say that?

yes, definitely! Danny told me in his e-mails to me. He sent me up to three a day during that period, and he definitely made it clear that he wanted to get a divorce and get rid of Linda.

Nice spin, but if and I say if he said he wanted to go ahead with the divorce it was because of the above stated reasons. There was no "reasoning" with her and she didn't want to make even 1 step to working things out. You take those facts and try to twist them that he just "for no reason" wanted a divorce.. If this whole thing wasn't over the doc why would he have been in contact with you period? If there were any other reason, you would have had nothing to do with it. Proves again what was really happening and why. The only reason for contact between you and DS was because you were the docs best friend and you knew that he and Linda had a "friendship." Maybe it is true (and it probably is) that you really didn't know the extent. I am sure since you were all such, good friends, you just took their word for it. Well, loyalty is a good thing up to a point.

Several times Danny and his scribes have insinuated that her trip to Norway after the divorce was one of the reasons he had to divorce her. What kind of "concoction" is that?

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce 🙄

Posted by: seraph|m Mar 2 2007, 04:25 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 1 2007, 09:21 PM) [snapback]181575[/snapback]

Linda was not alone, so she has witnesses!...

Several times Danny and his scribes have insinuated that her trip to Norway after the divorce was one of the reasons he had to divorce her. What kind of "concoction" is that?[/color]/[size]

Bro you know these folks are full of half truths and out right lies... If you recall the Wizard of Oz, remember to pay not attention to the man behind the curtain. He's making a lot of noise, and bluffing folks with slight of hand. But he is a paper dragon with no teeth.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 2 2007, 03:56 PM) [snapback]181716[/snapback]

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce 🙄

Remember Johann, and all others, these people have NO CREDIBILITY here... not one ounce.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 2 2007, 05:29 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 2 2007, 03:56 PM) [snapback]181716[/snapback]

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce 🙄

Exactly, it only supports a relationship between her and the Doctor, which had nothing to do with her son. I have never read anyone saying that the trip was the cause of the divorce but Johann and his fan club when they put that in the mouth of others...

Which is how most of the so called facts and relating of events about Linda's side have originated (from what I read). Johann says something (heresy, as he wasn't there). All told or interpreted by his best friend Linda. He repeats it as facts, assigns motives and intents to Danny based on what Linda tells him, repeats that as fact, Then all the anonymous Danny accusers repeat it as fact, claiming they have an identified witness, but never identify the person. Then other people arrive and say these anonymous people's testimony is true cause they all have the same story and other people who identify themselves by name verify what they are saying is true. Then Johann arrives agrees, and adds more details, and the stories keep spreading like manure.

I seriously believe when the facts are made known, these people will never see them, they are so loving the lies and convinced of their personal discernment and opinions.

But why should that be a suprise do you know how many have said, even if Linda is guilty, even if she lied even if she did commit adultery, that isn't the point, the point is that "DANNY WAS MEAN TO HER!"

As if husbands can't get upset and angry or be heartsick and not thinking straight when wives lie and commit adultery?

I thank God that others will see..

Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 2 2007, 06:01 PM

When the biblical process of accusations are followed, you don't bring unwarranted harm to the cause of god...

1 Tim 5:19 Do not entertain an accusation against an elder unless it is brought by two or three witnesses.

20 Those who sin are to be rebuked publicly, so that the others may take warning.

21 I charge you, in the sight of God and Christ Jesus and the elect angels, to keep these instructions without partiality, and to do nothing out of favoritism.

[snip]

24The sins of some men are obvious, reaching the place of judgment ahead of them; the sins of others trail behind them.

Wouldn't this apply to anyone, Aletheia? Who were the witnesses?

No answer?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 2 2007, 06:43 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 2 2007, 01:56 PM) [snapback]181716[/snapback]

She drove alone and was surprised to see Danny there? Surely you're kidding!!!! This post alone proves how prejudiced and gullible you are where Linda is concerned. The divorce is done, they aren't really having contact but Danny knows where she is going and who she is going to see and what time her flight leaves, and just "shows up" at an airport 2 1/2 hours away!!!! Or are you trying to say he ran into her by accident? If not, what are you saying? If he had come on his own to the airport what purpose would it have been for? Only one, that he loved her and was still willing to reconcile if she wouldn't go. And, that is what happened except, he drove her. Why, because she called him and ask him to. That is how he knew where she was going and when.

Now, even more telling, you said the reason for her trip was that she was coming to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS in the world? How can that be? All along she has maintained that the only relationship that she had with the doc, was strictly a professional relationship because of her son!!!

She has stuck with that story and so have her supporters throughout this whole ordeal!!!! Now you say he was one of her BEST FRIENDS?

Let's get real, shall we? If he had become "her best friend" how did that happen. That doesn't happen overnight and by talking strictly about her son's case. That must mean she had many more conversations with him than just professional. Since we confide in our best friends, that must mean she was confiding details of her And Danny's troubles to him (we already know that because of the supposed email to him about the pregnancy test). This says she had been talking to him all the while they were still married. Conclusion? She was a married woman. Doc was a single man. All this years of claiming strictly professional level, was not true. Danny became aware of all of these conversations and knew they went way beyond Nathan. He ask her to stop being personally involved with another man because at the very least, it was very inappropriate. She refused. He ask her several more times, she wouldn't. The very fact that she wouldn't stop, seeing the rift it was causing, says a whole lot. He demanded she stop when he found other "evidence" that proved to him, just how far things had went. She didn't. He went to his pastor. The pastor talked to her, she wouldn't stop. HOW many others talked to her? She wouldn't stop. There is no point in going on. When your husband says this is causing big trouble in our marriage, and pastors, friends, counselors say this is wrong it is inappropriate. To work on your marriage, the first thing you have to do is end this other relationship, and the wife still refuses. That says everything about her feelings for the other person. From there it went from bad to worse, and other evidence came to light to show that this had possibly not just been a "phone" relationship. Regardless, all the while DS is willing to work it out and all she has to do first, is stop the contact that had went way beyond her son.

Now I say all of that because ,Johann ,you did not deny that she went straight to Norway after the divorce. And you are the one who said she came to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS. She shouldn't have made a "male" "best friend" while she was married, that she would then, run to, half way across the world to see as soon as she was free. Everyone here spouts that DS wouldn't take time to work on the marriage. How can you when your wife refuses to take the first step? As said before, there are many other things that came to light and even so, he was willing to work it out. She wasn't.

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce 🙄

wwjd,

If you are not Danny where have you gotten all of this intimate, inside information? If you aren't Danny, whose words have you used to build such a statement? If it is just hearsay aren't you being just a mite hypocritical?

If you aren't Danny, I have to say that you must be pretty winded after that extensive exercise in extrapolation. I know my head is spinning just from reading it.

Posted by: erik Mar 2 2007, 07:39 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 2 2007, 02:29 PM) [snapback]181736[/snapback]

Exactly, it only supports a relationship between her and the Doctor, which had nothing to do with her son. I have never read anyone saying that the trip was the cause of the divorce but Johann and his fan club when they put that in the mouth of others...

Which is how most of the so called facts and relating of events about Linda's side have originated (from what I read). Johann says something (heresay, as he wasn't there). All told or interpreted by his best friend Linda. He repeats it as facts, assigns motives and intents to Danny based on what Linda tells him, repeats that as fact, Then all the anonymous Danny accusers repeat it as fact, claiming they have an identified witness, but never identify the person. Then other people arrive and say these anonymous people's testimony is true cause they all have the same story and other people who identify themselves by name verify what they are saying is true. Then Johann arrives agrees, and adds more details, and the stories keep spreading like manure.

I seriously believe when the facts are made known, these people will never see them, they are so loving the lies and convinced of their personal discernment and opinions.

But why should that be a suprise do you know how many have said, even if Linda is guilty, even if she lied even if she did commit adultery, that isn't the point, the point is that "DANNY WAS MEAN TO HER!"

As if husbands can't get upset and angry or be heartsick and not thinking straight when wives lie and commit adultery?

I thank God that others will see..

Aletheia,

Well so far the affiar is just a dirty rumor, using your standard of thrtuh, so when the proof comes then we can judge things.

so run over to danny side and get the truth for the rest of us.

erik

Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 2 2007, 07:57 PM

To late erik. They didn't follow the right process. The Judge will throw this out one over a technicality.

Posted by: erik Mar 2 2007, 08:16 PM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 2 2007, 04:57 PM) [snapback]181756[/snapback]

To late erik. They didn't follow the right process. The Judge will throw this out one over a technicality.

LD,

Very true, I am just trying to get Aletheia to see beyond the Tales she has been told.

ERik

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Mar 2 2007, 08:23 PM

I'm pretty sure THE JUDGE knows exactly what happened, when and where. But He is willing to throw it out on the technicality of His Son dying on Calvary, buy only if they follow the right process, which is a change of heart.

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 2 2007, 07:57 PM) [snapback]181756[/snapback]

To late erik. They didn't follow the right process. The Judge will throw this out one over a technicality.

Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 2 2007, 08:47 PM

Perfect!

Posted by: Johann Mar 2 2007, 09:40 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 2 2007, 10:56 PM) [snapback]181716[/snapback]

Linda was not alone, so she has witnesses!

Who else was there when Danny found it? Who else was there to see and hear the exchange that took place between them, when it was found. If you are calling Linda the first person Testimony, that doesn't hold water, Danny has his side too. Unless there was someone else in the car, then in the house, then in the room then you are barking up the wrong tree.

You read only what you want to read, and then you spin on something you claim is there. Is this how you figure you will win the court case you want to have? Would Jesus spin things around the way you are doing?

Linda was not alone when she bought that thing, and it was not her idea alone!

If two witnesses contradict each other there is no proof! Why should Linda tell us when she came to visit us in Norway that she had driven alone to the airport and was surprised to see Danny there?

o had

Exactly!!! So don't try to say there were "witnesses" about the pregnancy test. No one else was there when it all come down. It is her word against his, which are the facts in most of the information put on this board. The truth is no one else was with them during many discussions and/or events that took place.

She drove alone and was surprised to see Danny there? Surely you're kidding!!!! This post alone proves how prejudiced and gullible you are where Linda is concerned. The divorce is done, they aren't really having contact but Danny knows where she is going and who she is going to see and what time her flight leaves, and just

"shows up" at an airport 2 1/2 hours away!!!! Or are you trying to say he ran into her by accident? If not, what are you saying? If he had come on his own to the airport what purpose would it have been for? Only one, that he loved her and was still willing to reconcile if she wouldn't go. And, that is what happened except, he drove her. Why, because she called him and ask him to. That is how he knew where she was going and when.

Now you are contradicting some of the information Danny wrote to me at that time. He bragged to me that he had his own spy net with which he could be informed of all of Linda's movements, even as soon as she had ordered an airline ticket. He even informed me that this was thanks to his good friend Garvin McNeilus who had some kind of contraption through some kind of a travel office where he was able to see who had ordered what. When I say "some kind of" it is because I do not know how this works.

Now, even more telling, you said the reason for her trip was that she was coming to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS in the world? How can that be? All along she has maintained that the only relationship that she had with the doc, was strictly a professional relationship because of her son!!!

Yes, due to Danny Shelton's demonic actions Linda had no other friends she could go to at that time than the trio in Norway. Just read Barbara Kerr's letter to see how Danny also manipulated her - one of Linda's best friends - by spending hours with her on the phone. Another, Brenda, had also abandoned her due to Danny's trickery. Was it strange that even Nathan thought his "famous" step-father was doing everything in his might to throw Linda at the doctor! What Christian loving husband would ever do such a thing? Throw his wife to another man? He must have been desperate trying to get rid of her!

Let's get real, shall we?

One of the main reasons I saw that Danny's actions were highly suspicious were all the e-mails he wrote to me right from the beginning of this affair. It shone through most of them that he was not real, so if you convince him it pays to be real, than you have achieved a lot!

I have to stop now, but I'll get back to this later!

If he had become "her best friend" how did that happen. That doesn't happen overnight and by talking strictly about her son's case. That must mean she had many more conversations with him than just professional. Since we confide in our best friends, that must mean she was confiding details of her And Danny's troubles to him (we already know that because of the supposed email to him about the pregnancy test). This says she had been talking to him all the while they were still married. Conclusion? She was a married woman. Doc was a single man. All this years of claiming strictly professional level, was not true. Danny became aware of all of these conversations and knew they went way beyond Nathan. He ask her to stop being personally involved with another man because at the very least, it was very inappropriate. She refused. He ask her several more times, she wouldn't. The very fact that she wouldn't stop, seeing the rift it was causing, says a whole lot. He demanded she stop when he found other "evidence" that proved to him, just how far things had went. She didn't. He went to his pastor. The pastor talked to her, she wouldn't stop. HOW many others talked to her? She wouldn't stop. There is no point in going on. When your husband says this is causing big trouble in our marriage, and pastors, friends, counselors say this is wrong it is inappropriate. To work on your marriage, the first thing you have to do is end this other relationship, and the wife still refuses. That says everything about her feelings for the other person. From there it went from bad to worse, and other evidence came to light to show that this had possibly not just been a "phone" relationship. Regardless, all the while DS is willing to work it out and all she has to do first, is stop the contact that had went way beyond her son.

Now I say all of that because ,Johann ,you did not deny that she went straight to Norway after the divorce. And you are the one who said she came to see her 3 BEST FRIENDS. She shouldn't have made a "male" "best friend" while she was married, that she would then, run to, half way across the world to see as soon as she was free. Everyone here spouts that DS wouldn't take time to work on the marriage. How can you when your wife refuses to take the first step? As said before, there are many other things that came to light and even so, he was willing to work it out. She wasn't.

You say Danny wanted to get "rid" of her....Did you hear him say that?

yes, definitely! Danny told me in his e-mails to me. He sent me up to three a day during that period, and he definitely made it clear that he wanted to get a divorce and get rid of Linda.

Nice spin, but if and I say if he said he wanted to go ahead with the divorce it was because of the above stated reasons. There was no "reasoning" with her and she didn't want to make even 1 step to working things out. You take those facts and try to twist them that he just "for no reason" wanted a divorce.. If this whole thing wasn't over the doc why would he have been in contact with you period? If there were any other reason, you would have had nothing to do with it. Proves again what was really happening and why. The only reason for contact between you and DS was because you were the docs best friend and you knew that he and Linda had a "friendship." Maybe it is true (and it probably is) that you really didn't know the extent. I am sure since you were all such, good friends, you just took their word for it. Well, loyalty is a good thing up to a point.

Several times Danny and his scribes have insinuated that her trip to Norway after the divorce was one of the reasons he had to divorce her. What kind of "concoction" is that?

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce

One of yours I guess, since you can't divorce someone again for going to norway after the divorce 🤔

Posted by: wwjd Mar 2 2007, 10:20 PM

A spy thing from Garwin McNeilus? 🤔 Your posts get better and better.

Her only 3 friends in the world were in Norway? 🤔

And are you saying she had turned more to the doctor since Danny was accusing her of being involved with the doctor?



And you are not explaining how they got to be such good friends while she was still a married woman, other than you are saying she had to cry on his shoulder cause she had no one else. If so, then you are contradicting her words that it was strictly a professional relationship!! 🤔

You said you would get back to this later. Please don't. You've already buried yourself, and her, on this one.

Posted by: Johann Mar 2 2007, 10:28 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 3 2007, 05:20 AM) [snapback]181813[/snapback]

A spy thing from Garwin McNeilus? 🤔 Your posts get better and better.

Her only 3 friends in the world were in Norway? 🤔

And are you saying she had turned more to the doctor since Danny was accusing her of being involved with the doctor? 🤔

And you are not explaining how they got to be such good friends while she was still a married woman, other than you are saying she had to cry on his shoulder cause she had no one else. If so, then you are contradicting her words that it was strictly a professional relationship!! 🤔

You said you would get back to this later. Please don't. You've already buried yourself, and her, on this one.

I was merely stating what Mr. Danny Shelton told me. Was he lying or was he telling the truth about what his friend, Garvin, was doing for him?

Linda cried on Irmgard's shoulder. Was there anything wrong with that? In which ditch are you buried?

Posted by: Lee Mar 2 2007, 10:45 PM

wwjd--thanks for your posts on this subject--it is very clear that if the doctor is considered as her "Best Friend" that indeed, the friendship had gone far beyond just a professional one! Pretty easy to see!

Besides, Linda's email to the Dr. about the hilarious pg kit that was supposed to be a joke tells me she knew him FAR more than just on a professional level.

Any intelligent person can see this! It is clear as a bell!!!

Posted by: Snoopy Mar 2 2007, 10:45 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Mar 2 2007, 05:43 PM) [snapback]181741[/snapback]

wwjd,

If you are not Danny where have you gotten all of this intimate, inside information? If you aren't Danny, whose words have you used to build such a statement? If it is just hearsay aren't you being just a mite hypocritical?

If you aren't Danny, I have to say that you must be pretty winded after that extensive exercise in extrapolation. I know my head is spinning just from reading it.

PB,

Do you think the answer to your question might lie in his post?? Just a hunch...

QUOTE('wwjd')

He went to his pastor. The pastor talked to her, she wouldn't stop.

Posted by: Chez Mar 2 2007, 10:51 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Mar 2 2007, 09:45 PM) [snapback]181825[/snapback]

PB,

Do you think the answer to your question might lie in his post?? Just a hunch...

What pastor? Are you talking about Lomacang? What pastor?

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Mar 2 2007, 10:55 PM



while Rome 3abn burns.

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 2 2007, 10:45 PM) [snapback]181824[/snapback]

wwjd--thanks for your posts on this subject--it is very clear that if the doctor is considered as her "Best Friend" that indeed, the friendship had gone far beyond just a professional one! Pretty easy to see!

Besides, Linda's email to the Dr. about the hilarious pg kit that was supposed to be a joke tells me she knew him FAR more than just on a professional level.

Any intelligent person can see this! It is clear as a bell!!

Posted by: wwjd Mar 2 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Mar 2 2007, 09:55 PM) [snapback]181829[/snapback]



while Rome 3abn burns.

Oh, I thought you were on of those that said you didn't want to see the ministry fall. You just thought there should be a change in management. By your post, I guess I misunderstood you.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)

© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)