

----- Original Message -----

Subject:Re: 3abn

Date:Sun, 8 Jul 2007

From:Walt Thompson

To:

Dear *****,

The quotes that you have copied here were posted since the law suit was filed. Things have improved a great deal since then.

As I understand it, Linda's mother called Linda on a phone shared by she and Danny and left the message. No, her mother is not on Danny's side.

 I do not have the info on the dates of the tickets. I don't think that I indicated May 7 in my correspondence. I believe it was later than that, and easily 5-6 weeks. I am sorry, I have made no effort to determine exact dates. I am reporting only what I believe I was told.

Sincerely,

Walter Thompson MD

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: [Walt Thompson](#)

Sent: Sunday, July 08, 2007

Subject: Re: 3abn

Dear Walt Thompson,

Thank you for your comments. I did not expect an answer so quickly but I appreciate your efforts.

As I said before I am a person who will question until I am satisfied and know clearly what is the truth.

I think it would be terrible if your words were twisted so that people would get the wrong idea about what you were trying to say so this morning I did a search on your name and 3ABN and found some places where I could look to see some of your quotes. (I checked out a site called www.blacksda.com) At that site I did another search on your name and found these two quotes. I am not too good at navigating around that site so maybe there are more but this is all I could find this morning. Could you point out to me what part of the following was a misquote? [See quotes at end of message.](#)

I almost overlooked your comment about Linda's mother. Is she on Danny's side and giving information about phone messages or did someone take Linda's cell phone or what? How does anyone know about her messages?

And the pregnancy test still bothers me with the timeline. You said "she was in Florida" (although without definite proof) in April and the test was found May 7th. That is not actually 5 to 6 weeks later. A thought - what dates were the tickets for? I know it seems like I am obsessed with this information but I have to have it resolved in my own mind as to what happened. I do not know Linda and have never talked with her or corresponded with her. As a viewer I did like her and thought more of

her than I did of Danny. I was not impressed with him. To be honest, I was dismayed by the divorce and just believed what Danny was saying until he remarried and then I had some questions. I kept it all to myself until the law suit surfaced and now I have to know what is actually going on.

Thank you again for trying to help me see the whole picture. Bless you.

QUOTE(Walt Thompson)

We have been accused falsely. That was OK until it began to seriously impact the ministry. When, in spite of everything we knew how to do, our donors started holding back their gifts, we grinned and bore it. When, however, we discovered that the leadership of the church had cautioned its workers to cool it and refrain from involvement with 3abn until "it resolved its problems," we concluded it was time to do something. I spoke with Mark at the GC seeking help. He told me over and over again that the leadership of the church could not and would not get involved in our disputes. He suggested, as others had and as we had considered, to request help from ASI. We sent a request to ASI. After some time and a number of meetings of their exec. committee, they finally agreed to establish a commission to respond to our request.

As far as seeking non-Adventist attorneys and going to the courts, I might site the example of the Apostle Paul, who wrote the portion of First Corinthians 6:5-7, about the courts, but himself finally appealed to Ceasar because he was unable to work things out with his one people. I quote from Acts of the Apostles, "When Festus was come into the province, after three days he ascended from Caesarea to Jerusalem. Then the high priest and the chief of the Jews informed him against Paul, and besought him, and desired favor against him, that he would send for him to Jerusalem." In making this request they purposed to waylay Paul along the road to Jerusalem and murder him. But Festus had a high sense of the responsibility of his position, and courteously declined to send for Paul. "It is not the manner of the Romans," he declared, "to deliver any man to die, before that he which is accused have the accusers face to face, and have license to answer for himself concerning the crime laid against him." He stated that "he himself would depart shortly" for Caesarea. "Let them there . . . which among you are able, go down with me, and accuse this man, if there be any wickedness in him."

On 7/7/07, **Walt Thompson** wrote:

Thanks *****,

I am sorry for pushing you a little, but you need to understand that I do not know you or anything about you or your motives, etc. I am generally very trusting of people, but my experiences the past couple years on the Internet have made me very skiddish. People who write with the most innocent appearing questions have too often taken my responses, edited them, misapplied them, and done all sorts of unimaginable things to make them say just the opposite of the intent to use them against the ministry. Just one example, and certainly not the most significant one! I wrote an e mail to Johann T, our former representative in Europe, and a friend of Linda. In it I refuted a charge that we had fired Linda on the basis of adultery. In my response I

stated that we did not fire her because of adultery, but for defiance of board orders. About a year later Linda used this statement as proof, and published on her web site that I had never accused her of adultery, thus using my statement to indicate I believed she was innocent.

****, I agree that people ought to have questions, and ought to be able to ask questions. I still try to provide substantial answers to those who ask, but less than a month ago, information that I had stated in private appeared in public with a different message than what I had stated.

As regards to the pregnancy test, the same things are true. If I were to tell you all of the things I know or suspect, it is possible for you, or someone you share it with, to take the info., misapply it, or deny it, post it on the Internet and accuse me of making scandalous statements. This is not paranoia, but reality. It is one thing to have written and signed statements defending one's position, but more difficult when evidence is circumstantial, involves personal witnesses, etc., that can be denied or modified. Then it becomes little more than a free for all. While much of the information we have is solid, a significant amount is circumstantial and/or available from witnesses.

I had not checked the timing of when the pregnancy test was found. I didn't think it mattered for the sake of the discussion. In checking back, the info I have is that it was in mid May, 5 or 6 weeks after the suspected trip to Florida, a trip that we believe occurred based upon several bits of information - a message left on a cell phone by her mother, a plane ticket, and the inability to contact her during that time. Furthermore, plans for another trip to Florida were overheard on the day the test was found. A joke! Not likely.

I hope this is helpful. Since this is sensitive information, for the reasons sighted above, I trust you will use this information discreetly.

Sincerely in Jesus' precious name,

Walter Thompson MD

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: [Walt Thompson](#)

Sent: Friday, July 06, 2007 8:49 PM

Subject: Re: 3abn

Dear Walt Thompson,

The reason for my questions is simply I am trying to determine truth in this whole difficult situation. As a viewer and supporter of 3ABN I need to know what is real and what is not. In your first e-mail to me you indicated that some individuals were making "false allegations" and spreading these lies on the internet. How else can I determine what is truth but to ask for answers from the people who should know first hand, namely you and the management at 3ABN.

This is the very reason I came to you to find out about the pregnancy test. Being a nurse, I still have a difficult time understanding how the pregnancy test is even relevant when Linda was not with the doctor during the months prior to finding the test (May 7). I heard Linda bought the test as a joke or prank to rile up Danny. A very stupid idea in my opinion but it sounds believable.

I appreciate you trying to answer my questions. If you think about it, you will agree that more people should be interested in researching facts and asking questions when something just

does not add up for the simple reason that they will not be convinced of lies and false allegations about anyone.

Truth can stand the test of close scrutiny. God bless.

On 7/6/07, **Walt Thompson** wrote:

Dear *****,

You are correct in concluding that the pregnancy test was found earlier in the month. Sorry, but I am not sure of the significance of the time line. Before expanding on this, I would be interested in knowing the reason for your inquiry. Is this simple curiosity, or is there something more to it.

Sincerely in Jesus' name,

Walter Thompson MD

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: [Walt Thompson](#)

Sent: Thursday, July 05, 2007 4:46 AM

Subject: Re: 3abn

Dear Walt Thompson.

Thank you for your attempt to give me a time-line but something is not quite right. First of all, I do not know anything about a watch and I may have things confused but I thought the pregnancy test was found around the first week in May, not the end of May.

I am sure you can provide some clarification.

On 7/3/07, **Walt Thompson** wrote:

Dear *****,

I am not sure that I understand your question, but let me try to respond. Linda was in Norway in February. While there they made plans for her to return in June for a prolonged vacation together. We also have evidence that they planned to meet in Florida together (in April I believe). We believe that happened, but do not have physical proof that it took place. We do know that she had tickets to go and that her mother believed she was there. There is no question but that they were together in late May about the time of Campmeeting. It was about that time when the pregnancy test was discovered and the watch was found.

You are free to share the information I give to you, but please do not circulate my e mails.
Thanks.

God bless,

Walter Thompson MD

----- Original Message -----

From:

To: [Walt Thompson](#)

Sent: Monday, July 02, 2007 11:27 AM

Subject: Re: 3abn

Dear Walt Thompson,

Thank you for your responses to my earlier questions.

There is one thing that came up in a conversation with another interested 3abn supporter. What is the scoop on the pregnancy test? I have some difficulty understanding how the test could have been found 13 weeks after Linda left Norway. Maybe you have some additional information on that subject.

On 6/19/07, **Walt Thompson** <walttmd@wi.rr.com> wrote:

Dear *****,

Thank you for your e mail of June 17 requesting information. We appreciate hearing from our viewers and supporters. Please permit me to respond to your concern.

About 9 months ago, e mails, Internet posts, personal letters, etc. began circulating around the world accusing 3abn and its people of all manner of false allegations. Initially, we attempted to respond to some of the questions being asked and allegations being made. The things we said were then often twisted, edited, or otherwise given a different meaning, then posted on the Internet for the world to read. We plead with them to stop, for even if any of the allegations were true (which they were not), it was not right to destroy people in such a public way without knowing all of the facts. In time, we realized we needed to do something, and after some discussions with some in Church leadership and meeting as a board, requested ASI to help us out. In response, after some discussion, they agreed to set up a commission to respond to our request. So as to credibly fulfill our request it would be necessary to hear both sides of the story. Unfortunately, Linda and those she had chosen to represent her were unwilling to abide by the procedure established by ASI, and hence made it impossible for ASI to arrive at an opinion. Since 3abn is a supporting ministry of the Church, leadership of the church rightfully stated that they had no authority to interfere with 3abn activities - nor were they willing to hear our side of the story. Where else does one go for help? When we could find no other options by which to have our case heard so as to reassure our viewers and supporters, and when the leadership of the Church began to curtail their people from participating with 3abn, we believed we must do something. But what?

Let me say again at this point. 3ABN has committed no crime or immorality, quite contrary to

the terrible things being said about us. From the beginning of this ministry, we have had as a primary objective to do things right and above board. We believe we have upheld that standard.

None of us were concerned about our own persons, and would not have considered a law suit to defend ourselves. We have dedicated ourselves to the cause of Christ, and know that one must sometimes pay a price for doing so. But when the criticism began to seriously affect the ministry, and limit its ability to perform the task God has commissioned us to do, we believed it was time to act on His behalf. Yes, we might have continued to pray and expect God to yet bring us through the crisis. But as I read the record of the Bible, though God has always been the One who goes before His people into battle, He has often insisted that they also have a role to play. Gideon is just one example of many.

As far as seeking non-Adventist attorneys and going to the courts, I might site the example of the Apostle Paul, who wrote the portion of First Corinthians 6:5-7, about the courts, but himself finally appealed to Ceasar because he was unable to work things out with his own people. I quote from Acts of the Apostles, "When Festus was come into the province, after three days he ascended from Caesarea to Jerusalem. Then the high priest and the chief of the Jews informed him against Paul, and besought him, and desired favor against him, that he would send for him to Jerusalem." In making this request they purposed to waylay Paul along the road to Jerusalem and murder him. But Festus had a high sense of the responsibility of his position, and courteously declined to send for Paul. "It is not the manner of the Romans," he declared, "to deliver any man to die, before that he which is accused have the accusers face to face, and have license to answer for himself concerning the crime laid against him." He stated that "he himself would depart shortly" for Caesarea. "Let them there . . . which among you are able, go down with me, and accuse this man, if there be any wickedness in him."

429

{AA 428.1}

This was not what the Jews wanted. They had not forgotten their former defeat at Caesarea. In contrast with the calm bearing and forcible arguments of the apostle, their own malignant spirit and baseless accusations would appear in the worst possible light. Again they urged that Paul be brought to Jerusalem for trial, but Festus held firmly to his purpose of giving Paul a fair trial at Caesarea. God in His providence controlled the decision of Festus, that the life of the apostle might be lengthened. {AA 429.1}

Their purposes defeated, the Jewish leaders at once prepared to witness against Paul at the court of the procurator. Upon returning to Caesarea, after a few days' sojourn at Jerusalem, Festus "the next day sitting on the judgment seat commanded Paul to be brought." "The Jews which came down from Jerusalem stood round about, and laid many and grievous complaints against Paul, which they could not prove." Being on this occasion without a lawyer, the Jews preferred their charges themselves. As the trial proceeded, the accused with calmness and candor clearly showed the falsity of their statements. {AA 429.2}

Festus discerned that the question in dispute related wholly to Jewish doctrines, and that, rightly understood, there was nothing in the charges against Paul, could they be proved, that would render him subject to sentence of death, or even to imprisonment. Yet he saw clearly the storm of rage that would be created if Paul were not condemned or delivered into their hands. And so, "willing to do the Jews a pleasure," Festus turned to Paul, and asked if he

430

was willing to go to Jerusalem under his protection, to be tried by the Sanhedrin. {AA 429.3}

The apostle knew that he could not look for justice from the people who by their crimes were bringing down upon themselves the wrath of God. He knew that, like the prophet Elijah, he would be safer among the heathen than with those who had rejected light from heaven and hardened their hearts against the gospel. Weary of strife, his active spirit could ill endure the repeated delays and wearing suspense of his trial and imprisonment. He therefore decided to exercise his privilege, as a Roman citizen, of appealing to Caesar. {AA 430.1}

In answer to the governor's question, Paul said: "I stand at Caesar's judgment seat, where I ought to be judged: to the Jews have I done no wrong, as thou very well knowest. For if I be an offender, or have committed anything worthy of death, I refuse not to die: but if there be none of these things whereof these accuse me, no man may deliver me unto them. I appeal unto

Caesar ." {AA 430.2}

Festus knew nothing of the conspiracies of the Jews to murder Paul, and he was surprised at this appeal to Caesar. However, the words of the apostle put a stop to the proceedings of the court. "Festus, when he had conferred with the council, answered, Hast thou appealed unto Caesar? unto Caesar shalt thou go." {AA 430.3}

Thus it was that once more, because of hatred born of bigotry and self-righteousness, a servant of God was driven to turn for protection to the heathen. It was this same hatred that forced the prophet Elijah to flee for succor to the widow

431

of Sarepta; and that forced the heralds of the gospel to turn from the Jews to proclaim their message to the Gentiles. And this hatred the people of God living in this age have yet to meet. Among many of the professing followers of Christ there is the same pride, formalism, and selfishness, the same spirit of oppression, that held so large a place in the Jewish heart. In the future, men claiming to be Christ's representatives will take a course similar to that followed by the priests and rulers in their treatment of Christ and the apostles. In the great crisis through which they are soon to pass, the faithful servants of God will encounter the same hardness of heart, the same cruel determination, the same unyielding hatred. {AA 430.4} (Witness the posts on the Internet and other places!) All who in that evil day would fearlessly serve God according to the dictates of conscience, will need courage, firmness, and a knowledge of God and His word; for those who are true to God will be persecuted, their motives will be impugned, their best efforts misinterpreted, and their names cast out as evil. Satan will work with all his deceptive power to influence the heart and becloud the understanding, to make evil appear good, and good evil. The stronger and purer the faith of God's people, and the firmer their determination to obey Him, the more fiercely will Satan strive to stir up against them the rage of those who, while claiming to be righteous, trample upon the law of God. It will require the firmest trust, the most heroic purpose, to hold fast the faith once delivered to the saints. {AA 431.1}

This statement describes the vicious attacks against 3abn and its people exactly. Those making the attacks never came to us seeking truth, but rather, came to us with false accusations challenging us to prove them wrong in the "court" of public opinion where no oath requires truth to be told.

This was not an easy decision for Danny or I. I expect the same is true for the other members of the board that voted to move forward with the suit. You may consider it a lack of faith! Perhaps! But I don't think so. For we earnestly sought the guidance of God before signing anything.

Some might call it paranoia, but I believe the devil does not like what he sees happening with this ministry. Doors continue to open for the proclamation fo the gospel. The Ten Commandment weekend has been great, teaching our viewers all around the world about the God of love behind the Commandments. I firmly believe God will see us through these difficult times, but we too must have a part to play.

This is not about revenge. It is not about hurting anyone. It is all, and only about fighting for a ministry our Lord has raised up to proclaim the Three Angels Messages to the world. We believe He is leading, just as He lead Paul and Elijah and those of the past who faced similar opposition.

We cherish your comments and prayers. We truly want to do what is right. I cannot let the cause of God be victimized without responding in my Lord's defense. Yes, I know He doesn't need my defense, but I owe it to Him for His goodness to me.

While I do not equate the Church Manual with EGW or the Bible, I do think that God does guide the Church.

The current CHRUCH MANUAL clearly states that there are situations where the church has neither the authority nor the ability to resolve disputes, and in such cases recourse is only to the civil authorities.

The CHRUCH MANUAL also states that the church should not be diverted from its mission to preach the gospel to become a civil magistrate.

Thanks again for expressing your concerns. I would be happy to discuss this further if you have further comments or questions.

Sincerely in Jesus' precious name,
Walter Thompson MD