

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

THREE ANGELS BROADCASTING NETWORK,)	Docket No. 08-MC-00016
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	Benton, Illinois
)	October 22, 2008
GAILON ARTHUR JOY, ROBERT PICKLE,)	
)	
)	
Defendants.)	

AFFIDAVIT

On October 22, 2008, a telephone conference held in the chambers of The Honorable Philip M. Frazier, Magistrate-Judge, was reported by me. Upon reviewing the transcript, Mr. Robert Pickle, a defendant in this case, asked me to make the attached corrections.


1. The speaker identification corrections cannot be verified by me since the speakers did not consistently identify who was speaking.
2. Page 13, Line 19, Mr. Pickle said \$139,000, twice confirmed by my notes.
3. Page 16 and 31, Renner Publications could be Remnant Publications.
4. Page 9, line 24, sounded like "Dashawn" and not "does say."
5. Page 23, Line 11, is correctly written.

Jane McCorkle

 Jane McCorkle
 Official Court Reporter

State of Illinois)
) SS
 County of Jefferson)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24 day of Dec 2008.



Jane Northcutt

 Notary Public

Jane McCorkle

From: "Bob" <bob@pickle-publishing.com>
To: "Jane O. McCorkle" <janemccorkle@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, November 19, 2008 2:46 PM
Attach: oct2208-3angels annotated.pdf
Subject: Possible corrections for transcript

Ms. McCorkle:

Please find attached the transcript of the October 22, 2008 hearing, with comments I have included.

The main corrections would have to do with who was speaking. Lns. 9-11 of p. 15 make it clear that from ln. 17 of p. 14 through ln. 9 of p. 15 it is Mr. Joy who is speaking rather than Mr. Simpson. Thus the previous speaker before Mr. Joy must be me, from ln. 13 or ln. 17 of p. 13 through ln. 10 of p. 14. I think I must be the speaker at ln. 13 of p. 13.

Ln. 8 of p. 24 should be Mr. Joy. Ln. 23 of p. 35 was Mr. Joy, while the defendant speaking after that on p. 36 is correctly identified as me.

My sincere apologies for not identifying ourselves each time we spoke.

Here is a detail that is fairly important: \$139,000 at ln. 19 of p. 13 should be \$6,139, unless I misspoke.

The rest aren't critical, in my opinion.

Renner Publications is actually Remnant Publications (lns. 3, 9, 12, 24 of p. 16; ln. 1 of p. 31).

Ln. 24 of p. 9: Could Dashawn have been "does say"? (But "purchase" doesn't seem to fit.) I recall Mr. Simpson answering the judge's question and acknowledging that the complaint did read that way, hence my guess of "does say." But the same thought can be derived from lns. 8-9 of p. 10.

Since Judge Frazier mentioned Danny Shelton's brother at ln. 11 on p. 23, I would think that I must have mentioned Danny's brother at ln. 7 of p. 9. But that is a detail that doesn't really affect anything.

Thank you for your service.

Bob Pickle