

Bob Pickle (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34 AM

## The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof

Subject: ASI Review, Request, Suggestion Date: Wed, 09 Aug 2006 13:58:34 -0500

To: Hal Steenson

Hello Hal.

Thank you for taking the time to speak with me at ASI last Friday.

#### **ASI Review**

I found the following words from an article you wrote to be of interest, an article posted at http://thehopeofsurvivors.com/:

Originally Posted By: Hal Steenson

"In the early years of ministry, my wife, Mollie, and I shed a lot of blood to accomplish what God desired for this ministry and this King ME Mentality was making sure everyone else had to bleed also. I didn't care if I caused everyone around me to be uncomfortable and on edge; .... If I'd only known how the King would fall, and how many people I would wound and how many people would be overjoyed when I fell, maybe I would have changed, but probably not. You see, I was addicted to pride."

We all have our weaknesses, and I surely have mine. But I was wondering, would you find it possible to apologize for calling me "one sick puppy" and for telling me that I was "being led from the pits of hell"?

#### Request

I have found it quite distressing to read the accusations and allegations against 3ABN on a couple forums, and would like to try to counter one of them. If you are not the individual that would be able to help me with this, please forward my email to whomever would.

One allegation that has not been confined to internet forums, and which I heard of last fall or so, is that \*\*\*\*\* was pregnant out of wedlock. Now, assuming Linda to be guilty, this raises questions of a double standard at 3ABN, since Linda has disappeared and \*\*\*\*\* has not. What I would like to post in order to put this one to rest permanently would be the date of \*\*\*\*\*\* marriage and the date of the birth of her child, thus showing that this allegation is false. What would those dates be?

It does puzzle me why Walter Thompson would not set the record straight on this one in his response to Dr. Abrahamsen's letter, since it should be so easy to do. But since he did not, I'd better add this question: If the allegation be true, which I would hope it isn't, what sort of reasoning underlies the decision to not have \*\*\*\*\*\* disappear from 3ABN like Linda did? In what way is \*\*\*\*\*\*'s situation not nearly as bad so that she should be treated so differently?

#### **Suggestion**

1	
	Last Sabbath at lunch at ASI Danny remarked that Brandy had been chasing him for what was heard to be a period of time longer than ten years. If this was a joke, it was most unwise, given the fact that Danny is being accused of infidelity. I would suggest that someone suggest to him that he avoid making such comments.
· :	God bless.
	Bob
Bob Pickle	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34 AM	Subject: Hal, did you get my email?  Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:28:44 -0500  To: Hal Steenson
	Hi Hal.
	Did you get the email below that I sent the other day?
	Bob
	[Previous email omitted.]
Bob Pickle (1000+ Member	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof
Bob Pickle (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34 AM	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof  Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email?  From: "Hal Steenson"  Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson"
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Your message To: Hal Steenson
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500 Your message
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Your message To: Hal Steenson Cc: Subject: Hal, did you get my email?
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Your message To: Hal Steenson Cc: Subject: Hal, did you get my email? Sent: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:28:44 -0500
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34 AM	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Your message  To: Hal Steenson Cc: Subject: Hal, did you get my email? Sent: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:28:44 -0500  was deleted without being read on Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at ****** Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; deleted X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: May+CsaR2E6x3fwkMZxctw—
(1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:34 AM	Subject: Not read: Hal, did you get my email? From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Your message  To: Hal Steenson Cc: Subject: Hal, did you get my email? Sent: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:28:44 -0500  was deleted without being read on Fri, 11 Aug 2006 10:42:14 -0500  Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at ****** Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; deleted X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: May+CsaR2E6x3fwkMZxctw—

AM	To: Hal Steenson
	Hi Hal.
	Did you get the email below that I sent the other day?
	Bob
	[First email sent omitted.]
Bob Pickle (1000+ Member	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof
(MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:35 AM	Subject: Read: ASI Convention From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 11:12:14 -0500  Your message To: Hal Steenson Cc:
	Subject: Re: ASI Convention Sent: Fri, 11 Aug 2006 11:12:17 -0500
	was read on Fri, 11 Aug 2006 11:12:14 -0500
	Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at ****** Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: vURu4Yr2YUufSQwtWllwIw==
Bob Pickle (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:35 AM	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof
	Subject: Re: ASI Conv.: Follow-up Date: Fri, 18 Aug 2006 10:39:15 -0500 To: Hal Steenson
	Hi Hal.
	Did you get my email? Could you shoot me a quick reply and let me know?
	Someone told me that ***** was married on October 13, and that the baby was born May 26, but that wouldn't be correct, would it?
	God bless.
	Bob
	[First and third emails omitted.]

**Bob Pickle** Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) Subject: Not read: ASI Conv.: Follow-up 01/11/07 11:36 From: "Hal Steenson" AM Date: Mon. 21 Aug 2006 09:23:36 -0500 Your message To: Hal Steenson Cc: Subject: Re: ASI Conv.: Follow-up Sent: Fri. 18 Aug 2006 10:39:15 -0500 was deleted without being read on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 09:17:22 -0500 Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at \*\*\*\*\*\* Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; deleted X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: f71nJb5bZ0C80js/Km6fsg== Bob Pickle Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) Subject: Re: ASI Conv.: Follow-up 01/11/07 11:36 Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:08:39 -0500 AM To: Hal Steenson Hi Hal. Is there any possibility that you can shoot me a quick email to let me know that you have received this? \*\*\*\*\* wrote me on Friday and let me know that her pregnancy did indeed occur outside of marriage. I'm glad the Lord can forgive whatever we do if we confess and repent, but I was hoping that I would be able to refute the rumor. God bless. Bob [First, third, and fourth emails omitted.] Bob Pickle Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:36 Subject: Read: ASI Convention: Follow-up AM From: "Hal Steenson" Date: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:20:27 -0500 Your message

To: Hal Steenson

Cc:

Subject: Re: ASI Convention: Follow-up Sent: Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:08:39 -0500

was read on Mon, 21 Aug 2006 10:20:27 -0500

Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at \*\*\*\*\*\*

Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; displayed X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: Ac3XC5+C1EGn/+KBP0ragQ==

## Bob Pickle (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:37

AM

# Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof

Subject: Re: ASI Convention: Follow-up Date: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 15:19:07 -0500

To: Hal Steenson

Hi Hal.

Are you all right? I haven't received any sort of reply yet, and Wednesday will be three weeks. Can you send me a quick note just to let me know that you got this? My original note to you is at the bottom of this email

God bless.

Bob

[First, third, fourth, and fifth emails omitted.]

### Bob Pickle (1000+ Member (MSDAOL)) 01/11/07 11:37 AM

# Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence ... or lack thereof

Subject: Not read: ASI Convention: Follow-up

From: "Hal Steenson"

Date: Wed, 30 Aug 2006 11:09:13 -0500

Your message

To: Hal Steenson

Cc:

Subject: Re: ASI Convention: Follow-up Sent: Mon, 28 Aug 2006 15:19:07 -0500

was deleted without being read on Wed, 30 Aug 2006 11:09:13 -0500

Final-Recipient: RFC822; Hal.Steenson at \*\*\*\*\*\*

Disposition: automatic-action/MDN-sent-automatically; deleted X-MSExch-Correlation-Key: 7KgYeSw+UUS2h5o2Ioblzw==

	Re: The Hal Steenson correspondence or lack thereof
	Why did I keep writing Hal? I wanted to give him every possible opportunity to make amends before repeating on MSDAOL what had happened at the ASI Convention.