



***An Attempt to Mend a Broken Network  
& Save the Cause of Christ from Reproach***

Home Page  
Tommy Shelton  
Danny Shelton

**Bob Pickle Responds  
to Harold Lance's January 24 Statement**

ASI  
Smokescreen  
Conspiracy?  
Prelude  
Round One  
Round Two  
Round Three  
"What's  
Happening?"

[< Prev.](#)

[Next >](#)

**Bob Pickle's Reply**

ASI  
Statement #1  
ASI  
Statement #2  
G. Matthews #6  
G. Matthews  
#6b  
B. Pickle #6  
H. Lance #6  
B. Pickle #6b  
G. Joy #6  
G. Matthews  
#6c  
B. Pickle #6c

Abuse of Power  
Ethical  
Allegations  
Financial  
Allegations  
Correspondence  
Untruths  
Alleged Illegal  
Activities

Danny's Apologists  
Leonard Westphal  
Litigation, etc.  
Letters of Support  
News Releases  
Contact Us

Added on 4/1/2007  
Furniture

Added on 3/28/2007  
Defy the Board  
Board Action

Added on 3/22/2007  
Book Deals  
Emails  
Financial Aff.

----- Original Message -----  
**From:** Bob  
**To:** Harold Lance  
**Subject:** Re: Confidentiality agreement  
**Date:** Thu, 25 Jan 2007 18:26:58 -0600

Thanks for your reply, Harold.

For the record, I did publicly raise the question of the confidentiality agreement soon after ASI's decision, but I did not forward my concerns to you. I probably should have done so. I was clear enough on this issue that Gregory wrote publicly, "if [Harold Lance] thinks I have violated any agreement, he can say so, and I will post it."

I am a little concerned since a friend of mine forwarded your statement to me this morning, indicating that it is circulating widely.

When you say, "We had no agreement, that was the problem," you are speaking of the agreement regarding the process, not the confidentiality agreement regarding the discussions that attempted to put together a process, correct? It was always my understanding that we had a definite agreement regarding confidentiality, and that that definite agreement was intended to protect us all and you in particular while we explored whether a second agreement could be reached as to a process for an ASI review.

If any of us write up our perspective, could we have the privilege of having it circulated by you to the same people you sent your statement to, assuming that whatever was

Added on 3/20/2007  
The Lost Bet

Added on 3/17/2007  
Walt Admits: No  
Evidence

Added on 3/16/2007  
Pregnancy Test

Added on 3/15/2007  
Dan & Brandy  
Abused You?

Must Read:  
Mom in Pain #1

written was courteous?

I would definitely feel better about things if you can assure me that Walt Thompson's statement about trying to get ASI to help them out had absolutely nothing to do with the statement that you issued. And it would also help if you can assure me that no one that could be associated with Danny's side saw your statement before it was sent out to Gregory, Gailon, Linda, or myself. Your assurance on these two points would help people know that ASI did indeed try to be impartial. Can you help me out on these two points?

God bless.

Bob

[< Prev.](#)

[Next >](#)

Save3ABN.com  
Not © 2007

83318

***"Truth invites examination & needs no defense.  
Lies hide in darkness & blame everyone else."***