



An Attempt to Mend a Broken Network & Save the Cause of Christ from Reproach

Home Page
Tommy Shelton
Danny Shelton
ASI

Round Four: Bob Pickle

Smokescreen
Conspiracy?
Prelude
Round One
Round Two
Round Three
"What's
Happening?"
H. Lance #4
B. Pickle #4
G. Matthews #4
G. Joy #4
H. Lance #4b
B. Pickle #4b
H. Lance #4c
B. Pickle #4c

[< Prev.](#)

[Next >](#)

Due to the abrogation of the confidentiality agreement by Harold Lance, the following communication is provided for your perusal.

ASI
Statement #1
ASI
Statement #2

Abuse of Power
Ethical
Allegations
Financial
Allegations
Correspondence
Untruths
Alleged Illegal
Activities

Danny's Apologists
Leonard Westphal
Litigation, etc.
Letters of Support
News Releases
Contact Us

----- Original Message -----

From: Bob
To: Harold Lance
Subject: Re: Thanks for your note of response
Date: Sun, 17 Dec 2006 12:21:04 -0600

Hi Harold.

CONFIDENTIAL FYEO DO NOT RESEND

I'll bold a few things so that my thoughts might be easier to pick up if you skim rather than read.

I think you **have well stated my own concerns.**

The difficulty I have had from when I started looking into all this this summer is that **so much has already been made public** for so long on several internet forums. **How can resolution be found so that all these rumors are put to rest for good?** That was my concern from the beginning.

You write:

"There is a willingness to **equate rumor with fact.**"

Not on my part, to be sure. If you look over my recent correspondence with Danny, or over the correspondence with Walt and Danny that led to that interchange, you will notice that I did not do this.

Added on 4/1/2007
Furniture

Added on 3/28/2007
Defy the Board
Board Action

Added on 3/22/2007
Book Deals
Emails
Financial Aff.

Added on 3/20/2007
The Lost Bet

Added on 3/17/2007
Walt Admits: No
Evidence

Added on 3/16/2007
Pregnancy Test

Added on 3/15/2007
Dan & Brandy
Abused You?

Must Read:
Mom in Pain #1

I took Walt's statement that Danny had essentially misled him and gave Danny every opportunity to explain the matter. He could easily have said that Walt was mistaken, or that Walt was lying, or some such thing. He chose not to do so which suggests that he did indeed mislead Walt in 2003 over a very serious matter, and Walt by his own admission took Danny's word for things rather than doing his own research.

Do you detect the slightest equating rumor with fact on my part?

You write:

"... even the civil process guarantees the accused the **presumption of innocence** that requires proof in a court before conviction."

Again, you will notice from my correspondence that I have endeavored to do that very thing. Of course, you may find some place where I could have done that better, but I did the best I knew.

I will share with you what I uncovered last week. I've avoided the divorce and remarriage issue thus far, not knowing how to get to the bottom of things as far as facts go. But **Danny did claim** on Oct. 8, 2006 in writing that when he found a watch in Linda's car, he was not breaking into her car because, despite Linda's denials, **he had evidence that the car was titled in both their names to the present.** That one detail was something concrete and easily provable. And the context of this detail was that Danny, in his words, was trying to prove how polished a liar Linda is.

I received a fax direct from the lending bank of Linda's automobile title last week. The vehicle was purchased on Dec. 30, 2002, the title was issued on Feb. 11, 2003, and Linda's name is the only one that appears on the title, which is what she has claimed to be the case according to Danny's own words.

Now that is definitely not equating rumors with facts. But as far as assuming innocence goes, I did write Danny on Thursday **asking him if he had an explanation for this.** And since the context was how good a liar Linda is, I invited him to send me any similarly concrete examples of Linda's deceit, examples that aren't solely dependent on someone's testimony. Thus far Danny has declined to respond in any way whatsoever.

No, **the baby should not be thrown out with the bathwater** (though potentially expelling 3ABN from ASI membership would definitely not help the baby's influence and effectiveness). Yet that will to a large degree depend on decisions Danny and his board make. Currently, he refuses to back down one inch, and his board on serious issues other than Linda has been inclined to take Danny's word for things rather than think and act independently. That combination makes it difficult to see a happy resolution if more of the allegations prove to be credible and/or true. And, at what point do we have to remember that sin in the camp hinders the outpouring of the latter rain?

CONFIDENTIAL FYEO BETWEEN HAROLD LANCE
AND BOB ONLY

Harold, my understanding is that you are aware to some extent of Nick Miller's allegations. **Have you been made aware of either 3ABN's or both 3ABN and *****'s account(s) of *** resignation?** If so, what is your understanding of 3ABN's account? I am free to discuss that matter if you are aware of 3ABN's account of that situation, and would like to bring that into our conversation.

Bob

[< Prev.](#)

[Next >](#)

[Save3ABN.com](http://www.save3abn.com)
Not © 2007

83301

***"Truth invites examination & needs no defense.
Lies hide in darkness & blame everyone else."***