



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ A Five Minute Transcript From 3abn "live" - 2/15/07

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 17 2007, 04:47 PM

*I am not a professional transcriber, but after watching the final minutes of the first hour of the pre-recorded **3ABN LIVE** program on February 15, 2007, I felt the need to attempt to put the words to print. In the beginning, I tried to catch everyone's comments in the order that they were given, but as I proceeded, I found it to be too difficult to include some of the generic background voices. Any time actual words were spoken I tried my best to discern them and add them where they occurred.*

The fruits of my attempt follows. While I won't claim that it is perfect, I spent several hours diligently trying to get it as close to that mark as possible.

PB

DS: Now, I'm gonna talk - I've never done this so straightforward, Walt, to our viewers but I think we're all in this together and, uh, it's amazing to me that since this has been happening in this 10 commandments last weekend especially it seems like Satan has tried to attack this ministry...

CA?: Yeah

DS:...so much so that I just found out today there are a group of people, small group, call themselves Seventh-day Adventist they u-all are members for as I know of this church yet they're makin it their job they're going on the internets telling people ta call their cable stations and call uh a 3abn affiliate stations and tell em to take off 3abn...

?: Tsk!

DS: cause they're...

SQ: Lord have mercy

?Male: Hmm

DS: Isn't that amazing to..

?Male: (unintelligible comment)

Female: Have Mercy

WT: Strange Day.

DS: It is a strange day now we we uh thankfully we have the Spirit of Prophecy and I've read things like we have more to fear from within then from without

?M: mmmm

?F: mmmm

DS: and I say this to you, some of you sayin' oh don't air dirty laundry, I'm sorry to say people are airing dirty laundry to the world we don't want to go into details and we don't name names. Uh they're a small group of people who wants to put everybody's sins, though they don't really know they're their sins but they've heard that they are (Male: Hmm) so they wanta, as they say, trash and talk terrible about people and literally put it up for the world to see anybody can read it. (Male: Hmm) and I'm amazed that these people are set on destroying (Female: Umhm) that they're willing to overlook the souls(amens) of the letters of all the people that are lives are being changed by this ministry and now they've gone so far - see, honestly it's okay if they attack me, the old saying is sticks and stones'll break my bones but words will never hurt me I mean I'm sorry but that's - I was taught that from the time I was a kid. Everybody likes to be liked but you don't have to be. If you're doin' what you feel God calls you to do I'm just willing to do it and you know what my dad used to say "Son you're as happy as if you had good sense"

(all laugh)

DS: So people, honestly, so I'm not a - this is not a feel sorry for me this is not anything about me, this is about people who totally have lost focus of the times in which we're living and they're affecting other people, Christians, outside the Adventist church as well as inside the church and they're putting all this stuff that supposedly are people's sins and they feel that, Dr. Walt, that it's their job to do it and they their idea is to take this ministry down. Now this is not the Catholic church doin' it, it's not the Baptist church these are people - in fact I have a question here let's see if I've got time maybe I'll quickly read it and we may have to come back and I thought it would be a good question to go to. It says uh here, this is for you Dr. Walt, "We are long-time viewers of 3abn and it is such a blessing. We are very disturbed by some of the awful and unChristian things that have been printed on the internet. All of the thing - bad things have been coming from one side. It seems that Danny and 3abn have been silent. Why have you chosen not to answer any of the horrible things that have been said about you and the ministry. We know that uh we know that it is the-uh more Christian way but we just wondered why you have not said anything in your defense. And by the way we don't believe these things." But they - it's a good question and we feel like maybe it's time that we go on the air for the first time and talk about that. Uh, why we have been silent. Some things happened here nearly three years ago an wh-and we've tried not to say anything why

WT: H-h-h-h-how do you say things without hurting people?

DS: (clears throat)mmkay.

WT: Hu-how do you hu-how do you say truth, uh, without being accusing?

DS: mmhmm

WT: You know and Satan is the accuser of the brethren and you know we-uh we have decided from day one that we were not going to do that

DS: mmhmm

WT: and and yet, uh, there comes a point when, what when do we stand up for God's cause

DS: mmhmm

WT: because God's cause is being hurt and there comes a point when I think we do have to take a stand and take a position

DS: mmhmm

WT: and uh I think this this that as now.

DS Okay..we've tried ne-talking to people. That didn't really help. We tried the Matthew 18. So then we tried to go before ASI and ASI willing to hear an basically any complaints that people had or accusations they brought out but this little group of people wouldn't work with ASI so ASI now has made a statement sayin' we can't work with those folk 'cause they won't abide by the rules that we're setting up ta to to hear this whole situation out and..

WT: An-and we are an independent organization or supporting industry uh ministry of the church

DS: mmhmm

WT: so the church doesn't really have responsibility or authority

DS: Right

WT: so they can't become involved and so ya know kinda we're out here sitting alone

DS: mmhmm

WT: an who who who do we talk to,

DS: mmhmm

WT: who do we give our evidence to that can look at it and believe it and evaluate it?

DS: Right, an an I think ASI was was the correct choice, an I'm very thankful, wanna say hats off to ASI

WT: That's right.

DS: That's work, hats off to ASI and, uh for the willingness to work and to try to to be here to support and it didn't work out and yet now on the internet they're literally, it's it's amazing, the stuff that they're putting and I I'm sorry to say, or I guess I'm happy to say, that people don't stop at the truth they will tell lies. They will take something and spin it to go which ever way they want to get a point across. One man, for instance, is posing himself as a doctor when he really isn't a doctor 'cause he feels like he gets more (WT: mileage,+ unclear comments from others) respect, heh-heh, more respect by calling himself doctor so-and-so. Uh, we find that one man's a convicted embezzler that has been that's throwing the stones and so my concern is the people that'er reading this, that we as Christians, when we see people putting things up for instance, anyone who is the accuser of a brethren can put somebody's sins to the world whether they're

guilty or not we shouldn't be reading it. If we do, we put (music starts) ourselves in a position to be mesmerized to believe it. And so I think we have to be careful. We're gonna take a short break and we'll be right back.

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 17 2007, 05:30 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 04:47 PM)

DS: That's work, hats off to ASI and, uh for the willingness to work and to try to be here to support and it didn't work out and yet now on the internet they're literally, it's it's amazing, the stuff that they're putting and I I'm sorry to say, or I guess I'm happy to say, that people don't stop at the truth they will tell lies. They will take something and spin it to go which ever way they want to get a point across. **One man, for instance, is posing himself as a doctor when he really isn't a doctor 'cause he feels like he gets more (WT: mileage, + unclear comments from others)respect, heh-heh, more respect by calling himself doctor so-and-so.** Uh, we find that one man's a convicted embezzler that has been that's throwing the stones and so my concern is the people that'er reading this, that we as Christians, when we see people putting things up for instance, anyone who is the accuser of a brethren can put somebody's sins to the world whether they're guilty or not we shouldn't be reading it. If we do, we put (music starts) ourselves in a position to be mesmerized to believe it. And so I think we have to be careful. We're gonna take a short break and we'll be right back.

Who is DS referring to by someone posing as a doctor?

Also, is it true that people are calling cable companies asking for 3ABN to be taken off the air? I think that is a bit much - if that is true it makes me really sad... If God is truly through with 3ABN I think He can dismantle it on His own without any of us making such phone calls...JMHO...

P.S. - yes, thank you for doing this!!! even down to the "ahems" and giggles...!!

Posted by: ida Feb 17 2007, 05:34 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 10:47 PM)

*I am not a professional transcriber, but after watching the final minutes of the first hour of the pre-recorded **3ABN LIVE** program on February 15, 2007, I felt the need to attempt to put the words to print. In the beginning, I tried to catch everyone's comments in the order that they were given, but as I proceeded, I found it to be too difficult to include some of the generic background voices. Any time actual words were spoken I tried my best to discern them and add them where they occurred.*

The fruits of my attempt follows. While I won't claim that it is perfect, I spent several hours diligently trying to get it as close to that mark as possible.

PB

DS: Now, I'm gonna talk - I've never done this so straightforward, Walt, to our viewers but I think

we're all in this together and, uh, it's amazing to me that since this has been happening in this 10 commandments last weekend especially it seems like Satan has tried to attack this ministry...

CA?: Yeah

DS:...so much so that I just found out today there are a group of people, small group, call themselves Seventh-day Adventist they u-all are members for as I know of this church yet they're makin it their job they're going on the internets telling people ta call their cable stations and call uh a 3abn affiliate stations and tell em to take off 3abn...

?: Tsk!

DS: cause they're...

SQ: Lord have mercy

?Male: Hmm

DS: Isn't that amazing to..

?Male: (unintelligible comment)

Female: Have Mercy

WT: Strange Day.

DS: It is a strange day now we we uh thankfully we have the Spirit of Prophecy and I've read things like we have more to fear from within then from without

?M: mmmm

?F: mmmm

DS: and I say this to you, some of you sayin' oh don't air dirty laundry, I'm sorry to say people are airing dirty laundry to the world we don't want to go into details and we don't name names. Uh they're a small group of people who wants to put everybody's sins, though they don't really know they're their sins but they've heard that they are (Male: Hmm) so they wanta, as they say, trash and talk terrible about people and literally put it up for the world to see anybody can read it. (Male: Hmm) and I'm amazed that these people are set on destroying (Female: Umhm) that they're willing to overlook the souls(amens) of the letters of all the people that are lives are being changed by this ministry and now they've gone so far - see, honestly it's okay if they attack me, the old saying is sticks and stones'll break my bones but words will never hurt me I mean I'm sorry but that's - I was taught that from the time I was a kid. Everybody likes to be liked but you don't have to be. If you're doin' what you feel God calls you to do I'm just willing to do it and you know what my dad used to say "Son you're as happy as if you had good sense"

(all laugh)

DS:So people, honestly, so I'm not a - this is not a feel sorry for me this is not anything about me, this is about people who totally have lost focus of the times in which we're living and they're affecting other people, Christians, outside the Adventist church as well as inside the church and they're putting all this stuff that supposedly are people's sins and they feel that, Dr. Walt, that it's their job to do it and they their idea is to take this ministry down. Now this is not the Catholic church doin' it, it's not the Baptist church these are people - in fact I have a question here let's see if I've got time maybe I'll quickly read it and we may have to come back and I thought it would be a good question to go to. It says uh here, this is for you Dr. Walt, "We are long-time viewers of 3abn and it is such a blessing. We are very disturbed by some of the awful and unChristian things that have been printed on the internet. All of the thing - bad things have been coming from one side. It seems that Danny and 3abn have been silent. Why have you chosen not to answer any of the horrible things that have been said about you and the ministry. We know that uh we know that it is the-uh more Christian way but we just wondered why you have not said anything in your defense. And by the way we don't believe these things." But they - it's a good question and we feel like maybe it's time that we go on the air for the first time and talk about that. Uh, why we have been silent. Some things happened here nearly three years ago an wh-and we've tried not to say anything why

WT: H-h-h-h-how do you say things without hurting people?

DS: (clears throat)mmkay.

WT: Hu-how do you hu-how do you say truth, uh, without being accusing?

DS: mmhmm

WT: You know and Satan is the accuser of the brethren and you know we-uh we have decided from day one that we were not going to do that

DS: mmhmm

WT: and and yet, uh, there comes a point when, what when do we stand up for God's cause

DS: mmhmm

WT: because God's cause is being hurt and there comes a point when I think we do have to take a stand and take a position

DS: mmhmm

WT: and uh I think this this that as now.

DS Okay..we've tried ne-talking to people. That didn't really help. We tried the Matthew 18. So then we tried to go before ASI and ASI willing to hear an basically any complaints that people had or accusations they brought out but this little group of people wouldn't work with ASI so ASI now has made a statement sayin' we can't work with those folk 'cause they won't abide by the rules that we're setting up ta to to hear this whole situation out and..

WT: An-and we are an independent organization or supporting industry uh ministry of the church

DS: mmhmm

WT: so the church doesn't really have responsibility or authority

DS: Right

WT: so they can't become involved and so ya know kinda we're out here sitting alone

DS: mmhmm

WT: an who who who do we talk to,

DS: mmhmm

WT: who do we give our evidence to that can look at it and believe it and evaluate it?

DS: Right, an an I think ASI was was the correct choice, an I'm very thankful, wanna say hats off to ASI

WT: That's right.

DS: That's work, hats off to ASI and, uh for the willingness to work and to try to to be here to support and it didn't work out and yet now on the internet they're literally, it's it's amazing, the stuff that they're putting and I I'm sorry to say, or I guess I'm happy to say, that people don't stop at the truth they will tell lies. They will take something and spin it to go which ever way they want to get a point across. One man, for instance, is posing himself as a doctor when he really isn't a doctor 'cause he feels like he gets more (WT: mileage,+ unclear comments from others)respect, heh-heh, more respect by calling himself doctor so-and-so. Uh, we find that one man's a convicted embezzler that has been that's throwing the stones and so my concern is the people that'er reading this, that we as Christians, when we see people putting things up for instance, anyone who is the accuser of a brethren can put somebody's sins to the world whether they're guilty or not we shouldn't be reading it. If we do, we put (music starts) ourselves in a position to be mesmerized to believe it. And so I think we have to be careful. We're gonna take a short break and we'll be right back.

Thank you for posting this. I hope you will be able to provide more.


Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 17 2007, 05:50 PM

What came out of the tirade to me?

The fact that he said that folks are trying to publically parade people's **sins**....

That to me states guilt. Guilt of what - I am not sure of yet...but he admits that their was something **sinful**, that was not made public, that was hidden, that people are trying to "make public".....


Hummmmm....



BTW: I am SDA. Baptized. Following. Tithe-paying. Youth-ministering. EG White lovin' - (yet not

takin' over the BIBLE....). For him to state that I *call* myself an SDA is going beyond "judgin".....

Merinate on dat.




Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 17 2007, 05:55 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 17 2007, 03:50 PM)

What came out of the tirade to me?

BTW: I am SDA. Baptized. Following. Tithe-paying. Youth-ministering. EG White lovin' - (yet not takin' over the BIBLE....). For him to state that I *call* myself an SDA is going beyond "judgin".....

Merinate on dat.



I think bystander popped on Thursday night long enough to tell us that the "little group" that DS was referring to was Gailon Joy's group (and they are not little, btw). I could be mistaken, will have to go back and read what BS said. He (DS) used the idea that saying you are SDA doesn't mean anything....hmmm...that door can swing both ways too.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 17 2007, 05:58 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 17 2007, 07:55 PM)

I think bystander popped on Thursday night long enough to tell us that the "little group" that DS was referring to was Gailon Joy's group (and they are not little, btw). I could be mistaken, will have to go back and read what BS said. He used the idea that saying you are SDA doesn't mean anything....hmmm...that door can swing both ways too.

I read Bystander's quote too...but my thing is this....

You can't judge who is SDA or not. Now what I can see is by your *actions* if you are *truly* CHRISTian or not. DS may be CHRISTian *in name* - however the way he treated LS tells me otherwise.

Is he SDA? - That I can neither confirm or deny & I don't want him doing the same to me jes because I call his home...um...*dirty*.

If it is dirty - clean it up. IF it ain't - ignore me.....



Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 17 2007, 06:00 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 17 2007, 05:55 PM)

I think bystander popped on Thursday night long enough to tell us that the "little group" that DS was referring to was Gailon Joy's group (and they are not little, btw). I could be mistaken, will have to go back and read what BS said. He used the idea that saying you are SDA doesn't mean anything....hmmm...that door can swing both ways too.

Bystander always seems to know what Danny *really* meant, doesn't he?

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 17 2007, 06:02 PM

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Feb 17 2007, 03:30 PM)

Who is DS referring to by someone posing as a doctor?
Also, is it true that people are calling cable companies asking for 3ABN to be taken off the air? I think that is a bit much - if that is true it makes me really sad... If God is truly through with 3ABN I think He can dismantle it on His own without any of us making such phone calls...JMHO...

P.S. - yes, thank you for doing this!!! even down to the "ahems" and giggles...!!

Yes, PB, good job!! When you get to see all those words down on paper that went whizzing by in some convoluted and confusing fashion (hmm) it helps to really "hear" what was being said. I wonder if they ever do this - go back and listen to themselves....yes, I think that does occur and that's when things are edited out. And thank you for keeping all those details in there too - they very much add to the picture (the ahems and giggles and so on).

I watched it myself. It will be on again Sunday afternoon too.

Will you be adding more? Ralph too was doing some transcribing as well I think.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 17 2007, 04:00 PM)

Bystander always seems to know what Danny *really* meant, doesn't he?

Y E S indeedey

I will copy and paste bystanders reply here just to keep it close to what was just said above about the "little group".

This is also the last post bystander made here to date, and this was 7 minutes before 3abn Today ended...which was a bit creepy in itself as there were a number of us in LiveChat at the time discussing the program as it was going on, filling in those that were not watching what was being

said, etc.

QUOTE: "I can see by your live chats that you are all getting excited on what you all think is your 10 minutes of fame. That in itself says a lot about your motives for being here. Before you get too excited when he mentions "a little group" he is referring to Joy's group. I'm a little dissapointed. I was hoping he would mention this site and tell how it came to be because club adventist wouldn't carry all the dirty tales and accusations and dropped the thread. I wish he would have said that and a lot more. Oh well, maybe next time....."

Posted by: Observer Feb 17 2007, 06:51 PM

QUOTE

So then we tried to go before ASI and ASI willing to hear an basically any complaints that people had or accusations they brought out but this little group of people wouldn't work with ASI . . .

That is an interesting spin which contradicts what has been publicly posted. ASI clearly wanted to limit the discussion very narrowly. ASI was not open to expanding it.

Frankly, I agree that ASI could not have effectively expanded it to basically any complaint. There were many that ASI was correct in not addressing. My point is the spin that is now being put upon it.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 17 2007, 07:07 PM

sonshineonme,

I don't know if I will be adding more even though it is so telling to see what Danny says in print. Transcribing takes me, as an unprofessional, over an hour per minute to put down. I'll pray about it.

Ralph,
Are you doing more?

Observer,
The following caught my ear and then my eye. I thought ASI was to be a neutral entity, arbitrating whatever both sides settled on. This statement by Danny doesn't cast them in a very neutral light!

QUOTE

DS: That's work, hats off to ASI and, uh for the willingness to work **and to try to to be here to support** and it didn't work out and yet now on the internet they're literally, it's it's amazing, the stuff that they're putting and I I'm sorry to say, or I guess I'm happy to say, that people don't stop at the truth they will tell lies.

Posted by: Observer Feb 17 2007, 07:36 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 06:07 PM)

sonshineonme,

I don't know if I will be adding more even though it is so telling to see what Danny says in print. Transcribing takes me, as an unprofessional, over an hour per minute to put down. I'll pray about it.

Ralph,

Are you doing more?

Observer,

The following caught my ear and then my eye. I thought ASI was to be a neutral entity, arbitrating whatever both sides settled on. This statement by Danny doesn't cast them in a very neutral light!

Good point.

Posted by: laryfromGary Feb 17 2007, 08:10 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 04:47 PM)

*I am not a professional transcriber, but after watching the final minutes of the first hour of the pre-recorded **3ABN LIVE** program on February 15, 2007, I felt the need to attempt to put the words to print. In the beginning, I tried to catch everyone's comments in the order that they were given, but as I proceeded, I found it to be too difficult to include some of the generic background voices. Any time actual words were spoken I tried my best to discern them and add them where they occurred.*

The fruits of my attempt follows. While I won't claim that it is perfect, I spent several hours diligently trying to get it as close to that mark as possible.

PB

DS: Now, I'm gonna talk - I've never done this so straightforward, Walt, to our viewers but I think we're all in this together and, uh, it's amazing to me that since this has been happening in this 10 commandments last weekend especially it seems like Satan has tried to attack this ministry...

CA?: Yeah

DS:...so much so that I just found out today there are a group of people, small group, call themselves Seventh-day Adventist they u-all are members for as I know of this church yet they're makin it their job they're going on the internets telling people ta call their cable stations and call uh a 3abn affiliate stations and tell em to take off 3abn...

?: Tsk!

DS: cause they're...

SQ: Lord have mercy

?Male: Hmm

DS: Isn't that amazing to..

?Male: (unintelligible comment)

Female: Have Mercy

WT: Strange Day.

DS: It is a strange day now we we uh thankfully we have the Spirit of Prophecy and I've read

things like we have more to fear from within than from without

?M: mmmm

?F: mmmm

DS: and I say this to you, some of you sayin' oh don't air dirty laundry, I'm sorry to say people are airing dirty laundry to the world we don't want to go into details and we don't name names. Uh they're a small group of people who wants to put everybody's sins, though they don't really know they're their sins but they've heard that they are (Male: Hmm) so they wanta, as they say, trash and talk terrible about people and literally put it up for the world to see anybody can read it. (Male: Hmm) and I'm amazed that these people are set on destroying (Female: Umhm) that they're willing to overlook the souls(amens) of the letters of all the people that are lives are being changed by this ministry and now they've gone so far - see, honestly it's okay if they attack me, the old saying is sticks and stones'll break my bones but words will never hurt me I mean I'm sorry but that's - I was taught that from the time I was a kid. Everybody likes to be liked but you don't have to be. If you're doin' what you feel God calls you to do I'm just willing to do it and you know what my dad used to say "Son you're as happy as if you had good sense"

(all laugh)

DS: So people, honestly, so I'm not a - this is not a feel sorry for me this is not anything about me, this is about people who totally have lost focus of the times in which we're living and they're affecting other people, Christians, outside the Adventist church as well as inside the church and they're putting all this stuff that supposedly are people's sins and they feel that, Dr. Walt, that it's their job to do it and they their idea is to take this ministry down. Now this is not the Catholic church doin' it, it's not the Baptist church these are people - in fact I have a question here let's see if I've got time maybe I'll quickly read it and we may have to come back and I thought it would be a good question to go to. It says uh here, this is for you Dr. Walt, "We are long-time viewers of 3abn and it is such a blessing. We are very disturbed by some of the awful and unChristian things that have been printed on the internet. All of the thing - bad things have been coming from one side. It seems that Danny and 3abn have been silent. Why have you chosen not to answer any of the horrible things that have been said about you and the ministry. We know that uh we know that it is the-uh more Christian way but we just wondered why you have not said anything in your defense. And by the way we don't believe these things." But they - it's a good question and we feel like maybe it's time that we go on the air for the first time and talk about that. Uh, why we have been silent. Some things happened here nearly three years ago an wh-and we've tried not to say anything why

WT: H-h-h-h-how do you say things without hurting people?

DS: (clears throat)mmkay.

WT: Hu-how do you hu-how do you say truth, uh, without being accusing?

DS: mmhmm

WT: You know and Satan is the accuser of the brethren and you know we-uh we have decided from day one that we were not going to do that

DS: mmhmm

WT: and and yet, uh, there comes a point when, what when do we stand up for God's cause

DS: mmhmm

WT: because God's cause is being hurt and there comes a point when I think we do have to take a stand and take a position

DS: mmhmm

WT: and uh I think this this that as now.

DS Okay..we've tried ne-talking to people. That didn't really help. We tried the Matthew 18. So then we tried to go before ASI and ASI willing to hear an basically any complaints that people had or accusations they brought out but this little group of people wouldn't work with ASI so ASI now has made a statement sayin' we can't work with those folk 'cause they won't abide by the rules that we're setting up ta to to hear this whole situation out and..

WT: An-and we are an independent organization or supporting industry uh ministry of the church

DS: mmhmm

WT: so the church doesn't really have responsibility or authority

DS: Right

WT: so they can't become involved and so ya know kinda we're out here sitting alone

DS: mmhmm

WT: an who who who do we talk to,

DS: mmhmm

WT: who do we give our evidence to that can look at it and believe it and evaluate it?

DS: Right, an an I think ASI was was the correct choice, an I'm very thankful, wanna say hats off to ASI

WT: That's right.

DS: That's work, hats off to ASI and, uh for the willingness to work and to try to to be here to support and it didn't work out and yet now on the internet they're literally, it's it's amazing, the stuff that they're putting and I I'm sorry to say, or I guess I'm happy to say, that people don't stop at the truth they will tell lies. They will take something and spin it to go which ever way they want to get a point across. One man, for instance, is posing himself as a doctor when he really isn't a doctor 'cause he feels like he gets more (WT: mileage,+ unclear comments from others)respect, heh-heh, more respect by calling himself doctor so-and-so. Uh, we find that one man's a convicted embezzler that has been that's throwing the stones and so my concern is the people that'er reading this, that we as Christians, when we see people putting things up for instance, anyone who is the accuser of a brethren can put somebody's sins to the world whether they're guilty or not we shouldn't be reading it. If we do, we put (music starts) ourselves in a position to be mesmerized to believe it. And so I think we have to be careful. We're gonna take a short break and we'll be right back.

If you transcribe the conversation on audio tape you can get everything by writing and pausing the tape as you transcribe. I have done that many a time when I wanted to transcribe telephone conversations, not saying that I am a spy or anything like that -- and I deny working for the FBI, CIA, or internal affairs.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 17 2007, 08:27 PM

QUOTE(laryfromGary @ Feb 17 2007, 07:10 PM)

If you transcribe the conversation on audio tape you can get everything by writing and pausing the tape as you transcribe. I have done that many a time when I wanted to transcribe telephone conversations, not saying that I am a spy or anything like that -- and I deny working for the FBI, CIA, or internal affairs.

laryfromGary,


downloaded the program onto my computer and then used the shuttle on PowerDVD to play, stop, rewind, etc. and then type into Wordpad. Unfortunately, in order to get the wording as accurately transcribed as possible, I had to pause/rewind a LOT.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 17 2007, 09:10 PM

QUOTE(laryfromGary @ Feb 17 2007, 10:10 PM)

If you transcribe the conversation on audio tape you can get everything by writing and pausing the tape as you transcribe. I have done that many a time when I wanted to transcribe telephone conversations, not saying that I am a spy or anything like that -- and I deny working for the FBI, CIA, or internal affairs.

I also use a transcription program for taped Testing sessions ...and it's hard work when two people are talking at the same time...let known 3-5...



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 17 2007, 10:01 PM

Snoopy,

I don't know who Danny was referring to as the one professing to be a doctor. Anybody know?

PB

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 17 2007, 10:27 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 08:01 PM)

Snoopy,

I don't know who Danny was referring to as the one professing to be a doctor. Anybody know?

PB



PrincessDrRe is the only dr I know around here...does someone sign their name dr. something??

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 17 2007, 10:31 PM

...and it's in my signature line that I'm **not** a doctor - but pursuing a PhD....

If that's what he was talkin' about him not bright no....



Posted by: ida Feb 18 2007, 04:42 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 18 2007, 04:01 AM)

Snoopy,

I don't know who Danny was referring to as the one professing to be a doctor. Anybody know?

PB

It seems to me that a forthright person like Bystander will help by answering that question.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 18 2007, 06:37 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 17 2007, 07:00 PM)

Bystander always seems to know what Danny *really* meant, doesn't he?

Several of us noticed that.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 18 2007, 06:48 AM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 18 2007, 05:42 AM)

It seems to me that a forthright person like Bystander will help by answering that question.

welcome.gif

Ida: Nice to have you with us.

Bystander, a paragon of virtue he is not.

Posted by: daylily Feb 18 2007, 07:05 AM

Can you slow down the tape to make it easier? My son has some type of music program on his computer where he can speed up or slow down audio.

Posted by: Clay Feb 18 2007, 08:42 AM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 18 2007, 04:42 AM)

It seems to me that a forthright person like Bystander will help by answering that question.


welcome to BSDA..... as for what kind of person Bystander is, either you are too new here to know him, or you know him quite well somewhere else other than BSDA..... which might it be?

Posted by: watchbird Feb 18 2007, 09:13 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 18 2007, 09:42 AM)

welcome to BSDA..... as for what kind of person Bystander is, either you are too new here to know

him, or you know him quite well somewhere else other than BSDA.... which might it be?

Or maybe you have missed the irony in Ida's comments? 


Posted by: Brenda Feb 18 2007, 01:07 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 18 2007, 02:31 PM) 


...and it's in my signature line that I'm **not** a doctor - but pursuing a PhD....

If that's what he was talkin' about him not bright no....




And as he said it was a man who was claiming to be a doctor - when you are obviously of the other kind - that would reduce the luminance even further 

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 18 2007, 01:13 PM

QUOTE(daylily @ Feb 18 2007, 06:05 AM) 

Can you slow down the tape to make it easier? My son has some type of music program on his computer where he can speed up or slow down audio.


daylily,


Thank you so much for making this suggestion. We actually have Creative hardware on our computer that will do this. Unfortunately, it is on the computer that crashed last weekend.  rofl

Soon as we get a replacement computer built we will have that at our disposal. It definitely will make transcribing much easier!

'B

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 18 2007, 03:21 PM

QUOTE(Brenda @ Feb 18 2007, 03:07 PM) 

And as he said it was a man who was claiming to be a doctor - when you are obviously of the other kind - that would reduce the luminance even further 

claim to be "buff" and have been called "hard" by some of my gurls in my Drama group....but I ain't

neyah bout dat hard....



Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 18 2007, 03:36 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 17 2007, 10:01 PM) □

Snoopy,

I don't know who Danny was referring to as the one professing to be a doctor. Anybody know?

PB

I interpreted the remarks to be referring to Dr. Abrahamsen's letter in the pinned section at BlackSDA called "Dr. Abrahamsen And Dr Thompson Letters." This is where Dr. Abrahamsen says,


I have been a medical doctor for more than 35 years. I am a member of the Moss SDA Church. I've been the Sabbath School Superintendent for 14 years and the head elder for 4 years, which position I am presently serving. I am the Norwegian doctor who has been slandered by Dr. Walt Thompson, chairman of the board of 3ABN, Danny Shelton, John Lomacang and others.

Here's the link:

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10128>

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 18 2007, 03:41 PM



So is he saying that Dr. Abrahamsen is not a real doctor? or would it be Dr. Thompson?



Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 18 2007, 03:48 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 18 2007, 03:41 PM) □

So is he saying that Dr. Abrahamsen is not a real doctor? or would it be Dr. Thompson?



Posted by: Aletheia Feb 18 2007, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 18 2007, 04:36 PM)

PB wrote:

I don't know who Danny was referring to as the one professing to be a doctor. Anybody know?

I interpreted the remarks to be referring to Dr. Abrahamsen's letter in the pinned section at BlackSDA called "Dr. Abrahamsen And Dr Thompson Letters." This is where Dr. Abrahamsen says...

You should ask Bob your questions. I believe D.S. was referring to Bob Pickle. He sometimes attaches the title to his name and writes as "Dr Pickle".

Apparently the so called "little group" have also been passing themselves off as Conference officials, to try and dig up more dirt, and accusations.

I call that lying.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 18 2007, 04:58 PM

I am transcribing the second part. It is slow going and I am making corrections from time to time now that I have re-taped it on audio cassette.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Feb 18 2007, 05:05 PM

QUOTE

Apparently the so called "little group" have also been passing themselves off as Conference officials, to get information.

Can you substantiate that accusation, Aletheia?

By the way, I just finished watching the repeat telecast and taped it onto a DVD.

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 18 2007, 05:10 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Feb 18 2007, 06:05 PM)

Can you substantiate that accusation, Aletheia?

By the way, I just finished watching the repeat telecast and taped it onto a DVD.

And you didn't hear it on the program??

hmmm..

Well anyway I believe a letter is going to be issued by the Illinois conference to rebut the claims that are being made by these individuals. I'm thinking that should substantiate it for you, and any else.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 18 2007, 05:40 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 05:41 PM)

I call that lying.

based on what? Your extensive experience as a prevaricator?

In His service,
Mr. J

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 06:10 PM)

And you didn't hear it on the program??

hmmm..

He never addressed what he may have heard or not heard... you were so indignant at others when you presumed them to be putting words in your mouth; do unto others as you would have them do unto you...

QUOTE

Well anyway I believe a letter is going to be issued by the Illinois conference to rebut the claims that are being made by these individuals. I'm thinking that should substantiate it for you, and any else.

Not when the IL conference president, as a member of the 3ABN board, is complicit in all their misdeeds... that's like Karl Rove vouching for Scooter Libby... Haldeman vouching for G. Gordon Liddy... Cassius vouching for Brutus... Annas vouching for Caiaphas... etc...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Panama_Pete Feb 18 2007, 05:41 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 05:10 PM) □

And you didn't hear it on the program??

hmmm..

Well anyway I believe **a letter is going to be issued by the Illinois conference** to rebut the claims that are being made by these individuals. I'm thinking that should substantiate it for you, and any else.

As a side note:

Isn't it interesting how the Illinois Conference of the Seventh-day Adventist Church is getting brought into these discussions? This is exactly what the General Conference in Silver Spring, Maryland, does not want, since the Church exercises no control or influence over 3ABN, and, to my knowledge, has not even commented on the situation.

For the Adventist Church, this is growing into "The Perfect Storm."

Note: "June 16, 2000 — The conditions were "perfect" for a monstrous storm, a meteorological time bomb that would explode in the northern Atlantic Ocean creating waves ten stories high and imperiling the New England fleet.

Meteorologists refer to a perfect storm when ideal conditions combine into a rare and deadly storm. Specific elements must merge in order to create the extreme chaos of **a perfect storm.**"

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 18 2007, 05:50 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 03:41 PM) □

You should ask Bob your questions. I believe D.S. was referring to Bob Pickle. He sometimes attaches the title to his name and writes as "Dr Pickle".

Apparently the so called "little group" have also been passing themselves off as Conference officials, to try and dig up more dirt, and accusations.

I call that lying.

Apparently you don't realize that the word "apparently" screams hearsay, gossip and rumor. If you have first-hand information to show instances where the "little group" have passed themselves off as Conference officials, please bring it forward. If not, you are just planting "cat-o-nine-tails" style lies.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 18 2007, 05:54 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 18 2007, 06:41 PM)

As a side note:

Isn't it interesting how the Illinois Conference of the Seventh-day Adventist Church is getting brought into these discussions? This is exactly what the General Conference in Silver Spring, Maryland, does not want, since the Church exercises no control or influence over 3ABN, and, to my knowledge, has not even commented on the situation.

Based on this exchange between DS and WT:

QUOTE

WT: An-and **we are an independent organization or supporting industry uh ministry of the church**

DS: mmhmm

WT: so **the church doesn't really have responsibility or authority**

DS: Right

WT: **so they can't become involved** and so ya know kinda we're out here sitting alone

DS: mmhmm

... if they call themselves speaking authoritatively in this matter, does that mean Dr. Thompson was lying? If the church as he stated "can't get involved" why are you waiting to hear from the IL Conference?

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: daylily Feb 18 2007, 07:34 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 18 2007, 03:13 PM)

daylily,

Thank you so much for making this suggestion. We actually have Creative hardware on our computer that will do this. Unfortunately, it is on the computer that crashed last weekend.

rofl

Soon as we get a replacement computer built we will have that at our disposal. It definitely will make transcribing much easier!

PB

You are welcome I hope you get your computer going. It's sure no fun have one crash

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 18 2007, 07:51 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 18 2007, 06:54 PM) □

Based on this exchange between DS and WT:

... if they call themselves speaking authoritatively in this matter, does that mean Dr. Thompson was lying? If the church as he stated "can't get involved" why are you waiting to hear from the IL Conference?

In His service,
Mr. J

My guess would be that the Illinois conference officials do not appreciate having these people pass themselves off as one of them, and wish to disassociate themselves from what is being done falsely in their name.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Feb 18 2007, 08:22 PM

Your guess?

Is that all it is, your guess?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 18 2007, 08:28 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Feb 18 2007, 07:22 PM) □

Your guess?

Is that all it is, your guess?

Apparently so. □

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 18 2007, 09:16 PM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Feb 18 2007, 09:22 PM) □

Your guess?

Is that all it is, your guess?

That is what I said.

And unless someone else here has the inside scoop on WHY they are motivated to write the letter stating that these individuals are not in any official capacity and aren't from the Illinois conference, then all that anybody has is a guess, Daryl.

I guess time will tell.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 18 2007, 09:40 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 08:16 PM)

That is what I said.

And unless someone else here has the inside scoop on WHY they are motivated to write the letter stating that these individuals are not in any official capacity and aren't from the Illinois conference, then all that anybody has is a guess, Daryl.

I guess time will tell.

I have been following this saga intensively since August and I haven't seen any indication anywhere that Gailon Arthur Joy, Bob Pickle or Gregory Matthews have claimed to be conference officials. If you have quotes from them or any other type of evidence, please share it with the rest of us. Otherwise, it is just a rumor.

Do you even have evidence that some official of the Illinois conference is even motivated to write such a letter or are you guessing at that also? Please show me where you have the information to draw this conclusion.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 18 2007, 09:52 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 05:41 PM)

Apparently the so called "little group" have also been passing themselves off as Conference officials, to try and dig up more dirt, and accusations.

I call that lying.

"Apparently" you have an over active imagination, or are simply in need of a map to find a

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 18 2007, 10:08 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 18 2007, 10:40 PM)

I have been following this saga intensively since August and I haven't seen any indication anywhere that Gailon Arthur Joy, Bob Pickle or Gregory Matthews have claimed to be conference officials. If you have quotes from them or any other type of evidence, please share it with the rest of us. Otherwise, it is just a rumor.

Do you even have evidence that some official of the Illinois conference is even motivated to write such a letter or are you guessing at that also? Please show me where you have the information to draw this conclusion.

Did you read the letter from Tommy Shelton which Bob posted today in the Tommy Shelton vindicated thread?

"I will begin with the opening paragraph of his cover sheet where he admits to "cooperating with certain Seventh Day Adventist "ecclesiastical investigators" who were looking into Tommy Shelton's conduct while he pastored in Illinois as well as presently in his employment at the 3ABN Seventh Day Adventist television ministry in Illinois."

These men are not "ecclesiastical investigators" for the Seventh Day Adventist. They hold no official position, and have no authority in the Seventh Day Adventist Church to investigate anything or anybody. **By next week I will have in hand an official statement from the Illinois Conference President of SDA, stating that these men do not work for the Seventh-Day-Adventist church and were not authorized to investigate anyone.** Gailon Joy, one of the "self appointed investigators" was convicted of embezzlement in the 80's. Although one may get the impression after talking with him that he is a lawyer, he is not. His conviction was appealed 3 times but the conviction remained. We have evidence of his unscrupulous dealings in recent months and years and you will also hear in the near future that he is being held accountable for his slander in a court of law."

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 18 2007, 10:11 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 08:51 PM)

My guess would be that the Illinois conference officials do not appreciate having these people pass themselves off as one of them, and wish to disassociate themselves from what is being done falsely in their name.

Unlike the baseless assumptions you are attempting to pass off as facts, noted above, there **is proof** that some of you people have tried to pass yourselves off as independent thinkers without an agenda.

When it is clear that such is far from the truth.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 18 2007, 10:15 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 08:08 PM)

Did you read the letter from Tommy Shelton which Bob posted today in the Tommy Shelton vindicated thread?

"I will begin with the opening paragraph of his cover sheet where he admits to "cooperating with certain Seventh Day Adventist "ecclesiastical investigators" who were looking into Tommy Shelton's conduct while he pastored in Illinois as well as presently in his employment at the 3ABN Seventh Day Adventist television ministry in Illinois."

These men are not "ecclesiastical investigators" for the Seventh Day Adventist. They hold no official position, and have no authority in the Seventh Day Adventist Church to investigate anything or anybody. **By next week I will have in hand an official statement from the Illinois Conference President of SDA, stating that these men do not work for the Seventh-Day-Adventist church and were not authorized to investigate anyone.** Gailon Joy, one of the "self appointed investigators" was convicted of embezzlement in the 80's. Although one may get the impression after talking with him that he is a lawyer, he is not. His conviction was appealed 3 times but the conviction remained. We have evidence of his unscrupulous dealings in recent months and years and you will also hear in the near future that he is being held accountable for his slander in a court of law."

Maybe you hear things backwards? Just because Tommy says this does not mean that Gailon has claimed to be what Tommy is pointing out he is not. ANYONE can investigate. There is NO crime in investigating anything (as you should also be investigating to find things out - it's the facts that come out that make the point of truth, not who is doing it.

So are you taking the bait Aletheia? Are you saying you are only willing to accept (and this is what Tommy is trying to put in your head) that an official SDA investigator has to do the investigating? When we know that the church has no authority so to speak over 3abn, how would they put about official conference paid investigators? You are falling in to the spinning pool right where they want you. No thanks, it's too icy for me, I'm not jumping in.

And you should keep in mind, here are any helping with the investigation - not just three people that includes people that work for the SDA church as well. Keep that in mind. You don't see everything going on and all who are involved in it. You are only seeing what Tommy and Danny and the Shelton clan are wanting you to THINK you see.

Posted by: ida Feb 18 2007, 10:18 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 19 2007, 04:08 AM)

Did you read the letter from Tommy Shelton which Bob posted today in the Tommy Shelton vindicated thread?

- - -

Aletheia,


How are you involved with Tommy Shelton?

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 18 2007, 10:26 PM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 18 2007, 11:18 PM)

Alethia,

How are you involved with Tommy Shelton?

That is an excellent question, Ida. 

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 18 2007, 10:46 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 08:51 PM)

My guess would be that the Illinois conference officials do not appreciate having these people pass themselves off as one of them, and wish to disassociate themselves from what is being done falsely in their name.

What proof do you have that either Gailon Joy or Bob Pickle or Gregory Matthews posed as an Illinois Conference official?

Be specific...because all you have right now is the say so of someone that no sane lawyer would even bring into a court room much less put under oath...

You are quick to demand verification of statements that are contrary to your held positions; here you have nothing but the word of someone who has a propensity for being less than forthcoming when his sexual proclivities get him into trouble and you are willing to accept what he states sight unseen with no additional proof either desired or required...

Being a respecter of persons is sin, Cindy... so rather than your doing the varying standard of factual accountability thing... give us some proof that this actually happened.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Ralph Feb 19 2007, 02:15 AM

Here is the update for post #31

<http://mypage.direct.ca/r/rseland/private/second.html>

Posted by: Observer Feb 19 2007, 05:35 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 09:08 PM)

Did you read the letter from Tommy Shelton which Bob posted today in the Tommy Shelton vindicated thread?

"I will begin with the opening paragraph of his cover sheet where he admits to "cooperating with certain Seventh Day Adventist "ecclesiastical investigators" who were looking into Tommy Shelton's conduct while he pastored in Illinois as well as presently in his employment at the 3ABN Seventh

Day Adventist television ministry in Illinois."

These men are not "ecclesiastical investigators" for the Seventh Day Adventist. They hold no official position, and have no authority in the Seventh Day Adventist Church to investigate anything or anybody. **By next week I will have in hand an official statement from the Illinois Conference President of SDA, stating that these men do not work for the Seventh-Day-Adventist church and were not authorized to investigate anyone.** Gailon Joy, one of the "self appointed investigators" was convicted of embezzlement in the 80's. Although one may get the impression after talking with him that he is a lawyer, he is not. His conviction was appealed 3 times but the conviction remained. We have evidence of his unscrupulous dealings in recent months and years and you will also hear in the near future that he is being held accountable for his slander in a court of law."

Well, well, well. you know it is very hard to prove a negative. Often negative statements are wrong.

Both Bob Pickle and I do hold a position with the SDA Church. I do not know what it meant by the word "official" that modifies the sentence. Many people would assume that those who are listed in what is commonly called the YEARBOOK do hold "official" positions. If that is what gives one an official position, then I hold an official position. I am listed in the 2006 YEARBOOK. I have not yet seen the 2007 YEARBOOK. But, I expect to see my name listed in it.

I wonder how the IL Conference President could know that none of us work for the SDA Church. At least one of us receives money for services rendered to the SDA Church. Perhaps the implication is that the person who receives that money is not really working for the SDA Church. Oh, well, just another piece of information that is wrong.

However, let me point out an accurate statement that was made in the above quote:

None of us are either authorized to investigate any one, or are we doing so under the authority of the SDA Church. That statement is 100 per-cent correct.

The statements that the three of us make, and what we do in this matter is done independently, and is not done in the name of anyone except ourselves.

Posted by: Grace Feb 19 2007, 08:01 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 19 2007, 09:15 AM) □

Here is the update for post #31

<http://mypage.direct.ca/r/rseland/private/second.html>

Thank you, Ralph! Awesome job and very useful for those of us who were unable to watch it.

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 19 2007, 12:35 PM) □

Well, well, well. you know it is very hard to prove a negative. Often negative statements are wrong.

Both Bob Pickle and I do hold a position with the SDA Church. I do not know what it meant by the word "official" that modifies the sentence. Many people would assume that those who are listed in what is commonly called the YEARBOOK do hold "official" positions. If that is what gives one an official position, then I hold an official position. I am listed in the 2006 YEARBOOK. I have not yet seen the 2007 YEARBOOK. But, I expect to see my name listed in it.

I wonder how the IL Conference President could know that none of us work for the SDA Church. At least one of us receives money for services rendered to the SDA Church. Perhaps the implication is that the person who receives that money is not really working for the SDA Church. Oh, well, just another piece of information that is wrong.

However, let me point out an accurate statement that were made in the above quote:

None of us are either authorized to investigate any one, or are we doing so under the authority of the SDA Church. That statement is 100 per-cent correct.

The statements that the three of us make, and what we do in this matter is done independently, and is not done in the name of anyone except ourselves.

Observer, I've never seen you nor any of your group state or imply that you were officially mandated by the Church to investigate. You've always presented yourselves as independent investigators. That's a technique of DS, TS and Co. to say that you've said something wrong that you haven't, so they can accuse you of something and divert the attention of people from the real issue. I find it very dishonest and for me they lose credibility when they do that. Too bad!

Posted by: watchbird Feb 19 2007, 08:54 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 19 2007, 06:35 AM) □

. . . However, let me point out an accurate statement that were made in the above quote:

None of us are either authorized to investigate any one, or are we doing so under the authority of the SDA Church. That statement is 100 per-cent correct.

The statements that the three of us make, and what we do in this matter is done independently, and is not done in the name of anyone except ourselves.

That this statement is accurate, is what, IMO, makes this perhaps the saddest statement that has been made any time.... any place.... about any thing.... having to do with any part of the whole sordid mess at 3ABN.

That the Adventist church has NOT "authorized" anyone "to investigate any one"... given the multiple allegations against the owners/administrators of 3abn that have been brought for the past several years, even before Linda's ouster.... is to me not only a tragic mistake on the part of the highest church officials, but also a gross failure of their responsibilities as overseers of the church.

If they, as they have consistently claimed, in truth have no 'right' to investigate, nor censure, nor cut their alliances with, any individual or ministry which has as many allegations against themselves as does the 3abn "ministry" (put in quotes since it would seem that this is more of a business than a true

ministry)... then of what use are those "overseers of the church" to the body of the church? Is it not the biblical function of government... including church government... to protect its citizens (members) from those who prey upon the flock at the same time they pretend to be preaching to the flock?

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 19 2007, 09:01 AM) □

Observer, I've never seen you nor any of your group state or imply that you were officially mandated by the Church to investigate. You've always presented yourselves as independent investigators. That's a technique of DS, TS and Co. to say that you've said something wrong that you haven't, so they can accuse you of something and divert the attention of people from the real issue. I find it very dishonest and for me they lose credibility when they do that. Too bad!

And the fact that anyone would consider it "wrong" even if the researchers HAD claimed to be representing the church, is also but one more example of how truth is twisted and good is called evil by the spindoctors representing 3abn. The facts are that the researchers DO represent the church... the BODY of the church which SHOULD have a representative through its highest elected representatives, but does not. Thus spokespersons have to arise from within the body itself... to fill the gap left by those who were supposed by most of us to be the very ones who SHOULD investigate, speak, and act in a fair, just, and protective manner.

Would we be having any of these dialogues had they done so?

Of course not.

But we would "know the truth... and the truth will make [would have made] us free". Unfortunately, they did not, so the word of God through, Hosea 4:6 is today being fulfilled in our midst..... "My people are [being] destroyed for lack of knowledge".

And administrators should take note.... especially any who are tempted to take up stones to cast upon those who are trying to fill the vacuum left by their inaction.... that those who do not oppose wickedness are by their very silence and inaction aligning themselves with that wickedness which they do not oppose.

In the words of Isaiah....

Woe to those who call evil good, and good evil;
Who substitute darkness for light and light for darkness;
Who substitute bitter for sweet and sweet for bitter!
Woe to those who are wise in their own eyes
And clever in their own sight!

Posted by: Grace Feb 19 2007, 09:26 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 19 2007, 03:54 PM) □

That this statement is accurate, is what, IMO, makes this perhaps the saddest statement that has been made any time.... any place.... about any thing.... having to do with any part of the whole sordid mess at 3ABN.

That the Adventist church has NOT "authorized" anyone "to investigate any one"... given the multiple allegations against the owners/administrators of 3abn that have been brought for the past

several years, even before Linda's ouster.... is to me not only a tragic mistake on the part of the highest church officials, but also a gross failure of their responsibilities as overseers of the church.

If they, as they have consistently claimed, in truth have no "right" to investigate, nor censure, nor cut their alliances with, any individual or ministry which has as many allegations against themselves as does the 3abn "ministry" (put in quotes since it would seem that this is more of a business than a true ministry).... then of what use are those "overseers of the church" to the body of the church? Is it not the biblical function of government... including church government... to protect its citizens (members) from those who prey upon the flock at the same time they pretend to be preaching to the flock?

And the fact that anyone would consider it "wrong" even if the researchers HAD claimed to be representing the church, is also but one more example of how truth is twisted and good is called evil by the spindoctors representing 3abn. The facts are that the researchers DO represent the church... the BODY of the church which SHOULD have a representative through its highest elected representatives, but does not. Thus spokespersons have to arise from within the body itself... to fill the gap left by those who were supposed by most of us to be the very ones who SHOULD investigate, speak, and act in a fair, just, and protective manner.

Would we be having any of these dialogues had they done so?

Of course not.

But we would "know the truth... and the truth will make [would have made] us free". Unfortunately, they did not, so the word of God through, Hosea 4:6 is today being fulfilled in our midst..... "My people are [being] destroyed for lack of knowledge".

And administrators should take note.... especially any who are tempted to take up stones to cast upon those who are trying to fill the vacuum left by their inaction.... that those who do not oppose wickedness are by their very silence and inaction aligning themselves with that wickedness which they do not oppose.

In the words of Isaiah....

Woe to those who call evil good, and good evil;
Who substitute darkness for light and light for darkness;
Who substitute bitter for sweet and sweet for bitter!
Woe to those who are wise in their own eyes
And clever in their own sight!



Posted by: Noahswife Feb 19 2007, 02:01 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 19 2007, 03:15 AM)

Here is the update for post #31

<http://mypage.direct.ca/r/rseland/private/second.html>


Thanks for the time you spent creating the transcription.

I'm sure made for interesting reading.

My take on this "live show" would be that the contributions have dramatically fallen off. Especially since last month they claimed they were doing just fine. Also, the AToday article was just posted and could hurt things even more. I think it was also a preemptive strike against those donors who might send their money elsewhere to avoid it being used for legal fees.

Second, they are getting pressure from big donors to defend themselves.

Third, Danny can sure mumble and say absolutely nothing but tell his supporters what they want to hear.

I'm sure can talk without using clear language. Can/Will the lemmings really believe, if they were actually listening, that he could not remember what WT covered him for? What a line. 

Did you see my post on conversational terrorism? There are some subcategories with a religious bent that I have thought of adding to the article on the website where I found the article I posted. But I have come to expect nothing else of him/them as the latest TS letter demonstrates.

And the ASI discussion? What a joke considering the emails we have been shown. How many cult of Shelton will just accept his words and not check it out for themselves.

If Danny thinks his style of giving information or "answering questions" will work in a court of law he might be in for a surprise.

But hey, JMVHO.

W

Posted by: daylily Feb 19 2007, 06:57 PM

Molly: You know I was sitting here thinking. It's a sad day when we have to take air time to talk about this stuff. but we were forced into it. But you know one scriptures that you quote so frequently is John 10:10 The thief comes to kill and destroy and Jesus comes to give life and to give it abundantly. And he said He said.....Look at the fruits of the ministry.. [Reads letters from people blessed by 3abn]

Ralph, I think Shelly made the comment quoted above rather than Molly.

Thank you for taking the time to transcribe the program. I'm sure it was a lot of work!

Posted by: Eirene Feb 20 2007, 06:40 AM

3ABN will be showing this program again this morning between 8-10 am CST.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 20 2007, 06:47 AM

They just don't get the fact that the more they show that program, the more the post on here, the more they protest the more they are drawing people's attention to their troubles. Makes you kinda wonder what they are thinking or who is giving them advice. But let them carry on....

Richard

QUOTE(Eirene @ Feb 20 2007, 07:40 AM)

3ABN will be showing this program again this morning between 8-10 am CST.

Posted by: Eirene Feb 20 2007, 08:23 AM

Couldn't we just as easily think along these lines. Many of their programs are repeated at different times so that all may have an opportunity to view. This one was shown Thurs. night, Sunday afternoon and now Tuesday morning.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 02:10 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 20 2007, 06:47 AM)

They just don't get the fact that the more they show that program, the more the post on here, the more they protest the more they are drawing people's attention to their troubles. Makes you kinda wonder what they are thinking or who is giving them advice. But let them carry on....

Richard

Could be they could care less about the "posts on here." It was already mentioned before that the "little group" they referred to was Joy's.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 20 2007, 03:05 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 03:10 PM)

Could be they could care less about the "posts on here."

The fact that you and your cronies spend hours here every day says you're lying... but we expect that from you...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 03:13 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 20 2007, 03:05 PM)

The fact that you and your cronies spend hours here every day says you're lying... but we expect that from you...

In His service,
Mr. J

"he got jungle fever... they got jungle fever..... "

Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 03:30 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 20 2007, 01:13 PM)

"he got jungle fever... they got jungle fever..... "


Clay,

I am sorry to sound stupid, But what is Jungle Fever?

Erik

Posted by: princessdi Feb 20 2007, 03:38 PM

Welcome to BSDA Ida!



QUOTE(ida @ Feb 18 2007, 08:18 PM)

Aletheia,

How are you involved with Tommy Shelton?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 03:39 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 20 2007, 02:30 PM)

Clay,
I am sorry to sound stupid, But what is Jungle Fever?

Erik

se Erik, I think it means addicted to BlackSDA.com.

se er, Erik, see Clay's post following this one...

Posted by: Clay Feb 20 2007, 03:44 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 20 2007, 03:30 PM)

Clay,
I am sorry to sound stupid, But what is Jungle Fever?

Erik

Jungle Fever

1.
A white person dating a person of color.

Jessica got jungle feva'

2.
An inter-racial relationship, namely between a white person and a black person.

John has jungle fever.....

3.
When a non-black person is attracted sexually to black people. Originally it was used for when a white woman dates black men, but now it could refer to a white man who thinks black women are hot and wants to date them.

A Stevie Wonder song about black man/white woman love.

"She's gon black guy crazy
He's gone white girl hazy
They got jungle fever"--Stevie Wonder.

Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 03:53 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 20 2007, 01:44 PM)

Jungle Fever

1.

A white person dating a person of color.

Jessica got jungle feva'

2.

An inter-racial relationship, namely between a white person and a black person.

John has jungle fever.....

3.

When a non-black person is attracted sexually to black people. Originally it was used for when a white woman dates black men, but now it could refer to a white man who thinks black women are hot and wants to date them.

A Stevie Wonder song about black man/white woman love.

"She's gon black guy crazy
He's gone white girl hazy
They got jungle fever"--Stevie Wonder.

thanks rofl

Posted by: lurker Feb 20 2007, 05:21 PM

I don't know if anyone but me thought that 3ABN helping "Curley" Summers get transportation to get rid of his tatoos (though I'm glad for his sake that they are) might be partly to curry favor with "Curly's" dad who I think Danny said was a police chief or sherrif or something or did I get it wrong? I know Danny hinted that the policeman was very strict about traffic laws and such but exactly the opposite was the impression they left with me. Especially if, like Danny, the person was one of the "good old boys" and needed a favor. I don't remember what Curly said about supporting the police but Danny and Curly ended up shaking hands at the end of the interview.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 05:29 PM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 20 2007, 04:21 PM)

I don't know if anyone but me thought that 3ABN helping "Curley" Summers get transportation to get rid of his tatoos (though I'm glad for his sake that they are) might be partly to curry favor with "Curly's" dad who I think Danny said was a police chief or sherrif or something or did I get it wrong? I know Danny hinted that the policeman was very strict about traffic laws and such but exactly the opposite was the impression they left with me. Especially if, like Danny, the person was one of the "good old boys" and needed a favor. I don't remember what Curly said about supporting

the police but Danny and Curly ended up shaking hands at the end of the interview.


wish Curley Summers would have chosen to keep his tatoos. It is the heart that changes with a relationship with Christ. When He appears in the clouds, all of the physical vestiges of sin will disappear at that time. My belief is that his facial tatoos would be a great entering "wedge" and witness to others, but that is JMHO.

Posted by: ida Feb 20 2007, 05:52 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 20 2007, 09:38 PM)

Welcome to BSDA Ida!

 src

appreciate you saying that! I feel I am among friends. 

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 20 2007, 07:08 PM

Bystander it does not matter what they meant when they said a "little group". When they mention a little group people start searching the web, some will find this forum, some Joy's and others Maritime, the fact is the more you and them, whoever them is, fight the allegations the more credence you give to your critics. Every time you and the rest of the apologist post here you are telling the critics to keep digging, keep replying, keep exposing. Like I said you folks just don't get it, or like others have stated, ya'll are addicted to BSDA.

Thanks for giving BSDA and the 3abn threads legitimacy. It's much appreciated.

Richard

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 03:10 PM)

Could be they could care less about the "posts on here." It was already mentioned before that the "little group" they referred to was Joy's.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 07:13 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 20 2007, 07:08 PM)

Thanks for giving BSDA and the 3abn threads legitimacy. It's much appreciated.

Richard

Richard, I am sure you probably have thrown in with the rest in judging 3abn guilty if they did not respond to rumors. When they start responding, look at what you are saying now. A 3 year old can see that 3abn couldn't win here, no matter what they did.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 20 2007, 07:34 PM

Well Bystander I really wish things were different with all that is going on at 3abn. There was a time that I thought very highly of Danny and 3abn. I was even hoping that my parents would have left them something when they passed away. However I started to question the situation with the weird divorce. It just did not add up. So no, it was not by their silence that I started to think Danny had some problems, it was by the actions of Danny. I still believe in 3abn and it's role in bringing others to Christ, however it would be in it's best interest to have a change of leadership.

As far as whether or not ya'll should be defending the allegations I'm reminded of the old saying: "it's better for people to think you a fool than to open your mouth and prove it." This is not directed at any one person, it's directed at all the apologist as a group and the leadership at 3abn.

Richard

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 08:13 PM)

Richard, I am sure you probably have thrown in with the rest in judging 3abn guilty if they did not respond to rumors. When they start responding, look at what you are saying now. A 3 year old can see that 3abn couldn't win here, no matter what they did.

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 20 2007, 07:58 PM

I'll admit, when I first heard about the divorce, just looking at the obvious w/o being judgemental, I told my mother that surely the Lord wasn't in that marriage. Most of us have been around the world a time or two. How could the marriage of people involved in a ministry like that be so destitute that they couldn't get along or take the path we all take at one time or another by reconciling, or saying I was wrong, or I'm sorry. If you can't do that, there's no use preachin to others. The (re)focus must turn inward, not outward.

Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 09:07 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 05:13 PM)

Richard, I am sure you probably have thrown in with the rest in judging 3abn guilty if they did not respond to rumors. When they start responding, look at what you are saying now. A 3 year old can

see that 3abn couldn't win here, no matter what they did.

bystander,

came on to the internet looking to defend danny, but it was danny actions and words, that caused to change my mind.

Not anything linda or her supporters said or did.

I have to say it was the appeared effort to cover up the things about tommy that broke the camel back for me personally.

I would love to believe it is all lies but, unless 3abn can start defending themselves better, Like backing up their side of the story with facts, then what are the rest of us suppose to think.

I will give you an example, when Linda's daughter letter was put into public view, all danny need to do was write a simple letter saying i never was that with her. to my knowledge that was not done, and as of today it still has not been done. To that would speak louder then all these specials crying about the attacks of Satan on the internet.

The truth is never of afraid of a good checking out.

Erik

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Feb 20 2007, 09:27 PM

I have to agree with erik. I too wanted to defend Danny, still wish I could. I am not 100% convinced about all that is written here. But there is enough doubt that I think it needs to be addressed directly instead of the way they are doing it. Either they need to deny each accusation or just quit talking about it.


They are not helping their cause when all they say is that the devil is attacking. It leaves too many unanswered questions. The other thing that bothers me, they all claim to be Christians at 3ABN. But when they get on the live programs to defend themselves, they seem to be angry, and have an attitude. Yes, I would be upset if I was accused of these things but, Say what needs to be said in a nicer manner at least on the live shows. If they feel a need to be nasty come on the forums. But when I share with my neighbors about 3ABN, this is not what I have in mind of them watching. BTW, I have quit sharing with my neighbors about 3ABN or anyone else for that matter.

I just wish this could be done in a more Christian way. Keep it in the church somehow without sharing it with the world. Maybe 3ABN could write a letter to the people that donate money or get their news letter explaining in detail their side.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 09:36 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 20 2007, 11:07 PM)

....The truth is never of afraid of a good checking out.

That says volumes..... 

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Feb 20 2007, 11:27 PM) 

...I just wish this could be done in a more Christian way. Keep it in the church somehow without sharing it with the world. Maybe 3ABN could write a letter to the people that donate money or get their news letter explaining in detail their side.


I believe that all concerned would have liked for this to have been handled "better" - but the silence thing only worked as far as one person would let it go.

Danny started callin' the woman out her name and in public at that. Lnda had to sign a document - by a statement of "we ain't gonna give you no money iffing you talk" kind of document. After it is all said & done it could have been handled better.....if it never happened at all....but sadly -

All that are actin' up ain't acting "CHRISTian" therefore..... you get what you pay for.....



Posted by: Ralph Feb 21 2007, 12:03 AM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Feb 18 2007, 04:41 PM) 

For the Adventist Church, this is growing into "The Perfect Storm."

This is what concerns me. If it were just 3abn, I would be writing on other subjects on BSDA. (and some of the forums are great.) We don't have a dish but we enjoy the HOPE channel on the Internet.

For many Adventists who are shut-ins or live in other countries, 3abn has been the vehicle that has carried the message of Adventist speakers.

I believe that our top evangelists are compromising their credibility by being bed fellows of Danny.

Posted by: Grace Feb 21 2007, 03:00 AM

As for myself and my family, living in Europe, we loved to watch 3ABN since they started. Not that we liked everything, but we liked a lot of the programs and we thought that it was great to have something adventist on TV.

We were very shocked to see Linda disappear all of a sudden without any explanation, even her name, as if she had never been there. We thought something was strange there. My only way to investigate was to go to the Internet, to google, yahoo...

I started finding things that troubled me a lot. I felt very disappointed with both Danny and Linda, because I felt that if the message they preached couldn't keep them together, either the message was wrong or they were. But then our good friend Johann gave us some facts and I was shocked again to find out that a Christian man could do such things to his wife.

I went again to the web and finally found BSDA. I was looking for facts, and boy, I found lots of them! For many months, I was simply a reader. I finally came to the conclusion that I wanted to be part of it and registered as a member.

So I'm thankful for the people investigating the facts and putting them in the forum for the searchers to see. And I'm praying for everybody involved and for the ministry of 3ABN.

Blessings to all!

Posted by: Clay Feb 21 2007, 09:12 AM

Grace.... you are always welcomed here.... and I am glad that you were able to do some reading and figure out for yourself what was going on.... even if you don't agree with all, it is apparent that something is happening that isn't quite right....

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 21 2007, 10:11 AM

QUOTE("Grace")

For many months, I was simply a reader. I finally came to the conclusion that I wanted to be part of it and registered as a member.

I lurked here for 3-4 days after the Thursday night broadcast, and was still kind of riding the fence. But, after reading <http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=10489&hl=Kerr> stunning letter (posted in August) I decided to join. I had seen her cooking show a few times, while visiting at my mother's place, and remembered her well. Everything in her letter had a true ring to it, kind of like the Bible, while nearly everything the defenders of 3ABN are posting here reminds me of something out of a scary movie.

I've always liked 3ABN, not just because they've broadcast live concerts of my music group (and still do), but because I thought they were filling a much needed gap.

Amazing how those inside the organization seem to have hardly any perception of how they're coming across to the outside. If they know anything about sample sizing in polling data, I'm sure they realize the *small world* impressions here have a similar ratio to what's happening in the *greater world* out there.

Pray the Lord will open some eyes.

Posted by: Lee Feb 21 2007, 11:49 AM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 21 2007, 04:00 AM)

As for myself and my family, living in Europe, we loved to watch 3ABN since they started. Not that we liked everything, but we liked a lot of the programs and we thought that it was great to have something adventist on TV.

We were very shocked to see Linda disappear all of a sudden without any explanation, even her name, as if she had never been there. We thought something was strange there. My only way to investigate was to go to the Internet, to google, yahoo...

What? Linda disappeared from the show without any explanation? I didn't know that. From reading all the posts on here you would think Danny trashed her repeatedly and constantly, yelling it from the

rooftops and doing everything he could to get it out in the open, lying and deceiving the whole world.

I agree with you Grace! Thanks for telling the truth. It's a breath of fresh air.

Posted by: erik Feb 21 2007, 11:53 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 21 2007, 09:49 AM) □

What? Linda disappeared from the show without any explanation? I didn't know that. From reading all the posts on here you would think Danny trashed her repeatedly and constantly, yelling it from the rooftops and doing everything he could to get it out in the open, lying and deceiving the whole world.

I agree with you Grace! Thanks for telling the truth. It's a breath of fresh air.

Lee,

I am shocked that you are taking such a cheap shot here, in fact that is all i can say.

ERik

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 21 2007, 12:54 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 21 2007, 12:53 PM) □

Lee,

I am shocked that you are taking such a cheap shot here, in fact that is all i can say.

ERik

That shouldn't shock you; that camp has been making a concerted effort to take such cheap shots since they darkened this doorstep. They have neither integrity nor couth nor shame and they continue to attempt to confuse and deceive by any and every smarmy means at their disposal...

The only thing, if any, that should shock you is that it took so long for her to abandon the 'me too' responses she's been giving and stoop to the level of her comrades...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: erik Feb 21 2007, 01:35 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 21 2007, 10:54 AM) □

That shouldn't shock you; that camp has been making a concerted effort to take such cheap shots since they darkened this doorstep. They have neither integrity nor couth nor shame and they continue to attempt to confuse and deceive by any and every smarmy means at their disposal...

The only thing, if any, that should shock you is that it took so long for her to abandon the 'me too' responses she's been giving and stoop to the level of her comrades...

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J,

I know it should not have shocked me, but i keeping hoping that someone defending danny will show some class.

erik

Posted by: Carmel Feb 21 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 07:13 PM)

A 3 year old can see that 3abn couldn't win here, no matter what they did.

Bystander,

That is precisely what is happening, and not only on this site. I see God 's finger in it.

If you are not willing to confess and forsake your sins, as the Proverbs 28:13 says, but rather cover your sins, **you will not prosper**. Mercy and grace are given to those who are not afraid to say: "I made a big mistake, Lord forgive me and help me, I don't wan't to do it again!"

Remember the story of Esther, Haman and Mordecai? Haman was set to do something against one of God's children, and to some point it looked as if he was successful in it. But, after some time:

"Haman hurried to his house, mourning and with his head covered. When Haman told his wife and all his friends everything that has happend to him, his wise men and his wife said to him, "if Mordecai before whom you have begun to fall, is of Jewish descent, you will not prevail against him but will surely fall before him"

If you are covering up adultery, child molestation, homosexuality, embezzlement, taking **the holy tithe**, lying, and everything else, **this is your destiny**.

If you can still hear the small still voice in you, do what you know is right.

Carmel

Posted by: Grace Feb 21 2007, 02:01 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 21 2007, 06:49 PM) □

What? Linda disappeared from the show without any explanation? I didn't know that. From reading all the posts on here you would think Danny trashed her repeatedly and constantly, yelling it from the rooftops and doing everything he could to get it out in the open, lying and deceiving the whole world.

I agree with you Grace! Thanks for telling the truth. It's a breath of fresh air.

Sorry, Lee, I would like to agree with you, but when I said that Linda disappeared from 3ABN without any explanation I was talking about the first few days. After a very short time, I started hearing people on the air, DS and others, trashing Linda, first in a veiled way, then more openly. I immediately thought that that was wrong. And I still think so now, even more strongly.

Posted by: erik Feb 21 2007, 02:15 PM

QUOTE(Carmel @ Feb 21 2007, 11:42 AM) □

Bystander,

That is precisely what is happening, and not only on this site. I see God 's finger in it.

If you are not willing to confess and forsake your sins, as the Proverbs 28:13 says, but rather cover your sins, **you will not prosper**. Mercy and grace are given to those who are not afraid to say: "I made a big mistake, Lord forgive me and help me, I don't want to do it again!"

Remember the story of Esther, Haman and Mordecai? Haman was set to do something against one of God's children, and to some point it looked as if he was successful in it. But, after some time: **"Haman hurried to his house, mourning and with his head covered. When Haman told his wife and all his friends everything that has happend to him, his wise men and his wife said to him, "if Mordecai before whom you have begun to fall, is of Jewish descent, you will not prevail against him but will surely fall before him"**

If you are covering up adultery, child molestation, homosexuality, embezzlement, taking **the holy tithe**, lying, and everything else, **this is you destiny**.

If you can still hear the small still voice in you, do what you know is right.

Carmel

Wow carmel speaking the truth in love, god bless you

Erik

Posted by: Grace Feb 21 2007, 02:59 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 21 2007, 04:12 PM)

Grace.... you are always welcomed here.... and I am glad that you were able to do some reading and figure out for yourself what was going on.... even if you don't agree with all, it is apparent that something is happening that isn't quite right....

Thank you, Clay. I took months of reading the posts in BSDA and I read absolutely all of them in the 3ABN thread. By the time that I decided to register here as a member, I was already convinced that what DS and his aids were doing was wrong. I was also convinced that Linda had been wrongly treated. Then I learned other stuff, like the way DS has been treating some other people, and finally about the awful things about the behavior of TS.


I can't do much, other than posting here in support of the fighters for the good cause and pray, and I'm trying to do both. I've almost quit answering the posts coming from the DS side, I feel too bad just by reading most of them. And I venture to post in other threads from time to time. With English not being my mother tongue, it's always a little tricky for me... but I'm learning.

Blessings!

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 21 2007, 07:34 PM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 21 2007, 02:59 PM)

With English not being my mother tongue, it's always a little tricky for me... but I'm learning.



Grace, your English is better than many who post here! I would never have guessed that about you.

Good for you!!

Snoopy

Posted by: JustTana Feb 21 2007, 07:51 PM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 21 2007, 03:59 PM)

With English not being my mother tongue, it's always a little tricky for me... but I'm learning.

Blessings! 

Dear Grace: Welcome to this forum. Your presence and commentary is much appreciated. I could wish that some of those for whom English is their first language, were as adept as you are in this secondary language. What is your Mother tongue?


I'm not speaking of the often fun and funny vernacular that the Black friends use here. I get a big hang out of them.


Again,




T


Posted by: Grace Feb 22 2007, 04:54 AM

Thank you, Snoopy and JustTana! You're really kind to me with your encouragements. 

My English is not as good as it looks, I wish it were so. I have a big dictionary by my side and try not to forget to use the "Spell Check" before pushing "Add Reply". 

JustTana, I love the "fun and funny vernacular" too, although I don't understand everything and my dictionary is useless for that! 

My mother tongue is Spanish. (I was born in Spain.) I have the same ease with Catalan (a vernacular language of a Spanish region) and with French. English is only my fourth language.

Good to be with you, my friends! Blessings! 

Oooooops! My excuses for being out of topic! Let's go back on topic!

Posted by: lurker Feb 22 2007, 08:14 AM

Just one more word of praise for the language sometimes used on this forum and in my family before getting back on topic. It really gets to the heart of things and pokes fun at our feelings of pride and self importance. You hear what is said, and then you do a double take and then realize that there has been some keen insight into life and the human condition.

The people using this language are highly educated yet sometimes, there is no better or more diplomatic way to say what needs saying than to put it right out there with a little humor.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 22 2007, 06:01 PM

Hey I only speak English but I still have a dictionary by the computer and always use spell check.

Richard

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 22 2007, 05:54 AM)

Thank you, Snoopy and JustTana! You're really kind to me with your encouragements.

My English is not as good as it looks, I wish it were so. I have a big dictionary by my side and try not to forget to use the "Spell Check" before pushing "Add Reply".

JutTana, I love the "fun and funny vernacular" too, although I don't understand everything and my dictionary is useless for that!

My mother tongue is Spanish. (I was born in Spain.) I have the same ease with Catalan (a vernacular language of a Spanish region) and with French. English is only my forth language.

Good to be with you, my friends! Blessings!

Oooooops! My excuses for being out of topic! Let's go back on topic!

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 22 2007, 09:55 PM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 22 2007, 10:14 AM)

Just one more word of praise for the language sometimes used on this forum and in my family before getting back on topic. It really gets to the heart of things and pokes fun at our feelings of pride and self importance. You hear what is said, and then you do a double take and then realize that there has been some keen insight into life and the human condition.

The people using this language are highly educated yet sometimes, there is no better or more diplomatic way to say what needs saying than to put it right out there with a little humor.

PDR
BS - 2000; SWAU
MSW - 2003; UH
PhD - IP; AU

We be ed-ja-ma-kated!

Fa sho' we sho' do be. Doo-be-do-be-do.....sha-doo-be-do-be. Doo-be-doo-be-doo....

Posted by: inga Feb 22 2007, 11:26 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 22 2007, 10:55 PM)

PDR
BS - 2000; SWAU

MSW - 2003; UH
PhD - IP; AU

We be ed-ja-ma-kated!

Fa sho' we sho' do be. Doo-be-do-be-do....sha-doo-be-do-be. Doo-be-doo-be-doo....

Maybe that's why those Dannyscribes don't like it much here? Too many eddicated
olks? rofl

Posted by: calvin Feb 23 2007, 12:14 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 21 2007, 11:49 AM)

What? Linda disappeared from the show without any explanation? I didn't know that. From reading all the posts on here you would think Danny trashed her repeatedly and constantly, yelling it from the rooftops and doing everything he could to get it out in the open, lying and deceiving the whole world.

I agree with you Grace! Thanks for telling the truth. It's a breath of fresh air.

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 21 2007, 11:53 AM)

Lee,

I am shocked that you are taking such a cheap shot here, in fact that is all i can say.

ERik

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either. .

Posted by: inga Feb 23 2007, 01:16 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 23 2007, 01:14 AM)

I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either. .

Well I *did see/hear* Dan put Linda down on camera, even while they were holding hands. It was a subtle thing, and a lot of folks wouldn't notice it because they were used to putting women down in a "joking" manner. My husband *did* notice it and said, "There's something wrong in that marriage, and it wouldn't surprise me if something bigger were to happen ..." That was back in 2003. He caught the subtle put-downs, and Linda's forced smiles. Many folks wouldn't have caught on ...

Now, the trashing after the divorce was very public, with the notice on the website implying that Linda had done something dastardly -- by the mere fact that the divorce even took place, with Danny being the "innocent" one.

Then there were plenty of oblique references to Linda and her sins on the air after that. Those not aware of the issues would not catch them. Those of us who *were* aware did catch them. Some posted specific instances. Others simply remarked that it happened.

My mother and I could watch the identical program, and she would drink up the words of Danny, without catching on to any of the trashing. She just believed that "the devil" was doing all this persecuting of Danny, without catching that he was putting down Linda in a number of different ways during one program. Of course, she also initially believed that Linda disappeared because of adultery.

To an extent, we see what we're looking for. But it's hard to miss when you know what's been going on behind the scenes. It's very *easy to miss* if you don't know what's behind the scenes.

Personally, I can't stand to see Danny on the screen. Never liked him all that much, like him a whole lot less now ... But I wish him no ill. He's a child of God who needs saving ...

Posted by: erik Feb 23 2007, 02:15 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 22 2007, 10:14 PM) □

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either.

Calvin,

Well maybe Danny did not trash Linda on air, But the way I remember it was that at Church that week their was about ten minute discussion of Linda's affair, and all the members of teh church said they heard it on the tv.

sounds like way to much information went to me.

Erik

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 05:51 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 23 2007, 02:16 AM) □

Now, the trashing after the divorce was very public, with the notice on the website implying that Linda had done something dastardly -- by the mere fact that the divorce even took place, with Danny being the "innocent" one....

Ist notice/open letter on 3 ABN website re:Linda gone - July 1, 2004

Note: it doesn't mention a divorce at all. And in fact the first public notices of that and why was: www.LindaShelton.org. Started Jun 22, 2004

QUOTE

Dear 3ABN Family:

You may have heard by now of changes that have recently happened at Three Angels Broadcasting Network. We believe we owe you, as a loyal supporter of this ministry, an accounting of recent events regarding the former Vice President of 3ABN.

Out of respect for the privacy of those involved, we cannot reveal all details. But after prayer and reflection, we are convicted that we should share with you what we responsibly can.

You may have noticed that Linda Shelton has not appeared on 3ABN telecasts for the last few weeks. That is because as of June 1, 2004, the 3ABN Board of Directors removed Linda from her role as Vice President and as a board member. More recently, she has been discontinued as an employee of 3ABN as well.

We want to assure you that this decision was not made by one or two people, nor was it made in one or two weeks. Rather, the situation developed over nearly five months, and was dealt with by the full 3ABN leadership, including its Board of Directors.

The issue relates to a matter that arose in Danny and Linda Shelton's marriage. They were not able to resolve the matter, despite many attempts with their local pastor and other counselors. It was then brought to my attention as Chairman of the Board.

In order to make a thorough, fair and independent review of the matter, I convened a fact-finding committee of well-known Adventists who are not employees of 3ABN. The committee consisted of myself; William Hulse, a businessman and mayor of Collegedale, Tennessee; and Kay Kuzma, author and family issues expert. We were counseled in our deliberations and review by Nicholas Miller, an Adventist attorney associated with a major national law firm.

The committee members spoke with all relevant witnesses. It invited Linda to meet with the full committee and to present any witnesses she might wish to have speak on her behalf. Upon reviewing the situation, it was clear to the 3ABN leadership that the source of the conflict lay in certain choices that Linda was making. We asked her, both verbally and in writing, to make different choices. She refused.

Under the circumstances, the board had no real choice but to take the actions it did in regards to Linda's position at 3ABN. Should Linda reconsider her course of conduct, we would also reconsider our decision regarding her role at 3ABN.

Please pray for Linda, for Danny, and for the 3ABN ministry during this time. We believe in a God of miracles, who can renew and restore. This ministry was started and built through a series of miracles. Those miracles continue to this day, and we believe that many more are yet to come.

We believe that this ministry will continue to move forward, taking its direction from our Lord and Savior Jesus Christ. It is His ministry, and not that of any man or woman. The Board of Directors, President Danny Shelton, and I as Chairman, are committed to doing His will with this organization.

Please join us in supporting 3ABN with your prayers and financial support. We know you have done so in the past, and we are deeply thankful for it. But right now the devil is doing his best to derail this work because we are pressing the front close to his strongholds.

This month we added a quarter of a billion potential viewers in India, as our signal is being placed on cable systems through much of this Hindu nation of 1.1 billion souls. This effectively doubles the viewership of 3ABN, virtually overnight. We are also being placed on the most-watched cable-network in the Washington D.C. area, giving us access to the political and thought leaders of our country.

Please don't let the devil succeed in heading off the vital work of 3ABN at this crucial time. You have faithfully supported this ministry in the past. Show us your continued resolve and support by making a faith pledge or donation to support us during this tremendous expansion of our coverage.

Your efforts and support are the medium through which God allows 3ABN to carry its gospel message to the world. We thank God and you for that support.

In His Service,
Dr. Walter Thompson, Chairman
Three Angels Broadcasting Network, Inc.

P.S. I came across a quote recently that highlighted the importance of 3ABN's resolve to "Go ye into all the world": "The Duke of Wellington was once present where a party of Christian men were discussing the possibility of success in missionary effort among the heathen. They appealed to the Duke to say whether in his judgment such efforts were likely to prove a success commensurate to the cost. The old soldier replied: 'Gentlemen, what are your marching orders? Success is not the question for you to discuss. If I read your orders aright, they run thus, "Go ye into all the world, and preach the gospel to every creature.'" Gentlemen, obey your marching orders.'" Gospel Workers, p. 115.

Join us in helping Three Angels Broadcasting Network carry out its marching orders!

The next notice on the 3ABN site re: divorce and remarriage wasn't till 2006. After the public trashing of D.S., W.T., J.L. 3ABN board, and al,l had been going on in internet discussion forums for at least 2 years...

QUOTE

Inga wrote:

To an extent, we see what we're looking for.Personally, I can't stand to see Danny on the screen. Never liked him all that much, like him a whole lot less now

Well, that certainly could explain it!

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 06:05 AM


QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 06:51 AM)

Ist notice/open letter on 3 ABN website re:Linda gone - July 1, 2004
The next notice on the 3ABN site re: divorce and remarriage wasn't till 2006. After the public trashing of D.S., W.T. , J.L. 3ABN board, and al,I had been going on in internet discussion forums for at least 2 years...
Well, that certainly could explain it!

Cindy, you arent this dumb... so you recognize the fact that 3abn was not limited to items posted on it's website in speaking on the issue of danny's marriage to linda and it's demise... and while he may have gone nearly two years without saying anything officially on the website, there have been myriad eyewitnesses to the aspersion and mudslinging coming from that camp where Linda is concerned in between notices on the website.

The fact that you either didn't see it yourself or have chosen to believe what they said true doesnt change the fact that he has talked about her like a dog, particularly during the two years when she could not defend herself publicly.

So try another lie; this one is



In His service,
Mr. J


Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 06:32 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 23 2007, 07:05 AM)

Cindy, you arent this dumb... so you recognize the fact that 3abn was not limited to items posted on it's website in speaking on the issue of danny's marriage to linda and it's demise... and while he may have gone nearly two years without saying anything officially on the website, there have been myriad eyewitnesses to the aspersion and mudslinging coming from that camp where Linda is concerned in between notices on the website.

The fact that you either didn't see it yourself or have chosen to believe what they said true doesnt change the fact that he has talked about her like a dog, particularly during the two years when she could not defend herself publicly.

So try another lie; this one is



In His service,
Mr. J

No I am not dumb, nor are you. I was addressing Inga's remarks about their website, I'm sure you can see I quoted her...

As far as any other so called "Public trashing" goes...
Do you happen to have any quotes or dates, or anything besides your mouth to offer as evidence?

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 07:07 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 07:32 AM)

No I am not dumb, nor are you. I was addressing Inga's remarks about their website, I'm sure you can see I quoted her...

As far as any other so called "Public trashing" goes...

Do you happen to have any quotes or dates, or anything besides your mouth to offer as evidence?

you obviously are still not paying attention nor have you read what has been posted in this forum...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 07:18 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 23 2007, 01:14 AM)

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either.

Calvin, you are not taking into consideration the first person accounts posted here from MizRobby and others who have been present at churches where Danny's road show has appeared where they have stated he has maligned often at length, Linda and others from the pulpit on Sabbath morning... I submit if your wife and others had had full disclosure of the tactics employed by DS and his crew, the gratuitous shots and subtle digs at Linda and others would have stood out in their minds. you also are not taking into account the concerted efforts to keep Linda from ministering in churches... all of those things have been stated for the record from first hand accounts... and all of them are things that the bulk of their viewing audience would probably have missed because they only have the Danny sanitized spin version of things to go on

To those who know better.. to those who have worked there and spent much time in that environment... and to those who have spoken to the eyewitnesses of these thigs at length, Lee's statement is, indeed a cheap shot...

But, as always, YMMV.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 07:21 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 23 2007, 12:14 AM)

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either.

have you forgotten? MzRobby and Odell were at a rally where Danny was speaking and heard for themselves how he made references to Linda and not in a kind way.... so it wouldn't have to be on camera if in his personal appearances he trashed her.... likewise who was making the phone calls preventing her from speaking at the various churches? Let's not forget that one of our own (Darius) was uninvited to speak after someone read something here on BSDA and called the church where he was to be speaking.... so yes I believe Danny trashed her reputation every chance he could.... and it didn't have to be on camera.....

Posted by: watchbird Feb 23 2007, 07:38 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 08:21 AM)

have you forgotten? MzRobby and Odell were at a rally where Danny was speaking and heard for themselves how he made references to Linda and not in a kind way.... so it wouldn't have to be on camera if in his personal appearances he trashed her.... likewise who was making the phone calls preventing her from speaking at the various churches? Let's not forget that one of our own (Darius) was uninvited to speak after someone read something here on BSDA and called the church where he was to be speaking.... so yes I believe Danny trashed her reputation every chance he could.... and it didn't have to be on camera.....

There were also the personal phone calls he made... personal emails sent out.... the mass mailings of his "news" magazine... and the most public of all... the announcements that appeared on the 3abn website. While veiled, they definitely left one with the impression that Linda had "run off" from her husband with someone else. In fact the website announcement was the first thing that I saw, and I immediately thought that she must be having an affair. Only because I willed myself to not believe that as a fact until I had checked it out personally with my good friend Johann who at that time (I thought) was actively working to promote 3abn in Europe, did I not become part of the ever widening network of spreading false information. Once I heard back from him I learned not only the truth about Linda, but the fact that Johann had himself been severed from his position at 3abn because he had refused to testify falsely against Linda.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 23 2007, 07:43 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 22 2007, 11:14 PM)

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda

attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either.

Calvin,

In late May of 2004 I, an occasional viewer of 3abn, heard many references to Linda and her negative direction from Danny's own lips. On air there were numerous references but, admittedly, many of them were cryptic. Over the years, I began watching more and more of the broadcasts and saw many instances where Danny would interview someone and then tie his own marital problems to their trials. The messages were clear.

My mother, a faithful viewer and supporter of 3abn, noticed the poor way Danny was treating Linda on air, "humorously" putting her down in a way that made my mother quite uncomfortable. She was not at all surprised when Linda disappeared.

Of course then there was talk of an email. A relative who was in the LLU area at the time was privy to the informational email about Linda's supposed affair with a doctor even before campmeeting.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 23 2007, 07:52 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 21 2007, 01:03 AM)

This is what concerns me. If it were just 3abn, I would be writing on other subjects on BSDA. (and some of the forums are great.) We don't have a dish but we enjoy the HOPE channel on the Internet.

For many Adventists who are shut-ins or live in other countries, 3abn has been the vehicle that has carried the message of Adventist speakers.

I believe that our top evangelists are compromising their credibility by being bed fellows of Danny.

Can we say D E E P?

Posted by: watchbird Feb 23 2007, 08:03 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 08:21 AM)

Have you forgotten? MzRobby and Odell were at a rally where Danny was speaking and heard for themselves how he made references to Linda and not in a kind way.... so it wouldn't have to be on camera if in his personal appearances he trashed her.... likewise who was making the phone calls preventing her from speaking at the various churches? Let's not forget that one of our own (Darius) was uninvited to speak after someone read something here on BSDA and called the church where he was to be speaking.... so yes I believe Danny trashed her reputation every chance he could.... and it didn't have to be on camera.....

There were also the personal phone calls he made... personal emails sent out.... the mass mailings of his "news" magazine... and the most public of all... the announcements that appeared on the 3abn website.

While veiled, they definitely left one with the impression that Linda had "run off" from her husband with someone else. In fact the website announcement was the first thing that I saw, and I immediately thought that she must be having an affair. Only because I willed myself to not believe that as a fact until I had checked it out personally with my good friend Johann, who at that time (I thought) was actively working to promote 3abn in Europe, did I not become part of the ever widening network of spreading false information. Once I heard back from him I learned not only the truth about Linda, but the fact that Johann had himself been severed from his position at 3abn because he had refused to testify falsely against Linda.

After that I heard about the broadcast from the campmeeting in June 2004... where Danny had announced to the world publicly not only that Linda had left him, but that the "devils" who stole her from him were right there in the room the announcement about her leaving him embedded in an on camera request to Mark Finley to pray for God to "bring her back to him".

It is WAY too well substantiated by way too many people for there to be any room for an objective claim that Danny did NOT trash Linda publicly.... just because some didn't recognize the things he said as being untruths or didn't happen to hear them themselves.

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 21 2007, 01:03 AM)

This is what concerns me. If it were just 3abn, I would be writing on other subjects on BSDA. (and some of the forums are great.) We don't have a dish but we enjoy the HOPE channel on the Internet.

For many Adventists who are shut-ins or live in other countries, 3abn has been the vehicle that has carried the message of Adventist speakers.

I believe that our top evangelists are compromising their credibility by being bed fellows of Danny.

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 23 2007, 08:52 AM)

Can we say D E E P?

I believe that we can. And the fact that Mark Finley allowed himself to be used by Danny in the way that he did... and that Mark openly supported Danny.... and has still not PUBLICLY stepped forward to withdraw that support.... means that the SDA evangelist who has since been placed at the head of all SDA evangelists.... is STILL in a very "compromising" position.

Posted by: Grace Feb 23 2007, 08:21 AM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 21 2007, 09:01 PM)

Sorry, Lee, I would like to agree with you, but when I said that Linda disappeared from 3ABN without any explanation I was talking about the first few days. After a very short time, I started hearing people on the air, DS and others, trashing Linda, first in a veiled way, then more openly. I immediately thought that that was wrong. And I still think so now, even more strongly.

That's what I said. I think it's a fact.

Posted by: Observer Feb 23 2007, 08:45 AM

Just for the record:

3-ABN is not available in my home. However, I am able to watch it sometimes, and I do watch it.

I have heard Danny mention Linda in cryptic comments, several times. I have heard him make comments regarding physicians who seduce women, and other such. One would need to be award of the issues to realize what Danny was saying.

In very recent times, I have not heard that done. Perhaps, Danny has stopped doing so on TV? I do not know.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 23 2007, 09:00 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 23 2007, 09:45 AM)

Just for the record:

3-ABN is not available in my home. However, I am able to watch it sometimes, and I do watch it.

I have heard Danny mention Linda in cryptic comments, several times. I have heard him make comments regarding physicians who seduce women, and other such. One would need to be award of the issues to realize what Danny was saying.

In very recent times, I have not heard that done. Perhaps, Danny has stopped doing so on TV? I do not know.

He has not stopped doing so. In every segment of his 3ABN Today show that I have watched, he manages to bring the topic around so that he not only makes a not-so-veiled side-swipe at Linda... without, of course, calling her by name... but he also leads his guest into making "reassuring comments" regarding the "persecution" he is suffering from all of the "lies" being told about him. It is not a pretty sight, and as some here have attested, it is sometimes plain enough so as to raise questions in the minds of those who had not previously been "aware of the issues".

Especially when he has others of his "crew" on the show, a major portion of the show is aimed at bolstering Danny's ego and his self-perceived "righteousness".... as he and they refer over and over to those who are "persecuted for righteousness sake".... and apply it very directly to Danny as though he were righteous rather than guilty.....

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 23 2007, 09:52 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 23 2007, 08:03 AM)

I believe that we can. And the fact that Mark Finley allowed himself to be used by Danny in the way that he did... and that Mark openly supported Danny.... and has still not PUBLICLY stepped forward to withdraw that support.... means that the SDA evangelist who has since been placed at the head of all SDA evangelists.... is STILL in a very "compromising" position.

Yes. I seem to remember a post by wwjd on 2-8-07 that said something like this:

QUOTE

I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

And wwjd again on 2-8-07:

QUOTE

Easy to solve. Ask Mark Finley if he counseled her on the phone 3 different times and what was said. He is willing to make that statement or sign a document saying so. Read my other post in corporate worship. It will fill in the details. THERE ARE RECEIPTS for 8 hours of out of state counseling with 2 christian counselors that are not adventist to the best of my understanding.

Here we are on 2-23-07. Pasto Finley has been contacted at least twice that I know of, and still, no response from him. He doesn't seem too "happy" and "willing" to make any statement. (I don't blame him.) Just more empty words from the dannyscribes.

Has anyone else noticed that they can talk big about verification and proof, but never seem to be able to produce? However, nearly everything at save3abn.com is signed testimony.

Now, who has the credibility problem?

Posted by: Observer Feb 23 2007, 10:52 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 23 2007, 08:52 AM)

Yes. I seem to remember a post by wwjd on 2-8-07 that said something like this:

And wwjd again on 2-8-07:

Here we are on 2-23-07. Pasto Finley has been contacted at least twice that I know of, and still, no response from him. He doesn't seem too "happy" and "willing" to make any statement. (I don't blame him.) Just more empty words from the dannyscribes.

Has anyone else noticed that they can talk big about verification and proof, but never seem to be able to produce? However, nearly everything at save3abn.com is signed testimony.

Now, who has the credibility problem?

Yes, I sent him an e-mail, and he never responded. That tells me that the claims that he will verify are unfounded. It appears that he will not. I should be noted that Linda is willing to release him from any privacy concerns that he may have. Linda is not the reason he has not responded.

Watchbird: Yes, when I have watched 3-ABN Today, I have noted that Danny typically finds a way to bring Linda into the picture without mentioning her name.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 23 2007, 11:11 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 23 2007, 10:52 AM)

...Here we are on 2-23-07. Pasto Finley has been contacted at least twice that I know of, and still, no response from him. He doesn't seem too "happy" and "willing" to make any statement. (I don't blame him.) Just more empty words from the dannyscribes.....

For the record, let's look a bit at the time line....

Spring 2004.... Mark Finley was, IMO, duped by Danny into believing that Linda had left him and that Danny wanted her back.

On the basis of that, he didn't bother to try and find out "her side" from Linda, but just treated the whole thing as a simple "lovers quarrel" that would blow away with a few words of prayer.

When Johann let him know otherwise, he still trusted Danny above Johann, and promoted Danny as being in the right rather than being willing to listen to the views of those who realized very early that Danny was NOT wanting Linda to return, but was actually trying to get rid of her.

This held for roughly the next two years. I don't know when he began to question a little bit... but by the time Alyssa's statement came out, he was beginning to take at least a neutral position rather than a supportive one for Danny.... but only in private mail or conversations.

Since that time I have heard from different sources that he has made non-supportive private statements, even saying that he would not make any more appearances on 3abn.

However, he has NEVER made any public acknowledgement of these statements attributed to him. He has not requested that his old tapes at 3abn be destroyed (as a few have done). He has not responded to anyone on anything that has appeared on any public board. And he has not made any public retractions of earlier statements attributed to him as saying that Danny Shelton was the face of Adventism, therefore nothing should be done that would hurt Danny's image. (Very randomly paraphrased, but I have seen the quotes.)

OTOH, he has also not given any more public statements affirming the things claimed for him by the dannyscribes. So let's give him credit at least for that much... although there is so much more that he needs to say in order to repair the damage that has been done to his credibility, that it seems like only a feather stroke on a fresh-from-the-mines coal-miner's face when compared to the cleanup job that needs to be done.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 11:53 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 23 2007, 12:14 AM)

This is no cheap shot. Lee, has made a point that I would agree. I have read here where people speak of Danny trashing Linda who admit that they don't even watch 3ABN. You would think Danny took out a billboard ad on Times Square from what you read here and every engagement Linda attempted was intercepted by Danny, which I find hard to believe. My wife was an avid viewer of 3ABN until it when off of Sky Angel, she never saw this trashing and the viewers don't see it either.

I agree. People wanted to know what happened to her. It was either try to explain by a word here or there, or tell all. No matter what is said here, truth is 3abn could have done some major damage and they didn't want to. DS didn't want to. Shortly thereafter, she began to accuse DS and 3abn on all kinds of things, naturally a little more would have to be said in defense to the rumors. What most on here are calling trashing is a joke. They could have had some "real" trash going and chose not to. no one from 3abn has written books like sister's and the naive story. That is trash and it's trash against lots of people that didn't have anything to do with the divorce and should not have to be hurt by it. But there it is...now...under those circumstances, who really has taken the high road. At least what little DS has ever said, he said it. He didn't have unknown authors writing tell alls, for him.

Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 12:23 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 11:53 AM)

I agree. People wanted to know what happened to her. It was either try to explain by a word here or there, or tell all. No matter what is said here, truth is 3abn could have done some major damage and they didn't want to. DS didn't want to. Shortly thereafter, she began to accuse DS and 3abn on all kinds of things, naturally a little more would have to be said in defense to the rumors. What most on here are calling trashing is a joke. They could have had some "real" trash going and chose not to. no one from 3abn has written books like sister's and the naive story. That is trash and it's trash against lots of people that didn't have anything to do with the divorce and should not have to be hurt by it. But there it is...now...under those circumstances, who really has taken the high road. At least what little DS has ever said, he said it. He didn't have unknown authors writing tell alls, for him.

I see you are back at it again.... Thomas Jefferson has nothing on you.... you've decided not to creep down to the slave quarters but to actually walk boldly looking to have your way with Sally.... dude... you are full of untruths..... not to mention that "fever" of yours..... You have stood by when injustice to Linda was done, yet would have us believe that Danny has been treated unfairly.... no slave, nor jew, nor christian would be safe in your world.... you would stand by as slaves were returned to their masters, jews put on the trains, and christians persecuted..... keep on standing by dannyscribe....

Posted by: mozart Mar 21 2007, 11:05 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 18 2007, 03:41 PM)

You should ask Bob your questions. I believe D.S. was referring to Bob Pickle. He sometimes attaches the title to his name and writes as "Dr Pickle".

Apparently the so called "little group" have also been passing themselves off as Conference officials, to try and dig up more dirt, and accusations.

I call that lying.

HEAR-SAY, HEAR-SAY.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 18 2007, 04:40 PM)

Based on what? Your extensive experience as a prevaricator?

In His service,

Mr. J

He never addressed what he may have heard or not heard... you were so indignant at others when you presumed them to be putting words in your mouth; do unto others as you would have them do unto you...

Not when the IL conference president, as a member of the 3ABN board, is complicit in all their misdeeds... that's like Karl Rove vouching for Scooter Libby... Haldeman vouching for G. Gordon Liddy... Cassius vouching for Brutus... Annas vouching for Caiaphas... etc...

In His service,

Mr. J

rofl

THANK GOD FOR HUMOR

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 18 2007, 09:15 PM)

Maybe you hear things backwards? Just because Tommy says this does not mean that Gailon has claimed to be what Tommy is pointing out he is not. ANYONE can investigate. There is NO crime in investigating anything (as you should also be investigating to find things out - it's the facts that come out that make the point of truth, not who is doing it.

So are you taking the bait Aletheia? Are you saying you are only willing to accept (and this is what Tommy is trying to put in your head) that an official SDA investigator has to do the investigating? When we know that the church has no authority so to speak over 3abn, how would they put about official conference paid investigators? You are falling in to the spinning pool right where they want you. No thanks, it's too icy for me, I'm not jumping in.

And you should keep in mind, here are any helping with the investigation - not just three people that includes people that work for the SDA church as well. Keep that in mind. You don't see everything going on and all who are involved in it. You are only seeing what Tommy and Danny and the Shelton clan are wanting you to THINK you see.

AREN'T WE ALL "SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST ECCLESIASTICAL INVESTIGATORS"? NOTHING WAS SAID ABOUT OFFICIAL, OR ON THE PAYROLL OR ANY OF THAT. (GOTTA DO SOMETHING about that caps button) rofl

Posted by: Observer Mar 22 2007, 07:13 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 06:43 AM)

Calvin,

In late May of 2004 I, an occasional viewer of 3abn, heard many references to Linda and her negative direction from Danny's own lips. On air there were numerous references but, admittedly, many of them were cryptic. Over the years, I began watching more and more of the broadcasts and saw many instances where Danny would interview someone and then tie his own marital problems to their trials. The messages were clear.

I will add to that: My mother-in-law, lives in this area in assisted living. That place provides 3-ABN to those who live there. I will typically turn her TV to the 3-ABN channel and watch it during the time we are visiting with my MIL. On several occasions, I watched an interview program. During such, Danny would often manage to make cryptic comments about physicians and other professions who would lead women astray, and seduce (or whatever Danny said at that point) them. On occasion, but not always, he would come right out and make a reference to 'his situation.'

In fairness, I have not seen Danny do that in recent times.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)