Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Sacrificing Yourself For "the Ministry"

Posted by: Clay May 4 2006, 01:37 PM

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt they were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?

At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?

Posted by: statrei May 4 2006, 01:58 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 02:37 PM)

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Never! You should only sacrifice yourself, should the need arise, for people.

Posted by: Clay May 4 2006, 02:05 PM

QUOTE(statrei @ May 4 2006, 02:58 PM)

Never! You should only sacrifice yourself, should the need arise, for people.

makes sense... sacrifice for people not things... how about ideas? The phrase being, "they sacrificed themselves for the good of the country..." Or does country = people?

Posted by: PaperTigers May 4 2006, 02:08 PM

I think saying NEVER sacrificing yourself is going a little to the extreme... There are times when I sacrifice my wants all the time for other people.. I think the line is when you, yourself are suffering from your sacrifices... whether it be physically, emotionally or spiritually. I know personally, when I was working at 3ABN there was a point in time where I didn't even want to go to church because I couldn't stand all the stuff that was going on.. That is when I knew that my sacrificing had gone too far. There is a line that you have to draw when things are good. So that when things start getting bad, you know how far you will go and then go no farther. And that line is different for every individual.. It's a matter of great prayer, because you want the good to outweight the bad, but sometimes it just

It's a matter of great prayer, because you want the good to outweight the bad, but sometimes it just doesn't.

Posted by: Panama_Pete May 4 2006, 02:17 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 02:37 PM)

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt they were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?

At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?

Today, most ministries are corporations. The Corporation, itself, is a piece of paper in your Secretary of State's filing cabinet.

Why, on earth, would you sacrifice yourself for a piece of paper in a filing cabinet?

(Unless, of course, the incorporation paper is made from Strathmore's 20 percent cotton and linen blend. Otherwise, I see no sense in sacrificing yourself.)

Posted by: beartrap May 4 2006, 03:28 PM

Perhaps I am a bit jaded, but I tend to take the term "ministry" very lightly these day. Everyone with a religious agenda, and everyone out to make a buck on the religious market calls their organization (or scam) a ministry. Perhaps we should look at the meaning of the word in the American Heritage Dictionary:

ministry (n.) The act of serving; ministration.

ministry (n.) The profession, duties, and services of a minister.

ministry (n.) A governmental department presided over by a minister.

And what would be the definition of the verb "minister"

minister (n.) One who is authorized to perform religious functions in a Christian church, especially a Protestant church.

minister (v.) To attend to the wants and needs of others: Volunteers ministered to the homeless after the flood. See synonyms at tend2

minister (v.) To administer or dispense (a sacrament, for example).

"The act of serving" and attending "to the wants and needs of others" generally involves some form of sacrifice for a person, or people. Under the humanitarian definition of ministry, I can see some merit in a balanced amount of self-sacrifice.

Under a religious definition of the term "ministry", I can see where people may have a burden to disseminate what they see as a superior form of religion, or set of doctrines, and would therefore engage in a certain amount of sacrifice for that cause. However, when people sacrifice deeply, as in cutting back on nourishment and climate control, for the purpose of giving what is thereby saved to a "ministry" where the leader uses a significant portion of that personal sacrifice to provide for financial excesses for himself, his family, and friends... and the people sacrificing know that their money is being used in those excesses, their sacrifice has not only gone too far, they should be reading the other 3ABN spin-off thread. They have fallen into an abusive relationship with an idea, a person, a corporation, or something.

Posted by: statrei May 4 2006, 03:41 PM

makes sense sacrifice for people not things how about ideas? The phrase being, "they sacrificed themselves for the good of the country" Or does country = people?
Country implies people in that context.
Posted by: Clay May 4 2006, 06:12 PM
QUOTE(beartrap @ May 4 2006, 04:28 PM)
Perhaps I am a bit jaded, but I tend to take the term "ministry" very lightly these day. Everyone with a religious agenda, and everyone out to make a buck on the religious market calls their organization (or scam) a ministry.
I would submit that we should from the very start be on the skeptical if not jaded side but I digress
Posted by: alramwill May 4 2006, 09:16 PM
QUOTE(statrei @ May 4 2006, 03:41 PM)
Country implies people in that context.
Not always. Some do treat their home country and any associated symbols with a high level of almost blind allegiance. This has nothing to do with its people in most cases.
Posted by: Denny May 5 2006, 04:18 AM
3 If I give everything I own to the poor and even go to the stake to be burned as a martyr, but I don't love, I've gotten nowhere. So, no matter what I say, what I believe, and what I do, I'm bankrupt without love.
1 Corinthians 13 The Message Bible
I'll go with Paul on this one
Posted by: statrei May 5 2006, 06:38 AM
QUOTE(alramwill @ May 4 2006, 11:16 PM)
Not always. Some do treat their home country and any associated symbols with a high level of almost blind allegiance. This has nothing to do with its people in most cases.
Oh, they may have a narrow view of which people are important but it is about people.

Posted by: higherground2003 May 5 2006, 09:29 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 01:37 PM)

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt they were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?

At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?

Perfect topic and I run into this problem all the time. Personally, I draw the line between serving God, and helping others. In my opinion there is a huge difference. At church, I am often times asked to do things and when I say "no" they say that I should always be willing to serve God. In my opinion, what they asked me to do has nothing to do with God, it was just something that THEY wanted done. We never seem to agree on that topic.

Example. Let's say the church decides to go out into the park and have a concert. Well to me, that is a personal choice, i may not want to go to the park. Especially, if you never asked my opinion. Often times a handful of people jump and and do stuff and expect 100 percent participation. Even if they never bother to get other opinions. If I say no, they act like I am saying no to God. My thing is, "God never told me to go to the park either. This is something YOU want to do."

10 + 5, that has nothing to do with "God", in my opinion that is a personal choice. The Bible says NOTHING about 10 + 5.

Posted by: beartrap May 5 2006, 09:44 AM

For the sake of ministry, ethics, integrity, morals, dignity, and self respect are all too often the biggest sacrifices made.

Posted by: Clay May 5 2006, 10:00 AM

QUOTE(higherground2003 @ May 5 2006, 10:29 AM)

Perfect topic and I run into this problem all the time. Personally, I draw the line between serving God, and helping others. In my opinion there is a huge difference. At church, I am often times asked to do things and when I say "no" they say that I should always be willing to serve God. In my opinion, what they asked me to do has nothing to do with God, it was just something that THEY wanted done. We never seem to agree on that topic.

Example. Let's say the church decides to go out into the park and have a concert. Well to me, that is a personal choice, i may not want to go to the park. Especially, if you never asked my opinion. Often times a handful of people jump and and do stuff and expect 100 percent participation. Even if they never bother to get other opinions. If I say no, they act like I am saying no to God. My thing is, "God never told me to go to the park either. This is something YOU want to do."

One might make an individual choice to sacrifice himself/herself for a ministry, or church. But, such a choice loes not give that person the right to demand that other people sacrifice themselves for that ministry, or thruch.

t is never right for a ministry to demand that people sacrifice themselves for that ministry. This was the hinking of those who said that Jesus should die for the good of the nation. In other words, 3ABN did not have he right to sacrifice Mrs. Shelton for the good of 3ABN.

Posted by: Johann May 7 2006, 07:37 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 08:37 PM)

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt they were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?

At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?

According to my observations throughout this whole affair, and my dealings with the main individuals affected by the ordeal, this question has often come to the surface. Linda was told - and this has been verified by others who witnessed it - that regardless if she had done something wrong or not, she must be ready to be sacrificed for the benefit of the "ministry". In this case the "ministry" was evidently one person.

At an early stage one of the head administrators of 3ABN informed me that regardless if Danny or Linda were telling the truth, Linda had to be sacrificed because Danny was able to collect more funds for 3ABN. The songs Linda wrote and sang about grace were considered too liberal for the conservative supporters, and therefore she had to leave 3ABN.

Posted by: lurker May 7 2006, 02:35 PM

I'm not sure where to ask this but does anyone know when Pastor Samuel Thomas was employed at 3ABN and why he left? I know his is pastoring at the Orchard Park Adventist church in Chattanooga, Tennessee currently.

Posted by: Panama_Pete May 7 2006, 03:32 PM

QUOTE(lurker @ May 7 2006, 03:35 PM)

I'm not sure where to ask this but does anyone know when Pastor Samuel Thomas was employed at 3ABN and why he left? I know his is pastoring at the Orchard Park Adventist church in Chattanooga, Tennessee currently.

Pastor and Mrs. Thomas were interested in broadcasting and were at 3ABN when they were establishing or advancing "Transformation Broadcast Ministries." This would be about the year 2000.



http://www.tbmforchrist.org/home.htm has a Website.

http://www.tbmforchrist.org/home.htm

The TBM Website says:

Write for more information on how to bring Samuel Thomas, Jr. or the Radio Programs to your community!

maranatha@TBMforChrist.org

Samuel Thomas, Jr.
Transformation Broadcast Ministries
Or: PO Box 11008
Huntsville, AL 35806-11008 - USA

Posted by: HUGGINS130 May 7 2006, 04:57 PM

QUOTE

The songs Linda wrote and sang about grace were considered too liberal for the conservative supporters, and therefore she had to leave 3ABN.

If the church was built on a solid foundation things may have ended up a little different.

too liberal...spare me...

Posted by: Prisca May 8 2006, 06:06 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ May 7 2006, 09:32 PM)

Pastor and Mrs. Thomas were interested in broadcasting and were at 3ABN when they were establishing or advancing "Transformation Broadcast Ministries." This would be about the year 2000.

I remember. He and Steven Lewis started a short-lived revival series. Mrs. Thomas moderated a talk type show and Pastor Thomas preached. I thought they were in Ohio.

Posted by: watchbird May 9 2006, 06:53 AM
QUOTE(Johann @ May 7 2006, 07:37 AM) 🗌
According to my observations throughout this whole affair, and my dealings with the main individuals affected by the ordeal, this question has often come to the surface. Linda was told - and this has been verified by others who witnessed it - that regardless if she had done something wrong or not, she must be ready to be sacrificed for the benefit of the "ministry". In this case the "ministry" was evidently one person.
At an early stage one of the head administrators of 3ABN informed me that regardless if Danny or Linda were telling the truth, Linda had to be sacrificed because Danny was able to collect more funds for 3ABN. The songs Linda wrote and sang about grace were considered too liberal for the conservative supporters, and therefore she had to leave 3ABN.
This is an intriguing, and quite different, twist as to the base cause of Linda being ousted. I wonder if you would give us more detail on this. When and how were the songs criticized? And how does "liberal" and "grace" connect together?
Posted by: Zephyr May 9 2006, 07:37 AM
QUOTE(HUGGINS130 @ May 7 2006, 04:57 PM) []
If the church was built on a solid foundation things may have ended up a little different.
But the church is built on a sure foundation. Jesus himself said it!
QUOTE(Johann @ May 7 2006, 07:37 AM) 🗌
At an early stage one of the head administrators of 3ABN informed me that regardless if Danny or Linda were telling the truth, Linda had to be sacrificed because Danny was able to collect more funds for 3ABN. The songs Linda wrote and sang about grace were considered too liberal for the conservative supporters, and therefore she had to leave 3ABN.
Whoso putteth away his wife for the sake of the ministry shall be blessed in this life with material success, accolades and respect from religious leaders, and receive 72 more wives in the life to come.
Posted by: awesumtenor May 9 2006, 07:37 AM
QUOTE(Zephyr @ May 9 2006, 08:33 AM) 🗌
But the church is built on a sure foundation. Jesus himself said it!

Not quite... the Church is built on a sure foundation... the church, however, varies at best.

can be a subset of the latter it can also have no part in the latter.
In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: Zephyr May 9 2006, 07:41 AM
QUOTE(awesumtenor @ May 9 2006, 07:37 AM)
Not quite the Church is built on a sure foundation the church, however, varies at best.
Just as the word of God is not the Word of God the church and the Church are not the same; the former can be a subset of the latter it can also have no part in the latter.
In His service, Mr. J
You say "not quite" and then you repeat exactly what I wrote! Confused?
Posted by: Clay Jul 8 2006, 01:19 PM
QUOTE(Zephyr @ May 9 2006, 08:41 AM)
You say "not quite" and then you repeat exactly what I wrote! Confused?
nope there is "the church" and there is "The Church." I think BroJ was referring to The Church as opposed to some man made church
Posted by: Johann Jul 8 2006, 05:11 PM
QUOTE(watchbird @ May 9 2006, 02:53 PM)
This is an intriguing, and quite different, twist as to the base cause of Linda being ousted. I wonder if you would give us more detail on this. When and how were the songs criticized? And how does "liberal" and "grace" connect together?
This happened before the divorce and before Linda was fired by 3ABN. Stay tuned for more information on anoher threat before long.
QUOTE(watchbird @ May 9 2006, 02:53 PM)
This is an intriguing, and quite different, twist as to the base cause of Linda being ousted. I wonder if you would give us more detail on this. When and how were the songs criticized? And how does "liberal" and "grace" connect together?

Just as the word of God is not the Word of God... the church and the Church are not the same; the former

nis happened before the divorce and before Linda was fired by 3ABN. Stay tuned for more information on the state of the divorce and before Linda was fired by 3ABN. Stay tuned for more information of the state of t
Posted by: beartrap Jul 9 2006, 06:05 PM
How presumptious can a person get? Sacrificing oneself for a ministry? Good Lord! Do people actually think that God is so weak that he can't take care of himself? He needs people to sacrifice themselves to protect him?
Posted by: Clay Jul 9 2006, 06:32 PM
QUOTE(beartrap @ Jul 9 2006, 07:05 PM)
How presumptious can a person get? Sacrificing oneself for a ministry? Good Lord! Do people actually think that God is so weak that he can't take care of himself? He needs people to sacrifice themselves to protect him?
ere are the original questions
QUOTE
To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"
Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt twere doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is someth morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continuations themselves for that ministry?
At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to k silent and hope for the best?
Posted by: beartrap Jul 9 2006, 07:00 PM
QUOTE(Clay @ Jul 9 2006, 07:32 PM) 🗌
nere are the original questions
nanks. Its been a long month and much has slipped my mind.
Posted by: Clay Jul 10 2006, 12:18 AM
QUOTE(beartrap @ Jul 9 2006, 07:00 PM)
hanks. Its been a long month and much has slipped my mind.

not a problem.... threads get long and wander all over the place.... my original thought was that it is dangerous to commit yourself to a ministry to the point that you cannot see its fatal flaws....

Posted by: Johann Jul 10 2006, 02:51 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 09:37 PM)

To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"

Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt they were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?

At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?

These questions seem very important in this discussion, because at one point the message was given to Linda by one of those counsellors 3ABN is referring to so often, that regardless if she was guilty or not, Linda should be willing to be sacrificed for the benefit of this ministry which means so much to the Seventh-day Adventist Church. Danny indicated, also in letters to me, that Linda could be restored if she would admit that she had comitted adultery. Why should she admit she had done something she never had? Why did Danny need this admission from her so badly?

I was in e-mail correspondance with both Linda and Danny before they went for counselling in Kansas. Walt Thompson makes the statement that 3ABN chose those non-SDA counsellor for Linda's sake. Danny refused to go there, because it was Linda's sister who suggested they go there, as far as I recall.

It was after Danny was assured the counsellors were Bible-believing Christians he agreed to go there, and he told me that he would go there for one purpose: If they were Bible-believing they would agree with him that he had a Biblical mandate to divorce Linda and marry someone else.

You can therefore imagine that the reports I received after that counselling session from Danny did not agree with the reports Linda gave me. What Linda told me as they returned home was that Danny had offerend to give the counsellors a donation for the benefit of their ministry, and from then on most of the counselling, which lasted many hours, was done by Danny.

People who know Danny Shelton, or have been interviewd by him on TV, will recognize the situation, and know who gives a good portion of the answers during those interviews. Danny has a special way of insisting that someone else said what he actually said himself.

Posted by: sonshineonme Jul 11 2006, 08:38 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Jul 10 2006, 01:51 AM)

These questions seem very important in this discussion, because at one point the message was given to Linda by one of those counsellors 3ABN is referring to so often, that regardless if she was guilty or not, Linda should be willing to be sacrificed for the benefit of this ministry which means so much to the Seventh-day Adventist Church. Danny indicated, also in letters to me, that Linda could be restored if she would admit that she had comitted adultery. Why should she admit she had done something she never had? Why did Danny need this admission from her so badly?

 $I \ \text{was in } e\text{-mail correspondance with both Linda and Danny before they went for counselling in Kansas.}$

Walt Thompson makes the statement that 3ABN chose those non-SDA counsellor for Linda's sake. Danny refused to go there, because it was Linda's sister who suggested they go there, as far as I recall. It was after Danny was assured the counsellors were Bible-believing Christians he agreed to go there, and he told me that he would go there for one purpose: If they were Bible-believing they would agree with him that he had a Biblical mandate to divorce Linda and marry someone else. You can therefore imagine that the reports I received after that counselling session from Danny did not agree with the reports Linda gave me. What Linda told me as they returned home was that Danny had offerend to give the counsellors a donation for the benefit of their ministry, and from then on most of the counselling, which lasted many hours, was done by Danny. People who know Danny Shelton, or have been interviewd by him on TV, will recognize the situation, and know who gives a good portion of the answers during those interviews. Danny has a special way of insisting that someone else said what he actually said himself. ...so, why don't the people see this? It makes me ill just knowing this form of minipulation is going on and every one just sucks it up....again I say, OPEN YOUR EYES PEOPLE. EYES ARE FOR SEEING. Posted by: beartrap Jul 11 2006, 11:01 PM QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Jul 11 2006, 09:38 PM)so, why don't the people see this? It makes me ill just knowing this form of minipulation is going on and every one just sucks it up....again I say, OPEN YOUR EYES PEOPLE. EYES ARE FOR SEEING. Vell Sonshine, manipulation is meant to keep people's eyes closed to the obvious, that is its function, and Danny is a master manipulator. Posted by: Johann Jul 12 2006, 02:39 PM QUOTE(beartrap @ Jul 12 2006, 07:01 AM) 🗌 Well Sonshine, manipulation is meant to keep people's eyes closed to the obvious. that is its function, and Danny is a master manipulator. Some feel that the Lord could use Danny's ability to manipulate to do a specific job for HIM, estabishing a vorld-wide TV network. The guestion is now if Danny is justified in using his great ability to manipulate to ustify his desire/need to rid himself of his wife and marrying a much younger woman. He has manipulted his pastor, his conference president, his staff, his board, and incountable others to paint a distorted picture of vhat happened and justiftying his actions.

Stay tuned for a verification. . .

QUOTE(Johann @ Jul 12 2006, 03:39 PM) 🗆
Some feel that the Lord could use Danny's ability to manipulate to do a specific job for HIM, establishing a world-wide TV network.
Those who believe this completely miss the point that God doesnt work from the devil's toolbox. The end does not justify the means.
Of course since those that believe it seem to be in a trusting state of mind I might have a bridge in Brookly for sale cash only, in small billsdanke
Seems to work for Danny, sooooo
In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: Noahswife Mar 16 2007, 01:13 PM
While reading through some posts from almost a year ago, I came across this thread. I saw enough discussion in here that I thought it might be educational for some of the newbies who may not yet have had time to read all the posts on this subject.
I have found the posts about LS needing to be sacrificed and the counselling recommendations she received interesting in view of some of the recent discussions on these issues.
nw C"i"
Posted by: Fran Mar 17 2007, 08:49 PM
QUOTE(Noahswife @ Mar 16 2007, 01:13 PM)
While reading through some posts from almost a year ago, I came across this thread. I saw enough discussion in here that I thought it might be educational for some of the newbies who may not yet have had time to read all the posts on this subject.
I have find the posts about LS needing to be sacrificed and the counselling recommendations she received interesting in view of some of the recent discussions on these issues.
nw C"i"

NW;

I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There should be ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim!

Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her posting here and in the Sexual Assault thread.

This is totally uncalled for! It takes a lot of time to dig and find the honest post vs the manipulative posts!

Posted by: calvin Mar 17 2007, 09:43 PM QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 17 2007, 08:49 PM) NW; I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There sh ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim! Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her	!
QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 17 2007, 08:49 PM) NW; I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There sh ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim! Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her	
NW; I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There sh ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim! Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her	
I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There sh ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim! Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her	
ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim! Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her	
	ould be
here and in the Sexual Assault thread.	
This is totally uncalled for! It takes a lot of time to dig and find the honest post vs the manipul	ative posts!
	× blc
This is not for you, NW, but for those rude and crude posters trying to bury valid, serious posts	s! .
don't know what you are talking about, nor am I going to take the time to investigate. I don't sterest and that of many of those who regularly (many exclusively) post in these threads. Therefore interesting and certainly more timely discussions going on at BSDA than somebodies 3 year ivorce. Maybe Clay or Di will be interested in honoring your request. Posted by: Jnana15 Mar 17 2007, 09:44 PM	e are a lot
QUOTE(lurker @ May 7 2006, 03:35 PM) [
I'm not sure where to ask this but does anyone know when Pastor Samuel Thomas was employ and why he left? I know his is pastoring at the Orchard Park Adventist church in Chattanooga, currently.	•
am so glad that I read this thread. My husband and I have been wondering what has happened homas and his wife.	d to Pastor

QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 17 2007, 09:49 PM) 🗆
NW;
I am appalled at the behavior of some to bury honest posts to make them disappear. There should be ruled about people burying posts of others at their whim!
Calvin, please do not let this happen next Friday. NW has a valid point to post in her posting here and in the Sexual Assault thread.
This is totally uncalled for! It takes a lot of time to dig and find the honest post vs the manipulative posts!
This is not for you, NW, but for those rude and crude posters trying to bury valid, serious posts! .
I agree Fran. I also noticed Seraphim trying to bury some of the threads by bringing up dozens of old ones with nothing to say about them. I thought that was a strange thing to do just before Sabbath.
Posted by: Fran Mar 17 2007, 10:48 PM
QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 17 2007, 10:38 PM)
I agree Fran. I also noticed Seraphim trying to bury some of the threads by bringing up dozens of old ones with nothing to say about them. I thought that was a strange thing to do just before Sabbath.
Lee;
If the shoe fits, WEAR IT!
Posted by: Lee Mar 17 2007, 11:04 PM
QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 17 2007, 11:48 PM)
Lee;
If the shoe fits, WEAR IT!

My goodness Fran, you are yelling. Well, just go count how many old threads Seraphim brought back updozens. I only brought up three. And I only did it because they were important to me to read through later that evening. But I don't think its worth breathing fire about....

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 18 2007, 01:04 AM)

My goodness Fran, you are yelling. Well, just go count how many old threads Seraphim brought back updozens. I only brought up three. And I only did it because they were important to me to read through later that evening. But I don't think its worth breathing fire about....

Ok... we'll play connect the dots for you...

You are a professed Christian and as such you should be striving to live the golden rule... which is "Therefore all things whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them: for this is the law and the prophets." Matthew 7:12

You and Ser|phm have done the same thing; she has simply done it more than you...

You want people to believe that your doing it has no ulterior motive or intent...but you presume and proclaim Ser|phm's motivation and intent to be different than your own in a negative manner with no evidence to show that to be the case and in spite of the golden rule...

And then you proclaim that you don't think it's worth breathing fire about... but apparently it is worth shouting fire in a crowded theater about... whether or not said fire actually exists... as long as someone other than yourself is being accused of being the fire starter...

In His service, Mr. J

Posted by: Fran Mar 18 2007, 01:19 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Mar 17 2007, 11:42 PM)

Ok... we'll play connect the dots for you...

You are a professed Christian and as such you should be striving to live the golden rule... which is "Therefore all things whatsoever ye would that men should do to you, do ye even so to them: for this is the law and the prophets." Matthew 7:12

You and Ser|phm have done the same thing; she has simply done it more than you...

You want people to believe that your doing it has no ulterior motive or intent...but you presume and proclaim Ser|phm's motivation and intent to be different than your own in a negative manner with no evidence to show that to be the case and in spite of the golden rule...

And then you proclaim that you don't think it's worth breathing fire about... but apparently it is worth shouting fire in a crowded theater about... whether or not said fire actually exists... as long as someone other than yourself is being accused of being the fire starter...

In His service, Mr. J

Mr J;

Lee was the name I saw on almost all of the top posts when I came to read on Friday night. I believe Lee's motivation was quite different from Ser|phm's motives! It is kind of like bidding on

eBay. The last one to bid wins the prize!

Ser|phm's motivation was NOT malicious. Lee, on the other hand, takes delight in belittling others. She/he has been doing it for years. She/he has had a lot of practice! I have followed her/his career of trashing people for years now.

She/he can change their names, but the character always shines through. Her/his motives are highly suspect in my opinion!

This is Lee's way of casting the blame for her/his actions onto her. She/he falsely sees everything that way. It is called, being blind to your own faults. You see, Lee, like Danny, has no faults, in there eyes at least.

faults, in there eyes at least. Mr J; All of this is entirely my opinion. Posted by: Noahswife Mar 18 2007, 06:47 PM QUOTE(Johann @ May 7 2006, 08:37 AM) According to my observations throughout this whole affair, and my dealings with the main individuals affected by the ordeal, this question has often come to the surface. Linda was told - and this has been verified by others who witnessed it - that regardless if she had done something wrong or not, she must be ready to be sacrificed for the benefit of the "ministry". In this case the "ministry" was evidently one person. At an early stage one of the head administrators of 3ABN informed me that regardless if Danny or Linda were telling the truth, Linda had to be sacrificed because Danny was able to collect more funds for 3ABN. The songs Linda wrote and sang about grace were considered too liberal for the conservative supporters, and therefore she had to leave 3ABN. x bum Posted by: Skyhook Mar 19 2007, 02:47 PM Isn't this the equivalent of offering a human sacrifice to a ministry (or person) that's been made into an idol? Posted by: Noahswife Mar 19 2007, 03:34 PM QUOTE(Skyhook @ Mar 19 2007, 03:47 PM) Isn't this the equivalent of offering a human sacrifice to a ministry (or person) that's been made into an idol?

¥

QUOTE(Skyl	nook @ Mar 19 2007, 10:47 PM) 🗌
Isn't this the	e equivalent of offering a human sacrifice to a ministry (or person) that's been made into
	e been to the offering places where they cut the heart out of a living girl to use as a sacrif Il not too far from us, and it will be getting worse as we come closer to the Second Comin
Posted by:	Bystander Mar 19 2007, 09:13 PM
QUOTE(Fran	@ Mar 18 2007, 12:19 AM) 🗌
Mr J;	
Mr J; All of	this is entirely my opinion.
and accusatio	ast little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back.
and accusatio Posted by:	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM
Posted by:	ns go waaay back.
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM)
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst Fran, If your and accusati	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM) last little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back.
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst. Fran, If your and accusating accusating and accusating accus	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM) last little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back.
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst. Fran, If your and accusati As do yours. (In His service, Mr. J Posted by:	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM) last little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back.
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst. Fran, If your and accusating and accusating the service, Mr. J. Posted by:	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM) last little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back. Bystander Mar 19 2007, 10:00 PM
Posted by: QUOTE(Byst Fran, If your and accusati As do yours. In His service, Mr. J Posted by: QUOTE(awes	awesumtenor Mar 19 2007, 09:52 PM ander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:13 PM) last little line was to cover your rear, don't worry about it. It's too late. Your nasty little pons go waaay back. Bystander Mar 19 2007, 10:00 PM

.

Sorry, only you guys have been careless enough to make statements calling people, thieves, molesters, murderers, adulterers etcPretty big risk when you don't even know them.		
Posted by: sister Mar 20 2007, 03:54 AM		
QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:00 PM)	****	
Sorry, only you guys have been careless enough to make statements calling people, thieves, molesters, murderers, adulterers etcPretty big risk when you don't even know them.		
Another lie. You don't know me and have made accusations against me. First I am Linda, because how else could I know so much about the Sheltons and be so accurate. Then when you could not prove that, all the other accusations began of how I am a liar, etc. Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander What is written upon your forehead?		
Sister		
Posted by: seraph m Mar 20 2007, 04:59 AM		
QUOTE(Clay @ May 4 2006, 02:37 PM)		
To what extent should we sacrifice ourselves for the sake of "the ministry" or "the church?"		
Listening to the dialogue now about the conditions at 3ABN, I believe that well meaning christians felt the were doing the right thing for the sake of that "ministry." My question though is this, if there is something morally or spiritually wrong with a ministry or a church, what obligation does a christian have to continue sacrificing themselves for that ministry?		
At what point can it be said, this is wrong and should not be allowed to continue? Or are christians to keep silent and hope for the best?)	
These are all good questions. And here is another one. Is it the "ministry" folks are sacrificing themselves for, or is it for the ego of those who claim to be "called of God"? Are people sacrificing their conscience out obligation to the "ministry" or are they allowing themselves to be manipulated to keep peace while they	ρf	
themselves have no peace of mind or heart?		
Is the sacrifice they think they are making, for the "ministry", worth what it will cost more victims? Please do not fool yourselves, because there are and will be more victims sacrificed as long as you continue to sacrific your conscience.		
Posted by: lurker Mar 20 2007, 06:27 AM		
QUOTE(seraph m @ Mar 20 2007, 04:59 AM) [
These are all good questions. And here is another one. Is it the "ministry" folks are sacrificing themselves for, or is it for the ego of those who claim to be "called of God"? Are people sacrificing their conscience out		

of obligation to the "ministry" or are they allowing themselves to be manipulated to keep peace while they

themselves have no peace of mind or heart?
Is the sacrifice they think they are making, for the "ministry", worth what it will cost more victims? Please do not fool yourselves, because there are and will be more victims sacrificed as long as you continue to sacrifice your conscience.
have noticed that when guilt is pretty well proven, people are called to let the guilty one off because it will nurt his or her family, the ministry, the reputation of the church etc. It is like them holding someone hostage pointing a gun at this person's head and saying, "Let me do what I want or I will shoot and it will be your ault". Now whose fault would it really be?
Posted by: Aletheia Mar 20 2007, 06:55 AM
QUOTE(Fran @ Mar 18 2007, 03:19 AM)
Mr J;
Lee was the name I saw on almost all of the top posts when I came to read on Friday night. I believe Lee's motivation was quite different from Ser phm's motives! It is kind of like bidding on eBay. The last one to bid wins the prize!
Ser/phm's motivation was NOT malicious. Lee, on the other hand, takes delight in belittling others. She/he has been doing it for years. She/he has had a lot of practice! I have followed her/his career of trashing people for years now.
She/he can change their names, but the character always shines through. Her/his motives are highly suspect in my opinion!
This is Lee's way of casting the blame for her/his actions onto her. She/he falsely sees everything that way. It is called, being blind to your own faults. You see, Lee, like Danny, has no faults, in there eyes at least.
Mr J; All of this is entirely my opinion.
nteresting, when I came to post on Friday evening, every post but one had Sera's name on it, and all were old Most she was just adding a smiley or a few words to, to bump them up, and adding nothing as far as content. I responded to one and had to go back to find the current thread I wanted to reply to. From what I noticed, all three that Lee brought back up, were actually current discussions, which had been buried due to Sera hijacking the entire board
But then you all see and report things the way you want to.
Posted by: Aletheia Mar 20 2007, 07:14 AM
QUOTE(sister @ Mar 20 2007, 05:54 AM)

Another lie. You don't know me and have made accusations against me. First I am Linda, because how else could I know so much about the Sheltons and be so accurate. Then when you could not prove that, all the other accusations began of how I am a liar, etc. Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander...

What is written upon your forehead? Sister
oot - kettle - black
'ou just wrote: "another lie"
Bystander: even Danny Shelton, whose behavior is akin to a hick, red-necked, Southern con artist who iteals the nickles and dimes from old ladies on fixed incomes, while using God as his frontman; even he should not be ignorant enough to write the above. Or on second thought, perhaps he did make this very nistake? You were definitely the last person I expected to verify my statement, although I am sure that vas not your intention
s the great "anointed one" here among us?
It is getting so deep around Bystander that even waders are not high enough to keep out of the muck. It nust be all those years Dan spent on the "Honey Truck", I can still smell the aroma in these posts."
Posted by: awesumtenor Mar 20 2007, 08:40 AM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 20 2007, 12:00 AM)
Sorry, only you guys have been careless enough to make statements calling people, thieves, molesters, murderers, adulterers etcPretty big risk when you don't even know them.
Oh yeah, I forgot your camp only calls people adulterers and homosexualstrying to run interference for he aforementioned molesters, adulterers, thieves et al.
f Tommy is not a molester, why the apology and the loss of his ministerial credentials and the similar illegations from different places?
f Danny was truly the cuckold, why not show it 3 years ago? Why not show it today?
These questions and others continue to be raised and your camp has had no answers for them.
None. Whatsoever.
f you want to silence the critics, and make the discussion of the sheltons and 3abn go away, all you have to lo is give the evidence for your claims.
'ou have been invited to do so time and again and you have provided squat because squat is all you have.
n His service, 1r. J
Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 20 2007, 08:57 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 19 2007, 10:00 PM)
Sorry, only you guys have been careless enough to make statements calling people, thieves, molesters, murderers, adulterers etcPretty big risk when you don't even know them.
When sister said
Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander What is written upon your forehead?
here's what first came to mind: what's worse, calling someone you don't know an adulterer (and being wrong), or calling someone you do know an adulterer (and being wrong)?
Obviously, for someone to call their wife an adulterer, based on wishful thinking, is worse than a stranger calling a man an adulterer based on deductive logic.
Adultry is not spiritual adultry. If you think Jesus was hard on the Pharisees for dragging up a woman caught in the act, imagine what he would have said if they drug her up caught in the act of fantasizing? Only God can judge that.

(back to work now as I don't have much time for this anymore)
Posted by: PrincessDrRe Mar 20 2007, 10:20 AM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 19 2007, 11:00 PM) \square
Sorry, only you guys have been careless enough to make statements calling people, thieves, molesters , murderers, adulterers etcPretty big risk when you don't even know them.
Tommy Shelton admitted to what he did in a letter to one of the victims. He had sex with a child; therefore he is a molester. What's the lie in that?
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 20 2007, 07:55 AM) 🗌
Interesting, when I came to post on Friday evening, every post but one had Sera's name on it, and all were old Most she was just adding a smiley or a few words to, to bump them up, and adding nothing as far as content. I responded to one and had to go back to find the current thread I wanted to reply to. From what I noticed, all three that Lee brought back up, were actually current discussions, which had been buried due to Sera hijacking the entire board
But then you all see and report things the way you want to.

We tend to "bump up" old post from time to time. I even have a old thread about "I can't post anymore!!!" because I had posted on like 30 different threads in one day (old and new)! Why? I was bored at my house, I dug up some "old stuff" that I had asked/commented on, and I wanted to see what else could be said about the subject.

It's not all about Danny, 3ABN, and Molestation you know....there's health, there's regular old "news", there's **LIFE** people! Why now can somebody not **LIVE IT** by posting on something else?

Mountains outta molehills
QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 20 2007, 09:57 AM)
When sister said
Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander What is written upon your forehead?
here's what first came to mind: what's worse, calling someone you don't know an adulterer (and being wrong), or calling someone you do know an adulterer (and being wrong)?
Obviously, for someone to call their wife an adulterer, based on wishful thinking, is worse than a stranger calling a man an adulterer based on deductive logic.
Adultry is not spiritual adultry. If you think Jesus was hard on the Pharisees for dragging up a woman caught in the act, imagine what he would have said if they drug her up caught in the act of fantasizing? Only God can judge that.
Exactly! Now I'm off to "flood" my board with other posts as I am bored, on Spring Break, and having a good day!
Posted by: Lee Mar 20 2007, 10:29 AM
QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 20 2007, 09:57 AM)
When sister said
Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander What is written upon your forehead?
here's what first came to mind: what's worse, calling someone you don't know an adulterer (and being wrong), or calling someone you do know an adulterer (and being wrong)?
Obviously, for someone to call their wife an adulterer, based on wishful thinking, is worse than a stranger calling a man an adulterer based on deductive logic.
Adultry is not spiritual adultry. If you think Jesus was hard on the Pharisees for dragging up a woman caught in the act, imagine what he would have said if they drug her up caught in the act of fantasizing? Only God can judge that.

(back to work now as I don't have much time for this anymore)

Hello LaurenceD--what kind of work do you do? Perhaps you are a computer engineer?

The correct spelling for adultery has an "e" in it...it isn't adultry. You're right though, only God can judge certain sins. That is why we are told not to "judge" others hearts. However, we can tell an awful lot by the "fruits." If a person who is married is going off on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, that should tell an awful lot, don't you think?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Mar 20 2007, 10:43 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 20 2007, 10	D:29 AM) 🗌
Hello LaurenceDwhat kind of	work do you do? Perhaps you are a computer engineer?
certain sins. That is why we ar	ry has an "e" in itit isn't adultry. You're right though, only God can judge e told not to "judge" others hearts. However, we can tell an awful lot by the rried is going off on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, on't you think?
If you want to nitpick spelling, t thing as being sued for "liable."	tell Bystander that "babtism" should actually be "baptism" and there's no such
Posted by: from-the-pew M	ar 20 2007, 11:02 AM
QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 20 2007, 12	::29 PM) □
*	lot by the "fruits." If a person who is married is going off on a romantic trip spouse, that should tell an awful lot, don't you think?
	I don't have anyone to call and ask. What would be some dates of such trips it there are supposed to be "many", so 4 or 5 examples would suffice.
	this point, I'm sure that with that info, I can research the two viewpoints on spects of this has already been discussed ad nauseam on this forum.)
Thanks.	
Posted by: LaurenceD Mar 2	20 2007, 11:35 AM
QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 20 2007, 10	:29 AM) 🗌
Hello LaurenceDwhat kind of	work do you do? Perhaps you are a computer engineer?
certain sins. That is why we ar	ry has an "e" in itit isn't adultry. You're right though, only God can judge told not to "judge" others hearts. However, we can tell an awful lot by the tried is going off on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, on't you think?
Hello. I work at several different	t jobs, busier that your average person, but am able to wander past this

Thanks for the correction on the spelling. I don't use the spell check feature and sometimes the keys on my computer have such a soft touch, they don't depress far enough to register the letter I attempted. But, and in good mutual humor, and as my grandfather use to say, "same distance to ya!" I thought I'd look back through your own posts for spelling, and lo and behold halfway throught the first page, what do I see? You spelled intelligent with one !! That's almost an oxymoron!

computer from time to time, and look in...it varies.

Any "reasonable" inteligent person reading my post could see that.

Just a little advice: don't become so wise at detecting what's wrong with everyone else, to the tiniest degree. That kind of mindset is the soil in which off-shoots grow, and it's sure to boomerang on ya!

Re your comment, "If a person who is married is going off on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, that should tell an awful lot, don't you think?" Yes it should, but how different is that from impugnment by presupposition? Your statement is loaded with judgementalism and unwarranted implication. How do you know it's intended to be romantic? How do you know you know? What's your definition of "romantic?" How far can you apply your definition to the case? What if the intentions actually headed south from the start, and were never fulfilled?

See why it's best to leave fantasizing, and so-called fantasizing, to God, and not some human fact-finding committee that is obviously way off base even trying to reach a conclusion through such an endeavor? What would Jesus do? Warn against lust of the heart, and wait till someone is actually caught in adultery before the stoning begins. And then, let those who are w/o sin cast the first stone.

Posted by: Aletheia Mar 20 2007, 11:36 AM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Mar 20 2007, 12:20 PM)

Tommy Shelton admitted to what he did in a letter to one of the victims. He had sex with a child; therefore he is a molester. What's the lie in that?

Why not ask Duane, before you run your mouth, as the only letter from T.S. was to him? Hint: you just claimed two things he denied, and that he got upset about.

But I am sure you'll never believe me, so here you go:

Duane Clem:

"I have never used the words "child molestation" in reference to myself. You're beating a dead horse." http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175102

"There was a lot of inappropriate touching, but nothing further. He wanted much more out of it, but I couldn't let it happen because in my heart I knew it wasn't right."

http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-duane-clem-statement.htm

Posted by: Pickle Mar 20 2007, 11:41 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 20 2007, 11:29 AM)

If a person who is married is going off on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, that should tell an awful lot, don't you think?

Who went on a romantic trip with someone other than their spouse, and can you prove it?

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 20 2007, 12:36 PM) Why not ask Duane, before you run your mouth, as the letter was to him? Hint: you just claimed two things he denied, and that he got upset about. No, Aletheia, Duane is the wrong one to ask. One should instead ask Roger and Greg regarding child molestation, since they claim to have been victims of that. Posted by: Lee Mar 20 2007, 11:44 AM QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Mar 20 2007, 11:43 AM) If you want to nitpick spelling, tell Bystander that "babtism" should actually be "baptism" and there's no such thing as being sued for "liable." Well Duane, just like there is a difference between a typing error and a misspelled word--I believe Bystander was just typing fast and got those words wrong. But LaurenceD spelled adultery several times the incorrect way. It is too bad we don't have spell check on here to make it easier. But I wasn't trying to nitpick at all. from-the-pew--for an answer to your question, I'm sure if you phoned 3abn, someone there perhaps could tell you the facts. Posted by: Clay Mar 20 2007, 11:52 AM QUOTE(Aletheia @ Mar 20 2007, 12:36 PM) Why not ask Duane, before you run your mouth, as the only letter from T.S. was to him? Hint: you just claimed two things he denied, and that he got upset about. But I am sure you'll never believe me, so here you go: Duane Clem: "I have never used the words "child molestation" in reference to myself. You're beating a dead horse." http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12375&view=findpost&p=175102 "There was a lot of inappropriate touching, but nothing further. He wanted much more out of it, but I couldn't let it happen because in my heart I knew it wasn't right." http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-duane-clem-statement.htm

excuse me? not today Cindy... if you cannot answer politely, do not post..

🖟 QUOTE(Lee @ Mar 20 2007, 12:44 PM) 🗌

eve
eral times
t trying to

from-the-pew--for an answer to your question, I'm sure if you phoned 3abn, someone there perhaps could tell you the facts.

Posted by: Alet	heia Mar 20 2007, 11:54 AM
QUOTE(Pickle @	Mar 20 2007, 01:41 PM) 🗌
	ne is the wrong one to ask. One should instead ask Roger and Greg regarding child e they claim to have been victims of that.
You should pay att letter. That is false	ention. PrincessDrRe was claiming Tommy Shelton admitted to having sex with a child in a
As for your other t Get to work!	wo, got anything concrete in writing or with their signature on it yet??
Posted by: Byst	ander Mar 20 2007, 12:04 PM
QUOTE(sister @ 1	1ar 20 2007, 02:54 AM) □
else could I know the other accusal	don't know me and have made accusations against me. First I am Linda, because how so much about the Sheltons and be so accurate. Then when you could not prove that, all ions began of how I am a liar, etc. Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander pon your forehead?
Sister	
Sister, you would l pattern you are ac	be shocked at what I know. Please, let me encourage you, just keep right on posting in the customed $\boxed{\Gamma}$
QUOTE(sister @ M	ar 20 2007, 05:54 AM)
could I know so mi	n't know me and have made accusations against me. First I am Linda, because how else ach about the Sheltons and be so accurate. Then when you could not prove that, all the began of how I am a liar, etc. Take a long look at yourself in the mirror, Bystander What ir forehead?
Sister	
QUOTE(Aletheia (Mar 20 2007, 06:14 AM) 🗌
pot - kettle - blac	k

mistake? You were definitely the last person I expected to verify my statement, although I am sure that was not your intention
Is the great "anointed one" here among us?
"It is getting so deep around Bystander that even waders are not high enough to keep out of the muck. It must be all those years Dan spent on the "Honey Truck", I can still smell the aroma in these posts." Sister
rofi x rofi You gotta admit Aletheia, no one can defame a person quite like sister.
Posted by: Clay Mar 20 2007, 12:10 PM
ok we have gotten offtrack starting over from this point on personal attacks will not be allowed if you engage in that behavior you will get no warning your posting privileges will be suspended
this thread is closed we need to pause
No name calling It is rude and uncalled for and also unscriptural. Name calling of another member will get your post removed.
Personal attacks will not be tolerated This board has an edit button enabled. Once you have posted read what you wrote. If you think it could in any way offend, go back and edit it. Moderators and Administrators will be visibly proactive in dealing with any potentially offensive material. Posts of a violent or threatening nature, either implicitly or explicitly, will be deleted, and the poster's membership revoked. Flaming, putting other's down etc all fall into this category. We encourage personal problems with other members be resolved privately
Posted by: Clay Mar 21 2007, 11:00 AM
topic reopened mind the rules address the topicthank you
Posted by: mozart Apr 4 2007, 06:07 PM
QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Mar 20 2007, 11:35 AM) 🗌

Hello. I work at several different jobs, busier that your average person, but am able to wander past this computer from time to time, and look in...it varies.

Thanks for the correction on the spelling. I don't use the spell check feature and sometimes the keys on my computer have such a soft touch, they don't depress far enough to register the letter I attempted. But, and in good mutual humor, and as my grandfather use to say, "same distance to ya!" I thought I'd look back through your own posts for spelling, and lo and behold halfway throught the first page, what do I see? You spelled intelligent with one !! That's almost an oxymoron!

Just a little advice: don't become so wise at detecting what's wrong with everyone else, to the tiniest degree. That kind of mindset is the soil in which off-shoots grow, and it's sure to boomerang on ya!

osted by: J	Sidili Apr 17 2007, 05:30 AP
	ohann Apr 17 2007, 03:50 AM
wnat other fa	cts can be told that are not pure fiction?
	divorced Linda she made one trip to Norway to visit her son, and Brenda was along. It lasted alf days. I met them all there at the time, and I never saw any romance.
QUOTE(Johan	n @ Apr 10 2007, 06:22 AM) 🗌
incanananananananananananananananananana	nud slung at Linda, Johann's note is a good factual reminder.
	nga Apr 11 2007, 11:14 PM
	If days. I met them all there at the time, and I never saw any romance.
	divorced Linda she made one trip to Norway to visit her son, and Brenda was along. It lasted
would be int	eresting to get the "facts."
tell you the fa	icts.
•	rfor an answer to your question, I'm sure if you phoned 3abn, someone there perhaps could
w	
QUOTE(Lee @	Mar 20 2007, 07:44 PM) 🗌
rusieu Dyi J	ohann Apr 10 2007, 05:22 AM
Doctor by:	ohana Any 10 2007 05:22 AM
MEN -AMEN -	AMEN AND AMEN!!!!
See how diffe	erent Christ is?
What would 3	esus do? Warn against lust of the heart, and wait till someone is actually caught in adultery oning begins. And then, let those who are w/o sin cast the first stone.
	pest to leave fantasizing, and so-called fantasizing, to God, and not some human fact-finding at is obviously way off base even trying to reach a conclusion through such an endeavor?
	from the start, and were never fulfilled?
Germanicon Of	COMPANIES - MOW ISE CAN AND SUBIL AUGUSTION IN THE CASES MADE IT THE INTENTIONS SERVICES
implication. I	by presupposition?. Your statement is loaded with judgementalism and unwarranted low do you know it's intended to be romantic? How do you know you know? What's your fromantic?" How far can you apply your definition to the case? What if the intentions actually

It would be interesting to get the "facts."

Before Danny divorced Linda she made one trip to Norway to visit her son, and Brenda was along. It lasted three and a half days. I met them all there at the time, and I never saw any romance.

What other facts can be told that are not pure fiction?

Neither Lee nor anyone else ever bothered answering this question. Why?

Powered by Invision Power Board (http://www.invisionboard.com)
© Invision Power Services (http://www.invisionpower.com)