Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Pondering Visiting Pastor Doug

Posted by: PJMusic Apr 23 2007, 11:47 AM

Only in this past week have I even known that DB even is in Sacramento. Guess I don't keep up well. I am tempted to go and visit him, but not sure he would even see me. I would just want to say who I am, and where I am from (West Frankfort), even though I now reside in Sacramento, and then how the coverup of what Tommy has had an effect on me and the friends I grew up with. I would hope that he would at least listen, although I seriously doubt anything will come out of it. Although I don't really have anything to say on Danny, I do have the issue of Tommy and what had happened to my friends. I guess it's a more of trying to maybe personally enlighten based on my experience of what had happened.

Posted by: Observer Apr 23 2007, 12:07 PM

> **QUOTE(PJMusic @ Apr 23 2007, 10:47 AM)** ☐
>
> Only in this past week have I even known that DB even is in Sacramento. Guess I don't keep up well. I am tempted to go and visit him, but not sure he would even see me. I would just want to say who I am, and where I am from (West Frankfort), even though I now reside in Sacramento, and then how the coverup of what Tommy has had an effect on me and the friends I grew up with. I would hope that he would at least listen, although I seriously doubt anything will come out of it. Although I don't really have anything to say on Danny, I do have the issue of Tommy and what had happened to my friends. I guess it's a more of trying to maybe personally enlighten based on my experience of what had happened.

He is the pastor of the Sacramento Central Chruch.

You might drop him a note, tell him that you used to live in the 3-ABN area, and would like to talk to him about your personal experiences.

You can not lose, and maybe he just might see you.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 23 2007, 12:53 PM

PJM,

I completely agree with Gregory. Pray about it. I will, also. If the Lord wants you to have contact with Doug Batchelor, I know the doors will be opened for you.

PB

Posted by: inga Apr 23 2007, 10:24 PM

PJ, please don't let this thought drop. Just one person can sometimes make an incredible difference. Perhaps that one person is you. All you need to do is tell your story. Tell what you know from experience. And, of course, you know that Danny had Tommy working for him at 3ABN and effectively enabled his behavior.

May the Lord bless you and give you courage.

Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 23 2007, 10:50 PM

I join the others praying for you to be able to visit one on one with Doug and pray that the Lord will give you the right words to say that will help Doug be receptive to your story.

TVsnack.

Rosyroi
@}---;---;-----

Posted by: erik Apr 23 2007, 11:13 PM

> **QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 23 2007, 08:50 PM)**
>
> I join the others praying for you to be able to visit one on one with Doug and pray that the Lord will give you the right words to say that will help Doug be receptive to your story.
>
> TVsnack.
>
> Rosyroi
> @}---;---;-----

PJmusic,

Maybe Like Easter you have been called for such a time has this.

We will be praying for you, to have wisdom and courage.

Erik

Posted by: mozart Apr 24 2007, 02:34 AM

> **QUOTE(erik @ Apr 23 2007, 11:13 PM)**
>
> PJmusic,
>
> Maybe Like Easter you have been called for such a time has this.

We will be praying for you, to have wisdom and courage.

Erik

'ou mean esther right?

QUOTE(PJMusic @ Apr 23 2007, 11:47 AM)

Only in this past week have I even known that DB even is in Sacramento. Guess I don't keep up well. I am tempted to go and visit him, but not sure he would even see me. I would just want to say who I am, and where I am from (West Frankfort), even though I now reside in Sacramento, and then how the coverup of what Tommy has had an effect on me and the friends I grew up with. I would hope that he would at least listen, although I seriously doubt anything will come out of it. Although I don't really have anything to say on Danny, I do have the issue of Tommy and what had happened to my friends. I guess it's a more of trying to maybe personally enlighten based on my experience of what had happened.

think that is an awesome and brave thing to do. surrender and pray daily. not because that it's DB. but that *'ou would go tell this to anyone that you think would listen that might make a difference. i think you should*)e careful who you tell what you want to talk to him about. just be determinied to get in the door, saying it's 'ery personal. you might try talking to karen, his wife, if getting to him is too hard. but i say "you go girl." go lo this thing. God bless you. !

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 24 2007, 11:14 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 24 2007, 02:34 AM)

but i say "you go girl."

Maybe you might NOT wanna say that. PJMusic is a guy.

Sorry, couldn't resist.

PJMusic, if you feel like that is what you should do then go for it. You can show how this coverup has affected someone like yourself, and what it has done to the rest of us as well.

Posted by: PJMusic Apr 24 2007, 12:20 PM

Thank u everyone for your support on this. I feel I have a very tough decision to make...

Posted by: Eirene Apr 24 2007, 01:09 PM

QUOTE(PJMusic @ Apr 23 2007, 11:47 AM)

Only in this past week have I even known that DB even is in Sacramento. Guess I don't keep up well. I am tempted to go and visit him, but not sure he would even see me. I would just want to say who I am, and where I am from (West Frankfort), even though I now reside in Sacramento, and then how the coverup of what Tommy has had an effect on me and the friends I grew up with. I would hope that he would at least listen, although I seriously doubt anything will come out of it. Although I don't really have anything to say on Danny, I do have the issue of Tommy and what had happened to my friends. I guess it's a more of trying to maybe personally enlighten based on my experience of what had happened.

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 24 2007, 01:14 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 24 2007, 02:09 PM)

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.

PJMusic, this is simply another Danny apologist trying to hijack a thread.

Please ignore.

Posted by: watchbird Apr 24 2007, 01:27 PM

QUOTE(PJMusic @ Apr 24 2007, 02:20 PM)

Thank u everyone for your support on this. I feel I have a very tough decision to make...

I'd very strongly advise you to get local, face to face, counsel on this... not the directive kind, but the kind of counselor who talks with you through the reasons why you are thinking of this, what you think might be accomplished, what would happen to your inner self if you were not successful in what you want to accomplish. Personally, I see nothing that would be accomplished, since Doug is not the one who directly perpetrated the offenses toward you..... and he really has no direct control over the one who did. My thought would be that you should save any confrontation you do for a place where it might count for something... in a court of law for example... for these things have gone far beyond the person-to-person contact stage, and there is a good chance that there may be litigation from some of the abused. And you certainly do not need any of the surfacy type of interaction which Doug describes in his public presentations of how he interacts with others.

So I guess my bottom line thoughts would be for you to take time to think it through thoroughly... and... did you say you are IN Sacramento yourself? If so then I'd suggest that you visit his church and observe him in action. This might tell you a lot about what kind of reception you would get from him. Then you will have more information on which to base your decision. I know I have gone to meetings at times deliberately planning to meet the speaker afterwards... and sometimes I have done so, and established good relationships with them... and sometimes I have walked away without even introducing myself to them, having seen in their self-presentations.... or in their interactions with others.... that which warned me away.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 24 2007, 03:14 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 24 2007, 11:27 AM)

I'd very strongly advise you to get local, face to face, counsel on this... not the directive kind, but the kind of counselor who talks with you through the reasons why you are thinking of this, what you think might be accomplished, what would happen to your inner self if you were not successful in what you want to accomplish. Personally, I see nothing that would be accomplished, since Doug is not the one who directly perpetrated the offenses toward you..... and he really has no direct control over the one who did. My thought would be that you should save any confrontation you do for a place where it might count for something... in a court of law for example... for these things have gone far beyond the person-to-person contact stage, and there is a good chance that there may be litigation from some of the abused. And you certainly do not need any of the surfacy type of interaction which Doug describes in his public presentations of how he interacts with others.

So I guess my bottom line thoughts would be for you to take time to think it through thoroughly... and... did you say you are IN Sacramento yourself? If so then I'd suggest that you visit his church and observe him in action. This might tell you a lot about what kind of reception you would get from him. Then you will have more information on which to base your decision. I know I have gone to meetings at times deliberately planning to meet the speaker afterwards... and sometimes I have done so, and established good relationships with them... and sometimes I have walked away without even introducing myself to them, having seen in their self-presentations.... or in their interactions with others.... that which warned me away.

WB,

I think your words of caution are wise and I agree that PJ should think through what he hopes to accomplish and how he is going to proceed. Someone who can be a face-to-face soundingboard is also wise to consider. I especially agree with your second paragraph. This would be an excellent way to prepare and decide if he should attempt contact.

If the Lord is placing a burden on PJ's heart to reach out to Doug with his first-hand testimony, I believe He will clear the way and open the doors. PJ needs to be certain whether or not it is the wise thing to do. Your advice along all of our prayers will help to that end, as well.

Posted by: Whtz Happenin Apr 24 2007, 04:32 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 24 2007, 03:09 PM)

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.

Inside voice please. Bolding and CAPs just cause others to plug their ears.

QUOTE

"So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF?"

- This has everything to do with Doug and AF. This would be like marrying someone that has been accused of a crime and expecting to not be affected. Merger does not imply there will be two after the merger. When there is a confluence of two rivers, can you keep the water separate after the two rivers merge. If you merge onto a highway, can you drive without interacting with the traffic on the highway.

QUOTE

TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months.

Let's count - January, February, March, part of April - You say this like it has been a long time. Less than 4 months is a short span of time when we are talking about events that took place in the 80's. Oh, I forgot - all of this happened over thirty years ago according to DS.

We have already heard all of the excuses that you can come up with. And BTW, I think I will allow PJMusic, Duane and others tell their first-hand experiences without yelling at them.

PJMusic, Even considering whether to go and meet with Doug must show your friends in IL that you are still right there with them and will support them through it all. I am sure they are proud to call you a friend. Do not let others that do not have your best interest in mind influence your decisions on this or anything else.

Posted by: mozart Apr 24 2007, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Apr 24 2007, 10:14 AM)

Maybe you might NOT wanna say that. PJMusic is a guy.

Sorry, couldn't resist.

PJMusic, if you feel like that is what you should do then go for it. You can show how this coverup has affected someone like yourself, and what it has done to the rest of us as well.

OOOOPS! APOLOGIES PJ

Posted by: Fran Apr 24 2007, 05:36 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 24 2007, 01:09 PM)

Eirene said: And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time.

Eirene said: There were never any charges filed against TS. Fran said: Does this mean TS is innocent?

Eirene said: No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and Fran said: This proves what?

Eirene said: TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, Fran said: And this is relevant how?

Eirene said: What would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Fran said: Now that is the $1,000,000.00 question!

Eirene said: Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. Fran said: We don't mind your repetitivness.

Eirene said: THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER. **(Fran said: See below.)**

You sound worried. Are you?

Posted by: princessdi Apr 24 2007, 05:55 PM

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months.

So, you are another one that believes that what TS was doing was alright as long he didn't exatly get caught at it, the young men were over 17 and consenting adults, etc? No proven coverup doesn't mean there wasn't one, just one done all too well.

So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.
And you know this because you are Danny or Doug, presently on either board, GM? Or is this what you were told. Everyone was told that there were no plans, at present, for one to take over the other, but we also know they basically made the annoucement with no plan. Anything can happen. Like I said, you and the others are being fed information on the basis that you believe this is a ministry, and you believe in that ministry. This merger is a corporate move.I do, however, agree that htere is little that DB can do, besides maybe back out of the deal, since nothing seems to be concrete..... But also his response would tell something about his character

---

Posted by: mozart Apr 24 2007, 07:27 PM

WOW that is hard to read.

QUOTE(Fran @ Apr 24 2007, 05:36 PM) ☐

You sound worried. Are you?

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 25 2007, 07:29 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Apr 24 2007, 07:55 PM) ☐

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months.

So, you are another one that believes that what TS was doing was alright as long he didn't exatly get caught at it, the young men were over 17 and consenting adults, etc? No proven coverup doesn't mean there wasn't one, just one done all too well.

The absence of one is not proof of the other.

The "3ABN investigators" (Not) will have their day in court. Until then, for your own sake, please try and keep an open mind.

Posted by: erik Apr 25 2007, 08:48 AM

> **QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 25 2007, 05:29 AM)**
>
> The absence of one is not proof of the other.
>
> The "3ABN investigators" (Not) will have their day in court. Until then, for your own sake, please try and keep an open mind.

Aletheia,

IF you think that Going to Court will Clear TS, that is a wild joke His own words have hung him.

Also it sure is taking a long time to get to court, maybe the brilliant lawyers are not so sure of the case so they are waiting to see if the "guilty " will finally do something they can be nailed for.

Erik

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 25 2007, 09:17 AM

> **QUOTE(erik @ Apr 25 2007, 10:48 AM)**
>
> Aletheia,
>
> IF you think that Going to Court will Clear TS, that is a wild joke His own words have hung him.
> Also it sure is taking a long time to get to court, maybe the brilliant lawyers are not so sure of the case so they are waiting to see if the "guilty " will finally do something they can be nailed for.
>
> Erik

Clarification. I was referring to Di claiming " **No proven coverup doesn't mean there wasn't one, just one done all too well**." when I said, the absense of one is not proof of the other.

The self proclaimed invstigators and reporters accusing 3ABN of engaging in a coverup, will have their day in court regarding their libel..

You can believe that or not, as you choose.

Posted by: Eirene Apr 25 2007, 09:32 AM

> **QUOTE(erik @ Apr 25 2007, 08:48 AM)**
>
> Aletheia,
>
> IF you think that Going to Court will Clear TS, that is a wild joke His own words have hung him.

Also it sure is taking a long time to get to court, maybe the brilliant lawyers are not so sure of the case so they are waiting to see if the "guilty " will finally do something they can be nailed for.

Erik

fou obviously misunderstand. Going to court as about a lawsuit against others that have slandered, ied, accused, etc. The "guilty parties" are the ones in the hot seat in a case like this because they iave to prove every allegation they have made, beyond a reasonable doubt, or be held accountable in i court of law. Suits are designed to address certain items and certain individuals. You can certainly ring more than one suit but usually, the causes and people are kept seperate. There are exceptions. n the most winning and clear cut case, it takes months for the attorneys to sludge through the court rders, injunctions, signatures and all the legal mumbo jumbo in general. Once the suit is filed and he papers are served it could drag out in court over years. Very hard on the person being sued and extremely costly for their defense.
Erik you seem a little naive on the court system and more than a little confused on what will be aking place. In this type of suit, 3abn will not have to defend themselves, they are bringing the suit. The ones who have defamed and made false allegations are the ones that will have to defend hemselves and if everything that they have said, cannot be proven, they will find themselves in a vorld of trouble.

Posted by: runner4him Apr 25 2007, 10:00 AM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 25 2007, 09:32 AM)

You obviously misunderstand. Going to court as about a lawsuit against others that have slandered, lied, accused, etc. The "guilty parties" are the ones in the hot seat in a case like this because they have to prove every allegation they have made, beyond a reasonable doubt, or be held accountable in a court of law. Suits are designed to address certain items and certain individuals. You can certainly bring more than one suit but usually, the causes and people are kept seperate. There are exceptions. In the most winning and clear cut case, it takes months for the attorneys to sludge through the court orders, injunctions, signatures and all the legal mumbo jumbo in general. Once the suit is filed and the papers are served it could drag out in court over years. Very hard on the person being sued and extremely costly for their defense.
Erik you seem a little naive on the court system and more than a little confused on what will be taking place. In this type of suit, 3abn will not have to defend themselves, they are bringing the suit. The ones who have defamed and made false allegations are the ones that will have to defend themselves and if everything that they have said, cannot be proven, they will find themselves in a world of trouble.

Before I begin....your font is very hard on the eyes...could you change it please?

Now it is a world of trouble...first a boat load of trouble and now a world of trouble?

3ABN and those who are guilty of any wrong doing **will** have to defend themselves in the most important court of all.... not only the one here in this world if they sue. One favorite Bible text is "your sin will find you out"....we cannot escape His open book of all of our doings whether they be good or for evil. When and if DS and his crew sue then let the truth be known for it surely will be out there for all to see ....even the media. Then let our worldly courtsystem determine legally what is to be done but we should all be prepared for the real and final judgement that is to come. Those who have been involved in standing up for truth and have had the courage to post it all on www.save3ABN for all of us to see have done a great thing for the little circles of concerned church members who usually have no voice. I say we all should be willing to stand up and stop the sweeping stuff under the rug. Is it not true....The truth shall make us FREE! Let us not live in fear!

Posted by: awesumtenor Apr 25 2007, 10:08 AM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 25 2007, 11:32 AM)

Erik you seem a little naive on the court system and more than a little confused on what will be taking place. In this type of suit, 3abn will not have to defend themselves, they are bringing the suit. The ones who have defamed and made false allegations are the ones that will have to defend themselves and if everything that they have said, cannot be proven, they will find themselves in a world of trouble.

You are the one who is naive vis a vis the court system... the plaintiff has the burden of proof in a civil case, not the defendant. The only difference between civil and criminal cases is that the height of the bar is lower in civil cases; there is a "preponderance of the evidence standard" versus a "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard and if there is a jury trial, the vote by the jury needs not be unanimous... but the defendant is not considered guilty and required to prove himself otherwise in any american court.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 25 2007, 10:14 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 25 2007, 11:08 AM)

You are the one who is naive vis a vis the court system... the plaintiff has the burden of proof in a civil case, not the defendant. The only difference between civil and criminal cases is that the height of the bar is lower in civil cases; there is a "preponderance of the evidence standard" versus a "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard and if there is a jury trial, the vote by the jury needs not be unanimous... but the defendant is not considered guilty and required to prove himself otherwise in any american court.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J, please don't muddle up this issue with facts. It detracts from the fear and intimidation Eirene has been sent here to instill.

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 25 2007, 10:24 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 25 2007, 12:08 PM)

You are the one who is naive vis a vis the court system... the plaintiff has the burden of proof in a civil case, not the defendant. The only difference between civil and criminal cases is that the height of the bar is lower in civil cases; there is a "preponderance of the evidence standard" versus a "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard and if there is a jury trial, the vote by the jury needs not be unanimous... but the defendant is not considered guilty and required to prove himself otherwise in any american court.

In His service,
Mr. J

Just making claims again and again doesn't establish them as truth.

> **QUOTE**
>
> LIBEL AND SLANDER occur when a person or entity communicates false information that damages the reputation of another person or entity. Slander occurs when the false and defamatory communication is spoken and heard. Libel occurs when the false and defamatory communication is written and seen. The laws governing libel and slander, which are collectively known as DEFAMATION, are identical.
>
> A plaintiff who wishes to sue an individual or entity for libel or slander has the burden of proving four claims to a court: **First**, the plaintiff must show that the DEFENDANT communicated a defamatory statement. **Second**, the plaintiff must show that the statement was published or communicated to at least one other person besides the plaintiff. **Third**, the plaintiff must show that the communication was about the plaintiff and that another party receiving the communication could identify the plaintiff as the subject of the defamatory message. **Fourth**, the plaintiff must show that the communication injured the plaintiff's reputation.
>
> There are four general defenses to slander and libel.
>
> Truth is an absolute defense.
>
> Consent by the plaintiff for the publication of the defamatory statement is a defense.
>
> Accidental publication of the statement is a defense.
>
> Finally, the statements of certain defendants in certain circumstances, such as lawyers, judges, jurors, and witnesses, are protected from defamation for PUBLIC POLICY reasons. This type of protection is known as privilege.
>
> Source: Encyclopedia of Everyday Law

Seems to me, merely citing the save 3abn website or even this forum's posts should satisfy the Plaintiffs claims...

But in any case as Danny Shelton already published during the interview on Club Adventist, in answer to one oof the questions, it's already been filed so they have already met their burden with the court.

Obviously none here can use the last 3 defenses, so that leaves you all trying to prove all your claims, and accusations to be absolute truth...

Posted by: awesumtenor Apr 25 2007, 10:34 AM

> **QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 25 2007, 12:24 PM)** ☐
>
> Just making claims again and again doesn't establish them as truth.
> Merely citing the save 3abn website or even this forum's posts should satisfy the Plaintiffs claims...
> Obviously none here can use the last 3 defenses, so that leaves you all trying to prove all your claims, and accusations to be absolute truth...

You need to re-take reading comprehension, Cindy...

> **QUOTE**

> A plaintiff who wishes to sue an individual or entity for libel or slander has the burden of proving four claims to a court: First, **the plaintiff must** show that the DEFENDANT communicated a defamatory statement. Second, **the plaintiff must** show that the statement was published or communicated to at least one other person besides the plaintiff. Third, **the plaintiff must** show that the communication was about the plaintiff and that another party receiving the communication could identify the plaintiff as the subject of the defamatory message. Fourth, **the plaintiff must** show that the communication injured the plaintiff's reputation.

Notice how the burden of proof is on the *plaintiff* and not the defendant... The defendant does not have to show a thing if he chooses not to...

You're gonna have to do better than this, Cindy.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 25 2007, 10:38 AM

> **QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 25 2007, 11:34 AM)**
>
> You need to re-take reading comprehension, Cindy...
> Notice how the burden of proof is on the *plaintiff* and not the defendant... The defendant does not have to show a thing if he chooses not to...
>
> You're gonna have to do better than this, Cindy.
>
> In His service,
> Mr. J

Does anyone else sense that the desperation factor seems to have been ratcheted up a few notches since the merger ploy isn't scaring anyone away from questioning alleged wrongdoings?

Posted by: LaurenceD Apr 25 2007, 10:40 AM

You gotta remember awesumtenor, this is the same group that doesn't have a clue what 3abn was doing wrong when they lost the case against the state of Illinois. And they still don't.

Posted by: Aletheia Apr 25 2007, 10:42 AM

> **QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Apr 25 2007, 12:38 PM)**
>
> Does anyone else sense that the desperation factor seems to have been ratcheted up a few notches since the merger ploy isn't scaring anyone away from questioning alleged wrongdoings?

Duane, please try to get a grip. The merge isn't about you accusers, in any way, shape, or form..

It's about becoming more effective in spreading the 3 angls messages, which is going on despite you all.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 25 2007, 10:44 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 25 2007, 10:42 AM)

Duane, please try to get a grip. The merge isn't about you accusers.

It's abooout spreading the gospel, which is going on despite you all.

Romans 16:17-18

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Apr 25 2007, 01:38 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 24 2007, 02:09 PM)

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.

I didn't respond further the first time because I was too upset.

You know NOTHING about the TS situation. PJMusic has every right to talk to DB about this. He was being targeted as a victim. That makes it his business.

I am sick of hearing about no charges being filed. That proves NOTHING.

Tommy admitted what he did in his email to me. Case closed.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Apr 25 2007, 03:56 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 25 2007, 09:42 AM)

Duane, please try to get a grip. The merge isn't about you accusers, in any way, shape, or form..

It's about becoming more effective in spreading the 3 angls messages, which is going on despite you all.

Cindy,
That is one of the biggest obstacles to the success of this merger, IMO. The very fact that Duane - who TS, in his 2005 email confession, admitted to abusing - and others who have made claims of abuse or made signed statements that they observed signs of abuse and have allowed their testimonies to be made public ***yet are being ignored by both leading figures in these two ministries by the words of their representative on this and other forums.*** That Doug is represented as not even caring about checking out these claims because he believes them all to be false is of great concern to me and should be of concern to all who call themselves followers of Christ, no matter what "side" they support.

Posted by: princessdi Apr 25 2007, 04:09 PM

Wrong. If Danny is suing someone for libel(sp) or defamation of character, etc. It is for *him* to prove that they are lying. Not for them to prove that they are telling the truth. The defendants can present what they have, but it is for Danny to refute it, through evidence, not just his word. Question is does Danny really want to truth out about these situations? That is the only way it will be resolved.

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 25 2007, 07:32 AM)

You obviously misunderstand. Going to court as about a lawsuit against others that have slandered, lied, accused, etc. **The "guilty parties" are the ones in the hot seat in a case like this because they have to prove every allegation they have made, beyond a reasonable doubt, or be held accountable in a court of law.** Suits are designed to address certain items and certain individuals. You can certainly bring more than one suit but usually, the causes and people are kept seperate. There are exceptions. In the most winning and clear cut case, it takes months for the attorneys to sludge through the court orders, injunctions, signatures and all the legal mumbo jumbo in general. Once the suit is filed and the papers are served it could drag out in court over years. Very hard on the person being sued and extremely costly for their defense.
Erik you seem a little naive on the court system and more than a little confused on what will be taking place. In this type of suit, 3abn will not have to defend themselves, they are bringing the suit. The ones who have defamed and made false allegations are the ones that will have to defend themselves and if everything that they have said, cannot be proven, they will find themselves in a world of trouble.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Apr 27 2007, 12:48 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Apr 25 2007, 09:24 AM)

Just making claims again and again doesn't establish them as truth.
Seems to me, merely citing the save 3abn website or even this forum's posts should satisfy the Plaintiffs claims...

But since none of us have been served, that ought to tell you something about proving that these sites are posting falsehoods.

Perhaps some more explanation would help you. If save3abn.com is posting lies, and Danny can show that they're lies, they would have already been sued. IMO. If they're posting the truth, Danny and his platoon of lawyers will have to find a way to discredit the truth.

No lawsuits. The best they could do was send you and Eirene to post on BlackSDA.

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 24 2007, 12:09 PM)

And what is it you think Doug could or would do? I will go through this one more time. There were never any charges filed against TS. No coverup at 3abn ever even came close to being proven, and TS is no longer there and hasn't been for months. So, what would any of this have to do with Doug and AF? Should I even have to add, again, that Doug has been clear that Danny will continue to run 3abn and Doug will continue to run amazing facts. THERE ARE SAFEGUARDS IN PLACE TO ENSURE THAT ONE MINISTRY CAN'T TAKE OVER THE OTHER.

Eirene, shame on you.

Do you have firsthand experience with Tommy? PJ and Duane do.

Shame. On. You.

Powered by Invision Power Board (http://www.invisionboard.com)
© Invision Power Services (http://www.invisionpower.com)