Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ The Rosie Lies Of 26th April 2007, 02:08 Pm Allowed On Cf

Posted by: mozart Apr 29 2007, 06:09 PM

I copied and am pasting this here right away because I know that the biased mods over on CF will probably delete it as soon as they see it.

TRUTHMAGNET opened this thread today after rosie55's attempt to slander linda by opening a thread with the false title "3ABN Lawyer's Discussion. CF did not attempt to delete rosie55's thread even though it was falsly titled and created to slander and start gossip. rosie55 deleted her own thread when it did not go the way she had planned.

Originally Posted by Rosie55
#1
3ABN Lawyers Discussion
(Lie #1- false thread title; this was never meant to reveal "Lawyers Discussion")

Tomatoe:
I am still not understanding why Linda seems to want to take Danny to court.
(Lie #2 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion")

Could you tell me what she got at the time of the divorce and again why she would go to court again?
(Lie #3 - AGAIN? twisting the truth & not revealing "Lawyers Discussion")

Does Danny have assets that he didn't disclose before the divorce?
(Lie #4 - Asking for Deliberate Retorical Spin on Question- not asking for "Lawyers Discussion")

I am assuming she wants more money.
(Lie #5 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion" but assuming to slander and start gossip)

I'm curious, does Linda hold down a job?
(Lie #6 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion" and degrading Linda by inferring, by the usage of the term "hold down a job", that she is somehow irresponsible and has trouble "holding down a job" thus trying to start slanderous and degrading gossip)

What does she do to earn her living?
(Lie #7 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion" - question is irrelevant and trying to start demeaning gossip)

Thanks for making this more clear.
(Lie #8 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion" but accepting in advance of reply from tomatoe, not a lawyer, that his answers will make things more clear - subject reeking of pre-planned answers)

Oh, and this question is for Tomatoe ONLY. Thanks for respecting this.
(Lie #9 - not asking for "Lawyers Discussion" but trying to start demeaning gossip aimed at Linda)

If the motive of opening this public thread were seeking truth, then one has to wonder why the proposed query is for only one designated responder who is preliminarily set up as being the only one knowing the true answers that can clarify the original poster's confusion. Would that defy the definition of "FORUM"?

End Quote from Rosie55

****
Now we have tomatoe who made at least a dozen or two posts spinning his idealistic loyal views as facts. Best i recall, in the entire thread, there was not one "Lawyer Discussion" or documentation of any Lawyer

Discussions.

Originally Posted by tomatoe - (his last statement before thread was closed at Rosie55's request.)
Franny,
".....YOU are offended because Rosie opened a thread to refute false allegations? "
End Quote from tomatoe

Where is that part of Rosie's thread? Does she use invisible ink? Maybe the type is too small. I'm not finding it. Can you show me that again?

{Now Tomatoe; here's your script.
linda is taking danny to court just to get more money. she doesn't want to work, has spent the 3/4 million they gave her and now want's even more. she doesn't "hold down a job" because she had rather get more money out of danny than work. she doesn't want to earn her own living anymore. she wants danny to pay her way in the style she is accustomed. got that? love, mollie }

****

Slandering Linda was the ONLY point of the ROSIE55's thread. It had nothing to do with nor did it contain one sentence of "3ABN Lawyer's Discussion. Since they didn't get what they wanted out of it, they closed it down.

Posted by: watchbird Apr 29 2007, 07:21 PM

I'm finding it hard to understand why we want to bring stuff over here that isn't even fit for the forum it came from. We know what kind of stuff these folk say... we don't need to see it over and over again.

Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 29 2007, 07:29 PM

When I read it it looked to me as if one person was asking a question in one name and answered his/her own question in another name.

Just my own humble opinion.

Rosyroi

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 29 2007, 09:01 PM

> **QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 29 2007, 08:29 PM)** ☐
>
> When I read it it looked to me as if one person was asking a question in one name and answered his/her own question in another name.
>
> Just my own humble opinion.
>
> Rosyroi

Hey Rosyroi 

I had planned to respond to Mozart earlier but your comment points out something that can be documented. Many of us spell things wrong or make typographical errors. In fact this was pointed out several times in other threads.

What is interesting is that Rosie55 has made the same spelling error with a particular word that Joe Smith

and Eyewitness made (for newbies these are banned posters). This same spelling error was made by another DS apologist that still posts here at BSDA. Now what kind of stretch would it be to assume that either the same person is writing all the material for posting or that IDs are shared? And Rosyroi, with all the hundreds and hundreds of posts here in the 3abn forum not a single other person has made the same spelling error.

Talk about things that make one go, hmmmmmmm.

nw
C"i"

Posted by: lookin4truth Apr 29 2007, 09:38 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Apr 29 2007, 10:01 PM)

Hey Rosyroi h

I had planned to respond to Mozart earlier but your comment points out something that can be documented. Many of us spell things wrong or make typographical errors. In fact this was pointed out several times in other threads.

What is interesting is that Rosie55 has made the same spelling error with a particular word that Joe Smith and Eyewitness made (for newbies these are banned posters). This same spelling error was made by another DS apologist that still posts here at BSDA. Now what kind of stretch would it be to assume that either the same person is writing all the material for posting or that IDs are shared? And Rosyroi, with all the hundreds and hundreds of posts here in the 3abn forum not a single other person has made the same spelling error.

Talk about things that make one go, hmmmmmmm.

nw
C"i"

Hey Rosyroi h

I had planned to respond to Mozart earlier but your comment points out something that can be documented. Many of us spell things wrong or make typographical errors. In fact this was pointed out several times in other threads.

What is interesting is that Rosie55 has made the same spelling error with a particular word that Joe Smith and Eyewitness made (for newbies these are banned posters). This same spelling error was made by another DS apologist that still posts here at BSDA. Now what kind of stretch would it be to assume that either the same person is writing all the material for posting or that IDs are shared? And Rosyroi, with all the hundreds and hundreds of posts here in the 3abn forum not a single other person has made the same spelling error.

Talk about things that make one go, hmmmmmmm.

nw

C"i"

t's deja vu all over again

Sorry, couldn't resist

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 29 2007, 09:43 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Apr 29 2007, 10:38 PM)

It's deja vu all over again

Sorry, couldn't resist

Oh well making up for lost time not being here much the past 10 days.

Sorry

Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 29 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Apr 29 2007, 08:38 PM)

It's deja vu all over again

Sorry, couldn't resist

Rosyroi

Rosyroi

Posted by: SoulEspresso Apr 29 2007, 11:51 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 29 2007, 06:21 PM)

I'm finding it hard to understand why we want to bring stuff over here that isn't even fit for the forum it came from. We know what kind of stuff these folk say... we don't need to see it over and over again.

I tend to agree, WB ... but I don't disagree with mo for posting either. I might not have done it, but it is another brick in the wall, so to speak, of the kind of people that stand up on Danny's side. I wouldn't be surprised if Rosie55 reads, or used to read, on BSDA. If so, that person knows we're paying attention ...

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 12:35 AM

ROSY, are you referring to this thread or the ones posted at CF? BTW the mod deleted the entire truthmagnet thread less than a half hour after it was posted so i'm glad i brought it here to at least be seen. even tho' we are aware of the childish and devious shenanagans they play, i thought they were so clear and concise within this one little thread that it was worth seeing.

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 29 2007, 06:29 PM)

When I read it it looked to me as if one person was asking a question in one name and answered his/her own question in another name.

Just my own humble opinion.

Rosyroi

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 12:47 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 29 2007, 06:21 PM)

I'm finding it hard to understand why we want to bring stuff over here that isn't even fit for the forum it came from. We know what kind of stuff these folk say... we don't need to see it over and over again.

it may only prove a point that most of us already know, but i thought it painted a simple, open picture, that shows in one glimpse who these posters are. sorry you found this unfit and useless WB.

Posted by: Johann Apr 30 2007, 01:25 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 30 2007, 08:47 AM)

it may only prove a point that most of us already know, but i thought it painted a simple, open picture, that shows in one glimpse who these posters are. sorry you found this unfit and useless WB.

Mozart, your post got me to the Christian Forums where I had not looked for a long time - to discover the thread is closad for now.

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 02:04 AM

yes Rosie55 closed her thread falsly & seductively titled "3ABN Lawyers Discussion" when it totally backfired on her. it is locked, but you can still read it.
Truthmagnet opened another thread this morning exposing Rosie55 and Tomatoe for the deceivers that they are and TMs new thread, that is pasted at the beginning here, got immediately and completely deleted by the CF mods who said it was flaming. i expected as much that is why i posted it here. Truthmagnet exposes each bogus question by Rosie55 who's agenda was to slander and start rumors and negative gossip about Linda and trying to make it look like innocent questions and "confusion" for her that only tomatoe could clear up. they obviously had a script they were foolish enough to think they could get away with but it blew up in there face, however even with their flaming insults in the approx. 100posts in that thread, the CF mods never once intervened.. it had nothing to do with 3ABN lawyers discussions so TM opened a rebuttel thread and the CF mod deleted it almost immediately.

QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 30 2007, 12:25 AM)

Mozart, your post got me to the Christian Forums where I had not looked for a long time - to discover the thread is closad for now.

Posted by: awesumtenor Apr 30 2007, 06:07 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 30 2007, 04:04 AM)

yes Rosie55 closed her thread falsly & seductively titled "3ABN Lawyers Discussion" when it totally backfired on her. it is locked, but you can still read it.
Truthmagnet opened another thread this morning exposing Rosie55 and Tomatoe for the deceivers that they are and TMs new thread, that is pasted at the beginning here, got immediately and completely deleted by the CF mods who said it was flaming. i expected as much that is why i posted it here. Truthmagnet exposes each bogus question by Rosie55 who's agenda was to slander and start rumors and negative gossip about Linda and trying to make it look like innocent questions and "confusion" for her that only tomatoe could clear up. they obviously had a script they were foolish enough to think they could get away with but it blew up in there face, however even with their flaming insults in the approx. 100posts in that thread, the CF mods never once intervened.. it had nothing to do with 3ABN lawyers discussions so TM opened a rebuttel thread and the CF mod deleted it almost immediately.

The mods at CF, like the mods at CA are pro-Danny's camp... and have reached a point where they barely maintain a pretense of objectivity. If one is going to post there, they should know that those critical of DS et al are kept on a much shorter leash and given far less leeway than those who are DS supporters.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: watchbird Apr 30 2007, 07:09 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 30 2007, 02:47 AM)

it may only prove a point that most of us already know, but i thought it painted a simple, open picture, that shows in one glimpse who these posters are. sorry you found this unfit and useless WB.

What I said was that I found it hard to understand.... [x] s ... but I'm getting there.... [x] crutch.gi

I also found it hard to understand why anyone... even a Danny or Dannyclone... would use "tomatoe" as a "public name"..... [x] ......

[x]

[x] ...... then it hit me..... .... [x] tom ..... [x] tom ..... [x] tom ..... [x] tom .....

.... [x] sofa1.gif ....

Posted by: Observer Apr 30 2007, 07:21 AM

> **QUOTE(Johann @ Apr 30 2007, 12:25 AM)**
>
> Mozart, your post got me to the Christian Forums where I had not looked for a long time - to discover the thread is closad for now.

Same here, Johann. I went there for probably the 3rd or 4th (I do not remember exactly.) time.

I do not expect to go back soon.

Same song. Same verse. A broken record.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Apr 30 2007, 08:27 AM

> **QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 30 2007, 06:09 AM)**
>
> I also found it hard to understand why anyone... even a Danny or Dannyclone... would use "tomatoe" as a "public name"
>
> then it hit me..... .... [x] tom ..... [x] tom ..... [x] tom ..... [x] tom .....
>
> .... [x] sofa1.gif ....

[x] [x] [x] rofl

Spelling it "tomatoe" always reminds me of a certain ex-Vice President and another edible plant ...

Posted by: watchbird Apr 30 2007, 09:21 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Apr 30 2007, 10:27 AM)

rofl

Spelling it "tomatoe" always reminds me of a certain ex-Vice President and another edible plant ...

Don't think I want to "go there".....

But I've heard that in the "good old days" when politicians visited rural farm areas to make their campaign speeches..... local farmers brought bushels of tomatoes that were too rotten to be sold so the locals could express their negative "appreciation" in a rather direct way. I guess those tomatoes didn't have any say in being used in that way. But it seems as though we now have at least one which hurls himself at any who disagrees with him....

Interesting switcheroo..... .....

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 30 2007, 09:59 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 30 2007, 11:21 AM)

But it seems as though we now have at least one which hurls himself at any who disagrees with him....

Interesting switcheroo..... .....

I noticed that WB.

However, I quickly discovered at CF that although this one does not mind being hurled he hates to be asked direct questions and refuses in his own words "to be grilled".

nw

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 10:04 AM

rofl rofl

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 30 2007, 07:09 AM)

What I said was that I found it hard to understand.... .... but I'm getting there.... crutch.gi

I also found it hard to understand why anyone... even a Danny or Dannyclone... would use "tomatoe" as a

"public name"..... .....

..... then it hit me..... .... tom .....

..... sofa1.gif .....

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 10:25 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 30 2007, 06:07 AM)

The mods at CF, like the mods at CA are pro-Danny's camp... and have reached a point where they barely maintain a pretense of objectivity. If one is going to post there, they should know that those critical of DS et al are kept on a much shorter leash and given far less leeway than those who are DS supporters.

In His service,
Mr. J

'es you are correct. in fact i dont even like to go over there because of the excessive hostility. there is only)ne adventist they have as a mod for our forums over there and she is quesitonable. i emailed them about hat last week and got a reply from a lady in "cusotmer concerns" who said: they may have other adventists they don't know?) that might (they don't know?) be in other forums but yes there is only one adventist for)ur forum.
vonder when "Christians" became such good politicians? anyway, i have no doubt this one adventist is not)nly predjudice but very nominal and "progressive" (they like to call it) but i've seen her say she and her)astor husband don't believe in EGW but he can't admit that because of his job. i don't get ppl that go to a :hurch they don't even believe in, especially if it's a pastor on the payroll.
)ow before one of you goes ballistic on me assuming i think EGW is = to the Bible or John the Baptist or :omething, i've never thought that. i've never called her a prophet. she asked ppl not to call her a prophet)nd i respect her enough not to do that. i'm sure she had very good reason for saying that. i consider her 'ery good Bible counsel and i would say that whether i was an adventist or not. for those who pick her apart)t the seams i say, if it doesn't bless you, don't read it. don't throw the baby out with the bath water. it tends o be a great loss when you do that.

:o..... sig BACK TO THE TOPIC.

tableta

Posted by: shinejoy Apr 30 2007, 10:37 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 30 2007, 07:21 AM)

Don't think I want to "go there".....

But I've heard that in the "good old days" when politicians visited rural farm areas to make their campaign speeches..... local farmers brought bushels of tomatoes that were too rotten to be sold so the locals could

express their negative "appreciation" in a rather direct way. I guess those tomatoes didn't have any say in being used in that way. But it seems as though we now have at least one which hurls himself at any who disagrees with him....

Interesting switcheroo..... ..... 

QUOTE(watchbird @ Apr 30 2007, 07:21 AM)

Don't think I want to "go there".....

But I've heard that in the "good old days" when politicians visited rural farm areas to make their campaign speeches..... local farmers brought bushels of tomatoes that were too rotten to be sold so the locals could express their negative "appreciation" in a rather direct way. I guess those tomatoes didn't have any say in being used in that way. But it seems as though we now have at least one which hurls himself at any who disagrees with him....

Interesting switcheroo..... ..... 

WOW interesting thought..

Posted by: calvin Apr 30 2007, 10:58 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 30 2007, 07:07 AM)

The mods at CF, like the mods at CA are pro-Danny's camp... and have reached a point where they barely maintain a pretense of objectivity. If one is going to post there, they should know that those critical of DS et al are kept on a much shorter leash and given far less leeway than those who are DS supporters.

In His service,
Mr. J

..and I suspect they would put BSDA in the pro-Linda camp. Hey whatever 

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 11:08 AM

I would put us in the "Fight for The Truth Camp". Thanks for letting us do that Boss.

QUOTE(calvin @ Apr 30 2007, 10:58 AM)

...and I suspect they would put BSDA in the pro-Linda camp. Hey whatever 

Posted by: Noahswife Apr 30 2007, 11:27 AM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 30 2007, 08:07 AM)

The mods at CF, like the mods at CA are pro-Danny's camp... and have reached a point where they barely maintain a pretense of objectivity. If one is going to post there, they should know that those critical of DS et al are kept on a much shorter leash and given far less leeway than those who are DS supporters.

In His service,
Mr. J

Mr. J,

I think another very important factor that we also need to take into consideration is that I have never once written a complaint to Clay, Di or Calvin here about another poster. I believe we are all adults and should be able to take as good as we give. I believe that as adults we should be able to discuss things and not throw out dynamite and run with no accountability for what was said. However, I get the impression that many supporters of DS that post here are constantly complaining.

I found myself at CF several times writing to the moderator when i began to see inconsistencies in how things were handled or posts edited. It was quickly my impression that like here, the screaming tomatoes and peaches etc were complaining and at CF they were being responded to. So I decided to do the same when I had time to. I typically found that my requests if documented were responded to and fairly handled.

So I think a major difference is that here at BSDA we know the admins and Calvin are not going to be bullied into giving in to demands by the DS apologists. That is not true there and I don't think that will change.

Off my soapbox now that i have said my 2 cents.

nw
C"i"

Posted by: daylily Apr 30 2007, 11:57 AM

One of Danny's favorite stories is about how he, his family and cousins picked tomatoes as children. They would throw rotten tomatoes at each other :-)

Posted by: awesumtenor Apr 30 2007, 12:42 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 30 2007, 12:25 PM)

there is only one adventist they have as a mod for our forums over there and she is quesitonable.

She isn't even questionable; she is the wife of tall73 who is an SDA pastor who took Danny's side very early on and she tends to back her husband like Sapphira did Ananias...

There couldn't be a lesson in that, could there?

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: princessdi Apr 30 2007, 12:54 PM

This is why I love you Gurl!!! Flesh and blood did not give this to you!!!

QUOTE

Mr. J,

I think another very important factor that we also need to take into consideration is that I have never once written a complaint to Clay, Di or Calvin here about another poster. I believe we are all adults and should be able to take as good as we give. I believe that as adults we should be able to discuss things and not throw out dynamite and run with no accountability for what was said. However, I get the impression that many supporters of DS that post here are constantly complaining.

I found myself at CF several times writing to the moderator when i began to see inconsistencies in how things were handled or posts edited. It was quickly my impression that like here, the screaming tomatoes and peaches etc were complaining and at CF they were being responded to. So I decided to do the same when I had time to. I typically found that my requests if documented were responded to and fairly handled.

So I think a major difference is that here at BSDA we know the admins and Calvin are not going to be bullied into giving in to demands by the DS apologists. That is not true there and I don't think that will change.

Off my soapbox now that i have said my 2 cents.

nw
C"i"

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 12:58 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Apr 30 2007, 11:42 AM)

She isn't even questionable; she is the wife of tall73 who is an SDA pastor who took Danny's side very early on and she tends to back her husband like Sapphira did Ananias...

There couldn't be a lesson in that, could there?

In His service,
Mr. J

well, turns out, she (sophia7, tall73's wife) is the one that deleted TMs thread. i have had several conversations with her and her husband and if they were more "watered-down" they would drown. in my dealings with the mods over at CF, it appears that they only respond if you complain. in fact that is what they have told me. just today sophia7 told me they don't have time to read every thread so they can only respond if someone makes a complaint so that is what i needed to do. i wrote them back and said "the opponents of Rosie55 and tomatoe are not cry-babies that run to momma if someone gives back what they dish out. i told her we don't believe in those hit and run tactics. "
not meaning to sound self-righteous or judgemental, but, it's truly sad how many unconverted souls are in the churches and even getting paid!!
i was thinking about that this morning while thinking about our church wondering why there is no growth. i imagined myself preaching to the church and saying, "there are so many lost souls out there.....and

there are many in here as well". let's get those in here right with God before we go trying to bring anybody else in. it's amazing to think how many people forget "God is watching".

Posted by: Clay Apr 30 2007, 06:29 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 30 2007, 01:58 PM)

well, turns out, she (sophia7, tall73's wife) is the one that deleted TMs thread. i have had several conversations with her and her husband and if they were more "watered-down" they would drown. in my dealings with the mods over at CF, it appears that they only respond if you complain. in fact that is what they have told me. just today sophia7 told me they don't have time to read every thread so they can only respond if someone makes a complaint so that is what i needed to do. i wrote them back and said "the opponents of Rosie55 and tomatoe are not cry-babies that run to momma if someone gives back what they dish out. i told her we don't believe in those hit and run tactics. "
not meaning to sound self-righteous or judgemental, but, it's truly sad how many unconverted souls are in the churches and even getting paid!!
i was thinking about that this morning while thinking about our church wondering why there is no growth. i *imagined myself preaching to the church and saying, "there are so many lost souls out there.....and* there are many in here as well". let's get those in here right with God before we go trying to bring anybody else in. it's amazing to think how many people forget "God is watching".

I rather like Sophia and Tall.... watered down, whatever does that mean? not everyone can be (or needs to be) a rabid sda.....

Posted by: SoulEspresso Apr 30 2007, 09:43 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Apr 30 2007, 05:29 PM)

I rather like Sophia and Tall.... watered down, whatever does that mean? not everyone can be (or needs to be) a rabid sda.....

Well, for another example, Pickle and I are probably not close on a number of issues, but I appreciate him because I know he's committed to the truth, as I am (or am trying to be).

One thing that I don't like about save3abn is that, at least on some pages, it assumes you are a true conservative if you oppose the current administration of 3abn. I think anyone with a sense of right-and-wrong would look at the facts and go, "Hey. Let's have some change at the top here, shall we?"

I don't know Sophia and Tall, I have my hands full checking this forum. But I have friends that are arch-conservatives, I have friends that have dropped out and become Presbyterians, and my best friend, who used to cut up with me in Youth Sabbath School, is these days something of a ... Buddhist ... sort of ... kinda Muslim too ... Hindu Christian ... yeah.

We ought to be careful of ad hominem attacks. A person who is right about some stuff might be dead wrong about others.

Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 30 2007, 09:56 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ Apr 29 2007, 10:35 PM)

ROSY, are you referring to this thread or the ones posted at CF? BTW the mod deleted the entire truthmagnet thread less than a half hour after it was posted so i'm glad i brought it here to at least be seen. even tho' we are aware of the childish and devious shenanagans they play, i thought they were so clear and concise within this one little thread that it was worth seeing.

I should have clarified myself.

I perused CF to see what was going on. While I was reading the posts it appeared to me the poster rosie55 demanded that no one except the poster tomato reply to rosie55 questions. The questions and answers were deliberately made to make Linda look very very bad.

I am relying on a faulty memory for who was asking the questions and who was answering. As far as I am concerned it really doens't make any difference since I believe it was the same person doing the asking and answering.

Of course JimLarmore jumped in uninvited and added his strange two cents worth. Of course that was an added bonus for the person posting as two separate people. others added their questions that were NOT part of the printed planned program.

My understanding that the uninvited questions unanswered of course helped rosie55/tomato ask that the thread be shut down. By this time another day had passed. Not sure but I think this took about two or more days from start to finish. I didn't pay attention to when it started.

I am sure I most likely left out a lot of other information but this is the best I could come up with to make it as short as possible. Any error on my part will most likely be corrected by those who were more closely involved.

I applaud those who went in there and asked the questions that interrupted the thread. [x]

I hope that answered your question.
Rosyroi

Posted by: Eirene Apr 30 2007, 10:23 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 30 2007, 09:56 PM) ☐

I should have clarified myself.

I perused CF to see what was going on. While I was reading the posts it appeared to me the poster rosie55 demanded that no one except the poster tomato reply to rosie55 questions. The questions and answers were deliberately made to make Linda look very very bad.

I am relying on a faulty memory for who was asking the questions and who was answering. As far as I am concerned it really doens't make any difference since I believe it was the same person doing the asking and answering.

Of course JimLarmore jumped in uninvited and added his strange two cents worth. Of course that was an added bonus for the person posting as two separate people. others added their questions that were NOT part of the printed planned program.

My understanding that the uninvited questions unanswered of course helped rosie55/tomato ask that the thread be shut down. By this time another day had passed. Not sure but I think this took about two or more days from start to finish. I didn't pay attention to when it started.

I am sure I most likely left out a lot of other information but this is the best I could come up with to make it as short as possible. Any error on my part will most likely be corrected by those who were more closely

involved.

I applaud those who went in there and asked the questions that interrupted the thread.

I hope that answered your question.
Rosyroi

First off, let me say that I know for a fact that Rosie and tomatoe are 2 totally different people thousands of miles apart. OK
All of this hoopla is because Rosie started a thread where some actual truth could be told.
How many threads have been started here by lindanites telling her version of events that she has passed on to others? How many verses one lousy thread at CF to defend false allegations.
Now how many times has your side complained about threads getting hijacked or going off topic as a distraction from our side? How many? Yet you do the same exact thing over at CF on Rosie's thread and are proud of it. She asked respectfully for certain questions to be answered but you all can't stand the 3abn subject being discussed without bringing your dirt and allegations to the party.
As many threads as this forum has started against 3abn and yet you can't stand for 1 thread to be started in favor of 3abn. This board proves it. There was a thread started by bystander. Got shut down. A thread started by FHB, got shut down. A thread started by aletheia..Got shut down. Now we have 1 thread started at CF and you all interfere because it counteracts the gossip and allegations that you love to spread so much.
On top of it you have alleged that Rosie and Tomatoe are the same person when they certainly aren't. You all are wrong about too many things, too many events, and too many accusations to be credible anymore.

Posted by: Rosyroi Apr 30 2007, 10:35 PM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 08:23 PM)

First off, let me say that I know for a fact that Rosie and tomatoe are 2 totally different people thousands of miles apart. OK
All of this hoopla is because Rosie started a thread where some actual truth could be told.
How many threads have been started here by lindanites telling her version of events that she has passed on to others? How many verses one lousy thread at CF to defend false allegations.
Now how many times has your side complained about threads getting hijacked or going off topic as a distraction from our side? How many? Yet you do the same exact thing over at CF on Rosie's thread and are proud of it. She asked respectfully for certain questions to be answered but you all can't stand the 3abn subject being discussed without bringing your dirt and allegations to the party.
As many threads as this forum has started against 3abn and yet you can't stand for 1 thread to be started in favor of 3abn. This board proves it. There was a thread started by bystander. Got shut down. A thread started by FHB, got shut down. A thread started by aletheia..Got shut down. Now we have 1 thread started at CF and you all interfere because it counteracts the gossip and allegations that you love to spread so much.
On top of it you have alleged that Rosie and Tomatoe are the same person when they certainly aren't. You all are wrong about too many things, too many events, and too many accusations to be credible anymore.

Sorry to disappoint you but I am on TRUTH side. In 2004 I heard some nasty rumors AGAINST Linda straight FROM 3ABN. Walt Thompson to be exact. Of course if you ask him if he wrote those nasty rumors he would deny it. I got my answer by comming here. the LORD sent me here. One rumor has not been mentioned in here and I asked an employee if that rumor was truth. The employee said as far as they new it was not true. The rumor was false. And all the other rumors Walt sent out in email and letters were false also. These were BEFORE the "Linda is having Spiritual Adultry rumors"

Since you use the Evelyn Wood reading course I want to remind you that this was my opinion. I never said I wrote the message in stone.

I see that you are still here writing and rebutting. You have not crossed the line yet. Those others who you so gallantly upheld had crossed the line. There are several wonderful folk here who desperately hope you don't cross the line either. They love bantering with you. So try to find that line not to cross so you may have the privilege of continuing your posting in BSDA. 

That is my truth and I am sticking to it. 

Rosyroi 

Posted by: mozart Apr 30 2007, 11:54 PM

go back and read the first post opening this thread Eirene and see who has the agenda. even the title of the thread was a lie. who were the "lawyers" and where was the "discussion". tomatoe isn't a lawyer is he? i think not. the whole thing was designed by fools whose designs failed because they were built on sandy ground.
their evil plan backfired right in their faces exactly as it should have.
have some sense of reason please!

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 10:23 PM)

First off, let me say that I know for a fact that Rosie and tomatoe are 2 totally different people thousands of miles apart. OK
All of this hoopla is because Rosie started a thread where some actual truth could be told.
How many threads have been started here by lindanites telling her version of events that she has passed on to others? How many verses one lousy thread at CF to defend false allegations.
Now how many times has your side complained about threads getting hijacked or going off topic as a distraction from our side? How many? Yet you do the same exact thing over at CF on Rosie's thread and are proud of it. She asked respectfully for certain questions to be answered but you all can't stand the 3abn subject being discussed without bringing your dirt and allegations to the party.
As many threads as this forum has started against 3abn and yet you can't stand for 1 thread to be started in favor of 3abn. This board proves it. There was a thread started by bystander. Got shut down. A thread started by FHB, got shut down. A thread started by aletheia..Got shut down. Now we have 1 thread started at CF and you all interfere because it counteracts the gossip and allegations that you love to spread so much.
On top of it you have alleged that Rosie and Tomatoe are the same person when they certainly aren't. You all are wrong about too many things, too many events, and too many accusations to be credible anymore.

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 10:23 PM)

... You all are wrong about too many things, too many events, and too many accusations to be credible anymore.

Ahhhhh...so does that mean that we were once credible? or are you just here to annoy us?

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Apr 30 2007, 10:35 PM)

Sorry to disappoint you but I am on TRUTH side. In 2004 I heard some nasty rumors AGAINST Linda straight FROM 3ABN. Walt Thompson to be exact. Of course if you ask him if he wrote those nasty rumors he would deny it. I got my answer by comming here. the LORD sent me here. One rumor has not been mentioned in here and I asked an employee if that rumor was truth. The employee said as far as they new it was not true. The rumor was false. And all the other rumors Walt sent out in email and letters were false also. These were BEFORE the "Linda is having Spiritual Adultry rumors"

Since you use the Evelyn Wood reading course I want to remind you that this was my opinion. I never said I wrote the message in stone.

I see that you are still here writing and rebutting. You have not crossed the line yet. Those others who you so gallantly upheld had crossed the line. There are several wonderful folk here who desperately hope you don't cross the line either. They love bantering with you. So try to find that line not to cross so you may have the privilege of continuing your posting in BSDA.

That is my truth and I am sticking to it.

Rosyroi

rofl rofl rofl oh dear me girl. i really needed that laugh. i can go to bed now. lol nite all
rofl rofl rofl

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 1 2007, 07:59 AM

QUOTE(Eirene @ Apr 30 2007, 09:23 PM)

All of this hoopla is because Rosie started a thread where some actual truth could be told.
How many threads have been started here by lindanites telling her version of events that she has passed on to others?

posted about this the other day, but having been here in the past, I can say with certainty that longtime nembers of BSDA withheld judgment for many months before evaluating the evidence proffered.

\nd by the way, the most compelling evidence against Danny's character didn't come from Linda. It came rom Danny himself. I know he doesn't send it to you, Lord love ya, but he sent it to others.

h

Posted by: Johann May 1 2007, 10:26 AM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 1 2007, 03:59 PM)

I posted about this the other day, but having been here in the past, I can say with certainty that longtime members of BSDA withheld judgment for many months before evaluating the evidence proffered.

And by the way, the most compelling evidence against Danny's character didn't come from Linda. It came from Danny himself. I know he doesn't send it to you, Lord love ya, but he sent it to others.

h

This is the message I get from far and wide, and this is why I have said so many times, Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett May 1 2007, 12:06 PM

Yes, I am also disappointed in the moderation being done over at CF.

They closed down the 3AVBN Continued (2) topic. As I was the originator of that topic, I received a PM saying it was closed while under staff review. That was several days now. Upon discovery of the closed thread, I replied to the PM, but didn't even receive a courtesy reply, therefore, I PMed another person there today, and am now waiting to see what kind of a reply, if any, I will receive.

Here is what I actually said in my first PM in response to the closed thread message:

> **QUOTE**
>
> I noticed that you said the thread is closed for staff review.
>
> I am the Administrator of a SDA forum under the total leadership of Administrators and Forum Moderators who are members of the SDA Church. We are also deeply in discussions on the 3ABN situation there, as is another SDA forum.
>
> I am well aware that this presently closed thread contains posts that I am not happy with in the realm of Christian conduct, however, closing the thread only gives these offenders the victory. I would prefer you ban the offenders, temporarily or permanently, rather than close the thread.
>
> I know from my own experience that it isn't easy to moderate over these type of posts, threads, etc., however, I trust you will do the right thing.

I didn't ask a question, however, what I did say deserved a response, don't you think?

Posted by: mozart May 1 2007, 01:49 PM

> **QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 09:26 AM)** ☐
>
> This is the message I get from far and wide, and this is why I have said so many times, Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy.

that is a fact. i'm sure some ppl go too far in some things that are said. there are people who just don't like other people so they are quick to judge and to believe anything that is said, plus things do get exaggerated depending on who's saying it, but when i doubt any of this, i stop and re-evaluate. not what other people say, but what my instincts have said for some time and i ignored them. several years before the divorce, i might add. the proving point always is what has come from Danny himself as well as those he has in his pocket. i don't believe everything i read, in fact maybe only half, and the rest i have in storage waiting to be convinced with rock solid evidence one way or the other. so, yes, Danny is his worst enemy. it's sad he and his allies blame linda for every single thing. i guess that's all they have. it was clear from the start that they thought if they smeared her while she was under a gag order that they'd have it made in the shade. that is dispicable, immoral behavior. yet, i still care about Danny. i still wish none of this were true. i'm not sure how much we will actually succeed at proving. we do have to remember that we all are guilty of something. so i don't like it when people demonize him. but i dislike it more, when he demonizes the woman he claimed to love for 20+yrs. the woman who stuck by his side to build the 3ABN ministry. the only thing that makes sense to me, is he is so afraid of what linda knows that he can't help himself. i don't think it is jealousy or

anger that drives him against her. i think it's fear. all this bothers me, but that bothers me the most. it makes me afraid for him... nuff said.

let's all band together and pray for linda and nathan. let's not forget to that everyday. i hope she hears from him soon and i hope there are a lot of people close to her to help her get through this. blessings everyone, Mo

> **QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ May 1 2007, 11:06 AM)**
>
> Yes, I am also disappointed in the moderation being done over at CF.
>
> They closed down the 3AVBN Continued (2) topic. As I was the originator of that topic, I received a PM saying it was closed while under staff review. That was several days now. Upon discovery of the closed thread, I replied to the PM, but didn't even receive a courtesy reply, therefore, I PMed another person there today, and am now waiting to see what kind of a reply, if any, I will receive.
>
> Here is what I actually said in my first PM in response to the closed thread message:
> I didn't ask a question, however, what I did say deserved a response, don't you think?

i have dealt with them vigorously the past week so i know how biased they are. they closed that 3abn thread because they did not want it there; plain and simple. i seriously doubt it is under review by anyone there. i'm pretty sure it's been more than a week since they closed it. the bias is obvious. they instantly deleted truthmagnet's rebuttal to rosie55's bogus thread saying it was flaming, but they didn't say anthing to rosie55 about hers. she closed hers at her own request and they still left it up there so all can still read it. eventho' i have pointed out at least a dozen flaming remarks made by her and tomatoe, they ignore that.

i'm giving them a really hard time about it so i'll probably be banned soon. lol

ask me if i care. i honestly don't because they are not a fair and unbiased forum and therefore corrupt. just my opinion.

Posted by: calvin May 1 2007, 02:05 PM

> **QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ May 1 2007, 01:06 PM)**
>
> Yes, I am also disappointed in the moderation being done over at CF.
>
> They closed down the 3AVBN Continued (2) topic. As I was the originator of that topic, I received a PM saying it was closed while under staff review. That was several days now. Upon discovery of the closed thread, I replied to the PM, but didn't even receive a courtesy reply, therefore, I PMed another person there today, and am now waiting to see what kind of a reply, if any, I will receive.
>
> Here is what I actually said in my first PM in response to the closed thread message:
> I didn't ask a question, however, what I did say deserved a response, don't you think?

Well Daryl it would be nice if they sent you a response. But they are not obligated too. I know I don't respond to every PM send me for various reasons....and like the PM you sent to the admin at CF, many of the PMs I get are why did you do something or why don't you do something about this or the other. Often I find it unproductive to enter in a dialogue to explain my actions or what many may consider my inaction. I will only make a commitment to read the PM or email and take it under advisement. So, I would not expect anything more from an admin on another forum.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett May 1 2007, 05:34 PM

I would agree with you Calvin, however, their PM to me actually invited a response from me, therefore,

respond I did.

I guess I am different in that respect though over at MSDAOL, as I do believe in giving a reason for my administrative actions there, which they may not always like, I will add.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe May 1 2007, 07:55 PM

> **QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 1 2007, 09:59 AM)**
>
> I posted about this the other day, but having been here in the past, I can say with certainty that longtime members of BSDA withheld judgment for many months before evaluating the evidence proffered.
>
> And by the way, the most compelling evidence against Danny's character didn't come from Linda. It came from Danny himself. I know he doesn't send it to you, Lord love ya, but he sent it to others.
>
> h

Hello? I heard that!
insert "workin' neck" smilie here

> **QUOTE(Johann @ May 1 2007, 12:26 PM)**
>
> This is the message I get from far and wide, and this is why I have said so many times, **Danny Shelton is Danny Shelton's worst enemy.**

Again....you know?
insert "working neck" smilie here
