

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ 3abn Presents "the Battle ..." Don't Miss It

Posted by: watchbird Aug 13 2006, 10:35 AM


From the program guide on the 3ABN Website, http://www.3abn.org/broadcast_schedule.cfm comes this announcement (times are given in Central Standard Time..... adjust appropriately for your own time zone.

3:00 PM 3ABN Today LIVE - 1st Hour --- The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelley Quin, Walter Thompson

4:00 PM 3ABN Today LIVE - 2st Hour --- The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelley Quin, Walter Thompson

Some interesting things have been reported (and some interesting questions and speculations have been created) about what has been said on this program.

Here is your chance to see for yourself and even to make a video or audio tape and/or a transcription. Don't miss it.



Posted by: September Aug 13 2006, 11:35 AM

I have a huge favor...we don't get 3ABN since it went off Sky Angel and the speakers on my computer are funky--they work when they want to work...would someone mind taping this program for me and I would pay for it? If so, let me know and I'll PM you.

Thanks!

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 13 2006, 11:35 AM) □




From the program guide on the 3ABN Website, http://www.3abn.org/broadcast_schedule.cfm comes this announcement (times are given in Central Standard Time..... adjust appropriately for your own time zone.

3:00 PM 3ABN Today LIVE - 1st Hour --- The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelley Quin, Walter Thompson

4:00 PM 3ABN Today LIVE - 2st Hour --- The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelley Quin, Walter Thompson

Some interesting things have been reported (and some interesting questions and speculations have been created) about what has been said on this program.


Here is your chance to see for yourself and even to make a video or audio tape and/or a transcription. Don't miss it.

Gather round.....  snæ 
 TVsnack.



Posted by: Hersheys99 Aug 13 2006, 11:43 AM

QUOTE

I have a huge favor...we don't get 3ABN since it went off Sky Angel and the speakers on my computer are funky--they work when they want to work...would someone mind taping this program for me and I would pay for it? If so, let me know and I'll PM you.

don't either but if you go to their web site you can listen to it from there. I have it on in the background right now waiting for the program to start. DUH if I read better I would have seen you said your speakers don't always work right.  Think I need to go back to bed! Maybe you'll get lucky today & your speakers will work right!!


Posted by: September Aug 13 2006, 12:10 PM

Okay, I get the stupid award for the day...I think it helps to turn the volume up...the speakers are working!  I probably shouldn't be left alone with myself today .

Posted by: Hersheys99 Aug 13 2006, 12:23 PM

QUOTE

Okay, I get the stupid award for the day...I think it helps to turn the volume up...the speakers are working! doh.gif I probably shouldn't be left alone with myself today

Too funny now I don't feel so alone I'm always doing stupid stuff like that things that make me go 

Posted by: msraccoon Aug 13 2006, 12:30 PM

Thanks so much, watchbird, for posting this topic. I will watch via my only option, the internet.

This website is the only way I hear about special programs.

msraccoon

Posted by: watchbird Aug 13 2006, 05:08 PM

QUOTE(msraccoon @ Aug 13 2006, 12:30 PM) □

Thanks so much, watchbird, for posting this topic. I will watch via my only option, the internet.

This website is the only way I hear about special programs.


msraccoon

You're welcome.


I'll be interested in the thoughts of those who watched it. Though I suppose it may take some time for some of us to collect our thoughts enough to share them.

Posted by: PaperTigers Aug 13 2006, 06:39 PM

against my better judgement i watched it...
thought i was going to be sick...
but made it through...



i think i'm going to go behind the couch until the drama begins.



Posted by: Hersheys99 Aug 13 2006, 07:06 PM


Well IMO it was nothing more than Damage Control. I think its coming at them from all sides & they have to do what they can to get the spotlight off of Danny so lets lay it on those that are Persecuting him! □

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 13 2006, 07:42 PM

I watched a lot of it - till I got a long distance phone call, but read the "transcript" □ and learned a great deal. 1st of all, NOTHING is Danny's fault. It's the "persecutors" fault - all of it. This is all about the poor tragedy of poor Danny - the "chosen" one (their words) and if you don't believe and honor the "chosen one" then adversity will come upon you (upon WHO?).


I learned that even if Brandi writes something out on paper, she is still not aloud to read it aloud - it has to be read for her. I'm sorry to say, if she writes something out that is supposedly meaningful, then she should be encouraged to read it to everyone, otherwise she gives the appearance of a frightened child, and she is on stage after all - and that is another question....WHY is she there. If she is not comfortable being out in the eye of all, then why is she? Does she not have a choice? I get very tired of Danny using her as a....a....well, I can't put my finger on it exactly - it just isn't right, whatever it is. Something is

very wrong here. □

I learned that when you bring out all the big guns to do the fighting for "the chosen" one, the "chosen one" is really the puppeteer pulling strings in front of the world to see. Each one had their own subtlety to add - and some not so subtle. And of course, if you bring out all the "important" people, that is to say indirectly that this is IMPORTANT. Well, duh, you got that right. It's called MILK IT MILK IT MILK IT till you get it into the heads of all the people that you have fooled for so long that "it's all lies - see, how the devil works"....I could continue, but honestly, it makes me sick. And then there is Dr. Thompson, who said nothing more then "yes, ahem, I do what you need" or something to that affect (and that is NO lie) - keeping busy doing what needs to be done - after they discussed how far he came to sit there the whole time - from WI to IL. Whew, long drive! Bless his soul. 

Alright, this is bringing the carnal nature out in me, and I will tuck it back in now, I have already felt sick enough to share a bucket with all my friends today that felt the same as we "endured" heresy going on in front of us.

I honestly pray that this situation, that is being played out before more then just the SDA church, but all angles in heaven and earth, and other worlds I might add, "stage 3" (abn) is going on and all are wondering, how will God's people respond? What will people learn (finally). What will God use out of all this to really save people, bring them to Him (God that is) and teach us? The Lord wastes nothing. He is saddened I am sure - by the whole darn mess (pardon my kosher cursing). But, like Calvin says, and so many times I could use this when it comes to 3abn/Danny Shelton - "It's all been said before". There are countless stories (examples) in the bible that make 3abn look like a re-run (and I am not talking about the 3abn live today show that is going to replay and replay to make sure the brainwashing gets in deep). If we know our bibles, we can spot the evil around us - those stories are lessons for now and time to come. Lets hope that God saves us all through this mess, and that His will is done.

my pennys worth of thoughts... 

p.s. I am sure glad I have bsda in my life now. (although my husband thinks I am addicted...hmm, maybe so. There are healthy addictions, right?? RIGHT???)


Posted by: tall73 Aug 13 2006, 09:01 PM

So is this transcript publicly available?

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 13 2006, 09:13 PM

QUOTE(tall73 @ Aug 13 2006, 08:01 PM)

So is this transcript publicly available?

You'll notice my smiley after I said that? There were several of us watching this together, and for the section I missed while on the phone, I was able to go back through what the people I was watching this with said was being said. Make sense? That was the transcript that I was referring to. I did save that 20 page record of what was said among all of us watching, but I don't think I would consider that the type of transcript you are talking of. Now, if someone was able to tape this program, it would be better to watch it as there is nothing like first hand experience. 

p.s. my guess is it will be on again....

Posted by: PaperTigers Aug 13 2006, 09:34 PM

i'm upset that i missed the part about him taking a "vacation" "absence" whatever it was that was said

Posted by: princessdi Aug 13 2006, 09:48 PM

It was slid in there at the very beginning of the second hour. Not really represented like a vacation, but something akin to the "self imposed" leave that Jimmy Swaggart took to reconsecrate and get in touch with what God wants for he and Brandy. He didn't really push it as a vacation, even though he called it that at least once. I think they really wanted people to think of them as vicitms who could not even minister in peace, and had to get away to escape all of these attacks. Maybe even with a little martyrdom thrown in for distances himself from 3ABN so they will no longer be under attack because of him.

QUOTE(PaperTigers @ Aug 13 2006, 07:34 PM)

i'm upset that i missed the part about him taking a "vacation" "absence" whatever it was that was said

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Aug 13 2006, 09:51 PM

I don't watch 3ABN at all so I can honestly say that I won't be seeing this program.
Did he say how long he would be gone....

Posted by: princessdi Aug 13 2006, 09:52 PM

Didn't mention "going" anywhere. Just trying to calssify the time taken in some way.

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Aug 13 2006, 07:51 PM)

What was it that was said about a vacation. Is he leaving to go somewhere?
I don't watch 3ABN at all so I can honestly say that I won't be seeing this program. Did he say how long he would be gone....

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 13 2006, 09:56 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Aug 13 2006, 08:51 PM)

I don't watch 3ABN at all so I can honestly say that I won't be seeing this program.
Did he say how long he would be gone....

I don't watch it either, only online today as there was a group of us that wanted to hear this for ourselves. That being said, I missed the time frame - my understanding was 3 monthes, but someone else can confirm this

Posted by: princessdi Aug 13 2006, 10:14 PM

Actually what Danny said was, "a few weeks, a month or two". I took that to mean the time is yet un specified. Vacations you plan for, make arrangements, etc.

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Aug 13 2006, 08:56 PM) □

I don't watch it either, only online today as there was a group of us that wanted to hear this for ourselves. That being said, I missed the time frame - my understanding was 3 monthes, but someone else can confirm this .

Posted by: inga Aug 13 2006, 11:59 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Aug 13 2006, 11:14 PM) □

Actually what Danny said was, "a few weeks, a month or two". I took that to mean the time is yet un specified. Vacations you plan for, make arrangements, etc.

That's a good observation, PrincessDi.

Some originally reported "three months."

Can anyone verify that the words "three months" were actually said in the original broadcast? (It's possible that today's version was altered.)

Posted by: Clay Aug 14 2006, 05:17 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Aug 13 2006, 11:59 PM) □

That's a good observation, PrincessDi.

Some originally reported "three months."

Can anyone verify that the words "three months" were actually said in the original broadcast? (It's possible that today's version was altered.)

I watched a tidbit, saw John L.'s sermon if you want to call it that.... IMO John L has become nothing more than a pulpit prostitute and Mr. Shelton would be his ummmmm manager (read pimp). While I am no prophet, I believe they have stopped doing the Lord's work some time ago.... btjm

Posted by: watchbird Aug 14 2006, 05:44 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 14 2006, 05:17 AM) □

I watched a tidbit, saw John L.'s sermon if you want to call it that.... IMO John L has become nothing more than a pulpit prostitute and Mr. Shelton would be his ummmmm manager (read pimp). While I am no prophet, I believe they have stopped doing the Lord's work some time ago.... btjm

'ou shoulda caught Shelly's "tidbits and sermon"..... that's where the applications and implications and just plain heretical doctrines were displayed in all their marvelous technicolor spinning.....

And the beat goes on.....



Posted by: summertime Aug 14 2006, 07:49 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 14 2006, 05:44 AM)

You shoulda caught Shelly's "tidbits and sermon"..... that's where the applications and implications and just plain heretical doctrines were displayed in all their marvelous technicolor spinning.....

And the beat goes on.....



Are we talking about the 'John the Baptist' tidbit and sermon or was there more that could be posted here for those of us who missed it?

Posted by: watchbird Aug 14 2006, 08:15 AM

QUOTE(summertime @ Aug 14 2006, 07:49 AM)

Are we talking about the 'John the Baptist' tidbit and sermon or was there more that could be posted here for those of us who missed it?

Well, John the Baptist **was** the main part of the sermon. What I meant by "tidbit" was the little speech she made when she talked about the "prayer cards".

Having been involved with what Adventists called the "Spiritual Warfare and Deliverance Ministry" at one time, and having researched that down to the aberrant doctrinal underpinnings, I am very sensitized to the false teachings on prayer that have entered our church from the Pentecostals, with Roger Morneau being one of the doorways through which it entered. So the fact that they were using his book as the giveaway book of the evening was red flag enough to me, but then when Shelly started talking about the importance of what she called "Intercessory Prayer" ... I started taking notes.

And sure enough, there was the link to the concept of us "being like Christ in this world" ... including his mediatorial role, which she more than merely alluded to, as she was explaining her initial statement that "our greatest calling is to Intercessory Prayer." And after speaking of Jesus role of a mediator who was "praying for us", she went on to describe in characteristic Pentecostal terms, how God has "put self-imposed handcuffs on himself" so that he does not act except in answer to our prayers, and that "Intercessory Prayer is the 'key' that unlocks these handcuffs". (Phrases in quotes above are direct quotes as I wrote them down in my notes.)

She went on to speak of how when we commune with God, we become one with God ... and given the things that have been said by various ones in this 3ABN Pentecostal group, as well as the immediate context, which unfortunately didn't get written out, this had the distinct impression of going well beyond the becoming one

with God in our motives, actions, etc. and giving a mystical "oneness" that smacks of some of the old gnostic teachings (and is prevalent in some Christian circles even today).

With Shelly being the "heir apparent" to the throne ... at least so far as preachers are concerned ... this seems to me of vital importance to those who are considering who should stay and who should go if we ever do get to the "housecleaning" stage. This is why, if anyone has a sound recording and can make a written transcription, I would appreciate it greatly.

Posted by: västergötland Aug 14 2006, 08:47 AM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 14 2006, 03:15 PM)

Having been involved with what Adventists called the "Spiritual Warfare and Deliverance Ministry" at one time, and having researched that down to the aberrant doctrinal underpinnings, I am very sensitized to the false teachings on prayer that have entered our church from the Pentecostals, **with Roger Morneau being one of the doorways through which it entered. So the fact that they were using his book as the giveaway book of the evening was red flag enough to me**, but then when Shelly started talking about the importance of what she called "Intercessory Prayer" ... I started taking notes.

Could you explain more on this. Why is the kind of prayer Morneau wrote about a red flag?

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 08:57 AM

Now, Watchbird is right about being sensitive to the "pentecostal" influences. She pointed out several things as we were watching. I have no such sensitivity or even that I agree with the premise, and I see a future opportunity for a "spirited" discussion , but I digress. However, I don't think the story of John the Baptist in modern terms was just to get the audiences attention. I know many a speaker who do this quite well. Shelly had an agenda. For those who are aware of that what was missing was the names.....to protect the.....innocent.....or is it the guilty? Danny as John The Baptist? Help us!

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 14 2006, 06:15 AM)

Well, John the Baptist **was** the main part of the sermon. What I meant by "tidbit" was the little speech she made when she talked about the "prayer cards".

Having been involved with what Adventists called the "Spiritual Warfare and Deliverance Ministry" at one time, and having researched that down to the aberrant doctrinal underpinnings, I am very sensitized to the false teachings on prayer that have entered our church from the Pentecostals, with Roger Morneau being one of the doorways through which it entered. So the fact that they were using his book as the giveaway book of the evening was red flag enough to me, but then when Shelly started talking about the importance of what she called "Intercessory Prayer" ... I started taking notes.

And sure enough, there was the link to the concept of us "being like Christ in this world" ... including his mediatorial role, which she more than merely alluded to, as she was explaining her initial statement that

"our greatest calling is to Intercessory Prayer." And after speaking of Jesus role of a mediator who was "praying for us", she went on to describe in characteristic Pentecostal terms, how God has "put self-imposed handcuffs on himself" so that he does not act except in answer to our prayers, and that "Intercessory Prayer is the 'key' that unlocks these handcuffs". (Phrases in quotes above are direct quotes as I wrote them down in my notes.)

She went on to speak of how when we commune with God, we become one with God ... and given the things that have been said by various ones in this 3ABN Pentecostal group, as well as the immediate context, which I unfortunately didn't get written out, this had the distinct impression of going well beyond the becoming one with God in our motives, actions, etc. and giving a mystical "oneness" that smacks of some of the old gnostic teachings (and is prevelant in some Christian circles even today).

With Shelly being the "heir apparent" to the throne ... at least so far as preachers are concerned ... this seems to me of vital importance to those who are considering who should stay and who should go if we ever do get to the "housecleaning" stage. This is why, if anyone has a sound recording and can make a written transcription, I would appreciate it greatly.

Posted by: lurker Aug 14 2006, 09:09 AM

This was posted on the maritime forum in two different posts:

Repeatedly throughout the two hours of 3ABN Today Live, the idea was expressed that we do not need to respond to lies and accusations that people hurl against us. The battle is the Lord's, and we should let Him take care of it.

And yet Shelley had these words to say in the second hour:

Quote:

"As a faithful witness for God, he spoke out against a couple who had entered into a sinful relationship. Now the woman entangled in this situation became offended. She was embittered, and she felt scorned. And you know, there's something about her, that she was unconcerned about her relationship in the eyes of the Lord. Her worry revolved more around the possibility of losing her prominent position. So what did she do? She devised a plan to eliminate this one who had exposed her, and she enlisted the help of her young daughter. Now prompted by her mother, this daughter became entangled in the web of deceit, and she set out to set her mother's position and save it by destroying this man of God. What we see here is that the scheme was to go forward and go straight for the throat and have his head served up on a platter. Does this story sound familiar to you? To whom am I referring? John the Baptist, of course. ..."

"Now her mother's using her as a pawn. ..."

"Now how could such a holy, Spirit-filled man, who was hand-picked by God, and called to such an important ministry, fall victim to such vile persecution? Why would God allow it?" (Shelley Quinn on 3ABN Today Live, 2nd hour, replayed at 4pm CDT, Sunday, August 13, 2006)

What was she really getting at?

So it is quite clear that John's sermon about Moses was all about Danny, since he indicated as such. Thus, we would be overly naive if we didn't also conclude that Shelley's sermon was also about Danny.



That being so, one would naturally conclude that the couple involved in the sinful relationship was Linda Shelton and the Norwegian doctor, and that Danny was the one who condemned that relationship.

Thus we have here Linda being offended because her important position at 3ABN was threatened, and she has been embittered instead of being concerned about her relationship with the Lord.

And now Linda's daughter is involved in the web of deceit as both Linda and she go straight for Danny's throat.

Am I reading too much into it?

Posted by: watchbird Aug 14 2006, 09:17 AM

QUOTE(västergötland @ Aug 14 2006, 08:47 AM) □

Could you explain more on this. Why is the kind of prayer Morneau wrote about a red flag?

That is not exactly what I said....or at least it wasn't what I meant to say. What I meant was that I since I knew the kinds of things that Morneau wrote about prayer and knew that there were many of them with which I disagreed then the fact that they were giving away that book indicated to me (waved a red flag) as to what kinds of things they believed about prayer.

But to discuss all of those would take a lot more time than I have available at the moment. Probably the best I can do for now is to point you to the pages on the SDAnet/At Issue website, one on the topic of <http://sdanet.org/atissue/prayer/index.htm> and the other on the topic called <http://sdanet.org/atissue/prayer/index.htm>, which presents some material, including a couple book recommendations, on the related topics of prayer, the casting out of demons, and the differences between these beliefs and practices as presented in scripture and as held by some Pentecostals.... including some Adventists.

Since the Pentecostal version of all of these concepts, and more, seem to be held in by those directing 3ABN, reading these materials would be good background for understanding some of the sometimes mystifying things we are hearing coming from 3ABN persons ... both Adventist and non-Adventist.

Posted by: justme Aug 14 2006, 09:23 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Aug 14 2006, 11:09 AM) □

This was posted on the maritime forum in two different posts:

Repeatedly throughout the two hours of 3ABN Today Live, the idea was expressed that we do not need to respond to lies and accusations that people hurl against us. The battle is the Lord's, and we should let Him take care of it.

And yet Shelley had these words to say in the second hour:

Quote:

"As a faithful witness for God, he spoke out against a couple who had entered into a sinful relationship. Now the woman entangled in this situation became offended. She was embittered, and she felt scorned. And you know, there's something about her, that she was unconcerned about her relationship in the eyes of the Lord. Her worry revolved more around the possibility of losing her prominent position. So what did she do? She devised a plan to eliminate this one who had exposed her, and she enlisted the help of her young daughter. Now prompted by her mother, this daughter became entangled in the web of deceit, and she set out to set her mother's position and save it by destroying this man of God. What we see here is that the scheme was to go forward and go straight for the throat and have his head served up on a

platter. Does this story sound familiar to you? To whom am I referring? John the Baptist, of course. ..."

"Now her mother's using her as a pawn. ..."

"Now how could such a holy, Spirit-filled man, who was hand-picked by God, and called to such an important ministry, fall victim to such vile persecution? Why would God allow it?" (Shelley Quinn on 3ABN Today Live, 2nd hour, replayed at 4pm CDT, Sunday, August 13, 2006)

What was she really getting at?

So it is quite clear that John's sermon about Moses was all about Danny, since he indicated as such. Thus, we would be overly naive if we didn't also conclude that Shelley's sermon was also about Danny.

:scratchchin:

That being so, one would naturally conclude that the couple involved in the sinful relationship was Linda Shelton and the Norwegian doctor, and that Danny was the one who condemned that relationship.

Thus we have here Linda being offended because her important position at 3ABN was threatened, and she has been embittered instead of being concerned about her relationship with the Lord.

And now Linda's daughter is involved in the web of deceit as both Linda and Alyssa go straight for Danny's throat.

Am I reading too much into it?

As I recall, in the Old Testament there once was a man of God (Samuel?) who had a problem he couldn't solve, so he listened to "Soothe-Sayer", or "Supernatural Resource", a.k.a. "witch". To whom does "this chosen one" listen most for his advice, his praises, his ego needs, .. is it MS, or SQ, or ... who fits the description of the one who gives "The Chosen One" his "supernatural" marching orders?

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 09:24 AM

As Re' was say, You "dead on pernt". See how the story has been twisted ever so slightly in that the actual Herodias wanted John the Baptist dead. Also, there was never any deceit, John told the truth and Herodias wanted him dead for it. Salome did not deceive Herod. Her and her mother merely took advantage of an opportunity. Herod was busy lusting after his step-daughter and asked the girl to dance (maybe this portion of the story instead more closely follows current events, Shelly may have been concentrating on the wrong part of the story) Offering her up to half of his kingdom. Anyway, You got it!!! 1,000 pts for you Lurker!!! Ooops!!! wrong thread!!!!

QUOTE(lurker @ Aug 14 2006, 07:09 AM)

This was posted on the maritime forum in two different posts:

Repeatedly throughout the two hours of 3ABN Today Live, the idea was expressed that we do not need to respond to lies and accusations that people hurl against us. The battle is the Lord's, and we should let Him take care of it.

And yet Shelley had these words to say in the second hour:

Quote:

"As a faithful witness for God, he spoke out against a couple who had entered into a sinful relationship. Now the woman entangled in this situation became offended. She was embittered, and she felt scorned. And you know, there's something about her, that she was unconcerned about her relationship in the eyes of the Lord. Her worry revolved more around the possibility of losing her prominent position. So what did she do? She devised a plan to eliminate this one who had exposed her, and she enlisted the help of her young daughter. Now prompted by her mother, this daughter became entangled in the web of deceit, and

she set out to set her mother's position and save it by destroying this man of God. What we see here is that the scheme was to go forward and go straight for the throat and have his head served up on a platter. Does this story sound familiar to you? To whom am I referring? John the Baptist, of course. ..."

"Now her mother's using her as a pawn. ..."

"Now how could such a holy, Spirit-filled man, who was hand-picked by God, and called to such an important ministry, fall victim to such vile persecution? Why would God allow it?" (Shelley Quinn on 3ABN Today Live, 2nd hour, replayed at 4pm CDT, Sunday, August 13, 2006)

What was she really getting at?

So it is quite clear that John's sermon about Moses was all about Danny, since he indicated as such. Thus, we would be overly naive if we didn't also conclude that Shelley's sermon was also about Danny.



That being so, one would naturally conclude that the couple involved in the sinful relationship was Linda Shelton and the Norwegian doctor, and that Danny was the one who condemned that relationship.


Thus we have here Linda being offended because her important position at 3ABN was threatened, and she has been embittered instead of being concerned about her relationship with the Lord.

And now Linda's daughter Alyssa is involved in the web of deceit as both Linda and Alyssa go straight for Danny's throat.

Am I reading too much into it?

Posted by: watchbird Aug 14 2006, 09:26 AM


QUOTE(princessdi @ Aug 14 2006, 08:57 AM) □

Now, Watchbird is right about being sensitive to the "pentecostal" influences. She pointed out several things as we were watching. I have no such sensitivity or even that I agree with the premise, and I see a future opportunity for a "spirited" discussion , but I disagree. However, I don't think the story of John the Baptist in modern terms was just to get the audience's attention. I know many a speaker who do this quite well. Shelly had an agenda. For those who are aware of that was missing was the names.....to protect the.....innocent.....or is it the guilty? Danny as John The Baptist? Help us!

'es indeed. And this is the main thing we should get from this ...and I think it was exactly what Danny wanted us to get from it.

My additional point is that we can understand much more clearly exactly what she was saying and why she was saying it if we understand her terms in their Pentecostal meanings rather than depending on our Adventist use of the terms. "Anointing" for example, has quite a different meaning in Pentecostal circles than it does in mainstream Adventism. Again, I'll refer you to the urls I gave in my previous post as ones that will help you learn the difference in as brief a time as possible.

Posted by: Denny Aug 14 2006, 09:30 AM

It's a pity in their haste to gag Linda they did not gag themselves 

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 10:18 AM

Ahhhh Gotcha' Watchbird. You are correct in your example. Might this be even an attempt to "attract" more than Adventist, say the TBN crowd, which, BTW, tend to be more "giving". It might make sense, if trouble is a brewin' as it is on the SDA front. Say GC gets it together and starts to air it's own programming on HopeTV and convinces Amazing Facts, etc to do the same.

QUOTE(watchbird @ Aug 14 2006, 08:26 AM)

Yes indeed. And this is the main thing we should get from this ...and I think it was exactly what Danny wanted us to get from it.

My additional point is that we can understand much more clearly exactly what she was saying and why she was saying it if we understand her terms in their Pentecostal meanings rather than depending on our Adventist use of the terms. "Anointing" for example, has quite a different meaning in Pentecostal circles than it does in mainstream Adventism. Again, I'll refer you to the urls I gave in my previous post as ones that will help you learn the difference in as brief a time as possible.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Aug 14 2006, 11:00 AM

QUOTE

Its a pity in their haste to gag Linda they did not gag themselves :thumbdown:

Right on Denny!!

Posted by: calvin Aug 14 2006, 11:16 AM

I hope we are not over analyzing this program, reading more into it than what is there and creating our own feeding frizzy. We seem to be attaching some external motive behind nearly every phrase that was said. Maybe he is tired, wants some rest, spend some quality time with his new wife. As they say, "sometimes a cigar is just a cigar".

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 14 2006, 11:21 AM

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 14 2006, 10:16 AM)

I hope we are not over analyzing this program, reading more into it than what is there and creating our own feeding frizzy. We seem to be attaching some external motive behind nearly every phrase that was said. Maybe he is tired, wants some rest, spend some quality time with his new wife. As they say, "sometimes a cigar is just a cigar".

That IS a possibility Calvin, except for the fact that it just so happens that last week the command was given to "get the mess straightened out down there" or it will be done by someone else (I won't give names). So, I know this has every thing to do with that. It is my gut belief that this is part of their strategy to "fix" the

mess they are in. I just hope that all parties that should now be involved ARE getting in and getting it taken care of.

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 12:59 PM

Yeah I would say that, also, Calvin, but then the story about Herodias and Salome make no sense, and JL's sermon about the ungrateful COI giving Moses a hard time. Also, he really could have just said, "You know when Brandy and I got married, it wasn't a good time for a decent honeymoon or time alone, so we are taking it now", and people would have really understood that. There were too many qualifiers, and he didn't phrase it like a vacation. Like I said it was phrased something akin to Jimmy Swaggart self imposed censure. Spending the time listening to the Lord, etc.

You maybe right, but with their forces gathered, and the sermon's to bolster a discouraged Danny, I think for those to which they meant, clear messages were sent. Men are not usually sensitive to subtleties of this sort. Just as an example: My Mom had a foster daughter, we will call Jane. Jane had a falling out one day with one of other girl cousins in the family, we will call Sally. Now what Jane did to get get back at Sally, was to start talking to the other kids about something that was happening in our family the next day, but that Sally would not be able to attend. As Jane talked she also lead the kids out of the room they were in, into the hallway area. Now she continued this with several topics in which Sally could not take part. The results, Sally came into the living and told her aunt that Jane **and** the other kids didn't like her, which was Jane's planned message for Sally. Sally got Jane's message loud and clear, but to the other kids, they were just talking about all the fun they were going to have and they never even noticed Sally was left out.

Sometimes, it is only meant to look like a cigar to those who were not meant to get the message. There are plenty here for whom that message was meant. Kind of a passive-aggressive thing. The offender appears completely innocent, while dropping emotional bombs the size of Hiroshima.

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 14 2006, 10:16 AM) □

I hope we are not over analyzing this program, reading more into it than what is there and creating our own feeding frizzy. We seem to be attaching some external motive behind nearly every phrase that was said. Maybe he is tired, wants some rest, spend some quality time with his new wife. As they say, "sometimes a cigar is just a cigar".

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 14 2006, 01:07 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Aug 14 2006, 11:59 AM) □

....You maybe right, but with their forces gathered, and the sermon's to bolster a discouraged Danny, I think for those to which they meant, clear messages were sent.....
Sometimes, it is only meant to look like a cigar to those who were not meant to get the message. There are plenty here for whom that message was meant. Kind of a passive-aggressive thing. The offender appears completely innocent, while dropping emotional bombs the size of Hiroshima.

You said it very well Di. Thank you □

Posted by: Clay Aug 14 2006, 01:53 PM

If they wanted to try to compare Danny to someone, they should have used Joseph the husband of Mary...

Remember this (emphasis mine):

QUOTE

Mat 1:18-20 The birth of Jesus Christ took place in this way. His mother Mary had been promised to Joseph in marriage. But before they were married, Mary realized that she was pregnant by the Holy Spirit. (19) **Her husband Joseph was an honorable man and did not want to disgrace her publicly. So he decided to break the marriage agreement with her secretly.** (20) Joseph had this in mind when an angel of the Lord appeared to him in a dream. The angel said to him, "Joseph, descendant of David, don't be afraid to take Mary as your wife. She is pregnant by the Holy Spirit.

Joseph being an honorable man, had JUST cause to call off the marriage because she was pregnant, but he did NOT want to EMBARRASS her so he was going to do it secretly..... while contemplating how he was going to do that, the angel appeared to him in a dream....

Now compare that with what Mr. Shelton did.... While John L is comparing him to Moses, its clear that he cannot even be compared with Joseph, the husband of Mary, earthly father of Jesus... an honorable man..... nuff said....

Posted by: Freedom Aug 14 2006, 07:37 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Aug 14 2006, 11:59 AM)

Yeah I would say that, also, Calvin, but then the story about Herodias and Salome make no sense, and JL's sermon about the ungrateful COI giving Moses a hard time. Also, he really could have just said, "You know when Brandy and I got married, it wasn't a good time for a decent honeymoon or time alone, so we are taking it now", and people would have really understood that. There were too many qualifiers, and he didn't phrase it like a vacation. Like I said it was phrased something akin to Jimmy Swaggart self imposed censure. Spending the time listening to the Lord, etc.

You maybe right, but with their forces gathered, and the sermon's to bolster a discouraged Danny, I think for those to which they meant, clear messages were sent. Men are not usually sensitive to subtleties of this sort. Just as an example: My Mom had a foster daughter, we will call Jane. Jane had a falling out one day with one of other girl cousins in the family, we will call Sally. Now what Jane did to get get back at Sally, was to start talking to the other kids about something that was happening in our family the next day, but that Sally would not be able to attend. As Jane talked she also lead the kids out of the room they were in, into the hallway area. Now she continued this with several topics in which Sally could not take part. The results, Sally came into the living and told ther aunt that Jane **and** the other kids didn't like her, which was Jane's planned message for Sally. Sally got Jane's message loud and clear, but to the other kids, they were just talking about all the fun they were going to have and they never even noticed Sally was left out.

Sometimes, it is only meant to look like a cigar to those who were not meant to get the message. There are plenty here for whom that message was meant. Kind of a passive-aggressive thing. The offender appears competely innocent, while dropping emotional bombs the size of Hiroshima.

Danny did take personal time off in the end of April. They went to Florida. And this wasn't for surgery or recovering from knee surgery.

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 08:00 PM

Ok, but the point is he didn't describe this time off as a vacation, not by activity, and barely by name.

QUOTE(Freedom @ Aug 14 2006, 06:37 PM)

Danny did take personal time off in the end of April. They went to Florida. And this wasn't for surgery or recovering from knee surgery.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Aug 14 2006, 09:01 PM

This reminds me of the time that Linda was taking "some time off."

Posted by: princessdi Aug 14 2006, 09:28 PM

.....flesh and blood did not give this to you.....

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Aug 14 2006, 08:01 PM)

This reminds me of the time that Linda was taking "some time off."

Posted by: Jvat Aug 14 2006, 09:29 PM

Did Linda take some time off?

Posted by: awesumtenor Aug 14 2006, 10:05 PM

QUOTE(Jvat @ Aug 14 2006, 10:29 PM)

Did Linda take some time off?

That was the original story for her absence prior to their firing her, I believe...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: watchbird Aug 15 2006, 02:37 AM

For those who still haven't seen for themselves the broadcast we are talking about here you have another chance this morning, August 15.

Times given are USA Central Standard Time.

8:00 AM 3ABN Today LIVE - 1st Hour The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John

Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelley Quin, Walter Thompson
9:00 AM 3ABN Today LIVE - 2nd Hour The Battle Is The Lord's / Danny Shelton *, John
Lomacang, Mollie Steinson, Moses Primo, Shelly Quin, Walter Thompson

Posted by: summertime Aug 15 2006, 06:45 AM

QUOTE(Freedom @ Aug 14 2006, 07:37 PM)

Danny did take personal time off in the end of April. They went to Florida. And this wasn't for surgery or recovering from knee surgery.

Apparently Brandy came from the State of Florida---was her older child there with her father, and could Brandy have been visiting her parents?. We do not hear much about Brandy's parents. I assume she has a Mother and Father and if they went to Florida maybe they went to visit her family. Also, does the youngest girl have a father who might live in Florida. I assume if she does have a father there she would want to visit him on occasion.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Aug 15 2006, 07:08 AM

It looks like they are repeating that program right now!

Posted by: msraccoon Aug 15 2006, 04:17 PM

Well, I watched this 2 hour whatever Sunday, and it was hard to do.

My history of 3ABN is that I viewed it for years on SkyAngel. I used to TAPE it so I could fast forward thru Danny's incessant, pompous babbling. I always hoped that Linda could shut him up and keep him from hogging the conversation with guests like Doug Batchelor.

Needless to say, I gave up and quit watching long before Linda was booted out. My 6th sense told me something was very wrong with Danny. I had a neighbor come in and notice Danny on TV once. She told me he was crazy and why was I watching.

So this was the first time I have seen Brandi the wallflower. Yes, what is she doing on the set? She is no Linda. I could hardly take her presence, little as it was.

Dr Thompson, so hesitant in his speech, still comes across as Danny's puppet.
Mollie's segment put me to sleep (really).

I have much more on my mind but enough for now.

msraccoon

Posted by: sonshineonme Aug 15 2006, 04:51 PM

QUOTE(msraccoon @ Aug 15 2006, 03:17 PM)

My history of 3ABN is that I viewed it for years on SkyAngel. I used to TAPE it so I could fast forward thru Danny's incessant, pompous babbling. I always hoped that Linda could shut him up and keep him from hogging the conversation with guests like Doug Batchelor.
Needless to say, I gave up and quit watching long before Linda was booted out. My 6th sense

told me something was very wrong with Danny. I had a neighbor come in and notice Danny on TV once. She told me he was crazy and why was I watching.

msraccoon

feel your pain and suffering...what you stated here has always been my feeling too. I am in recovery now as have discovered I have not been the only one....makes me wonder just how many of us there are that have always felt this way...when I told Linda this is how I felt, I felt a bit bad inside, two reasons - not a nice thing to hear about your husband, and the other reason was maybe it was ME? I think not. Actually, I know not. Some gets it, some don't.

Posted by: Johann Aug 16 2006, 05:15 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Aug 16 2006, 12:51 AM)

I feel your pain and suffering...what you stated here has always been my feeling too. I am in recovery now as I have discovered I have not been the only one....makes me wonder just how many of us there are that have always felt this way...when I told Linda this is how I felt, I felt a bit bad inside, two reasons - not a nice thing to hear about your husband, and the other reason was maybe it was ME? I think not. Actually, I know not. Some gets it, some don't.

people, let's not complain too much. We have all reason to rejoice!

Today I talked to an editor I sold a dish to so that he could watch 3ABN - about 3 years ago. He told me how much he'd appreciated the programs then, until Linda disappeared.

Now 3ABN is not worth the time it takes to watch it, he told me, except for a few of the music programs which my wife enjoys. But I am so happy I can get HOPE with the same equipment.

So let's not despair, but rather turn to HOPE! Let everybody know there is HOPE. Therefore we no longer need 3ABN!

Posted by: Lucyladye Aug 16 2006, 05:40 PM

I do not have access to HOPE TV or 3ABN except through Internet...and am thankful I have the choice of HOPE. Some of these people who side with Danny don't know half of what they think they know if they are continuously surprised at what they are learning.

Posted by: Ralph Aug 17 2006, 10:03 PM

QUOTE(Lucyladye @ Aug 16 2006, 04:40 PM)

...Some of these people who side with Danny don't know half of what they think they know if they are continuously surprised at what they are learning.

What does Danny have that I don't see? I could be duped into falling for something that John Lomacang or

Shelly Quinn said -- but Danny? -- I don't think so. And yet he has a lot of seemingly intellignet people who apparently believe his every word. What am I missing? any-

Posted by: Clay Aug 18 2006, 01:01 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Aug 17 2006, 11:03 PM)

What does Danny have that I don't see? I could be duped into falling for something that John Lomacang or Shelly Quinn said -- but Danny? -- I don't think so. And yet he has a lot of seemingly intellignet people who apparently believe his every word. What am I missing? any-

that is our curse as humans.... every since Eve was deceived by that serpent, we humans are quite gullible, easily duped, readily believing that we can get something for nothing, which is why people continue to get conned out of their money...

Posted by: Jvat Aug 18 2006, 09:15 AM

Ralph, apparently Danny is just blessed with the gift of the garb. And some people, lots apparently, may be taken in by that.

Posted by: SandyColorado Aug 18 2006, 12:42 PM

I do want to make a comment about Danny taking a vacation. He mentioned one time several years ago that him and Linda had never had a real vacation. I remember my husband and I thinking that was terrible that they hadn't taken time to get away - just the two of them.

Guess things will be different with Brandy now. She must be worth taking a vacation with...

Posted by: Clay Aug 18 2006, 12:46 PM

QUOTE(SandyColorado @ Aug 18 2006, 01:42 PM)

I do want to make a comment about Danny taking a vacation. He mentioned one time several years ago that him and Linda had never had a real vacation. I remember my husband and I thinking that was terrible that they hadn't taken time to get away - just the two of them.

Guess things will be different with Brandy now. She must be worth taking a vacation with...

yeah.... maybe... if that's what it is.... it could be a war counsel.... I think they said they were at war... or something like that....

Posted by: Chez Aug 18 2006, 09:05 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Aug 17 2006, 11:03 PM)

And yet he has a lot of seemingly intellignet people who apparently believe his every word. What am I missing? any-

So did David Koresh.

Posted by: Ralph Aug 18 2006, 11:38 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 18 2006, 11:46 AM)

yeah.... maybe... if that's what it is.... it could be a war counsel.... I think they said they were at war... or something like that....

You may be right. I'll have to listen to what they said again. But surely you can't fool all the people all of the time. There appear to be some pretty big names backing him. There's gotta be something keeping them loyal. Money? Seeking high position? Fear? Ignorance? -- I want to believe that our church leaders are men of integrity.

QUOTE(Chez @ Aug 18 2006, 08:05 PM)

So did David Koresh.

Chez, that scares me.

Posted by: Clay Aug 18 2006, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Aug 19 2006, 12:38 AM)

You may be right. I'll have to listen to what they said again. But surely you can't fool all the people all of the time. There appear to be some pretty big names backing him. There's gotta be something keeping them loyal. Money? Seeking high position? Fear? Ignorance? -- **I want to believe that our church leaders are men of integrity.**

Chez, that scares me.

wasn't it you that brought up the issue of gullibility? that's all I am saying... the leaders may be men of integrity.... then again they may not be... they may be gullible..... very gullible....

Posted by: BrotherBill Aug 19 2006, 08:55 AM

QUOTE(msraccoon @ Aug 15 2006, 05:17 PM)

My history of 3ABN is that I viewed it for years on SkyAngel. I used to TAPE it so I could fast forward thru Danny's incessant, pompous babbling. I always hoped that Linda could shut him up and keep him from hogging the conversation with guests like Doug Batchelor.

Needless to say, I gave up and quit watching long before Linda was booted out. My 6th sense told me something was very wrong with Danny. I had a neighbor come in and notice Danny on TV once. She told me he was crazy and why was I watching.

msraccoon

could not agree with your post more. First, NO ONE shuts Danny up. He just is a talking machine, and that is so frustrating, particularly when there is a much more powerful Christian witness there like Doug Batchelor who could be doing the talking.

What frustrated me probably more than anything were the campmeetings when you had all these outstanding speakers and Singers available, but then Danny would give the sermon, and his family would do most of the singing. What's with that?

Posted by: summertime Aug 19 2006, 01:22 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 18 2006, 01:01 AM)

that is our curse as humans.... every since Eve was deceived by that serpent, we humans are quite gullible, easily duped, readily believing that we can get something for nothing, which is why people continue to get conned out of their money...

Or we hear him say that he was spoken to by God, and that makes us sit up and take notice. Until now---we know better

Posted by: Johann Sep 12 2006, 04:10 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Aug 14 2006, 09:53 PM)

If they wanted to try to compare Danny to someone, they should have used Joseph the husband of Mary...

Remember this (emphasis mine):

Joseph being an honorable man, had JUST cause to call off the marriage because she was pregnant, but he did NOT want to EMBARRASS her so he was going to do it secretly..... while contemplating how he was going to do that, the angel appeared to him in a dream....

Now compare that with what Mr. Shelton did.... While John L is comparing him to Moses, its clear that he cannot even be compared with Joseph, the husband of Mary, earthly father of Jesus... an honorable man..... nuff said....

reminded the administration of 3ABN of the story of Joseph and appealed to them to treat Linda that way too - right at the beginning. They would not listen, because it seemed like they were forced to follow an agenda - to get rid of Linda at all costs.

here I am referring to a post that is 4 weeks old. Am I disturbing? Seems like I hear the stillness that has


settled over BSDA - the stillness before the STORM!

Posted by: Freedom Sep 12 2006, 05:01 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 12 2006, 02:10 PM)

I reminded the administration of 3ABN of the story of Joseph and appealed to them to treat Linda that way too - right at the beginning. They would not listen, because it seemed like they were forced to follow an agenda - to get rid of Linda at all costs.

Here I am referring to a post that is 4 weeks old. Am I disturbing? Seems like I hear the stillness that has settled over BSDA - the stillness before the STORM!



KARBOOM, CRASH, THUNDER

Roll of thunder hear our cries.....

and in the roar of the storm, etc.

Someone in AUTHORITY in the room shouts, ORDER, ORDER in

Posted by: justme Sep 13 2006, 09:36 PM

According to a satellite system installer. Sky Angel doesn't miss 3ABN in their lineup. According to the satellite dish installer, "When the founder of Sky Angel, Robert, passed away recently, his children took over the administration of the satellite ministry.. Robert's daughter got 'fed up' with Danny Shelton and his attitudes and "pulled the plug" on 3ABN because it no longer fit their requirements as a Christian Satellite Television Ministry."

Apparently there have been so many questions about Sky Angel that the installers have been on the phone with technical assistance people at Sky Angel to solve technical problems. Of the 10 or so channels that "went down" on the Sky Angel satellite, only two or three could not be "restored", one of them being 3ABN.

Whether 3ABN was gone forever from Sky Angel because of technical; problems or because of Danny Shelton's attitudes is of lesser concern. But it should be of enormous interest to 3ABN that the very satellite system and re-broadcaster that put 3ABN onto the world scene in the very first place, has been taken away from them. 3ABN should consider these circumstances in light of the actions taken by the administrative staff at 3ABN. A good, l o n g look!

Remember that Sky Angel partnered with DISH Network to use the DISH Network satellite receivers and technical support from DISH to make it all happen. Now, of all things, Danny has the nerve to ask us to pressure DISH Network to let 3ABN into the regular DISH Network Programming Lineup. I spoke with Art Sahl about Danny's proposal. Art was very "kind" in his response when he said that DISH is in "negations" with Sky Angel about the situation. He did not say that it would depend upon the viewer's requests. 3ABN has been made Sky Angel look bad, so why would DISH want to carry 3ABN when the viewer ship is dropping off, anyway. Why would DISH want 3ABN programming that may eventually cause viewers to drop the premium DISH channels?

Now it is time for 3ABN to look at Danny and his "advisors", who helped him get into this mess. See if they want more of the same.

Few things have disappointed me as much of the years as seeing what 3ABN has done to itself. It is heartbreaking to see the seeds they have been sowing. Now it's time for the harvest, a very bitter harvest indeed.

Posted by: watchbird Oct 4 2006, 07:25 AM

While the latter posts in this thread veered somewhat from the original topic of the thread, since we are currently addressing the information about Alyssa's statement, it seemed a good idea to bump this up to the front page so it will be handier.... both for comment and for reading the history of our previous comments.

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 6 2007, 10:52 PM

This is worth re-reading in light of recent events.

I note with interest several positive comments about Doug Batchelor. I hope they still apply. I have nothing good to say about him until he proves me wrong and cleans house.

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)