

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ AToday NewBreak Article on 3ABN

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 12 2007, 01:49 PM

Just got this in my email - I went to get the link off the web so I could just post it here, but I don't see they have it yet (or I can't find it) listed on the website as it is a "newsbreak" and will be there. So, forgive the long post, if I should do this a different way (Calvin, Clay, Di) let me know. It's worth the read.

**

ADVENTIST NEWS Round up

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN
Virginia church meets to address allegations of sexual misconduct

By Edwin D. Schwisow, for ATNewsbreak (09 February 2007).

Facing multiple allegations of sexual misconduct, Tommy Shelton, production manager at Three Angels Broadcasting Network (3ABN) and brother of 3ABN President Danny Shelton, announced his retirement in a December 31, 2006 broadcast of 3ABN Live. Although not owned or operated by the Seventh-day Adventist Church, many of 3ABN's employees and volunteers are Adventist Church members. In a telephone interview with a representative of Adventist Today, Danny Shelton confirmed that his brother had left the employ of 3ABN for "health reasons."
Virginia Church Allegations

Tommy Shelton's retirement comes just four weeks after the Community Church of God in Dunn Loring, Virginia, held a December 3, 2006, business meeting to address accusations made by several young men that Tommy had molested them while he was pastor of the church. Following the meeting, church leaders read a carefully-worded statement, apprising the congregation of the accusations against Shelton and how they, as parishioners, should best respond. The church leaders prepared the statement under the advisement of their insurance carrier, Brotherhood Mutual, which had become involved after a young man came to the church with allegations that Tommy, during his pastorate, had molested him. The young was a minor when he says was abused. He is the third in recent months to come forward to Community Church of God leaders, accusing Shelton of making inappropriate sexual advances, according to Glenn Dryden, current pastor of the church.

A History of Allegations

This is not the first time Tommy has been accused of inappropriate sexual activity with underage males. During much of the 1980s, Tommy pastored the Ezra Church of God in his hometown of West Frankfort, Illinois. While there, he worked closely with a 12-grade school, whose property bordered the church. During this time, a teenage boy, who was a student at the school, accused Tommy of soliciting him for a sexual relationship. According to Dryden, who pastored the West Frankfort church in the 1990s, three additional boys also made similar allegations, prompting civil authorities to become involved. Following a police investigation, Tommy was not charged with a crime, but the allegations were serious enough to prompt Church of God officials to intervene. In a letter dated October 25, 1985, the General Assembly of the Church of God in Illinois revoked Tommy's ordination. They listed their reasons for doing so as "improper counseling procedures," "numerous charges of misconduct," a criminal investigation into sexual abuse charges by the local police, and controversy surrounding Tommy's ministry and lifestyle. Ultimately Tommy's lack of cooperation in the disciplinary process, Dryden says, led the Church of God in Illinois to drop the Ezra Church of God from its roster of affiliated congregations.

In the early 1990s, further allegations of sexual misconduct were brought against Tommy and in 1991 Tommy resigned as pastor of the Ezra Church of God, citing health concerns.

Allegations at 3ABN

Shortly after Tommy resigned his post as pastor, Danny invited him to join 3ABN as production manager. During his time at 3ABN, a member of Tommy's production staff, who was in his early twenties at the time, says Tommy made a sexual advance toward him. Shortly after what he calls his "uncomfortable situation," the former employee says he confronted Danny Shelton with what had happened. In response, Danny assured the young man that he would no longer have to answer to Tommy and that 3ABN administrators would "work things out."

In late 1992, Elder Bj Christensen, then president of the Illinois Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, approached two members of Danny's family, asking them to make a statement in regard to improprieties they had observed in Tommy's behavior. Elder Christiansen transcribed the statement and brought it to the attention of the 3ABN board, on which he then sat.

At a subsequent 3ABN board meeting, Tommy was terminated as production manager. Shortly thereafter, 3ABN outfitted him with a touring bus and sent him as a 3ABN representative, giving gospel concerts and promoting 3ABN to cable companies throughout the United States.

Connections in Virginia

During the time he was promoting 3ABN, he visited the Community Church of God in Dunn Loring. After learning that Tommy had experience with media ministry, the church offered him a position as a media consultant. Later he was hired as assistant pastor for media ministries. When the church's senior pastor left for missionary service in March 1996, Tommy was named as his replacement.

Further allegations of sexual misconduct surfaced during his tenure in Dunn Loring, and in 2000, members of Tommy's own family confronted him about what they saw as inappropriate activities between him and his adopted son. His family also shared their concerns about his relationship with an underage male from the church.

In the face of the new allegations, Tommy once again announced he was having medical problems in 2002 and resigned as pastor of the Community Church of God, moving with his wife and family to Marion, Kentucky, an hour and a half drive from 3ABN. Later that year, Tommy was hired as 3ABN tape and masters archive librarian, without the formal approval of the 3ABN board of directors, a group that had fired him just 10 years before.

Pastor Contacts 3ABN

In the spring of 2003, Dryden, then pastor of the Ezra Church of God in West Frankfort, penned a letter outlining his concerns about Tommy Shelton. He distributed it to a handful of leaders of Church of God camp meeting associations, whom he knew, in the past, had invited Tommy to speak at their church meetings.

He later sent the letter to Dr. Walter Thompson, 3ABN board chairman, advising him that "at least six boys in our community were sexually abused" by Tommy. He told Thompson that Tommy's ordination was not in good standing with either the Church of God in Illinois or Virginia and offered to give Thompson the phone numbers of the family members of Tommy's purported victims so that 3ABN could follow up on the situation.

Thompson spoke with Dryden and then brought the letter to the attention of Danny Shelton. Danny brushed the letter off as 30-year old news, attributing it to a long-standing feud between Dryden and Tommy. After meeting with Danny, Thompson brought the letter to the full 3ABN board at its June 2003 meeting. The board, due to its belief that the events had happened many years before; Tommy's assurance that he had attempted to make things right; and the fact that no legal action had been taken against Tommy, voted to allow Tommy's relationship with 3ABN to continue.

Further Allegations

In the Spring of 2004, Roger W. Clem, a 32 year-old man who had attended the Ezra Church of God during his teenage years, released a letter he had written to Tommy, accusing him of sexual abuse. In his letter, which he later posted on the Internet, Clem claims Tommy had ruined 15 years of his life. He says that he is sick of Tommy's manipulative behavior, including his claims of illness to divert attention

away from allegations of sexual misconduct.

On June 4, 2004, Linda Shelton signed a Separation Agreement with 3ABN, ending her employment with the ministry. Later that year, Tommy moved into her old office at 3ABN and assumed her role as programming director. During his time at 3ABN he traveled with his brother to 3ABN gatherings around the world, many times playing the piano as Danny sang. He also began playing the piano for the Kids Time show on 3ABN.

Late in 2006, Duane Klem, Roger's brother, shared with close associates that Tommy had molested him, as well. In a statement released in January 2007, Klem accused Tommy of initiating a sexual relationship with him when he was 19, at times using 3ABN buildings for their assignations. Klem says the growing number of allegations against Tommy prompted him to come forward with his claims.

Adventist Investigators

Much of the pressure that Tommy Shelton now faces has come from the efforts of a small, informal network of Seventh-day Adventist individuals. Many in the group categorize themselves as conservative Adventists, a constituency 3ABN has long relied on for key support. For the past five months, this unpaid volunteer group has investigated a number of allegations against Danny Shelton and 3ABN. Some they have found to be true, while others have proved to be false. Doing their work primarily via telephone and e-mail, the group has interviewed dozens of people who have had connections with 3ABN and the Shelton family over the last 20 years.

Two members of the network—Gailon Arthur Joy, a loan officer trainer from Sterling, Massachusetts, and Bob Pickle, a webmaster and Adventist apologist from Halstad, Minnesota—have posted the findings of their investigation on the Internet. Both men espouse belief in a historical, conservative Adventist theology, including the traditional church teachings about 1844 and Ellen G. White, and say that before starting their investigation, they had positive feelings toward 3ABN and its ministry.

"3ABN was an integral part of our home TV viewing for more than a decade. My family members have contributed heavily to 3ABN (financially)," says Joy. Joy spearheaded an effort as late as May 2006 to pass out over 6,000 copies of Danny Shelton's book *Ten Commandments Twice Removed*.

Joy and Pickle say they only began investigating when concerned Adventist friends urged them to help them verify or dismiss rumors of misconduct at the 3ABN. As they conducted their initial investigation, both men became impressed that what was happening behind the scenes at 3ABN didn't mesh with the rosy picture they had seen on their television screens.

In late 2006, Joy, who has experience as a legal clerk, was asked by Linda Shelton to represent her as she negotiated the ground rules for an inquiry by Adventist-laymen's Services and Industries (ASI) into the propriety of her divorce from Danny Shelton. Harold Lance, a retired attorney and former president of the self-supporting organization, Outpost Centers Incorporated, led the inquiry. In early 2007, the ASI-brokered negotiations fell apart early, due in part to the inability of the two parties to reach an understanding on the manner or scope of the inquiry. Lance, who had been asked to head up the inquiry by the ASI Executive Committee in October, summarized the dissolution of the inquiry in an e-mail statement on January 5.

"For approximately three months ASI has deeply considered its' involvement in issues involving 3ABN in three special Executive Committee meetings called for that purpose and multiple other contacts." Lance wrote. "It now appears that it is not appropriate for ASI to be involved."

Joy has registered a website at www.save3abn.com that contains documents and statements from various sources involved in the group's investigation of Tommy Shelton. As of press time, the site had received more than 1,900 hits.

Joy and Pickle have a growing list of e-mail contacts, many in church leadership, to whom they plan to send periodic communication, chronicling what they see as areas in which 3ABN must be held to higher accountability.

Adventist Today offered Dr. Thompson, in his capacity as 3ABN Board Chairman, an opportunity to confirm, disconfirm, or provide additional information concerning the accuracy of the parts of this report

that cover areas about which he had direct, personal knowledge.

In an e-mail to the Executive Editor of Adventist Today, he stated that in view of a conversation that he had had with Danny Shelton, he would "withhold further comment at present." [To comment or inquire about this story, e-mail AToday]

Southern Illinois Hometown City Leaders Laud Danny Shelton's Support

By Edwin D. Schwisow, for ATNewsbreak (09 February 2007).

Danny Shelton, 3ABN president, is very willing to lend a helping hand financially to the civic needs of his boyhood town of West Frankfort, Illinois, say several prominent people there. "If our city had a meaningful project we needed to have a joint relationship financially with Three Angels, I anticipate that wouldn't be a problem," says Larry Warren, mayor of West Frankfort.

Warren goes on to describe how Shelton used 3ABN to promote the Roan Center, a home for abused women and children. On a November 24, 2006, broadcast of 3ABN Today, Shelton interviewed Sara Bond, one of the Center's founders about mission of the organization and asked his viewers to send in donations to help Bond accomplish her goals. Shelton also pledged \$5,000 from 3ABN to help Bond with her project. Bond recently thanked 3ABN in an article published in the February 1 edition of the West Frankfort Press American. In the article, she said that the broadcast had raised \$4,490 so far and that she continued to receive consistent donations from 3ABN viewers. "We have had donations from Oregon, California, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, Tennessee, Arizona," Bond said in the article.


Franklin Community High School (FCHS) in West Frankfort has received thousands of dollars from Shelton as well according to Richard Glodich, athletic director at the school. "Danny Shelton and 3ABN are an asset to our school. There's no doubt about it," says Glodich. "Any time we're in need of help, Mr. Shelton is always willing to help." Glodich estimates 3ABN has donated \$30,000 to the athletic department of FCHS alone during the 10 years he's been athletic director. He says that Shelton donated several thousand dollars to the school to help modernize their weight room and donated money towards athletic fields at the local junior high school. 3ABN donated close to \$10,000 in prizes for the school's Red Ribbon Week, Glodich says. He says they brought cameramen out to videotape the event so it could be publicized locally.

According to Glodich, it was a donation by 3ABN and Shelton that was the catalyst for a peer-mentoring program to begin on campus. Dubbed Alpha groups, the program enables juniors and seniors at the school to spend an hour each Thursday visiting with students in the freshman and sophomore classes, building friendships and urging them to stay clear of alcohol and drugs.

Danny Shelton is also a regular at FCHS Cardinal athletic events, especially men's' varsity basketball games Glodich says. "Oh yeah, we see him all the time, probably at least 10 times a year, he's here for games."

For many in West Frankfort, Danny Shelton is a man to admire. His philanthropy and personal "rags to riches" story of establishing 3ABN has endeared him to many admirers in the town his hometown. Interestingly many in the small city of Thompsonville have very different sentiments. Their viewpoints will be explored in an upcoming edition of Adventist Today.

Posted by: Clay Feb 12 2007, 01:54 PM

so I guess now they are going to sue AToday? 

Posted by: erik Feb 12 2007, 02:04 PM

[Looks like the church is starting to move to provide some leadership on this topic.

Praise the lord.

erik

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 12 2007, 11:54 AM)

so I guess now they are going to sue AToday?

I was wondering the same thing

Posted by: Grace Feb 12 2007, 02:13 PM

I'm glad to see leaders of our church waking up!

Posted by: Observer Feb 12 2007, 02:15 PM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 12 2007, 01:13 PM)

I'm glad to see leaders of our church waking up!

Where?

The citation came from the Adventist Today website. AT is independent. It is not comprised of SDA leaders. They are independent, just like us!

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 12 2007, 02:25 PM

Gregory,

I would think there would be one difference.

The group they refer to, I am going to assume, would be Gailon A. Joy, Bob Pickle, and yourself. They identify you as "conservative" in your theological leanings. They also identify 3ABN viewership and financial supports as being largely "conservative."

On the other hand, isn't AT considered to be rather liberal in their theology and editorial direction? Don't most church leaders view them as being left of the old Spectrum publication?

- FHB

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 12 2007, 04:15 PM)

Where?

The citation came from the Adventist Today website. AT is independent. It is not comprised of SDA leaders. They are independent, just like us!

Posted by: erik Feb 12 2007, 02:28 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 12 2007, 12:15 PM)

Where?

The citation came from the Adventist Today website. AT is independent. It is not comprised of SDA leaders. They are independent, just like us!

Well thank you for that information, maybe the leadership will still act while there is time to act.

Erik

Posted by: Clay Feb 12 2007, 02:37 PM

QUOTE

Franklin Community High School (FCHS) in West Frankfort has received thousands of dollars from Shelton as well according to Richard Glodich, athletic director at the school. "Danny Shelton and 3ABN are an asset to our school. There's no doubt about it," says Glodich. "Any time we're in need of help, Mr. Shelton is always willing to help." Glodich estimates 3ABN has donated \$30,000 to the athletic department of FCHS alone during the 10 years he's been athletic director. He says that Shelton donated several thousand dollars to the school to help modernize their weight room and donated money towards athletic fields at the local junior high school. 3ABN donated close to \$10,000 in prizes for the school's Red Ribbon Week, Glodich says. He says they brought cameramen out to videotape the event so it could be publicized locally.

so 3abn has enough money that they can give it away.... interesting... to the public school system no less....



Posted by: lurker Feb 12 2007, 03:11 PM

That was my first thought too. The **widow's mite** going for atheletic equipment and to provide good p.r. for Danny Shelton and for 3ABN! I know he is a sports fan but that is not what people think ABN is going to with their offerings, some even send tithe money and it goes for this? And they know some of the money they get is tithe money. I think it is a very fair article though and better to come out there than evening news.

Posted by: Grace Feb 12 2007, 03:17 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 12 2007, 09:15 PM)

Where?

The citation came from the Adventist Today website. AT is independent. It is not comprised of SDA leaders. They are independent, just like us!

Oh! I didn't know. So the waking up has not happened yet. But it has to come. And I'm glad for the article.

Posted by: Observer Feb 12 2007, 03:23 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 12 2007, 01:25 PM)

Gregory,

I would think there would be one difference.

The group they refer to, I am going to assume, would be Gailon A. Joy, Bob Pickle, and yourself. They identify you as "conservative" in your theological leanings. They also identify 3ABN viewership and financial supports as being largely "conservative."

On the other hand, isn't AT considered to be rather liberal in their theology and editorial direction? Don't most church leaders view them as being left of the old Spectrum publication?

- FHB

consider myself to be a middle of the road SDA. Some of my views may seem to be conservative, and some may seem to be liberal. But, I think I am a moderate.

In my opinion, many people consider Adventist Today and Spectrum to be liberal. I do not think that they consider AT to be "left" of Spectrum.

Over a period of time both, in my opinion, Adventist Today, and Spectrum have taken a complementary role that is not in conflict with each other. AT focuses more on current news. Spectrum focuses more on a scholarly discussion of issues within Adventism.

NOTE: I subscribe to AT and Spectrum. I have subscribed for years to Perspective Digest, and am now subscribing to the Journal of the Adventist Theological Society. If you recognize those, you know that they go from conservative to liberal. But, again, I consider myself to be a moderate.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 12 2007, 05:09 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 12 2007, 12:54 PM)

so I guess now they are going to sue AToday?

Or at least they may receive the warning letter from the Minnesota Fourteen.

Posted by: gardener Feb 12 2007, 05:44 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 12 2007, 03:37 PM)

so 3abn has enough money that they can give it away.... interesting... to the public school system no less....

I for one am not happy to hear this. I remember my mother before she died a couple years ago would send 3ABN a good portion of her measly monthly check from the government. Truly a "Widows Mite". Now to find out where it may have been going makes me sick to my stomach. I am glad she is not alive to hear all this. I quit giving soon after Linda was ousted.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 12 2007, 09:25 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 12 2007, 02:54 PM)

so I guess now they are going to sue AToday?

They were threatened even before they came out with the article. You can be sure that they have fully documented everything they have printed.

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 12 2007, 03:25 PM)

Gregory,

I would think there would be one difference.

The group they refer to, I am going to assume, would be Gailon A. Joy, Bob Pickle, and yourself. They identify you as "conservative" in your theological leanings. They also identify 3ABN viewership and financial supports as being largely "conservative."

On the other hand, isn't AT considered to be rather liberal in their theology and editorial direction? Don't most church leaders view them as being left of the old Spectrum publication?

- FHB

Conservative or liberal has next to nothing to do with questions of morals and fiscal responsibility ... and honesty. Conservatives and liberals may disagree on such things as what happened in 1844... but they stand shoulder to shoulder when it comes to basic integrity, decency, honesty, fairness, and handling funds in a manner that is congruent with their mission and publicly stated purposes for their gathering of funds.

Posted by: justme Feb 12 2007, 11:47 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 12 2007, 11:25 PM)

They were threatened even before they came out with the article. You can be sure that they have fully documented everything they have printed.

Conservative or liberal has next to nothing to do with questions of morals and fiscal responsibility ... and honesty. Conservatives and liberals may disagree on such things as what happened in 1844... but they

stand shoulder to shoulder when it comes to basic integrity, decency, honesty, fairness, and handling funds in a manner that is congruent with their mission and publicly stated purposes for their gathering of funds.

WIDOW'S' Mites" indeed! Truly sickening to think of how they are used. It has been long known that Danny can get by with anything in that county, because he has the sheriff just where he wants him.

About the donations: Anyone who has been to a 3ABN rally and who has watched Danny has seen him be approached by individuals who hand Danny an envelope. Danny usually asks, "Do you need a receipt for this?" and if the answer is "NO" it goes into Danny's pants pocket. If the answer is "Yes, please, .. thank you". He refers them to someone who will make out a receipt. 3ABN has been saying that the "Receipts are more than ever now that Linda is gone" because of the need to write more receipts to keep records looking better now that so many eyes are asking to look. Maybe the dollar amount is getting smaller but the number of recorded contributions is going up.

I know of no one who was giving to 3ABN and is still giving. I know of many who have moved their contributions to "The HOPE Channel" instead.

Danny has been ultra-upset at both Adventist Today and BSDA for what is reported about 3ABN. Danny has openly attacked AT on his platforms across the country.

Liberal? / conservative? Which would apply to Jesus during His ministry. (Please, Don't get into it here but think about that He was accused of both, it depends on whether they were Pharisees or Gentiles.)

AT is composed largely of students and other in Southern California according to one conversation I had with them. They answer to no one, but try to offer a view that you probably won't find anywhere else. And yes they are fussy about their sources. That's probably why it has taken so long to hear about 3ABN again since the firing of Linda.

Keep on asking questions? lots of them? Let Danny and Mollie and Hal know that they are under the microscope. It still looks like Danny is the "Buffoon" along the way. It would appear that Hal and Mollie have been pushing the buttons and Danny sings the tunes. Danny actually believes what Hal and Mollie and Shellie and Kuzma tell him, "You're the chosen one". Chosen to be the "fall guy" for all the rest of the stuff. Danny actually thought he could cover up for Tommy by putting him "on the road for 3ABN" and then hiring and rehiring Tommy behind everybody's back. It's tempting to be "loyal" to family, but the family is unhappy with Tommy as well as with Danny.

Run, Brandy, run!"(Too late).


Danny believes that Christians are not supposed to sue each other, until it's someone HE wants to sue. Think of how much discovery and documentation would come out of the woodwork in these lawsuits. Support would drop like a rock if the public saw this in the open.

The church leaders, as did ASI, will take a step back from this. They will neither support nor condemn 3ABN. They will, however side against child abuse as an issue, but they will steer clear from condemning Danny and 3ABN. I dare say the church leaders know all that we know and more. They, too have their "snoops" and ricks.

Let's remember that every one of these individuals in question is a soul that Jesus died for and they need our prayers. They need to listen to God's spirit and humble their hearts and repent.

Pray without ceasing, OK!?


Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 13 2007, 07:07 AM



Has anyone been able to load www.atoday.com?

Posted by: Observer Feb 13 2007, 09:04 AM

QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ Feb 13 2007, 06:07 AM)




Has anyone been able to load www.atoday.com?


It is down.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 13 2007, 10:28 AM

QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ Feb 13 2007, 08:07 AM)



Has anyone been able to load www.atoday.com?

Too many hits overloading their bandwidth? 

nw

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 13 2007, 11:56 AM

It's back up now.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: watchbird Feb 16 2007, 01:59 PM

There was a time lag of several days before the article that was sent out to those who had signed up for Adventist Today email news letters was posted to the website. The "NewsBreak" article has been posted now, and may be accessed there as well as read in the first post of this thread.

Headlines are:

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN
Virginia church meets to address allegations of sexual misconduct

By Edwin D. Schwisow, for ATNewsbreak (09 February 2007).

<http://www.atoday.com/email/2007/02/12/>

Since this is a much more concisely written account than those which have appeared in our forum, or which have been posted on the Save3ABN.com website, this would be a very good article to use for sharing... either by giving out the url, or by printing and sharing hard copy.

Posted by: Grace Feb 16 2007, 02:04 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Feb 16 2007, 08:59 PM)

There was a time lag of several days before the article that was sent out to those who had signed up for Adventist Today email news letters was posted to the website. The "NewsBreak" article has been posted now, and may be accessed there as well as read in the first post of this thread.

Headlines are:

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN
Virginia church meets to address allegations of sexual misconduct

By Edwin D. Schwisow, for ATNewsbreak (09 February 2007).

<http://www.atoday.com/email/2007/02/12/>

Since this is a much more concisely written account than those which have appeared in our forum, or which have been posted on the Save3ABN.com website, this would be a very good article to use for sharing... either by giving out the url, or by printing and sharing hard copy.

The link works perfectly. Thank you, WB!

Happy Sabbath everybody!

Grace

Posted by: no_cults Feb 19 2007, 11:18 PM

QUOTE(gardener @ Feb 12 2007, 06:44 PM)

I for one am not happy to hear this. I remember my mother before she died a couple years ago would send 3ABN a good portion of her measly monthly check from the government. Truly a "Widows Mite". Now to find out where it may have been going makes me sick to my stomach. I am glad she is not alive to hear all this. I quit giving soon after Linda was ousted.

Well, my mom gave pretty nearly all of her savings (via irrevokable trust) because she wanted to "help spread the word". When I say "all".... I would say 98% of what she had accumulated after working at Brandoms until she was 72 yrs old. Of course, if she had consulted any of her children, or her SDA pastor son-in-law, they would have advised against this. Now, we are all wondering what will happen to the annuity cks should 3abn fold or change ownership.

Money for athletic equipment and money for horses is not what she had in mind, I am sure.

Posted by: ida Feb 20 2007, 02:28 AM

QUOTE(no_cults @ Feb 20 2007, 05:18 AM)

Well, my mom gave pretty nearly all of her savings (via irrevokable trust) because she wanted to "help spread the word". When I say "all".... I would say 98% of what she had accumulated after working at Brandoms until she was 72 yrs old. Of course, if she had consulted any of her children, or her SDA pastor son-in-law, they would have advised against this. Now, we are all wondering what will happen to the annuity cks should 3abn fold or change ownership.

Money for athletic equipment and money for horses is not what she had in mind, I am sure.

She must have been honest in her desire to spread the gospel. But can we call it honesty to use the funds for a public high school athletic equipment? How can we help others understand it may be better supporting our own church?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 10:35 AM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 20 2007, 01:28 AM)

She must have been honest in her desire to spread the gospel. But can we call it honesty to use the funds for a public high school athletic equipment? How can we help others understand it may be better supporting our own church?

ida,
Danny Shelton said, on the Behind the Scenes program aired Thursday, February 15, 2007 and as transcribed by Ralph:

QUOTE

Danny For crying out loud what are we supposed to do as Christians? Right? That is what we are supposed to do. Help those who can't help themselves, but they want to put a twist on things so it looks like....people will say, well I'm sending money for the three angels messages but they are giving it to local sports or athletes or what have you. **Our board members alone have given tremendous amounts of money. At one time one board member gave \$60,000 for me to distribute in the community to help these causes.** And they are all non-profit causes so everything is legal that we did but see they don't look at the other side.

You can see the rest of what Ralph has transcribed of the second hour here:
<http://mypage.direct.ca/r/rseland/private/second.html>

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 12:34 PM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 20 2007, 02:28 AM)

She must have been honest in her desire to spread the gospel. But can we call it honesty to use the funds for a public high school athletic equipment? How can we help others understand it may be better supporting our own church?

The giving to the community was explained on the live. Maybe I can make it clearer. Because there is many astute business people on the 3abn board, they believe it is very important to have community support. For this reason certain ones that can afford to have given donations specifically earmarked for community projects. These donations are in addition to, what they give to support 3abn. Ida, if you are seeking truth with an open mind, you will find that most talk about finances are always twisted to insinuate that the hard earned money that someone gives is going to pay for all sorts of things it shouldn't. Not true. The accountability factor is higher than usual for a non profit organization. There are 7 financial workers, accountants, a chief financial officer with a very impressive resume, there are outside auditors and the 3abn board goes over the financial statements at every meeting. This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin on it with no facts to back it up. Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 20 2007, 12:45 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 02:34 PM)


This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin on it with no facts to back it up. Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

...and may I ask who do you get your information from?

Who do you get your "handy tidbits" from? Danny? Tommy?

Same boat.

Pot. Kettle. Mad Black.



Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 12:47 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 10:34 AM)

The giving to the community was explained on the live. Maybe I can make it clearer. Because there is many astute business people on the 3abn board, they believe it is very important to have community support. For this reason certain ones that can afford to have given donations specifically earmarked for community projects. These donations are in addition to, what they give to support 3abn. Ida, if you are seeking truth with an open mind, you will find that most talk about finances are always twisted to insinuate that the hard earned money that someone gives is going to pay for all sorts of things it shouldn't. Not true. The accountability factor is higher than usual for a non profit organization. There are 7 financial workers, accountants, a chief financial officer with a very impressive resume, there are outside auditors and the 3abn board goes over the financial statements at every meeting.

This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin on it with no facts to back it up. Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

Bystander,

Thank you for making it clear that no gifts when to the sports equipment.

Secondly, If Linda is the source of everything are you saying that she has written the letters the accusers of Tommy have written? or in some way cause that whole mess to come out?

Just wondering?

Erik

Posted by: Bystander Feb 20 2007, 02:23 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 20 2007, 12:47 PM) □

Bystander,

Thank you for making it clear that no gifts when to the sports equipment.

Secondly, If Linda is the source of everything are you saying that she has written the letters the accusers of Tommy have written? or in some way cause that whole mess to come out?

Just wondering?

Erik

Erik, thanks for understanding my post without trying to tear it apart.

My opinion on the other since you ask....Of course she didn't write the letters. Do I believe she was responsible in some way? I believe she is. Who would have given Glenn Drydens name to Gailon Joy or Bob Pickle. Everything snowballed from there. guilt or innocence of TS is a moot point here. All that was needed was a name dropped to start a circus. Dryden's name is not known in Adventist circles. Add it up.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 02:47 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 11:34 AM) □

The giving to the community was explained on the live. Maybe I can make it clearer. SNIP..

Bystander,

I just wanted to point out that in my answer to ida's concern about her mother's money and the sports donations, I quoted Danny Shelton's explanation about the donations specified for the community from the live program. I also provided her with a link to the transcription of the 2nd hour so she could see the full quote for herself.

Posted by: IMM Feb 20 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 12:34 PM) □

The giving to the community was explained on the live. Maybe I can make it clearer. Because there is many astute business people on the 3abn board, they believe it is very important to have community support. For this reason certain ones that can afford to have given donations specifically earmarked for community projects. These donations are in addition to, what they give to support 3abn. Ida, if you are seeking truth with an open mind, you will find that most talk about finances are always twisted to insinuate that the hard earned money that someone gives is going to pay for all sorts of things it shouldn't. Not true. The accountability factor is higher than usual for a non profit organization. There are 7 financial workers, accountants, a chief financial officer with a very impressive resume, there are outside auditors and **the 3abn board goes over the financial statements at every meeting. This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin on it with no facts to back it up.** Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

Uhhh, BS, I'm sure the 3ABN Board wouldn't like to hear you talking about them that way. You've been so loyal up to now... □

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 20 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 01:23 PM) □

Erik, thanks for understanding my post without trying to tear it apart. My opinion on the other since you ask...Of course she didn't write the letters. Do I believe she was responsible in some way? I believe she is. Who would have given Glenn Dryden's name to Gailon Joy or Bob Pickle. Everything snowballed from there. **guilt or innocence of TS is a moot point here.** All that was needed was a name dropped to start a circus. Dryden's name is not known in Adventist circles. Add it up.

No, it is not a moot point here. TS is still responsible for TS's own behavior and, if DS attempted to keep that behavior secret by intimidation, cover-up or obfuscation DS is responsible as well.

Pastoral sexual assault + pastoral sexual assault = pastoral sexual assault

Posted by: erik Feb 20 2007, 03:28 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 12:23 PM) □

Erik, thanks for understanding my post without trying to tear it apart.
My opinion on the other since you ask....Of course she didn't write the letters. Do I believe she was responsible in some way? I believe she is. Who would have given Glenn Drydens name to Gailon Joy or Bob Pickle. Everything snowballed from there. guilt or innocence of TS is a moot point here. All that was needed was a name dropped to start a circus. Dryden's name is not known in Adventist circles. Add it up.

Bystander,

I believe that pickle said he got the name from a church leader, so not sure how that can be Linda's doing.

Secondly did not Mr. Dryden write to the 3abn board president about Tommy, and it greatly appears that that Issue was not checked out correctly at that time, based on the facts that have come forward.

Also am i understand you correctly that you linda(if she is the only source of Dryden name) should have said nothing and let a pastor that preys on young men have plenty of face time on global TV, I personal have a great problem with that idea.

Tommy is not a moot point in this whole thing, if nothing else it shows a HUGE, HUGE double standard at 3abn, that being female sexual sin is punished, and male sexual sin is not.

Try and find a text in the bible that backs that one up.

I fully Agree that Linda "sins" that are said to have been why she was released from 3abn, Are in act totally different then the "sins" of tommy. but that does not make Tommy's actions moot.

I would have thought you could see that.

Erik

Posted by: ida Feb 20 2007, 05:21 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 06:34 PM) □

Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

You have to pardon me, Bystander, but I am not a child, so I have no way of seeing the discrepancies that you see. I see a much greater discrepancy in what you are saying about the source of information. But I was not born in Southern Illinois, so the gift of seeing things the way you see was not given to me at birth. And I see a lot more discrepancies in many of your other posts. I wish you would write and explain things so that I can understand it - that would make this all so much easier.

You remind me of a chemistry teacher I had in an adult class, who assured us that anyone with common sense would clearly see what he was explaining to us. In reality not a single student in that class had any idea what he was talking about. You, Bystander, formulate your thoughts and ideas in a similar vein. Use honest and plain language and you might be able to clear up all of this mess within a short time.

Posted by: Johann Feb 25 2007, 03:32 PM

QUOTE(ida @ Feb 21 2007, 01:21 AM)

You have to pardon me, Bystander, but I am not a child, so I have no way of seeing the discrepancies that you see. I see a much greater discrepancy in what you are saying about the source of information. But I was not born in Southern Illinois, so the gift of seeing things the way you see was not given to me at birth. And I see a lot more discrepancies in many of your other posts. I wish you would write and explain things so that I can understand it - that would make this all so much easier.

You remind me of a chemistry teacher I had in an adult class, who assured us that anyone with common sense would clearly see what he was explaining to us. In reality not a single student in that class had any idea what he was talking about. You, Bystander, formulate your thoughts and ideas in a similar vein. Use honest and plain language and you might be able to clear up all of this mess within a short time.

What happened to Bystander? Did he get whisked away?

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 25 2007, 06:52 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 25 2007, 01:32 PM)

What happened to Bystander? Did he get whisked away?

Probably a changing of the guard.. or
Reloading of crapola to spew and spin...or
you get the idea...

I'm sure that wwd/bystander sure wish they had gagged Linda for many more years...they seem awful worried about what she might say given the chance. If they could, they would put tape over her mouth, bribe and convince all her "friends" to go away, because she shouldn't have any friends, and cut off all the "leaders" in the church from her fully to keep her pinned down and out of the public eye all together. Ever wonder WHY???? But, they can't do that, so they come here and work their evil from here. Can you spell t r a n s p a r a n c y??? As from the beginning, they seek to crush her to keep the attention off THEM. Yep...NOT WORKING. Didn't work then, not working now (especially).

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 25 2007, 07:08 PM

Maybe he's off on the jet somewhere. More likely the Dannynites and Dannyscribes finally figured out what some of us have been saying, that they are doing more harm than good by defending themselves here.

Richard

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 25 2007, 04:32 PM)

What happened to Bystander? Did he get whisked away?

Posted by: Johann Feb 25 2007, 09:49 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 08:34 PM)

This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin on it with no facts to back it up. Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

This statement entitles you to use the title ***Byslander***.

I have a lot more information from Mr. Danny Shelton than from Linda. In conformity with her gag order Linda has rather restrained me. My statements here are based on what I experienced right from the beginning.

If the Dannyscribes think they gain more credibility by blaming Linda for being behind all the information given, they missed the boat and saddled the wrong horse in their escapades.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 12:02 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 25 2007, 09:49 PM)

This statement entitles you to use the title ***Byslander***.

I have a lot more information from Mr. Danny Shelton than from Linda. In conformity with her gag order Linda has rather restrained me. My statements here are based on what I experienced right from the beginning.

Restrained you from what if she isn't telling you anything. You have stated that you and your first wife loved her as a daughter. You have said the same for your second wife. since when does a "daughter" not tell all to her surrogate parents, if, she loves and trusts them in return.

Posted by: Lee Feb 26 2007, 12:11 AM

Exactly right Bystander! I'd like to hear Pastor Johann's answer.

Posted by: Johann Feb 26 2007, 01:04 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 08:02 AM)

Restrained you from what if she isn't telling you anything. You have stated that you and your first wife loved her as a daughter. You have said the same for your second wife. since when does a "daughter" not tell all to her surrogate parents, if, she loves and trusts them in return.

You have noticed here on this net that several people, who were in doubt, were convinced because of the information given by Danny Shelton and his scribes.

I my former reply to you I stated that Danny Shelton was also my main source. If it had not been for him I would never have suspected what happened. He made too many blunders to be trustworthy. It was not worthy of a man in charge of such a great ministry.

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 08:11 AM)

Exactly right Bystander! I'd like to hear Pastor Johann's answer.

I trust that you have digested my reply!

Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 26 2007, 01:43 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 12 2007, 02:49 PM)

ADVENTIST NEWS Round up

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN

...

Allegations at 3ABN

Shortly after Tommy resigned his post as pastor, Danny invited him to join 3ABN as production manager. During his time at 3ABN, **a member of Tommy's production staff, who was in his early twenties at the time, says Tommy made a sexual advance toward him.** Shortly after what he calls his "uncomfortable situation," the former employee says he confronted Danny Shelton with what had happened. In response, Danny assured the young man that he would no longer have to answer to Tommy and that 3ABN administrators would "work things out."

In late 1992, Elder Bj Christensen, then president of the Illinois Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, **approached two members of Danny's family, asking them to make a statement in regard to improprieties they had observed in Tommy's behavior.** Elder Christiansen transcribed the statement and brought it to the attention of the 3ABN board, on which he then sat.

At a subsequent 3ABN board meeting, **Tommy was terminated as production manager.** Shortly thereafter, **3ABN outfitted him with a touring bus and sent him as a 3ABN representative, giving gospel concerts and promoting 3ABN** to cable companies throughout the United States.

...
Connections in Virginia

...
Further allegations of sexual misconduct surfaced during his tenure in Dunn Loring, and in 2000, **members of Tommy's own family confronted him** about what they saw as inappropriate activities between him and his adopted son. His family also shared their concerns about his relationship with an underage male from the church.

In the face of the new allegations, Tommy once again announced he was having medical problems ... Later that year, Tommy was hired as 3ABN tape and masters archive librarian, **without the formal approval of the 3ABN board of directors, a group that had fired him just 10 years before.**

...
fired by 3ABN in the early 1990's?

Confronted by his own family?

Before this article was published, had anyone heard about the two items above? I do not remember reading about this on this site or the save3abn site. Did I miss something?

Posted by: Johann Feb 26 2007, 04:13 AM


QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ Feb 26 2007, 09:43 AM)

Fired by 3ABN in the early 1990's?

Confronted by his own family?

Before this article was published, had anyone heard about the two items above? I do not remember reading about this on this site or the save3abn site. Did I miss something?

This is getting the attention of the media and various nets, bloggs, etc.,



Posted by: Johann Feb 26 2007, 04:53 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 08:02 AM)

Restrained you from what if she isn't telling you anything. You have stated that you and your first wife loved her as a daughter. You have said the same for your second wife. since when does a "daughter" not tell all to her surrogate parents, if, she loves and trusts them in return.

Perhaps you have never experienced a decent love relationship? It can be much more than that. What especially endeared Linda to Irmgard was when she witnessed the cruelty and lies that Danny Shelton and his scribes served on Linda to the whole world.

And when my present wife reads all of your cruel statements and sees the disdain with which you treat the co-founder of 3ABN, she feels that Linda needs our love and protection. It appears to her that this great

institution, 3ABN, no longer is ruled by Heavenly Agents, but by people overtaken by cruelty, who use sacrilegious methods in an attempt to appear genuine. You can judge by yourself, but this is how the picture painted by you appears in these quarters, and not only by us, but by a growing number of Church leaders I have associated with during the 50 years I served the Seventh-day Adventist Church as a church school teacher, pastor, Bible teacher, director of lay activities, Sabbath School, youth and pathfinder, stewardship, health and temperance, literature, and longest of all as Director of Media and Communications, in about seven various conferences and unions in about that many different countries in three hemispheres.

Therefore I have many friends, and they are also watching your cruelty and lack of deportment behind the official facade. Best of all, many of them are praying for us, and that this institution will be cleansed of evil, that it can perform the task it was called to perform for the Lord.

Posted by: sister Feb 26 2007, 07:10 AM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 12 2007, 02:49 PM) □

Just got this in my email - I went to get the link off the web so I could just post it here, but I don't see they have it yet (or I can't find it □) listed on the website as it is a "newsbreak" and will be there. So, forgive the long post, if I should do this a different way (Calvin, Clay, Di) let me know. It's worth the read.

□

**

ADVENTIST NEWS Round up

**Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN
Virginia church meets to address allegations of sexual misconduct**

By Edwin D. Schwisow, for ATNewsbreak (09 February 2007).

Facing multiple allegations of sexual misconduct, Tommy Shelton, production manager at Three Angels Broadcasting Network (3ABN) and brother of 3ABN President Danny Shelton, announced his retirement in a December 31, 2006 broadcast of 3ABN Live. Although not owned or operated by the Seventh-day Adventist Church, many of 3ABN's employees and volunteers are Adventist Church members. In a telephone interview with a representative of Adventist Today, Danny Shelton confirmed that his brother had left the employ of 3ABN for "health reasons."

Virginia Church Allegations

Tommy Shelton's retirement comes just four weeks after the Community Church of God in Dunn Loring, Virginia, held a December 3, 2006, business meeting to address accusations made by several young men that Tommy had molested them while he was pastor of the church. Following the meeting, church leaders read a carefully-worded statement, apprising the congregation of the accusations against Shelton and how they, as parishioners, should best respond. The church leaders prepared the statement under the advisement of their insurance carrier, Brotherhood Mutual, which had become involved after a young man came to the church with allegations that Tommy, during his pastorate, had molested him. The young was a minor when he says was abused. He is the third in recent months to come forward to Community Church of God leaders, accusing Shelton of making inappropriate sexual advances, according to Glenn Dryden, current pastor of the church.

A History of Allegations

This is not the first time Tommy has been accused of inappropriate sexual activity with underage males. During much of the 1980s, Tommy pastored the Ezra Church of God in his hometown of West Frankfort, Illinois. While there, he worked closely with a 12-grade school, whose property bordered the church. During this time, a teenage boy, who was a student at the school, accused Tommy of soliciting him for a sexual relationship. According to Dryden, who pastored the West Frankfort church in the 1990s, three additional boys also made similar allegations, prompting civil authorities to become involved. Following a police investigation, Tommy was not charged with a crime, but the allegations were serious enough to

prompt Church of God officials to intervene. In a letter dated October 25, 1985, the General Assembly of the Church of God in Illinois revoked Tommy's ordination. They listed their reasons for doing so as "improper counseling procedures," "numerous charges of misconduct," a criminal investigation into sexual abuse charges by the local police, and controversy surrounding Tommy's ministry and lifestyle. Ultimately Tommy's lack of cooperation in the disciplinary process, Dryden says, led the Church of God in Illinois to drop the Ezra Church of God from its roster of affiliated congregations.

In the early 1990s, further allegations of sexual misconduct were brought against Tommy and in 1991 Tommy resigned as pastor of the Ezra Church of God, citing health concerns.

Allegations at 3ABN

Shortly after Tommy resigned his post as pastor, Danny invited him to join 3ABN as production manager. During his time at 3ABN, a member of Tommy's production staff, who was in his early twenties at the time, says Tommy made a sexual advance toward him. Shortly after what he calls his "uncomfortable situation," the former employee says he confronted Danny Shelton with what had happened. In response, Danny assured the young man that he would no longer have to answer to Tommy and that 3ABN administrators would "work things out."

In late 1992, Elder Bj Christensen, then president of the Illinois Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, approached two members of Danny's family, asking them to make a statement in regard to improprieties they had observed in Tommy's behavior. Elder Christensen transcribed the statement and brought it to the attention of the 3ABN board, on which he then sat.

At a subsequent 3ABN board meeting, Tommy was terminated as production manager. Shortly thereafter, 3ABN outfitted him with a touring bus and sent him as a 3ABN representative, giving gospel concerts and promoting 3ABN to cable companies throughout the United States.

Connections in Virginia

During the time he was promoting 3ABN, he visited the Community Church of God in Dunn Loring. After learning that Tommy had experience with media ministry, the church offered him a position as a media consultant. Later he was hired as assistant pastor for media ministries. When the church's senior pastor left for missionary service in March 1996, Tommy was named as his replacement.

Further allegations of sexual misconduct surfaced during his tenure in Dunn Loring, and in 2000, members of Tommy's own family confronted him about what they saw as inappropriate activities between him and his adopted son. His family also shared their concerns about his relationship with an underage male from the church.

In the face of the new allegations, Tommy once again announced he was having medical problems in 2002 and resigned as pastor of the Community Church of God, moving with his wife and family to Marion, Kentucky, an hour and a half drive from 3ABN. Later that year, Tommy was hired as 3ABN tape and masters archive librarian, without the formal approval of the 3ABN board of directors, a group that had fired him just 10 years before.

Pastor Contacts 3ABN

In the spring of 2003, Dryden, then pastor of the Ezra Church of God in West Frankfort, penned a letter outlining his concerns about Tommy Shelton. He distributed it to a handful of leaders of Church of God camp meeting associations, whom he knew, in the past, had invited Tommy to speak at their church meetings.

He later sent the letter to Dr. Walter Thompson, 3ABN board chairman, advising him that "at least six boys in our community were sexually abused" by Tommy. He told Thompson that Tommy's ordination was not in good standing with either the Church of God in Illinois or Virginia and offered to give Thompson the phone numbers of the family members of Tommy's purported victims so that 3ABN could follow up on the situation.

Thompson spoke with Dryden and then brought the letter to the attention of Danny Shelton. Danny brushed the letter off as 30-year old news, attributing it to a long-standing feud between Dryden and Tommy. After meeting with Danny, Thompson brought the letter to the full 3ABN board at its June 2003

meeting. The board, due to its belief that the events had happened many years before; Tommy's assurance that he had attempted to make things right; and the fact that no legal action had been taken against Tommy, voted to allow Tommy's relationship with 3ABN to continue.

Further Allegations

In the Spring of 2004, Roger W. Clem, a 32 year-old man who had attended the Ezra Church of God during his teenage years, released a letter he had written to Tommy, accusing him of sexual abuse. In his letter, which he later posted on the Internet, Clem claims Tommy had ruined 15 years of his life. He says that he is sick of Tommy's manipulative behavior, including his claims of illness to divert attention away from allegations of sexual misconduct.

On June 4, 2004, Linda Shelton signed a Separation Agreement with 3ABN, ending her employment with the ministry. Later that year, Tommy moved into her old office at 3ABN and assumed her role as programming director. During his time at 3ABN he traveled with his brother to 3ABN gatherings around the world, many times playing the piano as Danny sang. He also began playing the piano for the Kids Time show on 3ABN.

Late in 2006, Duane Klem, Roger's brother, shared with close associates that Tommy had molested him, as well. In a statement released in January 2007, Klem accused Tommy of initiating a sexual relationship with him when he was 19, at times using 3ABN buildings for their assignations. Klem says the growing number of allegations against Tommy prompted him to come forward with his claims.

I have bolded a passage above, in red, pertaining to an issue that no one has commented upon. Both Danny and Tommy have been accused of "inappropriate activities" toward individuals that were raised in their own homes, as their own children. Linda's daughter was raised in Danny's home and Tommy's adopted son was raised in his home, in both cases there are allegations of "inappropriate activities". Two Brothers, Danny and Tommy, share the same behavior; the only difference is the gender of the victims. Is a pattern developing before us? Why do both Danny and Tommy find this behavior acceptable within their families? Is it still incest when the child has no blood connection with the father figure, but has been raised within the same home as his child? Ethically, I believe the answer is a resounding, "YES!".

Sister

Posted by: Lee Feb 26 2007, 09:25 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 26 2007, 05:53 AM) □

Perhaps you have never experienced a decent love relationship? It can be much more than that. What especially endeared Linda to Irmgard was when she witnessed the cruelty and lies that Danny Shelton and his scribes served on Linda to the whole world.

And when my present wife reads all of your cruel statements and sees the disdain with which you treat the co-founder of 3ABN, she feels that Linda needs our love and protection.

Therefore I have many friends, and they are also watching your cruelty and lack of deportment behind the official facade. Best of all, many of them are praying for us, and that this institution will be cleansed of evil, that it can perform the task it was called to perform for the Lord.

Bystander cruel? You must have the wrong person Pastor Johann. I know him personally and he is not cruel. I'm afraid you and your wife have been duped by Linda as well as others by her willingness to allegedly play the part of the victim--you know, the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method. But then many on this board have been rude and condescending towards Bystander, making fun of him, using his forum name as a means of ridicule--it would seem your wife might feel sorry for Bystander because of this.

Bystander lies with distain? Nope--wrong again! Could you list his lies here for me? I happen to know that if any of his information posted was incorrect, Bystander would be the first to correct it.

So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 09:39 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 09:25 AM)

Bystander cruel? You must have the wrong person Pastor Johann. I know him personally and he is not cruel. I'm afraid you and your wife have been duped by Linda as well as others by her willingness to allegedly play the part of the victim--you know, the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method. But then many on this board have been rude and condescending towards Bystander, making fun of him, using his forum name as a means of ridicule--it would seem your wife might feel sorry for Bystander because of this.

Bystander lies with distain? Nope--wrong again! Could you list his lies here for me? I happen to know that if any of his information posted was incorrect, Bystander would be the first to correct it.

So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.

stated like a true dannyclone..... spin on spin on.....

Posted by: watchbird Feb 26 2007, 10:50 AM


QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 10:25 AM)

Bystander cruel? You must have the wrong person Pastor Johann. I know him personally and he is not cruel. I'm afraid you and your wife have been duped by Linda as well as others by her willingness to allegedly play the part of the victim--**you know, the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method.** But then many on this board have been rude and condescending towards Bystander, making fun of him, using his forum name as a means of ridicule--it would seem your wife might feel sorry for Bystander because of this.


Bystander lies with distain? Nope--wrong again! Could you list his lies here for me? I happen to know that if any of his information posted was incorrect, Bystander would be the first to correct it.

So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.

Ah yes.... "the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method." What a simply GREAT description of the Thursday night "live" TV specials....



all together now... let's hear it for the announcer and supporting cast.....



Sorry Lee... the mirror trick ain't workin no mo.....

We know who the words apply to... so trying to project them onto Johann and this board.....

Not working.... the spin mechanism done broke down.....



Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 26 2007, 11:01 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 09:25 AM)

So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.

Hmm...interesting that you should use that phrase. I noticed yesterday on the sign in front of the Thompsonville SDA church that Hal Steenson was going to be preaching on that very topic.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 26 2007, 11:13 AM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 07:25 AM)

Bystander cruel? You must have the wrong person Pastor Johann. **I know him personally** and he is not cruel. I'm afraid you and your wife have been duped by Linda as well as others by her willingness to allegedly play the part of the victim--you know, the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method. But then many on this board have been rude and condescending towards Bystander, making fun of him, using his forum name as a means of ridicule--it would seem your wife might feel sorry for Bystander because of this.

Bystander lies with distain? Nope--wrong again! Could you list his lies here for me? I happen to know that if any of his information posted was incorrect, Bystander would be the first to correct it.

So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Monday, 09:01 AM)

Hmm...interesting that you should use that phrase. I noticed yesterday on the sign in front of the Thompsonville SDA church that Hal Steenson was going to be preaching on that very topic.

love those "aaahhhh hhhaaaa" moments??

Posted by: Grace Feb 26 2007, 11:20 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 26 2007, 06:01 PM)

Hmm...interesting that you should use that phrase. I noticed yesterday on the sign in front of the Thompsonville SDA church that Hal Steenson was going to be preaching on that very topic.

Interesting!

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 02:07 PM

[quote name='Whtz Happenin' date='Feb 26 2007, 01:43 AM' post='180679']
Fired by 3ABN in the early 1990's?

Confronted by his own family?

Before this article was published, had anyone heard about the two items above? I do not remember reading about this on this site or the save3abn site. Did I miss something?
[/quote]

If you missed anything, it was only lies. TS was never fired in the 90's or any other time from 3abn and confronted by the family? I would think you would have to be in the family to know whether that was true or not.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 26 2007, 11:01 AM)

Hmm...interesting that you should use that phrase. I noticed yesterday on the sign in front of the Thompsonville SDA church that Hal Steenson was going to be preaching on that very topic.

'es that is interesting since Lee is thousands of miles away from southern Illinois. Maybe it was the Lord impressing her.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 26 2007, 02:09 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 26 2007, 10:01 AM)

Hmm...interesting that you should use that phrase. I noticed yesterday on the sign in front of the Thompsonville SDA church that Hal Steenson was going to be preaching on that very topic.

That is quite a coincidence!

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 02:12 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 26 2007, 02:09 PM)

That is quite a coincidence!

Read the post above yours PB. With God there are no coincidences.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 26 2007, 02:14 PM

Bystander wrote:

QUOTE

If you missed anything, it was only lies. TS was never fired in the 90's or any other time from 3abn and confronted by the family? I would think **you would have to be in the family to know whether that was true or not** .

Hmmm Interesting implication.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 02:25 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Feb 26 2007, 02:14 PM)

Bystander wrote:

Hmmm Interesting implication.

Yes it is...considering how many outsiders like to tell like to say, what happened, in the family. As always, they go on speculation, rumor and gossip. There is nothing more tiresome than strangers and outsiders discussing what goes on " at 3abn. " For lack of a better comparison, That would be like Joe blow on the street telling you what goes in your own household. Joe knows more than you because he "heard" it. By the time Joe gets through telling everyone he knows, and speculating and adding to it, YOU are the outsider. Doesn't make a lot of sense does it?

Posted by: princessdi Feb 26 2007, 02:41 PM

Uhhhh, Bystander, I think you missed the point.....and as Forrest says, "that's all I have to say about that".

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 12:25 PM) □

Yes it is...considering how many outsiders like to tell like to say, what happened, in the family. As always, they go on speculation, rumor and gossip. There is nothing more tiresome than strangers and outsiders discussing what goes on " at 3abn. " For lack of a better comparison, That would be like Joe blow on the street telling you what goes in your own household. Joe knows more than you because he "heard" it. By the time Joe gets through telling everyone he knows, and speculating and adding to it, YOU are the outsider. Doesn't make a lot of sense does it?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 26 2007, 02:49 PM

QUOTE

ADVENTIST NEWS Round up

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN

...

Allegations at 3ABN

Shortly after Tommy resigned his post as pastor, Danny invited him to join 3ABN as production manager. During his time at 3ABN, **a member of Tommy's production staff, who was in his early twenties at the time, says Tommy made a sexual advance toward him.** [/b] **Shortly after what he calls his "uncomfortable situation," the former employee says he confronted Danny Shelton with what had happened. In response, Danny assured the young man that he would no longer have to answer to Tommy and that 3ABN administrators would "work things out."**

In late 1992, Elder Bj Christensen, then president of the Illinois Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, [b]approached two members of Danny's family, asking them to make a statement in regard to improprieties they had observed in Tommy's behavior. Elder Christiansen transcribed the statement and brought it to the attention of the 3ABN board, on which he then sat.

At a subsequent 3ABN board meeting, **Tommy was terminated as production manager.** Shortly thereafter, **3ABN outfitted him with a touring bus and sent him as a 3ABN representative, giving gospel concerts and promoting 3ABN** to cable companies throughout the United States.

...

Connections in Virginia

...

Further allegations of sexual misconduct surfaced during his tenure in Dunn Loring, and in 2000, **members of Tommy's own family confronted him about what they saw as inappropriate activities between him and his adopted son. His family also shared their concerns about his relationship with an underage male from the church.**

In the face of the new allegations, Tommy once again announced he was having medical problems ... Later that year, Tommy was hired as 3ABN tape and masters archive librarian, **without the formal approval of the 3ABN board of directors, a group that had fired him just 10 years before....**

Bystander,

Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as truthful? Would you characterize the Adventist Today

article as untruthful? Do you know either way? Your response to Whtz Happenin (pasted in below) was a little unclear. It seems you were saying "it was only lies". But then why was Tommy removed as production manager and sent touring in a bus? Or did he never actually leave the production department and then never went touring on a bus?

I would be very appreciative if you would take the Adventist Today article and critique it section by section. I think that would be extremely helpful and informative.

QUOTE

If you missed anything, it was only lies. TS was never fired in the 90's or any other time from 3abn and confronted by the family? I would think you would have to be in the family to know whether that was true or not.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 01:25 PM) □

Yes it is...considering how many outsiders like to tell like to say, what happened, in the family. As always, they go on speculation, rumor and gossip. There is nothing more tiresome than strangers and outsiders discussing what goes on " at 3abn. " For lack of a better comparison, That would be like Joe blow on the street telling you what goes in your own household. Joe knows more than you because he "heard" it. By the time Joe gets through telling everyone he knows, and speculating and adding to it, YOU are the outsider. Doesn't make a lot of sense does it?

So, I take it that since you know that the claims Whtz Happenin was referring to are all lies, you aren't Joe Blow on the street - you are, instead, someone within the Shelton family who knows for a fact that the claims are untrue?

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

Posted by: princessdi Feb 26 2007, 03:00 PM

PB, you were supposed to give him jes a little more rope with that one, and you would have had to asked this question. □:

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 26 2007, 12:49 PM) □

So, I take it that since you know that the claims Whtz Happenin was referring to are all lies, you aren't Joe Blow on the street - you are, instead, someone within the Shelton family who knows for a fact that the claims are untrue?

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 26 2007, 04:01 PM

QUOTE

ADVENTIST NEWS Round up

Tommy Shelton Retires from 3ABN

...

Allegations at 3ABN

Shortly after Tommy resigned his post as pastor, Danny invited him to join 3ABN as production manager. During his time at 3ABN, **a member of Tommy's production staff, who was in his early twenties at the time, says Tommy made a sexual advance toward him.** Shortly after what he calls his "uncomfortable situation," the former employee says he confronted Danny Shelton with what had happened. In response, Danny assured the young man that he would no longer have to answer to Tommy and that 3ABN administrators would "work things out."

In late 1992, Elder Bj Christensen, then president of the Illinois Conference of Seventh-day Adventists, **approached two members of Danny's family, asking them to make a statement in regard to improprieties they had observed in Tommy's behavior.** Elder Christiansen transcribed the statement and brought it to the attention of the 3ABN board, on which he then sat.

At a subsequent 3ABN board meeting, **Tommy was terminated as production manager.** Shortly thereafter, **3ABN outfitted him with a touring bus and sent him as a 3ABN representative, giving gospel concerts and promoting 3ABN** to cable companies throughout the United States.

...

Connections in Virginia

...

Further allegations of sexual misconduct surfaced during his tenure in Dunn Loring, and in 2000, **members of Tommy's own family confronted him** about what they saw as inappropriate activities between him and his adopted son. His family also shared their concerns about his relationship with an underage male from the church.

In the face of the new allegations, Tommy once again announced he was having medical problems ... Later that year, Tommy was hired as 3ABN tape and masters archive librarian, **without the formal approval of the 3ABN board of directors, a group that had fired him just 10 years before.**

...

QUOTE(Whitz Happenin @ Feb 26 2007, 02:43 AM)

Fired by 3ABN in the early 1990's?

Confronted by his own family?

Before this article was published, had anyone heard about the two items above? I do not remember reading about this on this site or the save3abn site. Did I miss something?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 03:07 PM)

If you missed anything, it was only lies. **TS was never fired in the 90's or any other time from 3abn and confronted by the family?** I would think you would have to be in the family to know whether that was true or not.

\ strong statement, which yours is, does not mean that the statement is true. If you are going to answer with
1 strong statement and use a word such as "never" then you have to include a reason we should believe you.
)therwise, do not reply.

would think you would have to be in the human resources department and "in the family" to know whether
our "never" statement is true.

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 26 2007, 03:25 PM)

Yes it is...considering how many outsiders like to tell like to say, what happened, in the family. As always, they go on speculation, rumor and gossip. There is nothing more tiresome than strangers and outsiders discussing what goes on " at 3abn. " For lack of a better comparison, That would be like **Joe blow on the street telling you what goes in your own household**. Joe knows more than you because he "heard" it. By the time Joe gets through telling everyone he knows, and speculating and adding to it, YOU are the outsider. **Doesn't make a lot of sense does it?**

If Joe Blow told others about a crime in my household that did not happen and it spread around the world; when it came back to me I would open up my house and do everything I could to show the world that it did not happen. I would ask questions like, "what did you see Joe that would make you think this happened?" I would defend my household and show the world that Joe Blow is a phony.

If the crime did happen in my household, then I would have already reported it. If I was the one that committed the crime, then I pray that I would state the truth and accept the consequences, not that this would be easy.

If the crime did happen in my household and I did not want to take responsibility for what happened, then I would lock the place down and have my lawyers send out threatening letters to anyone that steps on my property. Even then I would not send out letters blaming everyone for what I "might" have done and how hard it is on me because of what others are doing to me. **Doesn't make a lot of sense does it?**

Now, thtz Whtz Happenin!

Ok... my 20 centz. Anyone have some change?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 26 2007, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(Whtz Happenin @ Feb 26 2007, 03:01 PM)

A strong statement, which yours is, does not mean that the statement is true. If you are going to answer with a strong statement and use a word such as "never" then you have to include a reason we should believe you. Otherwise, do not reply.

I would think you would have to be in the human resources department and "in the family" to know whether your "never" statement is true.

If Joe Blow told others about a crime in my household that did not happen and it spread around the world; when it came back to me I would open up my house and do everything I could to show the world that it did not happen. I would ask questions like, "what did you see Joe that would make you think this happened?" I would defend my household and show the world that Joe Blow is a phony.

If the crime did happen in my household, then I would have already reported it. If I was the one that committed the crime, then I pray that I would state the truth and accept the consequences, not that this would be easy.

If the crime did happen in my household and I did not want to take responsibility for what happened, then I would lock the place down and have my lawyers send out threatening letters to anyone that steps on my property. Even then I would not send out letters blaming everyone for what I "might" have done and how hard it is on me because of what others are doing to me.

Now, thtz Whtz Happenin!

Ok... my 20 centz. Anyone have some change?

Whtz Happenin,

You spent that 20 centz wizely and I hope it brings some change!

PB

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 26 2007, 04:30 PM

QUOTE(Bystander)

With God there are no coincidences.

Knowing how to tell if something is a coincidence, or not, is one of the secrets of life. We can't have God involved in every accident, every illness, or every arising situation. That kind of thinking is the cause of superstition. Everyone must learn the answers for themselves. This is the first step in knowledge.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 26 2007, 11:57 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 26 2007, 02:49 PM)

Bystander,

Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as truthful? Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as untruthful?

Untruthful

Do you know either way? Your response to Whtz Happenin (pasted in below) was a little unclear. It seems you were saying "it was only lies". But then why was Tommy removed as production manager and sent touring in a bus? Or did he never actually leave the production department and then never went touring on a bus?

I personally don't know anything about any touring, but I do know there was no bus of any kind. I also know he was not "removed."

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

You misunderstood my comparison PB. My point is this...how can so many complete strangers that have never met the people involved or ever even been to 3abn argue and fight with people that have? And that goes for whatever camp you are in. Those that have worked there or whatever and don't like it, or those that have been there and loved it, either way, at least they have something with which to base an opinion on. All the outsiders here do not. Where DS and LS are concerned, If you don't know them personally, it's all he said/she said and I don't understand how strangers can have such strong and argumentative opinions about, people they don't know, situations they have never been around, and a place that they have never been. Yet, they will argue to the death with people that maybe do know something about the people involved or the situations. I think I make a reasonable and valid point with this.

Posted by: sister Feb 27 2007, 12:38 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 26 2007, 2:49 AM)

Bystander,

Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as truthful? Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as untruthful?

Untruthful

Do you know either way? Your response to Whtz Happenin (pasted in below) was a little unclear. It seems you were saying "it was only lies". But then why was Tommy removed as production manager and sent touring in a bus? Or did he never actually leave the production department and then never went touring on a bus?

I personally don't know anything about any touring, but I do know there was no bus of any kind. I also know he was not "removed."

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 12:57 AM)

You misunderstood my comparison PB. My point is this...how can so many complete strangers that have never met the people involved or ever even been to 3abn argue and fight with people that have? And that goes for whatever camp you are in. Those that have worked there or whatever and don't like it, or those that have been there and loved it, either way, at least they have something with which to base an opinion on. All the outsiders here do not. Where DS and LS are concerned, If you don't know them personally, it's all he said/she said and I don't understand how strangers can have such strong and argumentative opinions about, people they don't know, situations they have never been around, and a place that they have never been. Yet, they will argue to the death with people that maybe do know something about the people involved or the situations. I think I make a reasonable and valid point with this.

Bystander, you seemed to have overlooked PB's last question or were you ignoring it?

QUOTE

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

Sister

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 10:49 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 27 2007, 12:38 AM)

Bystander, you seemed to have overlooked PB's last question or were you ignoring it?

Sister

Bystander has painted himself into a corner.

.. If he does know firsthand, then he can only establish his credibility by identifying himself.

?. If he does not know firsthand, then he is only here to deny and dissemble on behalf of Danny. In other words, he has no interest in the truth.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 27 2007, 11:34 AM

QUOTE

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 26 2007, 02:49 PM)

Bystander,

Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as truthful? Would you characterize the Adventist Today article as untruthful?

Untruthful

Do you know either way? Your response to Whtz Happenin (pasted in below) was a little unclear. It seems you were saying "it was only lies". But then why was Tommy removed as production manager and sent touring in a bus? Or did he never actually leave the production department and then never went touring on a bus?

I personally don't know anything about any touring, but I do know there was no bus of any kind. I also know he was not "removed."

If not part of the Shelton clan, wouldn't you be just speculating yourself?

Bystander,

Thank you for committing to answer my question about the AT article. I would have preferred a more detailed response but now I know you view the entire article as untruthful. Regarding Tommy's touring for 3abn, can you make a call to him or to Danny and get the details of what actually happened after he left the production department in the 90's. If he wasn't doing Gospel concerts and contacting cable companies on behalf of 3abn what was he actually doing?

QUOTE

]You misunderstood my comparison PB. My point is this...how can so many complete strangers that have never met the people involved or ever even been to 3abn argue and fight with people that have? And that goes for whatever camp you are in. Those that have worked there or whatever and don't like it, or those that have been there and loved it, either way, at least they have something with which to base an opinion on. All the outsiders here do not. Where DS and LS are concerned, if you don't know them personally, it's all he said/she said and I don't understand how strangers can have such strong and argumentative opinions about, people they don't know, situations they have never been around, and a place that they have never been. Yet, they will argue to the death with people that maybe do know something about the people involved or the situations. I think I make a reasonable and valid point with this[/color].

What about the 12 members of a jury? They are not personally acquainted with "the accused" but are asked to weigh testimony and come to a judgement.

Sometimes those closest to a situation are the most blind as to what has actually happened. Loyalty and family ties can cause some folks to close their eyes to the facts.

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 12:25 PM

Sometimes those closest to a situation are the most blind as to what has actually happened. Loyalty and

family ties can cause some folks to close their eyes to the facts.
[/quote]

PB,

Maybe a solution would be to take 12 sda's drawn by picking of lots like from the bible times, and have them in a room and have danny bring his side, and linda bring her side and then lets see what happens, no questions off limits just the information and 12 independent church members and i think it would be better if they were all larity.

erik

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 27 2007, 12:36 PM


QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 01:25 PM)

Sometimes those closest to a situation are the most blind as to what has actually happened. Loyalty and family ties can cause some folks to close their eyes to the facts.

PB,

Maybe a solution would be to take 12 sda's drawn by picking of lots like from the bible times, and have them in a room and have danny bring his side, and linda bring her side and then lets see what happens, no questions off limits just the information and 12 independent church members and i think it would be better if they were all larity.

erik



Talk about loss of control.....

nw

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 12:53 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 27 2007, 10:36 AM)



Talk about loss of control.....

nw

NW,

IF 3abn is really concerned about the truth then my idea is at least worth trying, before going and jumping off the cliff called court. To me going to court is totally lossing control of the whole thing court cases have a

life of their own.

Erik

Posted by: sister Feb 27 2007, 01:02 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 11:49 AM)

Bystander has painted himself into a corner.

1. If he does know firsthand, then he can only establish his credibility by identifying himself.
2. If he does not know firsthand, then he is only here to deny and dissemble on behalf of Danny. In other words, he has no interest in the truth.

SoulEspresso, that appears to be a correct evaluation of the situation. Unfortunately, given the choice of option number one or option number two, Bystander's answer will probably be option number three: ignore the question. For him it is a lose/lose situation, which is an inconceivable option.

Sister

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 27 2007, 01:05 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 01:53 PM)

NW,

IF 3abn is really concerned about the truth then my idea is at least worth trying, before going and jumping off the cliff called court. To me going to court is totally lossing control of the whole thing court cases have a life of their own.

Erik

Erik,

I hope you understood that I could not imagine DS or 3ABN doing such a thing because "controlling the message" is what they seem to be very much about. The forum you propose would be their worst nightmare.

As for court, I am afraid I disagree. There is a great deal of control in the legal world. I think that is one thing that posters here need to understand. There is control in who files a complaint first and their burden of going forward and burden of proof. There are issues of law as well as issues of fact. We discuss the concept of hearsay on this board but there are many exceptions. If 3abn decides to litigate I assure you they will chose competent legal counsel that will keep the issues narrow and on points 3abn believes they have a good chance of being successful on whether in negotiated settlement or court. That is not to say that some information would not come out in legal proceedings. But remember truth is not the same as evidence. I also doubt that there would be any one grand legal proceeding that would include all the issues mentioned in this subforum.

nw

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 01:14 PM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 27 2007, 11:05 AM)

Erik,

I hope you understood that I could not imagine DS or 3ABN doing such a thing because "controlling the message" is what they seem to be very much about. The forum you propose would be their worst nightmare.

I realize that, but IF they are really interested in the truth, and if they are 100% in the right on all of this then they would jump on my idea, because it would save a ton of money over going to court and it is bible based. The fact they have not done anything of the kind speaks volumes to me about were the truth really is and it does not appear to be on their side. ew

As for court, I am afraid I disagree. There is a great deal of control in the legal world. I think that is one thing that posters here need to understand. There is control in who files a complaint first and their burden of going forward and burden of proof. There are issues of law as well as issues of fact. We discuss the concept of hearsay on this board but there are many exceptions. If 3abn decides to litigate I assure you they will chose competent legal counsel that will keep the issues narrow and on points 3abn believes they have a good chance of being successful on whether in negotiated settlement or court. That is not to say that some information would not come out in legal proceedings. But remember truth is not the same as evidence. I also doubt that there would be any one grand legal proceeding that would include all the issues mentioned in this subforum.

nw

NW,

I agree that they will try and tightly control the legal action, but once they walk out that door they open the door for others to file counter actions, which might not be limited to the court room, i am right now the public media is not got this out, but going to court greatly increase the chances that this whole mess will leave the smaller circle that is in now, and only God knows what will happen.

In the court room it self, yes 3abn lawyers will try and frame the case in such a manner that they will only discuss very limited subject matter, but look at all the stuff that came out of the last court case about the tax's, In fact if you want my humble option that was God's wake up call to 3abn one that to this point they have not listened to.

If 3abn only goes after the copyright issue then they might be able to control the court room but if they go after slander then they are really opening up the whole ball of wax.

Erik

Posted by: Bystander Feb 27 2007, 01:53 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 10:49 AM)

Bystander has painted himself into a corner.

1. If he does know firsthand, then he can only establish his credibility by identifying himself.
2. If he does not know firsthand, then he is only here to deny and dissemble on behalf of Danny. In other

words, he has no interest in the truth.

You are making the same mistake as others here, you are jumping to conclusions. If I know anything firsthand, why would I have to identify myself. Sister claims to know all first hand, yet she has never identified herself. That has never stopped any of you on this board from believing her. Now be fair here, folks. If you can believe her without ID, then the same should apply to me. One keep in mind, sister is just one here, that claims to know first hand without telling who she is. There are several others. You and others never question them, like you just did me, because they say what you want to hear. They back up your opinions. A little one sided isn't it?

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 02:04 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 11:53 AM) □

You are making the same mistake as others here, you are jumping to conclusions. If I know anything firsthand, why would I have to identify myself. Sister claims to know all first hand, yet she has never identified herself. That has never stopped any of you on this board from believing her. Now be fair here, folks. If you can believe her without ID, then the same should apply to me. One keep in mind, sister is just one here, that claims to know first hand without telling who she is. There are several others. You and others never question them, like you just did me, because they say what you want to hear. They back up your opinions. A little one sided isn't it?

Bystander,

I agree that both you and sister would make the whole process easier for the outsiders if you would print your names. But outside of that happening, and I am not calling for that. I can very well understand why you and sister do not.

The question applied to you would also apply to her, although I am not sure she has made the same claims of closeness to the people involved.

I will say this you bring this up a lot, like you really need and want to know who she is, other than Linda, which I think at this point is pretty much known that sister and Linda are not the same person.

In closing bystander I will ask you the question my mother always asked me when my brothers and sisters were fighting, WHO IS GOING TO THE BIG PERSON? Lead by example bystander, put your name out there and see what happens, or even better than your name put the facts of the matter out there and then the truth will be known!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You can do that by selling my idea of 12 Sdas jury to 3abn and danny

erik

Posted by: Johann Feb 27 2007, 02:18 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 09:53 PM) □

You are making the same mistake as others here, you are jumping to conclusions. If I know anything firsthand, why would I have to identify myself. Sister claims to know all first hand, yet she has never identified herself. That has never stopped any of you on this board from believing her. Now be fair here,

folks. If you can believe her without ID, then the same should apply to me. One keep in mind, sister is just one here, that claims to know first hand without telling who she is. There are several others. You and others never question them, like you just did me, because they say what you want to hear. They back up your opinions. A little one sided isn't it?

Not quite, Bystander. A number of us recognize most of what Sister says from our own experiences and that gives her credibility. As a contrast some of us know from our own experiences in this matter that what you are saying is a thoroughly thwarted image of reality. It could be that you are just too close to see those issues, or you have too much at stake personally to face the facts.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 02:32 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 01:53 PM) □

You are making the same mistake as others here, you are jumping to conclusions. If I know anything firsthand, why would I have to identify myself. Sister claims to know all first hand, yet she has never identified herself. That has never stopped any of you on this board from believing her. Now be fair here, folks. If you can believe her without ID, then the same should apply to me. One keep in mind, sister is just one here, that claims to know first hand without telling who she is. There are several others. You and others never question them, like you just did me, because they say what you want to hear. They back up your opinions. A little one sided isn't it?

There is a difference, Bystander. It's called a power imbalance.

Let me break it down for you.

Danny has got a satellite channel and all the people on it shilling for him, and the thousands or millions who believe what he says--not to mention all the people, like yourself, shilling for him here. Have you been hired by 3ABN, Bystander, to come on here and muddy the waters?

Who is sister? Sister does not have her own satellite channel or talking heads to repeat what she says. If what we're to read on these boards is plausible, sister may be afraid of retribution from Danny and 3ABN. I don't know for myself that that's the case, but any casual student of human nature or history can tell you that people who are not in power have plenty to fear from the people who are. (300 years of slavery in the New World, for example ...)

Who do you have to be afraid of? Surely sister doesn't have secrets on you she wants to expose to the world!

Anyway, I didn't automatically believe her. I thought it might be plausible, because "power corrupts" (history and human nature again) and I already knew that my church, the Adventist Church, has a horrible history of corruption, particularly when it comes to sexual sin. But I came back here after months of absence because of Dave3abn.com--and suddenly sister's accounts became 100x more credible.

Techniques used in this post by Bystander:

.. Deflect the issue in question by comparing himself with another poster who has greater reason to remain anonymous.

Back to the issue, Bystander--we're to believe you because you're close to the situation. How do we know? Why can't you tell us who you are? Can you at least explain why you choose to remain anonymous?

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 27 2007, 02:04 PM) □

In closing bystander I will ask you the question my mother always asked me when my brothers and sisters were fighting, WHO IS GOING TO THE BIG PERSON? Lead by example bystander, put your name out there

see what happens, or even better then your name put the facts of the matter out there and then the truth will be known!

Erik is right. In spades.

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 02:49 PM

I think I'd rather not know who this character Bystander admittedly is. I would hate to think he is who he appears to be. This character likes to leave people to guess. By contrast, Christ didn't. And, that speaks as much for itself as does, "by their fruits shall ye know them."

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 27 2007, 03:38 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 01:17 PM) □

Until you apply your statement about Christ to the others on this board who say they have first hand evidence, you only show your partiality to that side. Have you applied your statement to sister who has given a large percentage of the information that has been posted. Certainly down through the months, everyone else has carried on with it but we are talking origination. Sonshineonme and watchbird also speak (by their own admission) for Linda. Have you applied your statement to them also? Sorry, criticism just for my identity doesn't fly here, when there are so many others. Just happens, I am on the wrong side, so receive all the flak. That's ok I can take it.

No, you can't take it. That is why you keep at what you are keeping at. No one buys it. You know this, but you keep at it anyway...

And I beg your pardon....to say that by my own admission I speak for Linda? What are you trying to say again? I have a brain. I don't "speak" for anyone. I speak. I tell my opinions and I tell what I know. The fact that Linda has friends that won't keep quiet is what eats at you, period. Stop trying to make that a bad thing. My origination didn't come from Sister. Many of us has personal experiences that neither you or DS (and you being whoever you are at this moment) can take away from us. We aren't talking heads - mouth pieces for Linda. We speak what we know, get over it. If you don't like it, too bad. You only understand being a robot/slave/pawn for someone else, and so you assume that's all anyone can do with their mouth or brain. Maybe one day you will find liberty to be yourself and think for yourself. You clearly have a lot to learn in this area.

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 27 2007, 04:13 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 20 2007, 10:34 AM) □

The giving to the community was explained on the live. Maybe I can make it clearer. Because there is many astute business people on the 3abn board, they believe it is very important to have community support. For this reason certain ones that can afford to have given donations specifically earmarked for community projects. These donations are in addition to, what they give to support 3abn. Ida, if you are seeking truth with an open mind, you will find that most talk about finances are always twisted to insinuate that the hard earned money that someone gives is going to pay for all sorts of things it shouldn't. Not true. The accountability factor is higher than usual for a non profit organization. There are 7 financial workers, accountants, a chief financial officer with a very impressive resume, there are outside auditors and the 3abn board goes over the financial statements at every meeting.

This board takes anything and everything said and puts the "I heard", I think, It appears, and It seems spin

on it with no facts to back it up. Also, if you will notice, sonshineonme, watchbird, johann, beartrap, sister, pickle, joy and observer and probably several I don't know about, get their information directly from Linda or others who use her as the source, while at the same time, they say she is humbly going about her own life and not trashing anyone. A child could see the discrepancies here.

'ou claim to be very very close to the 3ABN compound and innerworkings, I was wondering if you and Linda had some sort of falling out while she was there. Care to comment? I must have missed the kind remarks you made about Linda in this forum.

Posted by: Johann Feb 27 2007, 04:39 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 27 2007, 11:17 PM) □

- - -

Another point I wanted to make to you is a suit for slander is a suit for slander. You are not allowed to bring in all kinds of other things. If a person is charged with murder, evidence of a possible robbery that he may have done that has absolutely no bearing on the murder trial, cannot be brought up. that would be a separate case altogether.

Another important thing to think about is, that whoever is charged with slander and served papers, will not only have to pay their own transportation fees to whatever state or city, they will have to pay an attorney to defend them unless they want to be their own defense. Many attorneys, now days, charge 500.00 an hour. In my opinion, there is no way I would want to involve myself and others in something so serious just to be able to "air" my opinions in a chat room. It is one thing to say He might have, or she may have it is quite another to refer to specific individuals as Pedophiles, embezzlers, child molesters, adulterers, liars ...that is where it gets serious, especially, if you are wrong and making these judgments and statements on the heresay of others and can be proven that what you are involved in has caused harm to the organization and public figures involved.

- - - -

So we should live in fear and trembling??? Does that remind of one or more texts from the Bible?

How many millions through the centuries have had to suffer from the hands of those who forced their "truth" on others? Claimed to be Christian?

Time is short. Satan knows that he might be able to get us away from what is right by having people who claim to be preaching the Advent message threaten us like this. How else can he reach us who belong to Christ? He knows that infidels would not have any influence on us or intimidate us. He is very clever!

Posted by: calvin Feb 27 2007, 04:41 PM

Point of information: All members of BSDA can be as anonymous or open with their identity as they want to be. I know, we can't help to speculate and wonder who some of us are. But I don't consider any of you any more worthy or privileged as members because of your identity...and you should not either.

So please don't badger anyone to reveal themselves. They have their reasons to remain anonymous, respect them for that.

To the point: When they tell you no, I ain't telling, LEAVE IT ALONG.

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 27 2007, 04:49 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 12:32 PM)

There is a difference, Bystander. It's called a power imbalance.

Let me break it down for you.

Danny has got a satellite channel and all the people on it shilling for him, and the thousands or millions who believe what he says--not to mention all the people, like yourself, shilling for him here. Have you been hired by 3ABN, Bystander, to come on here and muddy the waters?

Who is sister? Sister does not have her own satellite channel or talking heads to repeat what she says. If what we're to read on these boards is plausible, sister may be afraid of retribution from Danny and 3ABN. I don't know for myself that that's the case, but any casual student of human nature or history can tell you that people who are not in power have plenty to fear from the people who are. (300 years of slavery in the New World, for example ...)

Who do you have to be afraid of? Surely sister doesn't have secrets on you she wants to expose to the world!

Anyway, I didn't automatically believe her. I thought it might be plausible, because "power corrupts" (history and human nature again) and I already knew that my church, the Adventist Church, has a horrible history of corruption, particularly when it comes to sexual sin. But I came back here after months of absence because of save3abn.com--and suddenly sister's accounts became 100x more credible.

Techniques used in this post by Bystander:

1. Deflect the issue in question by comparing himself with another poster who has greater reason to remain anonymous.

Back to the issue, Bystander--we're to believe you because you're close to the situation. How do we know? Why can't you tell us who you are? Can you at least explain why you choose to remain anonymous? Erik is right. In spades.

Bystander's identity is not really the issue for me.

Bystander's posts = confusion and lies and coverups (says he has truth but will hold till court time. Mabe the court will never mention Bystander's wonderful secrets... too bad about that, Bystander won't have his day in court.)

Sister's posts = telling a story thus victims and personal testimonies come forward putting clothes on the stories and more to come.

Bystander please don't tell us those victims and personal testimonies are parrotting what Linda wants to tell the world.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 27 2007, 04:52 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 27 2007, 05:41 PM)

Point of information: All members of BSDA can be as anonymous or open with their identity as they want to be. I know, we can't help to speculate and wonder who some of us are. But I don't consider any of you

any more worthy or privileged as members because of your identity...and you should not either.

So please don't badger anyone to reveal themselves. They have their reasons to remain anonymous, respect them for that.

To the point: When they tell you no, I ain't telling, LEAVE IT ALONG.

The flip side of this is they can't complain when their perspective is disregarded because it's credibility is dependent on their being who and what they claim to be; without identifying themselves their argument is specious.... granted even if they were to identify themselves, their argument could remain specious... but when an argument like Bystanders "joe Blow" defense is made, in making the argument he puts his identity into play, unsolicited, of his own volition.

Perhaps he does so because he knows you won't force him to 'fess up... perhaps not... but the argument is utterly devoid of credibility without divulging identity. he retains the right to not respond to the question... and those with whom he debates retain the right to view him as not credible when he exercises that right...

That, IMO, is the sole intent of the question being posed... and had he not hung his position so heavily on his identity, it probably would not have been asked at all...

BTJM.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 27 2007, 05:07 PM

Bystander, wwjd, Aletheia, Lee, make comments that instead of clarifying actually confound, or muddy the waters... their intent clearly is to confuse and misdirect.... is not Babylon symbolic of a place of confusion?

Posted by: calvin Feb 27 2007, 05:33 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 27 2007, 04:52 PM)

The flip side of this is they can't complain when their perspective is disregarded because it's credibility is dependent on their being who and what they claim to be; without identifying themselves their argument is specious.... granted even if they were to identify themselves, their argument could remain specious... but when an argument like Bystanders "joe Blow" defense is made, in making the argument he puts his identity into play, unsolicited, of his own volition.

Perhaps he does so because he knows you won't force him to 'fess up... perhaps not... but the argument is utterly devoid of credibility without divulging identity. he retains the right to not respond to the question... and those with whom he debates retain the right to view him as not credible when he exercises that right...

That, IMO, is the sole intent of the question being posed... and had he not hung his position so heavily on his identity, it probably would not have been asked at all...

BTJM.

In His service,
Mr. J

I agree, and this question of credibility holds for the other side too. We got a whole book here on the history of 3ABN, that most of us don't know who wrote it.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 27 2007, 05:39 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 27 2007, 03:15 PM) □

The ONLY reason for 3abn and Danny taking anyone to court is so that their lawyers can speak for them on their terms in a tightly controlled venue. **If they really wanted the truth to be known they could just state it on here or on their web site.** If Danny really wanted the truth to get out there would not be any need for the courts. They are just so sad and pitiful. It's all about the money IMO.

Richard

At this point, would you really know if or what is truth if it came from DS? I'm not sure he knows how to tell the straight truth. He mixes a little truth with a lot of error. I think the court room is the only place for the real truth to come out now. AND, many who have come together here to tell their stories. What DS doesn't realize (or maybe he does) is there are MANY who are here...not all have spoken yet. What has worked and still works here is how many tellings of experiences from those that have shared so far here fit together so well without anyone forcing it. It just comes together, because truth is what it is - truth. You can't just change truth as some have tried. It is what it is.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 27 2007, 05:44 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 26 2007, 10:25 AM) □

Bystander cruel? You must have the wrong person Pastor Johann. I know him personally and he is not cruel. I'm afraid you and your wife have been duped by Linda as well as others by her willingness to allegedly play the part of the victim--you know, the "poor me" syndrome and "pity party" method. But then many on this board have been rude and condescending towards Bystander, making fun of him, using his forum name as a means of ridicule--it would seem your wife might feel sorry for Bystander because of this.

Bystander lies with distain? Nope--wrong again! Could you list his lies here for me? I happen to know that if any of his information posted was incorrect, Bystander would be the first to correct it.


So there you have it folks--the truth of the matter. Just remember when you read accusations against a brother--remember who the "accuser of the brethren" is.


Interesting that you quoted Hal Steenson's sermon "Accuser of the Brethren."

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 27 2007, 07:22 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 27 2007, 05:44 PM) □

Interesting that you quoted Hal Steenson's sermon "Accuser of the Brethren."

Well, Danny can make veiled accusations against his ex all day long on international television for two years, but when the accusations start to fly at him from multiple directions, the shoe is on the other foot. 

What's really unfortunate is that typically, ministries who reach this level of conflict lose their effectiveness regardless of who is right. The Spirit doesn't often move in the same room as contention. 


This is why a free and open inquiry is so critical to the channel and to the Adventist Church. The board, the good doctor, Danny and all must step down and open the doors, the books, everything. To a panel of unbiased, thinking Adventist leaders (I don't mean church employees either, I mean leaders). 12 is a good number, was it Erik that suggested 12?

I know it means giving up control, but all control was ultimately an illusion anyway ... God is in control.

Souls are at stake, and souls are not served, God is not served, by dissembling, denial, covering up, and a general lack of transparency.


Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 27 2007, 07:38 PM

Well put sir. And it all could happen, if only Danny humbles himself before the Creator and repents.

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 27 2007, 08:22 PM) 

Well, Danny can make veiled accusations against his ex all day long on international television for two years, but when the accusations start to fly at him from multiple directions, the shoe is on the other foot.



What's really unfortunate is that typically, ministries who reach this level of conflict lose their effectiveness regardless of who is right. The Spirit doesn't often move in the same room as contention. 

This is why a free and open inquiry is so critical to the channel and to the Adventist Church. The board, the good doctor, Danny and all must step down and open the doors, the books, everything. To a panel of unbiased, thinking Adventist leaders (I don't mean church employees either, I mean leaders). 12 is a good number, was it Erik that suggested 12?

I know it means giving up control, but all control was ultimately an illusion anyway ... God is in control.

Souls are at stake, and souls are not served, God is not served, by dissembling, denial, covering up, and a general lack of transparency.

Posted by: roxe Feb 27 2007, 07:47 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 27 2007, 04:39 PM) 

At this point, would you really know if or what is truth if it came from DS? I'm not sure he knows how to tell the straight truth. He mixes a little truth with a lot of error. **I think the court room is the only place for the real truth to come out now.**

all those who believe that the Dannyites in court will **tell** the truth and nothing but the truth raise your hands...

all those who believe that the **evidence** given by the Dannyites in court will be the truth and nothing but the truth raise your hands...

Posted by: wwjd Feb 27 2007, 07:53 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 27 2007, 07:38 PM)

Well put sir. And it all could happen, if only Danny humbles himself before the Creator and repents.

Why would he need to repent to allow unbiased parties to look at 3abn? I would think a comment like that should be saved until conclusions are reached by whatever panel would be appointed.

Posted by: LaurenceD Feb 27 2007, 08:15 PM

Best out of seven!! One panel only isn't enough. Move around the country to the various SDA municipalities (and there are a few) and let the elected officials, who are familiar with quasi-judicial proceedings, make the determination.

Just kidding. The whole thing sounds like a joke. Can you imagine the first seven questions from the panel? Might pay to go through them to see how shallow this whole idea is.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 27 2007, 08:26 PM

Because just like you and I he is a sinner. God has the ability, with Danny's help, to extract him from this mess but it will take a soul seaching humbleness before God on Danny's part. I think that is only with the Holy Spirit's leading that Danny will agree to have an unbiased party look at all the goings on at 3abn. And Danny will also need to be contrite enough, if anything unfavorable is found, to step down from the day to day operations of 3abn. The ball is really in Danny's court, but going to court will only make matters worse, win or lose.

Richard

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 27 2007, 08:53 PM)

Why would he need to repent to allow unbiased parties to look at 3abn? I would think a comment like that should be saved until conclusions are reached by whatever panel would be appointed.

At this point I don't think any panel investigating will do any good unless Danny is willing to aid that panel. And personally I don't believe that Danny will aid any panel that might go against him. However that could change, if the Holy Spirit is allowed to work on broken hearts, and only a broken heart can be mended.

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ Feb 27 2007, 09:15 PM)

Best out of seven!! One panel only isn't enough. Move around the country to the various SDA municipalities (and there are a few) and let the elected officials, who are familiar with quasi-judicial proceedings, make the determination.

Just kidding. The whole thing sounds like a joke. Can you imagine the first seven questions from the panel? Might pay to go through them to see how shallow this whole idea is.

Posted by: erik Feb 27 2007, 09:43 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 27 2007, 05:53 PM) □

Why would he need to repent to allow unbiased parties to look at 3abn? I would think a comment like that should be saved until conclusions are reached by whatever panel would be appointed.

VWJD,

ie needs to repent of the appearance of making charges that the facts just do back up, for that matter that his action bring into question. IF the TRUTH is really were he says it is why the secrecy, why not just put the ruth out into the open and let truth defend it's self. instead of Danny defending himself.

he truth is never scared of being reveled, it never runs and hides behind lawyers and the bible. it stands as h judge of all, even God is judged by the TRUTH. Why is danny unwilling to be Judged by the same standard hat god is judged??????????????

Erik

just read the whole 46 page discussion by the judge.

he following points jump out at me.

.. danny appears to run the show, with some input from linda, and less from the board.
linda gone folks guess tha means danny runs the show?)

1. 3abn has had poor legal and accounting controls to help it mantian its various tax status.

3. 3abn gives the appearence of being a private company staking on the edge of the law.

4. danny hurt his own case from the judges statements.

5. this was not a real court but a administrative court, there is a difference.

5. Based on a lack of clarity to the records of 3abn, i would now join the camp of people who think linda got he short end of the stick when she left with the 250,000.00 seems to me that much more then that is due ier.

7. Folks danny could leave tomorrow sell everything pay the bills, and walk way with a nice check in his jockets(" i am not saying that he will, but he could.)

8. the board that is made to appear to run 3abn is really a rubber stamp for 3abn and has no real control.

9. I would strongly encourage every one to read this report.

Erik

Posted by: Johann Feb 27 2007, 11:27 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 28 2007, 01:44 AM)

Interesting that you quoted Hal Steenson's sermon "Accuser of the Brethren."

And I sure an happy to see you back, HOTY

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 28 2007, 01:30 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 27 2007, 10:27 PM)

And I sure an happy to see you back, HOTY

HOTY,

Me too! I was a little worried you had been "found out".

PB

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 28 2007, 07:21 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 28 2007, 02:30 PM)

HOTY,

Me too! I was a little worried you had been "found out".

PB

Nope, still hanging around.

Maybe there will be another fast.

 rofl

Posted by: Bystander Feb 28 2007, 07:50 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 28 2007, 07:21 PM)

Nope, still hanging around.

Maybe there will be another fast.

We can only hope. Fasting and prayer can only be good. Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

Posted by: Clay Feb 28 2007, 07:53 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 07:50 PM)

We can only hope. Fasting and prayer can only be good. Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

interesting to see a person full of so much haterade....



Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 28 2007, 08:06 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 08:50 PM)

Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

Oh, and you would be wrong. Just plain wrong. Did I say wrong? Yes, you are wrong.



Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 28 2007, 08:12 PM

Throw them off track eh? Nice that you admit that 3abn is trying to track down HOTY and take away his freedom of speech. I wonder how legal that is? Or ethical. But when you are the anointed one you can do as you please I guess. (sigh)

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 08:50 PM)

We can only hope. Fasting and prayer can only be good. Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 28 2007, 09:23 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 28 2007, 09:53 PM)

interesting to see a person full of so much haterade....



You know....

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 28 2007, 10:12 PM)

Throw them off track eh? Nice that you admit that 3abn is trying to track down HOTY and take away his freedom of speech. I wonder how legal that is? Or ethical. But when you are the anointed one you can do as you please I guess. (sigh)

..and I'm wrong for calling THEM demonic forces of Satan.....



don't think so.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Mar 1 2007, 12:38 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 28 2007, 06:50 PM)

We can only hope. **Fasting and prayer can only be good.** Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

bystander,

was hoping that you, wwjd and Lee would have joined us in our season of prayer here last Thursday. Sorry you couldn't be there.

'B

Posted by: LadyTenor Mar 3 2007, 11:24 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 27 2007, 06:07 PM)

Bystander, wwjd, Aletheia, Lee, make comments that instead of clarifying actually confound, or muddy the waters... their intent clearly is to confuse and misdirect.... is not Babylon symbolic of a place of confusion?

..or the Tower of Babel.....

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 28 2007, 09:12 PM)

Throw them off track eh? Nice that you admit that 3abn is trying to track down HOTY and take away his freedom of speech. I wonder how legal that is? Or ethical. But when you are the anointed one you can do as you please I guess. (sigh)

3ABN is under no obligation to protect one's freedom of speech...the First Amendment says the GOVERNMENT shall not abridge the right to free speech. I does not guarantee free speech with regard to private entities...

..having said that, I still would not be surprised if Danny Shelton's lackeys were monitoring this site for "disgruntled" employees, for lack of a better term, for the purpose of terminating their employment.....the fact that they are here spilling the beans would mean that they are not lackey or minion material.....

Posted by: wwjd Mar 4 2007, 02:45 AM

QUOTE(LadyTenor @ Mar 3 2007, 11:24 PM)

[

...having said that, I still would not be surprised if Danny Shelton's lackeys were monitoring this site for "disgruntled" employees, for lack of a better term, for the purpose of terminating their employment.....the fact that they are here spilling the beans would mean that they are not lackey or minion material....
[/size][font][color]

So everyone else is "minion material." ASI, Conference leaders, Mark F, Doug B, David A, Wintley P, Garwin M, Ellsworth M., the hundreds of ministries represented on 3abn, all the well known musical people that perform there.....all of these people are stupid or minions?.....right.....

Posted by: sister Mar 4 2007, 08:14 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 4 2007, 03:45 AM)

So everyone else is "minion material." ASI, Conference leaders, Mark F, Doug B, David A, Wintley P, Garwin M, Ellsworth M., the hundreds of ministries represented on 3abn, all the well known musical people that perform there.....all of these people are stupid or minions?.....right.....

Perhaps better terms would be uninformed or deceived...

Posted by: wwjd Mar 4 2007, 08:24 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Mar 4 2007, 08:14 AM)

Perhaps better terms would be uninformed or deceived...

Just as ludicrous either way.

Posted by: Johann Mar 4 2007, 04:28 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 1 2007, 03:50 AM)

We can only hope. Fasting and prayer can only be good. Where you been hoty? Trying to get your internet service changed? (you know, to throw em off track) Or maybe some of those appy's you sent paid off and you had go for some interviews. My bet would be on the interview.

You still have that jet plane a while longer from where you can grab your assumptions in mid air!

Posted by: Johann Mar 4 2007, 04:38 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 4 2007, 10:45 AM)


So everyone else is "minion material." ASI, Conference leaders, Mark F, Doug B, David A, Wintley P, Garwin M, Ellsworth M., the hundreds of ministries represented on 3abn, all the well known musical people that perform there.....all of these people are stupid or minions?.....right.....

Some of these have started wondering why they are invited to come to 3ABN. They are asked to preach or sing, and lo and behold it is not so difficult any more. When they get there someone else does most of the preaching and singing for them. So what is the purpose of having them there?

Posted by: Bystander Mar 4 2007, 08:53 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Mar 4 2007, 04:38 PM)


Some of these have started wondering why they are invited to come to 3ABN. They are asked to preach or sing, and lo and behold it is not so difficult any more. When they get there someone else does most of the preaching and singing for them. So what is the purpose of having them there?




This, is desperation in all it's glory....

Posted by: Johann Mar 4 2007, 09:56 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Mar 5 2007, 04:53 AM)



This, is desperation in all it's glory....

That is exactly what I am saying. Thanks for admitting it. 

Posted by: Panama_Pete Mar 4 2007, 09:57 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Mar 4 2007, 02:45 AM)

So everyone else is "minion material." ASI, Conference leaders, Mark F, Doug B, David A, Wintley P, Garwin M, Ellsworth M., the hundreds of ministries represented on 3abn, all the well known musical people

that perform there.....all of these people are stupid or minions?.....right.....

f you carry these people around in your back pocket like poker cards to be played the cards will resent being so close to your fanny.

Posted by: Rosyroi May 20 2007, 10:54 PM

QUOTE(justme @ Feb 12 2007, 10:47 PM)

"WIDOW'S' Mites" indeed! Truly sickening to think of how they are used. It has been long known that Danny can get by with anything in that county, because he has the sheriff just where he wants him.

About the donations: Anyone who has been to a 3ABN rally and who has watched Danny has seen him be approached by individuals who hand Danny an envelope. Danny usually asks, "Do you need a receipt for this?" and if the answer is "NO" it goes into Danny's pants pocket. If the answer is "Yes, please, .. thank you". he refers them to someone who will make out a receipt. 3ABN has been saying that the "Receipts are more than ever no that Linda is gone" because of the need to write more receipts to keep records looking better now that so many eyes are asking to look. Maybe the dollar amount is getting smaller but the number of recorded contributions is going up.

I know of no one who was giving to 3ABN and is still giving. I know of many who have moved their contributions to "The HOPE Channel" instead.

Danny has been ultra-upset at both Adventist Today and BSDA for what is reported about 3ABN. Danny has openly attacked AT on his platforms across the country.

(Liberal? / conservative? Which would apply to Jesus during His ministry. (Please, Don't get into it here but think about that He was accused of both, it depends on whether they were Pharisees or Gentiles.)

AT is composed largely of students and other in Southern California according to one conversation I had with them. They answer to no one, but try to offer a view that you probably wont find anywhere else. And yes they are fussy about their sources. That's probably why it has taken so long to hear about 3ABN again since the firing of Linda.

Keep on asking questions? lots of them? Let Danny and Mollie and Hal know that they are under the microscope. It still looks like Danny is the "Buffoon" along the way. It would appear that Hal and Mollie have been pushing the buttons and Danny sings the tunes. Danny actually believes what Hal and Mollie and Shellie and Kuzma tell him, "You're the chosen one". Chosen to be the "fall guy" for all the rest of the stuff. Danny actually thought he could cover up for Tommy by putting him "on the road for 3ABN" and then hiring and rehiring Tommy behind everybody's back. It's tempting to be "loyal" to family, but the family is unhappy with Tommy as well as with Danny.

"Run, Brandy, run!"(Too late).

Danny believes that Christians are not supposed to sue each other, until it's someone HE wants to sue. Think of how much discovery and documentation would come out of the woodwork in these lawsuits. Support would drop like a rock if the public saw this in the open.


The church leaders, as did ASI, will take a step back from this. They will neither support nor condemn 3ABN. They will, however side against child abuse as an issue, but they will steer clear from condemning Danny and 3ABN. I dare say the church leaders know all that we know and more. They, too have their "snoops" and tricks.

Let's remember that every one of these individuals in question is a soul that Jesus died for and they need our prayers. They need to listen to God's spirit and humble their hearts and repent.

Pray without ceasing, OK!?


A portion of this thread which is not all included here discusses Danny Shelton giving lots of money to the local high school for specific projects. Looks like he gives away lots of money. Apparently Danny needs to appear so very generous and getting lots of thanks from the school. Makes Danny Shelton appear to be a hero.

Hasen't Danny been fighting against paying taxes where some of it goes to school use? Wasen't it for some paltry sum of less than \$2600.00/year?

Of course my faulty memory can be playing tricks on me again. 


Rosyroi

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 20 2007, 11:13 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ May 20 2007, 09:54 PM) 

A portion of this thread which is not all included here discusses Danny Shelton giving lots of money to the local high school for specific projects. Looks like he gives away lots of money. Apparently Danny needs to appear so very generous and getting lots of thanks from the school. Makes Danny Shelton appear to be a hero.

Hasen't Danny been fighting against paying taxes where some of it goes to school use? Wasen't it for some paltry sum of less than \$2600.00/year?

Of course my faulty memory can be playing tricks on me again. 

Rosyroi

Rosyroi,

According to various leaders at 3abn, the money that was donated to the various projects was not from regular donors. It was from a donor or donors that specified it was to be used for such projects.

LaurenceD was not certain that the fight against paying taxes would amount to such a paltry sum. He threw out that figure if the taxes were to be figured on an assessed value of \$550,000. I commented that this would be an awfully low figure to fight about and he clarified that he was not sure of the actual figures.

With so much information to keep track of I know it is a challenge to make sure we are accurate in what we post, but it is vital to do so in order to prevent a distorting of the facts.

Posted by: lurker May 21 2007, 06:30 AM

"We can do nothing against the truth but for the truth." 2Cor 13:8

If he hadn't tried to fight the property tax, a lot more information would have remained hidden that has now come to light.

By the way, the money was given in the name of 3ABN not in the name of the "generous donor" and Danny claimed the glory. Was this money listed as income on 3ABN's books and was it pre-tagged for a special project?

In one report of a donation, Danny gave a large donation in the name of 3ABN then publicly impulsively gave an additional large amount on the spur of the moment. Had the donor preauthorized it or did it show that Danny was *pledging 3ABN funds and presuming that the donor would come through to cover it.*

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 21 2007, 06:34 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ May 21 2007, 05:30 AM)

"We can do nothing against the truth but for the truth." 2Cor 13:8
If he hadn't tried to fight the property tax, a lot more information would have remained hidden that has now come to light.



Posted by: lurker May 21 2007, 07:38 AM

A concern I have is that it is claimed that a certain donor is giving money to 3ABN to be used for local community schools and projects. I think someone said that the plane might be sponsored by someone. We are led to assume that 3ABN's (and Danny's?) legal fees are being sponsored because of the law firm being used (though at this point that is merely circumstantial evidence). I am sure that each of the principal donors are thinking that the others or one of the others are paying. What **evidence** is there that any of them are responsible and that the money isn't being taken out of general funds?

And if money is being given by a principal donor (a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) Is this reported as income to 3ABN and handled correctly or is Danny (Danny is also a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) reporting any of it to the IRS as income accrued to him? Since this is supposedly given by principal donors **for specific reasons** has the IRS investigated to see if any of this giving adversely affects 3ABN's non profit status?

Posted by: runner4him May 21 2007, 08:50 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ May 21 2007, 08:38 AM)

A concern I have is that it is claimed that a certain donor is giving money to 3ABN to be used for local community schools and projects. I think someone said that the plane might be sponsored by someone. We are led to assume that 3ABN's (and Danny's?) legal fees are being sponsored because of the law firm being used (though at this point that is merely circumstantial evidence). I am sure that each of the principal donors are thinking that the others or one of the others are paying. What **evidence** is there that any of them are responsible and that the money isn't being taken out of general funds?

And if money is being given by a principal donor (a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) Is this reported as income to 3ABN and handled correctly or is Danny (Danny is also a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) reporting any of it to the IRS as income accrued to him? Since this is supposedly given by principal donors **for specific reasons** has the IRS investigated to see if any of this giving adversely affects 3ABN's non profit status?

Very good points, Lurker! A new thought....monies given for the legal fees ...would these monies be seen as income for Danny and then would he have to pay TAXES on that money? That could present as a real problem for him. Money donated vs money given for other purposes like the plane or whateverhow is the money viewed through the eyes of the IRS? Hope Danny has good counsel with all this. Anyone out there...have some light on this?

Posted by: Johann May 21 2007, 09:26 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ May 21 2007, 03:38 PM)

A concern I have is that it is claimed that a certain donor is giving money to 3ABN to be used for local community schools and projects. I think someone said that the plane might be sponsored by someone. We are led to assume that 3ABN's (and Danny's?) legal fees are being sponsored because of the law firm being used (though at this point that is merely circumstantial evidence). I am sure that each of the principal donors are thinking that the others or one of the others are paying. What **evidence** is there that any of them are responsible and that the money isn't being taken out of general funds?

And if money is being given by a principal donor (a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) Is this reported as income to 3ABN and handled correctly or is Danny (Danny is also a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) reporting any of it to the IRS as income accrued to him? Since this is supposedly given by principal donors **for specific reasons** has the IRS investigated to see if any of this giving adversely affects 3ABN's non profit status?

Regulations are not the same everywhere, but in certain parts of the world if you receive such aid from another person it would count as taxable personal income. This could also apply to funds donated to pay for the private investigators who trailed us when we were around there back in 2004.

Posted by: Fran May 21 2007, 10:19 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ May 21 2007, 08:38 AM)

A concern I have is that it is claimed that a certain donor is giving money to 3ABN to be used for local community schools and projects. I think someone said that the plane might be sponsored by someone. We are led to assume that 3ABN's (and Danny's?) legal fees are being sponsored because of the law firm being used (though at this point that is merely circumstantial evidence). I am sure that each of the principal donors are thinking that the others or one of the others are paying. What **evidence** is there that any of them are responsible and that the money isn't being taken out of general funds?

And if money is being given by a principal donor (a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) Is this reported as income to 3ABN and handled correctly or is Danny (Danny is also a disqualified person in the eyes of the government) reporting any of it to the IRS as income accrued to him? Since this is supposedly given by principal donors **for specific reasons** has the IRS investigated to see if any of this giving adversely affects 3ABN's non profit status?

Lurker,

We must do lunch one day! I just love your language!

Posted by: Panama_Pete May 21 2007, 10:23 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ May 21 2007, 09:26 AM) □

Regulations are not the same everywhere, but in certain parts of the world if you receive such aid from another person it would count as taxable personal income. This could also apply to funds donated to pay for the private investigators who trailed us when we were around there back in 2004.

If you take the names Desi Arnaz and Lucille Ball and combine them, you can get Desilu Productions.



If you take the names Garwin and Marilee McNeilus, and combine them, you can get the Garmar Foundation. This is just an observation. Anyone here heard of Garmar?

Garmar Foundation

Address 1: 65742 State Highway 56
Address 2:
City: Dodge Center
State: MN
Zip Code: 55927-7750
Contact:
Telephone: 507-374-2000
<http://www.tgci.com/funding/fdnresultnew.asp?thisID=6502>

page 22

http://www.religionandsocialpolicy.org/docs/research/6-22-2003_private_foundation_giving_patterns.pdf

Aimee and Frank Batten, Jr. Foundation \$ 13,225,685 2000
Dr. Scholl Foundation \$ 12,815,494 2000
Booth Ferris Foundation \$ 12,449,500 2000
Helen Bader Foundation, Inc. \$ 12,385,092 2000
Garmar Foundation \$ 11,894,351 2000

Posted by: lurker May 21 2007, 11:09 AM

Well Pete, since you opened up that can of worms, Garmar's 990's are available for viewing at Guidestar. They are there are 2 Garmars there. The Dodge Center one is the one you want, not the Hawaii one.

Posted by: Grith May 21 2007, 06:36 PM

Does anyone know why the *Garmar Foundation* is sharing the same address with *G. McNeilus Wind Energy Company* when the latter is a for profit company and the former is a Tax Exempt/NonProfit Organization (other than Garwin McNeilus heading both)?

Compare <http://www.taxexemptworld.com/organization.asp?tn=857480> and <http://www.elcon.org/Documents/FERCFilings/091205EPSA-ELCON-etcAlliantFiling.pdf> [see page 10]

Posted by: lurker May 21 2007, 06:54 PM

QUOTE(Grith @ May 21 2007, 06:36 PM)

Does anyone know why the *Garmar Foundation* is sharing the same address with *G. McNeilus Wind Energy Company* when the latter is a for profit company and the former is a Tax Exempt/NonProfit Organization (other than Garwin McNeilus heading both)?

Compare <http://www.taxexemptworld.com/organization.asp?tn=857480> and <http://www.elcon.org/Documents/FERCFilings/091205EPSA-ELCON-etcAlliantFiling.pdf> [see page 10]

The Wind Energy Company funds the Garmar Foundation. This is perfectly legitimate and on the up and up. If you read the GarMar 990's you will see that they state that is where the money is coming from and it also states the causes that money is donated to by the foundation and the amounts donated.

Posted by: st mary May 21 2007, 06:59 PM

This my first time accessing you site but I had been reading for a few weeks. I am just a regular member of the SDA church and I have watched with much disappointment at first but now with interest at what has been taking place at 3ABN and the church. I now see this as sad as it is, as a good thing as nothing can happen without God's permission. For those of us who have placed our faith in the church, this has come to shatter our faith and for us to reexamine our faith and place it where it belongs which is in God and for those of us who already have strong faith in God, this should strength our faith.

Posted by: runner4him May 21 2007, 07:12 PM

QUOTE(st mary @ May 21 2007, 06:59 PM)

This my first time accessing you site but I had been reading for a few weeks. I am just a regular member of the SDA church and I have watched with much disappointment at first but now with interest at what has been taking place at 3ABN and the church. I now see this as sad as it is, as a good thing as nothing can happen without God's permission. For those of us who have placed our faith in the church, this has come to shatter our faith and for us to reexamine our faith and place it where it belongs which is in God and for those of us who already have strong faith in God, this should strength our faith.

Welcome st mary! You will feel most welcome here. You are right...we need to place our faith where it belongs....in God and not in man. It is a wakeup call for all of us....time to stop and rethink what we are doing and what IS our mission here on earth? It is not a work to leave to others but a work we must do ourselves...work out our Salvation in Him and then pray that we hear that still small voice behind us saying "here is the way....walk ye in it". We all fall short of the glory of God and need that redeeming blood and grace to follow Him. This is a sad time as we become aware of corruption where we thought only good things were happening but it is also a time to glory as we see our Lord work to refine His people.

Posted by: Rosyroi May 21 2007, 07:17 PM

QUOTE(st mary @ May 21 2007, 04:59 PM)

This my first time accessing you site but I had been reading for a few weeks. I am just a regular member of the SDA church and I have watched with much disappointment at first but now with interest at what has been taking place at 3ABN and the church. I now see this as sad as it is, as a good thing as nothing can happen without God's permission. For those of us who have placed our faith in the church, this has come to shatter our faith and for us to reexamine our faith and place it where it belongs which is in God and for those of us who already have strong faith in God, this should strength our faith.

welc
to BSDA st mary.

Rosyroi

Posted by: st mary May 21 2007, 07:58 PM

Thank you so much for welcoming me.

For a number of years we had the sky angel network in our home and was truly blessed by it as I watched Miss Linda so sincere in her praise and service to God. God used her greatly to reach me at a defining moment in my life and I have been praying for her ever since her ordeal began. The details of what had happened is not nearly as important as the result of it all. The impotent 3ABN board under the instruction of demons have taken it upon themselves to destroy one whom Christ died for, one created in the image of God. I had been told by a pastor at 3ABN when I called the Pastoral Department asking why was there no room for forgiveness even if she was to have committed the sin and I was told that there is much more to what I am hearing. But if any 3abn supporter would dare to comment please spare me the details. I do not need to know them. They are totally unimportant and irrelevant to me and I believe, it is to God also. Forgiveness is a must without any conditions attached.

I received an amazing understanding of forgiveness and redemption from Miss Linda while watching her speak on tv. I could feel the presence of the Holy Spirit shining through her when I watched and I was blessed. Time passed and I started to feel an evil presence coming through. The talk was evil, crude and even the sermons of Mr Lomacong, one whom I had respected was shockingly, demonic. I started to select what and who I watched on 3ABN. IT was a relief though for my family and me when it was taken off Sky angel network. I just refuse to listen to to the toxic talk and atmosphere of what once was a good thing.

Posted by: princessdi May 21 2007, 08:09 PM

Merciliations!!!! Welcome to BSDA st mary!!!!

QUOTE(st mary @ May 21 2007, 06:58 PM)

Thank you so much for welcoming me.

For a number of years we had the sky angel network in our home and was truly blessed by it as I watched Miss Linda so sincere in her praise and service to God. God used her greatly to reach me at a defining moment in my life and I have been praying for her ever since her ordeal began. The details of what had happened is not nearly as important as the result of it all. The impotent 3ABN board under the instruction of demons have taken it upon themselves to destroy one whom Christ died for, one created in the image of God. I had been told by a pastor at 3ABN when I called the Pastoral Department asking why was there no room for forgiveness even if she was to have committed the sin and I was told that there is much more to what I am hearing. But if any 3abn supporter would dare to comment please spare me the details. I do


not need to know them. They are totally unimportant and irrelevant to me and I believe, it is to God also. Forgiveness is a must without any conditions attached. I received an amazing understanding of forgiveness and redemption from Miss Linda while watching her speak on tv. I could feel the presence of the Holy Spirit shining through her when I watched and I was blessed. Time passed and I started to feel an evil presence coming through. The talk was evil, crude and even the sermons of Mr Lomacong, one whom I had respected was shockingly, demonic. I started to select what and who I watched on 3ABN. IT was a relief though for my family and me when it was taken off Sky angel network. I just refuse to listen to the toxic talk and atmosphere of what once was a good thing.


Posted by: roxe May 21 2007, 10:39 PM

QUOTE(st mary @ May 21 2007, 07:58 PM) □

Thank you so much for welcoming me.
...under the instruction of demons...
...Time passed and I started to feel an evil presence coming through. The talk was evil, crude and even the sermons of Mr Lomacong, one whom I had respected was shockingly, demonic...
...it was taken off Sky angel network...

 welc

 wow.gif

who is this lady? you are  !!

t's called fundamentalist charismatic pentecostalism... demon worship... dressed up in SDA terminology... and to me, this is much worse than anything else going on...

plus sad news... not only are they back on sky angel, but the dish network has made 3abn part of their basic package... now even more people can be exposed to this...


personally, i hope and pray that this court case breaks WIDE open across this country and around the world... people get their eyes open and the funds dry up as the dew before the morning sun.


MHO...

Posted by: Fran May 22 2007, 12:34 AM

QUOTE(roxie @ May 21 2007, 11:39 PM) □

 welc

 wow.gif

who is this lady? you are  !!

it's called fundamentalist charismatic pentecostalism... demon worship... dressed up in SDA terminology... and to me, this is much worse than anything else going on...

plus sad news... not only are they back on sky angel, but the dish network has made 3abn part of their basic package... now even more people can be exposed to this...

personally, i hope and pray that this court case breaks WIDE open across this country and around the world... people get their eyes open and the funds dry up as the dew before the morning sun.

JMHO...

Are you actually getting 3ABN? I just looked again. They said they would have the same Chanel. There is nothing there. ??????

Posted by: LaurenceD May 22 2007, 03:46 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 21 2007, 12:13 AM)

LaurenceD was not certain that the fight against paying taxes would amount to such a paualtry sum. He threw out that figure if the taxes were to be figured on an assessed value of \$550,000. I commented that this would be an awfully low figure to fight about and he clarified that he was not sure of the actual figures.

I've learned a little more about property taxes in Illinois. Here's an overview of how they work...
<http://www.iltax.com/Publications/LocalGovernment/PTAX1004.pdf>

And an example of the breakdown with the different taxing districts within a county in Illinois...

Understanding Your Property Tax Bill

How is a tax bill calculated?

Once tax rates for all taxing bodies in a county have been set, the County Clerk must add up the rates which apply to particular areas in the county. Different parts of the county are under jurisdiction of different combinations of taxing districts. The County Clerk divides the county into tax code areas, in which all property is subject to the jurisdiction of the same combination of taxing units and thus has the same combination of tax rates. Aggregate rates are computed for each code area. A tax bill is calculated by multiplying the equalized assessed value of a property (less any homestead exemptions) by the aggregate rate for the tax code area in which the property lies.

The aggregate rate seen on a bill will be a combination of a county rate, a township rate, a school district, a city rate (if a taxpayer lives within the boundaries of an incorporated municipality), and rates for any special districts, such as fire, sanitary, etc., which service the area. In Illinois, the rate is generally expressed in terms of dollars per hundred dollars of equalized assessed valuation (the same as a percent).

As an example, an aggregate rate of 9.964 might include:

County 1.068
City 2.570
School District 4.485
Jr. College .420
Forest Preserve District .080

Sanitary District .525
Park District .816
Total - 9.964

A taxpayer whose home had an equalized assessed value of \$20,000 (after the homestead exemptions were deducted) would have had, based on this rate, a tax bill of \$1,993.
<http://www.iltax.com/LocalGovernment/PropertyTax/taxbill.htm>

Notice in the example, a home valued at \$20,000 is taxed nearly \$2,000. That's huge. That's \$10,000 per \$100,000 valuation. Unheard of around here. I actually know of a house around here valued at \$550,000 and the annual property taxes are only \$3,300. I looked it up online via the county's website...which has every parcel in the county listed for public viewing. It also shows the sales history for the last several years. Yep, you can easily find out what the seller paid for it.

The state doesn't collect a percentage of the property tax, as I previously suggested. It does in my state. Rather, it stays at the local levels. Also, by law, only 33.3% of the total equalized value is taxed. The tax rate is generally higher than I thought. I don't know the rates in Franklin Co., but if you were to take a value of \$550,000, and multiply that by an average aggregate rate of 7.000...that would be $\$550,000 \times .0700 = \$38,500$. But, we don't know if the 550K is the total value or the assessed value (based on 33.3%). If the 550K is the total assessment, then one third of that would mean the property tax bill would be \$12,833. Anyway, however you look at it, the school might only end up with half the total property tax. That would be worth challenging 3abn over, whether the net benefit to the school was only \$6,417, or even better if it was \$19,250 (half of \$38,500).

Posted by: roxe May 22 2007, 10:07 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ May 22 2007, 12:34 AM)

Are you actually getting 3ABN? I just looked again. They said they would have the same Chanel. There is nothing there. ??????

Fran, sorry... but i posted that before reading in other threads that there seems to be troubled silence about what is supposed to be happening... grammietana was gracious to send me her vhs tape of the merger... watching it several times, i was just going by their excited assurances that all this was/is really happening.

i don't have a tv... so have no way of knowing if all that "excitement" is just "blowing in the wind" and more "nothingness"...

if nothing is really happening... then why waste 2 hours of airtime and money leading us down the garden path?? perhaps they did the broadcast hoping to receive more \$\$...

who knows...

Posted by: Rosyroi May 22 2007, 10:17 PM

QUOTE(roxe @ May 22 2007, 08:07 PM)

Fran, sorry... but i posted that before reading in other threads that there seems to be troubled silence about what is supposed to be happening... grammietana was gracious to send me her vhs tape of the merger... watching it several times, i was just going by their excited assurances that all this was/is really happening.

i don't have a tv... so have no way of knowing if all that "excitement" is just "blowing in the wind" and more "nothingness"...

if nothing is really happening... then why waste 2 hours of airtime and money leading us down the garden path?? perhaps they did the broadcast hoping to receive more \$\$...

who knows...

t i\$ always\$ the \$\$\$\$\$.

\$hould \$tart counting the time\$ Danny or other\$ talk about a\$king for donor monie\$ at each program. They hink we are all \$ucker\$.

Ro\$yRoi

Posted by: Fran May 22 2007, 10:24 PM

QUOTE(roxe @ May 22 2007, 11:07 PM)

Fran, sorry... but i posted that before reading in other threads that there seems to be troubled silence about what is supposed to be happening... grammietana was gracious to send me her vhs tape of the merger... watching it several times, i was just going by their excited assurances that all this was/is really happening.

i don't have a tv... so have no way of knowing if all that "excitement" is just "blowing in the wind" and more "nothingness"...

if nothing is really happening... then why waste 2 hours of airtime and money leading us down the garden path?? perhaps they did the broadcast hoping to receive more \$\$...

who knows...

Well, I thought they may have changed their channel.


You ask some the same questions I do about all the hoop-la!

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ May 22 2007, 11:17 PM)

It i\$ always\$ the \$\$\$\$\$.

I \$hould \$tart counting the time\$ Danny or other\$ talk about a\$king for donor monie\$ at each program. They think we are all \$ucker\$.

Ro\$yRoi




Posted by: roxe May 22 2007, 10:37 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ May 22 2007, 10:17 PM)

It is always the \$\$\$\$\$.

I should start counting the times Danny or others talk about asking for donor money at each program. They think we are all suckers.

Ro\$yRoi

Ro\$yRoi, you're a breath of fresh air! 

...and \$o is Fran...



Posted by: sonshineonme May 22 2007, 10:56 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ May 22 2007, 09:17 PM)

It is always the \$\$\$\$\$.

I should start counting the times Danny or others talk about asking for donor money at each program. They think we are all suckers.

Ro\$yRoi



what a spot on post!!! love it!!!!!!!!!!!!

Posted by: Noahswife May 23 2007, 12:35 PM

There have been many questions regarding 501(c)(3) organizations. I thought I would share the following if anyone is interested.....

Q: What is the difference between a public charity and private foundation?

A: All organizations which apply for tax exemption under 501(c)(3) are either classified as "public charities" or "private foundations." A 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization is classified as a "private foundation" unless it falls under one of three exceptions, in which case it is classified as a public charity: (1) by virtue of its activities (churches, schools, hospitals, and a few others); (2) it is organized and operated exclusively for the benefit of, to perform the functions of, or to carry out the purposes of, a public charity; or (3) it can show that it is "publicly supported" (which generally means receiving financial support from a broad group of funding sources).

With regard to "publicly supported" public charities, the determination of whether an organization is publicly supported is based initially on the information provided by the Organization on its Application for Tax Exemption (IRS Form 1023) and then on the informational tax returns filed annually with the IRS (IRS Form 990 or 990-EZ). Additionally, at the end of its "Advance Ruling Period" the Organization should file IRS Form 8734 to prove that its sources of revenue meet the "public support test" or otherwise meets the operating tests for remaining a public charity.

Why does this classification make a difference? Determination as a public charity, rather than as a private

foundation, is generally more desirable for most nonprofit organizations, for several reasons: private foundations are subject to a 2% tax on net investment income; private foundations are generally prohibited from engaging in a number of activities that public charities may undertake, including an absolute prohibition on lobbying activities; contributions to private foundations are not deductible to the donor to the same extent as contributions to public charities; and reporting and disclosure requirements for private foundations are more strict than for public charities.

For those interested here is a bit more regarding these organizations:

<http://www.probonopartner.org/publications/pbpfaq.htm>

Posted by: lurker Jun 5 2007, 07:03 AM

Much has been made of the influence of a few wealthy donors. I looked at the Garmar 990's and then at this website. <http://tinyurl.com/37a2za>

And it seems to me that the bulk of the money comes instead from the stockholders in the pews. This site refers to charitable gift annuities from donors in one state only and for a limited period of time.

Posted by: Rosyroi Jun 5 2007, 12:40 PM

QUOTE(lurker @ Jun 5 2007, 05:03 AM)

Much has been made of the influence of a few wealthy donors. I looked at the Garmar 990's and then at this website. <http://tinyurl.com/37a2za>

And it seems to me that the bulk of the money comes instead from the stockholders in the pews. This site refers to charitable gift annuities from donors in one state only and for a limited period of time.

Thank you lurker.

This information you have provided has helped me feel less fearful about the presumed power these big money doners seem to have in control over the situation at 3ABN.

There are so many powerful people in our church who apparently effortlessly control quite a bit of our church activities. This is present from the local level all the way up the GC.

I need to remind myself God is in control. He just lets the pharasees get away with things just so long before truth comes out. Today with www truth is comming out years faster than it used to. Of course gossip also travels at least as fast or faster.

JMO

Rosyroi

Posted by: lurker Jun 8 2007, 06:43 AM

As we have been reminded, just because the GC has made a statement doesn't mean that it is all over. And as I digest some of the information I am still finding, I would like to point out that in this particular document, it was noted that 3ABN issued 18 charitable gift annuities in Washington state after having knowledge that it was required to obtain a certificate of exemption. <http://tinyurl.com/37a2za>

What is the consensus here? In your opinions did they, in their hunger for money, deliberately break the law? Would the fine have been less if they had waited to issue them until it was legal after they found out they needed a certificate? Were they afraid that the donors would tire of waiting and go with another

ministry or to the church with their money?

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)