Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ News Release From Amazing Facts---4-25-07

Posted by: summertime May 11 2007, 09:43 PM

I have just finished reading the Questions and Answers about the merger of AF and 3ABN. This was a news release of 4-25-07 put out by Amazing Facts. ---The news release consisted of Frequently Asked Quesitons about the merger. This is noted on Maritime SDA by Daryl Fawcett. According to all the questions noted it leads one to believe that there actually will be very little change in the proposed union.

- Q. Estimated timeline for the proposed union?
- A. All operations at both ministries will continue as normal for the coming months.
- Q. Will AF move to Illinois or 3ABN to Ca?
- A: No. They will retain their own campuses.
- Q. Will 3ABN be assumed by the SDA denomination?
- A. No.. Both will fall under a new board to be led by laity. (Maybe this is the answer)
- Q. Will Doug Batchelor be the new president of 3ABN?
- A: No. DS is still the president of 3ABN.
- Q: Will DB move to Illinois?
- A; This is unlikely.
- Q: Will AF or 3ABN change their name?
- A: There are no plans for either ministry to lose its identity.
- Q: Why unite/partner if so many things will remain the same?
- A: Uniting AF and 3ABN will complement each other highly in many areas.
- O: Should monthly support be sent to each ministry the same as always?
- A: At this time the finances of both ministries will continue to be run separately. Please continue to give as you always have.

I see very little change and I am wondering why things are going to stay so much the same after much has been made about the merger. I would like to understand this merger(?) in a more knowledgeable way. I want to contribute to Amazing Facts but have ceased giving to 3ABN. So what really is the answer?

Posted by: Fran May 11 2007, 10:42 PM

QUOTE(summertime @ May 11 2007, 10:43 PM)

I have just finished reading the Questions and Answers about the merger of AF and 3ABN. This was a news release of 4-25-07 put out by Amazing Facts. ---The news release consisted of Frequently Asked Questions about the merger. This is noted on Maritime SDA by Daryl Fawcett. According to all the questions noted it leads one to believe that there actually will be very little change in the proposed union.

- Q. Estimated time-line for the proposed union?
- A. All operations at both ministries will continue as normal for the coming months.

Q. Will AF move to Illinois or 3ABN to Ca? A: No. They will retain their own campuses.	
Q. Will 3ABN be assumed by the SDA denomination? A. No Both will fall under a new board to be led by laity. (Maybe this is the answer)	
Q. Will Doug Bachelor be the new president of 3ABN? A: No. DS is still the president of 3ABN.	
Q: Will DB move to Illinois? A; This is unlikely.	
Q: Will AF or 3ABN change their name? A: There are no plans for either ministry to lose its identity.	
Q: Why unite/partner if so many things will remain the same? A: Uniting AF and 3ABN will complement each other highly in many areas.	
Q: Should monthly support be sent to each ministry the same as always? A: At this time the finances of both ministries will continue to be run separately. Please continue to be run separately. Please continue to be run separately. Please continue to be run separately.	nue to give as
I see very little change and I am wondering why things are going to stay so much the same a been made about the merger. I would like to understand this merger(?) in a more knowledge want to contribute to Amazing Facts but have ceased giving to 3ABN. So what really is the ar	eable way. I
had to decide not to give to either entity. Not to 3ABN until Management, accountin hanges.	ng and culture
' and withing AF and hald weiting for many information	
: am putting AF on hold waiting for more information.	
am putting AF on hold waiting for more information. do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is a hought after all. Yeah, even with children.	ve those we
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is not be the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe A what they believe is truth.	ve those we not as you t! AF will get
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is inhought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing in my donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a cnowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my do	ve those we not as you t! AF will get onations until
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is in hought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing it ny donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a unowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my do thanges are made at 3ABN. Thought after all. Yeah, even with children.	ve those we not as you t! AF will get conations until use, contrary cook several
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is in hought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing it my donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a unowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my do thanges are made at 3ABN. The honestly believe AF is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because	t! AF will get onations until use, contrary ook several
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is inhought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing in my donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a chowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my dochanges are made at 3ABN. Thonestly believe AF is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because o common belief, refill I don't know everything, especially legal cases! I to classes in law and even studied federal cases of IRS findings, I have never dealt with	t! AF will get onations until use, contrary ook several
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is in hought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing in my donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a chowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my do changes are made at 3ABN. Thought after all. Yeah, even with children. The thick was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe as humans	t! AF will get onations until use, contrary ook several
do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe A what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe ove. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is in hought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing in ny donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a mowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my do thanges are made at 3ABN. Thonestly believe AF is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because to common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief. I don't know everything, especially legal cases! I to common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief. I don't know everything, especially legal cases! I to common belief, which is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because the common belief.	t! AF will get onations until use, contrary ook several o State law!

I do not believe the two entities are sing from the same sheet music. Yet, I believe AF speaks what they believe is truth. This was a very hard choice. We as humans, tend to believe those we love. Yeah, even our children. Then the day comes when you begin to see that all is not as you thought after all. Yeah, even with children. We actually are esteeming one above the other and don't even realize we are doing it! AF will get my donations when I am 100% sure they have all the needed information to make a knowledgeable decision, and when I know that 3ABN will not get one penny of my donations until changes are made at 3ABN. I honestly believe AF is not aware of all the facts. I can't throw stones at them because, contrary to common belief, 🗂 rof I don't know everything, especially legal cases! I took several classes in law and even studied federal cases of IRS findings, I have never dealt with State law! However, I do understand accounting and can read what is in print. We all can understand that. /ery perseptive of you Summertime....you are catching on. and Fran, I agree and am doing the same thing. I have already written db and told him so. can't say with certainty that AF is not aware of all the facts; you would have to assume that is true because rou wouldn't think db would walk into this with eyes wide open. But, it really does depend on a persons notive (who ever they may be, and where ever they may be). Nothing would suprise me anymore, nothing. Posted by: mozart May 11 2007, 11:13 PM what i got out of summertime's post was that AF is being just as secretive and evasive as WT, etal. so, why tell us about anything at all if you are not really telling us anything at all? it makes me feel like they are all playing me for a fool. as for contributions, i decided long ago that my tithe goes for orphans and widows (Reach, Int'l) and a small ministry that i truly believe in. (www.shepherdscall.com) and to the Groves,TX. local church "rebuilding" budget (church destroyed in hurricane rita) we give offerings for our local church budget and school where we are now living as well as a portion for buying books to distribute ourselves; mainly "the great controversy" and "finding peace within" (a version of steps to Christ with half the book being STC and the other half being questions with scripture answers) and a non-Adventist booklet titled "how to be free from bitterness" by Jim Wilson. (an amazing little book) QUOTE(sonshineonme @ May 11 2007, 10:47 PM) \Box Very perseptive of you Summertime....you are catching on. And Fran, I agree and am doing the same thing. I have already written db and told him so. $oxed{1}$ can't say with certainty that AF is not aware of all the facts; you would have to assume that is true because you wouldn't think db would walk into this with eyes wide open. But, it really does depend on a persons motive (who ever they may be, and where ever they may be). Nothing would suprise me anymore,

nothing.

Posted by: sonshineonme May 11 2007, 11:28 PM

						r	
OHOTE	(mozart @	14	11	7007	10.12	DMI	
COCIE	HUZGIL	ridy		4UU1	, iv.is	- T - 1" 1 / L	

what i got out of summertime's post was that AF is being just as secretive and evasive as WT, etal. so, why tell us about anything at all if you are not really telling us anything at all? it makes me feel like they are all playing me for a fool.

as for contributions, i decided long ago that my tithe goes for orphans and widows and a couple of small ministries that i truly believe in. my offering goes to local church budget and for buying books to distribute; mainly "the great controversy" and "finding peace within" (a version of steps to Christ with half the book being STC and the other half being questions with scripture answers) and a non-Adventist booklet titled "how to be free from bitterness" by Jim Wilson. (an amazing little book)

I hear you Mo....I have said it before, and I will say it again (and maybe once or twice again someday) they underestimate the thinking sda. Guess they will have to learn the hard way.

I too have sent my tithe to other ministries (orphans too) that are sda that I KNOW are using it correctly. I feel ministry is ministry. Some would not agree, I'm sure. But that's ok. I don't mind if they don't agree. I haven't given too much to my local church, there are reasons for that, but, I have contributed to the "new building" fund a few times...fun seeing those little kids collect your dollar bills on their way up to the childrens story and put it all in the little glass (see thru) model of a church...symbolic, isn't it?

Posted by: summertime May 12 2007, 08:30 AM

Because of health reasons I decided to stay home today from church and listen to the Sabbath School on Safe TV by DB and his son Stephen. FOr some reason, because of the way this merger has been made public, I could not feel as trusting DB as I always have. It bothered me a lot. Does he really agree with all the things that have been spouted by DS and have decided to come to his rescue? Or is this merger an example of two entities being unequally yoked together? Why have they made this merger information available to the public if there is really not going to be an effort to make wrongs right? Adding the name of Amazing Facts and DB to the wrongs that we have been watching in the person of Danny SHelton really does not make everything moral and understandably fair.

Maybe sometime in the future we will understand the reason for this merger. Does Amazing Facts feel that merging will be an honest way of being provided more sattelite exposure for the Word of God? Or is this a way of forming the largest Christian station being aired by sattelite? As for my contributions---they will remain in my own home church until I see how this all plays out.

Posted by: runner4him May 12 2007, 12:30 PM

QUOTE(summertime @ May 12 2007, 08:30 AM)

Because of health reasons I decided to stay home today from church and listen to the Sabbath School on Safe TV by DB and his son Stephen. FOr some reason, because of the way this merger has been made public, I could not feel as trusting DB as I always have. It bothered me a lot. Does he really agree with all the things that have been spouted by DS and have decided to come to his rescue? Or is this merger an example of two entities being unequally yoked together? Why have they made this merger information available to the public if there is really not going to be an effort to make wrongs right? Adding the name of Amazing Facts and DB to the wrongs that we have been watching in the person of Danny SHelton really

does not make everything moral and understandably fair.

Maybe sometime in the future we will understand the reason for this merger. Does Amazing Facts feel that merging will be an honest way of being provided more sattelite exposure for the Word of God? Or is this a way of forming the largest Christian station being aired by sattelite? As for my contributions---they will remain in my own home church until I see how this all plays out.

agree...the merger announcement without any clarification is very troubling and just raises more questions or me and many supporters. The lawsuit is another issue...how can DB support a move like that....suing ellow Christians and keeping those who are being sued muzzled? My contributions are also going locally and o the needy...places where I can see how they are being used for the Gospel.

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 10:08 AM

I have been asked to post a series of email exchanges between an inquiring mind here at BSDA and James Pedersen who is president of the Northern California Conference. I am doing this with the emailed permission of both parties to the exchange. I will post the exchanges edited only to avoid disclosing any bsda member identities.

To: jpedersen@ncc.adventist.org

Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2007 2:53 PM

Subject: Re: Amazing Facts

Dear Jim,

Thank you for taking my call this afternoon and providing me with the information about Amazing Facts and their relationship with the conference.

I am not certain that I got everything straight so I thought I would email you to verify.

What I understand from you is that Amazing Facts is:

- 1. A part of the NCC, but actually "under the umbrella" of the conference.
- 2. The NCC oversees the ministry
- 2. Amazing Facts has their own separate Board of Directors
- 3. The NCC provides credentials to Amazing Facts
- 4. The NCC provides certificates for Amazing Facts
- 5. When Amazing Facts merges with 3abn, they will no longer be under the NCC umbrella. That relationship will cease.

Is this information correct? Please correct me if I am wrong.

One further question I would like to ask is, does the NCC provide financial support for Amazing Facts?

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2007 4:25 PM

Subject: Re: Amazing Facts

Hi, nice to chat with you. Let me respond with some clarifications to the AF questions you raise.

Amazing Facts is listed in the NAD Yearbook under the Northern California Conference. That makes them an official SDA entity, with ties to NCC — "under the umbrella" of NCC.

Amazing Facts has its own separate board of directors. Thus, the NCC does not directly oversee the ministry. As NCC President, I chair the AF board, as per the AF Bylaws. However, the constituency of AF is technically made up of a majority of NCC members.

As a SDA entity, AF leaders and evangelists are entitled to receive SDA credentials. Currently, those

credentials are issued by the Pacific Union Conference, not NCC.

I'm not sure what "certificates" you make reference to. Please clarify.

If AF and 3ABN actually merge, there would be a new constituency and a new board, which would take them out from under the umbrella of the NCC, as I understand it.

There is no direct financial support from NCC to AF. They handle their own payroll, raise their own funds, etc.

I hope this helps. Feel free to contact me again as needed.

Best wishes,

Jim

James E. Pedersen
President
Northern California Conference of Seventh-day Adventists
401 Taylor Blvd.
P.O. Box 23165
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523
925-685-4300 x1200

Posted by: IMM May 24 2007, 10:33 AM

jpedersen@ncc.adventist.org

...interesting...

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 11:03 AM

QUOTE(IMM @ May 24 2007, 12:33 PM) —

...interesting...

To: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 6:19 AM

Subject: Re: Amazing Facts

Good morning Jim,

You mentioned that the constituency of AF is "made up of a majority of NCC members". I was wondering who this constituency is. Are they representatives of other ministries, NCC churches, SDA business people, NCC leadership, or?

Has this constituency already approved the proposed merger between AF and 3abn?

Will you still be a board member if AF and 3abn actually merge?

I have been blessed by the ministry of Doug Batchelor and Amazing Facts for years but know little about the actual organization. I and many others are watching this merger news with great interest (and lots of questions).

Have a Blessed Sabbath

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 6:55 AM

Subject: Re: Amazing Facts

Hello, here are the brief answers.

- 1. The AF constituency is made up of the AF Board, the NCC Executive Committee, a specified number of pastors and laypeople from NCC, some representatives from the Pacific Union Conference, and a designated number of other people that AF & NCC choose. The majority of these individuals are from NCC.
- 2. The AF constituency has not yet been convened to approve this proposed merger. I believe that would happen when all the pieces are in place.
- 3. I do not know if I will still be a member of the newly formed board.

I hope this helps.

Best wishes, Jim Pedersen

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 03:01 PM

To: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 7:35 AM

Subject: Another Question

Hi Jim,

I meant to ask you this in my last email but it slipped my mind.

Are you familiar with an online publication called Adventist Today? I am not familiar with their views or reputation so I really don't know if what they report is accurate news or not. As a Seventh-day Adventist leader, do you have an opinion about their credibility?

The reason for my question is that an acquaintance recently sent me an email story by Adventist Today that reported numerous shocking details and allegations against some of the leadership of 3abn. Have you read the story? I don't have it in my Inbox any longer but could probably get another copy from my acquaintance if you have not seen it.

It would be very helpful to know your perspective on Adventist Today so I could know what to do with the information contained in that article.

Sent: Friday, April 27, 2007 5:23 PM Subject: Re: Another Question

Hì,

In addition to the official SDA publications (i.e., Adventist Review, Signs of the Times, etc.), there are a number of private SDA publications, each representing a particular viewpoint about the church. One such publication is Adventists Affirm (www.adventisaffirm.org) which represents more of the conservative view of the SDA church. Another one is Adventist Today (www.atoday.com) which represents more of the progressive view of the church. Both of them have valid information, however they will both tend to filter their information through their particular viewpoint.

As president, I'm on the mailing list for both of these publications and I glance at them from time to time. Each of them has helpful information. And I believe they both endeavor to be as accurate as possible in any information that they disseminate.

I hope this is of help.

To: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Monday, April 30, 2007 2:26 AM Subject: Re: Amazing Facts 3abn merger

Dear Jim,

Thank you so much for your perspective on Adventist Today. I had not heard of Adventist Affirm but went to their website and saw an article by David Asscherick in the current issue of their publication. I really enjoy watching David's sermons and series and guess I didn't know he was considered a conservative. I have also been so blessed by ministers who are likely considered fairly liberal. I suppose that puts me into the category of being a moderately liberal Seventh-day Adventist Christian with both progressive and conservative overtones who enjoys hearing messages of truth and the good news of salvation no matter where on the political spectrum the particular vessel of the Lord's Word is standing. I couldn't resist that. It is my opinion that each of us needs to listen for the message and blessing the Holy Spirit has in store for us anytime one of God's ordained opens His Word. I find it such a shame when we get distracted by minutia and miss the meal.

I was most pleased to learn that you are the chair of the Amazing Facts board of directors, for that means that you are most likely the best person for me to approach for answers about some concerns that I have. Late in 2006 a local friend who personally knows both Danny and Linda Shelton, the cofounders of 3abn, shared with me some troubling information that she was told about two of the Shelton brothers, Tommy and Danny. To that date I had made it a point to stay completely away from any public speculation about issues involving the Shelton's divorce or problems concerning 3abn. I have been so blessed by many of the programs they have aired over recent years. However, considering the vehement manner in which my friend relayed specific allegations of sexual abuse by both Tommy and Danny Shelton, first to my adult daughter who was just becoming connected to our church body, and then to me, I decided to do some research in an attempt to disprove the claims she was making.

I began reading through material on a forum that she had referred me to, BlackSDA.com, carefully attempting to verify or refute each claim that I found. My friend forwarded emails to me that she had recieved from Gailon Arthur Joy that contained dialogues between himself and several of the leadership of 3abn. While I was not pleased with some of Mr. Joy's investigative tactics, I have to admit that the emails further raised specific concerns in the area of allegations of sexual misconduct by Tommy Shelton and the appearance that Danny had attempted to cover up the behavior by various means when Gailon's emails introduced the infamous May 2003 "Dryden Letter" sent to the 3abn board chairman, Walt Thompson, MD.

- 1. The Glenn Dryden letter sent to Walt Thompson, MD May 14, 2003 http://www.save3abn.com/glenn-dryden-to-walt-thompson-may-2003.htm
- 2. 3abn attorney, Mike Riva's, letter of response to Glenn Dryden, June 13, 2003 http://www.save3abn.com/mike-riva-to-glenn-dryden-june-2003.htm
- 3. Glenn Dryden's reply, June 20, 2003 http://www.save3abn.com/glenn-drydens-reply-to-mike-riva-june-2003.htm
- 4. Glenn Dryden announces that there are three instances of misconduct in Dunne Loring, VA, one who was a minor at the time, December 3, 2006 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-new-12-2006-va-allegations.htm
- 5. Mike Riva sends another threatening letter, January 5, 2007 http://www.save3abn.com/mike-riva-to-dunn-loring-cog-january-2007.htm

This concern became even greater when another investigator, Bob Pickle, began posting signed statements from alleged victims of pastoral sexual abuse:

1. Roger Clem's letter to Tommy Shelton regarding his alleged abuse in 1988 at age 16, written approx. 2004

http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-roger-clem.htm - or - http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=11633&view=findpost&p=162245

2. Brad Dunning, now a pentacostal minister, made this statement on January 4, 2007 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-brad-dunning.htm - or http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=11955&view=findpost&p=167570

3. Scott Clem, brother of one alleged victim and one admitted victim of pastoral sexual abuse, made this statement on January 7, 2007

http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victims-family-scott-clem-response.htm - or - http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=11955&view=findpost&p=168297

Then, an email confession written by Tommy Shelton and a statement by the victim, Duane Clem, was posted on www.save3abn.com:

Tommy's email confession to Duane Clem in 2005 was posted on January 8, 2007 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm

Duane Clem's statements posted on save3abn in late January, 2007:

- 1. Duane's initial shock to things posted on the internet, December 29, 2006 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-duane-clem-background.htm
- 2. His apology to Gailon Joy and Bob Pickle, January 2, 2007 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-duane-clem-apology.htm
- 3. Duane Clem's statement concerning his abuse by Tommy Shelton, January 20, 2007 http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victim-duane-clem-statement.htm

 After hiding, for around 20 years, the abuse at the hands of the pastor his whole family loved and respected, when Duane Clem finally came forward and publically admitted his victimization, he truly started on a journey. It has been a privilege to surround that young man with love and support through discussion on BlackSDA.com as well as ChristianForums.com where he is standing up for the other alleged victims as well as himself as several people attempt to discredit all of them. It has been a joy to watch him truly begin to come out of his own denial and start to heal.

On February 4, 2007, Sherry Avery submitted a signed statement of an incident she observed first-hand between Tommy Shelton and a young man, as well as the reaction of many individuals once she spoke to some about the incident.

http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-victims-eyewitness-sherry-avery-1.htm

Then, shortly after all of these testimonies were posted, Adventist Today published the article about Tommy Shelton's retirement from 3abn and the report of many allegations of sexual abuse. http://www.atoday.com/email/2007/02/12/

Combining the email confession by Tommy Shelton with all of these signed statements and the AToday article, a very compelling case is made that Duane Clem is not the only victim of pastoral sexual abuse and that there is a strong possibility that Danny Shelton has attempted to cover up his brother's behavior with minimization of his actions and intimidation of both the alleged victims and those attempting to expose the truth. What it shows me is that there is enough evidence to warrant a thorough investigation of these matters to confirm or rebut the claims, and then take the appropriate steps to put these matters to rest.

What does this have to do with you as the chairman of the Amazing Facts board of directors? Since news of the possible merger came out on April 19, many have wondered what Doug Batchelor's position is on the allegations. It was extremely troubling to me to read the forum responses from one man who claim to know Doug personally:

On 4/20/2007 at 4:07PM PDT, steffan said:?I am sorry, I had to post here after hearing all that is being said here. It is too funny not to.?Doug and Danny had a meeting Thursday at 4pm central time with all of the 3abn employees. They were told that this merge would not change any of their positions, work schedules or anything else. Doug made a point to say that he intends to keep his church in Sacramento

and still run amazing facts and WOULD NOT have any say in day to day 3abn operations just as Danny will have no say in day to day operations of AF. Except for a merger of the boards and Danny and Doug taking more time to evangelize, most everything will remain as is. Doug and Danny, AF & 3abn will remain 2000 miles apart and will continue business as usual with Danny over 3abn and Doug over AF.? So, all this business of Doug cleaning house or that he doesn't realize what he has gotten himself into is absolutely a huge laugh. He had 20 or so highly respected people on his board advising him as well as Danny's board advising him. AF and 3ABN has worked together closely for years. That is the reason the AF people are not worried about allegations. They know they are false and shrug off the people behind it. To say that Danny was running scared as stated above is, again, crazy. If he was running scared he would have resigned, not expanded, and remained in charge of 3abn.?Not hard people, get a grip. As much as you hate to face it, that is the truth of it. As much as you want to read stuff into it, you can't. It is all good.?http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192236??

On 4/20/2007 at 7:31PM PDT, PeacefullyBewildered said:?Your statement that I have bolded above causes me some concerns. Do you have first hand knowledge from Doug Batchelor and his AF team that they are not worried about allegations and that they know they are false and shrug off the people behind it? Are these statements you have heard for yourself??PB? http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192255?

On 4/20/2007 at 7:57PM PDT, steffan said:?And Yes PB. I did get it firsthand that Doug could care less about the allegations on the internet. Just ask Gailon if Doug would take his call today.? http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192262

4/22/07 at 6:50AM, PDT, steffanphilip said:

I do not need to be told about what Doug thinks. I specifically said, "I know Doug."? http://www.christianforums.com/showthread.php?p=34143302#post34143302??

What I would appreciate learning from you is whether or not Doug and Amazing Facts truly do shrug off the allegations that have been raised. Are Doug and Amazing Facts 100% certain that the signed statements and documentation on www.save3abn.com are not true? A merger between 3abn and Amazing Facts could certainly make for a powerful evangelistic force but would it not be prudent to make be sure that all is right behind the scenes at 3abn before finalizing those plans?

Jim, I know this is a lot of information to present you with. I have remained involved with this endeavor only because I believe that the Lord has placed a burden on my heart to see sin exposed and victims, both admitted and alleged, ministered to properly. I have not taken this lightly. I have prayed and sought advice from both the Bible and the Spirit of Prophecy and found clear counsel of our duty to reprove sin and the consequences if we neglect to do so.

"The prejudice which has arisen against us because we have reproved the wrongs that God has shown me existed, and the cry that has been raised of harshness and severity, are unjust. God bids us speak, and we will not be silent. If wrongs are apparent among His people, and if the servants of God pass on indifferent to them, they virtually sustain and justify the sinner, and are alike guilty and will just as surely receive the displeasure of God; for they will be made responsible for the sins of the guilty. In vision I have been pointed to many instances where the displeasure of God has been incurred by a neglect on the part of His servants to deal with the wrongs and sins existing among them. Those who have excused these wrongs have been thought by the people to be very amiable and lovely in disposition, simply because they shunned to discharge a plain Scriptural duty. The task was not agreeable to their feelings; therefore they avoided it. {3T 265.2}"

Beware lest you deal harshly with those who you think have made mistakes, while others, more guilty and more deserving of reproof, who should be severely rebuked for their unChristlike conduct, are sustained and treated as friends."--RH Mar. 12, 1895.

Thank you in advance for taking the time to consider this information and I look forward to hearing your response and perspectives.

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 03:21 PM

QUOTE

Thank you in advance for taking the time to consider this information and I look forward to hearing your response and perspectives.

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Monday, April 30, 2007 3:20 PM Subject: Re: Amazing Facts 3abn merger

Hello, it will take me a while to review all of this and get an answer back to you. I've got a really busy week, so it may take me until next week to get back to you on it, in order to give you a rational response. I hope that is ok with you. I'll try to get to it as soon as possible.

Best wishes, Jim Pedersen

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2007 10:38 AM Subject: Re: Amazing Facts 3abn merger

Hello, I have finally had the time to take a look at the material you've sent. It is obvious that there have been several issues behind the scenes at 3ABN, some going back a number of years. My response to you will be rather short, compared with the amount of information that you shared with me. That is not intended to dismiss anything you have shared, but just due to the nature of the on-going discussions, as well as my own lack of knowledge of many of the specific issues involved.

From my working with the AF Board I know that many of the members have questions and concerns about any inappropriate actions on the part of any individual connected with 3ABN. They want to be very careful that nothing is done to tarnish the reputation of AF. While AF may indeed bring credibility to 3ABN, they want to be careful that AF isn't blind to anything, or get hurt in the merger process.

The AF Board is continuing to have discussions about the merger, and will have a firm say in the process of what is acceptable and what is not from the AF viewpoint. That board will be able to specify what are non-negotiables, etc.

I believe your concerns are valid, and I will attempt to appropriately represent those areas as the AF Board meets.

Thank you for your interest in God's work. And I know that you'll continue to make this a matter of prayer, as will we all.

Best wishes, Jim

To: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Thursday, May 10, 2007 11:06 AM Subject: Re: Amazing Facts 3abn merger

Dear Jim,

Thank you for taking the time to address these concerns. It is reassuring to hear that there are AF Board members who have questions and concerns and don't want AF to be tarnished in the process of the merger. To hear that AF does not want to be blind to anything is also most reassuring!

I am very pleased that you affirm the validity of the concerns I have presented and that you will attempt to appropriately represent them to the AF Board. I join with numerous individuals who are and will continue to

be pray that God will direct the Amazing Facts Board of Directors and Doug Batchelor during this process.

If there is anything that I could do to further help in this area, such as put you in touch with folks close to the situation, I would be most willing to do so. Just let me know.

To: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Monday, May 14, 2007 10:44 AM Subject: It's a small world after all

Dear Jim,

Regarding the AF/3abn merger, your input has been so helpful in answering questions that I have had. I am wondering if you would mind if I would pass the information along to various friends with similar questions and concerns? I believe that sharing the information would put a lot of their speculation and concern to rest.

As I'm sure you are aware, there are many interested parties watching this proposed merger. I, and numerous others, would feel far more comfortable if the new Amazing Facts/3abn ministry were to operate under the umbrella of either the General Conference or the North American Division as Amazing Facts has with the Northern California Conference. In my humble opinion, the oversite that such a relationship would provide would be beneficial to both the merged ministries and our denomination.

Posted by: Noahswife May 24 2007, 03:33 PM

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Tuesday, May 15, 2007 8:39 AM Subject: Re: It's a small world after all

I don't believe anything I've shared with you is confidential in nature. Of course, there's always a little nervousness in being quoted far and wide! But if some of the answers can help others in their understanding of this process, then I guess I'm ok with your sharing it.

The journey isn't over yet. There are a lot of discussions that need to take place. But we're prayerfully taking things step by step.

All the best, Jim Pedersen

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 24 2007, 04:11 PM

Wow!! I sure hope the AF board has more clout than the 3ABN board ... if it does, we may see some change after all.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 24 2007, 05:36 PM

The following posts are copied from the information sent to Jim:

QUOTE

On 4/20/2007 at 4:07PM PDT, steffan said:?I am sorry, I had to post here after hearing all that is being said here. It is too funny not to.?Doug and Danny had a meeting Thursday at 4pm central time with all of the 3abn employees. They were told that this merge would not change any of their positions, work schedules or anything else. Doug made a point to say that he intends to keep his church in Sacramento and still run amazing facts and WOULD NOT have any say in day to day 3abn operations just as Danny will have no say in day to day operations of AF. Except for a merger of the boards and Danny and Doug taking more time to evangelize, most everything will remain as is. Doug and Danny, AF & 3abn will remain 2000 miles apart and will continue business as usual with Danny over 3abn and Doug over AF.?**So, all this**

business of Doug cleaning house or that he doesn't realize what he has gotten himself into is absolutely a huge laugh. He had 20 or so highly respected people on his board advising him as well as Danny's board advising him. AF and 3ABN has worked together closely for years. That is the reason the AF people are not worried about allegations. They know they are false and shrug off the people behind it. To say that Danny was running scared as stated above is, again, crazy. If he was running scared he would have resigned, not expanded, and remained in charge of 3abn.?Not hard people, get a grip. As much as you hate to face it, that is the truth of it. As much as you want to read stuff into it, you can't. It is all good.?http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192236??

On 4/20/2007 at 7:31PM PDT, PeacefullyBewildered said:?Your statement that I have bolded above causes me some concerns. Do you have first hand knowledge from Doug Batchelor and his AF team that they are not worried about allegations and that they know they are false and shrug off the people behind it? Are these statements you have heard for yourself?? PBhttp://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192255?

On 4/20/2007 at 7:57PM PDT, steffan said:?And Yes PB. I did get it firsthand that Doug could care less about the allegations on the internet. Just ask Gailon if Doug would take his call today.?http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index...dpost&p=192262

4/22/07 at 6:50AM, PDT, steffanphilip said:

I do not need to be told about what Doug thinks. I specifically said, "I know Doug."? http://www.christianforums.com/showthread.php?p=34143302#post34143302??

And now Jim, who certainly knows Doug and the AF Board, states the following:

QUOTE

From my working with the AF Board I know that many of the members have questions and concerns about any inappropriate actions on the part of any individual connected with 3ABN. They want to be very careful that nothing is done to tarnish the reputation of AF. While AF may indeed bring credibility to 3ABN, they want to be careful that AF isn't blind to anything, or get hurt in the merger process.

The AF Board is continuing to have discussions about the merger, and will have a firm say in the process of what is acceptable and what is not from the AF viewpoint. That board will be able to specify what are non-negotiables, etc.

I believe your concerns are valid, and I will attempt to appropriately represent those areas as the AF Board meets.

MO, he is quite clearly saying that the concerns that were raised have not been dismissed. These statements should give all of us hope that as far as the Amazing Facts Board of Directors is concerned they are proceeding with eyes wide open in an attitude of prayerfullness!

Posted by: summertime May 24 2007, 05:54 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 24 2007, 05:11 PM) 🗌

Wow!! I sure hope the AF board has more clout than the 3ABN board ... if it does, we may see some change after all.

Today, in the mail, I received a program bulletin from 3ABN for the month of June. I am still receiving these even though I discontinued my contributions to 3ABN a long time ago. On the front cover I see Pastor 3atchelor and Dan Shelton In a warm, closely united handshake. The picture made my blood turn cold. How n the world Pastor B. can have this picture gracing (or disgracing) the 3ABN bulletin with the full knowledge of what has been going on at 3ABN is beyond me. I have turned my satelitte station to listen to everthing

that DB has to say about anything because I trusted him fully. Obviously, the picture speaks for itself and it makes me sad. I pray that the Lord will intervene in whatever way He can to aid in the world evangelism without letting so many wrongs being swept under the rug. I hope and pray that there is more to all this merger in a way that will serve the Lord than has been apparent to me today. 'summertime' Posted by: SoulEspresso May 24 2007, 11:49 PM QUOTE(summertime @ May 24 2007, 04:54 PM) Today, in the mail, I received a program bulletin from 3ABN for the month of June. I am still receiving these even though I discontinued my contributions to 3ABN a long time ago. On the front cover I see Pastor Batchelor and Dan SHelton In a warm, closely united handshake. The picture made my blood turn cold. How in the world Pastor B, can have this picture gracing (or disgracing) the 3ABN bulletin with the full knowledge of what has been going on at 3ABN is beyond me. I have turned my satelitte station to listen to everthing that DB has to say about anything because I trusted him fully. Obviously, the picture speaks for itself and it makes me sad. I pray that the Lord will intervene in whatever way He can to aid in the world evangelism without letting so many wrongs being swept under the rug. I hope and pray that there is more to all this merger in a way that will serve the Lord than has been apparent to me today. I took it completely differently, especially in light of Elder Pederson's comments posted by NW. I thought Doug looked like he just took over the world, has tucked in his napkin and is reaching for a fork (not intended as a slam btw); Danny looks relieved. Posted by: mozart May 25 2007, 12:26 AM NW, thanks so much for taking the time to post all that info. It was very encouraging to see that "someone" in authority over at AF is willing to discuss these issues and is actually being careful and wise and also seemingly open and honest in his correspondences, that's refreshing, thanks again, Mo Posted by: Johann May 25 2007, 04:23 AM QUOTE(summertime @ May 25 2007, 01:54 AM) Today, in the mail, I received a program bulletin from 3ABN for the month of June. I am still receiving these even though I discontinued my contributions to 3ABN a long time ago. On the front cover I see Pastor Batchelor and Dan SHelton In a warm, closely united handshake. The picture made my blood turn cold. How in the world Pastor B. can have this picture gracing (or disgracing) the 3ABN bulletin with the full knowledge of what has been going on at 3ABN is beyond me. I have turned my satelitte station to listen to everthing that DB has to say about anything because I trusted him fully. Obviously, the picture speaks for itself and it makes me sad. I pray that the Lord will intervene in whatever way He can to aid in the world evangelism without letting so many wrongs being swept under the rug. I hope and pray that

I also received some interesting information. I hope it is true, but it is not yet verified. It says that Doug B has apologized to his board. . . making statements before approved by the board. Therefore I will merely apply that as meaning we must not jump to conclusions too soon.

there is more to all this merger in a way that will serve the Lord than has been apparent to me today.

'summertime'

That picture you mentioned might not be approved
Posted by: maggiecttr May 25 2007, 08:16 AM
QUOTE(Johann @ May 25 2007, 06:23 AM)
I also received some interesting information. I hope it is true, but it is not yet verified. It says that Doug B has apologized to his board making statements before approved by the board. Therefore I will merely apply that as meaning we must not jump to conclusions too soon.
That picture you mentioned might not be approved
This morning, I finally sat down to watch the opening night (Weds.night) of 3abn's spring campmeeting which I had taped. I was dozing off, as I tend to do during the music, then snapped awake as I heard the following, herewith transcribed:
(Walter Thompson & Danny on stage)
Danny: "We're still facing challenges We'll be honest with youthere's [sic] a lot of challenges going on, but if we aren't facing challenges, something's wrong. Am I right?"
Walt, interjecting: "And, we haven't given up on our merger with Amazing Facts yet, either that's moving forward and (pause) keep it in your prayers."
Danny: "Yeah. We're working to merge it Did any of you (addressing the audience) hear about the merger? (looking for a show of handsvery few people that were on camera raised their hands) OKsome of you have, and some of you haven't. We're looking at merging with Amazing Facts, anduhall we know how to do is announce it to you all to pray about it. There's [sic] a lot of extenuating circumstances a lot of things that have to be looked at legally, and looked at contractually. But spiritually, we see a lot of things we have in common (then some talk about AF's school of evangelism, 3abn's satellite capabilities), so you be praying; if it's the Lord's will, we're going to do this. If, for some reason, it isn't, then we're still gonna be friends, we're still gonna work together every way we can, because we support Doug Batchelor and Amazing Facts; they'll still support 3abnbut right now, it's upwe want you all to pray about it, thatthat the Lord's will be done. (He they quickly changed the subject to the 10 Commandments Weekend.)
Blessings, Maggie
Posted by: IMM May 25 2007, 08:28 AM
Hmmm
Posted by: Shepherdswife May 25 2007, 09:22 AM
QUOTE(maggiecttr @ May 25 2007, 10:16 AM)
Walt, interjecting: "And, we haven't given up on our merger with Amazing Facts yet, either that's moving forward and (pause) keep it in your prayers."

"haven't given up on"what a fascinating choice of words! For something that was announced with much
fanfair as pretty much a sure thing just a few weeks ago
shepherdswife
Posted by: Observer May 25 2007, 09:46 AM
QUOTE(Shepherdswife @ May 25 2007, 09:22 AM)
"haven't given up on"what a fascinating choice of words! For something that was announced with much fanfair as pretty much a sure thing just a few weeks ago
shepherdswife
I thought so also.
Posted by: Grith May 25 2007, 09:56 AM
QUOTE(Observer @ May 25 2007, 11:46 AM)
I thought so also.
I'll second that. What seemed like such a sure thing just a month ago must not be looking quite so sure now
Posted by: LaurenceD May 25 2007, 10:01 AM
If you listen closely to the words, and put that together with certain mannerisms, I think you'll find a gifted con artist. Language is the scenery along the path to the banks of the Dollar River, right? Or was that the river Sham? (just kidding with the "right" languageit was borrowed from my favorite artist)
Posted by: maggiecttr May 25 2007, 10:23 AM
QUOTE(LaurenceD @ May 25 2007, 12:01 PM)
If you listen closely to the words, and put that together with certain mannerisms, I think you'll find a gifted con artist. Language is the scenery along the path to the banks of the Dollar River, right? Or was that the river Sham? (just kidding with the "right" languageit was borrowed from my favorite artist)

Also, during that same program (Opening Night 3abn Spring Campmeeting '07), I transcribed the following regarding the downturn in giving to 3abn:

Danny: "When we lost the Sky Angel group---about, probably 50,000 homes---our monthly donations went

way down. Out of sight, out of mind, as they say" Hmmm
Maggie
Posted by: Clay May 25 2007, 10:27 AM
QUOTE(maggiecttr @ May 25 2007, 11:23 AM)
Also, during that same program (Opening Night 3abn Spring Campmeeting '07), I transcribed the following regarding the downturn in giving to 3abn:
Danny: "When we lost the Sky Angel groupabout, probably 50,000 homesour monthly donations went way down. Out of sight, out of mind, as they say" Hmmm
Maggie
if the gospel you preach includes asking for money it ain't the gospel
Posted by: sonshineonme May 25 2007, 10:30 AM
QUOTE(maggiecttr @ May 25 2007, 09:23 AM)
Also, during that same program (Opening Night 3abn Spring Campmeeting '07), I transcribed the following regarding the downturn in giving to 3abn:
Danny: "When we lost the Sky Angel groupabout, probably 50,000 homesour monthly donations went way down. Out of sight, out of mind, as they say" Hmmm
Maggie

Somehow, I don't think he wanted anyone to think about other possibilities donations might be down. I suppose you could say if some were not able to watch it, it might have dropped a bit, but if you have been faithfully giving, and suddenly the ministry you have been giving too is swept away from the channel you watch it on, but you are still receiving those magazines and "donation" envelopes, I don't think it's really out of mind at all...but, ds didn't bother telling you that he makes sure to remind people he is still there and still needs money. He just isn't one to say "oh well".

Posted by: LaurenceD May 25 2007, 11:05 AM

Maggie...have you noticed the big push for "monthy" regular donations in the last few months? I hear it a lot, from a lot of different people on 3abn. It's come down to this: \$5, \$10, \$50...whatever you have. These are the last days.... (over and over and over and over). I'm kinda getting tired of it.

In the beginning, I think they started out with good intentions, and had the right spirit, I just don't think they realized how successful the donation/wills/trust agreements could ever become. The temptation may have just become too great. Tying donations in with a TV ministry, and appeals built on a

Mat 20-23 The young man saith unto him, All these things have I kept from my youth up: what lack I yet? Jesus said unto him, If thou wilt be perfect, go and sell that thou hast, and give to the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come and follow me. But when the young man heard that saying, he went away sorrowful: for he had great possessions. Then said Jesus unto his disciples,

Verily I say unto you, That a rich man shall hardly enter into the kingdom of heaven.

Might start by lowering the personal benefits a little...like from 70K p/yr (maybe more now). whoever dreamed a high and mighty ministry would have to get down in the furrow like all the rest, to experience the salvation they preach.

Posted by: lurker May 25 2007, 02:26 PM

I hope someone taped where Danny said that it was because of being off sky angel that donations were way down. Sounds like good evidence in court if he tries to say that donations were down because of gossip.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 25 2007, 02:54 PM

I really appreciate the NCC President and AF Chairman keeping things real for us. I remember the announcement of the merger on the April 19th Live program and the press releases that followed. While details reportedly still needed to be worked out it was still treated as if the merger was a done deal.

Just one week later, Jim Pedersen emailed the statement "If AF and 3ABN actually merge..." so we can see that, at least in the eyes of the AF Board Chairman, the merger was actually still only a proposal, a possibility.

 QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 24 2007, 09:08 AM)

SNIP

JIVIE

From: Jim Pedersen

Sent: Thursday, April 26, 2007 4:25 PM

Subject: Re: Amazing Facts

Hi, nice to chat with you. Let me respond with some clarifications to the AF questions you raise.

Amazing Facts is listed in the NAD Yearbook under the Northern California Conference. That makes them an official SDA entity, with ties to NCC — "under the umbrella" of NCC.

Amazing Facts has its own separate board of directors. Thus, the NCC does not directly oversee the ministry. As NCC President, I chair the AF board, as per the AF Bylaws. However, the constituency of AF is technically made up of a majority of NCC members.

As a SDA entity, AF leaders and evangelists are entitled to receive SDA credentials. Currently, those credentials are issued by the Pacific Union Conference, not NCC.

I'm not sure what "certificates" you make reference to. Please clarify.

If AF and 3ABN actually merge, there would be a new constituency and a new board, which would take them out from under the umbrella of the NCC, as I understand it.

There is no direct financial support from NCC to AF. They handle their own payroll, raise their own funds, etc.

I hope this helps. Feel free to contact me again as needed.

Best wishes,

Jim

James E. Pedersen
President
Northern California Conference of Seventh-day Adventists
401 Taylor Blvd.
P.O. Box 23165
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

	92	5	-68	5-4	130	0 :	x12	200			
ij	ipe	90	ders	en	@n	cc	.ad	ver	ntis	t.or	ď

Now, both Danny and Walt Thompson seem to have done some back-peddling on where the merger actually stands. I wonder just how much input the AF Board had before the merger was announced on April 19th. I also wonder if all those who sat on the 3abn set that evening are, perhaps, thinking it would have been wise o wait for a bit before making that big announcement?

QUOTE(maggiecttr @ May 25 2007, 06:16 AM) 🗌

This morning, I finally sat down to watch the opening night (Weds.night) of 3abn's spring campmeeting which I had taped. I was dozing off, as I tend to do during the music, then snapped awake as I heard the following, herewith transcribed:

(Walter Thompson & Danny on stage)

Danny: " We're still facing challenges -- We'll be honest with you--there's [sic] a lot of challenges going on, but if we aren't facing challenges, something's wrong. Am I right?"

Walt, interjecting: "And, we haven't given up on our merger with Amazing Facts yet, either -- that's moving forward and (pause) keep it in your prayers."

Danny: "Yeah. We're working to merge it -- Did any of you (addressing the audience) hear about the merger? (looking for a show of hands---very few people that were on camera raised their hands) OK--some of you have, and some of you haven't. We're looking at merging with Amazing Facts, and..uh....all we know how to do is announce it to you all to pray about it. There's [sic] a lot of extenuating circumstances -- a lot of things that have to be looked at legally, and looked at contractually. But spiritually, we see a lot of things we have in common (then some talk about AF's school of evangelism, 3abn's satellite capabilities), so you be praying; if it's the Lord's will, we're going to do this. If, for some reason, it isn't, then we're still gonna be friends, we're still gonna work together every way we can, because we support Doug Batchelor and Amazing Facts; they'll still support 3abn...but right now, it's up--we want you all to pray about it, that...that the Lord's will be done. (He they quickly changed the subject to the 10 Commandments Weekend.)

Blessings,

Maggie

Posted by: Johann May 25 2007, 04:23 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 25 2007, 10:54 PM)

I really appreciate the NCC President and AF Chairman keeping things real for us. I remember the announcement of the merger on the April 19th Live program and the press releases that followed. While details reportedly still needed to be worked out it was still treated as if the merger was a done deal.

Just one week later, Jim Pedersen emailed the statement "If AF and 3ABN actually merge..." so we can see that, at least in the eyes of the AF Board Chairman, the merger was actually still only a proposal, a possibility.

Now, both Danny and Walt Thompson seem to have done some back-peddling on where the merger actually stands. I wonder just how much input the AF Board had before the merger was announced on April 19th. I also wonder if all those who sat on the 3abn set that evening are, perhaps, thinking it would have been wise to wait for a bit before making that big announcement?

Posted by: maggiecttr May 25 2007, 05:36 PM
QUOTE(lurker @ May 25 2007, 04:26 PM)
I hope someone taped where Danny said that it was because of being off sky angel that donations were way down. Sounds like good evidence in court if he tries to say that donations were down because of gossip.
I have it on tape (as mentioned awhile back today). maggie [:]
Posted by: Pickle May 25 2007, 05:58 PM
Can I get a copy? Maybe just that excerpt could be copied. Posted by: Skyhook May 25 2007, 06:01 PM
QUOTE(Johann @ May 25 2007, 05:23 AM)
I also received some interesting information. I hope it is true, but it is not yet verified. It says that Doug B has apologized to his board making statements before approved by the board. Therefore I will merely apply that as meaning we must not jump to conclusions too soon.
That picture you mentioned might not be approved
Making statements about such a merger before it is even discussed by the board is very amateurish. I don't think it would happen in a well run business. World wide sattelite TV has created Adventist celebrities. That is a dangerous snare for a preacher. I hope Doug does not look at the AF board as just a rubber stamp formality as it appears the 3abn board is in fact.
Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 25 2007, 10:26 PM
QUOTE(Skyhook @ May 25 2007, 05:01 PM)
Making statements about such a merger before it is even discussed by the board is very amateurish. I don't think it would happen in a well run business. World wide sattelite TV has created Adventist celebrities. That is a dangerous snare for a preacher. I hope Doug does not look at the AF board as just a rubber stamp formality as it appears the 3abn board is in fact.

I don't know how Doug views his board of directors, but from what AF Chairman Jim Pedersen says in his emails about the AF Board, they hardly sound like the rubber stamp type!

Posted by: Johann May 26 2007, 07:02 AM QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 26 2007, 06:26 AM) I don't know how Doug views his board of directors, but from what AF Chairman Jim Pedersen says in his emails about the AF Board, they hardly sound like the rubber stamp type! Just now I got a copy of 3ABN WORLD, published by 3ABN/AMAZING FACTS with the two presidents of the front page. Danny refers to the merger as a "historic ministry event." It will be interesting to see the magazine next month. Posted by: ex3ABNemployee May 26 2007, 07:43 AM QUOTE(Johann @ May 26 2007, 07:02 AM) Just now I got a copy of 3ABN WORLD, published by 3ABN/AMAZING FACTS with the two presidents of the front page. Danny refers to the merger as a "historic ministry event." It will be interesting to see the magazine next month. What will be even more interesting is to see how Danny tries to spin it if the merger ploy falls apart. He has such a history of blaming other people when things don't go his way. He can't afford to do that in this case, because Doug and AF are viewed so favorably. He knows it would be disastrous to rip them apart. BTW, still no news outlets seem to have picked up on this "national news story" as Danny was so sure would happen. Posted by: Observer May 26 2007, 08:38 AM QUOTE(Skyhook @ May 25 2007, 05:01 PM) Making statements about such a merger before it is even discussed by the board is very amateurish. I don't think it would happen in a well run business. World wide sattelite TV has created Adventist celebrities. That is a dangerous snare for a preacher. I hope Doug does not look at the AF board as just a rubber stamp formality as it appears the 3abn board is in fact.

More that, one might ask, who is in charge, and do they know what they are doing?

It has been correctly pointed out that I posted a false statement at the beginning of this announcement. Well, I had checked sources connected with DB and 3-ABN prior to posting it. They generally agreed, so I posted it. I can not accuse them of intentional deceit. There would be no benefit to them to attempt to deceive me. So, I can only assume that people who thought they were in the "know" were not in the "know." I now wonder if anyone supposedly involve d in this had thought it through before going public.

Whatever the case, it sure presents a view of a failure to properly plan, in my opinion.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 26 2007, 10:04 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ May 26 2007, 07:38 AM)

More that, one might ask, who is in charge, and do they know what they are doing?

It has been correctly pointed out that I posted a false statement at the beginning of this announcement. Well, I had checked sources connected with DB and 3-ABN prior to posting it. They generally agreed, so I posted it. I can not accuse them of intentional deceit. There would be no benefit to them to attempt to deceive me. So, I can only assume that people who thought they were in the "know" were not in the "know." I now wonder if anyone supposedly involve d in this had thought it through before going public.

Whatever the case, it sure presents a view of a failure to properly plan, in my opinion.

If they *had* thought it through before going public, one must wonder if there was a compelling motivation to do so when and how they did in spite of the many obvious reasons for waiting patiently for the process to reach a more solid point of development.

The May 10th email from Jim Pedersen contains this acknowledgment from him:

QUOTE

http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=13535&view=findpost&p=196721

While AF may indeed bring credibility to 3ABN, they want to be careful that AF isn't blind to anything, or get hurt in the merger process.

Could the desire to improve the 3abn image have been the motivation behind the rush to announce the merger?

The best PR advice I have ever heard is found in Proverbs 3:1 - 8

- 1 My son, do not forget my teaching, but keep my commands in your heart, 2 for they will prolong your life many years and bring you prosperity.
- 3 Let love and faithfulness never leave you; bind them around your neck, write them on the tablet of your heart.
- 4 Then you will win favor and a good name in the sight of God and man.
- 5 Trust in the LORD with all your heart and lean not on your own understanding;
- 6 in all your ways acknowledge him, and he will make your paths straight.
- 7 Do not be wise in your own eyes; fear the LORD and shun evil.

8 This will bring health to your body and nourishment to your bones.	
Posted by: maggiecttr May 26 2007, 10:34 AM	
QUOTE(Pickle @ May 25 2007, 07:58 PM)	**********
Can I get a copy? Maybe just that excerpt could be copied.	1896,1986
I have no way to copy it, but I can send you the original VHS tape if you PM me with a mailing address. I have a lot of Campmeeting programming on the tape & would like to watch it, then rewind to the pertinent section & then send it to you.	t
Maggie	
Posted by: lurker May 26 2007, 11:43 AM	************
Maybe I am paranoid but I would hope there are multiple copies and that nothing gets lost in the mail.	
Posted by: Daryl Fawcett May 26 2007, 03:58 PM	
What program (name of program, date, and time) are we talking about here?	
Posted by: roxe May 26 2007, 10:22 PM	
QUOTE(lurker @ May 26 2007, 11:43 AM)	*********
Maybe I am paranoid but I would hope there are multiple copies and that nothing gets lost in the mail.	
from my understanding, sending it registered insured mail gets it sent in a locked briefcase which resides a locked safe each night takes a little longer but it is SAFE!!	in
just FYI	
Posted by: mozart May 26 2007, 10:37 PM	*************
i think DS threw some curve balls on that live show that Doug and AF board probably had no idea was going to be said as if it were fact. Just my opinion.	
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ May 25 2007, 01:54 PM)	
I really appreciate the NCC President and AF Chairman keeping things real for us. I remember the announcement of the merger on the April 19th Live program and the press releases that followed. While	

details reportedly still needed to be worked out it was still treated as if the merger was a done deal.

Just one week later, Jim Pedersen emailed the statement "If AF and 3ABN actually merge..." so we can see that, at least in the eyes of the AF Board Chairman, the merger was actually still only a proposal, a possibility.

Now, both Danny and Walt Thompson seem to have done some back-peddling on where the merger actually stands. I wonder just how much input the AF Board had before the merger was announced on April 19th. I also wonder if all those who sat on the 3abn set that evening are, perhaps, thinking it would have been wise to wait for a bit before making that big announcement?

sn't that one of at least 3 excuses for donations to be down?

QUOTE(Pickle @	May 25 2007, 04:58 PM) <u> </u>	
Can I get a copy	/? Maybe just that excer	pt could be copied.	

Posted by: mozart May 26 2007, 11:48 PM

here's my feeble attempt to give my take on this "merger", "venture" (they don't even agree on what to call it) situation. it is speculative and negative and for this i apologize, but from all i've read and seen so far this is what i think. I think GMc got his spin doctors and attorneys to come up with an amazing sales job to convince DB and AF board of the incredible possibilities and benefits of a joint venture between 3ABN and AF, i think GMc and DS made AF "an offer they couldn't refuse" but told them they had to move quickly for reasons we still do not know. maybe they just hyped the idea so much that out of excitement they couldn't wait to "share the good news" with us all. i underline "reasons" because i think the reasons they gave AF were probably not the real reasons why they wanted to move this into "emergency" mode. i keep asking myself. "why the emergency". remember they kept saying 3abn board had an "emergency meeting"; AF board had an "emergency meeting"; then DB and DS and GMc and a few others had an "emergency meeting" in denver. all this in a couple of days. do you think they might have told DB and AF that God told them to move quickly? it is extremely interesting to me that all those "emergencies" coincided with DS's appearance in court with LS. DS did not know the court would move the hearings to a later date because of technicalities with the attorneys. he did not know that, what he thought might be a huge "exposure to his misdeeds" and create a lot of talk, would not occur at that time. think of the damage that could have been done if things had gone forward at that time? first, there would be lots of talk especially around here about the court case, second, the ten commandments weekend was coming up and one of the issues of that court case could be "the book" profits and talk of that and the TCW occurring at the same time could have gotten very sticky and unprofitable. thirdly, there may have been very little interest in the TCW and they had invested a lot of money in it and needed some "spectacular PR" and fourthly, if they got involved in a merger, any damages that the courts might award to certain others would be held up for quite some time while it is decided who owns what to give to who. It would throw a lot of unexpected technicalities into the mix for opposing attorneys to have to attempt to strategize. bottom line to me is 3abn needs the PR lift that AF could give them plus the financial manuvures, twists and turns. i think GMc and DS thought they could "snow" DB & AF. (sad to think they'd think to use AF that way) anyway, just pure speculations on my part. i know there are those among you who are a lot smarter and better informed than me and can give really good critiques as to what does or does not fly and/or if any of what i have said makes sense and is reasonable to consider or just my imagination run amuck.

anyway, as i respect all of you, i would like your input and ideas as well.

More that, one might ask, who is in charge, and do they know what they are doing?

It has been correctly pointed out that I posted a false statement at the beginning of this announcement. Well, I had checked sources connected with DB and 3-ABN prior to posting it. They generally agreed, so I posted it. I can not accuse them of intentional deceit. There would be no benefit to them to attempt to deceive me. So, I can only assume that people who thought they were in the "know" were not in the "know." I now wonder if anyone supposedly involve d in this had thought it through before going public.

Whatever the case, it sure presents a view of a failure to properly plan, in my opinion.

Posted by: Rosyroi May 26 2007, 11:58 PM

OUOTE	mozart	@ May	76	2007	00.48	DMI

here's my feeble attempt to give my take on this "merger", "venture" (they don't even agree on what to call it) situation, it is speculative and negative and for this i apologize, but from all i've read and seen so far this is what i think. I think GMc got his spin doctors and attorneys to come up with an amazing sales job to convince DB and AF board of the incredible possibilities and benefits of a joint venture between 3ABN and AF. i think GMc and DS made AF "an offer they couldn't refuse" but told them they had to move quickly for <u>reasons</u> we still do not know. maybe they just hyped the idea so much that out of excitement they couldn't wait to "share the good news" with us all. i underline "reasons" because i think the reasons they gave AF were probably not the real reasons why they wanted to move this into "emergency" mode. i keep asking myself. "why the emergency". remember they kept saying 3abn board had an "emergency meeting"; AF board had an "emergency meeting"; then DB and DS and GMc and a few others had an "emergency meeting" in denver, all this in a couple of days, do you think they might have told DB and AF that God told them to move quickly? it is extremely interesting to me that all those "emergencies" coincided with DS's appearance in court with LS. DS did not know the court would move the hearings to a later date because of technicalities with the attorneys. he did not know that, what he thought might be a huge "exposure to his misdeeds" and create a lot of talk, would not occur at that time. think of the damage that could have been done if things had gone forward at that time? first, there would be lots of talk especially around here about the court case. second, the ten commandments weekend was coming up and one of the issues of that court case could be "the book" profits and talk of that and the TCW occurring at the same time could have gotten very sticky and unprofitable. thirdly, there may have been very little interest in the TCW and they had invested a lot of money in it and needed some "spectacular PR" and fourthly, if they got involved in a merger, any damages that the courts might award to certain others would be held up for quite some time while it is decided who owns what to give to who. it would throw a lot of unexpected technicalities into the mix for opposing attorneys to have to attempt to strategize. bottom line to me is 3abn needs the PR lift that AF could give them plus the financial manuvures, twists and turns. i think GMc and DS thought they could "snow" DB & AF. (sad to think they'd think to use AF that way) anyway, just pure speculations on my part. i know there are those among you who are a lot smarter and better informed than me and can give really good critiques as to what does or does not fly and/or if any of what i have said makes sense and is reasonable to consider or just my imagination run amuck. ertanyway, as i respect all of you, i would like your input and ideas as well.

From the very little that I know about I think you have come up with the best speculation in great condensed version.

losyroi

Posted by: Johann May 27 2007, 02:23 AM

I think GMc simply gave an ORDER they "couldn't refuse" with reasons unknown both as to the reason for the order and the reason why they "couldn't refuse". QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 01:48 AM) nere's my feeble attempt to give my take on this "merger", "venture" (they don't even agree on what to call it) situation. it is speculative and negative and for this i apologize, but from all i've read and seen so far this is what i think. I think GMc got his spin doctors and attorneys to come up with an amazing sales job to convince DB and AF board of the incredible possibilities and benefits of a joint venture between 3ABN and AF. I think GMc and DS made AF "an offer they couldn't refuse" but could them they had to move quickly for reasons we still do not know. maybe they just hyped the dea so much that out of excitement they couldn't wait to "share the good news" with us all. I underline dreasons" because I think the reasons they gave AF were probably not the real reasons why they wanted to move this into "emergency" mode. I keep asking myself. "why the emergency". remember they kept saying 3abn board had an "emergency meeting"; AF board had an "emergency meeting"; then DB and DS and GMc and a few others had an "emergency meeting" in denver. all this in a couple of days. do you	I think GMc simply gave an ORDER they "couldn't refuse" with reasons unknown both as to the reason for the order and the reason why they "couldn't refuse". QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 01:48 AM) here's my feeble attempt to give my take on this "merger", "venture" (they don't even agree on what to call it) situation. it is speculative and negative and for this i apologize, but from all i've read and seen so far this is what i think. i think GMc got his spin doctors and attorneys to come up with an amazing sales job to convince DB and AF board of the incredible possibilities and benefits of a joint venture between 3ABN and AF. i think GMc and DS made AF "an offer they couldn't refuse" but told them they had to move quickly for reasons we still do not know. maybe they just hyped the idea so much that out of excitement they couldn't wait to "share the good news" with us all. i underline "reasons" because i think the reasons they gave AF were probably not the real reasons why they wanted to move this into "emergency" mode. i keep asking myself. "why the emergency". remember they kept saying 3abn board had an "emergency meeting"; AF board had an "emergency meeting"; then DB and DS and GMc and a few others had an "emergency meeting" in denver. all this in a couple of days. do you think they might have told DB and AF that God told them to move quickly?
I think GMc simply gave an ORDER they "couldn't refuse" with reasons unknown both as to the reason for the order and the reason why they "couldn't refuse".	for the order and the reason why they "couldn't refuse".
I think GMc simply gave an ORDER they "couldn't refuse" with reasons unknown both as to the reason	
	Further condensing and modification of the bolded sentences below.
Posted by: watchbird May 27 2007, 05:42 AM	Posted by: watchbird May 27 2007, 05:42 AM

Seems so obvious to many of us, but how much will they try to spin, spin, spin? With the aid of 14 lawyers?
Johann many law firms have many lawyers each. Doesn't show a lot of smarts for you to emphasize that 1 lawyers thing.
howdy
Posted by: Skyhook May 27 2007, 11:16 AM
QUOTE(howdy @ May 27 2007, 11:22 AM)
Johann many law firms have many lawyers each. Doesn't show a lot of smarts for you to emphasize that 14 lawyers thing.
howdy
Just as a point of fact, 14 Lawyers would be considered a small firm in most big cities, even Minneapolis, if I'm not mistaken. That says nothing about thier effectiveness, however.
Posted by: SoulEspresso May 27 2007, 12:04 PM
QUOTE(howdy @ May 27 2007, 09:22 AM)
Johann many law firms have many lawyers each. Doesn't show a lot of smarts for you to emphasize that 14 lawyers thing.
Howdy, you may disagree with Johann, but could you be a little more respectful?
The point, if I understand him correctly, is that 3ABN is using 14 lawyers in their current legal exercises. That's a lot of lawyers for one casemeaning it's of way more significance than Danny & Walt will even hin to you on television. It's called "bringing out the big guns." And if Garwin McNeilus has used the same firm the past, it means they're a pretty competent bunchkeeping in mind, in this case, that a "good" lawyer is not necessarily a good person.
QUOTE(watchbird @ May 27 2007, 04:42 AM)
The question is open as to whether the real orders came from the God of Order or the Mcgod who give orders
kaPOW! Not sure flesh and blood gave this to you
Posted by: mozart May 27 2007, 12:24 PM

howdy, if you think johann "doesn't show a lot of smarts" then you should show how intelligent you are by giving

a response that showed "informative and/or logical reasoning". i'm sure you could have made y in a more respectful way. insulting doesn't equal information.	our point
QUOTE(howdy @ May 27 2007, 10:22 AM) 🗌	**************************************
Johann many law firms have many lawyers each. Doesn't show a lot of smarts for you to empha 14 lawyers thing.	asize that
howdy	
Posted by: howdy May 27 2007, 03:08 PM	
QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 01:24 PM)	
howdy, if you think johann "doesn't show a lot of smarts" then you should show how intelligent you are a response that showed "informative and/or logical reasoning". i'm sure you could have made y a more respectful way. insulting doesn't equal information.	
howdy Posted by: Grith May 27 2007, 06:07 PM	
QUOTE(howdy @ May 27 2007, 04:08 PM)	
Yes I suppose you are right there Mozart. However I have no way of judging the reliability of per this board except by their posts. If persons post nonsense it tends to discredit the cause they a support doncha think? I fear that certain persons would not last 5 minutes under cross examina	re trying to
But none of us are under cross examination. What may seem to be nonsense to you may not be so to someone else. I have found that people forum are generously courteous to each other. Even if you think that what Johann posted was not call for you to be discourteous to him, now does it?	
Posted by: howdy May 27 2007, 06:38 PM	
T	
QUOTE(Grith @ May 27 2007, 07:07 PM) 🗌	
But none of us are under cross examination. What may seem to be nonsense to you may not be so to someone else. I have found that peop forum are generously courteous to each other. Even if you think that what Johann posted was i	

that does not call for you to be discourteous to him, now does it?			
I promise to disagree in a more agreeable way in future			
nowdy			
Posted by: watchbird May 27 2007, 08:40 PM			
QUOTE(howdy @ May 27 2007, 05:08 PM)			
Yes I suppose you are right there Mozart. However I have no way of judging the reliability of people on this board except by their posts. If persons post nonsense it tends to discredit the cause they are trying to support doncha think? I fear that certain persons would not last 5 minutes under cross examination.	3		
howdy			

lowdy, if what you are criticizing is the "14 lawyers" statement by Johann... then perhaps your problem is hat you simply haven't read enough of the material on this board.... so you missed out on the stories behind he "14 lawyers" comment.

and as for Johann lasting under crossexamination... I think he would do right well, and not only that, but vould be able to lead the examiners into asking just the questions that would give him opportunity to give he answers which he would love to have the judge hear.

t would be very time-consuming, but before you pass a hasty judgment on one of the "old-timers" here, it vould really be well to go to their profile and read all that they have written in the contexts in which they vere written. If you did that, then you would recognize the stories behind such phrases as the "14 lawyers".

But to give you a little direct help on this one.... this cames from the oft repeated threats that Danny wrote to ohann over the years since Danny fired Johann in May (or maybe it was June) of 2004. Very often these hreats included mention of "Garwin's 14 lawyers" whom Johann should be prepared to meet as a result of vhatever Johann had done at the moment that was displeasing to Danny. Why not the 18 lawyers that are now listed on the law firm? I have no idea. Maybe they didn't have that many when Danny did his last check in how many there were. Why didn't Johann say 18 rather than 14... well.. he would have to answer that for nimself, but... knowing the history as well as I do, I would rather suspect it was because he intended to remind all of us of the numerous threatening letters he received that used that number.

Posted by: Fran May 27 2007, 10:32 PM

Well, we found out 14 was wrong! It is really 17?

My look at this situation from my view.

A law Firm with 17 Lawyers has been retained to this Mysterious, vague, unknown, quizzical lawsuit!

I believe no one here thinks all 14/17 lawyers will fight this case. However, I feel that many feel that there are 14/17 Lawyers at their disposal! Therefore, all bases are covered when and if needed. The realms of this case could expand exponentially.

Many lawyers are present at the trial are there many times only for a show of solidarity and to max out intimidation!

I would guess that this case is all about money! Yep, Joy and Pickles money! How much blood can you get out of a turnip? It does not matter if it is 1 lawyer, or 17! They have hired a firm that has expertise in all these areas. If need be, they are at Danny's disposal, right? If they do not have an area covered, the firm will hire a new lawyer or subcontract one, or something like that!

Now how many of these 14/17 lawyers are Danny's Lawyers? As many as he needs at any given moment! 1-17 could be at his beck and call. That is how I see it. Is it a correct look? Probably not! However, it is what I believe. I believe Danny can have at his disposal up to and including 17 lawyer if it is needed. Will that happen? Probably not! I will have to wait and see.

I have to wait with everyone else to wait until the truth is set free to the public.

I also think that saying that Danny has 1-6 lawyers, 14 lawyers, or even 17 lawyers are as correct as anyone else here. Nobody but the parties of the suit know. I also think the numbers will grow/shrink as days go by. However, at all times Danny will have at his disposal as many as are necessary for this particular suit.

How about that! I succeeded in saying ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WORTH WHILE!

9	Posted by: steffan May 27 2007, 10:33 PM			
A 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 12:48 AM)			
	[i know there are those among you who are a lot smarter and better informed than me and can give really good critiques as to what does or does not fly and/or if any of what i have said makes sense and is reasonable to consider or just my imagination run amuck.			
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	anyway, as i respect all of you, i would like your input and ideas as well. [/color]			

Actually the above sentence is the only part of your post that makes any sense. Do you honestly think that AF, DB, GMc, DS and both boards ran to have an emergency merger because DS had a court appearance with LS over marital property? Oh man.....You are really falling off of the deep end with that one. You state DS did not know the court date would be changed. His lawyers new that Laird did not have an active license to practice IL law which is the very reason that the court date was changed. If his lawyers knew it, one could assume that DS knew it.

Then we have your scenerio of trying to mix AF and 3abn into a marital property case. One has nothing to do with the other. I am quite sure AF and DB is not going to do anything rash because of Danny and Linda's property settlement.

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on....Did it ever occur to any of you that both ministries have been too busy with their own meetings and events right now to work any further on details. Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision. Something to think about since a lot of the speculations by the members here, have been proven wrong time and time again.

Posted by: mozart May 27 2007, 10:33 PM

you know the idea of DS making threats using the means of someone else's money is a bit nauseating. it's like a rich kid bragging about all his toys and all his money which he never personally earned.

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 27 2007, 10:51 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 09:33 PM)

Actually the above sentence is the only part of your post that makes any sense. Do you honestly think that AF, DB, GMc, DS and both boards ran to have an emergency merger because DS had a court appearance with LS over marital property? Oh man.....You are really falling off of the deep end with that one.

Well, if a ministry is suddenly down donations in the seven figures, who knows? Desperate times call for desperate measures. And smart people in groups make no better decisions than dumb people in groups. Witness the Challenger and Columbia disasters ... NASA scientists met in groups to make decisions that killed astronauts.

If the group is feeling guilty about something, or has something to hide, they're likely to make even dumber decisions. Evil has a way of cutting its own head off.

QUOTE

Then we have your scenerio of trying to mix AF and 3abn into a marital property case. One has nothing to do with the other. I am quite sure AF and DB is not going to do anything rash because of Danny and Linda's property settlement.

It does matter if Danny was milking his organization and hiding the income! (Notice the *if*, I'm going on available evidence and not all the blustery wind that sometimes flies up around here.)

QUOTE

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on....Did it ever occur to any of you that both ministries have been too busy with their own meetings and events right now to work any further on details.

But in competent organizations, the kinds of things that would hold back a merger would be taken care of before said merger was announced publicly on international television. And events that might distract from such a well-planned business deal might be toned down.

QUOTE

Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision.

3ABN has a lot more to lose if it falls through. AF had a lot of credibility with people who were beginning to have doubts, and I'm guessing when the merger announcement was rushed without AF even convening their board (correct me if I'm wrong!), their credibility began to suffer. I don't think they'd want that to continue.

QUOTE

Something to think about since a lot of the speculations by the members here, have been proven wrong time and time again.

Actually, steffan, this group has been right more than it's been wrong.

Posted by: howdy May 27 2007, 11:22 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ May 27 2007, 09:40 PM)

Howdy, if what you are criticizing is the "14 lawyers" statement by Johann... then perhaps your problem is that you simply haven't read enough of the material on this board.... so you missed out on the stories behind the "14 lawyers" comment.

And as for Johann lasting under crossexamination... I think he would do right well, and not only that, but would be able to lead the examiners into asking just the questions that would give him opportunity to give the answers which he would love to have the judge hear.

It would be very time-consuming, but before you pass a hasty judgment on one of the "old-timers" here, it would really be well to go to their profile and read all that they have written in the contexts in which they were written. If you did that, then you would recognize the stories behind such phrases as the "14 lawyers".

But to give you a little direct help on this one.... this cames from the oft repeated threats that Danny wrote to Johann over the years since Danny fired Johann in May (or maybe it was June) of 2004. Very often these threats included mention of "Garwin's 14 lawyers" whom Johann should be prepared to meet as a result of whatever Johann had done at the moment that was displeasing to Danny. Why not the 18 lawyers that are now listed on the law firm? I have no idea. Maybe they didn't have that many when Danny did his last check on how many there were. Why didn't Johann say 18 rather than 14... well.. he would have to answer that for himself, but... knowing the history as well as I do, I would rather suspect it was because he intended to remind all of us of the numerous threatening letters he received that used that number.

Thank you Watchbird for the explanation. So for persons who may not know the background it would have been nice if there had been quotation marks around the "fourteen lawyers". Meantime since I should have caught on I apologise.

howdy

Posted by: mozart May 28 2007, 12:04 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 09:33 PM)

Actually the above sentence is the only part of your post that makes any sense. Do you honestly think that AF, DB, GMc, DS and both boards ran to have an emergency merger because DS had a court appearance with LS over marital property? Oh man.....You are really falling off of the deep end with that one.

" falling off of the deep end"? If you can insult my opinion, then you can at least say why you think that, can't you? or can you? as usual you can't intelligently and accurately defend your beliefs so you just make insults. that's a waste of time.

You state DS did not know the court date would be changed. His lawyers new that Laird did not have an active license to practice IL law which is the very reason that the court date was changed. If his lawyers knew it, one could assume that DS knew it.

thought you didn't believe in assuming. where's your evidence he knew?

Then we have your scenerio of trying to mix AF and 3abn into a marital property case. One has nothing to do with the other. I am quite sure AF and DB is not going to do anything rash because of Danny and Linda's property settlement.

that was not my scenario at all. you apparently didn't follow what i was saying.

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on....Did it ever occur to any of you that both ministries have been too busy with their own meetings and events right now to work any further on details. that's a pathetically weak statement steffan. Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts are you saying that all those "visions and dreams" might be overruled by the thoughts of men? if that is so, then they really made fools of themselves with all the hype of that "live show" announcement. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. where did i make that assumption here? You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision. that would be interesting since the other side is the one that initiated and pushed things to the point of "emergency" mode. Something to think about since a lot of the speculations by the members here, have been proven wrong time and time again. which speculations are you referring to? again you just make erroneous statements and insults but add nothing informative to the discussion. you might remember some things you have said, as facts you personally are privy to, have been proven wrong as well. As happens so often, you say much without saying anything. your method of defense is just to insult those you disagree with because you cannot respectfully offer actual information or intelligently contribute to the debate and discussions here. is someone wrong just because you think they are wrong? are they stupid just because they disagree with you? if you have nothing more to offer than that line of thinking then you simply have nothing to offer steffan, these tactics are those of people who simply just want to disagree but don't have any information or ideas of their own to back them up. it's apparent that you don't know anymore than the rest of us about why things have happened the way they have or what is "actually" going on. if you do, then please enlighten us and try to do it without sarcasm and insults. that's just not nessessary and makes it very hard to take you seriously in any way. if you want me to respond to you, then say something constructive, heart-felt or intelligent. thanks, Mo Posted by: Johann May 28 2007, 12:08 AM QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 08:24 PM) 🗌 howdy, if you think johann "doesn't show a lot of smarts" then you should show how intelligent you are by giving a response that showed "informative and/or logical reasoning". i'm sure you could have made your point in a more respectful way. insulting doesn't equal information. No problem, mo! Some people may believe that speaking in riddles is a worse sin than rudeness. We should espect such a conviction. I should apologize for my way of speaking in riddles and letting people draw their own conclusions rather than spelling them out, even if they do not reason like I do.

Posted by: mozart May 28 2007, 12:44 AM

well i guess some of us are just abstract thinkers johann. BTW......"Why is a Raven like a writing desk?"

I mean he could have said, "14 lawyers spinning?!!! what the heck you talkin'bout ?? "

Ever heard about the "BFKLA" ??

(Big Fat Knitting Lawyers Assoc.) x *^* 20 x

QUOTE(Johann @ May 27 2007, 11:08 PM)

No problem, mo! Some people may believe that speaking in riddles is a worse sin than rudeness. We should respect such a conviction. I should apologize for my way of speaking in riddles and letting people draw their own conclusions rather than spelling them out, even if they do not reason like I do.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 28 2007, 01:01 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 08:33 PM)

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on....Did it ever occur to any of you that both ministries have been too busy with their own meetings and events right now to work any further on details. Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision. Something to think about since a lot of the speculations by the members here, have been proven wrong time and time again.

Steffan,

In retrospect, don't you agree that the merger announcement on April 19 actually sort of qualifies as speculation?

Have you read the emails from the AF Board Chairman? Jim Pedersen makes it very clear that they are still in the process of making up their minds whether or not to go forward with a proposed merger between Amazing Facts and 3abn.

Posted by: Johann May 28 2007, 01:21 AM

Both could be black?

Posted by: beartrap May 28 2007, 01:31 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 09:33 PM)

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on.... Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision.

Actually, I agree with Steffan on this point. Although it seems that AF could have some reasons to back away from this merger and may do so, ever since the merger was announced I have been of the opinion that 3ABN would be the most likely party to withdraw as I believe that 3ABN could have very strong reasons to avoid the likely complications for them that could result from a merger that would involve some of the changes spoken of on the announcement. I have been of the opinion that some of the administration at 3ABN were the party with the most to lose. However, I have wondered how they would accomplish gracefully backing out after the "prophecies" and "visions" that were used as a significant part of the 3ABN side of the announcement.

But then this is all just my opinion.

Posted by: beartrap May 28 2007, 01:45 AM

QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 11:44 PM)

well i guess some of us are just abstract thinkers johann. BTW......"Why is a Raven like a writing desk?"

Hmmm... They have both often produced a feeling of dread in the hearts of the superstitious and uneducated? "Nevermore" quoth the Beartrap "nevermore."

Posted by: lurker May 28 2007, 06:19 AM

14 and/or 17 lawyers? Doesn't matter. One person and God is a majority. Or two people and God are a majority.

It would seem that if you sue and pour more money into a lawsuit than you can recover, it is probably about vengence. Not righteous indignation. Doesn't make the sue-er look like he is living up to the principles he espouses. Isn't good PR for the ministry either. Certainly won't prove the sue-er to be right or bring more donations into the ministry.

By the way, http://www.straightdope.com/classics/a5_266.html Why is a raven like a writing desk? I don't know but if you take any two objects and compare them, you can probably find some things they have in common and justify any opinion.

Posted by: LaurenceD May 28 2007, 08:12 AM

I dunno lurker, it may not be good PR for the ministry, but w/o suing, what if the ministry can't survive? You gotta remember, these are the same people who don't seem to understand legal issues. Case in point--the property in the tax lawsuit. By appealing, they don't seem to mind pouring more money into the system for a long shot. Maybe it's more naivety than vengence--or a combination of the two.

One thing some lawyers love is representing people with an endless supply of money. I can't tell you how many times I witnessed one particular law firm, comprised of 21 lawyers, reassure their client (a gov't

agency) that they had a solid case. The client finally caught on, after losing a few times, and switched to another smaller firm comprised of 3 attorneys.

What appeared to be going on was that the larger firm would use certain cases to train and support their freshman attorneys and give them some valuable experience at the client's expense. It almost seems immoral to be offering professional legal advice that way, but some issues are not well understood, like personel issues, so even false hope can feel right with gray areas, and the deeper purpose can remain undetected.

One thing that strikes me is to hear bits and pieces about the divorce, then to think about other issues, like these recent decisions and developments. I sense a familiar spirit--do whatever it takes to maintain the appearance of innocence. This is the nature of a "fighter" at work, someone that can't handle losing, and probably can't admit wrong. So, maybe you're right that it's vengence.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee May 28 2007, 08:26 AM

QUOTE(beartrap @ May 28 2007, 01:31 AM)

Actually, I agree with Steffan on this point. Although it seems that AF could have some reasons to back away from this merger and may do so, ever since the merger was announced I have been of the opinion that 3ABN would be the most likely party to withdraw as I believe that 3ABN could have very strong reasons to avoid the likely complications for them that could result from a merger that would involve some of the changes spoken of on the announcement. I have been of the opinion that some of the administration at 3ABN were the party with the most to lose. However, I have wondered how they would accomplish gracefully backing out after the "prophecies" and "visions" that were used as a significant part of the 3ABN side of the announcement.

But then this is all just my opinion.

Beartrap, since the dannyscribes like us to have proof of everything before speaking, maybe we should say the ALLEGED "prophecies" and "visions".

Posted by: lurker May 28 2007, 08:34 AM

ALLEGED "prophecies" and "visions" x rofi x rofi

Yup, that's what I would call them.

Just to be on the safe side.

Posted by: Pickle May 28 2007, 08:36 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 10:33 PM)

His lawyers new that Laird did not have an active license to practice IL law which is the very reason that the court date was changed.

Steffan, I commend you. I may have missed something earlier, but I was under the impression that Danny's allies were claiming that Laird did not have a license, period, and that they didn't want to admit that he had an inactive license. I see that you are not so biased as to be unable to admit that the issue was whether his license was active or inactive, not whether he had a license or not.

QUOTE	(steffan	@ May	27	2007,	10:33	PM)
-------	----------	-------	----	-------	-------	-----

Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger.

I heard that Danny spoke to a large group at camp meeting and said a) that they were off the air, b) something about the merger being in doubt, and c) that he wasn't sure that God wanted him to step down.

- 1. Do you know if he said these things?
- 2. If so, does that mean that the proposed merger would now require him to step aside entirely, contrary to what his allies previously said the merger would be like?

Now if Danny didn't really say these things, I want to know so that I can make a phone call and tell the person who was there and said that they heard this that they were possibly mistaken regarding what they heard.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered May 28 2007, 09:37 AM

QUOTE(LaurenceD @ May 28 2007, 07:12 AM)

I dunno lurker, it may not be good PR for the ministry, but w/o suing, what if the ministry can't survive? You gotta remember, these are the same people who don't seem to understand legal issues. Case in point-the property in the tax lawsuit. By appealing, they don't seem to mind pouring more money into the system for a long shot. Maybe it's more naivety than vengence--or a combination of the two.

One thing some lawyers love is representing people with an endless supply of money. I can't tell you how many times I witnessed one particular law firm, comprised of 21 lawyers, reassure their client (a gov't agency) that they had a solid case. The client finally caught on, after losing a few times, and switched to another smaller firm comprised of 3 attorneys.

What appeared to be going on was that the larger firm would use certain cases to train and support their freshman attorneys and give them some valuable experience at the client's expense. It almost seems immoral to be offering professional legal advice that way, but some issues are not well understood, like personel issues, so even false hope can feel right with gray areas, and the deeper purpose can remain undetected.

One thing that strikes me is to hear bits and pieces about the divorce, then to think about other issues, like these recent decisions and developments. I sense a familiar spirit--do whatever it takes to maintain the appearance of innocence. This is the nature of a "fighter" at work, someone that can't handle losing, and probably can't admit wrong. So, maybe you're right that it's vengence.

LaurenceD,

All excellent points that really cause further reflection on all of these issues! Some are driven to protect their "image" no matter what the cost.

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ May 28 2007, 07:26 AM) 🗌

Beartrap, since the dannyscribes like us to have proof of everything before speaking, maybe we should say the ALLEGED "prophecies" and "visions".

Seriously, I think all of us should view what we saw April 19th as alleged prophecies and visions. Too many fall unquestioningly in line without proof that God is truly behind these goose-bump moments.

QUOTE(mozart @ May 27 2007, 11:44 PM) 🗆
well i guess some of us are just abstract thinkers johann. BTW"Why is a Raven like a writing desk?"
Mo,
Why is a raven like a writing desk? Well, sometimes they both lay eggs, so to speak.
Posted by: lurker May 28 2007, 09:38 AM
"Some are driven to protect their "image" no matter what the cost."
Isn't that called "pride"?
Posted by: Noahswife May 28 2007, 10:36 AM
QUOTE(lurker @ May 28 2007, 11:38 AM)
"Some are driven to protect their "image" no matter what the cost."
Isn't that called "pride"?
Scott Peck, MD gives some interesting insight into some who fall in this category in his book <u>People of the Lie</u> . Dr. Peck is known foremost for his NY Times Best Seller, <u>The Road Less Traveled</u> . However, I would recommend the former book for anyone interested
Posted by: Voktar of Zargon May 28 2007, 11:39 AM
QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 28 2007, 12:36 PM)
Scott Peck, MD gives some interesting insight into some who fall in this category in his book <u>People of the Lie</u> . Dr. Peck is known foremost for his NY Times Best Seller, <u>The Road Less Travelled</u> . However, I would recommend the former book for anyone interested

In this book Peck proposes a new psychiatric diagnosis he calls "evil." I agree with his categorization. These types of people are often found in church settings because they are desperately trying to maintain the appearance of righteousness and are unable to deal with their own shamefully evil nature. They often have terribly broken family of origin experiences. I have encountered people who exemplify this diagnosis perfectly. Peck (who also worked with convicted felons as I do) said that sociopaths were far easier to deal with than this personality type. I agree whole heartedly. Ellen White said that the devils best agents are in the church. Those with the diagnosis of "evil" are indeed some of his best agents.

"A predominant characteristic... of the behavior of those I call evil is scapegoating. Because in their hearts they consider themselves above reproach, they must lash out at anyone who does reproach them. They sacrifice others to preserve their self-image of perfection... Scapegoating works through a mechanism psychiatrists call projection. Since the evil, deep down, feel themselves to be faultless, it is inevitable that when they are in conflict with the world they will inevitably perceive the conflict as the world's fault. Since

they must deny their own badness, they must perceive others as bad. They project their own evil onto the world. They never think of themselves as evil; on the other hand, they consequently see much evil in others.... Evil, then is most often committed in order to scapegoat, and the people I label as evil are chronic scapegoaters... Strangely enough, evil people are often destructive because they are attempting to destroy evil. The problem is that they misplace the locus of evil. Instead of destroying others they should be destroying the sickness within themselves." - People of the Lie p.73,74

The primary solution that Peck proposes for dealing with this problem is exorcism! Though I agree that in some of these cases there is a demonic element - I cannot endorse Peck's philosophy of, and methodology of exorcism. He presents a syncretistic view of exorcism as being predominately a therapeutic/psychological intervention as opposed to a primarily spiritual intervention. He also thinks it is unecessary for those involved in the exorcism to be Christians. Just my opinion.

I still recommend the book.

Posted by: Noahswife May 28 2007, 12:04 PM

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon @ May 28 2007, 12:39 PM)

The primary solution that Peck proposes for dealing with this problem is exorcism! Though I agree that in some of these cases there is a demonic element - I cannot endorse Peck's philosophy of, and methodology of exorcism. He presents a syncretistic view of exorcism as being predominately a therapeutic/psychological intervention as opposed to a primarily spiritual intervention. He also thinks it is unecessary for those involved in the exorcism to be Christians. Just my opinion. I still recommend the book.

VoZ.....

I agree totally with your comments regarding Peck's view regarding treatment. I have wondered if in the intervening years his opinion on that has changed at all.

Thanks for supporting my recommendation. Since I began posting here, I have made it several times behind the scenes but have yet had anyone come back and discuss with me whether they agreed or disagreed with the similarities I saw. The longer I am here and the more I have read at save3abn.com the more convinced I have become.

nw C"i"

Posted by: Rosyroi May 28 2007, 12:06 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 27 2007, 08:33 PM)

Actually the above sentence is the only part of your post that makes any sense. Do you honestly think that AF, DB, GMc, DS and both boards ran to have an emergency merger because DS had a court appearance with LS over marital property? Oh man.....You are really falling off of the deep end with that one. You state DS did not know the court date would be changed. His lawyers new that Laird did not have an active license to practice IL law which is the very reason that the court date was changed. If his lawyers knew it, one could assume that DS knew it.

Then we have your scenerio of trying to mix AF and 3abn into a marital property case. One has nothing to do with the other. I am quite sure AF and DB is not going to do anything rash because of Danny and Linda's property settlement.

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so

on....Did it ever occur to any of you that both ministries have been too busy with their own meetings and events right now to work any further on details. Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision. Something to think about since a lot of the speculations by the members here, have been proven wrong time and time again.

have a question Steffan. Since you are 'in the know' I am very much interested in WHY the quick emergency neeting in Colorado. What was the reason for it?

losyroi

Posted by: mozart May 28 2007, 01:44 PM

QUOTE

As far as all your speculation here about the merger, how it was announced, what is going on and so on....

Or has it occured to you there are things that could happen that might make 3abn have second thoughts about a merger. Your assumptions here are always that maybe AF has changed their mind. You might find out if for any reason the merge doesn't happen, it might be the other side to make that decision.

'd like to point out again that this is a convoluted statement steffan is making. I made no such issumptions or even the vaguest mention of what he is saying here.

QUOTE(beartrap @ May 28 2007, 01:31 AM)

Actually, I agree with Steffan on this point. Although it seems that AF could have some reasons to back away from this merger and may do so, ever since the merger was announced I have been of the opinion that 3ABN would be the most likely party to withdraw as I believe that 3ABN could have very strong reasons to avoid the likely complications for them that could result from a merger that would involve some of the changes spoken of on the announcement. I have been of the opinion that some of the administration at 3ABN were the party with the most to lose. However, I have wondered how they would accomplish gracefully backing out after the "prophecies" and "visions" that were used as a significant part of the 3ABN side of the announcement.

But then this is all just my opinion.

x rofl x rofl x rofl x rofl x rofl thanks BT. i needed that.

QUOTE(beartrap @ May 28 2007, 01:45 AM)

Hmmm... They have both often produced a feeling of dread in the hearts of the superstitious and uneducated? "Nevermore" quoth the Beartrap "nevermore."

Posted by: mozart May 28 2007, 01:58 PM

if we are referring to narcissism, does anyone know if this is considered a born behavior or can it become a learned behavior?

The great question of nature vs nurture. Inherited propensities or cultivated tendencies. Since self is the root of all evil, all sin is just a variation on that theme. We inherit a selfish nature and we reinforce it through our behavior.

Most convicted felons for instance just have a more exacerbated case of what afflicts us all - often because of bad heredity and environment. Peck describes the "evil" as having an exacerbated case of narcissism called 'malignant'(I believe that all of us are somewhat narcissistic by birth - some more than others according to their heredity). Narcissism can of course be further learned - especially in the formative years. Peck characterizes "malignant narcissism" as an unsubmitted will.

"In summary, all mentally healthy individuals submit themselves to the demands of their own conscience. Not so with the evil, however. In the conflict between their guilt and their will, it is the guilt that must go and the will that must win. The reader will be struck with the extraordinay willfulness of evil people. They are men and women of obviously strong will, determined to have their own way. There is a remarkable power in the manner in which they attempt to control others." p.78

The great irony is that these types of people will often gravitate toward conservative religious environments that proclaim submission to God as of paramount importance. They present themselves as submitting to God, yet they often have very little if any submission to His will at all.

Posted by: LaurenceD May 28 2007, 04:08 PM

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon)

Since self is the root of all evil, all sin is just a variation on that theme. We inherit a selfish nature and we reinforce it through our behavior.

Maybe we should define this confusing term, "self." While we are advised to rid the heart of self, and told self is the greatest enemy we'll have to face, EGW also writes to just be your simple natural self (4T 522). She goes on to warn against self-analysis...thinking too much about yourself, or petting yourself.

Maybe there's a better term since God doesn't touch the personality, only the character.

Posted by: Skyhook May 28 2007, 05:02 PM

QUOTE(mozart @ May 28 2007, 02:58 PM)

if we are referring to narcissism, does anyone know if this is considered a born behavior or can it become

It does sound like Peck's description of the evil person is similar to a person with narcissistic personality disorder. It has been years since I read Peck's book but I think what he described was people who were worse that what is usually seen as personality disorder. Such as parents who actually hated thier children and meant them harm.

In general personality disorders are considered to be the result primarily of family pathology. Although there are very severe types that were formerly called "psychopaths," Ted Bundy may be a good example, who, on an interview, or a relatively brief encounter may seem perfectly normal, or even above average, but when a true longitudinal history is obtained, it is clear that they are extremely disturbed and have some behavior characteristics that even seem consistent with some kind of brain impairment. Although they know the difference between right and wrong, they see no need to let that influence thier behavior towards others. They can exploit and injure others with little or no feelings of guilt. See "The Mask of Sanity" by Hervey Cleckley MD

People with narcissistic personality disorder can often function relatively normally. They can experience rage and depression at the loss of a love relationship. I'm not sure that they actually love in the way we usually define love. What they really want is to be worshipped by thier significant other. They can never get enough of admiration, attention and applause. When they get it they still feel empty.

BTW, narcissim was the sin that led to Satan's fall. "Your heart was lifted up because of your beauty; You corrupted your wisdom by reason of your splendor. I cast you to the ground; I put you before Kings that they may see you. " Ezekiel 28:5

Posted by: Observer May 28 2007, 06:53 PM

QUOTE(Skyhook @ May 28 2007, 04:02 PM)

a learned behavior?

It does sound like Peck's description of the evil person is similar to a person with narcissistic personality disorder. It has been years since I read Peck's book but I think what he described was people who were worse that what is usually seen as personality disorder. Such as parents who actually hated thier children and meant them harm.

In general personality disorders are considered to be the result primarily of family pathology. Although there are very severe types that were formerly called "psychopaths," Ted Bundy may be a good example, who, on an interview, or a relatively brief encounter may seem perfectly normal, or even above average, but when a true longitudinal history is obtained, it is clear that they are extremely disturbed and have some behavior characteristics that even seem consistent with some kind of brain impairment. Although they know the difference between right and wrong, they see no need to let that influence thier behavior towards others. They can exploit and injure others with little or no feelings of guilt. See "The Mask of Sanity" by Hervey Cleckley MD

People with narcissistic personality disorder can often function relatively normally. They can experience rage and depression at the loss of a love relationship. I'm not sure that they actually love in the way we usually define love. What they really want is to be worshipped by thier significant other. They can never get enough of admiration, attention and applause. When they get it they still feel empty.

BTW, narcissim was the sin that led to Satan's fall. "Your heart was lifted up because of your beauty; You corrupted your wisdom by reason of your splendor. I cast you to the ground; I put you before Kings that they may see you." Ezekiel 28:5

Personality Disorder: "A Personality Disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that leviates markedly from the expectation of the individual's culture, is pervasive and enflexible, and has an inset in adolescience or early adulthood, is stable over time, and leads to distress or impairment." DSM IV, page 629. For a slightly expended defination please see page 630.

n the DSM IV, the personality disorderss are: Paranoid, Schizoid, Schizotypal, Anitisocial, Borderline, Histrionic, Narcissistic, Avoidant, Dependent, Obsessive-Complusive and NOS. (To each of the preceding Personality Disorder" is attached to the nomenclature.

NOTE: Paranoid Personality Disorder is not the same as Parnoia, or as it is called in the DSM-IV, Delusional Disorder.

The generalized defination of Narcissistic Personality Disorder is: " . . . a pervasive pattern of grandiosity, need for admiration, and lack of empathy that begins by early adulthood and is present in a bariety of contexts." SM-IV, page 658

NOTE: My comments are not intended to diagnose, and they are not intended to apply to anyone. I am simply naking generalized statement for your edification. In addition, to diagnose Narcissistic Personality Disorder, one would need to apply the criteria listed on page 661 if using the DSM-IV. I have not listed that criteria. For his diagnosis, nine (9) criteria are listed, and it is stated that five (5) are required to be present for a liagnosis. So, one or two criteria is not enough for a diagnosis.

Posted by: steffan May 28 2007, 06:59 PM

QUOTE(Pickle @ May 28 2007, 09:36 AM) 🗌

Steffan, I commend you. I may have missed something earlier, but I was under the impression that Danny's allies were claiming that Laird did not have a license, period, and that they didn't want to admit that he had an inactive license. I see that you are not so biased as to be unable to admit that the issue was whether his license was active or inactive, not whether he had a license or not.

I heard that Danny spoke to a large group at camp meeting and said a) that they were off the air, b) something about the merger being in doubt, and c) that he wasn't sure that God wanted him to step down.

- Do you know if he said these things?
- 2. If so, does that mean that the proposed merger would now require him to step aside entirely, contrary to what his allies previously said the merger would be like?

Now if Danny didn't really say these things, I want to know so that I can make a phone call and tell the person who was there and said that they heard this that they were possibly mistaken regarding what they heard.

Better make the call. Where do you get your sources. In looking back through the months at your many many posts it is amazing how many times your "sources" have steered you wrong.

as well as 1000+ people were there to witness what was said. It wasn't the above.

He said: There are still many details to be worked out as far as the merger.

Even if he gives Doug the president title, He has no intention or desire to step aside IN ANY KIND OF WAY. His comment was simply that he wants to follow whatever the Lord has in store for 3abn.

NO HOW, NO WAY does the merger require him to step aside. There has not been a merge yet nor has the combined board been chosen. Therefore, how could anything be required?

Even though beartrap, in agreeing with me, is trying to insinuate 3abn might not merge because they couldn't control things they way they want to, that also is fantasy. There could be other circumstances come into play that have nothing to do with Doug, AF, Linda, You or Joy. I know it will probably come as a shock but 3abn does not base it's ministry decisions on you or your little group. They will base their decisions on what is best to reach a world wide mission field.

And please, get some new sources.

Posted by: SoulEspresso May 28 2007, 07:24 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ May 28 2007, 05:59 PM)
He said: There are still many details to be worked out as far as the merger.
There has not been a merge yet nor has the combined board been chosen.

Um ... is there or isn't there?

Posted by: Pickle May 28 2007, 10:01 PM

Steffan,

I hear that there are many, many people high and low that are convinced that Danny needs to step aside for the good of the ministry of 3ABN. Maybe it's only a little group that think otherwise.

Look at it this way: Linda allegedly committed long-distance adultery over telephone wires, and it was crystal clear to someone somewhere that she needed to step aside, whether she wanted to or not.

- Danny divorced his wife without knowing whether she had committed fornication or not.
- Danny told Walt Thompson the Tommy Shelton child molestation allegations were 30 years old when they weren't.
- Danny let Walt believe that the Tommy Shelton child molestation allegations were all due to a feud between Dryden and Tommy when they weren't.
- On Feb. 15, 2007, Danny claimed ASI was willing to hear any accusation and complaint when they weren't.
- On April 22 Danny stated that they had filed a lawsuit against two people to begin. Yet he had never
 made any attempt to first use church-based mediation as commanded by God in Scripture, and had
 thwarted and spurned our attempts to do so.
- "Let these men know that God does not hear their prayers" (3SM 299). Is 3ABN now headed by a man whose prayers aren't heard by God because he filed a lawsuit without first trying to use church-based mediation?
- In early December Danny claimed to me that lots of money rolling in was proof that God was pleased with his course. Is the money still rolling in? If not, by Danny's own logic, doesn't God want him to step aside?

Thanks for letting me know that my source got it wrong, at least in part.

25	OTE(steffan @ May 28 2007, 06:59 PM) 🗌	*********
	tter make the call. Where do you get your sources. In looking back through the months at your man	١٧

many posts it is amazing how many times your "sources" have steered you wrong. I as well as 1000+ people were there to witness what was said. It wasn't the above. He said: There are still many details to be worked out as far as the merger. Even if he gives Doug the president title, He has no intention or desire to step aside IN ANY KIND OF WAY. His comment was simply that he wants to follow whatever the Lord has in store for 3abn. NO HOW, NO WAY does the merger require him to step aside. There has not been a merge yet nor has the combined board been chosen. Therefore, how could anything be required? Even though beartrap, in agreeing with me, is trying to insinuate 3abn might not merge because they couldn't control things they way they want to, that also is fantasy. There could be other circumstances come into play that have nothing to do with Doug, AF, Linda, You or Joy. I know it will probably come as a shock but 3abn does not base it's ministry decisions on you or your little group. They will base their decisions on what is best to reach a world wide mission field. And please, get some new sources.
Posted by: mozart May 29 2007, 12:26 AM
QUOTE(Skyhook @ May 28 2007, 04:02 PM)
BTW, narcissim was the sin that led to Satan's fall. "Your heart was lifted up because of your beauty; You corrupted your wisdom by reason of your splendor. I cast you to the ground; I put you before Kings that they may see you. " Ezekiel 28:5
ery interesting thought.
Posted by: Shepherdswife May 29 2007, 05:14 PM
QUOTE(Noahswife @ May 28 2007, 12:36 PM)
Scott Peck, MD gives some interesting insight into some who fall in this category in his book <u>People of the Lie</u> . Dr. Peck is known foremost for his NY Times Best Seller, <u>The Road Less Traveled</u> . However, I would recommend the former book for anyone interested
agree you, NWgreat book! I read it years ago and it helped me understand a few people I have had lealings with in a way that nothing else had.
hepherdswife
Posted by: mozart May 29 2007, 09:50 PM
that's skeery. c eeeek
QUOTE(Shepherdswife @ May 29 2007, 05:14 PM)
I agree you NWgreat book! I read it years ago and it beloed me understand a few people I have had

dealings with in a way that nothing else had. shepherdswife	
Posted by: Noahswife Jun 1 2007, 10:21 AM	
Todays update in this series of posts	
From: Jim Pedersen Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2007 7:27 PM Subject: Re: AF/3ABN merger	
Hello, as of today the proposed merger is still proceeding with the inve Nothing is currently on hold.	stigative stage of the discussions.
Best wishes, Jim Pedersen	

Hi Jim,	
I heard from a friend just a little bit ago that the AF/3abn merger was on hold. Is this just gossip? If so, what is the status of the merger at the	,
I have passed on our dialogue to a number of others and have received were happy to read that you and the AF Board are proceeding carefully	
Blessings,	
(added by nw)	
Posted by: lurker Jun 1 2007, 11:36 AM	
Amazing Facts would be very remiss if they do not make 3ABN prove to regarding self dealing by officers and their relatives now before proceed	
Posted by: Noahswife Jun 1 2007, 12:26 PM	
QUOTE(lurker @ Jun 1 2007, 01:36 PM)	
Amazing Facts would be very remiss if they do not make 3ABN prove t regarding self dealing by officers and their relatives now before proceed	
x h	
urker 🗀	

4aybe our ghost writer will cut and paste some more quotes to Elder Pedersen in the next email to him.

30 people post the questions you would like asked of him or PM them to me to refer on.

Posted by: awesumtenor Jun 1 2007, 05:55 PM

QUOTE(Observer @ May 28 2007, 08:53 PM)

Personality Disorder: "A Personality Disorder is an enduring pattern of inner experience and behavior that deviates markedly from the expectation of the individual's culture, is pervasive and enflexible, and has an onset in adolescience or early adulthood, is stable over time, and leads to distress or impairment." DSM IV, page 629. For a slightly expended defination please see page 630.

In the DSM IV, the personality disorderss are: Paranoid, Schizoid, Schizotypal, Anitisocial, Borderline, Histrionic, Narcissistic, Avoidant, Dependent, Obsessive-Complusive and NOS. (To each of the preceding "Personality Disorder" is attached to the nomenclature.

NOTE: Paranoid Personality Disorder is not the same as Parnoia, or as it is called in the DSM-IV, Delusional Disorder.

The generalized defination of Narcissistic Personality Disorder is: " . . . a pervasive pattern of grandiosity, need for admiration, and lack of empathy that begins by early adulthood and is present in a bariety of contexts." SM-IV, page 658

NOTE: My comments are not intended to diagnose, and they are not intended to apply to anyone. I am simply making generalized statement for your edification. In addition, to diagnose Narcissistic Personality Disorder, one would need to apply the criteria listed on page 661 if using the DSM-IV. I have not listed that criteria. For this diagnosis, nine (9) criteria are listed, and it is stated that five (5) are required to be present for a diagnosis. So, one or two criteria is not enough for a diagnosis.

The aforementioned nine criteria for Narcissistic Personality Disorder are as follows:

QUOTE

A pervasive pattern of grandiosity (in fantasy or behavior), need for admiration, and lack of empathy, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by five (or more) of the following:

- (1) has a grandiose sense of self-importance (e.g., exaggerates achievements and talents, expects to be recognized as superior without commensurate achievements)
- (2) is preoccupied with fantasies of unlimited success, power, brilliance, beauty, or ideal love
- (3) believes that he or she is "special" and unique and can only be understood by, or should associate with, other special or high-status people (or institutions)
- (4) requires excessive admiration
- (5) has a sense of entitlement, i.e., unreasonable expectations of especially favorable treatment or automatic compliance with his or her expectations
- (6) is interpersonally exploitative, i.e., takes advantage of others to achieve his or her own ends
- (7) lacks empathy: is unwilling to recognize or identify with the feelings and needs of others
- (8) is often envious of others or believes that others are envious of him or her
- (9) shows arrogant, haughty behaviors or attitudes

There are a couple of other personality disorders that may in play as well... consider the criteria for diagnosing antisocial personality disorder:

QUOTE

- A. There is a pervasive pattern of disregard for and violation of the rights of others occurring since age 15 years, as indicated by three (or more) of the following:
- (1) failure to conform to social norms with respect to lawful behaviors as indicated by repeatedly performing acts that are grounds for arrest
- (2) deceitfulness, as indicated by repeated lying, use of aliases, or conning others for personal profit or pleasure
- (3) impulsivity or failure to plan ahead
- (4) irritability and aggressiveness, as indicated by repeated physical fights or assaults
- (5) reckless disregard for safety of self or others
- (6) consistent irresponsibility, as indicated by repeated failure to sustain consistent work behavior or honor financial obligations
- (7) lack of remorse, as indicated by being indifferent to or rationalizing having hurt, mistreated, or stolen from another
- B. The individual is at least age 18 years.
- C. There is evidence of Conduct Disorder with onset before age 15 years.
- D. The occurrence of antisocial behavior is not exclusively during the course of Schizophrenia or a Manic Episode.

There is also paranoid personality disorder:

QUOTE

- A. A pervasive distrust and suspiciousness of others such that their motives are interpreted as malevolent, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts, as indicated by four (or more) of the following:
- (1) suspects, without sufficient basis, that others are exploiting, harming, or deceiving him or her
- (2) is preoccupied with unjustified doubts about the loyalty or trustworthiness of friends or associates
- (3) is reluctant to confide in others because of unwarranted fear that the information will be used maliciously against him or her
- (4) reads hidden demeaning or threatening meanings into benign remarks or events
- (5) persistently bears grudges, i.e., is unforgiving of insults, injuries, or slights
- (6) perceives attacks on his or her character or reputation that are not apparent to others and is quick to react angrily or to counterattack
- (7) has recurrent suspicions, without justification, regarding fidelity of spouse or sexual partner
- B. Does not occur exclusively during the course of Schizophrenia, a Mood Disorder With Psychotic Features, or another Psychotic Disorder and is not due to the direct physiological effects of a general medical condition.

It is not a reach to say that many of these criteria, for all 3 disorders, have been met in the words and deeds of one Danny Shelton...

In His service, Mr. J

Posted by: Snoopy Jun 3 2007, 04:18 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ May 27 2007, 09:40 PM)
And as for Johann lasting under crossexamination I think he would do right well, and not only that, but would be able to lead the examiners into asking just the questions that would give him opportunity to give the answers which he would love to have the judge hear.
I totally agree, WB. Plus, from what I have observed, he would do it in such a way as to bring glory to God in the process!!!!
QUOTE(Fran @ May 27 2007, 11:32 PM) 🗌
How about that! I succeeded in saying ABSOLUTELY NOTHING WORTH WHILE!
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ I disagree, Fran.
I always find a great deal of good information and well thought perspective in your posts
Posted by: Snoopy Jun 3 2007, 04:30 PM
QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ May 27 2007, 11:51 PM)
But in competent organizations, the kinds of things that would hold back a merger would be taken care of before said merger was announced publicly on international television. And events that might distract from such a well-planned business deal might be toned down. 3ABN has a lot more to lose if it falls through. AF had a lot of credibility with people who were beginning to have doubts, and I'm guessing when the merger announcement was rushed without AF even convening their board (correct me if I'm wrong!), their credibility began to suffer. I don't think they'd want that to continue.
Excellent points, SE. I totally agree. Makes me wonder if Mr. McNeilus has considered the possibility of directing some of his wealth toward a good public relations firm for 3ABN. X

Posted by: mozart Jun 3 2007, 04:34 PM

good point but terrrible idea my dear snoopdog. i hope not. Jesus should be the PR man for 3ABN.

QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jun 3 2007, 03:30 PM) 🗆
Excellent points, SE. I totally agree. Makes me wonder if Mr. McNeilus has considered the possibility of directing some of his wealth toward a good public relations firm for 3ABN.
<u>×</u>
Posted by: Snoopy Jun 3 2007, 05:21 PM
QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 3 2007, 05:34 PM) 🗆
good point but terrrible idea my dear snoopdog. i hope not. Jesus should be the PR man for 3ABN.
You are SO rightJesus SHOULD BE the PR Man. But from this whole 3ABN mess and now the merger fiasco announcement, it appears that somebody forgot to consult Him. It would be one thing if it had been announced as "a proposed merger", or "we are exploring the possibilities of a merger" but no - it's "a merger" before it's even approved!
Besides, my dear friend Mo 🕝 I was being a bit facetitious!!! 🗔
x hug.gif
Posted by: mozart Jun 3 2007, 07:31 PM
i know ya were but i just had to put a word in for our precious Savior and our own best PR man.
we love you Jesus. Please help us out here and please help 3ABN get it right.
thanks Lord.
QUOTE(Snoopy @ Jun 3 2007, 05:21 PM)
You are SO rightJesus SHOULD BE the PR Man. But from this whole 3ABN mess and now the merger fiasco announcement, it appears that somebody forgot to consult Him. It would be one thing if it had been announced as "a proposed merger", or "we are exploring the possibilities of a merger" but no - it's "a merger" before it's even approved!
Besides, my dear friend Mo 🗂 I was being a bit facetitious!!! 🙃
x hug.gif

Posted by: Snoopy Jun 3 2007, 11:17 PM QUOTE(mozart @ Jun 3 2007, 07:31 PM) i know ya were but i just had to put a word in for our precious Savior and our own best PR man. we love you Jesus. Please help us out here and please help 3ABN get it right. thanks Lord. × × × And p.s. Lord. As is my daily plea, please don't give up on me, Lord Jesus. I DO love You!! Please show me what I can do to be of service to You in this mess. Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 4 2007, 11:30 AM QUOTE(Noahswife @ Jun 1 2007, 11:26 AM) x h Lurker Maybe our ghost writer will cut and paste some more quotes to Elder Pedersen in the next email to him. So people post the questions you would like asked of him or PM them to me to refer on. nw NW, What a great idea! I'd like to know his reaction to the email Sonshineonme posted from Victoria Australia Conference President Dennis Hankinson regarding the GC dropping 3abn status from "supporting ministry" until issues are settled. Edited post due to my using "AUC" (Australian Union Conference) which could be confused with Atlantic Union College - Thank you Yoogali, for the heads up! Posted by: joyce Jun 4 2007, 03:01 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jun 4 2007, 09:30 AM) 🗌
NW,
What a great idea!
I'd like to know his reaction to the email Sonshineonme posted from AUC President Dennis Hankinson regarding the AUC dropping 3abn status from "supporting ministry" until issues are settled.
A little correction. Denis Hankinson is the president of the Victoria Conference in Australia. The resolution suspending 3abn as an SDA supporting ministry, due to more than one issue of concern, came from the General Conference. The memo regarding the issue was sent to all the pastors in that conference.
Joyce
Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jun 4 2007, 03:28 PM
QUOTE(joyce @ Jun 4 2007, 02:01 PM)
A little correction. Denis Hankinson is the president of the Victoria Conference in Australia. The resolution suspending 3abn as an SDA supporting ministry, due to more than one issue of concern, came from the General Conference. The memo regarding the issue was sent to all the pastors in that conference.
Joyce
Joyce,
Thanks for the correction! The way I read the email was that the suspension was the result of an action by the committee that he is a member of. Looking at it from what you posted, I now see that he was probably pasting in a report from the GC!
Posted by: princessdi Jun 4 2007, 03:39 PM
So was the memo to only that conference in Australia?
Posted by: SoulEspresso Jun 4 2007, 04:25 PM
QUOTE(princessdi @ Jun 4 2007, 02:39 PM) 🗌
So was the memo to only that conference in Australia?

We haven't got it in ours yet.

Keep in mind that Australia is the most liberal sector in the whole denomination. They're to the world church like California is to the NAD. \square

What I mean is, a conservative outfit like 3ABN is less likely to have the broad base of support in Australia than they do here. I don't know this, but I suspect it's why it came out there first. Here, we have to be, uh diplomatic.		
Posted by: sonshineonme Jun 4 2007, 04:28 PM		
QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 4 2007, 03:25 PM) \square		
We haven't got it in ours yet.		
Keep in mind that Australia is the most liberal sector in the whole denomination. Church like California is to the NAD. \Box	They're to the world	
What I mean is, a conservative outfit like 3ABN is less likely to have the broad ba than they do here. I don't know this, but I suspect it's why it came out there first uh diplomatic.		
Ohhhh, is that what it's called!? I see, I got it now.		
Posted by: princessdi Jun 4 2007, 04:35 PM		
LOL!! But I don't understand why. Most people, Adventist, watch, contribute to, is sanctioned by GC. They preach the SDA doctrine, they are usually exclusively to events. I am not really understanding why GC is hesitant in retracting their support up to their part of the bargain.	elevising SDA major	
Oh yeah, and I got that about Cali, too! Errybody else is just slow! LOL!!!		
QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 4 2007, 02:25 PM) \square		
We haven't got it in ours yet.		
Keep in mind that Australia is the most liberal sector in the whole denomination. Church like California is to the NAD. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	They're to the world	
What I mean is, a conservative outfit like 3ABN is less likely to have the broad ba than they do here. I don't know this, but I suspect it's why it came out there first uh diplomatic.		
Posted by: SoulEspresso Jun 4 2007, 04:52 PM		
QUOTE(princessdi @ Jun 4 2007, 03:35 PM)		
LOL!! But I don't understand why. Most people, Adventist, watch, contribute to, s sanctioned by GC. They preach the SDA doctrine, they are usually exclusively tele	• •	

events. I am not really understanding why GC is hesitant in retracting their support, if 3ABN is not living up to their part of the bargain. Vell, think about it. How many people just luuuuvvvv the General Conference or the North American Division eaders? They suffer from the suspicion most Adventists have of authority figures (I think that has something o do with how we make Adventists, for one thing), they don't have a lot of credibility because nobody knows vho they are or recognizes their faces. On the other side are Danny & Co who have their own satellite :hannels and are in many Adventist homes every week; viewers feel they "know" them. find this thread very hopeful, but perhaps we could send some encouraging messages to the GC and NAD eaders saying, "Hey, we know what a difficult situation you're in, and we're praying you have the wisdom to now how to say what needs to be said." QUOTE Oh yeah, and I got that about Cali, too! Errybody else is just slow! LOL!!! × off My seminary friends sponsored by the CA conferences used to joke that if they got higher than a "C" n their EGW classes, they'd be dropped and have to find another job. (And if anyone here has been there pesides me, you know how hard it is to actually get a grade that low in seminary...) Posted by: mozart Jun 4 2007, 04:57 PM QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Jun 4 2007, 04:52 PM) 🗔 Well, think about it. How many people just luuuvvvv the General Conference or the North American Division leaders? They suffer from the suspicion most Adventists have of authority figures (I think that has something to do with how we make Adventists, for one thing), they don't have a lot of credibility because nobody knows who they are or recognizes their faces. On the other side are Danny & Co who have their own satellite channels and are in many Adventist homes every week; viewers feel they "know" them. 1 find this thread very hopeful, but perhaps we could send some encouraging messages to the GC and NAD leaders saying, "Hey, we know what a difficult situation you're in, and we're praying you have the wisdom to know how to say what needs to be said." And do what needs to be done. x of My seminary friends sponsored by the CA conferences used to joke that if they got higher than a "C" in their EGW classes, they'd be dropped and have to find another job. (And if anyone here has been there besides me, you know how hard it is to actually get a grade that low in seminary...) ...MMMMMH Posted by: watchbird Jun 27 2007, 06:06 AM × bum

With all the talk of the merger... and of writing to Amazing Facts.... in another thread, it is time to bump

this one up to the top again. I would be well for all to review the early posts of this thread... and for those who are wanting to make their voice heard, note especially beginning with post #8, where one can find the correspondence with the Chairman of the Board of AF.

Powered by Invision Power Board (http://www.invisionboard.com)
© Invision Power Services (http://www.invisionpower.com)