

Printable Version of Topic



[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Behind The Scenes

Posted by: sister Aug 16 2006, 10:44 AM

I have received the following narrative from Jorgen VanBraun. Jorgen and I agreed to start a new thread in which he will continue the work he began in "The Televangelist". As new selections become available they will be posted. I will allow Jorgen's words to speak for themselves without further comment.

Sister

The Solution

Jorgen VanBraun

The walls appear to be closing in on Danny Shelton as he and a bevy of acolytes struggle against an avalanche of accusations that continues to grow daily. In their traditional manner, they strike out ever more viciously against all who dare to expose the deeply rooted evils of "God's anointed" one, yet every rock they roll seems to become a boulder, but an unseen hand reverses the direction of those boulders, allowing their own words to condemn them. How can this scandal-ridden televangelist save his place in the Seventh-day Adventist world, and once again regain his exulted position riding atop the pinnacle of the wave of worship and adulation handed to him by a denomination who coveted the results of what he was selling and never questioned the means, allowing the end result to justify any carnage strewn along the path?

We look back over the past year and see that an exalted member of the 3ABN family engages in activities that result in a "surprise package", a little bundle of joy whose untimely appearance will verify the falsified stories concerning its conception. Shortly thereafter this person and the other party involved in the... ahem... sensual activities are baptized within days of the discovery of the "surprise". We see the intent of this ceremony is to wash away the stain, not only of the most recent event, but those stains of the many illicit sensual activities that have occurred over the past few years, including the adultery and long term phone sex relationship. The intent is to secure silence concerning past transgressions and absolve the sinner from accountability and reaping the results connected with the offences. It can serve as a spiritual bankruptcy, where the sinner is saved in, not from her sins.

Now we see Danny Shelton on the set, surrounded by his most faithful cronies – a virtual rouges gallery who have sold their integrity, self respect, and honesty one piece at a time for the various pieces of silver they have received along the way. In their exaltation of Danny and their degradation of his "enemies" they secure their positions within his realm and the favor of its anointed ruler. In desperation, they lash out against the people whose words are bringing their tin god down and in turn threatening their own exulted positions within this kingdom where he wields absolute power. They quote scripture, making allegorical statements meant to incriminate those they so fear, seeking the downfall of his enemies through insinuation robed in a garb of lies. Danny sits in his seat beaming with pleasure while their portrayals of his status as God's anointed is applied to him, in direct contrast to the condemnation applied to those he hates.

Amidst this ruckus, it is cautiously repeated several times that good people make mistakes... comparisons are made to Moses and David. As the rhetoric resumes, the pastor's statements alludes to the fact that the prophets were not free from sin. Was not Moses himself a murderer, didn't he kill an Egyptian? Then he addresses his anointed one from God and asks the question, "Danny, have you

ever murdered anyone?" A hush falls over the others gathered there awaiting his reply: "Well, not lately..." A bit flustered he carries on, "Not that I know of...well unless you mean people can kill with words?"

Danny is impressed to take some time off for spiritual renewal...

Remember this hypotheses:

1. Renewal and rebaptism wash away anything that happened before. None can bring it up again, the baptized are not culpable for past transgressions, nor is there any accountability.
2. Good people make mistakes, even the prophets of God sinned.
3. Time off for spiritual renewal.

Bearing this in mind, if a great cult leader goes up to the mountain top to "reconnect with God", returns and confesses that he did a few things wrong, and that he feels led to show his recommitment to ministry by rebaptism, do we walk away and concede that he has filed his spiritual bankruptcy? Do we say "He is once again the anointed one", all is forgiven and needs to be forgotten? Do we allow the evil to continue through a forced lip-service platitude that gives a warm feeling but leaves the fox in the hen house? Has God given Danny Shelton special dispensation, absolving him from the consequences of his actions and the requirement of restitution, unlike the rest of us?

Posted by: calvin Aug 16 2006, 01:04 PM

Is this Jorgen VanBraun a real person? Who is he? What is his connection with 3ABN?

Posted by: watchbird Aug 16 2006, 01:17 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 16 2006, 01:04 PM) □

Is this Jorgen VanBraun a real person? Who is he? What is his connection with 3ABN?

He is a real person. This is not his real name. He is the same person who wrote The Televangelist and he deserves to be allowed to fly "under the radar" now for the same reasons he did then. There are several of us on here who know him and will vouch for his authenticity. His "connection" with 3ABN cannot be divulged, for to do so would make his identity known. If you compare what Sister said in her introduction here to what was said in that thread about The Televangelist document (written in 2005) needing to be brought up to date, you will see that this is the beginning of that updating process.

Posted by: justme Aug 16 2006, 01:18 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Aug 16 2006, 11:44 AM) □

I have received the following narrative from Jorgen VanBraun. Jorgen and I agreed to start a new thread in which he will continue the work he began in "The Televangelist". As new selections become available they will be posted. I will allow Jorgen's words to speak for themselves without further comment.

Sister

The Solution

Jorgen VanBraun

The walls appear to be closing in on Danny Shelton as he and a bevy of acolytes struggle against an avalanche of accusations that continues to grow daily. In their traditional manner, they strike out ever more viciously against all who dare to expose the deeply rooted evils of "God's anointed" one, yet every rock they roll seems to become a boulder, but an unseen hand reverses the direction of those boulders, allowing their own words to condemn them. How can this scandal-ridden televangelist save his place in the Seventh-day Adventist world, and once again regain his exalted position riding atop the pinnacle of the wave of worship and adulation handed to him by a denomination who coveted the results of what he was selling and never questioned the means, allowing the end result to justify any carnage strewn along the path?

We look back over the past year and see that an exalted member of the 3ABN family engages in activities that result in a "surprise package", a little bundle of joy whose untimely appearance will verify the falsified stories concerning it's conception. Shortly thereafter this person and the other party involved in the... ahem... sensual activities are baptized within days of the discovery of the "surprise". We see the intent of this ceremony is to wash away the stain, not only of the most recent event, but those stains of the many illicit sensual activities that have occurred over the past few years, including the adultery and long term phone sex relationship. The intent is to secure silence concerning past transgressions and absolve the sinner from accountability and reaping the results connected with the offences. It can serve as a spiritual bankruptcy, where the sinner is saved in, not from her sins.

Now we see Danny Shelton on the set, surrounded by his most faithful cronies – a virtual rouses gallery who have sold their integrity, self respect, and honesty one piece at a time for the various pieces of silver they have received along the way. In their exaltation of Danny and their degradation of his "enemies" they secure their positions within his realm and the favor of its anointed ruler. In desperation, they lash out against the people whose words are bringing their tin god down and in turn threatening their own exalted positions within this kingdom where he wields absolute power. They quote scripture, making allegorical statements meant to incriminate those they so fear, seeking the downfall of his enemies through insinuation robed in a garb of lies. Danny sits in his seat beaming with pleasure while their portrayals of his status as God's anointed is applied to him, in direct contrast to the condemnation applied to those he hates.

Amidst this ruckus, it is cautiously repeated several times that good people make mistakes... comparisons are made to Moses and David. As the rhetoric resumes, the pastor's statements alludes to the fact that the prophets were not free from sin. Was not Moses himself a murderer, didn't he kill an Egyptian? Then he addresses his anointed one from God and asks the question, "Danny, have you ever murdered anyone?" A hush falls over the others gathered there awaiting his reply: "Well, not lately..." A bit flustered he carries on, "Not that I know of...well unless you mean people can kill with words?"

Danny is impressed to take some time off for spiritual renewal...

Remember this hypotheses:

1. Renewal and rebaptism wash away anything that happened before. None can bring it up again, the baptized are not culpable for past transgressions, nor is there any accountability.
2. Good people make mistakes, even the prophets of God sinned.
3. Time off for spiritual renewal.

Bearing this in mind, if a great cult leader goes up to the mountain top to "reconnect with God", returns and confesses that he did a few things wrong, and that he feels led to show his recommitment to ministry by rebaptism, do we walk away and concede that he has filed his spiritual bankruptcy? Do we say "He is once again the anointed one", all is forgiven and needs to be forgotten? Do we allow the evil to continue through a forced lip-service platitude that gives a warm feeling but leaves the fox in the hen house? Has God given Danny Shelton special dispensation,

absolving him from the consequences of his actions and the requirement of restitution, unlike the rest of us?

Was Moses a murderer? Yup

Was David a murderer? Yup

Was David an adulterer? Yup

Did Moses repent of his murder? Yup

Did David repent of his murder and adultery? Yup

Did Moses "glory in his own leadership"? Nope

Did Israel exalt Moses to "anointed one" status? Nope

Did Moses flaunt his role as leader? Nope

Did Moses WANT to be leader of Israel? Nope

Did Moses get to realize his dream of the Holy City? Nope

Did Moses have to surrender his leadership after he did his initial job leading Israel up to the gates of the Promised land? Yup

Did David choose to be leader of Israel? Nope

Did David misuse and abuse his position of power? Yup

Did David take advantage of the women around him? Yup

Did David suffer public humiliation for his sins? Yup

Did David suffer the consequences of his sins? Yup

Was David forgiven for his sins? Yup

Did David lose his own flesh and blood as a result of his sins? Yup

Both Moses and David were chosen by God for a particular purpose.

Moses was to lead Israel out of Egypt to a Promised land.

Did he get to go in? Nope!

David was also forgiven for his adultery and his murders. But David also had to forfeit the blessing of building the great temple as God had originally planned for David. David's sins bore the consequences even though he had been forgiven.

Did Danny choose his ministry? Yup

Was it a good ministry? Yup

Does Danny relish his role at 3ABN? YUP

Has Danny confessed his sins openly as did Moses or David? Nope

Has Danny committed "spiritual murder"? YUP

Will Danny be forgiven, "GOK" (God Only, Knows)

Has he asked for forgiveness from Linda? good question!

Would he forgive Linda if she had anything to be forgiven for? hasn't so far.

Is it time for "TCO" to relinquish his post at the ministry? Only if it goes to the original Co-founder, who has been "spiritually murdered".

Remember SOLOMON? Wisest man ever to live. He let flattery from his "staff" be his undoing as well, especially from Queen "Bathsheba". Then he let the charasmatics take over his kingdom permitting adultery and fornication in the church and in his offices.

That's good, "TCO" keep comparing yourself to God's chosen men. See what they got in the end.

Broken kingdoms and heartache. and BAD, BAD PRESS. "Welcome to the club". It is YOUR HARVEST TIME!

When "the chosen one" "TCO" if you will, doesn't like to be crossed or disobeyed he fires them. Where is the forgiveness for that dear soul, he so deeply desires for himself? Are we not told by our Precious Creator and Redeemer, Jesus, that we are forgiven only so far as we are willing to forgive others?

"TCO" doesn't have a clue ... he has never tried to see if it works. And what about his own Delilah? Did she figure into his "spiritual murder" of his own wife. If she committed "spiritual adultery" can his sin

against Linda be called "spiritual murder"?

The difference between faith and presumption is that presumption follows itself. Faith follows the Holy Spirit, the Comforter. IF he is not feeling comforted lately maybe he is following his accuser, a familiar voice, maybe?

Comparing oneself with Moses and David makes him look even worse. Neither of them wanted the job God gave them. "TCO" glories in his job. When Moses or David were flattered by those around them, they immediately messed up. It led them away from God. So what makes "TCO" think he can handle it. Because he believes the lie himself, having heard it all these years from his Pentecostal "support group".

The programming becomes more and more Pentecostal every week. Thanks Mollie. but no thanks ..

Posted by: Fran Aug 16 2006, 02:14 PM

QUOTE(justme @ Aug 16 2006, 02:18 PM)

Comparing oneself with Moses and David makes him look even worse. Neither of them wanted the job God gave them. "TCO" glories in his job. When Moses or David were flattered by those around them, they immediately messed up. It led them away from God. So what makes "TCO" think he can handle it. Because he believes the lie himself, having heard it all these years from his Pentecostal "support group".

The programming becomes more and more Pentecostal every week. Thanks Mollie. but no thanks ..

That may be the plan; To drop the SDA bit and go Pentecostal! It could be. That would get those blasted Seventh-day Adventists a run for their money! Many would continue to give! **When God said the devil would deceive the VERY ELECT HE MEANT IT!** ,

Posted by: sister Aug 16 2006, 02:26 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Aug 16 2006, 02:04 PM)

Is this Jorgen VanBraun a real person? Who is he? What is his connection with 3ABN?

Jorgen VanBraun is a real person. He has had a continuing intimate relationship with 3ABN, for which I am willing to vouch. There are many who assume they know his true identity or current location, but are mistaken. As I have chosen to protect my identity in order to reveal the knowledge I have concerning 3ABN, I have offered Jorgen that same protection through being his intermediary on this forum. This arrangement allows him to freely share information without the threat of retribution against him becoming a hindrance to informing the public in regard 3ABN. He has given me the responsibility to represent him and post his writings on BSDA. I have agreed to do so for his personal protection.

Posted by: calvin Aug 16 2006, 02:34 PM

thank you Sister and Watchbird for that clarification

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Sep 2 2006, 09:24 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Aug 16 2006, 11:44 AM)

Bearing this in mind, if a great cult leader goes up to the mountain top to "reconnect with God", returns and confesses that he did a few things wrong, and that he feels led to show his recommitment to ministry by rebaptism, do we walk away and concede that he has filed his spiritual bankruptcy? Do we say "He is once again the anointed one", all is forgiven and needs to be forgotten? Do we allow the evil to continue through a forced lip-service platitude that gives a warm feeling but leaves the fox in the hen house? Has God given Danny Shelton special dispensation, absolving him from the consequences of his actions and the requirement of restitution, unlike the rest of us?

Dan is counting on exactly this.

If he "reconnects with God and is born again"- he is untouchable in many of his past action and you can bet that he'll be even better the second - er - third - er - the next time around to keep his secrets secret.

Posted by: Daryl Fawcett Sep 4 2006, 08:30 AM

It seems though that he is taking his time to actually go on this so-called revival and reformation vacation.

Posted by: Jvat Sep 4 2006, 01:22 PM

Well, Dan seems to be denying everything so perhaps he does not feel that he needs that vacation right now.

Posted by: steffan Sep 9 2006, 09:42 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Aug 16 2006, 12:44 PM)

I have received the following narrative from Jorgen VanBraun. Jorgen and I agreed to start a new thread in which he will continue the work he began in "The Televangelist". As new selections become available they will be posted. I will allow Jorgen's words to speak for themselves without further comment.

Sister

The Solution
Jorgen VanBraun

The walls appear to be closing in on Danny Shelton as he and a bevy of acolytes struggle against an avalanche of accusations that continues to grow daily. In their traditional manner, they strike out ever more viciously against all who dare to expose the deeply rooted evils of "God's anointed" one, yet every rock they roll seems to become a boulder, but an unseen hand reverses the direction of those boulders, allowing their own words to condemn them. How can this scandal-ridden televangelist save his place in the Seventh-day Adventist world, and once again regain his exalted position riding atop the pinnacle of the wave of worship and adulation handed to him by a denomination who coveted the results of what he was selling and never questioned the means, allowing the end result to justify any carnage strewn along the path?

am confused here. They strike out more viciously against whom? How do they strike out viciously?

QUOTE

We look back over the past year and see that an exalted member of the 3ABN family engages in activities that result in a "surprise package", a little bundle of joy whose untimely appearance will verify the falsified stories concerning it's conception. Shortly thereafter this person and the other party involved in the... ahem... sensual activities are baptized within days of the discovery of the "surprise". We see the intent of this ceremony is to wash away the stain, not only of the most recent event, but those stains of the many illicit sensual activities that have occurred over the past few years, including the adultery and long term phone sex relationship. The intent is to secure silence concerning past transgressions and absolve the sinner from accountability and reaping the results connected with the offences. It can serve as a spiritual bankruptcy, where the sinner is saved in, not from her sins.

Who is this exalted member? Why not just name the exalted member's name? What is this little bundle of joy's name?

QUOTE

Now we see Danny Shelton on the set, surrounded by his most faithful cronies – a virtual rogues gallery who have sold their integrity, self respect, and honesty one piece at a time for the various pieces of silver they have received along the way. In their exaltation of Danny and their degradation of his "enemies" they secure their positions within his realm and the favor of its anointed ruler. In desperation, they lash out against the people whose words are bringing their tin god down and in turn threatening their own exalted positions within this kingdom where he wields absolute power. They quote scripture, making allegorical statements meant to incriminate those they so fear, seeking the downfall of his enemies through insinuation robed in a garb of lies. Danny sits in his seat beaming with pleasure while their portrayals of his status as God's anointed is applied to him, in direct contrast to the condemnation applied to those he hates.

Who are the rogues in the gallery? Are you referring to the John Lomacang sermon on John the Baptist? Does that make him a rogue in the rogue's gallery?

QUOTE

Bearing this in mind, if a great cult leader goes up to the mountain top to "reconnect with God", returns and confesses that he did a few things wrong, and that he feels led to show his recommitment to ministry by rebaptism, do we walk away and concede that he has filed his spiritual bankruptcy? Do we say "He is once again the anointed one", all is forgiven and needs to be forgotten? Do we allow the evil to continue through a forced lip-service platitude that gives a warm feeling but leaves the fox in the hen house? Has God given Danny Shelton special dispensation,

absolving him from the consequences of his actions and the requirement of restitution, unlike the rest of us?

How does that make him a cult leader? Waco, Jonestown and almost all the cults I read about had very strict control over who goes in and who comes out. The members of the cult were controlled, brain-washed and confined to the compound. How is it possible for 3abn to be called a cult when it seems that people are employed there and get to go home every night?

It is very difficult for me to take information coming from sources like these without identity verification. (Yes, yes, I know, the same insinuation that I am in the employ of 3ABN). However, people who speak out against injustice and evil, it seems, do so knowing that God is their protection and they have nothing to fear.

How is it that 3ABN employees have so much to fear? Loss of job? Name? Income? The Lord will provide and none can harm because God is their shield.

As usual, my name and email is at the end of this post.

Steffan Philip
steffankp@gmail.com

Posted by: Panama_Pete Sep 9 2006, 03:39 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 10:42 AM) □

It is very difficult for me to take information coming from sources like these without identity verification.

Steffan Philip
steffankp@gmail.com

identity verification?

You've asked for identities on three different threads, today.

If I said, "Jane Doe, in Peoria," how would that make life less "difficult" for you?

It seems that the phishing expedition continues.



Posted by: sonshineonme Sep 9 2006, 04:39 PM


QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Sep 9 2006, 02:39 PM)

Identity verification?

You've asked for identities on three different threads, today.

If I said, "Jane Doe, in Peoria," how would that make life less "difficult" for you?

It seems that the phishing expedition continues.



Me too. We ain't as dumb as we must appear to be, aye Pete?

Posted by: Lee Sep 9 2006, 06:27 PM

I am new on this forum and haven't posted much but I have been reading all along.

It isn't just having names that I believe Steffan wants--it is having information that is clearly presented without insinuations or innuendoes. I understand not presenting real names--but when I read the "televangelist" I felt like I was reading a work of fiction. Now this new chapter reads the same way. I am reading words like "cronies" and "rogues gallery" and "cult leader" and other unkind words. I come away feeling that Jorgen actually enjoyed writing this way. These things are written seemingly with malice and hatred oozing everywhere.

I am sure you all know that envy will lead to jealousy if not checked and overcome? And that jealousy will lead to hatred, again if not checked and overcome? And that hatred will lead to murder? There are many ways in which to murder someone.

Posted by: steffan Sep 9 2006, 09:51 PM

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Sep 9 2006, 04:39 PM)

Identity verification?

You've asked for identities on three different threads, today.

If I said, "Jane Doe, in Peoria," how would that make life less "difficult" for you?

It seems that the phishing expedition continues.

Well, Jane Doe would be useless, eh? How about John Smith, Production Manager, 3ABN - for example? Then I could say to myself, alright then, let's hear what this person has to say. Nope, having anonymous people constantly posting makes it difficult to even figure out what the

truth is.

And I presume the truth is what we want to get at.

I don't see how else to assure you that I am not on a "phishing" expedition, other than to say I'm not.

Phishing is normally used for identity theft, in which case, I guess mine would be the first one stolen. Strictly speaking, phishing is stealing user names and passwords and I don't see how I could do that on this forum.

Steffan Philip
steffankp@gmail.com

Posted by: sister Sep 9 2006, 09:58 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 10:51 PM)

Well, Jane Doe would be useless, eh? How about John Smith, Production Manager, 3ABN - for example? Then I could say to myself, alright then, let's hear what this person has to say.

Nope, having anonymous people constantly posting makes it difficult to even figure out what the truth is.

And I presume the truth is what we want to get at.

I don't see how else to assure you that I am not on a "phishing" expedition, other than to say I'm not.

Phishing is normally used for identity theft, in which case, I guess mine would be the first one stolen.

Strictly speaking, phishing is stealing user names and passwords and I don't see how I could do that on this forum.

Steffan Philip
steffankp@gmail.com

How is this for you:

Sister
various duties at 3ABN
sister.bsda@hotmail.com

This is as much as I am willing to offer, under the circumstances, take it or leave it...

Posted by: steffan Sep 9 2006, 09:59 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Sep 9 2006, 05:39 PM)

Me too. We ain't as dumb as we must appear to be, aye Pete?

Unless Panama Pete and you have 3ABN related information that speaks to the truth (however that turns out to be), I really don't care about your identity.

But if someone says, I have this to report in 3ABN, how am I supposed to figure out whether that

person is speaking the truth, or at least, verifiable truth.
In this situation, let's see what has been verified.

Linda spoke to Dr. AA for very long periods of time (she admits it). But for what reason - both sides differ.

Danny divorced Linda.
Danny remarried.

Then we have "stories" of things that go on inside 3ABN. Most people seem to forget that this was happening even when Linda was at 3ABN. The plane, the horses, the (reported) arrogance, both of them, not just one, indulged.

If you call my posts, phishing, so be it. I know it's not. But you seem to have a real problem with anyone who is not anti-Danny and pro-Linda.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 9 2006, 10:34 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 08:59 PM)

Unless Panama Pete and you have 3ABN related information that speaks to the truth (however that turns out to be), I really don't care about your identity.
But if someone says, I have this to report in 3ABN, how am I supposed to figure out whether that person is speaking the truth, or at least, verifiable truth.
In this situation, let's see what has been verified.


Linda spoke to Dr. AA for very long periods of time (she admits it). But for what reason - both sides differ.
Danny divorced Linda.
Danny remarried.

Aside from the verified facts listed above, the only additional fact that I am aware of (as a complete outsider) is that Danny's hair is no longer a reddish color but is now a true brunette. What is unknown is if it got that way through the application of Just For Men or some other method.

Posted by: beartrap Sep 9 2006, 10:42 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Sep 9 2006, 11:34 PM)

Aside from the verified facts listed above, the only additional fact that I am aware of (as a complete outsider) is that Danny's hair is no longer a reddish color but is now a true brunette. What is unknown is if it got that way through the application of Just For Men or some other method.



Shall we again bring up the topic of hair seeds? Perhaps someone could resurrect the previous discussion for PB's amusement. Anyone remember what thread that was on?

Posted by: beartrap Sep 9 2006, 10:53 PM

Here, PB. The truth shall set your laghter free!

[#entry133601](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=9455&st=45&p=133601)

Posted by: steffan Sep 9 2006, 10:54 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 9 2006, 10:58 PM)

How is this for you:

Sister
various duties at 3ABN
sister.bsda@hotmail.com

This is as much as I am willing to offer, under the circumstances, take it or leave it...

I'm curious about why you would want to stay in such a wicked organization if that's the case. Why not just shake the dust off your feet, as it were, and move away from this evil?

An organization filled with child molesters, evil rogues, a man who calls/thinks himself to be God? Surely you would want to run from the place?

Considering the volume of information you have posted, no that's not good enough for me. So I guess I can throw out as bogus anything you post.

Steffan Philip

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 9 2006, 11:01 PM

QUOTE(beartrap @ Sep 9 2006, 09:53 PM)

Here, PB. The truth shall set your laghter free!

[#entry133601](http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?showtopic=9455&st=45&p=133601)

Thanks for the chuckles!

Posted by: beartrap Sep 9 2006, 11:24 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 11:54 PM)

I'm curious about why you would want to stay in such a wicked organization if that's the case. Why not just shake the dust off your feet, as it were, and move away from this evil?

An organization filled with child molesters, evil rogues, a man who calls/thinks himself to be God? Surely you would want to run from the place?

Considering the volume of information you have posted, no that's not good enough for me. So I guess I can throw out as bogus anything you post.

Steffan Philip

Jh oh, Sister!



Posted by: sister Sep 10 2006, 12:03 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 11:54 PM)

I'm curious about why you would want to stay in such a wicked organization if that's the case. **Why not just shake the dust off your feet, as it were, and move away from this evil?**

An organization filled with child molesters, evil rogues, a man who calls/thinks himself to be God? Surely you would want to run from the place?

Considering the volume of information you have posted, no that's not good enough for me. So I guess I can throw out as bogus anything you post.

Steffan Philip

How do you know if I am currently at 3ABN or not? Another assumption on your part...

So have you changed your tune? Are you now agreeing that the administration of 3ABN is so wicked that employees should all kick the dust off their feet and flee into the wilderness of southern Illinois? As we speak many are attempting to do just that, but with the sacrificial income received by the majority of 3ABN employees, one must first secure another position before moving on or risk the consequences that their children will soon go hungry.

Are you still fishing for the identity of current and former 3ABN employees that are posting on BSDA and will not be satisfied until you hunt us all down? Last I heard Danny hasn't offered a finders fee yet and I haven't seen a poster with "Sister" on the wall in the T'ville post office with a reward listed for my capture "dead or alive".

Your belief or disbelief does not change the truths about 3ABN that have been posted on BSDA. I am only one witness and there are many others that have given their testimonies and are in agreement with mine. Or are you calling everything that everyone has posted, that contradicts your opinion, bogus? Time will tell...much sooner than you may imagine.

sister

QUOTE(beartrap @ Sep 10 2006, 12:24 AM)

Uh oh, Sister!

 bot

Bear, a proper southern Illinois belle would never allow her backside to be so indecently exposed in

public!

 sofa1.gif


 rofl

Posted by: beartrap Sep 10 2006, 12:22 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 10 2006, 01:03 AM)

Bear, a proper southern Illinois belle would never allow her backside to be so indecently exposed in

public!

 sofa1.gif

 rofl




 rofl

Posted by: steffan Sep 10 2006, 12:25 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 10 2006, 12:57 AM)

How do you know if I am currently at 3ABN or not? Another assumption on your part...

So have *you* changed your tune?  Are you now agreeing that the administration of 3ABN is so wicked that employees should all kick the dust off their feet and flee into the wilderness of southern Illinois? As we speak many are attempting to do just that, but with the sacrificial income received by the majority of 3ABN employees, one must first secure another position before moving on or risk the consequences that their children will soon go hungry.

Are you still fishing for the identity of current and former 3ABN employees that are posting on BSDA and will not be satisfied until you hunt us all down? Last I heard Danny hasn't offered a finders fee yet and I haven't seen a poster with "Sister" on the wall in the T'ville post office with a reward listed for my capture "dead or alive".

Your belief or disbelief does not change the truths about 3ABN that have been posted on BSDA. I am only one witness and there are many others that have given their testimonies and are in agreement with mine. Or are you calling everything that everyone has posted, that contradicts your opinion, "bogus"? Time will tell...much sooner than you may imagine.

Sister

Quite correct. It was an assumption. If you're not, then you no longer have current information that would be accurate. If you are, then my previous post stands.

My tune remains the same. Truth is what I'm after and not fancifully done word pictures like the ones you have painted. I don't care which way the truth points but verifiable truth it must be. My internal radar goes into alert mode when I hear additional words added on when a plain and simple sentence will do.

Should employees leave 3ABN if it is as wicked as portrayed? Yes. Should they leave before securing another job? You tell me whether I should take money from an evil man (as your portray him) because if I don't, then they will starve. I would not. I have foregone employment (and suffered hard days) by refusing unethical money but that's just me. I trust in the Lord to provide as long as I'm doing the right thing. And exactly where did I say they should flee into the wilderness of southern Illinois? Another example of your adding on words.



Once again, I am not hunting down identities. If you speak the truth, speak it plainly, honestly and in broad daylight instead of speaking from the shadows. As I repeated earlier, it is very hard to place credence when it comes from sources that cannot be verified. And once again, adding that Danny hasn't offered a finder's fee, is another example of your adding on words.

My point is that with all the things being written, it has become almost impossible to figure out what the truth is. You are one example of someone who should have spoken plainly and left it at that. Your embellishments have made all your posts suspect. The same thing I said about you goes for all those others who are in agreement with what you wrote. If they are not verifiable, then they are bogus.

And yes, I am waiting. Waiting for the truth and circumstances to show who is indeed speaking the truth. And hopefully, even sooner than I can imagine. Let's say I imagine September 19. Can it be sooner than that? In case you wonder, that is the "2-3 weeks" written about elsewhere in this forum about Linda being completely vindicated within 2 days and details being released in that timeframe.

Steffan Philip

Posted by: beartrap Sep 10 2006, 12:52 AM

 Does anyone here know who "Denny" is, and if it can be verified? How about Clay, Steffan, Calvin, and Princessdi... or anyone else? What about anything they say? How can we truly verify it? Until such time as full verification of everything posted on this site is accomplished, everyone here is declared bogus and so is everything they say. Especially you, PrincessDrRe, you are especially bogus with all of the embellishments you add to your words! Oh, and all those people who agree with you are unverifiably bogus too!  rofl

Posted by: calvin Sep 10 2006, 08:50 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 01:25 AM)

Once again, I am not hunting down identities. If you speak the truth, speak it plainly, honestly and in broad daylight instead of speaking from the shadows. As I repeated earlier, it is very hard to place credence when it comes from sources that cannot be verified. And once again, adding that Danny hasn't offered a finder's fee, is another example of your adding on words.

My point is that with all the things being written, it has become almost impossible to figure out what the truth is. You are one example of someone who should have spoken plainly and left it at that. Your embellishments have made all your posts suspect. The same thing I said about you goes for all those others who are in agreement with what you wrote. If they are not verifiable, then they are bogus.

And yes, I am waiting. Waiting for the truth and circumstances to show who is indeed speaking the truth. And hopefully, even sooner than I can imagine. Let's say I imagine September 19. Can it be sooner than that? In case you wonder, that is the "2-3 weeks" written about elsewhere in this forum about Linda being completely vindicated within 2 days and details being released in that timeframe.

Steffan Philip

What you have here is the most comprehensive discussion on the saga of 3abn that you are going to find anywhere. I agree, there is a lot to this story to be skeptical about. Let me remind everyone that this is a discussion board, opinions and ideals are always welcome here. All sides are welcome to present their case. To find whatever truth is available here, you are going to have to dig for it and use your God given ability to reason, evaluate, and come to your own conclusions after you have internalized the evidence, however suspect it may be. There is a lot of data here, much of it corroborated by insiders on the scene, some of those insiders have identified themselves and others have not. Determine for yourself how creditable the storytellers are.

Posted by: inga Sep 10 2006, 10:40 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 10:42 AM)

How does that make him a cult leader? Waco, Jonestown and almost all the cults I read about had very strict control over who goes in and who comes out. The members of the cult were controlled, brain-washed and confined to the compound. How is it possible for 3abn to be called a cult when it seems that people are employed there and get to go home every night?

Dan isn't in the same class as Koresh & Jones -- yet. However, Dan certainly appears to exercise "strict control" over his employees. (Have you read the thread on "new security measures" that indicates installation of AV recording equipment that is set up to recognize key words and phrases?) If you had read the threads available on this forum, you would know that brainwashing does happen. In fact, even Dan's public broadcasts do a certain amount of "brainwashing" through his continually repeated statements that he receives direct "words from the Lord," that any criticism of the administration of 3ABN (i.e. Dan Shelton) is an attack on God, etc. Folks listening to these oft-repeated statements begin to believe them, especially if they watch only 3ABN -- which is the case for a lot of folks. The main difference in Waco was that, at a certain point, folks could not leave the brainwashing sessions.

You speak of 3ABN employees getting to "go home" every night. However, where they get to go, isn't really "home," which is where they came from in order to "serve God" by working for 3ABN at substandard wages, living in substandard housing and requiring government subsidy in order to survive.

You imply that employees have nothing to lose by just resigning and leaving. That demonstrates you have not read the personal testimonies of former employees and what Dan Shelton does to prevent them from being employed elsewhere. Add to the equation that, by the time folks realize that they've been "had," their financial resources are used up, and they have no reserve for food and clothing, let alone moving. Thus they are not physically locked in to a compound with walls, but they are as truly locked in" by circumstances Dan Shelton controls. For the sake of their sanity they must believe that Dan is truly led by God for as long as they possibly can, as was true for many of David Koresh's victims. (I still find it difficult to believe that he snared so many apparently intelligent, reasonable people. Those at Waco were *not* all "kooks.")

And you wonder why employees don't come on this board giving their real names and addresses? Considering the underhanded means Dan has used to spy on people, you wonder that they suspect you of being a spy? This is one place they are *believed*, and that surely must be important to folks

who are in such desperate circumstances.

Of course there are certain others working at 3ABN who are well-paid and/or have millions \$'s available of personal means.

QUOTE

It is very difficult for me to take information coming from sources like these without identity verification. (Yes, yes, I know, the same insinuation that I am in the employ of 3ABN). However, people who speak out against injustice and evil, it seems, do so knowing that God is their protection and they have nothing to fear.

Like I said, you don't know what you're saying. Even Elder Johann Thorvaldsson, who was not dependent on 3ABN for a salary, since he worked as a European liaison as a volunteer, has paid a heavy price for opposing Dan Shelton and refusing to spread lies about Linda. Even though I've not had the honor of meeting his late wife, Irmgard, I'm convinced through her personal testimony, that she was a sweet Christian lady who loved Linda dearly and was terribly stressed by all the persecution Linda had to endure. Knowing that stress lowers the body's immune system's ability to fight cancer, I have no doubt that Dan's continual harassment of Linda **and** of Johann and Irmgard contributed to her untimely death.

Yes, Johann has paid a price for his "whistle-blowing."

QUOTE

How is it that 3ABN employees have so much to fear? Loss of job? Name? Income? The Lord will provide and none can harm because God is their shield.

From your posts, I'm guessing you're rather young, and make statements with the brashness and assurance of youth. Even David, the Psalmist, recognized that the "wicked prosper" while the righteous often suffer. While it is true that nothing can befall us that the Lord does not allow, it takes a mature Christian faith to deal with the fall-out. The results for 3ABN employees can be homelessness and starvation, as well as loss of reputation and income -- not just present, but future, since Dan does all in his power to make sure no one else employs them. What makes you think that God will shield 3ABN employees more than He shielded His own Son?

Until you have demonstrated that you are willing to give up **all** to ensure that wrongs are righted, please do not judge 3ABN employees so harshly.

Posted by: inga Sep 10 2006, 11:15 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 9 2006, 10:59 PM) □

But if someone says, I have this to report in 3ABN, how am I supposed to figure out whether that person is speaking the truth, or at least, verifiable truth.

Some of us happen to know enough about individuals involved that is verifiable that we accept their words as true and can judge other postings by those criteria. Others have used the good brains God gave them to put the pieces of the puzzle together. That's why we "know" more than you do.

Now, **just why** do you need to "know" all these things?

There is plenty of public information available that is rather problematic. If you had read the information available on this board, you would know of this information. Instead, you demand that persons reveal their identity and expose themselves to grave danger. What makes you think you have the right?

QUOTE

Linda spoke to Dr. AA for very long periods of time (she admits it). But for what reason - both sides differ.



Danny divorced Linda.

Danny remarried.

Then we have "stories" of things that go on inside 3ABN. Most people seem to forget that this was happening even when Linda was at 3ABN. The plane, the horses, the (reported) arrogance, both of them, not just one, indulged.

So do you consider long phone conversations with one's child's doctor as grounds for divorce? (If you do, the Bible doesn't, and 3ABN supposedly promotes a conservative Adventist view which takes the Bible literally. The only kind of "spiritual adultery" known in the Bible is for those "married to God" worshiping other gods. Perhaps that's really what Dan means -- that Linda transgressed by not worshiping Dan Shelton as god?)

You forget a few other verifiable facts:

- Linda did not marry again.
- Statements on the 3ABN website implied the worst about Linda, without giving any evidence. (And now **you** want evidence that their unverified implications are not true?? )
- Walt Thompson has sent out letters vilifying Linda and implying that Dan is the chosen of God while Linda and her supporters are equated with Lucifer.
- Danny's current wife moved in very close to him very shortly after Linda was kicked out. He started keeping company with her rather quickly, as I understand. Although she came for "employment" reasons, her "employment" record is a bit unusual, to put it charitably. (You can find the details on this board, if 3ABN employees won't tell you over the phone.)
- Publicly available records give rise to grave questions regarding millions of dollars sent to 3ABN for the ministry, millions that are not accounted for. There are other questions regarding financial misdeeds.
- Dr. Abrahamsen gave his own version of events, and his identity, his reputation in his own church and conference, and his reputation and experience as a medical doctor are verifiable. (If you don't accept this, just **what** kind of "verifiable information" do you expect?? )
- If you can't accept Dr. Abrahamsen's testimony because he has been accused by Dan Shelton and thus would have a stake in the affair, what excuse do you have for not accepting Johann Thorvaldsson's testimony? He has absolutely **no** stake in this whatsoever, except that he cannot abide the abuse of innocent victims. His reputation and previous record of service in the Seventh-day Adventist church is verifiable, if you care to go to the effort.
- Dan's statements on 3ABN continue to refer to his "former wife" in a derogatory manner.

What I see happening is that you ask for verification which you are unlikely to believe anyway, since it comes via this board. (There are those on this board who have given a great deal of information about their identities, with at least one having given his true name. If you are too lazy to read the posts here to find out the details, why should anyone here tell you?)

You don't **have** to know anything more than is widely and publicly available, and you don't even have to know that. However, if you really **want** to know, there's plenty of solid information on this board, verified by several parties, for you to make up your own mind.

Yes, there are emotional statements, exaggerated statements and speculation, but you shouldn't have too hard a time to sort things out if you use the brains God gave you.

This continual asking for identities and verification simply demonstrates to the users of this board

that you don't care enough to do your own reading, and you just **might** be a Dan Shelton plant, or even an alter identity for him. (Hey, don't blame people too much for being paranoid when they have already *either experienced harassment themselves or watched the harassment of others.*))

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Sep 10 2006, 04:25 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 10 2006, 11:40 AM)

Even though I've not had the honor of meeting his late wife, Irmgard, I'm convinced through her personal testimony, that she was a sweet Christian lady who loved Linda dearly and was terribly stressed by all the persecution Linda had to endure.

I can testify that this lady was, indeed, as you say, a "sweet Chrstian lady."

Posted by: Johann Sep 10 2006, 05:08 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Sep 11 2006, 12:25 AM)

I can testify that this lady was, indeed, as you say, a "sweet Chrstian lady."

I can testify that Irmgard told Danny she wanted to give him a real good spanking for all the evil he did to Linda.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 10 2006, 05:41 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 10 2006, 03:08 PM)

I can testify that Irmgard told Danny she wanted to give him a real good spanking for all the evil he did to Linda.

Johann, that certainly showed Irmgard's strength of character!

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Sep 10 2006, 07:27 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 10 2006, 06:08 PM)

I can testify that Irmgard told Danny she wanted to give him a real good spanking for all the evil he did to Linda.

My mama always told me that I would never be too old. She's threatened a few times! And how I would have loved to see Irmgard after Dan! Whew!



Hey - I bet we could sell tickets!

Posted by: steffan Sep 10 2006, 07:28 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 10 2006, 11:40 AM)

Dan isn't in the same class as Koresh & Jones -- yet. However, Dan certainly appears to exercise "strict control" over his employees. (Have you read the thread on "new security measures" that indicates installation of AV recording equipment that is set up to recognize key words and phrases?)

It is standard practice in all the companies I've worked in to

- a. have card swipe for entry and exit. No card, no entry, no exit.
- b. record every telephone conversation, with phrase recognition
- c. Backup and store every email that passes through company servers
- d. Have video cameras in every possible location, including parking, where parking is applicable.

QUOTE

If you had read the threads available on this forum, you would know that brainwashing does happen. In fact, even Dan's public broadcasts do a certain amount of "brainwashing" through his continually repeated statements that he receives direct "words from the Lord," that any criticism of the administration of 3ABN (i.e. Dan Shelton) is an attack on God, etc. Folks listening to these oft-repeated statements begin to believe them, especially if they watch only 3ABN -- which is the case for a lot of folks. The main difference in Waco was that, at a certain point, folks could not leave the brainwashing sessions.

So I did a quick poll of people I know. Of the 28 people I asked, none of them "believed" in Danny. Sure they watched 3ABN, and Hope and LLBN, but none actually believed that just because Danny said it, it must be. Count me amongst them, making it 26. So I don't know which folks you know, obviously you don't know the folks I know.

QUOTE

You speak of 3ABN employees getting to "go home" every night. However, where they get to go, isn't really "home," which is where they came from in order to "serve God" by working for 3ABN at substandard wages, living in substandard housing and requiring government subsidy in order to survive.

So leave and get a job where you don't have to have substandard housing and government subsidies.

If you serve the Lord with all your heart, difficulties on earth are pointless. And as I pointed out before, I have personally experienced this.

QUOTE

You imply that employees have nothing to lose by just resigning and leaving. That demonstrates you have not read the personal testimonies of former employees and what Dan Shelton does to prevent them from being employed elsewhere. Add to the equation that, by the time folks realize that they've been "had," their financial resources are used up, and they have no reserve for food and clothing, let alone moving. Thus they are not physically locked in to a compound with walls, but they are as truly "locked in" by circumstances Dan Shelton controls. For the sake of their sanity they must believe that Dan is truly led by God for as long as they possibly can, as was true for many of David Koresh's victims. (I still find it difficult to believe that he snared so many apparently intelligent, reasonable people. Those at Waco were *not* all "kooks.")

So locked in by circumstances becomes equating 3ABN with Koresh? I know plenty of people locked in by circumstances, so they are members of a cult?

QUOTE

And you wonder why employees don't come on this board giving their real names and addresses? Considering the underhanded means Dan has used to spy on people, you wonder that they suspect you of being a spy? This is one place they are *believed*, and that surely must be important to folks who are in such desperate circumstances.

I don't ask for real addresses. Real names, yes. Verifiable information, yes. Addresses? Please point out where I have asked for addresses. I don't wonder about why I am thought of as a spy. I simply keep repeating that I am not. Let me get this straight. I don't believe you, but I am asked to. You don't believe me and I ask you to. Can you see how this is never going to be resolved?

QUOTE

Like I said, you don't know what you're saying. Even Elder Johann Thorvaldsson, who was not dependent on 3ABN for a salary, since he worked as a European liaison as a volunteer, has paid a heavy price for opposing Dan Shelton and refusing to spread lies about Linda. Even though I've not had the honor of meeting his late wife, Irmgard, I'm convinced through her personal testimony, that she was a sweet Christian lady who loved Linda dearly and was terribly stressed by all the persecution Linda had to endure. Knowing that stress lowers the body's immune system's ability to fight cancer, I have no doubt that Dan's continual harassment of Linda **and** of Johann and Irmgard contributed to her untimely death.

Like I keep saying, when I read "dressed" up narratives, I can't believe them. And it is quite a stretch to blame the unfortunate Irmgard's cancer related death to Danny. But yet you do.

QUOTE

Yes, Johann has paid a price for his "whistle-blowing."

I wonder which price. Johann himself states that Danny tried to make life difficult for him. Yet his local church and his conference rejected any interference. He continues to get his sustenance from the church. These are his words, not mine.

QUOTE

From your posts, I'm guessing you're rather young, and make statements with the brashness and assurance of youth. Even David, the Psalmist, recognized that the "wicked prosper" while the righteous often suffer. While it is true that nothing can befall us that the Lord does not allow, it takes a mature Christian faith to deal with the fall-out. The results for 3ABN employees can be homelessness and starvation, as well as loss of reputation and income -- not just present, but future, since Dan does all in his power to make sure no one else employs them. What makes you think that God will shield 3ABN employees more than He shielded His own Son?

Until you have demonstrated that you are willing to give up **all** to ensure that wrongs are righted, please do not judge 3ABN employees so harshly.

I'm not young and if I were, I presume I wouldn't spend so much time on this forum. And there you have it. God did not promise us riches and glory on earth for following Him. On the contrary, He said men will persecute you for My sake. What He did promise was an inner peace. So 3ABN employees suffering hardship from Danny have an exemption? They can continue working under this "evil" because people in this forum say they will be persecuted? As I pointed out before, I have taken ethical stands that have cost me my job and subsequent hardships. What did not change was the peace I had, regardless of circumstances.

As far as my being a spy, please be patient. I will reply to all other posts that directly addressed me, reveal more information concerning myself and will retire from this forum permanently.

The admins can then verify, via my IP address, that I am not lurking on this forum, reading more posts that start to make less and less logical sense.

Steffan Philip

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Sep 10 2006, 08:08 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 10 2006, 11:40 AM) □

The results for 3ABN employees can be homelessness and starvation, as well as loss of reputation and income . . .

Here is the type of hyperbole that Steffen, myself, and a few others just don't buy. When you throw this stuff out, make statements like this with absolutely no supportive material it makes it very difficult to accept your assertions.

Who has starved, lived in a car or under a bridge, who has lost reputation and how, and whose income has been eliminated as a result of working at 3ABN and being let go, fired, or left of their own volition because they have disagreed with some aspect of how 3ABN is run? (terribly constructed sentence sorry)

Now, I could say I called 3ABN and talked with Danny, Pastor John, Dr. Thompson, May Chung, Kenneth Denslow, Bill Hulsey, Ellsworth McKee, G. Ralph Thompson, Owen Troy, Larry Welch, Shelley Quinn, Brenda and the sisters, Kay Kuzma, the Steensons, Moses Primo, Mark Finley, or Doug Bacehlor (I am sure I got someones name spelled wrong there) and they assured me that the allegations are false. They could have agreed that Danny should have handled things differently, but that the running of this ministry (from the broom pusher to the board room) is in the hands of God

and though humans with faults are doing the daily tasks - with God's guiding hand everything will work out the way it needs to to keep the ministry operating for the greater glory of our Heavenly Father. I could share that and the pro-Linda faction would deride and say that whomever I spoke with is a 3ABN stooge and lying to me. That begs the question - how do any of us know that those who claim to "have the true facts" are telling the truth? We don't, we can't. We have to accept on faith what you say, we have to accept on faith the words of 3ABN - the only thing we have that is "official" would be the tax documents in the case that is still pending before the appellate court in Illinois, and the divorce degree which of course will not give any detail because they are basically form documents these days - there is nothing else official, the letters form Dr. Thompson, and Dr. Abrahamsen, and Johan. The other documents here are not official, as they even titled themselves so (The Unofficial History of 3ABN), so can not be considered reliable.

You ask, no expect, those coming here to gather what they can so they can decide to support or not 3ABN to accept all you say as the unmitigated truth. There is an arrogance in that, can you not see that? Maybe you don't realize this - you have told your tale so long that you can not understand why anyone would doubt you - not thinking there is an equal and just as strong corresponding question - why should we believe you. There is offense taken when we don't accept and join in the harping. If this is indeed a place you want to share your story and your side of the issues then you must be willing and ready to accept - without malice - that there will be people who will come in here, ask pointed questions, not like your response, disagree with it, just as there will be those who accept your "testimony" as full of truth.

In a high school, debate team members are those who do not take the fact that someone is going to disagree with them personally - you can't. If you want to be taken seriously, if you want your position to carry weight, you need to be emotionless when it comes to the case against you - though not emotionless when making your case. You can not belittle, ridicule, berate, question the intelligence, integrity, or character of your opposition - in the end this will lead to you losing the support of those you wish to convince.

Yes Panama, this is lengthy, but I guarentee you I thought it through long and hard, as well as wrote and rewrote it a few times to try and be even handed and as PrincessDi asked yesterday, take it down a few notches (you were right PD, I was a bit edgy and defensive).

There are a few more thoughts I have, but will wait until I can run them through a reductionist machine so they are more concise.

- fhb

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Sep 10 2006, 08:19 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 08:28 PM)

It is standard practice in all the companies I've worked in to

- have card swipe for entry and exit. No card, no entry, no exit.
- record every telephone conversation, with phrase recognition
- Backup and store every email that passes through company servers
- Have video cameras in every possible location, including parking, where parking is applicable.

This does not discribe only Fortune 500 companies or financial institutions or governmental offices - it applies ot a myriad of business in a plehora of businesses/industry/think tanks. In an age when the most valuable comodity is information, these are the safeguards to keep the product created secure as well as to make sure the post-it notes aren't headed home as a way to make shopping lists and post them on the fridge.

This is SOP in western society.

- fhb

Posted by: steffan Sep 10 2006, 10:04 PM

I tried editing this post to get the quotes come out right but it isn't happening.
So here goes

[quote name='inga' date='Sep 10 2006, 12:15 PM' post='151128']

Some of us happen to know enough about individuals involved that is verifiable that we accept their words as true and can judge other postings by those criteria. Others have used the good brains God gave them to put the pieces of the puzzle together. That's why we "know" more than you do.

Now, [b]just why do you need to "know" all these things?[/b]

[/quote]

Some of you know these people and that somehow makes everything you say verified? "John Smith verifies that Susan Q is speaking the truth" is worthless in terms of veracity. I'm sorry, we are playing games here now? We are putting a puzzle together? How does that work with plain and honest speaking?

[quote]

There is plenty of public information available that is rather problematic. If you had read the information available on this board, you would know of this information. Instead, you demand that persons reveal their identity and expose themselves to grave danger. What makes you think you have the right?

[/quote]

Grave danger? Danny calls Dr. AA's church to demand something be done about it and is turned down by their church pastor and is called a nut case and he seems to be in no physical danger (Johann and/or Dr. AA, in their own words). As far as I can tell, grave danger would imply what? Death? Disfigurement? Another example of hyperbole.

[quote]

So do you consider long phone conversations with one's child's doctor as grounds for divorce? (If you do, the Bible doesn't, and 3ABN supposedly promotes a conservative Adventist view which takes the Bible literally. The only kind of "spiritual adultery" known in the Bible is for those "married to God" worshiping other gods. Perhaps that's really what Dan means -- that Linda transgressed by not worshiping Dan Shelton as god?)

[/quote]

So of course I asked my wife the same question. What if her child was being treated by a doctor? How much time would she spend on the phone with the doctor (and not her son). 30 minutes, 1 hour to stretch it, maybe 2 hours. Every day? Here's her answer. 1. Find out how my son is doing 2. Thank the doctor effusively 3. Talk to my son as long as possible. Total time for 1+2? 15 to 30 minutes. So how did 6 hours sound to her? She said that woman is foolish and crazy for even doing such a thing. Sorry, not my words, my wife's (whose question who started me on this journey of inquiry). What if she did it every day? Wife replies, you would raise a ruckus. Darn right, I would. And what if you refused to stop? No answer there and I don't think there could be one for this tangled situation.

On the other hand, Jesus said adultery can be committed in the heart and since I am not God, I don't know what was in your heart, only how long your phone conversations were.

And again, your introducing silly elements of conversation "Linda transgressed by not worshipping Dan Shelton as God" which have no real bearing on the conversation make me distrust anything you post.

[quote]

[*]Statements on the 3ABN website implied the worst about Linda, without giving any evidence.

(And now **you** want evidence that their unverified implications are not true??)

[/quote]

That's your opinion. I can read very plainly "Linda did not follow counsel" and see no implication. Your mind is bent on implication. To-may-to to-mah-to.

[quote]

[*]Walt Thompson has sent out letters vilifying Linda and implying that Dan is the chosen of God while Linda and her supporters are equated with Lucifer.

[/quote]

See above.

[quote]

[*]Danny's current wife moved in very close to him very shortly after Linda was kicked out. He started keeping company with her rather quickly, as I understand. Although she came for "employment" reasons, her "employment" record is a bit unusual, to put it charitably. (You can find the details on this board, if 3ABN employees won't tell you over the phone.)

[/quote]

Unverified bogus information.

[quote]

[*]Publicly available records give rise to grave questions regarding millions of dollars sent to 3ABN for the ministry, millions that are not accounted for. There are other questions regarding financial misdeeds.

[/quote]

When Linda was there. Linda also lied about receiving funds for the CDs she sells. Also in the same document.

[quote]

[*]Dr. Abrahamsen gave his own version of events, and his identity, his reputation in his own church and conference, his reputation in his own church and conference, and his reputation and experience as a medical doctor are verifiable. (If you don't accept this, just **what** kind of "verifiable information" do you expect??)

[*]If you can't accept Dr. Abrahamsen's testimony because he has been accused by Dan Shelton and thus would have a stake in the affair, what excuse do you have for not accepting Johann Thorvaldsson's testimony? He has absolutely **no** stake in this whatsoever, except that he cannot abide the abuse of innocent victims. His reputation and previous record of service in the Seventh-day Adventist church is verifiable, if you care to go to the effort.

[/quote]

Dr. Walt T gave his own version of events and his identity, his reputation in his own church and conference, his reputation in his own church and conference, and his reputation and experience as a medical doctor are verifiable. (If you don't accept this, just **what** kind of "verifiable information" do you expect??)

And should I continue cutting and pasting the rest of paragraph or do you get the drift?

[quote]

[*]Dan's statements on 3ABN continue to refer to his "former wife" in a derogatory manner.

[/quote]

It's obvious you're watching 3ABN Venus and I'm watching 3ABN Mars since you seem to read a lot into things that are not even said. Like I said, there is no meeting of minds here, just mutual distrust.

[quote]

What I see happening is that you ask for verification which you are unlikely to believe anyway, since it comes via this board. (There are those on this board who have given a great deal of information about their identities, with at least one having given his true name. If you are too lazy to read the posts here to find out the details, why should anyone here tell you?)

[/quote]

"An exalted one close to Danny and a little bundle of joy"

I asked for the name of the exalted one and the name of the little bundle of joy at first. Nobody has yet named these people.

You are obviously too lazy to even read the latest posts.

[quote]

You don't **have** to know anything more than is widely and publicly available, and you don't even have to know that. However, if you really **want** to know, there's plenty of solid information on this board, verified by several parties, for you to make up your own mind.

Yes, there are emotional statements, exaggerated statements and speculation, but you shouldn't have too hard a time to sort things out if you use the brains God gave you.

[/quote]

bogus information is not made solid information just because you say so. Verification by shadows is not verification, it's just more bogus verification.

[quote]

This continual asking for identities and verification simply demonstrates to the users of this board that you don't care enough to do your own reading, and you just **might** be a Dan Shelton plant, or even an alter identity for him. (Hey, don't blame people too much for being paranoid when they have already *either experienced harassment themselves or watched the harassment of others.*))

[/quote]

So I should not ask for verification. Just drink the anti-Danny kool-aid and all will be well with me? Sorry, I'm not that kind. Your paranoia exists in your own mind and I pray that you will be cured.

And this is as good a time as any to reveal more details about myself and bid sayonara to this nasty swamp.

Steffan Philip
member of the Greater New York Conference
New York

Feel free to contact me via email since I won't be here.

And this time, for real, goodbye and God bless.

Steffan
steffankp@gmail.com

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 10 2006, 10:13 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 10 2006, 06:08 PM) □

Here is the type of hyperbole that Steffen, myself, and a few others just don't buy. When you throw this stuff out, make statements like this with absolutely no supportive material it makes it very difficult to accept your assertions.

Who has starved, lived in a car or under a bridge, who has lost reputation and how, and whose income has been eliminated as a result of working at 3ABN and being let go, fired, or left of their own volition because they have disagreed with some aspect of how 3ABN is run? (terribly constructed sentence sorry)

Now, I could say I called 3ABN and talked with Danny, Pastor John, Dr. Thompson, May Chung,

Kenneth Denslow, Bill Hulse, Ellsworth McKee, G. Ralph Thompson, Owen Troy, Larry Welch, Shelley Quinn, Brenda and the sisters, Kay Kuzma, the Steensons, Moses Primo, Mark Finley, or Doug Bacehlor (I am sure I got someones name spelled wrong there) and they assured me that the allegations are false. They could have agreed that Danny should have handled things differently, but that the running of this ministry (from the broom pusher to the board room) is in the hands of God and though humans with faults are doing the daily tasks - with God's guiding hand everything will work out the way it needs to to keep the ministry operating for the greater glory of our Heavenly Father. I could share that and the pro-Linda faction would deride and say that whomever I spoke with is a 3ABN stooge and lying to me. That begs the question - how do any of us know that those who claim to "have the true facts" are telling the truth? We don't, we can't. We have to accept on faith what you say, we have to accept on faith the words of 3ABN - the only thing we have that is "official" would be the tax documents in the case that is still pending before the appellate court in Illinois, and the divorce degree which of course will not give any detail because they are basically form documents these days - there is nothing else official, the letters from Dr. Thompson, and Dr. Abrahamsen, and Johan. The other documents here are not official, as they even titled themselves so (The Unofficial History of 3ABN), so can not be considered reliable.

You ask, no expect, those coming here to gather what they can so they can decide to support or not 3ABN to accept all you say as the unmitigated truth. There is an arrogance in that, can you not see that? Maybe you don't realize this - you have told your tale so long that you can not understand why anyone would doubt you - not thinking there is an equal and just as strong corresponding question - why should we believe you. There is offense taken when we don't accept and join in the harping. If this is indeed a place you want to share your story and your side of the issues then you must be willing and ready to accept - without malice - that there will be people who will come in here, ask pointed questions, not like your response, disagree with it, just as there will be those who accept your "testimony" as full of truth.

In a high school, debate team members are those who do not take the fact that someone is going to disagree with them personally - you can't. If you want to be taken seriously, if you want your position to carry weight, you need to be emotionless when it comes to the case against you - though not emotionless when making your case. You can not belittle, ridicule, berate, question the intelligence, integrity, or character of your opposition - in the end this will lead to you losing the support of those you wish to convince.

Yes Panama, this is lengthy, but I guarentee you I thought it through long and hard, as well as wrote and rewrote it a few times to try and be even handed and as PrincessDi asked yesterday, take it down a few notches (you were right PD, I was a bit edgy and defensive).

There are a few more thoughts I have, but will wait until I can run them through a reductionist machine so they are more concise.

- fhb

HB, I am impressed. This is solid reasoning and we all would do well to take it to heart. Well done.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 10 2006, 10:23 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 08:04 PM)

And this time, for real, goodbye and God bless.

Steffan
steffankp@gmail.com

You made some very good points. Goodbye Steffan.

Posted by: Ralph Sep 10 2006, 11:44 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 10 2006, 07:08 PM)

Now, I could say I called 3ABN and talked with Danny, Pastor John, Dr. Thompson, May Chung, Kenneth Denslow, Bill Hulse, Ellsworth McKee, G. Ralph Thompson, Owen Troy, Larry Welch, Shelley Quinn, Brenda and the sisters, Kay Kuzma, the Steensons, Moses Primo, Mark Finley, or Doug Bachelor (I am sure I got someones name spelled wrong there) and they assured me that the allegations are false. They could have agreed that Danny should have handled things differently, but that the running of this ministry (from the broom pusher to the board room) is in the hands of God and though humans with faults are doing the daily tasks - with God's guiding hand everything will work out the way it needs to to keep the ministry operating for the greater glory of our Heavenly Father. I could share that and the pro-Linda faction would deride and say that whomever I spoke with is a 3ABN stooge and lying to me.

Did you contact each of these people, or did you not? "Could" is such a slippery word and I notice that you used it three times in the quote. If you contacted all the people you mentioned, this is first hand information and I will sit up and take notice. But if you did not, I will just assume that this is an old debating trick where you have other people mouth your personal thoughts.

Posted by: Ralph Sep 11 2006, 12:38 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 10 2006, 09:40 AM)

You imply that employees have nothing to lose by just resigning and leaving. That demonstrates you have not read the personal testimonies of former employees and what Dan Shelton does to prevent them from being employed elsewhere. Add to the equation that, by the time folks realize that they've been "had," their financial resources are used up, and they have no reserve for food and clothing, let alone moving. Thus they are not physically locked in to a compound with walls, but they are as truly "locked in" by circumstances Dan Shelton controls.

Some good thoughts, Inga. Even under the most ideal circumstances, changing jobs and moving brings up a number of challenges.

1. Locating a job where a person can be proficient. If a person is working full time, it is often difficult to get away for an interview. If a person is in a specialized field, he may have to move hundreds of miles to find any place where he can work in his field.
2. A move is traumatic on the family. Children have been with their friends for most of their lives. To set them in a church and a new school, regardless of how nice they are, is not easy.
3. Moving is expensive, and a person always has to buy some new things for a new place. My mother said that three moves was equal to one fire.

I have a burden for the workers who are faithfully doing their work, and are scarcely aware what is happening in the upper eschalons of an organization. They are working as unto the Lord. God bless each one of them.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Sep 11 2006, 01:38 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 10 2006, 09:08 PM) □

Now, I could say I called 3ABN and talked with Danny, Pastor John, Dr. Thompson, May Chung, Kenneth Denslow, Bill Hulsey, Ellsworth McKee, G. Ralph Thompson, Owen Troy, Larry Welch, Shelley Quinn, Brenda and the sisters, Kay Kuzma, the Steensons, Moses Primo, Mark Finley, or Doug Batchelor (I am sure I got someones name spelled wrong there) and they assured me that the allegations are false.

- fhb

You should take your advice and make some of those phone calls. That would be solid reasoning, but just saying you "could" is not.

Mark Finley was at the 2004 3ABN Campmeeting when some things were transpiring, so why not start with him? He's mentioned in Arild Abrahamsen's letter. Ask Finley what he saw and heard. What makes you think Finley will assure you of anything?

Then, ask Doug Batchelor or Amazing Facts if they donated TV equipment to Danny Shelton, in return for air time. Find out if Batchelor assures you of anything.

Ask Walter Thompson to FedEx you his "unquestionable" evidence.

Get Thompson's audio copies of the phone calls, too. Look for your assurance in the the FedEx envelope you receive from him - filled with vital evidence. (Yes, that will happen. □)

http://www.chattanooga.com/articles/article_78798.asp

"Chattanooga - Attorneys in a \$16 million lawsuit are questioning why the chairman of the board of McKee Bakery cannot give a deposition in the case. Ellsworth McKee, who is also chief administrative officer at McKee, testified Tuesday morning that he suffers from sleep apnea and has trouble staying awake...It says the problem is causing "decreased ability to concentrate and make proper decisions."

Will Ellsworth, a 3ABN board member, stay awake long enough to even talk to you about his proper decision regarding Linda Shelton? Was he even awake during his own board meeting when they fired Linda? I'm not so sure.

As for the paid management and board members of 3ABN on your list, that's all they are.

I remember when the executives of tobacco companies were assuring everyone cigarettes did not cause cancer, when, in fact, they had internal documents saying the exact opposite. How much were the assurances of these paid executives worth, in the end? Some of these tobacco executives had social status in their respective communities. But they were still lying.

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 01:57 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 04:08 AM) □

Now, I could say I called 3ABN and talked with Danny, Pastor John, Dr. Thompson, May Chung, Kenneth Denslow, Bill Hulse, Ellsworth McKee, G. Ralph Thompson, Owen Troy, Larry Welch, Shelley Quinn, Brenda and the sisters, Kay Kuzma, the Steensons, Moses Primo, Mark Finley, or Doug Bacehlor

- fhb

'ou state you "could", but I have actually talked to 13 of the people you mention, 8 of them about this ssue. None of them have been able to convince me that 3ABN is giving a true picture of what happened, because they have not been able to show any valid evidense against what Irmgarad and I experienced, saw and heard. They have merely confirmed my conviction that the whole affair was staged for a certain purpose.

Posted by: lurker Sep 11 2006, 06:45 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Sep 11 2006, 01:38 AM)

Some good thoughts, Inga. Even under the most ideal circumstances, changing jobs and moving brings up a number of challenges.

1. Locating a job where a person can be proficient. If a person is working full time, it is often difficult to get away for an interview. If a person is in a specialized field, he may have to move hundreds of miles to find any place where he can work in his field.
2. A move is traumatic on the family. Children have been with their friends for most of their lives. To set them in a church and a new school, regardless of how nice they are, is not easy.
3. Moving is expensive, and a person always has to buy some new things for a new place. My mother said that three moves was equal to one fire.

I have a burden for the workers who are faithfully doing their work, and are scarcely aware what is happening in the upper eschalons of an organization. They are working as unto the Lord. God bless each one of them.

There is another reason why some may be staying at 3ABN though they are convinced that there is a problem there. There may be divided families where one spouse is convinced that Danny is God's messenger and the other spouse is convinced that a cultlike situation exists among the followers of DS. As in any cult there is concern about families being broken up if one leaves the cult and the other stays. One may not be able to convince the other that it is not his or her duty to stay loyal to the leader and of the danger of remaining there. That one may stay out of concern for the deceived one, hoping to be able to protect him or her. Or they may be concerned about their children or parents who are in the cult.

Posted by: Freedom Sep 11 2006, 07:47 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Sep 10 2006, 10:38 PM)

Some good thoughts, Inga. Even under the most ideal circumstances, changing jobs and moving brings up a number of challenges.

1. Locating a job where a person can be proficient. If a person is working full time, it is often difficult to get away for an interview. If a person is in a specialized field, he may have to move hundreds of miles to find any place where he can work in his field.
2. A move is traumatic on the family. Children have been with their friends for most of their lives. To set them in a church and a new school, regardless of how nice they are, is not easy.
3. Moving is expensive, and a person always has to buy some new things for a new place. My mother said that three moves was equal to one fire.

I have a burden for the workers who are faithfully doing their work, and are scarcely aware what is happening in the upper eschalons of an organization. They are working as unto the Lord. God bless each one of them.

Also, is the fact the if an employee tries to find work elsewhere, or does -- some of the management calls/writes to the new employer or potential employer and "bad mouths" etc., the employee.

So for an employee to leave is even more difficult!

Freedom

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Sep 11 2006, 08:01 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 02:57 AM)

You state you "could", but I have actually talked to 13 of the people you mention, 8 of them about this issue. None of them have been able to convince me that 3ABN is giving a true picture of what happened, because they have not been able to show any valid evidense against what Irmgarad and I experienced, saw and heard. They have merely confirmed my conviction that the whole affair was staged for a certain purpose.

Okay, three responses to my posting, all focused on one paragraph and all missed the point completely.

I must make it as concise as possible - the point of the paragraph was that it wouldn't matter to any one of you that an individual at 3ABN made the comments to me you would dismiss it out of hand because they are employed by 3ABN (which makes me wonder why you believe HOTY, after all he works there too.) In the process to deify Linda and vilify Danny I fear there is no room for the unmitigated truth. There is no willingness to listen to anyone associated with 3ABN.

Not sure if I read your sentence correctly PP, but the board members are not paid.

BTW, can any of you answer the question I asked:

QUOTE

Who has starved, lived in a car or under a bridge, who has lost reputation and how, and whose income has been eliminated as a result of working at 3ABN and being let go, fired, or left of their own volition because they have disagreed with some aspect of how 3ABN is run? (terribly constructed sentence sorry)

- fhb

Posted by: Chez Sep 11 2006, 08:35 AM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 01:25 AM)

Once again, I am not hunting down identities.

Steffan Philip

Yes, you are hunting down identities. [Tell the truth and shame the Devil.] The truth will come forth with or without these people. These folk have experienced and/or witnessed injustices or wrongs and they are speaking against it. There were people in the Branch Davidian group who experienced and witnessed wrongful acts. Many of them said nothing and some of them are not alive. Then there is the other group who have gone or will go to their graves knowing that they could have saved some people, only if they would have revealed the wrongful acts that were occurring.

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 08:28 PM)

So leave and get a job where you don't have to have substandard housing and government subsidies. If you serve the Lord with all your heart, difficulties on earth are pointless. And as I pointed out before, I have personally experienced this.

Steffan Philip

It is easy to tell people to leave a place and get a job. It is easier said than done. Why don't you offer these people a job (with a decent salary) and provide housing and other amenities for them? When I visited that community (before the break up), my husband and I had this "eery" feeling about the community. Leaving isn't always that easy.

Posted by: Chez Sep 11 2006, 08:46 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Sep 11 2006, 07:45 AM)

There is another reason why some may be staying at 3ABN though they are convinced that there is a problem there. There may be divided families where one spouse is convinced that Danny is God's messenger and the other spouse is convinced that a cultlike situation exists among the followers of DS. As in any cult there is concern about families being broken up if one leaves the cult and the other stays. One may not be able to convince the other that it is not his or her duty to stay loyal to the leader and of the danger of remaining there. That one may stay out of concern for the deceived one, hoping to be able to protect him or her. Or they may be concerned about their children or parents who are in the cult.

Very Good Point!

Posted by: summertime Sep 11 2006, 10:37 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 01:57 AM)

You state you "could", but I have actually talked to 13 of the people you mention, 8 of them about this issue. None of them have been able to convince me that 3ABN is giving a true picture of what happened, because they have not been able to show any valid evidense against what Irmgarad and I experienced, saw and heard. They have merely confirmed my conviction that the whole affair was staged for a certain purpose.

Johann, I have appreciated your revealing your true indentity----I wonder how many of us really believe that fhb has truly revealed his real name as Fallible Human Being---the name sounds a little fictitious to me.

Posted by: summertime Sep 11 2006, 11:13 AM

QUOTE(Daryl Fawcett @ Sep 4 2006, 08:30 AM)

It seems though that he is taking his time to actually go on this so-called revival and reformation vacation.

According to Pastor Carter's announcement, reformation and revival has already happened---in the last week, I think.

Posted by: sister Sep 11 2006, 11:19 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 09:01 AM)

Okay, three responses to my posting, all focused on one paragraph and all missed the point completely.

Just to make it as concise as possible - the point of the pargraph was that it wouldn't matter to any one of you that an individual at 3ABN made the comments to me you would dismiss it out of hand because they are employed by 3ABN (which makes me wonder why you believe HOTY, after all he works there too.) In the process to delfy Linda and vilify Danny I fear there is no room for the unmitigated truth. **There is no willingness to listen to anyone associated with 3ABN.**

- fhb

Fallible,

If your point is only to give a hypothetical situation (*that it wouldn't matter to any one of us that an individual at 3ABN made certain comments to you, but that we would dismiss it out of hand because they are employed by 3ABN*) and then by your personal observation dismiss the foundation of your hypotheses (*stating that the testimony of a 3ABN employee, HOTY, is judged by you as believable by the readership of this forum*), it is logically impossible to arrive at the conclusion there is no willingness to listen to anyone associated with 3ABN. In fact, you have disproved your own hypohese.

For anyone who has read the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, it is obvious that an association has existed between myself and 3ABN. In addition to myself an association has existed between Beartrap and 3ABN, between Johann and 3ABN, between PaperTigers and 3ABN, between HOTY and 3ABN, just to mention a few of the members of BSDA who are or were employed by Danny Shelton.

I have made no attempt to deify Linda. I do see her as a victim, but only one of many victims. The only suggested deification of any individual at 3ABN has been of Danny Shelton. Nor have I attempted to vilify Danny. The only vilification of Danny has been through his own actions being revealed publicly, not through the revelation, but through the reaction his behavior evokes in the reader. There is always a place for unmitigated truth, but where the public and the personal persona differ so broadly, the experience can be extremely shocking for many individuals.

Sister

Posted by: beartrap Sep 11 2006, 11:29 AM

"A wretched soul, bruised with adversity,
We bid be quiet when we hear it cry;
But were we burdened with like weight of pain,
As much or more we should ourselves complain."
William Shakespear

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 11 2006, 11:44 AM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 06:01 AM) □

Okay, three responses to my posting, all focused on one paragraph and all missed the point completely.

- fhb

FHB, there were 4 responses before this. Check out mine - post #39 I believe.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Sep 11 2006, 01:11 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 11 2006, 12:19 PM) □

For anyone who has read the Unauthorized History of 3ABN, it is obvious that an association has existed between myself and 3ABN. In addition to myself an association has existed between Beartrap and 3ABN, between Johann and 3ABN, between PaperTigers and 3ABN, between HOTY

and 3ABN, just to mention a few of the members of BSDA who are or were employed by Danny Shelton.

Again, you miss the context. The individuals I mention are still currently associated or working with 3ABN - actively associated. It is my understanding that beartrap, Johann, PaperTigers, and yourself are no longer there, not employed or associated with the day-to-day operations or programming. My point still stands. If I spoke with any of the people I listed and received a response that was contrarian to you own, even if it were substantiated, because of your entrenched position you would dismiss it out of hand.

The sole individual, as far as I can ascertain, who still is there and posting here is HOTY. Now, why should he be the only one from 3ABN to be trusted to "tell the truth?" It would seem to the casual observer that the answer to the question is, because he agrees with you. To disagree means to automatically be perceived as the enemy and thus a lying scoundral.

I am curious too as to the way in which the Unauthorized History equates an obvious association between you and 3ABN. As I read it, you have acted as the mouthpiece for other individuals/entities that have provided you with material to present your version of things. Do you state somewhere in here that you were/are indeed a part of the 3ABN experience?

-fhb

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Sep 11 2006, 12:44 PM)

FHB, there were 4 responses before this. Check out mine - post #39 I believe.

stand corrected.

Posted by: sister Sep 11 2006, 01:32 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 02:11 PM)

Do you state somewhere in there that you were/are indeed a part of the 3ABN experience?

-fhb

Yes. In fact, the only driver's licence I possess was issued in Benton, Illinois.

Posted by: sister Sep 11 2006, 01:44 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 02:11 PM)

To disagree means to automatically be perceived as the enemy and thus a lying scoundral.

-fhb

Those are your words, not mine. I have neither accused you of being an enemy or a lying scoundrel. Perhaps, misinformed would be a kinder description. I and others have spoken from experience as employees of Danny Shelton, my understanding is that your knowledge is received through watching 3ABN broadcasts, that you have not personal knowledge or association with 3ABN or Danny Shelton. Based upon those facts I could logically extrapolate that your posts are at best pure speculation or merely an uneducated opinion.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Sep 11 2006, 02:53 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 01:11 PM)

Again, you miss the context. The individuals I mention are still currently associated or working with 3ABN - actively associated. It is my understanding that beartrap, Johann, PaperTigers, and yourself are no longer there, not employed or associated with the day-to-day operations or programming. My point still stands. If I spoke with any of the people I listed and received a response that was contrarian to you own, even if it were substantiated, because of your entrenched position you would dismiss it out of hand.

Many of these events occurred in 2004 and earlier. Some "currently associated" employees, such as Shelley Quinn, were not even at 3ABN when some of these things transpired. I place no premium simply on the fact that a person is currently employed there -- or not.

Secondly, there is no legitimate evidence. Therefore, to say "if it were substantiated" calls for a conclusion beyond the evidence 3ABN possesses. Why even go there?

Thirdly, nobody would be wasting their time here "entrenched" if 3ABN had a case.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Sep 11 2006, 03:05 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 11 2006, 02:44 PM)

To disagree means to automatically be perceived as the enemy and thus a lying scoundrel.
-fhb

You are correct, they are my words. They are based on the responses often found here and from personal experience here. The accusation of being a plant, or being Hal, or being Danny, or being on the 3ABN payroll in addition to the tone of many posts will lead to the perception that you ("you" here not specifically referring to you as a person, but to those aligned on your side of the issue) do consider that individual an "enemy." The assertion that truth does not emanate from 3ABN

automatically transfers to anyone who aligns themselves on that side of the issue - thus my statement.

Even your statement that I am misinformed indicates that because I seem to have a contrarian view to yours that I am wrong.

To date all of the above accusations have fallen my way (being called Danny, Hal, a plant, etc). Simply because I take a skeptical and contrarian view to the majority here. If one were to flip that coin, one might postulate that you are in deed Linda since you have such an overwhelming intimate knowledge of 3ABN and all of the people who work there. I don't think you are - but one could make a strong case for that theory.

QUOTE(sister @ Sep 11 2006, 02:44 PM)

. . . my understanding is that your knowledge is received through watching 3ABN broadcasts, that you have not personal knowledge or association with 3ABN or Danny Shelton . . .

What do you base this understanding on? I have spent more time reading the material here and other sister sites, as well as books to gain a picture of 3ABN. In fact I have never owned a dish that pulls the channel in and the cable system in my current place of residence does not carry it. I have seen programming from there on occasion over the years and attended at some of the churches they have broadcast from. One need not have a direct association with 3ABN or Danny in order to learn about them. I have talked to a number of people associated with the ministry over the years. So my understanding comes from a variety of sources - yours included.

- fhb

QUOTE(Panama_Pete @ Sep 11 2006, 03:53 PM)

Secondly, there is no legitimate evidence.

Not sure you can make this claim since you don't know what evidence they have. You have simply said, because they haven't played their hand they must not have one. That doesn't make your claim true.

Do date you can not prove they don't have it.

- fhb

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 05:26 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 11:05 PM)

Do date you can not prove they don't have it.

- fhb

Quite a statement! Since I was involved in the initial happening in our own kitchen at 3ABN - and I even dare use my real name - I have seen plenty of the evidence they have crumble and new strange evidence manufactured with the help of expensive Private Investigators following me have made. You can always get what you pay for, but is it true? Not if you were there and know it isn't. You can make lotsof tricks with a spy camera, I have experienced. You can eliminate unwanted people in the picture and try to prove they are not there because they are not seen on the picture. Such is some of the strongest evidence Mollie Steenson and her gang have produced and told people they have.

I have heard the strongest evidence from members of the board, and I know from personal experience they are mere fabrication and falsehood.

In a few days your will all see plenty of evidence that will rock the boat. Just stay tuned. . . The Linda case will then be a mere drop in the bucket. It might send some people screaming for mercy!

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Sep 11 2006, 05:32 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 04:26 PM)

In a few days your will all see plenty of evidence that will rock the boat. Just stay tuned. . . The Linda case will then be a mere drop in the bucket. It might send some people screaming for mercy!

Okay, my interest is piqued! I hope it will answer some of the questions I have - ones that I feel very strongly about.

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 05:39 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Sep 12 2006, 01:32 AM)

Okay, my interest is piqued! I hope it will answer some of the questions I have - ones that I feel very strongly about.

Pray about it. Pray the Lord's hand will intervene where needed, and that it will strengthen His Church!

Posted by: PaperTigers Sep 11 2006, 05:43 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 11 2006, 03:11 PM)

...The sole individual, as far as I can ascertain, who still is there and posting here is HOTY. Now, why should he be the only one from 3ABN to be trusted to "tell the truth?" It would seem to the casual observer that the answer to the question is, because he agrees with you. To disagree means to automatically be perceived as the enemy and thus a lying scoundral....
-fhb

I think it all depends on who you talk to at 3ABN... if you talk to the actual employees you will get a completely different view then if you talk to those who have a vested interest in getting you to believe what they want you to believe.

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 06:10 PM

QUOTE(PaperTigers @ Sep 12 2006, 01:43 AM)

I think it all depends on who you talk to at 3ABN... if you talk to the actual employees you will get a completely different view then if you talk to those who have a vested interest in getting you to believe what they want you to believe.

Have you ever considered why? Personally, I have nothing to lose or gain, either way. All I am intersted in is the truth, because a Christian must adhere to what he knows is the truth, even if the heavens may fall.

I receive my pension from the Seventh-day Adventist Church, having worked for my Lord for half a century. 3ABN could not stop my income nor my health insurance, when I was fired for refusing to lie. This is the reason I sign my real name. I can testify that many of the others are in serious danger if they use their real names. *I don't mind Danny calling me his greates enemy or a liar. I am responsible to my Lord, and not to Danny. I have seen him calling others murderers, liars, and you name it. And once he bites into a person he acts like an angry dog who never lets go, regardless if the person is innocent or not. This does not only apply to Linda. Once he has spoken his word remains, as if he was a divine prophet. This is the reason some have called 3ABN a cult.*

Posted by: paleface Sep 11 2006, 06:21 PM

Johann:

Sir, I have been praying, pleading with the Lord for Truth ever since June 1,2004 of why Linda disappeared. Thank you for being a faithful friend to Linda. By the way are you the faithfull caregiver of Fluffy?

May God bless you in His Service. Please let Linda know she has a whole host of us who are praying for her and her family.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Sep 11 2006, 06:27 PM

I am at a loss here...

FHB says:

he has not worked at 3ABN
 he lives hundreds of miles from 3ABN
 he has only met Dan once or twice
 he does not have 3ABN in his home
 he only watches 3ABN on occasion
 and my personal favorite -

"One need not have a direct association with 3ABN or Danny in order to learn about them.

It makes no sense to me why someone who is so DETACHED from the situation - by his own admission - is so interested IN the situation.

And if they do not need to know Dan personally to learn about him - why did they come to a sight where they could learn and choose to scoff at the information. Instead we have a person willing to protect someone they DON'T know against people who DO.

Like I said, I am at a loss.

Posted by: inga Sep 11 2006, 06:33 PM


Steffan, I'll just reply to one point of your post -- a fairly clear example of how you erect straw men to knock down in order to support your point. (Your claim that we considered Danny the devil himself was another such "straw man."):

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 10 2006, 08:28 PM)

And it is quite a stretch to blame the unfortunate Irmgard's cancer related death to Danny. But yet you do.

I did not blame Danny for Irmgard's death, as you accuse me of doing. I said I have no doubt that he *contributed* to her *untimely* death. Knowing how stress prevents the body's immune system from functioning at its fullest, I am quite sure that the extreme stress experienced by Irmgard because of Danny's continual harassment of Linda and Johann served to hasten her death because the cancer was able to grow more quickly with the immune system so compromised. Please read my post again. (No. 29)

Your distortion of posts is subtle, but it is distortion nevertheless. Yes, you will find some hyperbole on this forum, as well as some speculation, but it is generally quite evident. (There is no hyperbole in my post that I can see, since each point can be backed up from my personal knowledge and/or by the experience of others on this forum.)

I wonder if you're not a pot calling the kettle black. 

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 06:33 PM

QUOTE(paleface @ Sep 12 2006, 02:21 AM)

Johann:

Sir, I have been praying, pleading with the Lord for Truth ever since June 1,2004 of why Linda disappeared. Thank you for being a faithful friend to Linda. By the way are you the faithfull caregiver of Fluffy?

May God bless you in His Service. Please let Linda know she has a whole host of us who are praying for her and her family.

Thank you, paleface. No, I have not taken care of Fluffy, who is taken care of by a family taking care of vistors and Fluffy right by the premises of 3ABN. Many visitors have enjoyed their hospitality, and Fluffy is well taken care of. Shely is with Linda, and that has been a great comfort to Linda in her great trials.

Shely thought all men were as bad as Danny, so it took me a long time to get Shely to "talk" to me when I visited Linda. Shely shuddered when Danny was yelling at Linda to get out of his house,

when she was not prepared to leave him nor suffer a divorce.

Posted by: sonshineonme Sep 11 2006, 06:34 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Sep 11 2006, 05:27 PM)

I am at a loss here...

FHB says:

he has not worked at 3ABN

he lives hundreds of miles from 3ABN

he has only met Dan once or twice

he does not have 3ABN in his home

he only watches 3ABN on occasion

and my personal favorite -

"One need not have a direct association with 3ABN or Danny in order to learn about them.

It makes no sense to me why someone who is so DETACHED from the situation - by his own admission - is so interested IN the situation.

And if they do not need to know Dan personally to learn about him - why did they come to a sight where they could learn and choose to scoff at the information. Instead we have a person willing to protect someone they DON'T know against people who DO.


Like I said, I am at a loss.


I have been thinking about all this circling going on....back and forth in my mind I have thought, what is the point of FHB's writings - and a couple others I won't name. It's not that I don't like others to have varied opinions of what they want to believe, etc., but, it's as if you can not reason, or let be of something with these folk. I tend to think maybe they are not what they would like to appear as? Someone who just wants to read, discuss, disagree or get it? I mean, this has been one of those different shaped circular things...with no point or destination in sight - just another form of provoking for some reason - what could that be? FHB, if you don't want to accept what you read here, by people who have given testimony to their own experiences, then don't. But let it go. You can not change people's stories. Do you think they will suddenly say "oh, what was I thinking - that didn't really happen, I must have dreamt it or out right lied to you all". Don't you see, these people have stoies - testimonies, of what happened. Accept, decline or move on. You can't change the stripes on a zebra, but you sure seem to think you can "rationalize" anything away...and if everyone wants to spend more energy trying to reason with you, I believe it's pointless, because there is something about you that isn't right to me. But, that is JMO.

Posted by: PaperTigers Sep 11 2006, 06:42 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Sep 11 2006, 07:34 PM)

I have been thinking about all this circling going on....back and forth in my mind I have thought, what is the point of FHB's writings - and a couple others I won't name. It's not that I don't like others to have varied opinions of what they want to believe, etc., but, it's as if you can not reason, or let be of something with these folk. I tend to think maybe they are not what they would like to

appear as? Someone who just wants to read, discuss, disagree or get it? I mean, this has been one of those different shaped circular things...with no point or destination in sight - just another form of provoking for some reason - what could that be? FHB, if you don't want to accept what you read here, by people who have given testimony to their own experiences, then don't. But let it go. You can not change people's stories. Do you think they will suddenly say "oh, what was I thinking - that didn't really happen, I must have dreamt it or out right lied to you all". Don't you see, these people have stoies - testimonies, of what happened. Accept, decline or move on. You can't change the stripes on a zebra, but you sure seem to think you can "rationalize" anything away...and if everyone wants to spend more energy trying to reason with you, I believe it's pointless, because there is something about you that isn't right to me. But, that is JMO. 

Amen 

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 07:08 PM

QUOTE(steffan @ Sep 11 2006, 03:28 AM)

to blame the unfortunate Irmgard's cancer related death to Danny. But yet you do. I wonder which price. Johann himself states that Danny tried to make life difficult for him. Yet his local church and his conference rejected any interference. He continues to get his sustentation from the church. These are his words, not mine.
Steffan Philip

have told before that Linda got up at Irmgard's funeral and expressed her appreciation because rmgard remained a friend when all other friends failed. It so happened, we learned from sad :perience, that Linda was not permitted to have any other friends than those who would play a part n destroying Linda. . . (and destroying Danny too in the long run)

would receive plenty of e-mails from Danny where he hurled at me all kinds of accusations, of being i liar, accusing my friends of similar things, even being murderers. That can kill the spirit of a sick erson, I can tell you! Have you ever tried that, when your wife is dying? Salary is not the only thing he soul needs. Whose local church rejected interference? What conference?

gave the union some good advice which they rejected. Some of the members there have since told ne it was good advice, and they lament the majority did not follow the advice that the higher office in he church supportred (TED). They claimed that Mark Finley had approved of what they were doing. ater Mark Finley wrote that he had adviced to work in cooperation with HOPE. So what are you effering to? The Norwegian TV had a numer of listeners before this. They lost most of them with their ew venture. Was that an advantage?

Why did the Scandinavian 3ABN lose their chairman of the board? There are some similarities to Danny Shelton.

Posted by: inga Sep 11 2006, 07:12 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Sep 10 2006, 09:19 PM)

This does not discribe only Fortune 500 companies or financial institutions or governmental offices - it applies ot a myriad of business in a plehora of businesses/industry/think tanks. In an age when

the most valuable commodity is information, these are the safeguards to keep the product created secure as well as to make sure the post-it notes aren't headed home as a way to make shopping lists and post them on the fridge.

This is SOP in western society.

- fhb

'ou say that this sort of spying on employees is standard practice in many businesses, and I don't doubt you.

However, can you give us a good reason why this is necessary at 3ABN? I thought 3ABN was supposed to exist *precisely* for distribution "the most valuable commodity" of "information" regarding the Good News of Jesus Christ?

Maybe I just don't "get it," and you can enlighten me, FHB?



Posted by: sonshineonme Sep 11 2006, 07:24 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 05:33 PM)

Thank you, paleface. No, I have not taken care of Fluffy, who is taken care of by a family taking care of visitors and Fluffy right by the premises of 3ABN. Many visitors have enjoyed their hospitality, and Fluffy is well taken care of. Shelty is with Linda, and that has been a great comfort to Linda in her great trials.

Shelty thought all men were as bad as Danny, so it took me a long time to get Shelty to "talk" to me when I visited Linda. Shelty shuddered when Danny was yelling at Linda to get out of his house, when she was not prepared to leave him nor suffer a divorce.

Another thing that makes Linda's and my friendship unique is we both have and share a love for shelties. I have two of them. A male and female. Anyone that has shelties knows how intuitive they really are - incredibly sensitive to the "heard" they live with. I have a male, like Shelty is, and males tend to go towards females (most breeds are this way) and will be more protective of that person, and my male is very quick to defend me (with his "talking") if my husband and I are in the house together and he leaves the room or makes a thumping noise, or even if our voices raise at each other (not very often) etc. My husband scolded my male when he was small and he has not really ever forgotten. My husband had no idea how sensitive these type of dogs are to tone and body language. We have been working on it ever since, to assure him (the dog) that everything is ok. If there were ever a problem of yelling or fighting or something of very serious nature, I have no doubt that my male sheltie would be quite upset and would remain so for some time. These dogs are amazing at sensing when you are hurting emotionally or physically, and will be glued to you and not leave. Ask anyone who has one.

Posted by: Panama_Pete Sep 11 2006, 07:25 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 11 2006, 08:12 PM)

You say that this sort of spying on employees is standard practice in many businesses, and I don't doubt you.

However, can you give us a good reason why this is necessary at 3ABN? I thought 3ABN was supposed to exist *precisely* for distribution "the most valuable commodity" of "information" regarding the Good News of Jesus Christ?

Maybe I just don't "get it," and you can enlightne me, FHB?



Inga, don't you see?

Those angels - all three of them -- have been classified as "top secret."

Mum's the word.

(Used by Shakespeare in Henry VI, Part 2. 'Seal up your lips and give no words but mum'.)

Posted by: beartrap Sep 11 2006, 07:35 PM

QUOTE

I have seen programming from there on occassion over the years

We were the people making and airing the programming you saw on occassion.

QUOTE

and attended at some of the churches they have broadcast from.

We were the people often speaking in the churches they broadcast from.

QUOTE

One need not have a direct association with 3ABN or Danny in order to learn about them.

We had a direct association with 3ABN and Danny which makes us more knowledgeable about it than those whose info is only second hand, at best.

QUOTE

I have talked to a number of people associated with the ministry over the years.

We were the people associated with the "ministry" who many people like you talked to over the years.

QUOTE

So my understanding comes from a variety of sources - yours included.

So our understanding comes from living, breathing, and working 3ABN side by side with Danny, Linda, and each other. Not from a variety of other sources.

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 07:43 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 12 2006, 03:12 AM)

You say that this sort of spying on employees is standard practice in many businesses, and I don't doubt you.

However, can you give us a good reason why this is necessary at 3ABN? I thought 3ABN was supposed to exist *precisely* for distribution "the most valuable commodity" of "information" regarding the Good News of Jesus Christ?

Maybe I just don't "get it," and you can enlightne me, FHB?



Some claim the reason for this is fear that Linda is planning terror attacks on 3ABN! Those of you working there now, has Danny given any indication?

Posted by: cottageK Sep 11 2006, 08:24 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 07:43 PM)

Some claim the reason for this is fear that Linda is planning terror attacks on 3ABN! Those of you working there now, has Danny given any indication?

By that statement, do I detect an inference of some paranoia in the ranks of 3ABN? Linda planning terror attacks on 3ABN???? rofl

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Sep 11 2006, 08:25 PM

QUOTE(cottageK @ Sep 11 2006, 09:24 PM)

By that statement, do I detect an inference of some paranoia in the ranks of 3ABN? Linda planning terror attacks on 3ABN???? rofl

"Paranoia" is putting it mildly...

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 08:32 PM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Sep 12 2006, 04:25 AM)

"Paranoia" is putting it mildly...

An intersting statement. We might soon see how true it is!

Posted by: Lucyladye Sep 11 2006, 08:42 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 07:32 PM)

An intersting statement. We might soon see how true it is!

Hmmmmmmmm Paranoia.....now that seems to fit perfectly!

Posted by: caribbean sda Sep 11 2006, 08:46 PM

I do believe that housecleaning needs to be done in the hierarchy at 3ABN...but I'm wondering if this new information, that is about to come to light, has any potential to destroy the ministry of 3ABN. Yes, some of those at the top should be replaced, in my opinon; but I hope the ministry and the purpose for which it was founded would continue...

Posted by: Johann Sep 11 2006, 08:51 PM

QUOTE(caribbean sda @ Sep 12 2006, 04:46 AM)


I do believe that housecleaning needs to be done in the hierarchy at 3ABN...but I'm wondering if this new information, that is about to come to light, has any potential to destroy the ministry of 3ABN. Yes, some of those at the top should be replaced, in my opinon; but I hope the ministry and the purpose for which it was founded would continue...

Pray that the Lord will intervene. His guidance is sorely needed. Let BSDA be like a prayer band around what is happening. We do not want 3ABN to become a station that works against SDA, do we?

Posted by: inga Sep 11 2006, 10:25 PM


QUOTE(Johann @ Sep 11 2006, 08:43 PM)

Some claim the reason for this is fear that Linda is planning terror attacks on 3ABN!



Posted by: Johann Sep 12 2006, 04:00 AM


QUOTE(inga @ Sep 12 2006, 06:25 AM)



Good to have some humor! After M. L. Andreasen had spent several days at Elmshaven he was so surprised that such a godly woman could laugh. He stated that she giggled like a schoolgirl. Lets be happy too.

Posted by: Observer Sep 16 2006, 06:30 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Sep 11 2006, 09:25 PM)



D. K. I now understand. In a prior life, Linda was probably a member of Delta Force, and therefore will rained as to how to do such. Well, if she was ever a member of Delta Force, they will not be able to stop her by locking the doors. She will simply rapel through a skylight.

here she comes folks: Linda, the unmasked avenger.

Posted by: simplysaved Sep 17 2006, 06:59 PM

A very good question...and a very real reality. This makes me think about the example of the EGW post in another thread that I will include here:

QUOTE(Uncle Sam @ Sep 14 2006, 08:08 AM)

Our Pastor shared this with us last night at prayer meeting. It gave me something to think about with all of this exposing of Danny's sins.....just thought I would share.
Australian Years 1893-1900 pages 134, 135. The original letter is referenced as Letter 48, 1894.
She was writing to Elder Littlejohn,

She wrote 12 pages so these are just a couple of paragraphs.

"Elder Littlejohn, you have undertaken to point out the defects of Reformers and pioneers in the cause of God. No one should trace the lines which you have done. You have made public errors and defects of the people of God and in so doing have dishonored God and Jesus Christ. I would not for my right arm have given to the world that which you have written. You have not been conscience of what would be the influence of your work...

The Lord did not call upon you to present these things to the public as a correct history of our people. Your work will make it necessary for us to put forth labor to show why these brethern took the extreme position that they did, and call up the circumstances that vindicate those upon whom your articles have laid suspicion and reproach. ...

God will charge those who unwisely expose the mistakes of their brethern with sin of far greater magnitude than He will charge the one who made the misstep. Criticism and condemnation of the brethern are counted as criticism and condemnation of Christ.

QUOTE(caribbean sda @ Sep 11 2006, 08:46 PM)

I do believe that housecleaning needs to be done in the hierarchy at 3ABN...but I'm wondering if this new information, that is about to come to light, has any potential to destroy the ministry of 3ABN. Yes, some of those at the top should be replaced, in my opinion; but I hope the ministry and the purpose for which it was founded would continue...