Printable Version of Topic



Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ "for They Were Sent To Do Judgment On Him"

Posted by: watchbird Feb 2 2007, 12:05 PM

Bishop Hatto

God's Judgment on a Wicked Bishopby Robert Southey

The summer and autumn had been so wet, That in winter the corn was growing yet, 'Twas a piteous sight to see all around The grain lie rotting on the ground.

Every day the starving poor Crowded around Bishop Hatto's door, For he had a plentiful last-year's store, And all the neighbourhood could tell His granaries were furnish'd well.

At last Bishop Hatto appointed a day
To quiet the poor without delay;
He bade them to his great Barn repair,
And they should have food for the winter there.

Rejoiced such tidings good to hear, The poor folk flock'd from far and near; The great barn was full as it could hold Of women and children, and young and old.

Then when he saw it could hold no more, Bishop Hatto he made fast the door; And while for mercy on Christ they call, He set fire to the Barn and burnt them all.

"I'faith 'tis an excellent bonfire!" quoth he,
"And the country is greatly obliged to me,
For ridding it in these times forlorn
Of Rats that only consume the corn."

So then to his palace return-ed he, And he sat down to supper merrily, And he slept that night like an innocent man; But Bishop Hatto never slept again.

In the morning as he enter'd the hall Where his picture hung against the wall, A sweat like death all over him came, For the Rats had eaten it out of the frame.

As he look'd there came a man from his farm-He had a countenance white with alarm; "My Lord, I open'd your granaries this morn, And the Rats had eaten all your corn."

Another came running presently,
And he was pale as pale could be,
"Fly! my Lord Bishop, fly," quoth he,
"Ten thousand Rats are coming this way,...
The Lord forgive you for yesterday!"

"I'll go to my tower on the Rhine," replied he,
"'Tis the safest place in Germany;
The walls are high and the shores are steep,
And the stream is strong and the water deep."

Bishop Hatto fearfully hasten'd away, And he crost the Rhine without delay, And reach'd his tower, and barr'd with care All the windows, doors, and loop-holes there.

He laid him down and closed his eyes;...
But soon a scream made him arise,
He started and saw two eyes of flame
On his pillow from whence the screaming came.

He listen'd and look'd;... it was only the Cat; And the Bishop he grew more fearful for that, For she sat screaming, mad with fear At the Army of Rats that were drawing near.

For they have swum over the river so deep, And they have climb'd the shores so steep, And up the Tower their way is bent, To do the work for which they were sent.

They are not to be told by the dozen or score, By thousands they come, and by myriads and more, Such numbers had never been heard of before, Such a judgment had never been witness'd of yore.


Down on his knees the Bishop fell, And faster and faster his beads did he tell, As louder and louder drawing near The gnawing of their teeth he could hear.

And in at the windows and in at the door, And through the walls helter-skelter they pour, And down from the ceiling and up through the floor, From the right and the left, from behind and before, From within and without, from above and below, And all at once to the Bishop they go.

They have whetted their teeth against the stones, And now they pick the Bishop's bones: They gnaw'd the flesh from every limb,

For they were sent to do judgment on him!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 2 2007, 12:09 PM
That says it all.
Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 03:52 PM
Mr. Bystander, take this fearful warning to Danny Shelton at 3ABN where people who work there tell me there is chaos and trembling for the future.
Pray he may find mercy before the Lord
Posted by: Bystander Feb 2 2007, 04:16 PM
QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 2 2007, 02:52 PM)
Mr. Bystander, take this fearful warning to Danny Shelton at 3ABN where people who work there tell me there is chaos and trembling for the future.
Pray he may find mercy before the Lord
As usual, your sources tell you wrong. Chaos and trembling? You have to be kidding. After reading the lawsuit threat I would venture to say the only chaos and trembling that's going on is at Gailon Joy's residence.
Posted by: Johann Feb 2 2007, 05:29 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 3 2007, 12:16 AM)



Help me to understand this. If 3ABN takes Gailon to court for the rights to the 3ABN name and for slanderous accusations, how will that require full disclosure for 3abns books? I am making the assumption that is what you are talking about when you say proof will be required. I don't think proof is any problem when it comes to Gailons website but others have said they would welcome a lawsuit because everything at 3abn would have to be made public. Not true. This case would have nothing to do with anything like that. Now even if Gailon decided to counter sue on some point or other, there still would be no demands made on 3abn documents. Unless you are with the IRS or FBI you can't just step up to a judge and demand an investigations into an organizations records. The most Gailon could do is to file a complaint that he thinks the books need looking into. With no affiliations with any government offices, not having a law degree and having never even been to the 3abn facility, most likely the judge would throw his complaint out. Regardless, that case would have nothing whatsoever to do with this case and all this case requires is a look see at Gailons website.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 3 2007, 02:43 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 09:27 PM)

Help me to understand this. If 3ABN takes Gailon to court for the rights to the 3ABN name and for slanderous accusations, how will that require full disclosure for 3abns books? I am making the assumption that is what you are talking about when you say proof will be required. I don't think proof is any problem when it comes to Gailons website but others have said they would welcome a lawsuit because everything at 3abn would have to be made public. Not true. This case would have nothing to do with anything like that. Now even if Gailon decided to counter sue on some point or other, there still would be no demands made on 3abn documents. Unless you are with the IRS or FBI you can't just step up to a judge and demand an investigations into an organizations records. The most Gailon could do is to file a complaint that he thinks the books need looking into. With no affiliations with any government offices, not having a law degree and having never even been to the 3abn facility, most likely the judge would throw his complaint out. Regardless, that case would have

nothing whatsoever to do with this case and all this case requires is a look see at Gailons website.
Hmmmyou seem to be quite concerned about 3abn's books being scrutinized.
Posted by: erik Feb 3 2007, 02:49 AM
QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 3 2007, 12:43 AM)
Hmmmyou seem to be quite concerned about 3abn's books being scrutinized.
duane, I just wanted to tell you thank you for standing tall and being count, may you continue to draw courage from Jesus. Erik W.
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 07:27 PM)
Help me to understand this. If 3ABN takes Gailon to court for the rights to the 3ABN name and for slanderous accusations, how will that require full disclosure for 3abns books? I am making the assumption that is what you are talking about when you say proof will be required. I don't think proof is any problem when it comes to Gailons website but others have said they would welcome a lawsuit because everything at 3abn would have to be made public. Not true. This case would have nothing to do with anything like that. Now even if Gailon decided to counter sue on some point or other, there still would be no demands made on 3abn documents. Unless you are with the IRS or FBI you can't just step up to a judge and demand an investigations into an organizations records. The most Gailon could do is to file a complaint that he thinks the books need looking into. With no affiliations with any government offices, not having a law degree and having never even been to the 3abn facility, most likely the judge would throw his complaint out. Regardless, that case would have nothing whatsoever to do with this case and all this case requires is a look see at Gailons website.
Bystander,
they might be able to control what is in the court room if they stick to the copyright issues, if the go for slander then they are opening the doors wide open.
remember also in the asi effort that fail linda was will for all the proof to be on public display, and danny was not going for that, WHY??????????
erik w.
Posted by: Observer Feb 3 2007, 05:23 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 2 2007, 08:27 PM)

Help me to understand this. If 3ABN takes Gailon to court for the rights to the 3ABN name and for slanderous accusations, how will that require full disclosure for 3abns books? I am making the assumption that is what you are talking about when you say proof will be required. I don't think proof is any problem when it comes to Gailons website but others have said they would welcome a lawsuit because everything at 3abn would have to be made public. Not true. This case would have nothing to do with anything like that. Now even if Gailon decided to counter sue on some point or other, there still would be no demands made on 3abn documents. Unless you are with the IRS or FBI you can't just step up to a judge and demand an investigations into an organizations records. The most Gailon could do is to file a complaint that he thinks the books need looking into. With no affiliations with any government offices, not having a law degree and having never even been to the 3abn facility, most likely the judge would throw his complaint out. Regardless, that case would have nothing whatsoever to do with this case and all this case requires is a look see at Gailons website.

To all:

Bystander has made some comments that may have some validity. In such a case the lawyers for the plaintiff will seek to limit the proceedings to a very narrow issue. Those for the defendent will seek to expand to more issues. Each will likely seek to trap the representative for the other side into doing something that compromises their case. The result of this is that no one can predict with certainty what will happen, and the results. While this is going on, the legal community will sit back and watch the brouhaha.

Bystander is esentially telling us that judges only enter orders that are are related to the case. So, he is telling us that a case that is limited to a copyright is not going to have a judge issue an order related to who paid for the purchase of a horse, and whether or not that purchase was properly accounted for on a W-2 Form. That is correct.

But, does this mean that Bystander is correct in his belief as to how the case will go? No, it does not. As I say, no one can predict with 100 per-cent certainty the direction and results of litigation. Perhaps an attorney will make a mistake that will expand the case? Perhaps? Who knows? At this point all such is speculaltion that is based upon a lack of knowledge as to what the other side will do, and how the judge will respond.

It is even unceretain that litigation based solely upon copyright issues would result in GAJ being permantly enjoined from such use. But then: I do not know. I am not legally trained. I do not claim to be able to predict final results in any lawsuit. :-)

Posted by: Treniece Feb 3 2007, 01:52 PM

What about 'do not take your brother to court'.

Powered by Invision Power Board (http://www.invisionboard.com)
© Invision Power Services (http://www.invisionpower.com)