

79

Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Unanswered Questions

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jan 30 2007, 12:59 AM

I asked these questions and still haven't gotten answers. These questions WON'T go away until I do.

Aletheia: *What did you mean by this question?*

QUOTE

Also didn't you tell me Clem's brother had claimed he had "relationship" with Tommy, and was defending Tommy? *How old did he claim he was at the time?*

Bystander: *What did you mean by this reponse to Aletheia's question?*

QUOTE

I did the math, he would have been around 19 or 20. mmm that does put a whole different light on the subject if the subject be true at all.....

Bystander: *What did you mean by this comment?*

QUOTE

What I have found so far is that some of the members here will be shocked if and when the agenda's of some of the letter writers are exposed.

To refuse to provide these answers brings your credibility into serious doubt. We're waiting.....

Duane Clem

Posted by: Hawk Jan 30 2007, 01:41 AM

Hi Duane, The credibility of folk who figure that its fine for a pastor to molest kids if they are teenagers, and who threaten to sue another church for trying to put a stop to child molestation and sexual abuse of the parishioners is already down the toilet. Danny lied on his first letter here, Eye Witness lied about an ASI meeting, 3ABN lied about kids names, Bystander lied about 3ABN lying about kids names, WWJD tried to blackmail Hershey into being quiet, and Alethia stands by molestation of kids as long as they are at least 17 or consenting. they are the true and accurate representation of 3ABN and the Shelton family. They will NEVER address actual issues. Blackmail, lying and hurting people. Thats what 3ABN and the Shelton family are all about and they have proved it right here.

The Catholic church had scandals and they settled with their victims. 3ABN has scandals and threatens to sue the people who try to deal with the issues, blackmails people who might know

something and and says that the letter writers have some kind of agenda. 3ABN says all kinds of bad stuff about the Catholic church and then does even worse. News. News for the public.

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 04:44 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 30 2007, 01:59 AM) □

I asked these questions and still haven't gotten answers. These questions WON'T go away until I do.

Aletheia: What did you mean by this question?

QUOTE

Also didn't you tell me Clem's brother had claimed he had "relationship" with Tommy, and was defending Tommy? How old did he claim he was at the time?
uane Clem

I was asking Bob to clarify, and say the same things on this board, which he had told me on the phone when he called me, and was telling me about things.. I then asked him what evidence he and Gailon actually had. He claimed that the above along with your negative testimony about Glen Dryden in support of Tommy, and your feelings about him, were the difficulty with getting you to come forward and make a public statement.

The reason I asked him the above: It sounded and was being presented differently when he posted about it here, I still don't understand that. Also, being that he also left out your age in his discussions here, the members here were all assuming you were much younger and it was evidence of child molestation, as he and Gailon have been claiming all along, and he wasn't bothering to correct them, or clarify. Nor did he do so when I asked...

(Yes, I did see you gave your age in your statement when that was posted. but that was after many of Bob's posts)

I believe that the accusation of child molestation is what observer was referring to, in his comment about the ages of the only ones who have come forward. As to his second comment, I don't know what was meant and will wait till that does or does not come out also.

Do you have any other questions?

~ Cindy

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 05:27 AM

QUOTE(Hawk @ Jan 30 2007, 02:41 AM) □

Hi Duane, The credibility of folk who figure that its fine for a pastor to molest kids if they are teenagers, and who threaten to sue another church for trying to put a stop to child molestation and sexual abuse of the parishioners is already down the toilet. Danny lied on his first letter here, Eye Witness lied about an ASI meeting, 3ABN lied about kids names, Bystander lied about 3ABN lying about kids names, WWJD tried to blackmail Hershey into being quiet, and Aletheia stands by

molestation of kids as long as they are at least 17 or consenting. they are the true and accurate representation of 3ABN and the Shelton family. They will NEVER address actual issues. Blackmail, lying and hurting people. Thats what 3ABN and the Shelton family are all about and they have proved it right here.

The Catholic church had scandals and they settled with their victims. 3ABN has scandals and threatens to sue the people who try to deal with the issues, blackmails people who might know something and and says that the letter writers have some kind of agenda. 3ABN says all kinds of bad stuff about the Catholic church and then does even worse. News. News for the public.

I DO NOT stand by molestation of kids. That is a LIE.

I stand for calling things by their **right names**, and proving all things. And I stand for the American way. Those who stand accused are innocent till proven guilty and have a right to face their accusers.

I also stand for going to the police when there is a criminal offense and pressing charges.

And I stand for following biblical principles in our Church when it comes to taking care of sin, error and any disputes. Those principles do NOT include talebearing or publishing things to those outside the Church.

If you don't like that? I guess that would be your problem.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 05:47 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 06:27 AM) □

I DO NOT stand by molestation of kids. That is a LIE.

I stand for calling things by their **right names**, and proving all things. And I stand for the American way. Those who stand accused are innocent till proven guilty and have a right to face their accusers.

I also stand for going to the police when there is a criminal offense and pressing charges.

And I stand for following biblical principles in our Church when it comes to taking care of sin, error and any disputes. Those principles do NOT include talebearing or publishing things to those outside the Church.

If you don't like that? I guess that would be your problem.

Scuse you?

QUOTE

And I stand for the American way.



Are you suggesting that those who do not stand for what you do are somehow un"American"? Bear in mind, we have members here from all over the world.

If you have issues with publishing things to those outside the church, how do you expect any of the people who have been wronged by leaders or those in authority, within the church, to press charges, in order to receive justice?

If your principles include:

QUOTE


NOT publishing things to those outside of the church


please help us understand why are you are on BSDA, univited, presenting your "principles" in the manner you have, while telling others do as I say, not as I do? You can't have it both ways.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 07:26 AM

Aletheia:

What I find disingenuous in the extreme is people, within our church, who have the nerve to say that "you are outside of Gods will if you take your brother before civil authorities". These individuals do not understand the word or the power of God. The issue of not taking ones brother before civil authority is about disputes between brothers/sisters regarding doctrinal points.

We have an obligation, within biblical principles, to protect the victims of crimes through the use of civil authority, when such is needed. Why? Because there are laws that govern our society which we, as citizens and believers, are obligated, before God, to adhere to. The church does not have the authority or the ability to arrest, try and/or convict members who have broken the laws by which we are governed, as Americans. So hiding behind "we are not supposed to take bro/sis so and so before the public or the courts" is foolishness. And folks wonder why there are those who think Adventism is cultish. 

God allows whom He wills in civil authority, for the protection of the people. Just because many in such positions abuse that authority does not make our obligation to heed the laws of the land any less so. 

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 08:35 AM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 30 2007, 06:47 AM)

Scuse you?



Are you suggesting that those who do not stand for what you do are somehow un"American"? Bear in mind, we have members here from all over the world.

America was founded on Godly principles, I do not think anyone the world over who is Christian would despise our constiution or bill of rights...

some of which are:
Amendment V

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself, nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

Amendment VI

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

Amendment VII

In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise reexamined in any court of the United States, than according to the rules of the common law.

Amendment VIII

Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.

QUOTE

If you have issues with publishing things to those outside the church, how do you expect any of the people who have been wronged by leaders or those in authority, within the church, to press charges, in order to receive justice?

If it's a matter of civil law and criminal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?

Then the church can deal with it, as the bible says depending on whether it was a public or private sin.

QUOTE

please help us understand why are you are on BSDA, uninvited, presenting your "principles" in the manner you have, while telling others do as I say, not as I do? You can't have it both ways

I am here "uninvited" as you say, addressing what is written here, presenting God's principles not my own, And Public posts call for public replies on a public forum! I am not here publishing my brethren's

sins or faults or errors here, rather than going to them one on one, and then proceeding as Christ said, to take it to the local Church and then up the conference level if not satisfied, or that doesn't work! There is a difference, and God's people know that, the scriptures are plain. so I am not trying to have it both ways as you claim.

I'm getting tired of all the questions, Why are you against what I posted, and quoted from the scriptures, the words of Christ and the Spirit of prophecy?

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 08:51 AM

Personally I have read your posts Aletheia - and regardless - **REGARDLESS** of your actions of trying to "explain" them away. You stated that the legal age of consent is 17.

What is the purpose of bringing up the "legal age of consent" but to try to prove that the "child" was/could legally consent to having sex with a man?

Nothing about the homosexuality of it.
Nothing about the man being a Pastor.

Just the "legal age of consent". Then when it was determined that the (now) man was **NOT** of legal age to consent - you still will not concede.

That says volumes for what you will allow and not allow in your home/church/**with your own children.**

Why do I say your own children?

Because if you will bring up the "legal age of consent" for someone else in regards to their sexual molestation by a Pastor - you obviously will have to use the same criteria and boundaries when speaking of your own children in the same situation.

Therefore.....

If your son, was approached by a Pastor, and ended up being coerced into a sexual relationship/trist/one-night stand with this said Pastor, **at the age of 17**, you would have to state the same statement that you stated for others.....

"My child is of the 'legal age of consent' therefore I cannot do anything..."

This is actually a good thing to know....

Why?

If I ever find out your real name.... we could not be friends....

Why?

Because I couldn't trust **you** around MY children; being that I know your definition of "legal" and such....



Posted by: Denny Jan 30 2007, 08:54 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 02:35 PM)

America was founded on Godly principles, I do not think anyone the world over who is Christian would despise our constiution or bill of rights...

America being founded on godly principles is debatable - all men being created equal as long as they were white and from the upper classes - So thats why all nations are rushing to follow the American way?..... or are they?....

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 02:35 PM)

I'm getting tired of all the questions, Why are you against what I posted, and quoted from the scriptures, the words of Christ and the Spirit of prophecy?

Why be tired of questions, this is a public forum after all or is this a forum for your public messages only? Just cos one quotes the bible or anything else does not make ones argument more relevant, even the devil quoted scripture.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 09:00 AM

QUOTE(Denny @ Jan 30 2007, 10:54 AM)

Why be tired of questions, this is a public forum after all or is this a forum for your public messages only? Just cos one quotes the bible or anything else does not make ones argument more relevant, **even the devil quoted scripture.**

....and knows it better than most SDA's in general.....

I agree. You can't give questions and comments and not expect them in return. Don't want questions and comments to your writings.....

Don't write 'em!



Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 09:01 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 09:35 AM)

America was founded on Godly principles, I do not think anyone the world over who is Christian would despise our constiution or bill of rights...

The above is rhetorical minutia in the extreme...

QUOTE

If it's a matter of civil law and crimnal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?

"IF"

QUOTE

Then the church can deal with it, as the bible says depending on whether it was a public or private sin.

I am here "uninvited"... I am not trying to have it both ways...

Sorry, please let me rephrase, you are talking out of both sides of your mouth. ☐

QUOTE

I'm getting tired of all the questions, Why are you against what I posted, and quoted from the scriptures, the words of Christ and the Spirit of prophecy?

You're getting tired of all the questions... you think your post equal scripture, the words of Christ, and SOP ☐ Apparently, somebody has overdoses on the 3ABN kool aid. You need to back that thang up girl... ☐

Where is that Charlie Brown smiley when it's needed? ☐ blah, blah, blah, blah, blah, blah

Posted by: Clay Jan 30 2007, 09:19 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 08:35 AM) ☐

America was founded on Godly principles, I do not think anyone the world over who is Christian would despise our constiution or bill of rights...

some of whch are:
snip.....

I am here "uninvited" as you say, addressing what is written here, presenting God's principles not my own, And Public posts call for public replies on a public forum! I am not here publishing my brethren's sins or faults or errors here, rather than going to them one on one, and then proceeding as Christ said, to take it to the local Church and then up the conference level if not satisfied, or that

doesn't work! There is a difference, and God's people know that, the scriptures are plain. so I am not trying to have it both ways as you claim.

I'm getting tired of all the questions, Why are you against what I posted, and quoted from the scriptures, the words of Christ and the Spirit of prophecy?

do you actually believe the foolishness you write? America was not founded on Godly principles.... while the founding fathers were penning "we believe that all men are created equal" some of them OWNED slaves.... so they were not talking about slaves, neither were they talking about women.... distraction by minutiae on your first point.....

Why do we question you? Because you quote the bible and egw out of context.... which means you are just plain wrong.... when you stop misquoting or taking things out of context then maybe we will stop calling you on this issue....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 09:27 AM

I heard someone say it before....

"...tiresome - you are..." and it's truth too!

Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 30 2007, 10:29 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 10:35 AM)

If it's a matter of civil law and crimnal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?

but i thought we should keep it in the church?? doesn't this go against what you were saying?

Posted by: Observer Jan 30 2007, 10:48 AM

Re: "If it's a matter of civil law and crimnal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?"

No. You have misstated it.

1) The police investigage criminal behavior, and violations of criminal law. They do not investigate issues of civil law.

2) Police develop the evidence. You and I do not develop the evidence.

3) Police do not decide whether or not to file charges. The District Attorney may do that. Or, it may be a Grand Jury. In any case the decision to file charges is not that of the police. The police than act on that formal filing of the charges to develop the evidence required to bring a person to

trial.

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 10:58 AM

do you actually believe the foolishness you write? America was not founded on Godly principles.... while the founding fathers were penning "we believe that all men are created equal" some of them OWNED slaves.... so they were not talking about slaves, neither were they talking about women.... distraction by minutiae on your first point.....

Dude, those slaves were men, and God did create them equal, that's the same principle Paul declared to the early Christins, no jews no gentiles, no salve no master, no man no woman, all equal in Christ.

it is self evident that we all have the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness! Our very beings, our brains, hearts, and our very conscience declares it!

Just because some were **very ignorant**, doesn't mean that wasn't a Godly principle, for without that principle being in the founding documentsslavery never would have been abolished.

You are tiresome. Rights come from God, not a government.
Governments either trample on them or defend and uphold them.

Posted by: Clay Jan 30 2007, 11:05 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 10:58 AM) □

do you actually believe the foolishness you write? America was not founded on Godly principles.... while the founding fathers were penning "we believe that all men are created equal" some of them OWNED slaves.... so they were not talking about slaves, neither were they talking about women.... distraction by minutiae on your first point.....

Dude, those slaves were men, and God did create them equal, that's the same principle Paul declared to the early Christins, no jews no gentiles, no salve no master, no man no woman, all equal in Christ.

it is self evident that we all have the right to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness! Our very beings, our brains, hearts, and our very conscience declares it!

Just because some were **very ignorant**, doesn't mean that wasn't a Godly principle, for without that principle being in the founding documentsslavery never would have been abolished.

You are tiresome. Rights come from God, not a government.
Governments either trample on them or defend and uphold them.

you need to go back and read your history.... clearly you don't have a clue.... slavery was not abolished because of what was written in the declaration of independence....

you are past tiresome.... where is my 14 yr old child, she makes more sense than you will ever hope to make.... you wallow in minutiae..... isnt there something at 3abn you need to do?

Posted by: Aletheia Jan 30 2007, 11:12 AM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Jan 30 2007, 09:51 AM) □

Personally I have read your posts Aletheia - and regardless - **REGARDLESS** of your actions of trying to "explain" them away. You stated that the legal age of consent is 17.

What is the purpose of bringing up the "legal age of consent" but to try to prove that the "child" was/could legally consent to having sex with a man?

Nothing about the homosexuality of it.
Nothing about the man being a Pastor.

Just the "legal age of consent". Then when it was determined that the (now) man was **NOT** of legal age to consent - you still will not concede.

*That says volumes for what you will allow and not allow in your home/church/**with your own children.***

Why do I say your own children?

Because if you will bring up the "legal age of consent" for someone else in regards to their sexual molestation by a Pastor - you obviously will have to use the same criteria and boundaries when speaking of your own children in the same situation.

Therefore.....

If your son, was approached by a Pastor, and ended up being coerced into a sexual relationship/trist/one-night stand with this said Pastor, **at the age of 17**, you would have to state the same statement that you stated for others.....

"My child is of the 'legal age of consent' therefore I cannot do anything..."
This is actually a good thing to know....

Why?

If I ever find out your real name.... we could not be friends....

Why?

Because I couldn't trust **you** around MY children; being that I know your definition of "legal" and such....



After you screaming "child molestation" repetetively for how long now? After claiming that Tommy shouldn't have been working around kids, and bringing this up every single time you entered a thread and found someone trying to post about something else here in the 3ABN forums, and accusing them of not caring about children and protecting and defending a child molester?

How dare you come when I point out the age of consent law rules out child molestation; and try to pretend that the issue is homosexuality, and the issue was the man being a Pastor, when that was NEVER your issue?!?

Sexual assault can occur with those older than the age of consent, I'm not defending that, but it also needs to be proved.

Homosexuality and being a Pastor can be issues for the Church to take care of but are not civil crimes, and don't try to push the homosexuality issue with Inga. (just a friendly heads up)

Posted by: princessdi Jan 30 2007, 11:20 AM

I DO NOT stand by molestation of kids. That is a LIE.

Problem is the age should not be an issue, but you keep making it an issues, so it looks like you are condoning Tommy's behavior as consensual sex if the young man is 17 years of age, as you stated the age of consent in IL. I am sure this technicality would not comfort you if Tommy had been propositioning your 17 year old son.

I stand for calling things by their **right names**, and proving all things. And I stand for the American way. Those who stand accused are innocent till proven guilty and have a right to face their accusers.

You need to give this up, Cindy. You came here asking for "first hand" knowledge you ahve recieved it and still reject it. How much more fist hand can you get than abuse victims? So what exactly is your point? Now you just we people are bashing the Sheltons and 3ABN because they are aksing themt o be accountable for their actions. Get your boy to address the issues at hand and they will go away, not quickly, but the will go.

I also stand for going to the police when there is a criminal offense and pressing charges.

And I stand for following biblical principles in our Church when it comes to taking care of sin, error and any disputes. Those principles do NOT include talebearing or publishing things to those outside the Church.

The problem with this is, the church did not 'handle" the situation at all. GC has seen all the same evidence we have here, and probably more, and yet they are silent. So then everyone is just supposed to act like nothing is going on, because the church does?

If you don't like that? I guess that would be your problem.

Gurl please!

Posted by: Ralph Jan 30 2007, 11:36 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 10:12 AM)

Sexual assault can occur with those older than the age of consent, I'm not defending that, but it also needs to be proved.

What would you accept as proof?

Posted by: awesumtenor Jan 30 2007, 11:48 AM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Jan 30 2007, 12:36 PM)

What would you accept as proof?

History says absolutely nothing; each time she has set the bar for proof... when it was met, she moved the bar...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 11:59 AM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 01:12 PM)

After you screaming "child molestation" repetetively for how long now? After claiming that Tommy shouldn't have been working around kids, and bringing this up every single time you entered a thread and found someone trying to post about something else here in the 3ABN forums, and accusing them of not caring about children and protecting and defending a child molester?

How dare you come when I point out the age of consent law rules out child molestation; and try to pretend that the issue is homosexuality, and the issue was the man being a Pastor, when that was NEVER your issue?!?

Sexual assault can occur with those older then the age of consent, I'm not defending that, but it also needs to be proved.

Homosexuality and being a Pastor can be issues for the Church to take care of but are not civil crimes, and don't try to push the homosexuality issue with Inga. (just a friendly heads up)

I'm not pushing the homosexuality issue. I'm not even pushing the issue of him being a Pastor. **all of that is just icing on the cake....**

What I will continue to push is that the man had sex with a child. Point blank. That is it.

You can post "legal age of consent" all you want. The fact of the matter is the man had sex with a child. Not your child.... so of course it dosen't matter to you...but a child nonetheless.

Now.

Had you not posted anything about "legal age of consent" it wouldn't be viewed as **making excuses as to why it was okay** for the Pastor to have sex with the now known **CHILD!!!**

I can conceed the homosexuality. I can conceed the man being a Pastor and having sex out of marriage..... that **again** is just *icing*.

I will not however conceed that **you, Aletheia** post "legal age of consent" as if that gives it the legal ramifications to make it necessary for a man to have sex with a child. For it to be "okay" with you.

It's not your child/children, grandchildren, or kinfolk right now...but you done put some stank

karma/vibes out there. I don't wish it on you...but what you put out comes back to you. (Remember generational curses in the BIBLE?)

You putting out "legal age of consent" for others....don't be surprised if it visits your own home.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jan 30 2007, 12:09 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 08:35 AM)

If it's a matter of civil law and criminal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?

Excuse me. You have no right to expect anything from me or any of the other victims. All you're doing is trying to cast doubt on the testimonies posted here. You have three written statements online, and I have personally been told by three other guys that the same thing happened to them. It's the truth. It happened. Deal with it.

QUOTE

I'm getting tired of all the questions

YOU'RE getting tired of the questions? That's rich. I'm getting tired of my sexual orientation being questioned. I'm getting tired of whether or not we "consented" being questioned. I'm getting tired of my feelings about Glenn Dryden and how that plays into this being questioned. I'm getting tired of totally irrelevant details (who *really* owns Linda's website, were charges ever filed, etc.) being questioned.

You think you're tired? You don't know the half of it.


Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 30 2007, 12:25 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 30 2007, 11:09 AM)

Excuse me. You have no right to expect anything from me or any of the other victims. All you're doing is trying to cast doubt on the testimonies posted here. You have three written statements online, and I have personally been told by three other guys that the same thing happened to them. It's the truth. It happened. Deal with it.

YOU'RE getting tired of the questions? That's rich. I'm getting tired of my sexual orientation being questioned. I'm getting tired of whether or not we "consented" being questioned. I'm getting tired of my feelings about Glenn Dryden and how that plays into this being questioned. I'm getting tired of totally irrelevant details (who *really* owns Linda's website, were charges ever filed, etc.) being questioned.

You think you're tired? You don't know the half of it.

You said it all, Duane!   box.gi

Posted by: Ralph Jan 30 2007, 01:47 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 07:35 AM) □

If it's a matter of civil law and crimnal behavior, I expect them to go to their local police with their evidence, and file a report, so it can be investigated, or so they can press charges. Isn't that common sense?

Yes, Aletheia, it is common sense. And it appears that you are equating sexual assault with any other kind of assault. If a person was threatened with a knife, both his head and his emotions would direct him to the police station immediately.

BUT

In case of sexual assault, we are dealing with something altogether different. Cognitively, the person knows that this should be reported, but emotionally the person has conflicting feelings. The assaulted person isn't sure whether or not his thinking is correct. He is not certain that he would not be blamed and told that he was just making up stories. Sexuality is so much a part of a person's very being that it is embarrassing to discuss such issues with anyone else.

I hope that you write the way you do because you are naive and not crass. If you were the person at the desk, and I reported sexual assault, I am wondering whether or not you would listen and write up the report while giving me comfort and reassurance.

Maybe it is just because I hear the pain in the voice of so many people that I have no question that they are telling the truth.

Posted by: dewayneholden Jan 30 2007, 02:10 PM


To Whom it May Concern,

I believe this post is bogus, totally made up. Contents deleted.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 30 2007, 02:29 PM

QUOTE

Good gracious...just when I thought this saga couldn't get any worse...the water keeps getting muddier. Now, who are you that we should believe your story?

Exactly!! 

Posted by: Johann Jan 30 2007, 02:44 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Jan 30 2007, 07:12 PM) □

After you screaming
- - -

Cindy, the total contents of your posts is becoming a great help to me - in one direction. They direct me to my Saviour Jesus Christ and I must thank Him that he came to this world to save sinners, sinners just like you and me.

Jesus loves the sinner, but He hates sin. Sin pollutes, and sin pollutes the Church of God, and these issues are polluting the Seventh-day Adventist Church.

Perhaps you don't mean to, but your total writings give me the appearance of defending and perhaps glorifying the sin that pollutes the end-time church which needs cleansing before the Second Coming of our Lord Jesus Christ. Satan knows how to use Scripture and also the SOP, and I fear that you have a tendency to use his methods

By defending wickedness Satan gains an avenue into the Church where he can destroy the people of God and make them fit for perdition.

But don't despair, Cindy, the way to treat this is to kneel before the Cross, discovering that there is a Balm in Gilead. This goes for me, for you, for Danny, for Tommy, and for all. Without this there is no hope for any of us, but in the consecration and acceptance of forgiveness we obtain eternal life.

The Institution that Satan has attacked and tried to keep it a hotbed of wickedness can be cleansed and become a great tool again in saving souls. Let that be our prayer!

Posted by: Ex3ABNViewer Jan 30 2007, 02:53 PM

If 3ABN has Nothing to hide why don't they come here with their real names and tell the truth? According to them....If none of this is true than why hide who you are?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jan 30 2007, 02:56 PM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Jan 30 2007, 02:53 PM) □

If 3ABN has Nothing to hide why don't they come here with their real names and tell the truth? According to them....If none of this is true than why hide who you are?

My point exactly.

Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 02:56 PM

QUOTE(dewayneholden @ Jan 30 2007, 03:10 PM)


To Whom it May Concern,
 ...Would you
 please post the following for me? I don't want to be a member of blacksda
 but...

Nuff said.

Class this is a clear example of what is know on BSDA as:

Late and Wrong

And as such does not deserve quoting but one of the following:



Carry on.

Posted by: watchbird Jan 30 2007, 04:18 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 30 2007, 03:46 PM)

I posted my name here. I have nothing to hide. Apparently you do, or you would identify yourself.

I am not a homosexual. I will not give up preaching. Do you think you can come in here and post stuff like this without identifying yourself, and people will give it any credibility?

To whom did I say Brad Dunning's words were not credible?

This was not written by a former Ezra "member." The Church of God does not have church membership.

So many glaring discrepancies. This couldn't have been written by someone who knows what they're talking about.

I stand by every word I have written. Unless you have been personally affected by this, you have no idea how it feels. I owe NO ONE any explanation of why I didn't "come forward" sooner, especially a coward who won't post their name.

Duane Clem

Thank you very much Duane for your courage under fire, and your calm way of handling this. We know that the attack on you today was typical for the 3abn crowd... as I am sure that you do also. So again I thank you for helping us expose their thought patterns to the world.

Hopefully this will help those who are still fooled by the TV images projected to see the necessity for things needing to be cleaned out at 3abn.

Blessings on you....



Posted by: seraph|m Jan 30 2007, 04:21 PM

QUOTE(watchbird @ Jan 30 2007, 05:18 PM)

Thank you very much Duane for your courage under fire, and your calm way of handling this. We know that the attack on you today was typical for the 3abn crowd... as I am sure that you do also. So again I thank you for helping us expose their thought patterns to the world.

Hopefully this will help those who are still fooled by the TV images projected to see the necessity for things needing to be cleaned out at 3abn.

Blessings on you....



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 30 2007, 04:54 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Jan 30 2007, 01:57 PM)

Topic will be reopened after I have had a chance to reply.

Calvin,

I just had an odd experience. A while after I read dewayneholden's posted remarks I went back to Forum Home and looked at the list of members on the bottom to find his name. When I clicked on it to see if he had made posts elsewhere it took me to Bystander's personal information! What happened?

Posted by: Clay Jan 30 2007, 05:00 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Jan 30 2007, 04:54 PM)

Calvin,

I just had an odd experience. A while after I read dewayneholden's posted remarks I went back to Forum Home and looked at the list of members on the bottom to find his name. When I clicked on it to see if he had made posts elsewhere it took me to Bystander's personal information! What happened?

Calvin posted this in the section at the top of this subforum:

QUOTE

I don't usually make known or give reasons for any action I take to ban members. Because this usually recreates more drama. I am making an exception because the 3ABN threads are such a hotly charged discussion.

I have banned Dewayneholder, wwjd, and Bystander from BSDA. It is highly likely that they are one in the same person. All are using the same IP addresses (meaning same computer). This is a clear violation of our policy for having more than one membership account here.

that might be the reason....

Posted by: Johann Jan 30 2007, 05:07 PM

QUOTE(Ex3ABNViewer @ Jan 30 2007, 10:53 PM)

If 3ABN has Nothing to hide why don't they come here with their real names and tell the truth? According to them....If none of this is true than why hide who you are?

Welcome here, and enjoy yourself. Ask any questions - we have nothing to hide!

Posted by: sonshineonme Jan 30 2007, 05:08 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 30 2007, 03:00 PM)

Calvin posted this in the section at the top of this subforum:
that might be the reason....

Yes, I get the same thing. But, we do know they are one in the same now.



Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 05:09 PM

We get "Bout it, Bout it" in BSDA. Do not play. You will not/cannot/dare not win!



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Jan 30 2007, 05:16 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Jan 30 2007, 04:00 PM)

Calvin posted this in the section at the top of this subforum:
that might be the reason....

Ah, I didn't catch that since I go to the last page of the topic for the updates and not the beginning.

Thanks for the explanation Calvin and Clay.

And, to Bystander/wwjd/dewayneholden(whoever you are) farewell and I will be praying for you brother.

PB

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Jan 30 2007, 05:20 PM

I'll say it again. Desperation is setting in.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Jan 30 2007, 05:24 PM

QUOTE

ex3ABNemployee Posted Today, 06:20 PM
I'll say it again. Desperation is setting in.

Couldn't agree more!!

Posted by: PaperTigers Jan 30 2007, 05:28 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Jan 30 2007, 07:24 PM)

Couldn't agree more!!

Give a person enough rope and they will eventually hang themselves.

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Jan 30 2007, 07:29 PM

QUOTE(Ralph @ Jan 30 2007, 12:36 PM)

What would you accept as proof?

That is the questions, isn't it. I have a feeling we all know the answer.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Jan 30 2007, 08:06 PM

QUOTE(PaperTigers @ Jan 30 2007, 07:28 PM)

Give a person enough rope and they will eventually hang themselves.

In the end.... sho will!



Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 12:09 AM


QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 31 2007, 01:20 AM)

I'll say it again. Desperation is setting in.

Back in 2004 Danny claimed that his dealings with this issue is spiritual warfare.


Evil spirits at war!

Posted by: princessdi Jan 31 2007, 12:15 AM



I love this post!


QUOTE(seraph|m @ Jan 30 2007, 12:56 PM)

Nuff said. 

Class this is a clear example of what is know on BSDA as:

Late and Wrong



And as such does not deserve quoting but one of the following:



Carry on.


Posted by: Johann Jan 31 2007, 12:21 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Jan 31 2007, 08:15 AM)


  I love this post!

An excellent sample  of practical art!

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Jan 31 2007, 06:20 AM

I've said before I love those smilies but some need sound to go with them. But not the the guillotine 

QUOTE(Johann @ Jan 31 2007, 01:21 AM)

An excellent sample  of practical art!

Posted by: Bystander Feb 4 2007, 09:55 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Jan 30 2007, 12:59 AM)

I asked these questions and still haven't gotten answers. These questions WON'T go away until I do.

Aletheia: What did you mean by this question?

Bystander: What did you mean by this reponse to Aletheia's question? I don't think it could be any plainer. People on this forum kept saying, innocent children, and Parents watch your children. Your age changed the apparent idea of a child being forced to do something by physical force. An older age would not qualify for the "rape" of little children as has been stated here.

Bystander: What did you mean by this comment? As to your other question, I believe that some of the letter writers have some hidden agenda's. I did not say you did, nor did I say you didn't. I have some opinions about certain things the same as you. I am not required to disclose anything and neither are you.

To refuse to provide these answers brings your credibility into serious doubt. We're waiting.....
[color=#CC0000] And just for the record, whether I choose to answer your particular questions or

not does not make me credible or not credible. That would be my decision. You have the same options.

Duane Clem

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 4 2007, 10:05 PM

Whether 12 or 17 - still a child; still married; still a pastor.

Still molestation/rape.

That is all.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 4 2007, 11:57 PM

QUOTE

I am not required to disclose anything and neither are you.

But I'm man enough to sign my name.

Duane Clem

Posted by: Voktar of Zargon Feb 11 2007, 09:09 AM

Has anyone noticed that Danny and Bystander both use the unique misspelling (sp?) of the abbreviation for the latin expression "et cetera"? It should be etc. instead of ect. Here is a copy of part of Danny's letter on the latest save3abn post and from one of Bystanders posts. Has Danny been identifried (sp?)

Voktar

"I have talked to church leaders who tell me that Gailon Joy could not be Christian. They judge him by his fruits, his emails he sends them. He is not making an impact on responsible SDA's for the good. Quite the contrary. To the spiritually discerning Christian, it is easy to read Gailon's arrogance, his pride, his hatred towards me and any who oppose his views. In the long run, I'm predicting that he will be no help to Linda's cause. I shocked that you as a pastor, if you are one, would rally behind someone with so un Christian of a spirit. It seems it would be easy for you to see. Most people I have talked to that he has sent emails to them, say things like 'This guy is a nut'. Some have said he is obviously led by the Devil, ect, ect."

Another newbie critical of BSDA
Handling of the 3ABN threads

Jan 7 2007, 02:47 PM

Do they have special credentials that I am ignorant of. I have seen even legal topics explained and assessed and told what this or that means in that instance like we were children and they were law professors. I have read continually their opinions on something they have read or heard and then stated as fact. As in, " Joe didn't answer Mary's question so obviously this means that Joe is trying to hide the fact that he did ect. ect... My second question would be " are we as a people that hungry for gossip and dirt that we would spend hours on end not only reading this drivel but

adding our little 2 cent comments as to the guilt or innocence of people that we have never met and know nothing about except for the pictures that have been painted here. Worse than our comments is our judgements. We are making judgements here that are destroying people, families, and a world wide ministry on gossip, discussions and heresay by people that have no more power or authority that you or I.

Posted by: Clay Feb 11 2007, 10:09 AM

hey V of Z.... yeah we noticed, and yeah we probably figure that there are several people using those screennames... no biggie though... their words reveal their heart...

Posted by: erik Feb 11 2007, 10:17 AM

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon @ Feb 11 2007, 07:09 AM)

Has anyone noticed that Danny and Bystander both use the unique misspelling (sp?) of the abbreviation for the latin expression "et cetera"? It should be etc. instead of ect. Here is a copy of part of Danny's letter on the latest save3abn post and from one of Bystanders posts. Has Danny been identifried (sp?)

Voktar

"I have talked to church leaders who tell me that Gailon Joy could not be Christian. They judge him by his fruits, his emails he sends them. He is not making an impact on responsible SDA's for the good. Quite the contrary. To the spiritually discerning Christian, it is easy to read Gailon's arrogance, his pride, his hatred towards me and any who oppose his views. In the long run, I'm predicting that he will be no help to Linda's cause. I shocked that you as a pastor, if you are one, would rally behind someone with so un Christian of a spirit. It seems it would be easy for you to see. Most people I have talked to that he has sent emails to them, say things like 'This guy is a nut'. Some have said he is obviously led by the Devil, ect, ect."

Another newbie critical of BSDA

Handling of the 3ABN threads

Jan 7 2007, 02:47 PM

Do they have special credentials that I am ignorant of. I have seen even legal topics explained and assessed and told what this or that means in that instance like we were children and they were law professors. I have read continually their opinions on something they have read or heard and then stated as fact. As in, " Joe didn't answer Mary's question so obviously this means that Joe is trying to hide the fact that he did ect. ect... My second question would be " are we as a people that hungry for gossip and dirt that we would spend hours on end not only reading this drivel but adding our little 2 cent comments as to the guilt or innocence of people that we have never met and know nothing about except for the pictures that have been painted here. Worse than our comments is our judgements. We are making judgements here that are destroying people, families, and a world wide ministry on gossip, discussions and heresay by people that have no more power or authority that you or I.

V of Z,


That is very funny, Kind of makes you just do this rofl ,

Erik


Posted by: Grace Feb 11 2007, 10:32 AM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 11 2007, 05:17 PM)

V of Z,

That is very funny, Kind of makes you just do this ,

Erik

It's funny indeed! Thanks for providing us with a good laugh, V of Z! 

Posted by: Clay Feb 11 2007, 11:06 AM

Well Watchman I would say you are doing better, but I can't tell....

Proverbs 12:16 Losing your temper is foolish; ignoring an insult is smart.

you have a great day....

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 11 2007, 11:01 AM)

There is nothing Christlike about this website. Not by the sensuous postings in the sidebars of some of the scantily-clad vainglorious, neither by the reprehensible "guillotines" by the mockers, (Psalms 1:1 Amplified reads "Blessed is the man who does not sit down to relax where the scornful and the mockers gather."), nor by the guile found in the mouths of the accusers. However guilty Sabn may or may not be, the guilt of those people defending this website, and professing to be Christians yet - is far greater.

Jude 9-13 snip.....

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 11 2007, 12:31 PM

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon @ Feb 11 2007, 08:09 AM) □

Has anyone noticed that Danny and Bystander both use the unique misspelling (sp?) of the abbreviation for the latin expression "et cetera"? It should be etc. instead of ect. Here is a copy of part of Danny's letter on the latest save3abn post and from one of Bystanders posts. Has Danny been identified (sp?)

Voktar

"I have talked to church leaders who tell me that Gailon Joy could not be Christian. They judge him by his fruits, his emails he sends them. He is not making an impact on responsible SDA's for the good. Quite the contrary. To the spiritually discerning Christian, it is easy to read Gailon's arrogance, his pride, his hatred towards me and any who oppose his views. In the long run, I'm predicting that he will be no help to Linda's cause. I shocked that you as a pastor, if you are one, would rally behind someone with so un Christian of a spirit. It seems it would be easy for you to see. Most people I have talked to that he has sent emails to them, say things like 'This guy is a nut'. Some have said he is obviously led by the Devil, ect, ect."

Another newbie critical of BSDA
Handling of the 3ABN threads

Jan 7 2007, 02:47 PM

Do they have special credentials that I am ignorant of. I have seen even legal topics explained and assessed and told what this or that means in that instance like we were children and they were law professors. I have read continually their opinions on something they have read or heard and then stated as fact. As in, " Joe didn't answer Mary's question so obviously this means that Joe is trying to hide the fact that he did ect. ect... My second question would be " are we as a people that hungry for gossip and dirt that we would spend hours on end not only reading this drivel but adding our little 2 cent comments as to the guilt or innocence of people that we have never met and know nothing about except for the pictures that have been painted here. Worse than our comments is our judgements. We are making judgements here that are destroying people, families, and a world wide ministry on gossip, discussions and heresay by people that have no more power or authority that you or I.

VofZ,

It's good to see that others are paying attention! Yes, I've been following the ect. ect. trail since Bystander began posting here. I had seen Danny using the same misspelling in his emails. Then, when wvjd joined us he used the same ect. spelling. I was quite taken aback when I found Glenetta using recently as well!

It will be interesting, now that the grammatical cat is out of the bag, to see how they adjust their postings.

Posted by: Watchman Feb 11 2007, 02:38 PM

I am not angry, rather pained that the cause of God is being so carelessly bantered about internationally. There is a falling away coming. The man of sin will sit in the temple of God. 2 Thess 2:3,4. Is this website part of it? Are the ideologies of Satan sitting in the minds of the people here? Are we not told that we are the temple of God? These are spiritual applications for those who can see. Regarding driving out the money changers--JESUS viz GOD was the One who drove them out. If you read the postings under THE JUDGMENT the texts of scripture are worth noting. Further, to believe that only SDA's are reading this website is naive. Over 100 years ago The Great Controversy was written. We are in a war. During time of war the enemy infiltrates the

camp, even as the 12 spies did Canaan. Why would we not believe that we too have spies in our midst from the other side, stirring up the unsuspecting people of God, to disgrace our God? It is well known even in times of revolution professional hecklers are hired to incite the common people. The tactics of Satan do not change. Be on guard. Sleep not as do others. The arguments in defense of the clever ones supporting this website are very smooth; but do they by their fruit line up with the Word of God? Unequivocally No. Consistency is a jewel. Satan mixes truth with error. We are living in fearful times. Even the secular media are talking about doomsday. Are we being so distracted that we are failing to make preparation for our own souls? The inspired writer has said that the latter rain will be falling all around us and we will know it not, because it is not falling on us. Oh solemn thought! We are also told that the time of trouble will be so dreadful that the insane man will become instantly sane. Are we prepared to say that we will never deny the name of Christ? Then, are we denying Him in ANY way in our own personal lives today? It is the little foxes that spoil the vines. Note Prov. 21:2 "Every way of a man is right in his own eyes: but the LORD pondereth the heart." Compare 1 Cor. 19:12 "Wherefore let him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest he fall." You may be aware that on June 27 to July 7 OPERATION GLOBAL RAIN is taking place. For more information email operationglobalrain777@msn.com This is a call to a worldwide time of fasting and prayer for the outpouring of the latter rain. They are seeking another Pentecost. Is your church in it? It should be. As for 3abn. Leave them to God. As with the posters on this website, Ecc. 12:14 applies. "For God shall bring every work into judgment, with every secret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil." Amen

Posted by: inga Feb 11 2007, 03:28 PM

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 11 2007, 03:38 PM)

As for 3abn. Leave them to God. As with the posters on this website, Ecc. 12:14 applies. "For God shall bring every work into judgment, with every secret thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil." Amen

Unfortunately for your reputation as a knowledgeable Bible student, the Bible makes clear that we do have some responsibility for supporting folks who are bringing disrepute on the cause of God. We *do* have a responsibility to "judge" our own. As Paul points out, the faithful will one day judge angels.

You appear to be suggesting that those who know about Tommy's sexual molestation should do nothing about it. Am I interpreting your words correctly?

You also seem to imply that those who see evidence of Danny's blatant misuse of funds (and I'm *not* referring the plane, WWJD) should do nothing about it. Again, am I correct?


Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 11 2007, 03:42 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 5 2007, 12:05 AM)

Whether 12 or 17 - still a child; still married; still a pastor.

Still molestation/rape.

That is all.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 11 2007, 06:26 PM

Watchman, where do you find this statement?

QUOTE

We are also told that the time of trouble will be so dreadful that the insane man will become instantly sane.

PB

Posted by: Watchman Feb 11 2007, 09:45 PM

It was not intended to be an exact quote, but since it was a startling statement, thank you for bringing this to my attention. The exact quote is startling enough on its own, given ones understanding of the use of language in the era in which it was penned. The following statement is from the book: MARANATHA -(1976) Ch.251

"A Little Time of Peace"

"For when they shall say, Peace and safety; then sudden destruction cometh upon them, as travail upon a woman with child; and they shall not escape." 1 Thess. 5:3

"While the work of salvation is closing, trouble will be coming on the earth, and the nations will be angry, yet held in check so as not to prevent the work of the third angel. At that time the "latter rain," or refreshing from the presence of the Lord, will come, to give power to the loud voice of the third angel, and prepare the saints to stand in the period when the seven last plagues shall be poured out.

"I was shown the inhabitants of the earth in the utmost confusion. War, bloodshed, privation, want, famine, and pestilence were abroad in the land. As these things surrounded God's people, they began to press together, and to cast aside their little difficulties. Self-dignity no longer controlled them; deep humility took its place. SUFFERING, PERPLEXITY, AND PRIVATION CAUSED REASON TO RESUME ITS THRONE, AND THE PASSIONATE AND UNREASONABLE MAN BECAME SANE, AND ACTED WITH DISCRETION AND WISDOM" (This is what I referred to.)

"Angels are now restraining the winds of strife, until the world shall be warned of its coming doom; but a storm is gathering, ready to burst upon the earth, and when God shall bid His angels loose the winds, there will be such a scene of strife as no pen can picture....A moment of respite has been graciously given us of God. Every power lent us of heaven is to be used in doing the work assigned us by the Lord for those who are perishing in ignorance."

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 11 2007, 10:31 PM

Watchman,

Yes this is a startling quote from the pen of SOP. Those of us who want to be among those able to stand through this time certainly must make our connection with the Lord top priority.

I really do want to know from you what you would do if you found out that numerous young men/boys had been sexually abused, betrayed by a pastor they loved and trusted. Would you speak out for these young people? Would you do everything in your power to see that no more young people would be abused by this individual?

What would you do if you found out, through the testimonies of these alleged victims, that this

pastor's younger brother, who is the president of a world wide Christian ministry, was doing all he could to keep the allegations secret instead of making his brother accept responsibility for the devastating behavior and keeping more youth from being victimized?

What would you do if you found out that instead of calling for his brother to make Biblical restitution to these alleged victims this ministry leader instead made calls to threaten victims into silence and even had ministry lawyers write letters to intimidate them?

Would hold up your hands, turn away and let God deal with it in his own time?

I have read the words of our Savior: "For in as much as ye have done it to the least of these my brethren, ye have done it unto Me."

PB

Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 11:21 PM

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon @ Feb 11 2007, 09:09 AM)

Has anyone noticed that Danny and Bystander both use the unique misspelling (sp?) of the abbreviation for the latin expression "et cetera"? It should be etc. instead of ect. Here is a copy of part of Danny's letter on the latest save3abn post and from one of Bystanders posts. Has Danny been identifried (sp?)
Voktar

Wow, huge coincidence, I bet no one in the whole world does that except those 2. Kind of like sister and princess Di's little "that dog don't hunt" phrases.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 11 2007, 10:09 AM)

hey V of Z.... yeah we noticed, and yeah we probably figure that there are several people using those screennames... no biggie though... their words reveal their heart....

Relax. Bystander is not Danny and Danny is not bystander. Only one person has ever posted under bystander and it is not he.....

Posted by: Watchman Feb 12 2007, 01:11 AM

Dear PB: "Quoting from the inspired writings January 25, 1883 entitled Christian Unity "Contentions, strife and lawsuits between brethren are a disgrace to the cause of truth. (I personally add once more that two wrongs do not make one right). Those who take such a course EXPOSE the church to the ridicule of her enemies, and cause the powers of darkness to triumph. They are piercing the wounds of Christ afresh, and putting Him to an open shame. By ignoring the authority of the church, they show contempt for God, who gave to the church its authority....Paul...greatly desired that the false teachers might be separated from the church, but their influence had affected so many of the believers that it seemed hazardous to take action against them. There was danger of causing strife and division which would be RUINOUS to the spiritual interests of the church. He therefore sought to impress upon his brethren the importance

of trying to help one another in love.....A house divided against itself cannot stand. When Christians contend, Satan COMES IN TO TAKE CONTROL. How often has he succeeded in destroying the peace and harmony of churches....Paul charged his brethren to beware lest in trying to correct the faults of others, they should commit sins equally great themselves. He warns them that hatred, emulation, wrath, strife, seditions, heresies, and envyings are as truly the works of the flesh as are LASCIVIOUSNESS, ADULTERY, drunkenness, MURDER, and will as surely CLOSE THE GATE OF HEAVEN AGAINST THE GUILTY. Whoever...by a wrong example misleads a disciple of Christ, is guilty of a great sin. Whoever would make him an object of slander or ridicule is INSULTING JESUS. Our Saviour marks every wrong done to His followers." End of quote. MY COMMENT IS THIS: Jesus does not have double standards. He said He is no respecter of persons. Sin is sin. The greatest sin taking place here, if I am to understand the aforementioned quotation is the sin of flinging the cause and therefore honour, of God into the dust,-- and putting Christ to OPEN--I MEAN--WORLDWIDE--SHAME, with all this disgraceful laundry hanging out on this sullied website. If people would humble themselves and band together, weeping and praying, and yes, LOVING the souls of the sinners in Zion--they would repent. Of course, that would take sacrifice.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 12 2007, 01:20 AM

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 12 2007, 02:11 AM)

Dear PB: "Quoting from the inspired writings January 25, 1883 entitled Christian Unity



Posted by: Observer Feb 12 2007, 07:04 AM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 11 2007, 05:26 PM)

Watchman, where do you find this statement?
PB

That statement may be found in 1T 268 para. 2.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 12 2007, 08:46 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 12 2007, 12:21 AM)

Relax. Bystander is not Danny and Danny is not bystander. Only one person has ever posted under bystander and it is not he.....

You mean only one *other* person has ever posted under bystander; by your own admission you have... unless, of course, you and bystander are, in fact, one in the same person... but that would

make what you told Calvin to get back in here a lie, n'est-ce pas?

Freudian slip, peut-etre?

I believe that would be the rock to your left and the hard place to your right...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Voktar of Zargon Feb 12 2007, 09:43 AM


Perhaps another Freudian slip would be the use of the same distinctive misspelling ECT by 3 of the apologists. (are they one in the same, or do they just all conform to Danny's bad spelling?). Perhaps their subconscious is telling them they are in need of ECT (electro convulsive therapy) for vigorously trying to defend accused molesters (pl.) - instead of putting them both on formal leave until these matters are sorted out.
Voktar

Posted by: Voktar of Zargon Feb 12 2007, 10:17 AM

Of course fear of injury to the "ministry" (bottom-line?) supercedes propriety. Shades of the Catholic scandal. ECT (electro Catholic therapy?).

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 12 2007, 10:23 AM

Oh my, this is sounding more and more like Edgar J. Bergen and Charlie McCarthy.



Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 12 2007, 10:32 AM

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 12 2007, 12:11 AM) □

Dear PB: "Quoting from the inspired writings January 25, 1883 entitled Christian Unity "Contentions, strife and lawsuits between brethren are a disgrace to the cause of truth. (I personally add once more that two wrongs do not make one right). Those who take such a course EXPOSE the church to the ridicule of her enemies, and cause the powers of darkness to triumph. They are piercing the wounds of Christ afresh, and putting Him to an open shame. By ignoring the authority of the church, they show contempt for God, who gave to the church its authority....Paul...greatly desired that the false teachers might be separated from the church, but their influence had affected so many of the believers that it seemed hazardous to take action against them. There was danger of causing strife and division which would be RUINOUS to the spiritual interests of the church. He therefore sought to impress upon his brethren the importance of trying to help one another in love.....A house divided against itself cannot stand. When Christians

contend, Satan COMES IN TO TAKE CONTROL. How often has he succeeded in destroying the peace and harmony of churches....Paul charged his brethren to beware lest in trying to correct the faults of others, they should commit sins equally great themselves. He warns them that hatred, emulation, wrath, strife, seditions, heresies, and envyings are as truly the works of the flesh as are LASCIVIOUSNESS, ADULTERY, drunkenness, MURDER, and will as surely CLOSE THE GATE OF HEAVEN AGAINST THE GUILTY. Whoever...by a wrong example misleads a disciple of Christ, is guilty of a great sin. Whoever would make him an object of slander or ridicule is INSULTING JESUS. Our Saviour marks every wrong done to His followers." End of quote. MY COMMENT IS THIS: Jesus does not have double standards. He said He is no respecter of persons. Sin is sin. The greatest sin taking place here, if I am to understand the aforementioned quotation is the sin of flinging the cause and therefore honour, of God into the dust,-- and putting Christ to OPEN--I MEAN--WORLDWIDE--SHAME, with all this disgraceful laundry hanging out on this sullied website. If people would humble themselves and band together, weeping and praying, and yes, LOVING the souls of the sinners in Zion--they would repent. Of course, that would take sacrifice.

Watchman,

While I always feel edified after reading quotes from Ellen White I really don't see this as an answer to my questions in my post #62. Of course if you have determined that all of the people on this site are guilty of spreading "hatred, emulation, wrath, strife, seditions, heresies, and envyings" I believe your judgement is faulty.

Let's create a hypothetical situation for a moment. If you discovered that a brother of yours was molesting young people what would you do? Would you humble yourself, band together, weep and pray and love the souls of the sinners in Zion and expect that would solve the problem and cause your brother to repent? Would you explain this to your brother's victims and his future victims so that they would see the wisdom of your actions? Would you threaten to take the victims to court if they tried to tell about the abuse?

If this is what you would do, wouldn't you be just as guilty of the abuse of these young people as your brother? There are plenty of scriptures and counsels from SOP that say so.

It is unfortunate that the "alleged" abuse by Tommy Shelton was not handled properly in the beginning. How many young men would have avoided becoming victims? How much better it would have been if, instead of using his power and position to threaten Tommy's alleged victims, Danny Shelton and other family members had done the lawful and Christian thing and demanded accountability from this brother. If the problem had been handled properly in the beginning, many of the people on this forum would not be here. I know I wouldn't be. So, who is it that is responsible for worldwide shame?

I just have to wonder what the Lord, the angels and the people on the unfallen worlds are thinking about a ministry leader that would protect the reputation of his brother by denial, cover-up and intimidation at the expense of the alleged victims of his brother's heinous behavior.

QUOTE(Voktar of Zargon @ Feb 12 2007, 08:43 AM)

Perhaps another Freudian slip would be the use of the same distinctive misspelling ECT by 3 of the apologists. (are they one in the same, or do they just all conform to Danny's bad spelling?). Perhaps their subconscious is telling them they are in need of ECT (electro convulsive therapy) for vigorously trying to defend accused molesters (pl.) - instead of putting them both on formal leave until these matters are sorted out.

Voktar

There are several possibilities:

1. All are one and the same person (Danny, Bystander, wwjd and even glenetta).

2. All are from the same extended family.
3. All had the same English teacher in school.

I lean towards the second possibility.

Someday, when I have some extra time, I plan on going through Joe Smith and Kaiser Schlabotnick's posts to see if the common thread appears in them as well. Someday.

PB

Posted by: inga Feb 12 2007, 11:58 AM

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 11 2007, 03:38 PM)

We are in a war. During time of war the enemy infiltrates the camp, even as the 12 spies did Canaan. Why would we not believe that we too have spies in our midst from the other side, stirring up the unsuspecting people of God, to disgrace our God?

Right on, Watchman!

That's what we're concerned about! Don't you think it's better that folks be alerted to who the infiltrators are -- folks who take vilify their ex-spouses whom they divorced for frivolous reasons, protect a child molester and intimidate his victims **and** bring aberrant doctrines into the church?

Maybe if you'd pay attention to the posts instead of pasting in long passages of Scripture or SOP, you'd figure out who the infiltrators really are.

(By the way, I suspect we all own Bibles, and many of us can copy and paste as well as you from digital version of the Bible and the SOP.)

Posted by: lookin4truth Feb 12 2007, 02:47 PM

QUOTE(Watchman @ Feb 11 2007, 03:38 PM)

During time of war the enemy infiltrates the camp, even as the 12 spies did Canaan.

Watchman, you may note that the spies, who infiltrated, were the children of Israel, **infiltrating the enemy.**

If 10 of those spies would have used the information they found correctly, Israel would have entered the promised land and overthrown the enemy.

It was because those who had the information were afraid, the enemy won, and the Israelites spent 40 years wandering.

Only 2 spies were willing to speak up. Even though the majority wanted to listen to the 10. those two entered the promised land, while the others died in the wilderness.

Sometimes we must take aggressive action to expose the enemy.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 12 2007, 05:15 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Feb 12 2007, 01:47 PM) □

Watchman, you may note that the spies, who infiltrated, were the children of Israel, **infiltrating the enemy.**

If 10 of those spies would have used the information they found correctly, Israel would have entered the promised land and overthrown the enemy.

It was because those who had the information were afraid, the enemy won, and the Israelites spent 40 years wandering.

Only 2 spies were willing to speak up. Even though the majority wanted to listen to the 10. those two entered the promised land, while the others died in the wilderness.

Sometimes we must take aggressive action to expose the enemy.

Right on the mark, lookin4truth!

PB

Posted by: PaperTigers Feb 12 2007, 08:50 PM

QUOTE(lookin4truth @ Feb 12 2007, 04:47 PM) □

Watchman, you may note that the spies, who infiltrated, were the children of Israel, **infiltrating the enemy.**


If 10 of those spies would have used the information they found correctly, Israel would have entered the promised land and overthrown the enemy.

It was because those who had the information were afraid, the enemy won, and the Israelites spent 40 years wandering.

Only 2 spies were willing to speak up. Even though the majority wanted to listen to the 10. those two entered the promised land, while the others died in the wilderness.

Sometimes we must take aggressive action to expose the enemy.

right on the mark



© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)