

Printable Version of Topic

Click here to view this topic in its original format

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Old- (see New Thread)

Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 23 2007, 12:57 PM

This thread started in order to summarize a topic, but was quickly pulled off topic. Please see the new thread. Sorry for the inconvenience.

Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 01:09 PM

thank you for summarizing this information....

Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 01:29 PM

QUOTE(Whtz Happenin @ Feb 23 2007, 12:57 PM)

I

g. Total number of alleged victims may be 20, as low as 14 or as high as an unknown number.

Wow, with those kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over that many years, you would think at least 1 person could have proved something, wouldn't you. Also, if it so obvious.

Wow, with those kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over that many years, you would think at least 1 person could have proved something, wouldn't you. Also, if it so obvious, and so many problems, LS would have had to have known all about it. Why did she approach him about helping her write songs? Which they did. Why did she hire him in her department after he moved back from dunloring and why did she travel and sing with him up until she left 3abn? Don't waste anyones time on "her husband must have forced her". I am sure DS could have cared less if she wanted to have TS write songs with her. That was her choice.

Be real people, if Ds is to be held accountable for what he did or did not know, LS would have to face the exact same accountability. You either need to make a public call for her accountability or drop

Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 01:29 PM)

your accusations with DS about what he did or did not know at what time.


Wow, with those kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over that many years, you would think at least 1 person could have proved something, wouldn't you. Also, if it so obvious, and so many problems, LS would have had to have known all about it. Why did she approach him about helping her write songs? Which they did. Why did she hire him in her department after he moved back from dunloring and why did she travel and sing with him up until she left 3abn? Don't waste anyones time on "her husband must have forced her". I am sure DS could have cared less if she wanted to have TS write songs with her. That was her choice. Be real people, if Ds is to be held accountable for what he did or did not know, LS would have to face the exact same accountability. You either need to make a public call for her accountability or drop your accusations with DS about what he did or did not know at what time.

spreading the blame around now is that what you are suggesting bystander? Not enough that you stand by and do nothing, but you wish to hold both Danny and Linda responsible for the molestation perpetrated by Tommy? you sir have gall and the nerve to make the inference that because it cannot be proven it must not have happened
Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 01:38 PM
QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 01:33 PM)
spreading the blame around now is that what you are suggesting bystander? Not enough that you stand by and do nothing, but you wish to hold both Danny and Linda responsible for the molestation perpetrated by Tommy? you sir have gall and the nerve to make the inference that because it cannot be proven it must not have happened
Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right.
Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 01:43 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 01:38 PM)
Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right.
I was talking to you and I don't have to give you any reason in fact your logic is flawed and all one has to do is read a few of your comments to see what you are doing dannyscribing thats all it amounts too the only thing I do know about you, and its not that you are right, is that you are committed to danny come hell or high water that you will do all you can to divert people from the real issues and the truth that if we say the sky is blue you will suggest its green
Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 23 2007, 01:51 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 02:29 PM)
Clang, Clang, Clang

Way x off
This topic has NOTHING to do with Danny or Linda. If you have any information to contribute to this topic, please do so. Otherwise please stay on topic.
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 02:38 PM)
wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right.
Again This belongs in the comedy section.
Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 01:52 PM
QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 01:43 PM)
I was talking to you and I don't have to give you any reason in fact your logic is flawed and all one has to do is read a few of your comments to see what you are doing dannyscribing thats all it amounts too the only thing I do know about you, and its not that you are right, is that you are committed to danny come hell or high water that you will do all you can to divert people from the real issues and the truth that if we say the sky is blue you will suggest its green
I knew you couldn't give me one good reason [디
Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 01:57 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 01:52 PM)
I knew you couldn't give me one good reason [-
didn't have to just making people aware that you are trying to hijack a thread
Posted by: Fran Feb 23 2007, 01:58 PM
wrong thread
Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 23 2007, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(Fran @ Feb 23 2007, 03:58 PM) 🗌
wrong thread
Now that is a much nicer inside voice from the initial form of this post. The original form seemed to be about Linda Worship.
FHB
Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 02:23 PM
QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 01:57 PM)
didn't have to just making people aware that you are trying to hijack a thread
Vell by all means, move to one you think more appropriate and give me a reason.
Posted by: Clay Feb 23 2007, 02:47 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 02:23 PM)
Well by all means, move to one you think more appropriate and give me a reason.

let's see I already told you that you are full of untruths.... as as my mother would say, why ask the truth from a person who is full of untruths?



Posted by: Bystander Feb 23 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 23 2007, 02:47 PM) 🗌
let's see I already told you that you are full of untruths as as my mother would say, why ask th truth from a person who is full of untruths?
If only you would address the question instead of name calling. You don't because you can't be
are too prideful to admit you can't. It is actually a simple questions but you have made several pos full of junk to avoid the answer. Ok, well, I tried, I'm bored now.
Posted by: lookin4truth Feb 23 2007, 02:59 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 02:29 PM)
Wow, with those kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over that many years you would think at least 1 person could have proved something, wouldn't you. Also, if it so obvious and so many problems, LS would have had to have known all about it. Why did she approach him about helping her write songs? Which they did. Why did she hire him in her department after he moved back from dunloring and why did she travel and sing with him up until she left 3abn? Don't waste anyones time on "her husband must have forced her". I am sure DS could have cared less if she wanted to have TS write songs with her. That was her choice. Be real people, if Ds is to be held accountable for what he did or did not know, LS would have to face the exact same accountability. You either need to make a public call for her accountability or drop your accusations with DS about what he did or did not know at what time.
Once again, Bystander doesn't offer any defense for Tommy. Instead he tries to change the subject to other people, and hijack the thread to take the attention off of the crimes committed by Tommy. Posted by: seraph m Feb 23 2007, 04:24 PM
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 02:29 PM)
Wow, with those kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over that many years you would think at least 1 person could have proved something, wouldn't you
QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 05:54 PM)
This email was forwarded to me with permission to post it. I will do so.
3ABN income is public record. I guess anyone can get them. The question should be asked, Why

did Linda make more money than Danny when she was working there. She was only Vice President. She should be making less. Did it ever occur to anyone that she felt she deserved more than the President. A very inflated view of one's self I would think.

- 1.. Clang, clang, clang...
- 2.. The figures represented show his benefits except Health Insurance even including several thousand dollars that he pays annually for use of a company car that shows up on his income tax as a benefit thus raising the bottom line of his total income a year. Also, in 2004 and 2005 he chose to work through much of his vacation time and was paid for it, which also shows up on the bottom line of total salary.
- 3.. Records show Yada, wah, blah, blah, blah
- 4.. Blay, blah, yada, clang (notice the enclosed documents as proof! (I have a copy of the documents but can't seem to scan them on. I will try again later.) Bystander
- ... Clang, clang, clang
- Yada yada
- 6.. Blah blah blah...
- 7.. Clang, clang...
- 8..Yada yada...
- 9.. Blah blah blah...
- 10.. Clang, clang, yada, blah, blah...

Fact: Linda handled the biggest part of the finances. 3abn has access to thousands and thousands of checks written over the years for bills, groceries, purchases, utilities, ect ect in Linda's handwriting and Linda's signature

Fact: Danny does not give himself raises. Only the 3ABN board of Directors can give him a raise.

Fact: While Danny and Linda were married and they had two incomes to pay the bills, it has already been noticed that Linda chose to make more than Danny. The 3ABN board has never voted for Linda to make more money than Danny as President. As minutes to 3ABN board meeting will reflect Danny refused cost of living raises that all salaried employees get each year. Linda continued to take her money. This is how she went from making her salary of several thousand dollars a year less than Danny to making several thousands of of dollars a year more than Danny.

OK, Bystander, now you claim DS did not take his cost of living increases correct? So the next logical step, in this fact finding mission, is that DS did make more money than LS from the start, right? Now the logical progression is that her income will increase gradually. However, that does not prove that she ended up making more money than DS, it only means she was making more than she had been previously. Now, Bystander, according to your logic, because LS continued to take her cost of living increases which she had every legal right to do, and she may have started making more money than him, which you have not given any verifiable proof of btw , LS is at fault due to the fact that he did not take his cost of living increases? Do you smell the mess you are trying to ask us to swallow? We do.

QUOTE

Fact; Danny has never faulted her for taking what the board had voted for her to take. He just didn't feel that they needed the total amount awarded by the board for salaries.

roiks don't waste	your time trying to tear this up, this has all been verified.
	make a public call for accountability or drop your accusations with DS about not know at what time.
nteresting to note to ncreases, yet you docuents you can't	above that you could not scan the documents you claim to have? And, it is that, based on your claims, DS did not fault her for taking her cost of living obviously do. Why is that? Again, where is ALL this verified. Oh yes, in the scan. Or, is it possible an imaginary dog ate your homework?
On and this is all	verified based on what, YOUR word?
Bystander you have	the nerve to say to Whtz Happenin:
QUOTE	
Wow, with thos that many year wouldn't you.	e kinds of numbers (that you "heard" about and "estimated") over s, you would think at least 1 person could have proved something,
Knowing you said th	nis:
QUOTE	
	osed documents as proof! (I have a copy of the documents but scan them on. I will try again later.) Bystander
Lev 19:35 Ye shal measure. Mat 7:2 For with v	g of "accountability" this is what the word of God says: I do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye neasured to you again.
Lev 19:35 Ye shal measure. Mat 7:2 For with v mete, it shall be n	I do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye
Lev 19:35 Ye shal measure. Mat 7:2 For with with with the mete, it shall be now the mat 12:37 For by	I do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye neasured to you again. thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be
Lev 19:35 Ye shal measure. Mat 7:2 For with wete, it shall be no Mat 12:37 For by condemned. Let them that hat	I do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye neasured to you again. thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be
Lev 19:35 Ye shal measure. Mat 7:2 For with wete, it shall be no Mat 12:37 For by condemned. Let them that hat Posted by: Peace	I do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye neasured to you again. thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be

Bystander, when you are posting on an open forum you are "talking" to all of us, even when you are directing your post to someone else. If you seek privacy in your dialogue, PM.

If Tommy is guilty, he is accountable. If Danny knew, he was accountable. If Linda knew, she was accountable. If you knew, you are accountable. If wwjd knew, he is accountable. If the BoD knew, they are accountable.

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 06:55 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 06:18 PM)

Whtz Happenin,

This is a great start! It looks like you put a lot of time into this. Thank you! It will be interesting how the time line evolves, now, as it continues to be fleshed out.

PB

Fact: It amounts to a bunch of accusations, and very little facts and evidence PB.

When the time of trouble comes, God's people will be convicted and found guilty and sentenced to death this same way, no doubt you'll read it all and think, well that's a great start, if a majority claims it's true, it must be???

Am I proclaiming, T.S. innocent or guilty? No!

I'm simply saying, you are all in a rush to judgment and have condemned a man already without "proving all things", and that brings shame on the people proclaiming God's name and professing to be part of the remnant Church.

Don't bother with the I don't judge, protests P.B. They are weak and tired... I know, You have said over and over " I would like to see Tommy proved innocent" thinking apparently that it makes you look fair.

But what that really means is you don't believe he's innocent till proven guilty, you've already judged him and condemned him.

Sad...

gonna move this comment to the other thread....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 23 2007, 07:15 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 23 2007, 03:38 PM)

Again, even though I wasn't talking to you......Give me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they say....You know I'm right clay, well...most any

Jes as I was about to say it
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 07:03 PM)
Bystander, when you are posting on an open forum you are "talking" to all of us, even when you are directing your post to someone else. If you seek privacy in your dialogue, PM.
Someone else said it for me
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 07:03 PM)
If Tommy is guilty, he is accountable. If Danny knew, he was accountable. If Linda knew, she was accountable. If you knew, you are accountable. If wwjd knew, he is accountable. If the BoD knew, they are accountable.
$\stackrel{.}{\square}$ Perfectly statedand if you have a child - and you allow them to be in the company of someone wh
has molested in the past and you know it you are not acting as a parent.
Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 07:23 PM
QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 23 2007, 08:15 PM)
OHOTE Bystander
QUOTE Bystander: "Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right."
"Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right."
"Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right." Jes as I was about to say it
"Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right."
"Again, even though I wasn't talking to youGive me one reason That LS wouldn't be held accountable if DS should be? Just one (that actually makes sense of course) You say DS was aware of everything, then so was Linda, You say DS was wrong to hire him, He was under Linda, she hired him. What is sauce for the goose, as they sayYou know I'm right clay, wellmost any "reasonable" thinking person knows I'm right." Jes as I was about to say it Someone else said it for me "QUOTE PeacefullyBewildered: "If Tommy is guilty, he is accountable. If Danny knew, he was accountable. If Linda knew, she was accountable. If you knew, you are accountable. If wwjd knew, he is accountable. If the BoD knew,

You say one thing and do another...

All of your ire is against Danny Shelton, not once has one person who has over and over proclaimed T.S. a pedophile and Child molester proven that, or condemned or found fault with Linda Shelton.

And Re when you stand in court as you keep saying you are willing to do, and you will.

For we will all stand before the judgment seat of Christ. How are you going to justify your personal accusations and condemnations?

Do you have facts and evidence to back it up? Have you rendered a righteous judgment?

I hope so...

For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 07:31 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 07:55 PM)

Fact: It amounts to a bunch of accusations, and very little facts and evidence PB.

Which is your stock in trade, Cindy.

QUOTE

When the time of trouble comes, God's people will be convicted and found guilty and sentenced to death this same way, no doubt you'll read it all and think, well that's a great start, if a majority claims it's true, it must be???

And I bet you'll be the first one in line to get your very own Schutzstaffel uniform... Herr Himmler would be so proud... and your first act of 'loyalty' would be pointing out those very people of God... "there they are, herr capitan; they are all members of those seditious seventh-day adventists"

QUOTE

Am I proclaiming, T.S. innocent or guilty? No!

And of course your trying to paint his actions as those of consenting adults was just your practicing for the school debate team?

QUOTE

I'm simply saying, you are all in a rush to judgment and have condemned a man already without "proving all things", and that brings shame on the people proclaiming God's name and professing to be part of the remnant Church.

You do realize the more you make this claim the bigger a hypocrite you make yourself... right now you are dwarfing the statue of liberty

QUOTE
Don't bother with the I don't judge, protests P.B. They are weak and tired I know, You have said over and over " I would like to see Tommy proved innocent" thinking apparently that it makes you look fair.
Since fairness was never your desired end, you dont have that to worry about do you, Cindy
QUOTE
But what that really means is you don't believe he's innocent till proven guilty, you've already judged him and condemned him. Sad
Ummm no; you have this forum confused with the Church of God establishment in Illinois and Virginia who both came to the same conclusion about the same person and based on their investigation, they found him guilty and he lost his ministerial credentials as a result of itbut if you think Tommy is 'innocent' let him watch your son overnight put your money where your mouth is and put your male child in his care I dare you.
In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: seraph m Feb 23 2007, 07:34 PM
[r]
Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 07:38 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:23 PM)
For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.
You better start stocking up on Nestea, then
n His service, Mr. }
Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 23 2007, 07:44 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 09:23 PM)

You say one thing and do another...

All of your ire is against Danny Shelton, not once has one person who has over and over proclaimed T.S. a pedophile and Child molester proven that, or condemned or found fault with Linda Shelton.

And Re when you stand in court as you keep saying you are willing to do, and you will.

For we will all stand before the judgment seat of Christ. How are you going to justify your personal accusations and condemnations?

Do you have facts and evidence to back it up? Have you rendered a righteous judgment?

I hope so...

For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.

The exact same fact and evidence you require of me is the exact same facts and evidence you will have to have when you see me in court. I promise - IF/WHEN I go to court I will prevail. GOD is with me. I PROMISE.

A "threat" is mere empty words. I PROMISE.

Now Aletheia....

I know I have to stand before the judgement seat of CHRIST. I hope that you will be standing also and not slain by the brightness of his coming. With that being said you can direct all "threats" in PM. I can send you all contact information necessary for my process summons - however note this: If/when sued I am not just counter-suing "just" Danny Shelton and Tommy Shelton. I will place everyone on suit that works for 3ABN currently **that know of the truth and are denying it.** (As a "unit" if you will).

Play if you wanna. Like I said. I don't play.

In the name of JESUS I rebuke you satan! In the name of JESUS!!! You are rebuked satan! Your lies and means of twisting words are not welcome here on this blessed Sabbath day! IN THE NAME OF JESUS satan YOU MUST BOW BEFORE THE LORD!



Posted by: lookin4truth Feb 23 2007, 07:45 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:23 PM)

For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.

It's good you know the verse you quoted above.

Keep this one in mind also.

Rom 1:32 Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of

death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them.

Tommy wrote an open letter. In it he tried to guilt those who would expose his sin. Carol wrote an open letter. In it she blamed others for the problems her husband caused.

If Tommy is innocent, why does he not proclaim his innocence, instead of trashing those who know the truth.

And there are those who know the truth.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 23 2007, 07:45 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 23 2007, 05:24 PM)

OK, Bystander, now you claim DS did not take his cost of living increases correct? So the next logical step, in this fact finding mission, is that DS did make more money than LS from the start, right? Now the logical progression is that her income will increase gradually. However, that does not prove that she ended up making more money than DS, it only means she was making more than she had been previously. Now, Bystander, according to your logic, because LS continued to take her cost of living increases which she had every legal right to do, and she may have started making more money than him, which you have not given any verifiable proof of btw, LS is at fault due to the fact that he did not take his cost of living increases?

You either need to make a public call for... accountability or drop your accusations with DS about what he did or did not know at what time.

Wait didn't you say above that you could not scan the documents you claim to have? And, it is interesting to note that, based on your claims, DS did not fault her for taking her cost of living increases, yet you obviously do. Why is that? Again, where is ALL this verified. Oh yes, in the docuents you can't scan. Or, is it possible an imaginary dog ate your homework?

Oh and this is all verified based on what, YOUR word?



Bystander you have the nerve to say to Whtz Happenin: Knowing you said this:

Speaking of "accountability" this is what the word of God says:

Lev 19:35 Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment, in meteyard, in weight, or in measure.

Mat 7:2 For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again.

Mat 12:37 For by thy words thou shalt be justified, and by thy words thou shalt be condemned.

Let them that hath an ear hear...



Bystander your ride is here:

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:23 PM) 🗌	*********
You say one thing and do another	
Do you have facts and evidence to back it up? Have you rendered a righteous judgment?	
I hope so	
For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.	


Thank you for agreeing. Bystander does tend to say one thing then do another, has trouble with his "verifiable" fact/evidence and, to top it off, does not render righteous judgment.

And Aletheia, since you put it so eloquently, there is nothing to be added:

QUOTE

For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.

As mentioned previously, Bystander your ride is here and we don't want to hold y'all up.



Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 07:51 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 23 2007, 08:31 PM)

Ummm no; you have this forum confused with the Church of God establishment in Illinois and Virginia who both came to the same conclusion about the same person... and based on their investigation, they found him guiltyIn His service, Mr. J

You must have information and evidence that I don't...

I know of the letter of suspension in Illinois, pending an investigation, which referred to a police investigation -- and that no charges were filed.

But the findings of the Ezra Church of God?

Nope haven't seen it.

The Virginaia Church? haven't even seen any documentation from them, haven't seen one alleged

victims statement , or even any support from them for Dryden.
Do you have facts and evidence Mr Josey???? because I'd really like to see what your justification is for your claims here
Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 23 2007, 07:53 PM
QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 23 2007, 09:44 PM)
In the name of JESUS I rebuke you satan! In the name of JESUS!!! You are rebuked satan! Your lies and means of twisting words are not welcome here on this blessed Sabbath day! IN THE NAME OF JESUS satan YOU MUST BOW BEFORE THE LORD!
Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 08:00 PM
QUOTE(seraph m @ Feb 23 2007, 08:45 PM)
Bystander your ride is here:
Thank you for agreeing. Bystander does tend to say one thing then do another, has trouble with his "verifiable" fact/evidence and, to top it off, does not render righteous judgment.
And Aletheia, since you put it so eloquently, there is nothing to be added: [b][/b]
As mentioned previously, Bystander your ride is here and we don't want to hold y'all up.
Attached Image
Seraphim, I tend to ignore you, and the reason is because your fruits are always showing.
But, for the record here, don't thank me, for I don't agree with you
Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 08:01 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:51 PM)
You must have information and evidence that I don't
I have a great many things you don't a happy marriage, common sense and a clue for starters
In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 08:08 PM
Re? Is that how you prove truth, and justify yourself???
QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 23 2007, 09:01 PM) [
QUOTE
QUOTEawesumtenor

Mr. J
Quote: Aletheia:

You must have information and evidence that I don't...

investigation, they found him guiltyIn His service,

I know of the letter of suspension in Illinois, pending an investigation, which referred to a police investigation -- and that no charges were filed.

Ummm no; you have this forum confused with the Church of God establishment in Illinois and Virginia who both came to the same conclusion about the same person... and based on their

But the findings of the Ezra Church of God?

Nope haven't seen it.

The Virginaia Church? haven't even seen any documentation from them, haven't seen one alleged victims statement, or even any support from them for Dryden.

Do you have facts and evidence Mr Josey???? because I'd really like to see what your justification is for your claims here...

I have a great many things you don't... a happy marriage, common sense and a clue for starters...

In His service,

Mr. J

In otherwords you enter discussions, argue, make unsupported claims and accusations, and when asked for evidence, this is how you justify yourself and prove things?

So long as the readers here can see that...

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 23 2007, 08:13 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 07:23 PM)

You say one thing and do another...

All of your ire is against Danny Shelton, not once has one person who has over and over proclaimed T.S. a pedophile and Child molester proven that, or condemned or found fault with Linda Shelton.

And Re when you stand in court as you keep saying you are willing to do, and you will.

For we will all stand before the judgment seat of Christ. How are you going to justify your personal accusations and condemnations?

Do you have facts and evidence to back it up? Have you rendered a righteous judgment?

I hope so...

For all liars, and all those who love lies will not enter the city and will be cast into the lake of fire.

While the rest of you have done a more-than-adequate job poking holes in this, I am compelled to respond.

Here are the tactics in this post by Aletheia:

- 1. Claim that this is all about Danny, which it is manifestly not
- 2. Divert the discussion away from Tommy back to the allegations Danny & Co made against Linda, which have never been substantiated in any meaningful way
- 3. Threaten Re' (and by extension the other posters) with appearing in court under a lawsuit
- 4. But then switch to making a threat about judgment day and hellfire and appearing before God, so no one can say they were threatened with a lawsuit
- 5. Pretend all the facts and evidence on this site and save3abn.com don't exist

By the way, I found out I know Re' from SWAU days, I wouldn't want to be on the other side of the courtroom from her. $\lceil r \rceil$

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:08 PM)

Re? Is that how you prove truth, and justify yourself???

In otherwords you enter discussions, argue, make unsupported claims and accusations, and when asked for evidence, this is how you justify yourself and prove things?

So long as the readers here can see that...

Here are the tactics in this post by Aletheia:

- 1. Accuse others of exactly what she's been doing since December 6
- 2. Ignore all the evidence placed on these boards by eyewitnesses and victims of the Sheltons and pretend it isn't there.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 23 2007, 08:15 PM

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 09:08 PM)

Re? Is that how you prove truth, and justify yourself???

In otherwords you enter discussions, argue, make unsupported claims and accusations, and when asked for evidence, this is how you justify yourself and prove things?

So long as the readers here can see that...

Mat 7:2 For with what judgment ye judge, ye shall be judged: and with what measure ye mete, it shall be measured to you again. 3 And why beholdest thou the mote that is in thy brother's eye, but considerest not the beam that is in thine own eye? 4 Or how wilt thou say to thy brother, Let me pull out the mote out of thine eye; and, behold, a beam is in thine own eye? 5 Thou hypocrite, first cast out the beam out of thine own eye; and then shalt thou see clearly to cast out the mote out of thy brother's eye.

Keep on Cindy... you are talking about yourself with every comment... and doing a far better job of showing yourself weighed in the balance and found wanting than I or any other could...

In His service,

Mr. J

Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 08:16 PM

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 09:08 PM)

While the rest of you have done a more-than-adequate job poking holes in this, I am compelled to respond.

Here are the tactics in this post by Aletheia:

1. Claim that this is all about Danny, which it is manifestly not

Dude where have you been? Look all around you these people don't care about Tommy Shelton, except as a means to bring Danny and 3ABN down. they are only concerned with Children that may be harmed because he was employed by 3ABN.

Save3ABN and the little group of "Investigators" has never been concerned with bringing Tommy to justice if he's guilty.

They just want Danny and all to step down.

Go ahead quote something from them to prove what I say error.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 23 2007, 08:23 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 05:55 PM)
Fact: It amounts to a bunch of accusations, and very little facts and evidence PB.
When the time of trouble comes, God's people will be convicted and found guilty and sentenced to death this same way, no doubt you'll read it all and think, well that's a great start, if a majority claims it's true, it must be???
Am I proclaiming, T.S. innocent or guilty? No!
I'm simply saying, you are all in a rush to judgment and have condemned a man already without "proving all things", and that brings shame on the people proclaiming God's name and professing to be part of the remnant Church.
Don't bother with the I don't judge, protests P.B. They are weak and tired I know, You have said over and over " I would like to see Tommy proved innocent" thinking apparently that it makes you look fair.
But what that really means is you don't believe he's innocent till proven guilty, you've already judged him and condemned him. Sad
gonna move this comment to the other thread

Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your own opinions.

I would really appreciate it if you could go over to save3abn and read the email that Tommy sent to Duane Clem. Here's the link:

http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm

After you have read that, please come back and tell me if it proves anything. I'm really interested in your take on what Tommy writes in that email. Pay close attention to where he says "you resisted every attempt that I ever made". Does that sound like Duane was a willing participant?

You can continue to discount the evidence, the statements and Tommy's confession if that is what makes you comfortable. You can continue to attack those who are compelled by that evidence and feel duty-bound to call for accountability for the sake of those who claim to have been victimized.

When you see the evidence and hear the victims' statements, is it really a Christian reaction to turn away, put your finger to your lips and say "Shhhhhhhhh!". There isn't a soap strong enough to wash that kind of blood off of your hands.

Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 23 2007, 08:30 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:16 PM)

Dude where have you been? Look all around you these people don't care about Tommy Shelton, except as a means to bring Danny and 3ABN down, they are only concerned with Children that may be harmed because he was employed by 3ABN.

Save3ABN and the little group of "Investigators" has never been concerned with bringing Tommy to justice if he's guilty.

They just want Danny and all to step down.

Go ahead quote something from them to prove what I say error.

Tactics used in this post by Aletheia

- 1. Pretend she hasn't already seen what she asks for--if she really has read all the threads as the denizens have begged her to do for weeks
- 2. Stonewall by asking the poster to return through months of posts to dig up quotes from the period in which most of these people were still open-minded, waiting for the evidence
- 2. Make accusations about hidden intentions on the part of save3abn.

But wait, I do have a response!

Pray the Lord to cause us to hear His still, small voice, making clear His will for the direction we should take, as King Jehoshaphat did in 2 Chronicles, chapter 20, when—surrounded by his enemies (that God did not permit him to destroy)—he proclaimed a fast in all Judah, and assembled the people for prayer. We will look to the Lord for all the answers, and trust He will provide the plan for victory! In honor of 3ABN's day of fasting and prayer, we invite everyone to join with them in praying that God will reveal to them the true source of difficulty, the true enemy within. We would also like to extend to them the offer that we will cease publishing additional material about the various allegations once an adequate plan is put into place to address the various issues in a thorough, impartial, meaningful, and Christian way, something we have been asking for for more than six months. In the blessed hope, Gailon Arthur Joy AUReporter

So--there you have it. Gailon asks 3ABN to **address the issues**. That was the whole point from Day One.

So what kind of magic are you going to conjure up to get us going in another direction?

This just in (or not ...) to our news desk: Aletheia is not interested in truth. Aletheia is interested in defending, diverting, dissembling and denial. Hence she keeps posting after promising it's the last one.

What's embarassing is that she keeps all of us going on so. I'm ashamed.	x Si x

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 23 2007, 08:33 PM

As a Dannyscribe, (or Dannynite) you offer up red herrings to divert attention away from the perp of the crimes. Same old same old, you can't attack the message so you attack the messenger. And in so doing you further the work of those bringing out the truth about Tom/Dan and company. Why don't you try to dispute the evidence, or offer some of your own if you are so sure of the innocence of Tommy and the coverup by Danny? How sad the the appologist have nothing more to offer than this.

Richard

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 09:16 PM)
Dude where have you been? Look all around you these people don't care about Tommy Shelton, except as a means to bring Danny and 3ABN down, they are only concerned with Children that may be harmed because he was employed by 3ABN.
Save3ABN and the little group of "Investigators" has never been concerned with bringing Tommy to justice if he''s guilty.
They just want Danny and all to step down.
Go ahead quote something from them to prove what I say error.
Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 23 2007, 08:34 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 10:08 PM) □
Re? Is that how you prove truth, and justify yourself???
exactly the same way you don't "counter-prove"?
Read below demon of satan!and that is exactly what you are. A demonic force of satan. You have come on this board - trying o pin molestation charges back on adult survivors - by trying to make them legal and consenting. Not just the fact that it was molestation; but sex with someone of the same sex, by a married Pastor, with a CHILD! Satan the LORD rebuke you in the name of JESUS! Read below demon of satan!
QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 23 2007, 09:44 PM) 🗌
In the name of JESUS I rebuke you satan! In the name of JESUS!!! You are rebuked satan! Your lies and means of twisting words are not welcome here on this blessed Sabbath day! IN THE NAME OF JESUS satan YOU MUST BOW BEFORE THE LORD!
×

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 10:13 PM)
Quality and an analy market strip
By the way, I found out I know Re' from SWAU days, I wouldn't want to be on the other side of the courtroom from her. \Box
fall lam CE TELL THEM
Fell 'em SETELL THEM! again I don't play.
THEY DON'T KNOW ME!!!
BTW and really
SEthe next degree after the Ph.D Law. I have a friend that I will be opening a counseling center with - and we thought about itwhy not also offer like a "legal" clinic for those that are in need of legal services at a low-no cost also pray on that one for me SE.
Now. BOT!
did have Aletheia on ignore. I will now make sure to NOT have this demon on ignore. Why? I am ouilding my case now. Since I have been "warned" I want to make sure that I have all my evidence or lack thereof) . in line for court.
Posted by: Aletheia Feb 23 2007, 08:34 PM
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 09:23 PM)
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 09:23 PM) Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your own opinions.
Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your
Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your own opinions. I would really appreciate it if you could go over to save3abn and read the email that Tommy sent to
Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your own opinions. I would really appreciate it if you could go over to save3abn and read the email that Tommy sent to Duane Clem. Here's the link:
Thank you for your comments. You have a right to come to your own conclusions and to have your own opinions. I would really appreciate it if you could go over to save3abn and read the email that Tommy sent to Duane Clem. Here's the link: http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm After you have read that, please come back and tell me if it proves anything. I'm really interested i your take on what Tommy writes in that email. Pay close attention to where he says "you resisted"

PD, Where is Duane's reply to Tommy, and why didn't he hand it over to be published, instead of only giving Tommy's private apology and letting some anonymous person who sounds alot like you ridicule and interpret it in the worst possible light? Is that the same letter Tommy has, or has it been edited? I'm thinking you need to ask these kind of questions. Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 23 2007, 08:37 PM *forcibly removes tongue from cheek* I can't figure it out, Richard. The Dannyscribes come on here, and stir the pot, and beg for whatever they think will divert the discussion. All they accomplish is making Gailon, Bob and Greg look better for what they're doing. Now, Aletheia, I'm serious. Maybe you can't see it, but I assure you that if you really want to help Danny & amp; Co (and this isn't some kind of weird hobby), stop posting. You're not making them look less culpable. You're hurting them. QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 23 2007, 08:33 PM) As a Dannyscribe, (or Dannynite) you offer up red herrings to divert attention away from the perp of the crimes. Same old same old, you can't attack the message so you attack the messenger. And in so doing you further the work of those bringing out the truth about Tom/Dan and company. Why don't you try to dispute the evidence, or offer some of your own if you are so sure of the innocence of Tommy and the coverup by Danny? How sad the the appologist have nothing more to offer than this. Richard Posted by: lookin4truth Feb 23 2007, 08:39 PM QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 09:34 PM) PD, Where is Duane's reply to Tommy, and why didn't he hand it over to be published, instead of only giving Tommy's private apology and letting some anonymous person who sounds alot like you ridicule and interpret it in the worst possible light?

Spin again Aletheia. Make sure not to focus on Tommy's admission of guilt, instead ask about Duane's response to it.

Is that the same letter Tommy has, or has it been edited?

I'm thinking you need to ask these kind of questions.

. 00:00 0,	: SoulEspresso Feb 23 2007, 08:41 PM
QUOTE(Al	etheia @ Feb 23 2007, 08:34 PM) 🗌
only giving	is Duane's reply to Tommy, and why didn't he hand it over to be published, instead of Tommy's private apology and letting some anonymous person who sounds alot like you interpret it in the worst possible light?
Is that the	same letter Tommy has, or has it been edited?
I'm thinking	g you need to ask these kind of questions.
. Bring in ir	d in this post by Aletheia: relevant questions
	ke common sense and a bit of time wouldn't lead to the same conclusions supplied evidence
m serious,	ma'am. You're hurting your own cause.
Posted by	: Clay Feb 23 2007, 08:43 PM
QUOTE(So	ulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 08:37 PM) 🗌
	ulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 08:37 PM)
*forcibly re I can't figur whatever th	
forcibly re can't figur whatever th ook better Now, Alethe Danny & Co	moves tongue from cheek The it out, Richard. The Dannyscribes come on here, and stir the pot, and beg for the hey think will divert the discussion. All they accomplish is making Gailon, Bob and Greg

They are always complaining about all the posts on here against Danny and co but the FACT is if they wouldn't keep replying there would not be near the leval of posts that there is. The Dannyscribes and Dannynites are doing more to hurt him that the FOD (foes of Danny) ever will.

Hope you didn't hurt yourself removing your tongue.

Richard

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 09:37 PM)
forcibly removes tongue from cheek
I can't figure it out, Richard. The Dannyscribes come on here, and stir the pot, and beg for whatever they think will divert the discussion. All they accomplish is making Gailon, Bob and Greg look better for what they're doing.
Now, Aletheia, I'm serious. Maybe you can't see it, but I assure you that if you really want to help Danny & Co (and this isn't some kind of weird hobby), stop posting. You're not making them look less culpable. You're hurting them.
Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 23 2007, 08:46 PM
QUOTE(seraph m @ Feb 23 2007, 09:45 PM)
Bystander your ride is here:
Thank you for agreeing. Bystander does tend to say one thing then do another, has trouble with his "verifiable" fact/evidence and, to top it off, does not render righteous judgment.
And Aletheia, since you put it so eloquently, there is nothing to be added:
As mentioned previously, Bystander your ride is here and we don't want to hold y'all up. $\begin{tabular}{c} \hline \end{tabular}$
Attached Image
Double-speak, Double-speak, DOUBLE-SPEAK!!!

QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 10:30 PM) \square

Tactics used in this post by Aletheia

- 1. Pretend she hasn't already seen what she asks for--if she really has read all the threads as the denizens have begged her to do for weeks
- 2. Stonewall by asking the poster to return through months of posts to dig up quotes from the period in which most of these people were still open-minded, waiting for the evidence
- 2. Make accusations about hidden intentions on the part of save3abn.

But wait, I do have a response!


Sothere you have it. Gailon asks 3ABN to address the issues . That was the whole point from Day One.
So what kind of magic are you going to conjure up to get us going in another direction?
This just in (or not) to our news desk: Aletheia is not interested in truth. Aletheia is interested in defending, diverting, dissembling and denial. Hence she keeps posting after promising it's the last one.
What's embarassing is that she keeps all of us going on so. I'm ashamed.
I'm only going far enough to continue collecting my evidence
Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 23 2007, 08:52 PM
It would seem that most of the Dannynites got the memo about not posting tonight. Or maybe since several of them might be just one of them they are out, well, maybe they are flying on that Citation VI to another beg-a-thon.
(My dog begs but he don't know any better)
Richard
Posted by: SoulEspresso Feb 23 2007, 08:55 PM
QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 23 2007, 08:52 PM)
It would seem that most of the Dannynites got the memo about not posting tonight. Or maybe since several of them might be just one of them they are out, well, maybe they are flying on that Citation VI to another beg-a-thon.
(My dog begs but he don't know any better)
Richard
<u></u>
Or to the law offices in MN
Posted by: Pichard Sherwin Feb 23 2007 08:58 DM

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 23 2007, 08:58 PM

What? On the Sabbath? No my guess is the only manservants employed today are the pilots, not the 14 lawyers. (Or did I hear it's up to 18 now?)

in the continuous continuous and the continuous a
QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 09:55 PM) 🗌
Or to the law offices in MN 「」
Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 23 2007, 09:04 PM
QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 23 2007, 07:34 PM) 🗌
PD, Where is Duane's reply to Tommy, and why didn't he hand it over to be published, instead of only giving Tommy's private apology and letting some anonymous person who sounds alot like you ridicule and interpret it in the worst possible light?
Is that the same letter Tommy has, or has it been edited?
I'm thìnking you need to ask these kind of questions.
molestation as a child, has learned what pedophiles do to groom and control their victims before, during and after victimizing them? Are you saying that what I post here sounds like that anonymous person who has an insight into the mind of a predator and was able to read between the carefully crafted lines of Tommy's "confession" and see the true message? Interesting.
Posted by: Aletheia Feb 24 2007, 04:18 AM
Re? Is that how you prove truth, and justify yourself???
The 15 char now you prove train, and justify yoursen
QUOTE
Princess Dr Re:
Exactly the same way you don't "counter-prove"?
Read below demon of satan!and that is exactly what you are. A demonic force of satan. You have come on this board - trying to pin molestation charges back on adult survivors - by trying to make them legal and consenting. Not just the fact that it was molestation; but sex with someone of the same sex, by a married Pastor, with a CHILD! Satan the LORD rebuke you in the name of JESUS! Read below demon of satan!
QUOTE
QUOTE:PrincessDrRe:

...and AMEN again



And this because I asked you if you could prove and support your constant accusations? You need to calm down woman, and think!

- 1. I have said 3ABN is NOT GUILTY of the accusations Joy and Pickle have made about them in regard to T.S. and I have explained why.
- 2. I have only stated T.S. is innocent till proven guilty, but tend to believe that what has been brought up is from the past and was investigated and taken care of then.
- 3. Anything which *may* be new is a legal issue and if there is merit to the allegations of sexual assualt and molestation and he is a pedophile then he needs to be charged. It is a State and a Church of God issue.
- 4. I have said If you believe him guilty and are concerned about children, then you need to realize, Pickle and Joy going after his former employer (3ABN), does not protect children elsewhere, nor can 3ABN charge T.S. with a crime.

Do you honestly have a problem with that?

I am writing this because I am concerned about you, for one. I don't want sin lying at your door, I want you to one day soon be praising God in the congregation of the saints and not weeping and wailing.

You are accountable for the things you say about others, and you better be able to give a just cause and reason when it comes to both God's court, -- and a civil court since you have now jumped to that conclusion.

If you can't answer me here, how can you answer that question in a court of law, and How will you answer God as every idle word will enter into the judgment, and you've said much more here then just an idle word.

I believe reasonable people would see the inconsistancies and lack of evidence and holes in the accusations posted on Save-3ABN and have a reasonable doubt, and so would not repeat it as fact till all is proved.

- 1. This is the internet, people lie.
- 2. In order to figure out the truth, you have to do more then listen to rumors and accusations. You need to check out both sides, and all involved, and verify what is factual and what is not.
- 3. It is not reaasonable, nor Christian to just accept what some people say without doing so, and call others liars, and child molesters, and demon possessed without doing so.
- 4. Repeating unsupported opinions or personal judgments will not help you in a court, nor work as a defense, as if you go to court it is those things you will be being sued about. You will be needing to give the reasons and facts, just as I asked you for.

If you are really thinking you'll be called to court or sued, and taking notes, then I suggest you start being able to explain your position and why, because calling a lawyer Satan and rebuking him, or telling him he needs to read eveything posted on BSDA, or asking him if he'd leave his children with T.S. won't work there either.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 24 2007, 10:03 AM

This wasn't written to me, but I HAD to respond.

QUOTE(Aletheia @ Feb 24 2007, 04:18 AM)

1. I have said 3ABN is NOT GUILTY of the accusations Joy and Pickle have made about them in regard to T.S. and I have explained why.

I see. So, you need proof that myself and other victims are "telling the truth", but you already know that 3ABN is "not guilty" without seeing any such proof from them.

2. I have only stated T.S. is innocent till proven guilty, but tend to believe that what has been brought up is from the past and was investigated and taken care of then.

Are you really that blind? Read my statement again, I just came forward for the first time in January of this year. How could it have already been investigated? The same goes for my brother and at least 4 others that I know of personally. You can't see that because you don't *want* to see it.

3. Anything which *may* be new is a legal issue and if there is merit to the allegations of sexual assualt and molestation and he is a pedophile then he needs to be charged. It is a State and a Church of God issue.

There are allegations outside the Church of God.

4. I have said If you believe him guilty and are concerned about children, then you need to realize, Pickle and Joy going after his former employer (3ABN), does not protect children elsewhere, nor can 3ABN charge T.S. with a crime.

It has been explained time and again why 3ABN may have some liability here. Again, you're just here to exonerate Tommy, Danny and 3ABN, so you're not going to listen to anything else.

Do you honestly have a problem with that?

I am writing this because I am concerned about you, for one. I don't want sin lying at your door, I want you to one day soon be praising God in the congregation of the saints and not weeping and wailing.

You are accountable for the things you say about others, and you better be able to give a just cause and reason when it comes to both God's court, -- and a civil court since you have now jumped to that conclusion.

If you can't answer me here, how can you answer that question in a court of law, and How will you answer God as every idle word will enter into the judgment, and you've said much more here then just an idle word.

I believe reasonable people would see the inconsistancies and lack of evidence and holes in the accusations posted on Save-3ABN and have a reasonable doubt, and so would not repeat it as fact till all is proved.

- !. This is the internet, people lie.
- 2. In order to figure out the truth, you have to do more then listen to rumors and accusations. You need to check out both sides, and all involved, and verify what is factual and what is not.
- 3. It is not reaasonable, nor Christian to just accept what some people say without doing so, and

call others liars, and child molesters, and demon possessed without doing so.

4. Repeating unsupported opinions or personal judgments will not help you in a court, nor work as a defense, as if you go to court it is those things you will be being sued about. You will be needing to give the reasons and facts, just as I asked you for.

If you are really thinking you'll be called to court or sued, and taking notes, then I suggest you start being able to explain your position and why, because calling a lawyer Satan and rebuking him, or telling him he needs to read eveything posted on BSDA, or asking him if he'd leave his children with T.S. won't work there either.

You constantly suggest that myself and other victims and their families may be lying. You question constantly how old we were, did we consent, were charges filed, etc.... Have you ever considered that you have numerous *SIGNED* statements that all basically tell the same story, and yet Tommy and Carol both write lengthy letters and neither of them deny the allegations? It's hard to get the "other side of the story" when the other side won't respond.

Court, court. I'm so sick of seeing that word. If someone wants to sue me for what I've said, then have at it. I'll say it all again before a judge, and then some. Empty threats of being sued accomplish NOTHING.

One of two things is going on here. You are either completely dedicated to the cause of refuting and discrediting anyone who speaks out against sexual abuse, or you have a comprehension problem. I'll let you decide which one it is.

Don't bother asking me any more questions, or trying to get others to do so. You and I are through talking. "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple." Romans 16:17-18 KJV

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 24 2007, 11:01 AM

I came across the following quote this morning:

The very powerful and the very stupid have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they alter the facts to fit their views...which can be very uncomfortable if you happen to be one of the facts that needs altering. Doctor Who

nw

Posted by: Clay Feb 24 2007, 11:09 AM

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 24 2007, 11:01 AM)

I came across the following quote this morning:

The very powerful and the very stupid have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they alter the facts to fit their views...which can be very uncomfortable if you happen

to be one of the facts that needs altering Doctor Who
nw
great statement
Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 24 2007, 11:40 AM
QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 24 2007, 09:03 AM)
This wasn't written to me, but I HAD to respond.
I see. So, you need proof that myself and other victims are "telling the truth", but you already know that 3ABN is "not guilty" without seeing any such proof from them.
Are you really that blind? Read my statement again, I just came forward for the first time in January of this year. How could it have already been investigated? The same goes for my brother and at least 4 others that I know of personally. You can't see that because you don't want to see it.
There are allegations outside the Church of God.
It has been explained time and again why 3ABN may have some liability here. Again, you're just here to exonerate Tommy, Danny and 3ABN, so you're not going to listen to anything else.
You constantly suggest that myself and other victims and their families may be lying. You question constantly how old we were, did we consent, were charges filed, etc Have you ever considered that you have numerous SIGNED statements that all basically tell the same story, and yet Tommy and Carol both write lengthy letters and neither of them deny the allegations? It's hard to get the "other side of the story" when the other side won't respond.
Court, court, court. I'm so sick of seeing that word. If someone wants to sue me for what I've said, then have at it. I'll say it all again before a judge, and then some. Empty threats of being sued

accomplish NOTHING.

One of two things is going on here. You are either completely dedicated to the cause of refuting and discrediting anyone who speaks out against sexual abuse, or you have a comprehension problem. I'll let you decide which one it is.

Don't bother asking me any more questions, or trying to get others to do so. You and I are through talking. "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple." Romans 16:17-18 KJV

Duane, your	words may	fly right	past those	locked in	denial,	but they	will be	heard a	and will	help and
educate man	y others!									

Comment		
-	l hua	nif
, ~	mug	·y·

It is so sad that Aletheia and some others find it so easy to discount the truth in order to paint a picture of this situation that they can be comfortable with.

PB
Posted by: Whtz Happenin Feb 24 2007, 01:45 PM
QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 24 2007, 11:03 AM)
This wasn't written to me, but I HAD to respond.
Duane, This is why we must continually keep our eyes fixed on Jesus.
Psalm 141:8-9 But my eyes are upon You, O GOD the Lord; In You I take refuge; Do not leave my soul destitute. Keep me from the snares they have laid for me, And from the traps of the workers of iniquity. (NKJV)
Keep it up and know that many are praying for you and all involved!
Posted by: wwjd Feb 24 2007, 02:08 PM
QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 23 2007, 07:31 PM)
Which is your stock in trade, Cindy.
put your money where your mouth is and put your male child in his care I dare you.
In His service, Mr.

Ts own daughter puts her son in his grandparents care and has hundreds of times. That says far more to me than any of you or anyone else's rhetoric. The daughter was raised with him, you weren't. She was there during those time periods, you weren't, she knows if he is guilty or innocent, you don't, and she also knows, if guilty of any of the accusations, if there has been true repentance and life reformation, you don't.

And before you start attacking her character, She is one of the finest christian women that I know. She is also a great mother. Very protective, very loving and raises her children in the admonition of the Lord. If she thought for one half of a second that her son was at risk with his grandpa she would never ever leave him there.

Now, although, Mr. J, you are all knowing, and feel yourself to be the alpha and omega, I am sorry. You will have to come off of your high horse on this one. Your knowledge of the subjects and subject matter, compared to hers, renders you, Clueless.

BTW, Now we can add to your growing list of name calling, Nazi. That is what you were calling aletheia wasn't it? Someone said your were an elder in your church. Shame on you.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 24 2007, 02:24 PM	
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 23 2007, 08:23 PM)	************************
http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm	
After you have read that, please come back and tell me if it proves anything. I'm really interested your take on what Tommy writes in that email. Pay close attention to where he says "you resisted every attempt that I ever made". Does that sound like Duane was a willing participant?	
If the letter is legit, then I see a letter of apology and a request for forgiveness. Many of you hav said that is all you would like to see. You are not a lynch mob and would only like to see repentar I see that, here. It is not for you to judge whether or not something happened to anyone else or did, were they apologized too and so onDuane says this happened to him and says he was ser letter asking forgiveness. All of you have used this letter as a neon sign of TS's guilt but no one mentions the purpose of said letter. Apology, forgiveness.	nce. if it
QUOTE(SoulEspresso @ Feb 23 2007, 08:37 PM)	
forcibly removes tongue from cheek	
I can't figure it out, Richard. The Dannyscribes come on here, and stir the pot, and beg for whatever they think will divert the discussion. All they accomplish is making Gailon, Bob and Grelook better for what they're doing.	∍g
I am sorry soul expresso, nothing in the world could make what gailon/bob/joy are doing, look be	etter
Posted by: wwjd Feb 24 2007, 02:41 PM	
QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 24 2007, 10:03 AM)	***********
This wasn't written to me, but I HAD to respond.	

Duane, I would like to ask you a couple ofquestions and I ask them respectfully. Of course, you don't have to answer, that is your perogative. I have commented on another post about the letter from TS on the joy site. I pointed out that this is used for TS guilt but no one comments on the fact that it is a letter of apology and a request for forgiveness. I have read on one of your posts that says an apology is all you want. It looks like you had that. Am I wrong? If I am correct, why has the apology been ignored and you obviously have felt a need to come to Joys site and bring public retribution. After all, the word says if we have ought with a brother....between them two....So, my point is, if you are

going to respond that he hasn't apologized to others (if there is guilt there) wouldn't that be between he and God? All you can go on is what happened between you and he. You say the letter posted is from TS so at the time you received it, shouldn't forgiveness have been granted? You are not accountable to God for any other situation, only yours. I truly am trying to understand this situation. My other question is: Did you reply to that letter of apology? If so, shouldn't it, in all fairness, be posted along with the TS letter, regardless of what it says?

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 24 2007, 03:22 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 24 2007, 03:08 PM)

Ts own daughter puts her son in his grandparents care and has hundreds of times. That says far more to me than any of you or anyone else's rhetoric.

there are plenty of pedophiles who dont prey on their own household... that doesn't make them any less a sexual predator.

QUOTE

The daughter was raised with him, you weren't. She was there during those time periods, you weren't, she knows if he is guilty or innocent, you don't, and she also knows, if guilty of any of the accusations, if there has been true repentance and life reformation, you don't.

Talk to the mental health professionals who deal with the victims of sexual predators and you will realize how clueless you are here. There are several in the forum, BTW... and even if all you've said here is true... that still doesnt mean he would not molest Cindy's child or mine or someone else not related to him by blood.

QUOTE

And before you start attacking her character, She is one of the finest christian women that I know. She is also a great mother. Very protective, very loving and raises her children in the admonition of the Lord. If she thought for one half of a second that her son was at risk with his grandpa she would never ever leave him there.

You need to work on that whole presumption thing you have going on...

QUOTE

Now, although, Mr. J, you are all knowing, and feel yourself to be the alpha and omega, I am sorry. You will have to come off of your high horse on this one. Your knowledge of the subjects and subject matter, compared to hers, renders you, Clueless.

You attribute to me that which is the sole province of God and yet *I'm* supposed to be the clueless one? I'll tell you what... if you can show any statement I've made where I claimed to be omniscient or possessed any of the earmarks of divinity... ever... I will concede that you are right on every point...and if you cannot show such a statement you leave BSDA and never return... the problem for you is the same people that will read this will read the post you made to Duane Clem where you are

making so much stink about TS' letter of apology and his requesting forgiveness... there has to be guilt for him to seek forgiveness; there has to be wrongdoing on his part toward Duane for him to apologize. You and Cindy and others keep trying to insist that he did nothing but the problem is that he has already admitted to it. The conversations you are having with different people dont exist in a vacuum; the things you concede in talking to Duane remain conceded when you speak to me... and vice versa.

Talking to Duane, you admit that confession was made and forgiveness sought by TS; once you did that, the rest of this nonsense about his daughter and grandson is irrelevant. He's a pederast even if he manages to resist the incestuous inclinations.

QUOTE

BTW, Now we can add to your growing list of name calling, Nazi. That is what you were calling aletheia wasn't it? Someone said your were an elder in your church. Shame on you.

If the shoe fits... then she will wear it... and as far as my church is concerned there are myriad folk here who know my pastor... and unlike you I dont hide underneath a burqha of anonymity or play other punk games to keep people from attributing what I say to me... so he knows where I stand.. If your camp doesnt want to be yiewed as putting out Goebbels-esque propaganda, then stop putting out revisionist history that doesnt even remain consistent from poster to poster.

In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: JustTana Feb 24 2007, 04:16 PM
QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 23 2007, 09:33 PM)
As a Dannyscribe, (or Dannynite) you offer up red herrings to divert attention away from the perp of the crimes. Same old same old, you can't attack the message so you attack the messenger. And in so doing you further the work of those bringing out the truth about Tom/Dan and company. Why don't you try to dispute the evidence, or offer some of your own if you are so sure of the innocence of Tommy and the coverup by Danny? How sad the the appologist have nothing more to offer than this. Richard
Sorry, I was 'encouraged' i to remove my answer to this quote.
Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 24 2007, 04:26 PM
×

Posted by	· Drinces	chrpa	Feh	24	2007	11.17	DМ
PUSLEU DY	. Princes	SUIRE	rev	~ 4	ZUU/,		P I'I

X-3abn stated:

QUOTE

You constantly suggest that myself and other victims and their families may be lying. You question constantly how old we were, did we consent, were charges filed, etc.... Have you ever considered that you have numerous SIGNED statements that all basically tell the same story, and yet Tommy and Carol both write lengthy letters and neither of them deny the allegations? It's hard to get the "other side of the story" when the other side won't respond.

Court, court, I'm so sick of seeing that word. If someone wants to sue me for what I've said, then have at it. I'll say it all again before a judge, and then some. Empty threats of being sued accomplish NOTHING.

One of two things is going on here. You are either completely dedicated to the cause of refuting and discrediting anyone who speaks out against sexual abuse, or you have a comprehension problem. I'll let you decide which one it is.

Don't bother asking me any more questions, or trying to get others to do so. You and I are through talking. "Now I beseech you, brethren, mark them which cause divisions and offences contrary to the doctrine which ye have learned; and avoid them. For they that are such serve not our Lord Jesus Christ, but their own belly; and by good words and fair speeches deceive the hearts of the simple." Romans 16:17-18 KJV

And I agree whole heartedly!

Aletheia. I stand firm. You are a demonic force of satan. I rebuke thee in the name of JESUS. If someone wants to sue me for believing that someone has been molested - then so be it. Again. I need some school loans paid off and my next education paid.... until then.

Satan be the rebuked in the name of JESUS CHRIST our Savior!

QUOTE(Noahswife @ Feb 24 2007, 01:01 PM)	
The very powerful and the very stupid have one thing in common. Instead of altering their views to fit the facts, they alter the facts to fit their viewswhich can be very uncomfortable if you happen to be one of the facts that needs altering Doctor Who	
My LORD!and so fitting	
×	
Posted by: Lenni Feb 25 2007, 05:24 PM	
QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 24 2007, 02:08 PM)	
Ts own daughter outs her son in his grandparents care and has hundreds of times. That says far	

more to me than any of you or anyone else's rhetoric. The daughter was raised with him, you

weren't. She was there dur you don't,	ing those time periods, you weren't, she knows if he is guilty or innocent,
Actually, the (alleged) victim	ns know too
QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 24 2	007, 02:08 PM) 🗌
and she also knows, if guilt reformation, you don't. ,	y of any of the accusations, if there has been true repentance and life
life reformation". I mean, the think he would want to go be show true remorse. When TS asked Duane's broken have a problem with what he did to keep these you	e if not all of the alleged victims would be aware of "true repentance and at would be pretty hard to keep secret considering the fact that you would ack to each one of them and do whatever he could to ease their pain and ther what he wanted him to do and got the answer, he refused to do it. It is and he had experienced true repentance and a life reformation, why the doing what was asked of him or, at the very least, publicly admitting bung men from continually being harassed and and having their character is are not true, then why did he ask the question in the first place?
QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 24 2	007, 02:08 PM) 🗌
She is also a great mother. the Lord. If she thought for never ever leave him there. Now, although, Mr. J, you a	ing her character, She is one of the finest christian women that I know. Very protective, very loving and raises her children in the admonition of one half of a second that her son was at risk with his grandpa she would re all knowing, and feel yourself to be the alpha and omega, I am sorry.

You will have to come off of your high horse on this one. Your knowledge of the subjects and subject matter, compared to hers, renders you, Clueless.

BTW, Now we can add to your growing list of name calling, Nazi. That is what you were calling aletheía wasn't it? Someone said your were an elder in your church. Shame on you.

So if you know the family so well, have you ever asked TS if these allegations were true? What was his response?

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 25 2007, 07:48 PM

I find it more than a little telling that wwjd and Byletheia ignore the facts that have been proven right here from thread to thread. Yet from thread to thread they have the nerve present themselves as honest men presenting the truth, as they know it.

Fact 1

Bystander/wwjd - Have both came into BSDA under false pretenses.

Fact 2

Bystander/wwjd - Purported that they did not know each other, but were caught using the same computer.

Fact 3

Bystander/wwjd - Create a third online personality from the same computer and got caught and removed from BSDA. Then after much wailing and gnashing of teeth, our merciful BSDA admin staff allowed liar liar back into BSDA.

Fact 4

Bystander/wwjd - After being caught at their lies, decide to come out of the closet, so to speak, and claim to be sorry for lying, yet continue to accuse other members (the victims of DS/TS) of not providing any proof, in other words **Bystander/wwjd the PROVEN liars** claim that the victims and other members of BSDA of lying.

It is interesting to note neither Bystander/wwjd will acknowledge these PROVEN facts from their own mouthss/actions... why is that? **Thees are PROVEN FACTS, and more have been presented,** yet Bystander/wwjd refuse to look at them..

With all these PROVEN/VERIFIABLE FACTS why is anyone giving the liar liars the time of day?		
Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 25 2007, 08:15 PM		
Because it's amusing? x rofi		
QUOTE		
With all these PROVEN/VERIFIABLE FACTS why is anyone giving the liar liars the time of day?		

Posted by: Clay Feb 25 2007, 08:32 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 25 2007, 07:48 PM)

I find it more than a little telling that wwjd and Byletheia ignore the facts that have been proven right here from thread to thread. Yet from thread to thread they have the nerve present themselves as honest men presenting the truth, as they know it.

Fact 1

Bystander/wwjd - Have both came into BSDA under false pretenses.

Fact 2

 $\label{eq:bystander/wwjd-Purported} \ \text{that they did not know each other, but were caught using the same computer.}$

Fact 3

Bystander/wwjd - Create a third online personality from the same computer and got caught and removed from BSDA. Then after much wailing and gnashing of teeth, our merciful BSDA admin staff allowed liar liar back into BSDA.		
Fact 4 Bystander/wwjd - After being caught at their lies, decide to come out of the closet, so to speak, and claim to be sorry for lying, yet continue to accuse other members (the victims of DS/TS) of not providing any proof, in other words Bystander/wwjd the PROVEN liars claim that the victims and other members of BSDA of lying.		
It is interesting to note neither Bystander/wwjd will acknowledge these PROVEN facts from their own mouthss/actions why is that? Thees are PROVEN FACTS, and more have been		
presented, yet Bystander/wwjd refuse to look at them		
With all these PROVEN/VERIFIABLE FACTS why is anyone giving the liar liars the time of day?		
excellent summary		
Posted by: Lee Feb 25 2007, 08:49 PM		
Serayour summary is incorrect. Bystander explained that he and wwjd are both sharing a computer. They did not create a "third" personality. It was a real person and what he had to say was true. They were not doing anything deceptive at all. The "third" person also was using their computer, who was a visiting nephew.		
Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 25 2007, 08:54 PM		
QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 25 2007, 09:49 PM)		
Serayour summary is incorrect. Bystander explained that he and wwjd are both sharing a computer. They did not create a "third" personality. It was a real person and what he had to say was true. They were not doing anything deceptive at all. The "third" person also was using their computer, who was a visiting nephew.		
Don't you have anything better to do than tell lies to cover other people's lies? They were busted; get over it.		
In His service, Mr. J		
Posted by: Lee Feb 25 2007, 09:04 PM		
Mr. JoseyI was telling the truth. I don't need to "get over" anything.		

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 25 2007, 09:14 PM
QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 25 2007, 10:04 PM)
Mr. JoseyI was telling the truth. I don't need to "get over" anything.
Lee, you wouldn't know truth if ya tripped over it
In His service, Mr. J
Posted by: wwjd Feb 25 2007, 09:28 PM
QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 25 2007, 08:54 PM)
Don't you have anything better to do than tell lies to cover other people's lies? They were busted; get over it.
In His service, Mr. J
Lee was almost correct. We all know that I and bystander use the same computer. As for your accusation that a "third" personality was inventedNot only is that not true, that person wasn't even using the same computer. As explained to Calvin and he was ok with understanding it. The person lives at least 6 or7 miles away but does have the same server. In no way was a third person invented or using our computer. If Calvin did not understand that, we would not be back on. Nowhere did we say we lied nor did we apologize for a third person when they're wasn't any. Neither was there a "bust" once Calvin looked into it and saw the truth. Also in my very first post, I said I had known the sheltons for many years and I brought up a post by bystander. Where did I say I didn't know who Bystander was? You can call us liars, and we will just join the club with all of the rest of the people you have called the same name. You are very free with your name calling. Trouble is there is very little substance to your reasons for calling such names. One would think you just do it, because you enjoy it.

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 25 2007, 09:42 PM

If that makes you happy, go to it. We know better and so does God.

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 25 2007, 11:28 PM)

Lee was almost correct. We all know that I and bystander use the same computer. As for your accusation that a "third" personality was invented...Not only is that not true, that person wasn't even using the same computer. As explained to Calvin and he was ok with understanding it. The person lives at least 6 or 7 miles away but does have the same server. In no way was a third person invented or using our computer. If Calvin did not understand that, we would not be back on. Nowhere did we say we lied nor did we apologize for a third person when they're wasn't any. Neither was there a "bust" once Calvin looked into it and saw the truth.

Also in my very first post, I said I had known the sheltons for many years and I brought up a post by bystander. Where did I say I didn't know who Bystander was?

You can call us liars, and we will just join the club with all of the rest of the people you have called the same name. You are very free with your name calling. Trouble is there is very little substance to your reasons for calling such names. One would think you just do it, because you enjoy it. If that makes you happy, go to it. We know better and so does God.

Mr. J's anger is evident - however the reason is not so at this point.

Mr. J. maybe you can share with us what your main point is in the whole 3ABN issue. I can't find, through searching your posts here in the 3ABN forum, what your main contention, well, any significant contention is. You seem very angry at the church, at 3ABN, at anything that doesn't align perfectly with your own personal theology. What evidence have you presented? What point do you have to make - other than railing at 3ABN?

Many have been the object of your derision when they didn't deserve it. You have held yourself up as a paragon of perfection claiming that any an all individuals that disagree with you even on a miniscule point are somehow from the Devil. You can quote scripture - that doesn't make you holy or sanctified or saved, after all the Devil knows scripture backwards and forwards. You can quote Mrs. White, that doesn't mean you alone have applied her guidance correctly.

I fully expect to receive your wrath with this post - but in the name of the Lord I will endure whatever slings and arrows you decide to send my way. Why? Because I am sure that the Lord is in charge and I do not post without prayerful consideration before I do. Question me, belittle me, disparage me, cast dispersion on my character - but remember that God does guide and direct and you do not have the market cornered on his guidance and direction.

- FHB

Posted by: Clay Feb 25 2007, 09:45 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 25 2007, 09:28 PM) 🗋

Lee was almost correct. We all know that I and bystander use the same computer. As for your accusation that a "third" personality was invented...Not only is that not true, that person wasn't even using the same computer. As explained to Calvin and he was ok with understanding it. The person lives at least 6 or7 miles away but does have the same server. In no way was a third person invented or using our computer. If Calvin did not understand that, we would not be back on. Nowhere did we say we lied nor did we apologize for a third person when they're wasn't any. Neither was there a "bust" once Calvin looked into it and saw the truth.

Also in my very first post, I said I had known the sheltons for many years and I brought up a post by bystander. Where did I say I didn't know who Bystander was?

You can call us liars, and we will just join the club with all of the rest of the people you have called the same name. You are very free with your name calling. Trouble is there is very little substance to your reasons for calling such names. One would think you just do it, because you enjoy it. If that makes you happy, go to it. We know better and so does God.

wwjd.... wrote this....

QUOTE

I've appreciated all of you who have taken a stand against the devil's trash written on this web-site, among the most recent being that written by the person called "Bystander". Thank God for

people like that who aren't afraid to speak truth in defense of wrong doing. interesting statement from a person who was using the same computer.... the statement gives the illusion that you have no idea who bystander is.... but you did know.... and yet you shaded the truth.... interesting indeed.... so now lets hear your spin.... Posted by: wwid Feb 25 2007, 10:01 PM QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 25 2007, 09:42 PM) Mr. J's anger is evident - however the reason is not so at this point. Mr. J. maybe you can share with us what your main pint is in the whole 3ABN issue. I can't find, through searching your posts here in the 3ABN forum, what your main contention, well, any significant contention is. You seem very angry at the church, at 3ABN, at anything that doesn't align perfectly with your own personal theology. What evidence have you presented? What point do you have to make - other than railing at 3ABN? Many have been the object of your derision when they didn't deserve it. You have held yourself up as a paragon of perfection claiming that any an all individuals that disagree with you even on a miniscule point are somehow from the Devil. You can quote scripture - that doesn't make you holy or sanctified or saved, after all the Devil knows scripture backwards and forwards. You can quote Mrs. White, that doesn't mean you alone have applied her guidence correctly. I fully expect to recieve your wrath with this post - but in the name of the Lord I will endure whatever slings and arrows you decide to send my way. Why? Because I am sure that the Lord is in charge and I do not post without prayerful consideration before I do. Question me, belittle me, disparage me, cast dispersion on my character - but remember that God does guide and direct and you do not have the market cornered on his guidence and direction. FHB The Lord must be guiding both of our thoughts along the same line. Earlier, I too, was wondering, what, if any, had Mr. J contributed to the 3abn story. Just like Clay, he calls names, points fingers, and talks down to everyone that doesn't agree but I have failed to see where his "ire" comes from. Thanks for stating it so well. - FHB Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 25 2007, 10:36 PM QUOTE I've appreciated all of you who have taken a stand against the devil's trash written on this web-site, among the most recent being that written by the person called "Bystander". Thank God for people like that who aren't afraid to speak truth in defense of wrong doing.

I agree - this statement makes it sound like they don't know each other...knowing good and well that they do.

x sna
QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 25 2007, 10:49 PM)
Serayour summary is incorrect. Bystander explained that he and wwjd are both sharing a computer. They did not create a "third" personality. It was a real person and what he had to say was true. They were not doing anything deceptive at all. The "third" person also was using their computer, who was a visiting nephew.
QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 25 2007, 10:54 PM)
They were busted; get over it.
QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 25 2007, 11:04 PM)
Mr. JoseyI was telling the truth. I don't need to "get over" anything.
Lee fails to realize that Bystander/WWJD came back and admitted to using the same computer. Now - I don't know about 3ABN - and other "huge" corporations - but two people in an office/home/small area cannot use the same computer and not pass each other and not "know" each other or know "about" each other. They (Bystander and WWJD) were busted out on this aspect.
Now - what's the spin on the "nephew" - who was visiting Lee - since you have explanations for everything - what you got on dat?
Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 25 2007, 10:37 PM
Isn't this a white lie along the lines of spiritual adultery? Deceptive without actually telling a flat out lie? Same old same old.
Richard
QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 25 2007, 11:33 PM)
I agree - this statement makes it sound like they don't know each otherknowing good and well that they do.

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 25 2007, 10:40 PM

QUOTE FHB The Lord must be guiding both of our thoughts along the same line. Earlier, I too, was wondering, what, if any, had Mr. J contributed to the 3abn story. Just like Clay, he calls names, points fingers, and talks down to everyone that doesn't agree but I have failed to see where his "ire" comes from.... I do believe his strong statements come from a place of you get what you put out. Folks on here have called victims of molestation willing participants. Folks have been threatened with lawsuits and court. Folks have been called an outright lie. You cannot possibly continue to throw mess around and not get some splash back of something on your shirt.... QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 26 2007, 12:37 AM) Isn't this a white lie along the lines of spiritual adultery? Deceptive without actually telling a flat out lie? Same old same old. x sna □ Sho' is..... Kinda like when my Mother didn't want to talk to a lady in the neighborhood - and when she called she jumped in the tub and told me to tell her..."Tell her I'm in the tub and will call her back later..." Technically - she wasn't lying... Posted by: calvin Feb 25 2007, 10:50 PM QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 25 2007, 08:49 PM) 🗌 Sera--your summary is incorrect. Bystander explained that he and wwild are both sharing a computer. They did not create a "third" personality. It was a real person and what he had to say was true. They were not doing anything deceptive at all. The "third" person also was using their computer, who was a visiting nephew. Lee is correct. There was no third personality, I am pretty sure of that. As Bystander stated in this thread, both bystander and wwjd where allowed back in after my investigation. By the way, I am well schooled in the operations and working the Internet Protocol (IP), having assigned IP addresses as a Network Manager with a 700 node network, and IT Director.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 25 2007, 11:59 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 25 2007, 09:50 PM) 🗌
Lee is correct. There was no third personality, I am pretty sure of that. As Bystander stated in this thread, both bystander and wwjd where allowed back in after my investigation. By the way, I am well schooled in the operations and working the Internet Protocol (IP), having assigned IP addresses as a Network Manager with a 700 node network, and IT Director.
Calvin,
So dewayneholden, the nephew of either wwjd and Bystander (per Lee), was a separate user posting from a separate computer? I understand why his post was quickly erased but was dewayneholden permanently banned?
РВ
Posted by: seraph m Feb 26 2007, 12:22 AM
QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 25 2007, 11:40 PM)
I do believe his strong statements come from a place of you get what you put out. Folks on here have called victims of molestation willing participants. Folks have been threatened with lawsuits and court. Folks have been called an outright lie.
You cannot possibly continue to throw mess around and not get some splash back of something on your shirt T. Sho' is Sho' is
Kinda like when my Mother didn't want to talk to a lady in the neighborhood - and when she called she jumped in the tub and told me to tell her"Tell her I'm in the tub and will call her back later"
Technically - she wasn't lying
DrRe: And FHB's accusations of anger is simply another RED HERRING. In other words it is another lie to evade the Proven/Verifiable facts regarding the lies told by the by/wwjd. Which proves that they have no credibility
BTW HH, where is that RED Herring?.
Posted by: calvin Feb 26 2007, 08:55 AM
QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 25 2007, 11:59 PM)
Calvin,

So dewayneholden, the nephew of either wwjd and Bystander (per Lee), was a separate user posting from a separate computer? I understand why his post was quickly erased but was dewayneholden permanently banned?			
РВ	***************************************		
Yes to both questions.			
Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 26 2007, 09:53 AM			
QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 25 2007, 10:42 PM)			
Mr. J's anger is evident - however the reason is not so at this point			

yes, I have to be the "angry black man" in your conspiracy theory... I suppose you have to hang that on someone...

QUOTE

Mr. J. maybe you can share with us what your main point is in the whole 3ABN issue. I can't find, through searching your posts here in the 3ABN forum, what your main contention, well, any significant contention is. You seem very angry at the church, at 3ABN, at anything that doesn't align perfectly with your own personal theology. What evidence have you presented? What point do you have to make - other than railing at 3ABN?

I will tell you that if you will tell me how your throwing stones while hiding in the shadows to prevent them from being lobbed back at you is in any wise consistent with the Christianity you profess.

QUOTE

Many have been the object of your derision when they didn't deserve it.

There are over 2000 members of BSDA; quantify 'many'... then prove they were undeserving... like the victims of Tommy Shelton were undeserving of the spite and derision from your camp, the contemptible innuendos and claims of their being consenting partners for Tommy's proclivities... when you can show I have done *any* like that... including the persons in your camp... then there may be truth in this allegation...

QUOTE

You have held yourself up as a paragon of perfection claiming that any an all individuals that disagree with you even on a miniscule point are somehow from the Devil.

This is becoming a constant refrain from your camp... as I said to your boy wwjd... show me where I have claimed perfection in any wise...much less holding myself up as a 'paragon of perfection'... or that all who disagree with me are "from the devil". You claim I do it continually, so you should not have any difficulty citing myriad posts when I have stated such.

You cant because I have never made such claims.

QUOTE

You can quote scripture - that doesn't make you holy or sanctified or saved, after all the Devil knows scripture backwards and forwards. You can quote Mrs. White, that doesn't mean you alone have applied her guidance correctly.

Again, I have never claimed to be the sole correct interpreter of EGW... but thank you for acknowledging that my application of her guidance was, in fact, correct. I have never quoted a scripture at any time to anyone in order to effect justification, sanctification or to be endowed with any personal holiness so again, you are firmly in the realm of either false witness against me or puerile delusion; you choose which.

QUOTE

I fully expect to receive your wrath with this post - but in the name of the Lord I will endure whatever slings and arrows you decide to send my way. Why? Because I am sure that the Lord is in charge and I do not post without prayerful consideration before I do. Question me, belittle me, disparage me, cast dispersion on my character - but remember that God does guide and direct and you do not have the market cornered on his guidance and direction.

- FHB

For all of the questioning, belittling, disparagement (and BTW, one casts *aspersion* on another's character... yeah; you've done that here too) that you have done in this post, you have made two statements of truth... That my application of EGW is infact true and correct and that I am being guided by God. I am sure it wasn't your intent to acknowledge either... but as you said... sometimes you let God lead. the rest is your working out the haterade in your system.

I am sorry to inform you that you don't rate my wrath... none of you does. you do, however have my pity... which, as this post shows, is certain to inculcate your wrath... but I'm not concerned one way or the other; I've been called worse... by better people... who were man enough to show their faces and state their names.

Neither you nor anyone else in your camp has refuted anything I've said BTW... you've complained that it wasn't said nice enough for your liking; you've supposed that since I have generally been right, that I must think I am always right... which is an errant supposition at best and an outright lie at worst... you've danced around the issues and done your level best to make me the issue to take the heat off the actual discussion...but you have refuted zip...nada. nil.. nothing.. zippo.

And your constant bombardment of weapons of mass distraction doesn't change that.

In His service, Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 26 2007, 09:56 AM

thats what I'm talkin bout.... everything Bro J said.... and said it well...

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 26 2007, 09:08 PM

Well alright!

x sna

Powered by Invision Power Board (http://www.invisionboard.com)
© Invision Power Services (http://www.invisionpower.com)