



Printable Version of Topic

[Click here to view this topic in its original format](#)

BlackSDA _ 3ABN _ Corporate "worship" At 3abn

Posted by: sister Feb 7 2007, 08:21 AM

QUOTE(husbandoftheyear @ Feb 7 2007, 08:18 AM) □

Don't worry, I believe we will see many more people posting on this forum. After corporate "worship" yesterday, the station was buzzing.

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTA that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

Posted by: Grace Feb 7 2007, 08:34 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 03:21 PM)

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

Thanks for the information, Sister! I find that very revealing in many aspects, especially of the true spirit of some people...

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 08:42 AM

stupid is and stupid does..... -Mama Gump-

Posted by: caribbean sda Feb 7 2007, 08:42 AM

hmm...there's a lot of hot air blowing in Thompsonville...



The wagons are circling... now I'll sit back and wait for the spin...y'all know it's forthcoming, right?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 09:09 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 08:21 AM)

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after

Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

Deja vu. Kinds reminds me of another "worship service" several years back. See this link:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-ethical-allegations-duane-clem.htm>

t's amazing how history repeats itself.

Posted by: watchbird Feb 7 2007, 09:35 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 7 2007, 10:09 AM)

Deja vu. Kinds reminds me of another "worship service" several years back. See this link:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-ethical-allegations-duane-clem.htm>

It's amazing how history repeats itself.

And I'm sure this has repeated itself many times over.

Thank you Duane for sharing this with us... I do hope everyone reading here will read your side of the story that led to your firing. And I hope that this will encourage others who have lived through this same kind of "worship experience" to come forward with their stories.... either here where they can have their choice of doing so anonymously or over their signature, or by sharing with one of the researchers who will pass their stories on to the rest of us.

I think your experience is especially significant in view of how the Sabbath is theoretically held up by 3abn. I'm wondering if there are those who can help us know whether this kind of double standard is still being practiced currently this overtly at 3abn.

Posted by: Grace Feb 7 2007, 09:35 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 7 2007, 04:09 PM)

Deja vu. Kinds reminds me of another "worship service" several years back. See this link:

<http://www.save3abn.com/danny-shelton-ethical-allegations-duane-clem.htm>

It's amazing how history repeats itself.

Thank you, Duane. I followed the link. I had no idea. That was wrong! As an SDA, I'm ashamed of what some of my fellow believers have been doing. Shame on them! I pray that truth will prevail and that justice will be done.

Blessings!

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 09:58 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 06:42 AM)

stupid is and stupid does..... -Mama Gump-

imen


Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 10:00 AM

Dan's spirit has been revealed on many occasions -- some of them very public. But it's so very sad that others are choosing to go down with him, even using prayer as a means of furthering Dan's agenda. It seems to me that such prayers are slanderous towards God Himself by what implying that God would act in concert with Dan & John in their agenda.

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 09:21 AM)

Sister

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

That last statement -- that "Linda's team" is "always one step ahead" is revealing and a bit amusing. Considering that the folks representing Dan here are claiming that most if not all of the information must come from Linda herself, I wonder how they figure Linda is so omniscient **and** so smart?? 

Posted by: Bystander Feb 7 2007, 10:50 AM

Sister

[size=4][b]Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

[/color]Sister if you are going to tell something at least be accurate instead of putting your spin on it. The truth is, Danny did not say 3abn was going after anyone that supports Linda. Ludicrous. The lawsuit is for those that have made slanderous and liable statements that are not true and there have been many. There are laws against defaming a persons character and/or defaming an organization with lies that can be proven that they are lies. I have said repeatedly that they can prove their innocence in many of the accusations that have been slung on this forum. They didn't choose to do it here. Because they didn't have to prove anything on this forum, you all took that as guilt beyond a shadow of a doubt. That was a mistake. 3abn has every right to do what they are doing.

Of course they have known for a long time that someone gives Linda information. What spin that information takes by the time it comes out is a different story.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 11:27 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 08:50 AM) 

Sister

[size=4][b]Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

[/color]Sister if you are going to tell something at least be accurate instead of putting your spin on it. The truth is, Danny did not say 3abn was going after anyone that supports Linda. Ludicrous. The lawsuit is for those that have made slanderous and liable statements that are not true and there

have been many. There are laws against defaming a persons character and/or defaming an organization with lies that can be proven that they are lies. I have said repeatedly that they can prove their innocence in many of the accusations that have been slung on this forum. They didn't choose to do it here. Because they didn't have to prove anything on this forum, you all took that as guilt beyond a shadow of a doubt. That was a mistake. 3abn has every right to do what they are doing.

Of course they have known for a long time that someone gives Linda information. What spin that information takes by the time it comes out is a different story.

bystander,

agree that 3abn did not have to proof anything that was wrong on this or any other site wrong.

but the wise and i would Christian thing to do would to do that. Instead to me 3Abn is taking a very dangerous course, what happens if they get in to court and the judge rules against them, and anyone that looks around the American justice system knows that wrong things get ruled right in this country all the time(for example the 1973 woe vs. wade ruling has an very harsh example of what i mean)

so i guess that danny must a 1000% sure that he is right before taking such a risk, when he could have defused at least the marriage issues three years go by being more biblical in his approach.

Erik

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 11:28 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 11:50 AM)

3abn has every right to do what they are doing.

Of course they have known for a long time that someone gives Linda information. What spin that information takes by the time it comes out is a different story. [/color]

interesting.

thought they "knew" that *Linda gave information to this forum*. I also understand that Dan knew/knows that Sister is Linda.

How you say they "knew" *that someone gives Linda information*.

sn:

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 7 2007, 11:37 AM

Or it could all be just a bluff by Danny to make people back down and shut up, a desperate attempt at silencing the critics before it really blows up in his face.

Richard

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 12:27 PM)

bystander,

i agree that 3abn did not have to proof anything that was wrong on this or any other site wrong.

But the wise and i would Christian thing to do would to do that. Instead to me 3Abn is taking a very dangerous course, what happens if they get in to court and the judge rules against them, and anyone that looks around the American justice system knows that wrong things get ruled right in this country all the time(for example the 1973 woe vs. wade ruling has an very harsh example of what i mean)

So i guess that danny must a 1000% sure that he is right before taking such a risk, when he could have defused at least the marriage issues three years go by being more biblical in his approach.

Erik

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 7 2007, 11:48 AM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 11:50 AM)

Sister if you are going to tell something at least be accurate instead of putting your spin on it. The truth is, Danny did not say 3abn was going after anyone that supports Linda. Ludicrous. The lawsuit is for those that have made slanderous and liable statements that are not true and there have been many. There are laws against defaming a persons character and/or defaming an organization with lies that can be proven that they are lies. I have said repeatedly that they can prove their innocence in many of the accusations that have been slung on this forum. They didn't choose to do it here. Because they didn't have to prove anything on this forum, you all took that as guilt beyond a shadow of a doubt. That was a mistake. 3abn has every right to do what they are doing.

Of course they have known for a long time that someone gives Linda information. What spin that information takes by the time it comes out is a different story.[/color]

Let the spin begin...

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 7 2007, 12:37 PM)

Or it could all be just a bluff by Danny to make people back down and shut up, a desperate attempt at silencing the critics before it really blows up in his face.

Richard



Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 11:49 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 7 2007, 12:37 PM)

Or it could all be just a bluff by Danny to make people back down and shut up, a desperate attempt at silencing the critics before it really blows up in his face.

Richard

True it could be just a big bluff. Still, employees who may live on 3ban property, and use pc's have access only through that company server may want to go off campus to be sure their information is not being "viewed" for use against them. Can't hurt to be safe rather than sorry.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 7 2007, 11:53 AM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 11:27 AM)

bystander,

i agree that 3abn did not have to proof anything that was wrong on this or any other site wrong.

But the wise and i would Christian thing to do would to do that. Instead to me 3ABn is taking a very dangerous course, what happens if they get in to court and the judge rules against them, and anyone that looks around the American justice system knows that wrong things get ruled right in this country all the time(for example the 1973 woe vs. wade ruling has an very harsh example of what i mean)

So i guess that danny must a 1000% sure that he is right before taking such a risk, when he could have defused at least the marriage issues three years go by being more biblical in his approach.

Erik

Erik first let me add to my earlier post on the worship subject. I was told there were lots and lots of people at worship. **I was also told that at no time did Danny make the statement that he was "going after Linda and Linda's supporters.** Whoever said that was drawing his/her own conclusion and putting words in someones mouth. A good example of how most of the accusations have materialized.

Eric you said Danny must be 1000% sure that he/3abn is right. That is a great statement. Most of the people on this forum must think he is stupid. They accuse him of all these atrocities and then say how dumb he is to take it to court because 3abn will be exposed. Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail. Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide? Of course not. If you all would just apply a little common sense to some of these allegations you would see how far off base you really are. You can come to only one conclusion: They have already shown they are willing to go to court by filing the lawsuit. They obviously have nothing to hide.

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 11:56 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 7 2007, 12:37 PM) □

Or it could all be just a bluff by Danny to make people back down and shut up, a desperate attempt at silencing the critics before it really blows up in his face.

It's called intimidation. □ □

Considering that most posts on this board are anonymous, he would have a *really* difficult time making anything stick to any real person. What I suspect, though, is that he will attempt to serve Linda, who would then have to defend herself -- even if she had never visited this or any other site, which her supporters claim (and I don't doubt them).

The charges are ridiculous, but defense costs money. That is how the wealthy often squelch opposition. They can intimidate, and they can simply wear out the opposition by bringing unfounded lawsuits.

Calvin, as owner of a chat board, cannot be demonstrated to have liability, as he apparently understands. Others are protected by freedom of speech.

As I said, the financial factor can be significant. Of course, it's possible to counter-sue to recover legal costs for defense against frivolous charges, and I'm sure that unfounded charges based on board postings would be legally "frivolous." (That's my common sense speaking up; I am *not* a lawyer or in any way connected to the legal profession.)

Oh, by the way, Dan, if you want to serve *me*, please warn the person serving the papers that our driveway is *very steep and slippery*. □

Posted by: Bystander Feb 7 2007, 11:58 AM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 7 2007, 10:00 AM) □

Dan's spirit has been revealed on many occasions -- some of them very public. But it's so very sad that others are choosing to go down with him, even using prayer as a means of furthering Dan's agenda. It seems to me that such prayers are slanderous towards God Himself by what implying that God would act in concert with Dan & John in their agenda. □ □

That last statement -- **that "Linda's team" is "always one step ahead" is revealing and a bit amusing.**

It is revealing but not so amusing. No employer wants to employ a traitor in their company. 3ABN has known for some time that they have had workers that give information to Linda or her friends. You don't have to be smart or everywhere at once when you have eyes and ears that do the work for you.

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 12:05 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 11:58 AM) □

It is revealing but not so amusing. No employer wants to employ a traitor in their company. 3ABN has known for some time that they have had workers that give information to Linda or her friends. You don't have to be smart or everywhere at once when you have eyes and ears that do the work for you.

spoken like the Defender of Danny that you are.... and now we know your purpose here... Danny must have figured out that he needs eyes and ears here.... tell him we said hi....



Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 12:06 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 12:58 PM)

It is revealing but not so amusing. No employer wants to employ a traitor in their company. 3ABN has known for some time that they have had workers that give information to Linda or her friends. You don't have to be smart or everywhere at once when you have eyes and ears that do the work for you.

Employees who may have a higher moral standard does not make one a traitor Any person who would fire someone for not sharing the same opinion as them should not have any authority over others. To fire someone because they spoke their mind in relation to a matter that does not have ANY affect on their production at work is foolish in the extreme. Such behavior is petty and reprehensible.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 7 2007, 12:16 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 7 2007, 11:56 AM)

It's called intimidation. 

Considering that most posts on this board are anonymous, he would have a *really* difficult time making anything stick to any real person. What I suspect, though, is that he will attempt to serve Linda, who would then have to defend herself -- even if she had never visited this or any other site, which her supporters claim (and I don't doubt them).

The charges are ridiculous, but defense costs money. That is how the wealthy often squelch opposition. They can intimidate, and they can simply wear out the opposition by bringing unfounded lawsuits.

Do you actually think that the board who would have to vote to bring charges or not..would have done so without getting all of the legal counsel they had available and would, proceed or not according to that council?...Unbelievable...You have a group of smart, with it, business people that know how to deal with these kind of situations. It is amazing that the people here know more than they and their lawyers. How do you think a world wide ministry could survive if all the board and the administration just jumped haphazardly into their decisions. AGain, I say, If they had all these things to hide, as has been alleged here, they wouldn't be doing this. As far as financial backing, Gailon Joy tells that "linda's team" has a wealthy backer, so the statement

that Linda would have to defend herself is a moot point.

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 7 2007, 12:06 PM)

Employees who may have a higher moral standard does not make one a traitor Any person who would fire someone for not sharing the same opinion as them should not have any authority over others. To fire someone because they spoke their mind in relation to a matter that does not have ANY affect on their production at work is foolish in the extreme. Such behavior is petty and reprehensible.

I disagree 100%. Having a difference of opinion and stabbing your employers in the back by carrying many unsubstantiated tales are two different things. I would wager if you owned a business that you would not continue to employ such an employee that was hurting your business by his back stabbing. No organization ministry or business would. It is ridiculous to think otherwise.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 12:05 PM)

spoken like the Defender of Danny that you are.... and now we know your purpose here... Danny must have figured out that he needs eyes and ears here.... tell him we said hi....



Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 12:17 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 09:53 AM)

Erik first let me add to my earlier post on the worship subject. I was told there were lots and lots of people at worship. **I was also told that at no time did Danny make the statement that he was "going after Linda and Linda's supporters.** Whoever said that was drawing his/her own conclusion and putting words in someones mouth. A good example of how most of the accusations have materialized.

Eric you said Danny must be 1000% sure that he/3abn is right. That is a great statement. Most of the people on this forum must think he is stupid. They accuse him of all these atrocities and then say how dumb he is to take it to court because 3abn will be exposed. Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail. Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide? Of course not. If you all would just apply a little common sense to some of these allegations you would see how far off base you really are. You can come to only one conclusion: They have already shown they are willing to go to court by filing the lawsuit. They obviously have nothing to hide.

Bystander,

In fairness to the The 3 abn Board they could be snowballed, history is full of leaders who lead their church's, company's and even countries down the wrong path. I will refrain for making examples so people do think that i am saying danny is exactly like any example that would come to mind.

Also bystander a few years back i went to class that cost many thousands of dollars, one days discussion was on lawsuits, and the part that I remember the most is when the teacher said "Lawsuits are a business tool learn how to use them" so it doe snot impress me when i hear a Christian company is using world methods to fix their problems, i believe EGW has a ton of suggestions about not using the worlds methods to do God's work.

Erik

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 12:19 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 12:16 PM) □

Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

Why don't they come here and set the record straight, then? Again I say, if someone was spreading false allegations against someone I loved, I would come to their defense.

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 12:20 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 12:16 PM) □

Do you actually think that the board who would have to vote to bring charges or not..would have done so without getting all of the legal counsel they had available and would, proceed or not according to that council?...Unbelievable...You have a group of smart, with it, business people that know how to deal with these kind of situations. It is amazing that the people here know more than they and their lawyers. How do you think a world wide ministry could survive if all the board and the administration just jumped haphazardly into their decisions. AGain, I say, If they had all these things to hide, as has been alleged here, they wouldn't be doing this.

As far as financial backing, Gailon Joy tells that "linda's team" has a wealthy backer, so the statement that Linda would have to defend herself is a moot point.

I disagree 100%. Having a difference of opinion and stabbing your employers in the back by carrying many unsubstantiated tales are two different things. I would wager if you owned a business that you would not continue to employ such an employee that was hurting your business by his back stabbing. No organization ministry or business would. It is ridiculous to think otherwise.

Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

Are these your own words or did Danny tell you to tell us that?



Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 12:24 PM

Bystander quote

Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

Bystander,

if that is true then hundreds of people must think it is a big lie about tommy and his messing around.

which means that hundreds of people are lacking a full picture.

I would also add that jim jones got hundreds of people to drink poisoned, so i am not sure what hunderds of people proves.

secondly have all those hunderd of people read the letters from the victims and the mothers of victims, i am sure they are outraged but it might be at 3abn.

Erik

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 12:27 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 12:53 PM)

You can come to only one conclusion: They have already shown they are willing to go to court by filing the lawsuit. They obviously have nothing to hide.

That's hardly the only conclusion.

Savvy business men know that, generally, the one with the most money wins -- not the one with the best case. (You can all probably remember some well publicized cases to demonstrate that point.) Usually those with less money can simply be bankrupted by having to defend themselves.

It is also possible that Dan is just what he appears to be to us and that he has his board members deceived. (I know from experiences in my own family that certain psychopathic personalities can charm even family members to turn against their own. Lies can be every bit as persuasive as truth.)

If they have nothing to hide, why did they not agree to the full-disclosure terms of Linda's team when ASI was supposed to investigate the issues? Remember that Danny's team wanted no disclosure except the "conclusion" on a very limited subject. Linda's team wanted **full disclosure** on all issues, to be filed at all Adventist college libraries.

So, who has something to hide, and who doesn't?

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 12:33 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 11:53 AM)

Erik first let me add to my earlier post on the worship subject. I was told there were lots and lots of people at worship. **I was also told that at no time did Danny make the statement that he was "going after Linda and Linda's supporters.** Whoever said that was drawing his/her own conclusion and putting words in someones mouth. A good example of how most of the accusations have materialized.

Eric you said Danny must be 1000% sure that he/3abn is right. That is a great statement. Most of the people on this forum must think he is stupid. They accuse him of all these atrocities and then say how dumb he is to take it to court because 3abn will be exposed. Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail. Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide? Of course not. If you all would just apply a little common sense to some of these allegations you would see how far off base you really are. You can come to only one conclusion: They have already shown they are willing to go to court by filing the lawsuit. They obviously have nothing to hide.

Thank you Bystander. As I read through all the post, its overwhelming, I almost start to believe this stuff as fact, then there are post through the threads that bring me back to reality. Great Point -Know one brings on court when they are in the wrong. To lie in court could have a great cost - freedom for one.
GP

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 12:34 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 01:16 PM)

As far as financial backing, Gailon Joy tells that "linda's team" has a wealthy backer, so the statement that Linda would have to defend herself is a moot point.

H'mm ... I must have missed that. When/where did he say that? Have **you** been corresponding with him privately?

Who is there with the same financial resources as the McNeilus family that could be backing Linda's team?

Just curious ...

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 12:37 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:33 PM)

Thank you Bystander. As I read through all the post, its overwhelming, I almost start to believe this stuff as fact, then there are post through the threads that bring me back to reality. Great Point -Know one brings on court when they are in the wrong. To lie in court could have a great cost - freedom for one.
GP

more eyes and ears of the great one? whose talking you or someone through you?



Posted by: Lee Feb 7 2007, 12:38 PM

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.
And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 12:39 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:33 PM)

Thank you Bystander. As I read through all the post, its overwhelming, I almost start to believe this stuff as fact, then there are post through the threads that bring me back to reality. Great Point -Know one brings on court when they are in the wrong. To lie in court could have a great cost - freedom for one.
GP

You obviously know NOTHING about the court system.

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 12:41 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 7 2007, 12:38 PM)

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.
And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

Lee as you haven't added much to the discussions.... you can leave anytime... just click that little X in the upper right hand corner...

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 12:42 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 7 2007, 12:38 PM)

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.

And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

No one has been banned for supporting Danny. They were banned for character assassination and insinuations.

Are you saying these go hand in hand?

Posted by: caribbean sda Feb 7 2007, 12:45 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 7 2007, 01:49 PM)

True it could be just a big bluff. Still, employees who may live on 3ban property, and use pc's have access only through that company server may want to go off campus to be sure their information is not being "viewed" for use against them. Can't hurt to be safe rather than sorry.

You mean "Big Brother" may be watching?

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 12:47 PM


QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 7 2007, 01:38 PM)



Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight."...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "**lover**" or whatever other term **you people** can think of.

And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!


Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

Hmmm. 

 why 

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 12:48 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 7 2007, 12:47 PM)

Hmmm. 

noticed that too.... why would we think that someone here defending Danny would be his lover... I havent heard that allegation..... yet....

Posted by: princessdi Feb 7 2007, 12:58 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 10:16 AM)

Do you actually think that the board who would have to vote to bring charges or not..would have done so without getting all of the legal counsel they had available and would, proceed or not according to that council?...Unbelievable...You have a group of smart, with it, business people that know how to deal with these kind of situations. It is amazing that the people here know more than they and their lawyers. How do you think a world wide ministry could survive if all the board and the administration just jumped haphazardly into their decisions. AGain, I say, If they had all these things to hide, as has been alleged here, they wouldn't be doing this.

Bush put a spin on the war in Iraq that most would not buy today. In fact he would not have the votes to go to war. It was all in the presentation.....don't act like you don't know that is how it is done.

As far as financial backing, Gailon Joy tells that "linda's team" has a wealthy backer, so the statement that Linda would have to defend herself is a moot point.

I told wwjd, now I am telling you....aint' nothing worse than a messy man. Stand on your own. trying to sully(sp) GJ rep/divide and conquer stuff is beneath you both. Let it go! IWO, just the facts, gentlemen.

I disagree 100%. Having a difference of opinion and stabbing your employers in the back by *carrying many unsubstantiated tales are two different things*. I would wager if you owned a business that you would not continue to employ such an employee that was hurting your business by his back stabbing. No organization ministry or business would. It is ridiculous to think otherwise.

Whistle blowers are what they are called, most currently, and I am sure Enron, and everyone else whose employees had enough of their evil ways and were brave enough to stand up, considered these people as traitors. Enron and the rest of them were not betrayed, they were caught in their

mess, same thing here. Those who call the souls who stand up for what is right as traitors are generally apart or are benefitting in some way from the mess. It is that simple. Once again they are only calling Danny to answer to the many inconsistencies in his life(as a self professed public SDA christian leader) and ministry. That is not persecution or betrayal but a call to accountability

Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

All they have to do is not come here....boycott this site....all of that. Public figures must understand that all will not like them, and many who don't will be very vocal about it. Simple as that Ministers and ministries are nto exempt. SDAs join wholeheartedly with those who call thelikes of Jakes, Myeyrs, Olsteen, Long, etc. propserity preachers and question their more than successful lifestyles, and pick apart every single word and deed. Danny is not exempt by any means. The ones I mentioned dont' even have half as much going on as Danny does. We are going to start with the fact that none has yet to kick their spouse to the curb for a younger model, under questionable circumstances. IOW, they need to get over it!

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 01:02 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 09:53 AM) □

Erik first let me add to my earlier post on the worship subject. I was told there were lots and lots of people at worship. **I was also told that at no time did Danny make the statement that he was "going after Linda and Linda's supporters.** Whoever said that was drawing his/her own conclusion and putting words in someones mouth. A good example of how most of the accusations have materialized.

Eric you said Danny must be 1000% sure that he/3abn is right. That is a great statement. Most of the people on this forum must think he is stupid. They accuse him of all these atrocities and then say how dumb he is to take it to court because 3abn will be exposed. Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail. Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide? Of course not. If you all would just apply a little common sense to some of these allegations you would see how far off base you really are. You can come to only one conclusion: They have already shown they are willing to go to court by filing the lawsuit. They obviously have nothing to hide.

bystander....it's very interesting how you don't say things....
Is can say the same thing in several ways -
for example, I can say:

'm gong to the store for something
here is something at the store I need now
wonder if they have this at the store when I get there

someone listening would hear "they are going to the store" and relay the BIG IDEA that I am going to the store for something I need now.

Is said as much in this meeting...and out of 100 or more people lets say, they can describe what he said in many ways, using many terms, but he conveyed THE BIG IDEA very clearly. No one drew "their

own conclusions" as you say unless it was said in a way that was clearly meant and implied to SCARE people, and anyone that knows Dan, KNOWS DAN. There is no confusion. I have no doubt he left anyone wondering just what he meant. So save the spin.

secondly, when you say "Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail", you are so right. He does, which is why he has avoided it at all COSTS over the years. You know you can only bluff for so long, then it gets called. It's being called, so he better brace himself, it's for real this time.

thirdly, when you say "Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide?" IN A WORD, Y E S. They will willingly do it because they have submitted themselves to do what he tells them. That has been shown over and over.

Apply a little common sense? Yes, that should go both ways. You assume because they are willing to go to court they have nothing to hide....that is a lie. Because they make a threat does not mean they are willing to go. Because they file charges, does not mean they are willing to go. There is nothing obvious about it. This does not mean they have nothing to hide. But, on the other hand, when threats are made to a party, and that party says bring it on, I think that should tell you something very clearly - THEY are not afraid to go. Better wonder why. That is obvious. If I were a betting person, I would not put my money on Dan.

And for once get this straight - NO ONE WANTS TO SEE 3ABN GO AWAY! So, ds and the rest, stop putting them together as if they are one in the same - THEY ARE NOT. You do this just to keep people distracted from the real "problem".

One other thing....when you say "As far as financial backing, Gailon Joy tells that "linda's team" has a wealthy backer", SHOW ME (US) WHERE GAILON HAS SAID THIS??? I always ask you to prove things to me, but you never do...will it be the same this time too?? Produce this statement from Gailon. Did you make it up?? or are you repeating malicious gossip from unsubstantiated and unreliable sources?? So, I'm waiting....

If you are not ds, you are most certainly his twin.

I give you a B for effort...and an F for success. But, JMO, of course.

Posted by: Chez Feb 7 2007, 01:04 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 08:21 AM) □

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he

is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

THIS IS UNGODLY!!! This reminds me of Jim Jones and David Koresh. All you conference officials, i.e., local, union, division, and general, it is time for you to stand up and not be passive and "bystanders" to this evil. In the area of bullying, "bystanders" are considered co-conspirators of bullying. Therefore, if we keep silent about these wrongful actions, we are supporting Danny Shelton and his evil acts. We are culpable!! THEREFORE, WE MUST STAND UP FOR JESUS!!!

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 01:16 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 11:50 AM)

The truth is, Danny did not say 3abn was going after anyone that supports Linda.

here you speak as if you were there to hear what Danny stated for yourself...

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 12:53 PM)

Erik first let me add to my earlier post on the worship subject. I was told there were lots and lots of people at worship. **I was also told that at no time did Danny make the statement that he was "going after Linda and Linda's supporters.**

here you admit you were not... and are only going by what you've been told.

Not having been there to hear what Danny did say or did not say first hand, **how do you know?**

how do you know the version of events you were told, by your own admission, is correct and the

version posted by one who *was* there is not?

Expliquez, s'il vous plait... merci beaucoup.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 01:19 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 7 2007, 12:34 PM)

H'mm ... I must have missed that. When/where did he say that? Have **you** been corresponding with him privately?

Who is there with the same financial resources as the McNeilus family that could be backing Linda's team?

Just curious ...

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers. I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagen a women who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.
GP

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 01:19 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 7 2007, 11:38 AM)

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...**and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.**

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.

And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

I've been out sick so I guess I missed this. Who are the conference workers that have been banned? Are you saying that watchbird sneered in the face of a conference president? Is the conference president one that was banned?

Far as I have seen, only those who break the rules that Calvin set up have been banned. Isn't this correct Kaiser Schlabotnick, Bystander, wwjd, Aletheia, and so on?

PB

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 01:21 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 7 2007, 01:19 PM)

I've been out sick so I guess I missed this.

I hope you have a note from your mommy. Otherwise, you get a demerit.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 01:26 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 11:19 AM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers. I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagen a women who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.

GP

oh brother.



Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 01:27 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:19 PM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has

received from various givers.

Try reading the statement again... which is in reference to Mr. Joy, not Mrs. Shelton.



Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 01:28 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 7 2007, 01:26 PM)

oh brother.



Exactly. That's why I ignored it. More of the same bilge we've already heard.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 01:29 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:19 PM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers. I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagine a woman who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.

GP

What? Huh?



Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 01:30 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 12:17 PM)

Bystander,

In fairness to the The 3 abn Board they could be snowballed, history is full of leaders who lead their church's, company's and even countries down the wrong path. I will refrain for making examples so people do think that i am saying danny is exactly like any example that would come to mind.

Also bystander a few years back i went to class that cost many thousands of dollars, one days discussion was on lawsuits, and the part that I remember the most is when the teacher said "Lawsuits are a business tool learn how to use them" so it doe snot impress me when i hear a

Christian company is using world methods to fix their problems, i believe EGW has a ton of suggestions about not using the worlds methods to do God's work.

Erik

'es she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 01:32 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 01:30 PM) □

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

Who's attacking the ministry?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 7 2007, 12:21 PM) □

I hope you have a note from your mommy. Otherwise, you get a demerit.

Nope, my dog ate it...

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 01:33 PM

QUOTE(Chez @ Feb 7 2007, 01:04 PM) □

THIS IS UNGODLY!!! This reminds me of Jim Jones and David Koresh. All you conference officials, i.e., local, union, division, and general, it is time for you to stand up and not be passive and "bystanders" to this evil. In the area of bullying, "bystanders" are considered co-conspirators of bullying. Therefore, if we keep silent about these wrongful actions, we are supporting Danny Shelton and his evil acts. We are culpable!! THEREFORE, WE MUST STAND UP FOR JESUS!!!

ABSOLUTLY THIS HAS ALL GOT TO STOP!

Its amazing how one cries out for justice as they are screaming with a problem as well.

Jesus have MERCY on all!

Justice will find all "IN BLAZES"

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 7 2007, 01:35 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 01:33 PM)

ABSOLUTLY THIS HAS ALL GOT TO STOP!
Its amazing how one cries out for justice as they are screaming with a problem as well.
Jesus have MERCY on all!
Justice will find all "IN BLAZES"



Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 01:35 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:19 PM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers. I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagen a women who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.
GP

Gosh, golly gee willikers it sounds like the danny apologists are having to reach to the end of the bench and put in their scrubs...

I guess it is in fact hard to find good help these days...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 01:35 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM)

ABSOLUTLY THIS HAS ALL GOT TO STOP!
Its amazing how one cries out for justice as they are screaming with a problem as well.
Jesus have MERCY on all!
Justice will find all "IN BLAZES"



Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 01:37 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM)

ABSOLUTLY THIS HAS ALL GOT TO STOP!

Lead by example, then... and go away...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 01:40 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 01:33 PM)

Thank you Bystander. As I read through all the post, its overwhelming, I almost start to believe this stuff as fact, then there are post through the threads that bring me back to reality. Great Point -Know one brings on court when they are in the wrong. To lie in court could have a great cost - freedom for one.
GP

Glenetta,



You just need to keep on reading. If you've only watched 3ABN on TV, some of the revelations here are sure to sound utterly unbelievable. **How could such a godly man do such dastardly deeds?** is the question sure to come to mind.

I suggest you go to <http://www.save3abn.com>/if you want to see some documentation of what's been going on behind the scenes at 3ABN and the kind of behavior Dan Shelton has aided and abetted in his brother, Tommy Shelton, who traveled with him on fundraisers for 3ABN, leading everyone to believe that he is a Seventh-day Adventist.

You appear to have an idealistic concept of the American legal system. All of us wish that were the true picture, I'm sure. The reality is that lawyers and legal suits are business tools used by the rich to suppress their poor opposition. What is right and what is wrong doesn't matter nearly so much as who has the money to pay the best lawyer. If you've wathed the news (either TV or magazines) you've probably seen the evidence that that is true even in murder trials.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 01:40 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 11:30 AM)

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that

spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

As a respectable leader and member in the church, she would have gotten involved a long time ago to do something to stop this corruption of ds. Also, she would have known it wasn't WHAT the airwaves portrayed to the masses, she would have been smart enough to know it was who was behind the airwaves was the problem. She wouldn't have turned the other way just because of what was on the airwaves...the ends don't justify the means EVER. At least, this is the EGW I read.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:33 PM)

Thank you Bystander. As I read through all the post, its overwhelming, I almost start to believe this stuff as fact, then there are post through the threads that bring me back to reality. Great Point - Know one brings on court when they are in the wrong. To lie in court could have a great cost - freedom for one.
GP

glenetta, prepare for the attack that will come your way. If you have been reading other posts you will see how all of the others that defend Sabn are either ridiculed or kicked off. If we didn't know that these were false accusations, we would have left a long time ago. Truthfully that is what they would like. Take all of us off and they can criticize, slander,accuse and whatever else amongst themselves with no opposition.
They think we have all been deceived. I wonder..has it ever entered their minds at all, that they might be the ones that are being deceived and they don't even realize by who.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 01:42 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 01:30 PM)

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

wwjd I don't know what SOP would say for the age and time we live in, but because the ministry has nothing to hide, my prayer is that they do not give up and that they endure. The people here are just moving mouths with thoughts, which are unsupported and disconnected. When you read many of the post you will sense the jellousy of a thriving ministry, some who maybe are looking to make a free buck, and others who are bitter because they were dismissed from employment due to bad choices they made while working there. Just my thoughts and my moving mouth as well.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 01:44 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 7 2007, 01:40 PM)

As a respectable leader and member in the church, she would have gotten involved a long time ago to do something to **stop this corruption of ds**. Also, she would have known it wasn't WHAT the airwaves portrayed to the masses, she would have been smart enough to know it was who was behind the airwaves was the problem. She wouldn't have turned the other way just because of what was on the airwaves...the ends don't justify the means EVER. At least, this is the EGW I read.

See just another accusation.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:30 PM)

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

wwjd, since you have professed that you are not SDA it is refreshing to hear that you know what EGW says! Perhaps you could search her writings and find out why there are members here who feel the burden to expose what they see as sinful practices that are detrimental to the ministry of 3abn.

While in the past I have seen one or two members here call for the plug to be pulled at 3abn, by far the vast majority of members here ARE NOT attacking the ministry but the sinful practices that are threatening to destroy it from within.

Do first hand testimonies from victims qualify as "on accusation alone"? I think not!

PB

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 01:47 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 01:42 PM)

wwjd I don't know what SOP would say for the age and time we live in, but because the ministry has nothing to hide, my prayer is that they do not give up and that they endure. The people here are just moving mouths with thoughts, which are unsupported and disconnected. When you read many of the post you will sense the jealousy of a thriving ministry, some who maybe are looking to make a free buck, and others who are bitter because they were dismissed from employment due to bad choices they made while working there. Just my thoughts and my moving mouth as well.

Your mouth shows that your mind has some very good insight. I could not have said it any better. There is a lot of jealousy, there are disgruntled ex employees, there are several on here that have their own axes to grind and this forum gives them the chance.
Thanks for seeing with clarity

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 01:47 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 7 2007, 01:35 PM)

Gosh, golly gee willikers it sounds like the danny apologists are having to reach to the end of the bench and put in their scrubs...

I guess it is in fact hard to find good help these days...

In His service,
Mr. J

Oh you big silly I am not the scrubs, they are yet to come, and they will be much more to your confusion. The simple confound the wise haven't you read that.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 01:50 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 7 2007, 11:35 AM)

Gosh, golly gee willikers it sounds like the danny apologists are having to reach to the end of the bench and put in their scrubs...

I guess it is in fact hard to find good help these days...

In His service,
Mr. J



Posted by: Noahswife Feb 7 2007, 01:51 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 7 2007, 01:16 PM)

Must have figured out? That is laughable. I have been told that 3abn has had hundreds of calls from viewers and supporters who are outraged at this site and want something to be done. There is no way that 3abn could have not known what was going on here even if they wanted to.

Although my mother has probably not called, she would if she thought something could be done to shut BlackSda.com down.

But after discussing with me how the abducted and abused boys from Missouri might never get to

have a normal life, I brought up the multiple letters being posted at save3abn.com regarding the allegations against TS.

Her response? "Lies, Lies, Lies!! I will never believe one word of it even if it goes to court."

That is not a healthy attitude either and I doubt she is alone. She is so committed to the work of 3ABN that she can only believe the devil is making the people come forward with these allegations.

As you and I have cross posted before, facts are critical and without spin, they hopefully when revealed will lead to truth. But so far I have found many allegations to be hearsay and unsupported. Those allegations that come from first hand knowledge and are consistent with other evidence of similar type are much more persuasive (for example Duane Clem's allegations).

A question of Danny's credibility has been persuasively established more than once through use of email copies and documents.

The jury is still here but opinions have been formed where rebuttal has not been attempted on those points.

nw

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 01:52 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 01:47 PM)

Your mouth shows that your mind has some very good insight. I could not have said it any better. There is a lot of jealousy, there are disgruntled ex employees, there are several on here that have their own axes to grind and this forum gives them the chance.
Thanks for seeing with clarity

wwjd I was just approach by a Mr. J who is well a bit of a specticle. Of all the things that he could of said he called me a "scrub". So what I am now experiencing is, they will call you names. What a group of intellect we have here. I will be sure an believe NONE of what they say, because well they are clearly speaking with two sides of their mouths.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 01:54 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewilderer @ Feb 7 2007, 01:46 PM)

wwjd, since you have professed that you are not SDA it is refreshing to hear that you know what EGW says! Perhaps you could search her writings and find out why there are members here who feel the burden to expose what they see as sinful practices that are detrimental to the ministry of 3abn.

While in the past I have seen one or two members here call for the plug to be pulled at 3abn, by far the vast majority of members here ARE NOT attacking the ministry but the sinful practices that are are threatening to destroy it from within.

Do first hand testimonies from victims qualify as "on accusation alone"? I think not!

PB

do know about Ellen white. I have been raised around adventists all my life and have read several of her books.

It totally would depend on who the "first hand" testimonies came from. If it is from someone who would love to see the present administration kicked out because of their own agenda's, their testimony wouldn't count for much. Likewise, people that have had altercations in some form or fashion with 3abn would not have much credibility either. Many see "detrimental practices" because that is what they want to see. What they "see" and what is "truth" and can be proven are 2 different things.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 7 2007, 01:54 PM

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

All are free to come here and post their side. Now if they come with guns blazing questioning folks christianity and Adventism, they will get as good as they give. BSDA is neither pro Danny or Linda or visa versa. Obviously individual members have chosen sides, some have not. I have said a few times now that i am nto pro Linda. I don't know anything about Linda except what her now ex husband did to get out of their marriage, and that was wrong. No reflection on her being right or wrong, but holding him accountable in the position of SDA christian leadership he has taken up. i can't make any judgements on Linda's words or actions, mainly because she has not been allowed to speak or act in her own behalf. I can only go on what I see and hear.

Lee, also it goes both ways. Those who come here in defense of Danny believe all those who are asking questions are pro Linda. That is not true. Danny is being asked to answer to his own actions a words, by the people he and his network claim to represent. He is accountable to those who support his netowrk by their funds or just their viewer ship. Simple. Not persecution, accountability.

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.

And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

Yeah, tell them to come on, but be ready when they get here. Come with the proof, that can be in the form of personal experiences, not a problem.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 01:54 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 11:47 AM) □

Your mouth shows that your mind has some very good insight. I could not have said it any better. There is a lot of jealousy, there are disgruntled ex employees, there are several on here that have their own axes to grind and this forum gives them the chance.
Thanks for seeing with clarity

WWJD, save it you two, or three, or ...whatever it is...can you say "transparancy"??

And, do make sure you get you know, your, ah, wife, husband, relative living with you, roommate or what ever your arrangement is - bystander, to sign back in soon to answer my question. I am still waiting. Or is he/she/they out getting their information like a good soldier? Make sure it's a reliable source.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:01 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 11:30 AM)

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

wwjd,

well in the mouths of many witnesses is the truth confirmed.

at last count i have read at least 6 solid witness agianst tommy, plus EGW would have have recieve form the Lord Jesus Christ the whole truth on this matter, and would have been writting many private letters years ago.

Erik

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 11:54 AM)

I do know about Ellen white. I have been raised around adventists all my life and have read several of her books.

It totally would depend on who the "first hand" testimonies came from. If it is from someone who would **love to see the present administration kicked out because of their own agenda's, their testimony wouldn't count for much.** Likewise, people that have had altercations in some form or fashion with 3abn would not have much credibility either. Many see "detrimental practices" because that is what they want to see. What they "see" and what is "truth" and can be proven are 2 different things.

wwjd,

i have no agenda, other the one that have posted previous which has to with protecting the innocent viewers of the 3abn network.

The charges against tommy have moved way based wild disgruntled persons stage, it is time 3abn got a new plan that is more biblical sound in dealing with the mess.

Erik w.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:01 PM

[quote name='Noahswife' date='Feb 7 2007, 01:51 PM' post='176186']

Although my mother has probably not called, she would if she thought something could be done to shut BlackSda.com down.

nw

The trouble that I see here is that when something is rebuttled and shown to be different (just like the statements that Linda was forced to sign her contract without legal counsel) it is then bypassed and usually the next step is to bring up Tommy S. The truth is, had Tommy never worked at 3abn in any capacity, you would still be here, still trying to twist the facts to attack Danny S and 3abn. As bystander pointed out, that most things read here could have their beginning from only one source. That source has turned it around and twisted it tightly, and actually has lied to fit what they need to have happen at the moment. You are all puppets but have no idea who is pulling your string...

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:05 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 01:19 PM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers. I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagen a women who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.

GP

yeah... someone's talking through you... a reflector of other people's thought you are.... the question is who has their hand up your back.... the rap is the same... tiresome.... we have heard it all before.... can you all not come up with something more original?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:07 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 7 2007, 01:54 PM)

WWJD, save it you two, or three, or ...whatever it is...can you say "transparancy"??

And, do make sure you get you know, your, ah, wife, husband, relative living with you, roommate or what ever your arrangement is - bystander, to sign back in soon to answer my question. I am

still waiting. Or is he/she/they out getting their information like a good soldier? Make sure it's a reliable source.

f he is, indeed, out getting information that would give him a lot more credibility on here than those who just read and accept whatever is posted. You, sonshineonme are the perfect example of someone who doesn't realize they are being handled by a master pupateer.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:05 PM)

yeah... someone's talking through you... a reflector of other people's thought you are.... the question is who has their hand up your back.... the rap is the same... tiresome.... we have heard it all before.... can you all not come up with something more original?

will say you are consistent about accusing the other side of exactly what you all are doing.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 02:07 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:52 PM)

wwjd I was just approach by a Mr. J who is well a bit of a spectacle. Of all the things that he **could** of said he called me a "scrub". So what I am now experiencing is, they will call you names. What a group of intellect we have here. I will be sure an believe NONE of what they say, because well they are clearly speaking with two sides of their mouths.

glenetta, I endeavor not to be mean-spirited so I hope you will take this point in the spirit it is given. t appears to me that you must have had the same English teacher in school as eye witness did for much of your grammar is identical.

On a related side note, I have also noticed the striking similarities in some spelling and grammar by wwjd, Bystander and Danny as well but I won't reveal what those similarities are at this time.

While I don't profess to be an expert in English grammar, it was my major in college so the written word has always been of interest to me.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:07 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 01:55 PM)

wwjd,

well in the mouths of many witnesses is the truth confirmed.

at last count i have read at least 6 solid witness agianst tommy, plus EGW would have have recieve form the Lord Jesus Christ the whole truth on this matter, and would have been writting many private letters years ago.

ERik

Erik I was told once that EGW was best read for myself. I took that advise and my life changed. Many seem to read EGW and apply her writings to everyone around them and that is why they find themselves trapped in a body of sinful nature. Reading the scripture is really that way also we need to read it for our own salvation. Then when one is found searching through the prono sites, or having an affair, they know that the scripures and the council is there to help them to over come. I don't think anywhere in SOP where you will find her or taking the modern day forum post for enough to condemn someone for such a serious mistake or sickness. This was not the spirt of Ellen G. White.

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:08 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 01:47 PM)

Your mouth shows that your mind has some very good insight. I could not have said it any better. There is a lot of jealousy, there are disgruntled ex employees, there are several on here that have their own axes to grind and this forum gives them the chance.
Thanks for seeing with clarity

you don't say it at all... you got your script from somewhere else... what was it that Bystander said, oh yes, eyes and ears... you tell Danny to quit sending ambassadors, he can come himself..

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 02:08 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:19 PM)

Gosh it sounds like Linda should have all the money she needs to back herself! It appears by the post, she has money flowing in from various resources, divorces, close friends male and female, music videos (web page), heck, if she got real hard up she could hock some of her gifts she has received from various givers.

Glenetta, I welcomed you as a 3ABN viewer that just stumbled into this site -- as an innocent surprised by all the mess you found here.

You have just unveiled yourself! No one who had just been a 3ABN viewer would normally conclude what you posted here. You show the spirit of Linda-bashing typical of defenders of Dan Shelton from corporate headquarters.

Your post is full of unfounded insinuations.

I asked you **where Gailon Joy said that Linda supporters had a big finacial backer** , which you claimed he said. **You did not document your claim but made further false claims instead!!**

So what do you know about gifts Linda has received? What do you know about money flowing in from various sources?

Please document your claims, starting with what Gailon Joy supposedly said!!

And, by the way, just from an ordinary common-sense point of view, the fact that Linda **still has music CD's to sell, including ones with Dan's picture on them, is evidence that she hasn't made much money off them -- especially since she's selling them at 3 for \$30.00!!** (See <http://www.lindashelton.org/music.html>)

QUOTE

I am sure they wouldn't mind if she was defending herself. Didn't she save any money from working at the ministry? Surely, she had a very good income one greater than her x's, I read. I can't imagen a women who was in such a position for all those years, becoming so dependant on others for her well being. Now she just appears so weak, maybe the word is not weak, maybe its, I don't know but it seems strange, when she appeared to be so different on TV.
GP

Yup, it's evident that you are no "innocent" stumbling in, but a determined Linda-basher coming from somewhere very close to 3ABN!

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 7 2007, 02:11 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 11:54 AM)

I do know about Ellen white. I have been raised around adventists all my life and have read several of her books.
It totally would depend on who the "first hand" testimonies came from. If it is from someone who would love to see the present administration kicked out because of their own agenda's, their testimony wouldn't count for much. Likewise, people that have had altercations in some form or fashion with 3abn would not have much credibility either. Many see "detrimental practices" because that is what they want to see. What they "see" and what is "truth" and can be proven are 2 different things.

you are truely a misguided person.

being around sda's all your life would not tell you anything about egw. reading her books, should, unless you read the wrong things (compilations for example can be very misleading as they are not compiled by others most often).

It ALL depends on the first hand testimonies and when they can corroborate each other, I'm sorry, it's over. You have taken a lot for granted (or swallowed the wrong thing) assuming that if someone wants to see the current admin go it's only because someone else has an agenda???? Oh PLEEEZ!

People earn their own consequences by their own deeds. SAVE IT. You know the saying, what goes around comes around? The scripture "Be sure your sins will find you out?" That goes for everyone. NO ONE is excluded, not ds and not Mollie, etc.

This has nothing to do with someone having an altercation with 3abn?? This has everything to do with LOTS OF PEOPLE having altercations with 3abn, and that's what happens when you treat people a particular way, hope to keep it quiet and then it ESCAPES! It's out!!

It's NOT the devil after 3abn! It's God after truth. Face it now, or face it later. I guess as God leads, if this gets to the courts, and at this point it should, it will all be out there for all to see. You won't be able to keep that crowd following a man anymore...lets hope they will still trust a ministry that has the name of 3abn on it. Danny insists on taking it down with him, so be it. It's his choice. His

consequences at the expense of many. Once again.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 12:08 PM)

you don't say it at all... you got your script from somewhere else... what was it that Bystander said, oh yes, eyes and ears... you tell Danny to quit sending ambassadors, he can come himself...

good little soldiers, aren't they?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:12 PM

[quote name='princessdi' date='Feb 7 2007, 01:54 PM' post='176189']

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

All are free to come here and post their side. Now if they come with guns blazing questioning folks christianity and Adventism, they will get as good as they give. BSDA is neither pro Danny or Linda or visa versa. Obviously individual members have chosen sides, some have not. I have said a few times now that i am nto pro Linda. **I don't know anything about Linda except what her now ex husband did to get out of their marriage, and that was wrong.** No reflection on her being right or wrong, but holding him accountable in the position of SDA christian leadership he has taken up. i can't make any judgements on Linda's words or actions, mainly because she has not been allowed to speak or act in her own behalf. I can only go on what I see and hear.

Another perfect example of just taking what you have read/heard as fact. How do you know what transpired to end that marriage? You "know" by rumor, gossip and innuendo. that is all it takes for some of you to make such statements. I hope that you find out just how wrong you are. Trust me, you have been fooled

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:13 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:07 PM)

Erik I was told once that EGW was best read for myself. I took that advise and my life changed. Many seem to read EGW and apply her writings to everyone around them and that is why they find themselves trapped in a body of sinful nature. Reading the scripture is really that way also we need to read it for our own salvation. Then when one is found searching through the prono sites, or having an affair, they know that the scriputes and the council is there to help them to over come. I don't think anywhere in SOP where you will find her or taking the rmodern day forum post for enough to condemn someone for such a serious mistake or sickness. This was not the spirt of Ellen G. White.

Wwjd,

The letters are what they are, they are much more then just forum posts, at least that is the theory that the "lawsuit" that has been theartened seems to say.

Secondly, you seemed to miss the point that GOd knows all and would have revealed the serect sins in our day just like he did back then, my guess is many and that includes myself would have recieved letters correcting things that we have done that is wrong, in fact when i read her writting i am amazed that so much applies to me personally.

erik

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:14 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:05 PM)

yeah... someone's talking through you... a reflector of other people's thought you are.... the question is who has their hand up your back.... the rap is the same... tiresome.... we have heard it all before.... can you all not come up with something more original?

Clay your are correct in your thinking. My thoughts are a reflection of the many, many post on this forum. Some from you as well. I don't know how "more original" one can get, than to repost what one reads here. I guess if your tired of it, then change the subject.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:15 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM)

Oh you big silly I am not the scrubs, they are yet to come, and they will be much more to your confusion. The simple confound the wise haven't you read that.

This is the latest example of those who, unlearned and unstable, wrest, as they do also the other Scriptures, unto their own destruction... the bible neither states nor implies what you have said here

Take your intellectual water wings back to the wading pool; we'd prefer to not see you drown where you are unqualified to swim...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:21 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:14 PM)

Clay your are correct in your thinking. My thoughts are a reflection of the many, many post on this forum. Some from you as well. I don't know how "more original" one can get, than to repost what

one reads here. I guess if your tired of it, then change the subject. 

nice try but not even close..... tell Danny hello and ask him if you have done a good job so far....

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:22 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 7 2007, 02:15 PM) 

This is the latest example of those who, unlearned and unstable, wrest, as they do also the other Scriptures, unto their own destruction... the bible neither states nor implies what you have said here

Take your intellectual water wings back to the wading pool; we'd prefer to not see you drown where you are unqualified to swim...


In His service,
Mr. J

Such, now I am unlearned and unstable I appreciate your welcome to your intellectual chat site. As I proceed forward with my water wings, in the wading pool, I'll certainly not drown in unqualified waters.


Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:23 PM

[quote name='sonshineonme' date='Feb 7 2007, 02:11 PM' post='176199']
you are truly a misguided person.

being around sda's all your life would not tell you anything about egw. reading her books, should, unless you read the wrong things (compilations for example can be very misleading as they are not compiled by others most often).

Kind of reminds me of all of you coming to the conclusion that the administration, the board of well respected people, the asi, and people like Doug Batchelor (who have spent as many as 3 weeks at a time there), David Ashrick, the Illinois Conference president who sits on the board, Garwin McNeilus and how many more have all been duped!!!! Only you on this forum have not been taken in. You are on a much higher plain than any of those people.  Many of you have never set foot at 3abn but you "know" that the allegations made are true. Yah, right. The truth is, unbeknown to you, the master puppeteer is pulling the strings and you are all a'dancin'

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:25 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:21 PM) 

nice try but not even close..... tell Danny hello and ask him if you have done a good job so far....

Why don't you tell Danny Hello yourself! You seem to know him inside and out. As for me and my family we will choose to say Hello to whomever WE CHOOSE!

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 02:26 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 7 2007, 01:38 PM)

Let's say they (3ABN workers) DID try to come here and "set the record straight." What a joke would that be? They'd be banned immediately

Lee, the fact that you are still posting in spite of all your spin and outright lies demonstrates that this is **another false statement!**

QUOTE

like everyone else has in the past or have their posts taken off like Holdens...and some of those who HAVE been banned were Conference workers--and they were spoken to disrespectfully and with ridicule by people like watchbird and others. Can you imagine sneering in the face of your Conference President.

Whew!! I can't believe that you are suggesting that a Conference President (Illinois Conference president??) was on here and posted so belligerently that he was banned!! Either you are falesly implicating "a conference president," or some in high places definitely should not be there!! It is indeed time for a great "house cleaning," in former times known as a "shaking" in God's church.

Calvin only bans folks who post belligerently and abusively. So that says something about someone who has been banned.

You are posting quite obnoxiously, yet you are still here, demonstrating my point.

QUOTE

Say one word in defense of Danny and you are now his 'apologist' or "lover" or whatever other term you people can think of.
And if you dare try to ask a question, you are bombarded with moderators telling you to shut up or else! As in Aletheia's experience. There isn't even freedom on this board to ask questions!

Honest questions are one thing. Abusive "questions" with sexual implications are quite another. As you well know, "questions" can be as *damaging as outright accusations*. And only questions "over the top" are not allowed. Again, if someone asks disallowed questions, it says something about that person's Christian character.

QUOTE

Tell 3ABN to come here? Not on your life! I'd advice them to stay off--it is too biased!

rofl

rofl

3ABN admin is already quite well represented here. Thank yo very much.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:27 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 02:13 PM)

Wwjd,

The letters are what they are, they are much more than just forum posts, at least that is the theory that the "lawsuit" that has been threatened seems to say.

Secondly, you seemed to miss the point that God knows all and would have revealed the secret sins in our day just like he did back then, my guess is many and that includes myself would have received letters correcting things that we have done that is wrong, in fact when I read her writing I am amazed that so much applies to me personally.
erik

Erik I will repeat to you what I said in an earlier post. If Tomms had never worked at 3abn, most of you here would just spend 100% of time bashing Danny & 3abn instead of 90%

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:28 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:25 PM)

Why don't you tell Danny Hello yourself! You seem to know him inside and out. As for me and my family we will choose to say Hello to whomever WE CHOOSE!

you are his mouthpiece... and you are doing such a fine job of representing him here.... I said nothing about your family, I said you tell Danny hi....

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 02:29 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM)

Yes she does but I wonder what she would say now about sda's attacking an sda ministry that spreads the gospel to the world, on accusation alone?

Whatever Ellen White may say is a moot point. **The Bible says something about a thing being confirmed in the mouth of two or three witnesses. We have more than that.**

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 03:22 PM)

Ouch, now I am unlearned and unstable I appreciate your welcome to your intellectual chat site. As I proceed forward with my water wings, in the wading pool, I'll certainly not drown in unqualified waters.

By your own admission you are simple... so unlearned and unstable fits... as for my welcome.. or lack of same... I didn't invite you here... and as for your not drowning, you may have a point; after all

ventriloquist's dummies are largely wood... and wood floats... but the downside is you only talk with his hand in your back...


In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM

[quote name='inga' date='Feb 7 2007, 02:26 PM' post='176208']

Calvin only bans folks who post belligerently and abusively.

Not true or most of you would be gone.



Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM

QUOTE(sonshineonme @ Feb 7 2007, 03:02 PM) □

secondly, when you say "Do you actually think he doesn't know what court could or could not entail", you are so right. He does, which is why he has avoided it at all COSTS over the years. You know you can only bluff for so long, then it gets called. It's being called, so he better brace himself, it's for real this time.

thirdly, when you say "Better yet, He could never bring a lawsuit on his own, the board would have had to vote to do so. So again, are the board members so incredibly dumb as to put 3abn at risk if there was wrongdoing to hide?" IN A WORD, Y E S. They will willingly do it because they have submitted themselves to do what he tells them. That has been shown over and over.

Another possibility . . . Linda and her "team of eclectics" are bluffing. Linda is well aware that the BoD at 3ABN has pushed from the outset that the evidence they hold be kept quite in an effort to give Linda all the opportunity to establish a new ministry to continue her work. They have stated time and again that they did not want Linda to have to deal with the fall out if the evidence were revealed in public, for instance in court.

Linda knows the truth and is playing the odds, maybe hoping for an out of court settlement before the truth is made plain to see. She is banking on the BoD reverting to their original position that they would not make public the evidence of her actions. Sure, I know as do others here that Clay will blather on about minutia, and others will claim this is a smokescreen - but as others have presented *in this thread today other possibilities are never considered here, only that Danny is guilty, the BoD is guilty, Tommy and Hal and Mollie and Shelley and Pastor Lomacang are all guilty too.* Not for one second has common sense kicked in and the consideration that there is truth to the claim of evidence appeared here, save a small minority of posters.

I fully expect many to be surprised and deeply hurt because they were lead down the primrose path - and of course I mean amongst the accusers.

3ABN has been patient, going about the business of spreading the message of God's love and soon return. They have not engaged in tearing apart individuals and other ministries. Sure there are many claims of such here, but very little evidence. For instance much has been said about Danny or other

leadership at 3ABN getting the way of Linda appearing in churches around the country - yet there is no proof of such other than "someone said." Anytime a "someone said" claim is made against Danny or 3ABN it is automatically accepted as truth no questions asked.

As far as the finances of a court case, one would have to be completely a loon to think that Linda hasn't acquired the necessary finances to defend herself. She isn't a naive individual - never has been. Will Danny have his backers, I don't doubt it for a minute. The other thing that is certain is that this will take a while to unfold and it will drag on. Just as Linda and her team put up road blocks to the ASI involvement, they will do it here via legal wrangling and misdirection.

So, while you can paint a picture that is plausible - that this is all bluster on the part of 3ABN and Danny . . . the opposite side of the coin is just as much in play for consideration, Linda is playing a risky game - she is banking on 3ABN "taking the high road" and backing down so they do not have to cause her public pain by revealing the evidence they do hold.

-fhb

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:32 PM

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM) □

By your own admission you are simple... so unlearned and unstable fits... as for my welcome.. or lack of same... I didn't invite you here... and as for your not drowning, you may have a point; after all **ventriloquist's dummies are largely wood... and wood floats...** but the downside is you only talk with his hand in your back...

In His service,
Mr. J

Puppets are made from cloth so they don't float, they sink right to the bottom. You might keep that in mind when your strings are being pulled. □

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM

was wondering when FHB would be summoned... the calvary has arrived ya'lls..... weapons of mass distraction by minutiae....

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 03:32 PM) □

Puppets are made from cloth so they don't float, they sink right to the bottom. You might keep that in mind when your strings are being pulled. □

Cmon Mollie you can do better...

In His service,

Mr. J

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 02:35 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM) □

Justice will find all "IN BLAZES"

You mean like the Battle Creek fire?

Are you a prophet? □

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:35 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:32 PM) □

Puppets are made from cloth so they don't float, they sink right to the bottom. You might keep that in mind when your strings are being pulled. □

as you would know right wwjd or wwsd, or whatever it is that you call yourself...

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:35 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:27 PM) □

Erik I will repeat to you what I said in an earlier post. If Tomms had never worked at 3abn, most of you here would just spend 100% of time bashing Danny & 3abn instead of 90%

Wwjd,

I would not be posting if the stuff about tommy had not been so clearly shown, i can not speak for the others. the whole divorce things in my option stinks but lots that happens in the church sticnks we are all sinners, but tommy's actions rise to whole different level.

I am not here on witch hunt, like have stated many times before i can to this site very pro danny, i mean to say i really felt sorry for the guy satan was attacking big first linda cheats on then the tax case issues, then all rumors flying. BUT you want to know the biggest thing that change my mind about the wee the truth lies closer to on all these topics. Was danny's own emails, and action taken in writting the 3abn board.

THAT IS WHAT CHANGED MY MIND, not all the other information here, SO why do you not GO back and think on that one for while while you are so sure that Danny will win in court, he might if his

lawyers kept him off the witness stand. Danny lost all his believability with me because of what he himself wrote not what others have written about him.

Erik W.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:37 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:28 PM)

you are his mouthpiece... and you are doing such a fine job of representing him here.... I said nothing about your family, I said you tell Danny hi...

Whew you are right I was just getting a little frustrated there. People are so "rude", I will get used to it. Sorry about that. I will certainly be delighted to tell Danny hi, if I happen to get that opportunity. Umm, his mouthpiece, I watch TV, Danny don't need a mouth piece he does a fine job with his own.

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:38 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:37 PM)

Whew you are right I was just getting a little frustrated there. People are so "rude", I will get used to it. Sorry about that. I will certainly be delighted to tell Danny hi, if I happen to get that opportunity. Umm, his mouthpiece, I watch TV, Danny don't need a mouth piece he does a fine job with his own.

are they? I haven't been rude yet...

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:39 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 03:37 PM)

Whew you are right I was just getting a little frustrated there. People are so "rude", I will get used to it. Sorry about that.

You came in here rude and reaped what you sowed.

QUOTE

I will certainly be delighted to tell Danny hi, if I happen to get that opportunity. Umm, his mouthpiece, I watch TV, Danny don't need a mouth piece he does a fine job with his own.

If you truly believed this, you wouldnt be here... so blow that smoke up someone else's patootie...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:41 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 12:37 PM) □

Whew you are right I was just getting a little frustrated there. People are so "rude", I will get used to it. Sorry about that. I will certainly be delighted to tell Danny hi, if I happen to get that opportunity. Umm, his mouthpiece, I watch TV, Danny don't need a mouth piece he does a fine job with his own.

Glenetta,

What one sees in the camera and what one see's off the camera can be very confussing

Erik W.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:45 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM) □

Another possibility . . . Linda and her "team of eclectics" are bluffing. Linda is well aware that the BoD at 3ABN has pushed from the outset that the evidence they hold be kept quite in an effort to give Linda all the opportunity to establish a new ministry to continue her work. They have stated time and again that they did not want Linda to have to deal with the fall out if the evidence where revealed in public, for instance in court.

Linda knows the truth and is playing the odds, maybe hoping for an out of court settlement before the truth is made plain to see. She is banking on the BoD reverting to their original position that they would not make public the evidence of her actions. Sure, I know as do others here that Clay will blather on about minutia, and others will claim this is a smokescreen - but as others have presented in this thread today other possibilities are never considered here, only that Danny is guilty, the BoD is guilty, Tommy and Hal and Mollie and Shelley and Pastor Lomacang are all guilty too. Not for one second has common sense kicked in and the consideration that there is truth to the claim of evidence appeared here, save a small minority of posters.

I fully expect many to be surprised and deeply hurt because they were lead down the primrose path - and of course I mean amongst the accusers.


3ABN has been patient, going about the business of spreading the message of God's love and soon return. They have not engaged in tearing apart individuals and other ministries. Sure there are many claims of such here, but very little evidence. For instance much has been said about Danny or other leadership at 3ABN getting the way of Linda appearing in churches around the country - yet there is no proof of such other than "someone said." Anytime a "someone said" claim is made

against Danny or 3ABN it is automatically accepted as truth no questions asked.

As far as the finances of a court case, one would have to be completely a loon to think that Linda hasn't acquired the necessary finances to defend herself. She isn't a naive individual - never has been. Will Danny have his backers, I don't doubt it for a minute. The other thing that is certain is that this will take a while to unfold and it will drag on. Just as Linda and her team put up road blocks to the ASI involvement, they will do it here via legal wrangling and misdirection.

So, while you can paint a picture that is plausible - that this is all bluster on the part of 3ABN and Danny . . . the opposite side of the coin is just as much in play for consideration, Linda is playing a risky game - she is banking on 3ABN "taking the high road" and backing down so they do not have to cause her public pain by revealing the evidence they do hold.

-fhh

vow, I have been trying to say the same things but you said it all, so much better. Sonshineoneme is starting to look ridiculous with her (everybody and their brother) has been tricked and hypnotized by Danny scenerio. What a slam to the individual people on the board that they are "trained" followers. I wonder if she would say that to Ellsworth McKee, the conference president, Merlin Farley who devotes his whole life and income to mission work, Carmilita whose credentials show..she ain't no fool...and all the rest. Would she say it to ASI officials. Would she say it Garwin McNeilus? Well if she does decide to say it to their face, it will take several months to talk to all the duped people she accuses!! 

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 02:35 PM)

Wwjd,

Erik W.

Only problem there Eric, is that you were not privy to what happened behind the scenes about any emails sent.

QUOTE(awesumtenor @ Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM)

Cmon Mollie you can do better...

In His service,
Mr. J

Keep going Mr. J. with each accusation you just dig yourself a little deeper.


Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:45 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:38 PM)

are they? I haven't been rude yet....

Thank you, so far.

Posted by: Spike Feb 7 2007, 02:46 PM

Doug has that kind of time to put into a message board. 


Posted by: Grace Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 7 2007, 09:07 PM)

glenetta, I endeavor not to be mean-spirited so I hope you will take this point in the spirit it is given. It appears to me that you must have had the same English teacher in school as eye witness did for much of your grammar is identical.

On a related side note, I have also noticed the striking similarities in some spelling and grammar by wwjd, Bystander and Danny as well but I won't reveal what those similarities are at this time.


While I don't profess to be an expert in English grammar, it was my major in college so the written word has always been of interest to me.

PB, have you noticed the sudden transformation in Glenetta's grammar, syntax and style? What do you think about that? Any explanation? It went from almost zero to quite good. A miracle? 

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:33 PM)

was wondering when FHB would be summoned... the calvary has arrived ya'lls..... weapons of mass distraction by minutiae....

Clay, I would be willing to pay for some vocabulary lessons for you where you might learn other words besides tiresome and minutiae. 

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 03:07 PM)


I don't think anywhere in SOP where you will find her or taking the modern day forum post for enough to condemn someone for such a serious mistake or sickness. This was not the spirit of Ellen G. White.

Glenetta, you are twisting things. We are not relying on forum posts. **Live witnesses have been**

interviewed and they have submitted signed testimonies. That is very different from your anonymous posts on this board.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:48 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:41 PM) □

wow, I have been trying to say the same things but you said it all, so much better. Sonshineoneme is starting to look ridiculous with her (everybody and their brother) has been tricked and hypnotized by Danny scenerio. What a slam to the individual people on the board that they are "trained" followers. I wonder if she would say that to Ellsworth McKee, the conference president, Merlin Farley who devotes his whole life and income to mission work, Carmilita whose credentials show..she ain't no fool...and all the rest. Would she say it to ASI officials. Would she say it Garwin McNeilus? Well if she does decide to say it to their face, it will take several months to talk to all the duped people she accuses!! 

Well, i known Ellsworth personally and agree the he is nobody,s fool, I also know that he has been fooled in the past by con men(i had the bad luck of working for one of those con men).

So maybe if danny really is a con man just maybe he fooled those people has well, Folks lets at least be fair here I think it is long past time for the truth about linda to come out, if that truth be that linda is the wicked witch that she is made out to be then so be it, i can live with personally, but then again maybe she will shown to be the honsent fooled soul that it looks like she is.

I say put the cards on the table and put any end this silly game of bluff more people are getting hurt by bluff then the other way around.

erik

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:51 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 03:45 PM) □

Keep going Mr. J. with each accusation you just dig yourself a little deeper.

Save your threats for someone who is cowed by them... being a big fish in a small pond has given you delusions of adequacy... but there are drugs that can cure that.

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM)

Clay, I would be willing to pay for some vocabulary lessons for you where you might learn other words besides tiresome and minutiae.

oh there are other words that are applicable to your situation... lapdog, lackie, clone, are just a few... and I will stop using those words tiresome and minutiae when you cease from being tiresome and attempting to distract by minutiae.... but I guess you are what you are...

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 7 2007, 02:47 PM)

PB, have you noticed the sudden transformation in Glenetta's grammar, syntax and style? What do you think about that? Any explanation? It went from almost zero to quite good. A miracle?

It is sad how you will grasp at any straw to keep from acknowledging That many honest hearted people have come here to defend what they know to be right. We could all accuse you of the same, I have seen several similarities in writing but before now, have never mentioned it. Why? Because that is way to far of a stretch.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:53 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:45 PM)

Only problem there Eric, is that you were not privy to what happened behind the scenes about any emails sent.

Wwjd,

you are write i am not privy, i am talking about the ones that are out in the public, inless you are saying those are all forged???????????

erik

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM)

It is sad how you will grasp at any straw to keep from acknowledging That many honest hearted people have come here to defend what they know to be right. We could all accuse you of the same, I have seen several similarities in writing but before now, have never mentioned it. Why? Because that is way to far of a stretch.









defend thats what you call what you are doing?  no what you are doing is spitting in the air and telling everyone its raining....

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:52 PM)

It is sad how you will grasp at any straw to keep from acknowledging That many honest hearted people have come here to defend what they know to be right. We could all accuse you of the same, I have seen several similarities in writing but before now, have never mentioned it. Why? Because that is way to far of a stretch.

WWJD,

you will not be to say that about my posts, i know my grammer is not the best  rofl 
 rofl  rofl  rofl  rofl  rofl  rofl

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM)

oh there are other words that are applicable to your situation... lapdog, lackie, clone, are just a few... and I will stop using those words tiresome and minutiae when you cease from being tiresome and attempting to distract by minutiae.... but I guess you are what you are...

Dance puppet dance  

Posted by: fallible humanbeing Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 04:33 PM)

was wondering when FHB would be summoned... the calvary has arrived ya'lls..... weapons of mass distraction by minutiae....



No you weren't. I keep waiting and waiting thinking you will finally bring something of substance to one of these threads - but alas. You are the little kid sitting in the back of the classroom who didn't do the reading or the homework and when others attempt to share their ideas or their experiences you disrupt and belittle and antagonize and do anything but provide anything substantial to the classroom discussion.

And how come there is not an inkling of surprise that you used another of your tired old phrases to end your post - at least come up with some new material.

- fhb

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:55 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM)

Dance puppet dance  

yes you are dancing quite well... use to it I suppose....

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:57 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 02:53 PM)

Wwjd,

you are write i am not privy, i am talking about the ones that are out in the public, inless you are saying those are all forged??????????
erik

I said, you are not privy to [b]what went on behind the scenes to instigate certain emails.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 7 2007, 02:57 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 02:41 PM)

Glenetta,

What one sees in the camera and what one see's off the camera can be very confussing

Erik W.

I seem to think though that we all have some sort of face we put on when its not really how we feel

inside. Don't you? I mean do you ever smile when you really don't feel like it? I guess what I am trying to say these are all real people with real lives just like you and me.
Do you know the people you are speaking of?

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 02:58 PM

QUOTE(Inga @ Feb 7 2007, 03:47 PM) □

Glenetta, you are twisting things. We are not relying on forum posts. **Live witnesses have been interviewed and they have submitted signed testimonies. That is very different from your anonymous posts on this board.**

She is but the latest clueless person sputing the danny apologist party line, inga... she is not concerned with such trivialities as 'facts' and 'truth'... since we have always been at war with Oceania...

Remember inga... war is peace... freedom is slavery... ignorance is strength... because ~~Danny~~ Big Brother said so...

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: Clay Feb 7 2007, 02:58 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM) □

No you weren't. I keep waiting and waiting thinking you will finally bring something of substance to one of these threads - but alas. You are the little kid sitting in the back of the classroom who didn't do the reading or the homework and when others attempt to share their ideas or their experiences you disrupt and belittle and antagonize and do anything but provide anything substantial to the classroom discussion.

And how come there is not an inkling of surprise that you used another of your tired old phrases to end your post - at least come up with some new material.

- fhb

oh come FHB, thats the best you can do? no need to come up with something new when you are doing the same old thing... shows how much you know.... I never sat in the back... I always sat on the side, homework done, and poking holes in the comments of those who thought they had done their homework..... sorta like what I do with you..... tiresome....

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 02:58 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM) □

wwjd I was just approach by a Mr. J who is well a bit of a specticle. Of all the things that he could

of said he called me a "scrub". So what I am now experiencing is, they will call you names. What a group of intellect we have here. I will be sure an believe NONE of what they say, because well they are clearly speaking with two sides of their mouths.

Dear glenetta, that should be - i n t e l l e c t u a l s. That is intellectuals.

We do try to help those who need it.

P.S.

Seeing that Mr. J's initials are not TM... Mr. J does not "approach" strange wo,men.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 02:59 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 7 2007, 02:54 PM)

No you weren't. I keep waiting and waiting thinking you will finally bring something of substance to one of these threads - but alas. You are the little kid sitting in the back of the classroom who didn't do the reading or the homework and when others attempt to share their ideas or their experiences you disrupt and belittle and antagonize and do anything but provide anything substantial to the classroom discussion.

And how come there is not an inkling of surprise that you used another of your tired old phrases to end your post - at least come up with some new material.

- fhb

b] I offered to pay for some vocabulary lessons, but he obviously doesn't think he needs them...go figure

Right I just add that the little kid in the classroom scenerio also fits Mr. J beautifully.

Posted by: Spike Feb 7 2007, 03:00 PM

My brother knows Merlin very well and I think ot's about time Merlin learned the truth as I know he is nobodys fool. He is also the riches man in southern Oregon and DS has been courting him for along time. Thats why he is on the board. By the way thats not how you spell his last name. try Fjarli.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 03:01 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 7 2007, 02:58 PM)

Dear glenetta, that should be - i n t e l l e c t u a l s. That is intellectuals.

We do try to help those who need it.

Please remove the beam in your own eye, we beg you

Posted by: princessdi Feb 7 2007, 03:02 PM

******Please tell me you are not threathening members, again, wwjd*******

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:45 PM)

Keep going Mr. J. with each accusation you just dig yourself a little deeper.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 03:02 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 03:59 PM)

[b] I offered to pay for some vocabulary lessons, but he obviously doesn't think he needs them...go figure
Might I just add that the little kid in the classroom scenerio also fits Mr. J beautifully.

Y'all got to do better than this...

and, BTW, it's spelled 's c e n a r i o'

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 03:03 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 12:57 PM)

I said, you are not privy to [b]what went on behind the scenes to instigate certain emails.

WWJD,

then lets hear so the danny can be judged fairly, you are doing danny a major dis favor by hiding away all this stuff that will make him smell like a rose.

Lets see some where i read that danny said that linda and the "evil" doc were in the sunshine state, and the the good old boy from Europe says wait wait the "evil" doc was with me and the wife in Europe?

to me this is case were proof of linda and "Evil" doc being anywhere together would greatly boost dannys credibility, AND by the way would rip the pants of that good old boy from Europe.

I say if you can shut this rumor up then do other wise, based on the rules of evidence i would that we should take the Good old Boy from europe word.

Which by default makes danny mistaken in his information at best and or something much worse.

ERik

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 03:04 PM

QUOTE(Spike @ Feb 7 2007, 03:00 PM)

My brother knows Merlin very well and I think ot's about time Merlin learned the truth as I know he is nobodys fool. He is also the riches man in southern Oregon and DS has been courting him for along time. Thats why he is on the board. By the way thats not how you spell his last name. try Fjarli.

You are not very smart if you think he has not been made aware of all the mud slinging. He personally knows it not to be true and like several other board members, I am sure it makes him sick to his stomach. So, Spike why don't you go and talk to him about these allegations and see what he has to say.....good luck with that

Posted by: Grace Feb 7 2007, 03:04 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 09:52 PM)

It is sad how you will grasp at any straw to keep from acknowledging That many honest hearted people have come here to defend what they know to be right. We could all accuse you of the same, I have seen several similarities in writing but before now, have never mentioned it. Why? Because that is way to far of a stretch.

Please read my post again. I didn't make any accusation, only a remark. By the way, who is "we all" and who is "you" in your sentence "We could all accuse you of the same"?

I agree with your statement that many honest hearted people come here to defend what they know to be right. I think that it qualifies most people in this forum.

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 03:05 PM

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 7 2007, 04:03 PM)

WWJD,

then lets hear so the danny can be judged fairly, you are doing danny a major dis favor by hiding away all this stuff that will make him smell like a rose.

Lets see some where i read that danny said that linda and the "evil" doc were in the sunshine state, and the the good old boy from Europe says wait wait the "evil" doc was with me and the wife in Europe?

to me this is case were proof of linda and "Evil" doc being anywhere together would greatly boost dannys credibility, AND by the way would rip the pants of that good old boy from Europe.

I say if you can shut this rumor up then do other wise, based on the rules of evidence i would that we should take the Good old Boy from europe word.

Which by default makes danny mistaken in his information at best and or something much worse.
ERik

I'll take "something worse" for a thousand, Alex.... *DING* what is a liar?

APPLAUSE

In His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 03:07 PM

[quote name='erik' date='Feb 7 2007, 03:03 PM' post='176255']
WWJD,

then lets hear so the danny can be judged fairly, you are doing danny a major dis favor by hiding away all this stuff that will make him smell like a rose.

Lets see some where i **read that danny said** that linda and the "evil" doc were in the sunshine state, and the the good old boy from Europe says wait wait the "evil" doc was with me and the wife in Europe?

Erik Same old you read somewhere that he said it so it must be true. By the time you "read" that do you know how many times it must have been turned and twisted until even the dates were wrong. How many times can I say why are you taking everything you read to be gospel.

Posted by: inga Feb 7 2007, 03:08 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 7 2007, 03:30 PM)

Linda is well aware that the BoD at 3ABN has pushed from the outset that the evidence they hold be kept quite in an effort to give Linda all the opportunity to establish a new ministry to continue her work. They have stated time and again that they did not want Linda to have to deal with the fall out if the evidence where revealed in public, for instance in court.

Is that why they have posted insinuations on the 3ABN website and made most viewers believe that Linda committed adultery and was "living with some doctor somewhere"? Is that why Dan includes insinuations about Linda and a "doctor" in his programs.

Ah, yes, they love Linda so much that they do everything to further her ministry by ensuring that everyone believes she committed adultery.

With friends like that, who needs enemies?

In my opinion **there is nothing that can do more damage to Linda's reputation than has been done already.** If it is conclusively demonstrated that Linda committed adultery with "the doctor," it would make no practical difference to Linda's public-ministry career, because the vast majority of people already believe it, due to what has been publicized by 3ABN. And those who don't believe it (and there are quite a few) see Linda as "damaged goods" because of the **many who do believe it.**

This is the same old tired story that has been told from the beginning. It is no more credible now than it was then -- no matter how many times you repeat it.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 7 2007, 03:11 PM

QUOTE(Grace @ Feb 7 2007, 03:04 PM)

Please read my post again. I didn't make any accusation, only a remark. By the way, who is "we all" and who is "you" in your sentence "We could all accuse you of the same"?

I agree with your statement that many honest hearted people come here to defend what they know to be right. I think that it qualifies most people in this forum.

[b]WE the defenders of 3abn.

[quote name='inga' date='Feb 7 2007, 03:08 PM' post='176260']

Is that why they have posted insinuations on the 3ABN website and made most viewers believe that Linda committed adultery and was "living with some doctor somewhere"? Is that why Dan includes insinuations about Linda and a "doctor" in his programs.

Ah, yes, they love Linda so much that they do everything to further her ministry by ensuring that everyone believes she committed adultery.

With friends like that, who needs enemies?



In my opinion [b]there is nothing that can do more damage to Linda's reputation than has been done already

I wouldn't bet on it if I were you.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 7 2007, 02:58 PM)

oh come FHB, thats the best you can do? no need to come up with something new when you are doing the same old thing... shows how much you know.... I never sat in the back... I always sat on the side, homework done, and poking holes in the comments of those who thought they had done

their homework.... sorta like what I do with you.... **tiresome....**

 rofl  rofl And yet again

Posted by: Spike Feb 7 2007, 03:12 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 02:04 PM)

You are not very smart if you think he has not been made aware of all the mud slinging. He personally knows it not to be true and like several other board members, I am sure it makes him sick to his stomach. So, Spike why don't you go and talk to him about these allegations and see what he has to say.....good luck with that

Nell wwjd I am going to be in SO this weekend. My brother really is good friend wirth Merlin and his son Bruce, a little visit will be coming. A I know stuff about the Sheltons that has not been posted to his site that I'm sure Merlin would find most interesting. And no I'm not going to tell you as that would defeat the purpose.

Posted by: erik Feb 7 2007, 03:13 PM

[Erik Same old you read somewhere that he said it so it must be true. By the time you "read" that do you know how many times it must have been turned and twisted until even the dates were wrong. How many times can I say why are you taking everything you read to be gospel.

WWJD,

the somewhere i read little fact wqas either in letter that had danny name on it, or in lettert hat had walt,s name on it.

ARE either of those two people someone that i should look to true facts in this whole matter?

I like you discount much of the hearsay here, i have based my options sole on first hand accounts or emails, if one side or the other is with holding important facts then that is problem if the rest of can make heads or tails of the whole matter.

Erik

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 7 2007, 03:14 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 02:52 PM)

wwjd I was just approach by a Mr. J who is well a bit of a specticle. Of all the things that he could of

said he called me a "scrub". So what I am now experiencing is, they will call you names. What a group of intellect we have here. I will be sure an believe NONE of what they say, because well they are clearly speaking with two sides of their mouths.

What? Your mommy wasn't available so you told wwjd?

And what, exactly is that supposed to do? I don't live in Thompsonville... and I don't work for 3ABN... so wwjd has no authority here... he/she/it can pat you on the head and give you a cookie and tell you to run along and play... but that's it..

suppose you're gonna take your toys and go home next...

in His service,
Mr. J

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 7 2007, 03:14 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 7 2007, 04:01 PM)

Please remove the beam in your own eye, we beg you

Please read Prov 9:7-9, then get thee behind me.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 7 2007, 03:21 PM

FHB,

In case you haven't been keeping up with the posting going on here, much of the discussion at the present time is in regards to the accusations against Tommy Shelton and the lengths that his brother, Danny has gone to in order to try to keep it all secret.

What do you make of Tommy's confession email to Duane Clem? Have you read it? Have you read any of the information on save3abn.com?

<http://www.save3abn.com/tommy-shelton-confession.htm>

Are we being led down a "primrose path" in this regard? Are the testimonies of alleged victims and victim family members to be discounted?

PB

Posted by: princessdi Feb 7 2007, 03:24 PM

*******Ok people that is enough for today. I am closing this thread for 24 hrs. I left and came back and it looked like the Hatfields and the McCoys in this place. And I really want somebody to start another thread about this, I will take time to suspend their privileges permanently. Also, anybody having a problem with this Admin action, PM Calvin ,Clay or myself. Believe me when I tell you that we chose to over looked the remarks about the Admins. made in this thread today, but I have had enough. I hope you all use this time**

to get it together, go to prayer meeting or somethin'!*****

Posted by: princessdi Feb 8 2007, 11:29 AM

*****Ok, I hope everyone has taken advantage of this cool down period. I am reopening this thread, but please know the next time it will be closed permanently. Also, since we are all grown and all know the rules, this is everybody's one and final warning. Breaking the rules of the board will result in temporary or permanent suspension(according to the severity of the infraction) without further notice. So, let's all play nice, children!*****

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 11:37 AM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 09:21 AM) □

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is

furious."

Yesterday morning I posted the above statement and when I returned a number of hours later I was first amazed to see the number of posts that followed and then that the thread had been locked. I spent time reading though the entire thread and immediately realized why PrincessDi had locked the thread. Rather than discussing the issues surrounding my post, the whole discussion become side-tracked.

So folks can we please continue discussing the above topic. Thanks!

Sister

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 8 2007, 12:04 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 09:37 AM) □

Yesterday morning I posted the above statement and when I returned a number of hours later I was first amazed to see the number of posts that followed and then that the thread had been locked. I spent time reading though the entire thread and immediately realized why PrincessDi had locked the thread. Rather than discussing the issues surrounding my post, the whole discussion become side-tracked.

So folks can we please continue discussing the above topic. Thanks!

Sister

Sister, I agree with you! Back to the topic!!

A witness attending this special "worship", after seeing how the ds camp has tried to say it did not take place this in the manner and meaning it is stated above, said this to me:

--I heard 2 spouses of administrators paraphrase to a couple of employees that were not at worship that Dan announced that he was going after Linda's team and her supporters. Right after that they said how furious Dan is that there are employees giving info...

So I guess that they are lying too, right?

What a joke. Even when you hear what you hear, when you are present and accounted for, they lie to your face and say that you didn't hear what you heard. Lord protect us all!

I think the ds camp has realized that things don't stay in that building, on that compound, all under silence and control, anymore. They don't like it, they are mad, and they will find new ways to deny, lodge, lie, divert, distract and spin the facts. Like my mother use to say, if you haven't done anything wrong, you have nothing to worry about. They seem awfully worried to me.

Posted by: Rand856 Feb 8 2007, 12:11 PM

QUOTE(fallible humanbeing @ Feb 7 2007, 02:30 PM) □

Another possibility . . . Linda and her "team of eclectics" are bluffing. Linda is well aware that the BoD at 3ABN has pushed from the outset that the evidence they hold be kept quite in an effort to give Linda all the opportunity to establish a new ministry to continue her work. They have stated time and again that they did not want Linda to have to deal with the fall out if the evidence were revealed in public, for instance in court.

Linda knows the truth and is playing the odds, maybe hoping for an out of court settlement before the truth is made plain to see. She is banking on the BoD reverting to their original position that they would not make public the evidence of her actions. Sure, I know as do others here that Clay will blather on about minutia, and others will claim this is a smokescreen - but as others have presented in this thread today other possibilities are never considered here, only that Danny is guilty, the BoD is guilty, Tommy and Hal and Mollie and Shelley and Pastor Lomacang are all guilty too. Not for one second has common sense kicked in and the consideration that there is truth to the claim of evidence appeared here, save a small minority of posters.

I fully expect many to be surprised and deeply hurt because they were lead down the primrose path - and of course I mean amongst the accusers.

3ABN has been patient, going about the business of spreading the message of God's love and soon return. They have not engaged in tearing apart individuals and other ministries. Sure there are many claims of such here, but very little evidence. For instance much has been said about Danny or other leadership at 3ABN getting the way of Linda appearing in churches around the country - yet there is no proof of such other than "someone said." Anytime a "someone said" claim is made against Danny or 3ABN it is automatically accepted as truth no questions asked.

As far as the finances of a court case, one would have to be completely a loon to think that Linda hasn't acquired the necessary finances to defend herself. She isn't a naive individual - never has been. Will Danny have his backers, I don't doubt it for a minute. The other thing that is certain is that this will take a while to unfold and it will drag on. Just as Linda and her team put up road blocks to the ASI involvement, they will do it here via legal wrangling and misdirection.

So, while you can paint a picture that is plausible - that this is all bluster on the part of 3ABN and Danny . . . the opposite side of the coin is just as much in play for consideration, Linda is playing a risky game - she is banking on 3ABN "taking the high road" and backing down so they do not have to cause her public pain by revealing the evidence they do hold.

-fhb

Dear fhb.....come on....you know after all the trashing that has come from 3ABN targeted to tear down Linda's character. If 3ABN ever had any "Real" evidence....they would have used it along time ago. They have no evidence....because none exists.

Posted by: calvin Feb 8 2007, 12:17 PM

I am surprised that Danny would acknowledge BSDA. Did he mention BlackSDA by name? If so, somebody thank him for the free PR. I am surprised because I did not think he was preoccupied with what goes on here. But apparently he is. In my way of thinking Danny has weathered the worst of the storm. The divorce/remarriage/Spiritual adultery thing is old news, going on three years. He survived it. Stuff happens, life isn't fair, the best thing (IMO) for Linda and Danny is to move on with their life. As for Tommy, they found a convenient way to fire, err retire him. Tommy is no longer a 3ABN problem.

Threatening or filing lawsuits, putting the employees on edge was not a smart move from a business perspective. I don't see what he hopes to accomplish. I can only surmise that Danny

takes this very personal. The more talks and hints about the lies being told on him the more attention he brings to it, when I think he has very little to be concerned about for 3ABN. Furthermore, people are going to continue to talk here and around the dinner tables on Sabbath afternoons.

3ABN biggest threat is not what people are talking about, but his competition from Hope TV. People vote with their pocketbook in support of these ministries. 3ABN is going after the same contributors as Hope TV.

Posted by: sonshineonme Feb 8 2007, 12:26 PM

QUOTE(calvin @ Feb 8 2007, 10:17 AM)

I am surprised that Danny would acknowledge BSDA. Did he mention BlackSDA by name? If so, somebody thank him for the free PR. I am surprised because I did not think he was preoccupied with what goes on here. But apparently he is. In my way of thinking Danny has weathered the worst of the storm. The divorce/remarriage/Spiritual adultery thing is old news, going on three years. He survived it. Stuff happens, life isn't fair, the best thing (IMO) for Linda and Danny is to move on with their life. As for Tommy, they found a convenient way to fire, err retire him. Tommy is no longer a 3ABN problem.

Threatening or filing lawsuits, putting the employees on edge was not a smart move from a business perspective. I don't see what he hopes to accomplish. I can only surmise that Danny takes this very personal. The more talks and hints about the lies being told on him the more attention he brings to it, when I think he has very little to be concerned about for 3ABN. Furthermore, people are going to continue to talk here and around the dinner tables on Sabbath afternoons.

3ABN biggest threat is not what people are talking about, but his competition from Hope TV. People vote with their pocketbook in support of these ministries. 3ABN is going after the same contributors as Hope TV.

could it be, this all really boils down to M O N E Y???

no wait (editing)...

M O N E Y - P O W E R - P R E S T I G E ?

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 12:29 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 09:42 PM)

wwjd I don't know what SOP would say for the age and time we live in, but because the ministry has nothing to hide, my prayer is that they do not give up and that they endure. The people here are just moving mouths with thoughts, which are unsupported and disconnected. When you read many of the post you will sense the jealousy of a thriving ministry, some who maybe are looking to make a free buck, and others who are bitter because they were dismissed from employment due to bad choices they made while working there. Just my thoughts and my moving mouth as well.

Glenetta, I don't know how much you have really studied this issue. It all started in our kitchen at 3ABN when Linda brought her son over to us to meet our friend and see if he could help Nathan.

But it really started long before that. When we travelled together with Danny, Tommy, and Linda in our car on a tour of Scandinavia back in 2003, we discovered that Nathan was a sore in Danny's eye, so much so that I breathed a prayer, 'Lord, save their marriage'.

When Pastor John Lomacang later called Dr. Arild Abrahamsen he told him that in reality Danny had made up his mind before that, that his marriage to Linda was finished, so it was not the doctor's fault. But since he happened to get into the picture, he was just the straw that broke the camel's back. I suppose John Lomacang felt he said that confidentially, so that he'd never had to admit later that he had said that.

So you can see what a difficult situation the whole thing was. On the one hand, to be truthful, the doctor was not at fault, but on the other hand he had to take the blame because Danny needed him to be the scapegoat. To this day Danny has succeeded in making the board and staff believe that all the false witnesses are telling the truth.

When Arild Abrahamsen and I went to Thompsonville in May of 2004, Danny threatened to have us put in prison if we did not disappear, because he did not want us to tell the truth to the board.

If he was telling the truth, did he have to force witnesses to disappear? Did he have to hire a set of three Private Invedstigors to follow our trail and give false testimonies just so that he could get the divorce?

I have an e-mail from Danny hiself where he admits that those Private Investigators did not take any pictures of me, but only of Linda and Dr. Arild, when the tree of us were together to discuss how we could meet the false attacks from Danny. Why did they not include me in any of the pictures?

Because if I was on the picture Danny could not use them as evidence to the board that they had been together alone. If you pay such Private Investigatore enough money they will give you exactly what you want, so you can convince Walt Thompson and John Lomacang too.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 05:04 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 12:29 PM)

Glenetta, I don't know how much you have really studied this issue. It all started in **our kitchen** at 3ABN when Linda brought her son over to us to meet our friend and see if he could help Nathan.

I have been reading through the many posts. There is much to be said. Many things are very confusing including your statement here. It all started in one place "**our kitchen**" then belows it really started "**long before**". Were you very close and a personal friend of both? For you to be praying for their marriage I would assume that you were very close and had much contact right?

But it **really started long before that**. When we travelled together with Danny, Tommy, and Linda in our car on a tour of Scandinavia back in 2003, we discovered that Nathan was a sore in Danny's eye, so much so that I breathed a prayer, 'Lord, save their marriage'.

When Pastor John Lomacang later called Dr. Arild Abrahamsen he told him that in reality Danny had made up his mind before that, that his marriage to Linda was finished, so it was not the doctor's fault.

This Pastor John Lomacang actually said that Danny had made up his mind before Dr. Arild Abrahamsen ever had the affair with Linda?

But since he happened to get into the picture, he was just the straw that broke the camel's back. I suppose John Lomacang felt he said that confidentially, so that he'd never had to admit later that he had said that.

So you can see what a difficult situation the whole thing was. On the one hand, to be truthful, the doctor was not at fault, but on the other hand he had to take the blame because Danny needed him to be the scapegoat. To this day Danny has succeeded in making the board and staff believe that all the false witnesses are telling the truth.

See here is another detail that does not fit. This doctor and Linda **were at fault of one thing** and that is even if your statement is true that Danny was done with the marriage, **those two were not to be having an affair while Linda was still married to Danny.**

When Arild Abrahamsen and I went to Thompsonville in May of 2004, Danny threatened to have us put in prison if we did not disappear, because he did not want us to tell the truth to the board.

If he was telling the truth, did he have to force witnesses to disappear? Did he have to hire a set of three Private Invedstigors to follow our trail and give false testimonies just so that he could get the divorce?

How could he have you put into prison by being in Thompsonville? What would the Private Investigators have to report?

I have an e-mail from Danny hiself where he admits that those Private Investigators did not take any pictures of me, but only of Linda and Dr. Arild, when the tree of us were together to discuss how we could meet the false attacks from Danny. Why did they not include me in any of the pictures?

OK now the Private Investigators took pictures of Linda and Dr. Arild, if I am understanding you right and you were not in the pictures. Why? If you were a party of three, then there would be three in the picture. You say you were not in the pictures, or this is what Danny assured you, but see these are the confusing things that just don't make sense. Do you think Danny had you airbrushed out of the pictures? Its a thought I mean now days with technology anything can be done!

Because if I was on the picture Danny could not use them as evidence to the board that they had been together alone. If you pay such Private Investigatore enough money they will give you exactly what you want, so you can convince Walt Thompson and John Lomacang too.

So you were with them on their dates? What were the dates about? I am confused when Danny is already made his mind up about the marriage according to Pastor Lomacang then why take any pictures of anyone? Well I guess of course this would be the proof he would have for the filing of the livorce, adultery.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 8 2007, 05:27 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 7 2007, 03:58 PM)

Dear glenetta, that should be - i n t e l l e c t u a l s. That is intellectuals.

We do try to help those who need it.

P.S.

Seeing that Mr. J's initials are not TM... Mr. J does not "approach" strange wo,men.



Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 05:32 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 06:04 PM)

So you were with them on their dates? What were the dates about? I am confused when Danny is already made his mind up about the marriage according to Pastor Lomacang then why take any pictures of anyone? Well I guess of course this would be the proof he would have for the filing of the divorce, adultery.

Glenetta, stop putting words into Johann's mouth that were never there. There was no "dating" going on between Arild Abrahamsen and Linda Shelton nor was there any "affair". The point that Johann was making is that John Lomacang told Arild Abrahamsen, in a telephone conversation, that Arild was nothing more than a scapegoat, he just happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time and was male. Danny's decision to divorce Linda was not related to any sexual impropriety on her part with anyone, including Dr. Abrahamsen. Danny simply wanted a divorce from Linda and needed the appearance of Biblical grounds to maintain his position at 3ABN and to be able to remarry.

NOW CAN WE RESUME THE TOPIC IN DISCUSSION, GLENETTA?

sister

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 05:47 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 05:32 PM)

Glenetta, stop putting words into Johann's mouth that were never there. There was no "dating" going on between Arild Abrahamsen and Linda Shelton nor was there any "affair". The point that Johann was making is that John Lomacang told Arild Abrahamsen, in a telephone conversation, that Arild was nothing more than a scapegoat, he just happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time and was male. Danny's decision to divorce Linda was not related to any sexual impropriety on her part with anyone, including Dr. Abrahamsen. Danny simply wanted a divorce from Linda and needed the appearance of Biblical grounds to maintain his position at 3ABN and to be able to remarry.

NOW CAN WE RESUME THE TOPIC IN DISCUSSION, GLENETTA?

Sister

Excuse me. I have just been on here a few times, trying to make heads or tails of this "Saga" and each time I have been on here I beg your pardon but I was speaking not to you but to who wrote to **me**. I understood the Johann to say, that they were meeting and had specific dates that pictures were being taken. He even said he was there! So why don't you let me and the Johann discuss this and maybe he can be more specific. I respect you desire to look at it in your personal view and please respect my option to find my personal view. Thank you Sister.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 05:51 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 05:32 PM) □

Glenetta, stop putting words into Johann's mouth that were never there. There was no "dating" going on between Arild Abrahamsen and Linda Shelton nor was there any "affair". The point that Johann was making is that John Lomacang told Arild Abrahamsen, in a telephone conversation, that Arild was nothing more than a scapegoat, he just happened to be in the wrong place at the wrong time and was male. Danny's decision to divorce Linda was not related to any sexual impropriety on her part with anyone, including Dr. Abrahamsen. Danny simply wanted a divorce from Linda and needed the appearance of Biblical grounds to maintain his position at 3ABN and to be able to remarry.

Sister

How you do you there was no sexual impropriety on Linda's Part? Because she told you? That makes it gospel then.

I CHALLENGE ANYONE HERE TO CALL JOHN LOMACANG IN THOMPSONVILL, IL AND ASK HIM IF HE SAID ANYTHING EVEN CLOSE TO THAT. TELL HIM YOU READ IT ON THE NET AND HE WILL BE GLAD TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 8 2007, 05:52 PM

Sister, HOTY or whomever might have the information, do you know if there has been any change in the atmosphere at 3abn since the corporate "worship" service?

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 8 2007, 05:52 PM

QUOTE

Excuse me. I have just been on here a few times, trying to make heads or tails of this "Saga" and each time I have been on here **I beg your pardon but I was speaking not to you** but to who wrote to me. I understood the Johann to say, that they were meeting and had specific dates that pictures were being taken. He even said he was there! **So why don't you let me and the Johann discuss this and maybe he can be more specific.** I respect you desire to look at it in your personal view and please respect my

option to find my personal view. Thank you Sister.

For starters lose your attitude. If you don't want anyone else to interject anything in here take it to PM. This is a public board & any post is free game for anyone to answer. Nowhere does Johann say that she had an affair. So stop being rude.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 05:55 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 05:47 PM)

Excuse me. I have just been on here a few times, trying to make heads or tails of this "Saga" and each time I have been on here I beg your pardon but I was speaking not to you but to who wrote to **me**. I understood the Johann to say, that they were meeting and had specific dates that pictures were being taken. He even said he was there! So why don't you let me and the Johann discuss this and maybe he can be more specific. I respect your desire to look at it in your personal view and please respect my option to find my personal view. Thank you Sister.

Glenetta, I have a question for you. If you saw a picture of a couple walking down the street holding hands would your first thought be that's a attorney/client relationship or doctor/patient or a pastor/church member or would you think the couple was involved in a relationship? DAAAA

Posted by: caribbean sda Feb 8 2007, 05:58 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 07:51 PM)

How do you think there was no sexual impropriety on Linda's part? Because she told you? That makes it gospel then.

I CHALLENGE ANYONE HERE TO CALL JOHN LOMACANG IN THOMPSONVILL, IL AND ASK HIM IF HE SAID ANYTHING EVEN CLOSE TO THAT. TELL HIM YOU READ IT ON THE NET AND HE WILL BE GLAD TO ANSWER THE QUESTION.

There isn't no need to "yell." Your inside voice would do just fine...

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 05:59 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 06:47 PM)

Excuse me. I have just been on here a few times, trying to make heads or tails of this "Saga" and each time I have been on here I beg your pardon but I was speaking not to you but to who wrote to **me**. I understood the Johann to say, that they were meeting and had specific dates that pictures were being taken. He even said he was there! So why don't you let me and the Johann discuss this and maybe he can be more specific. I respect your desire to look at it in your personal

view and please respect my option to find my personal view. Thank you Sister.

Glenetta, Excuse Me? If you want to have a private conversation with "the Johann" than I suggest that you PM him, rather than making a public post within a topic which is open for anyone reading it to make responses.

HOW CAN WE GET BACK TO THE TOPIC OF THIS THREAD, PLEASE?

Sister

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 06:01 PM

QUOTE(Hersheys99 @ Feb 8 2007, 05:52 PM)

For starters lose your attitude. If you don't want anyone else to interject anything in here take it to PM. This is a public board & any post is free game for anyone to answer. Nowhere does Johann say that she had an affair. So stop being rude.

will keep the PM in mind, as I proceed with out being rude. Where did you get the large print pattern that provides such a soft touch?

Johann said they had dates and if that was an affair then I would believe if he was there, as he says he was, he could tell me about that.

Posted by: daylily Feb 8 2007, 06:01 PM

Are you saying that there are pictures of Linda and Dr A walking down the street holding hands? Have you seen them? Or do you just want everybody here to assume that?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 06:10 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 12:29 PM)

Glenetta, I don't know how much you have really studied this issue. It all started in our kitchen at 3ABN when Linda brought her son over to us to meet our friend and see if he could help Nathan.

But it really started long before that. When we travelled together with Danny, Tommy, and Linda in our car on a tour of Scandinavia back in 2003, we discovered that **Nathan was a sore in Danny's eye**, so much so that I breathed a prayer, 'Lord, save their marriage'.

You and others have told that repeatedly and it is a lie. Danny has always loved Nathan and has never said he was Linda's problem. I understand that Nathan showed up at Danny's house this summer.

They hugged each other and Nathan stated that Danny had always been good to him and he was not taking a side. I believe there was another person there that witnessed it.

Danny even gave Nathan a boat that was half his, and half Linda's. He thought she would be happy since that was her son. Instead, **it is on record**, that she complained about it in their property case,

that Dan had given away a boat that was half hers!!

QUOTE(daylily @ Feb 8 2007, 06:01 PM)

Are you saying that there are pictures of Linda and Dr A walking down the street holding hands? Have you seen them? Or do you just want everybody here to assume that?

You may assume as you wish, it is not for me to make public, but I know what was seen by those who needed to see them.

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 06:27 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 07:01 PM)

I will keep the PM in mind, as I proceed with out being rude. Where did you get the large print pattern it provides such a soft touch? Johann said they had dates and if that was an affair then I would believe if he was there, as he says he was, he could tell me about that.

Glenetta, I just went back and re-read Johann's post. No where within the post does Johann say that Linda and the Doctor had dates or that there was any affair, in fact he says quite the opposite! SO, we have two choices to consider in light of this fact:

1. We give you the benefit of the doubt and you "mistakenly saw" something that was not written there.

OR

2. You deliberately have made deceptive statements in order to slander the reputations of Linda Shelton and the Doctor from Norway.

So, Glenetta, could you explain yourself?

Sister

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 07:10 PM)

You and others have told that repeatedly and it is a lie. Danny has always loved Nathan and has never said he was Linda's problem...

You may assume as you wish, it is not for me to make public, but I know what was seen by those who needed to see them.

WWJD, so if Danny loved Nathan soo much, why was he not involved in Nathan's medical treatments? Why didn't Danny accompany Linda to Norway? And don't tell me he was too busy at 3ABN, Mollie has been running Danny's side of the business for a number of years. Also, Norway is not off the map, Danny could have been contacted at any time while he was there supporting the wife and son that he loves soo much.

NOW CAN WE RESUME THE TOPIC OF THIS THREAD? OR WOULD YOU RATHER THAT NOT BE DISCUSSED? I THINK WE HAVE ALL EXERCISED EXTREME PATIENCE WITH YOU AND GLENETTA.

Sister

Posted by: daylily Feb 8 2007, 06:32 PM

wwjd, so you didn't see them yourself? If they were seen by those who needed to see them, I guess that would be Danny and maybe Dr Walt. I've seen pictures on tabloid front covers that are doctored to show two celebrities "together" when in fact they were with other people at different places. Pictures can be doctored to remove people or adjust features.

I didn't read anything about dates either. Glenetta, how did you get that from Johann's post?

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 06:43 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 06:04 PM)

See here is another detail that does not fit. This doctor and Linda were at fault of one thing and that is even if your statement is true that Danny was done with the marriage, those two were not to be having an affair while Linda was still married to Danny.

That's what you claim, of course! That Linda was having an affair is the issue to be proven. Yet you treat it as though it were already proven! As a matter of fact, hindsight indicates that it is far more likely that Danny was having an affair while still being married to Linda than that Linda was having an affair while married to Danny. Remember that Linda is still single, while Danny married within days of his divorce from Linda being declared legal in Illinois.

Perhaps you can enlighten us regarding **when Brandi came to 3ABN. When did she actually work there? When did she move into the house directly across from Danny's house?**

QUOTE

QUOTE

I have an e-mail from Danny himself where he admits that those Private Investigators did not take any pictures of me, but only of Linda and Dr. Arild, when the three of us were together to discuss how we could meet the false attacks from Danny. Why did they not include me in any of the pictures?

OK now the Private Investigators took pictures of Linda and Dr. Arild, if I am understanding you right and you were not in the pictures. Why? If you were a party of three, then there would be three in the picture. You say you were not in the pictures, or this is what Danny assured you, but see these are the confusing things that just don't make sense. Do you think Danny had you airbrushed out of the pictures? Its a thought I mean now days with technology anything can be done!

It doesn't take fancy technology to take pictures of only two members of a group of three. All it takes is the "right" timing and the right angle.

Again, you are seeking to confuse. **The record is clear: Johann was with Linda and with Dr.**

Abrahamsen. But the investigators chose to take pictures that made it look like Linda was with Dr. Abrahamsen alone -- doubtless on instructions from Danny. That is fabricating a lie through selective photography!


QUOTE

So you were with them on their dates? What were the dates about?

Again, you are assuming the truth of Danny's accusations. **Get this: So far there is no credible evidence that Linda Shelton and Arild Abrahamsen had so much as one single date! Johann should know, because he was either with the doctor or with Linda all the time that these "dates" were supposed to have taken place!**

Your little game of confusion won't work here! 

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 06:48 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 09:21 AM) 

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

Okay folks, WWJD and Glenetta have muddied the water enough for tonight. Let's get back to the topic of this thread. The last Corporate "worship" at 3ABN!

Sister

Posted by: princessdi Feb 8 2007, 06:50 PM

"I got this young thang on the side" definitely would not have worked for Danny.



QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 03:04 PM) □

So you were with them on their dates? What were the dates about? **I am confused when Danny is already made his mind up about the marriage according to Pastor Lomacang then why take any pictures of anyone? Well I guess of course this would be the proof he would have for the filing of the divorce, adultery.**

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 07:00 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 07:01 PM) □

Johann said they had dates


That Johann said they had dates is a blatant lie. It must take a lot of practice to lie so boldly.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 8 2007, 07:01 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 8 2007, 06:50 PM) □

"I got this young thang on the side" definitely would not have worked for Danny.






You heard the large print. Guess you can PM me.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 8 2007, 07:10 PM

"Augustus, this is not a good thing you do!" From Charlie and the Chocolate Factory...Now I am smiling for the moment, but please dont' try me, Glenetta, I am not the one. I dont' have to PM you to suspend you privilleges.

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 05:01 PM)



You heard the large print. Guess you can PM me.

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 07:11 PM

Folks, I think the quotation from Mark Twain that PrincessDi has at the bottom of her posts, is applicable both to the comments of Glenetta, WWJD, Bystander, Eye Witness and Danny Shelton as he spoke out at the last Corporate "worship" at 3ABN:

"It is better to be silent and be thought a fool, than to speak and remove all doubt." - Mark Twain

Sister

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 07:13 PM


QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 07:48 PM)

Okay folks, WWJD and Glenetta have muddied the water enough for tonight. Let's get back to the topic of this thread. The last Corporate "worship" at 3ABN!


Sister

Yes, Sister. I suspect that Glenetta's and WWJD's coming here to muddy the waters was hardly accidental.

But don't be too disappointed, Sister, if you don't get served. I'm afraid that what should go to you may go to Linda. I wonder how Dan will serve charges against Johann in Norway?

In the meantime  to all the new BlackSDA readers from 3ABN. We

suspect that you will find that **folks here actually say some of the things you've been thinking.**

 Please feel free to join the conversation.


To get you started, here's the note Sister sent earlier, as received from one of you:

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is furious."

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 07:31 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 01:04 AM) 

So you were with them on their dates? What were the dates about? I am confused when Danny is already made his mind up about the marriage according to Pastor Lomacang then why take any pictures of anyone? Well I guess of course this would be the proof he would have for the filing of the divorce, adultery.

See here is another detail that does not fit. This doctor and Linda were at fault of one thing and that is even if your statement is true that Danny was done with the marriage, those two were not to be having an affair while Linda was still married to Danny.

What dates are you talking about? Sure, the three of us had meetings to discuss what to do about Danny's official lies about us. All three of us were in the same boat - made by Danny Shelton. My wife, Irmgard, had also been involved, but she was too sick to travel.

Those pictures were taken by Danny's Private Investigators when we came to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting in 2004. By using the right kind of a telephoto lens they avoided getting me into the picture. That is easy for an expensive Private Investigator.

According to Pastor John Lomacang Danny had already made up his mind he wanted to get rid of Linda before we got into the picture. Danny was only waiting for an opportunity where he could make it look like he had biblical grounds for a divorce, and it appeared to him that he could use Dr. Arild Abrahamsen as his scapegoat.

I do not understand how you get the impression from what I said that the doctor and Linda were at fault? Danny made it appear like they were at fault by issuing false statements.

Danny got so angry at me for refusing to help him verify this false accusation, that he had Dr. Walt Thompson fire me from my employment at 3ABN.

Posted by: Observer Feb 8 2007, 07:33 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 04:55 PM)

Glenetta, I have a question for you. If you saw a picture of a couple walking down the street holding hands would your first thought be that's a attorney/client relationship or doctor/patient or a pastor/church member or would you think the couple was involved in a relationship? DAAAA

I would depend upon the country, the culture, and the people. I speak as one who has lived din other countries.

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 07:37 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 03:00 AM)

That Johann said they had dates is a blatant lie. It must take a lot of practice to lie so boldly.

I suppose they follow their master?

Posted by: Spike Feb 8 2007, 07:40 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 06:31 PM)

What dates are you talking about? Sure, the three of us had meetings to discuss what to do about Danny's official lies about us. All three of us were in the same boat - made by Danny Shelton. My wife, Irmgard, had also been involved, but she was too sick to travel.

Those pictures were taken by Danny's Private Investigators when we came to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting in 2004. By using the right kind of a telephoto lens they avoided getting me into the picture. That is easy for an expensive Private Investigator.

According to Pastor John Lomacang Danny had already made up his mind he wanted to get rid of Linda before we got into the picture. Danny was only waiting for an opportunity where he could make it look like he had biblical grounds for a divorce, and it appeared to him that he could use Dr. Arild Abrahamsen as his scapegoat.

I do not understand how you get the impression from what I said that the doctor and Linda were at fault? Danny made it appear like they were at fault by issuing false statements.

Danny got so angry at me for refusing to help him verify this false accusation, that he had Dr. Walt Thompson fire me from my employment at 3ABN.

Why would a loving husband and Godly upstanding man such as DS (NOT) hire a PI to follow his ex-wife a round? Wasn't the marriage already over and had she not already been accused of an affair? That right there doesn't make sense to me.

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 07:49 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 06:55 PM) □

Glenetta, I have a question for you. If you saw a picture of a couple walking down the street holding hands would your first thought be that's a attorney/client relationship or doctor/patient or a pastor/church member or would you think the couple was involved in a relationship? DAAAA

'ou asked the right person, I'm sure, to get the answer you want. □

Writing from experience, I'm sure it's possible to get pictures that look like folks are "in a relationship" when that's not so at all. I have "held hands" with men other than my husband -- with courteous men who helped me over some difficulty in the path, whether it be a log in the woods or the water between the boat and the dock. And European men are often far more chivalrous than American men. So, I'm guessing it's possible that the "investigators" may have been able to get some shots that, with the right spin, look compromising.

I'm also guessing these shots aren't all **that** convincing, though, or they would have been passed around more. After all, Dan Shelton and other admins at 3ABN seem to have few scruples about making Linda look bad, despite protestations to the contrary.

So, WWJD, have you **seen** such shots of Dr. Abrahamsen and Linda, or have you just **heard about them** -- like the phantom phone records that Bob Pickle was supposed to be allowed to see? (Amazing how such hard-copy proof became suddenly unavailable when Mollie saw that **Bob Pickle would actually come to 3ABN to take a personal look at them.**)

Somehow I don't think they would promise Bob a look at these so-called compromising photos. But maybe someone else could ask?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 07:50 PM

Your little game of confusion won't work here! □
[/quote]

Inga, I am surprised that you would put so many accusations in your post. Again I ask not where are you getting your information but what is the original source or are you just repeating what you have heard? Do you hear yourself and this is to **princess di** also when you talk about airbrushing people and when you accuse danny of getting rid of linda because he was having an affair with Brandi? All I hear is show proof from your side. Where is your proof on those accusations? You have none. Even insinuating such a thing is dumb on your part. There were no rumors, Brandi was never heard of, much less in the picture until after the divorce had been final for quite some time. When he started to see her, the master manipulator jumped at that opportunity to start the rumors that something must have been going on before. She jumped on it like a dog on a bone,

next thing you know all these rumors are circulating which had never been circulated before this. There was nothing to circulate. The puppeteer seen her chance to take the heat off of herself and to play the victim. What better way to be vindicated than to start the rumor that Danny was having an affair and wanted to get rid of her. Brilliant strategy. Especially for those of you that have taken her or her friends word for it. You ask for information, I have permission to share it. But what difference will it make. When we show proof that the puppeteer has lied you either ignore it or start grasping at straws like your statement about airbrushing. Every thing that has been posted that has said you can call and check it out, or the things that have been posted with facts and figures about her salary and perks compared to his, you just ignore or try to poke holes in. So now, I see, that even if we were to post pictures of the obvious you all will say they have been doctored. What a joke. That's ok. Close your eyes, don't even entertain the possibiltiy that the master puppeteer has been pulling your strings whether you know it or not. Don't even consider that maybe just maybe she has been behind most of these allegations, lies and rumors. Don't even contemplate for one minute, that The boardmembers, Asi, John L, Garwin M, Merlin F, McKee bakeries, Owen and Anne troy, Carmillitta Troy, Doug B, David A. and a zillion others have all been duped into believing that an affair happened, When it actually didn't. Go ahead keep grasping, keep stretching but people that really do want the truth are seeing the pattern, the lies, and the motive behind it all. But hey, don't listen, maybe it's a matter of pride that keeps you from ignoring the evidence. But pride goeth before a fall. Johann was not in the pictures because he was apparently in bed when after 10:00 when Linda and Arild was caught by the PI's holding hands while walking down the street.

Since Johann is a foreigner maybe he doesn't understand that Licensed PI's dont lie. They have to swear to their statements under oath. If it is found they are lying, they will lose their license. They are not paid to give you what you necessarily want.....they give you the truth. They could go to jail if they lied.

They were prepared to testify at the ASI hearing.

They also were going to testify that Linda and Arild left Johann at the hotel and went to her apartment and stayed until after midnight together. Actually the exact time was 3:00 AM.

3abn was going to prove that when Linda took Arild and Johann to the Airport to go back to Europe that Arild, as Linda later admitted, claimed he had left his passport at Linda's apartment where she was staying and they sent Johann on his merry way so Arild and Linda had another day AND NIGHT TOGETHER, without Johann to bother them! Records will prove that Johann flew back a day earlier than Arild!

If Arild and Johann deny this charge then simply have them show their flight tickets back to Europe from St. Louis in early June 2004. It should be easy to deny this charge..... IF it is not true!

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:00 PM

Also, remember this, up to now none of this stuff had been posted. But you that are on the side of the puppeteer have ridiculed, laughed and have asked for details over and over. Well, You are starting to get a few. But how ironic it will be if Linda's supporters end up being the cause of exposing evidence against her, that would have remained private had you not forced 3abn to defend themselves against all the lies that have been spread here and in other places. quote]

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 08:01 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 01:04 AM)

This Pastor John Lomacang actually said that Danny had made up his mind before Dr. Arild Abrahamsen ever had the affair with Linda?

Dr Arild Abrahamsen never had an affair with Linda, but Danny accused him of having an affair with Linda. Arild was treating Linda's son Nathan, and Danny thought he could use that as an excuse to accuse them of having an affair.

It was on this background that Pastor John Lomacang called Dr. Arild Abrrahamsen by phone in Norway to discuss the situation with him. It was than that John Lomacang told Arild that Danny did not want to remain married to Linda, and that Danny was using Arild's talks with Linda as an excuse to seek a divorce.

I notice that WWJS says elsewhere that Arild and Linda were walking down the street hand in hand. This is not true, but ingenious Private Investigators can make pictures which indicate they are much closer together with the right kind of telephoto lenses. Such pictures are a blatant lie, and should not be used by a Christian?

Posted by: Spike Feb 8 2007, 08:03 PM

WWJD when did DS apply for a divorce?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:04 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 08:01 PM) □

Dr Arild Abrahamsen never had an affair with Linda, but Danny accused him of having an affair with Linda. Arild was treating Linda's son Nathan, and Danny thought he could use that as an excuse to accuse them of having an affair.

It was on this background that Pastor John Lomacang called Dr. Arild Abrrahamsen by phone in Norway to discuss the situation with him. It was than that John Lomacang told Arild that Danny did not want to remain married to Linda, and that Danny was using Arild's talks with Linda as an excuse to seek a divorce.

I notice that WWJS says elsewhere that Arild and Linda were walking down the street hand in hand. This is not true, but ingenious Private Investigators can make pictures which indicate they are much closer together with the right kind of telephoto lenses. Such pictures are a blatant lie, and should not be used by a Christian?

Read the other posts. The investigators can prove that you weren't with them the whole time, so you don't know about any of those events

Posted by: Truly Blonde Feb 8 2007, 08:04 PM

Last night I read this thread until Princess Di shut it down. I have to say that I was very upset at the un Christian attitude I saw here by both sides. Thank you Di for giving everyone a breather.

This morning during my devotions I was still feeling pretty bad about this thread. As I was reading in Desire of Ages I came across this quote that made me stop and ponder my attitudes on this forum. I don't usually quote Ellen White or the Bible because it seems when people do that there is always someone to take issue with what is being said and then they post something else to conteract what was originally said and it just goes back and forth. I am not trying to accuse anyone of anything with this quote, I just felt impressed to share it with everyone,

"The words of Christ teach that we should regard ourselves as inseparably bound to our Father in heaven. Whatever our position, we are dependent upon God, who holds all destinies in His hands. He has appointed us our work, and has endowed us with faculties and means for that work. So long as we surrender the will to God, and trust in His strength and wisdom, we shall be guided in safe paths, to fulfill our appointed part in His great plan. But the one who depends upon his own wisdom and power is separating himself from God. Instead of working in unison with Christ, he is fulfilling the purpose of the enemy of God and man. Desire of Ages page 209

I need to be sure that my will is surrendered to God and that I am doing his will when I post here. I pray that all of you, no matter what side of this issue you believe, that you will do the same.

Thanks for listening.

Posted by: Spike Feb 8 2007, 08:10 PM

QUOTE(Truly Blonde @ Feb 8 2007, 07:04 PM)

Last night I read this thread until Princess Di shut it down. I have to say that I was very upset at the un Christian attitude I saw here by both sides. Thank you Di for giving everyone a breather.

This morning during my devotions I was still feeling pretty bad about this thread. As I was reading in Desire of Ages I came across this quote that made me stop and ponder my attitudes on this forum. I don't usually quote Ellen White or the Bible because it seems when people do that there is always someone to take issue with what is being said and then they post something else to coneract what was originally said and it just goes back and forth. I am not trying to accuse anyone of anything with this quote, I just felt impressed to share it with everyone,

"The words of Christ teach that we should regard ourselves as inseparably bound to our Father in heaven. Whatever our position, we are dependent upon God, who holds all destinies in His hands. He has appointed us our work, and has endowed us with faculties and means for that work. So long as we surrender the will to God, and trust in His strength and wisdom, we shall be guided in safe paths, to fulfill our appointed part in His great plan. But the one who depends upon his own wisdom and power is separating himself from God. Instead of working in unison with Christ, he is fulfilling the purpose of the enemy of God and man. Desire of Ages page 209

I need to be sure that my will is surrendered to God and that I am doing his will when I post here. I pray that all of you, no matter what side of this issue you believe, that you will do the same.

Thanks for listening.

Thank you for this, I think that for a lot of us it's not about Linda being innocent or guilty it's how she was not treated in a Christ like way.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:11 PM

QUOTE(Spike @ Feb 8 2007, 07:40 PM)

Why would a loving husband and Godly upstanding man such as DS (NOT) hire a PI to follow his

ex-wife a round? Wasn't the marriage already over and had she not already been accused of an affair? That right there doesn't make sense to me.

That's pretty easy to answer. Because he knew what she would do and is doing. That she would turn the whole thing around and try to make him the bad guy and her the innocent victim. C'mon folks. Most of you don't know her at all, and a lot of you don't know her well. He was married to her for 20 years, he knew what she would do. As far as your question/statements that the marriage was already over then, I don't know that to be a fact. Might might not be. If I don't know it for a fact, I doubt if you do.

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:16 PM

QUOTE(Spike @ Feb 8 2007, 08:10 PM)

Thank you for this, I think that for a lot of us it's not about Linda being innocent or guilty it's how she was not treated in a Christ like way.

Exactly.... from day one here at BSDA 3 yrs ago stated that she was not being treated as she should be... all the other stuff I could care less, but the way the divorce was handled to the trashing of her reputation was not christ-like..... now the apologists can spin it any way they wish, they can mire themselves in minutiae... the fact remains that even IF she did everything she was accused of, she should NOT have been treated as she was... for me that is the bottomline....

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:11 PM)

That's pretty easy to answer. Because he knew what she would do and is doing. That she would turn the whole thing around and try to make him the bad guy and her the innocent victim. C'mon folks. Most of you don't know her at all, and a lot of you don't know her well. He was married to her for 20 years, he knew what she would do. As far as your question/statements that the marriage was already over then, I don't know that to be a fact. Might might not be. If I don't know it for a fact, I doubt if you do.

You are a piece of work wwjd..... and seem to be intimately acquainted with the motivations of Danny..... you are not tiresome.... disgusting is more like it...

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:21 PM

QUOTE(Spike @ Feb 8 2007, 08:10 PM)


Thank you for this, I think that for a lot of us it's not about Linda being innocent or guilty it's how she was not treated in a Christ like way.

Spike, she was treated wonderfully considering what they knew. They could have exposed everything they had, they didn't. She could have been dismissed without any severance because of the morality question. Instead she was given a more than generous, severance. There are receipts for out of state counseling, and the Pastors and others that counseled with them are prepared to sign sworn statements as such. Every opportunity was given for help and reconciliation. She was given a more than fair amount of time to act on this and did not take it.

So once again, that she was not treated christlike when it all first happened is yet another rumor that started from the same source as all the others. Once the rumors and deceit were told, put in print, taken to the internet and repeated a hundred times over, of course 3abn had to start defending themselves against senseless accusations. Wouldn't you? Their was and is only one way to do that.

Posted by: inga Feb 8 2007, 08:23 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:50 PM)

Your little game of confusion won't work here! 

Inga, I am surprised that you would put so many accusations in your post. Again I ask not where are you getting your information but what is the original source or are you just repeating what you have heard? Do you hear yourself and this is to **princess di** also when you talk about airbrushing people

It looks like you are in the same "little game of confusion" since **neither of us said anything about airbrushing. Glenetta wrote that!** You are trying to make it appear that we are making outrageous charges which you can then prove to be untrue.

It's called a straw man argument.

QUOTE

and when you accuse danny of getting rid of linda because he was having an affair with Brandi?

Again, you are playing games. **I did not say that!** What I did say is that looking at the situation, with hindsight, there is more evidence pointing to Danny having an affair than to Linda having an affair.

QUOTE

All I hear is show proof from your side. Where is your proof on those accusations? You have none. Even insinuating such a thing is dumb on your part. There were no rumors, Brandi was never heard of, much less in the picture until after the divorce had been final for quite some time.

I've been asking for the dates for quite some time. If what you say is true, you could give us these dates:

1. The date Brandi arrived at 3ABN.
2. The time Brandi actually worked at 3ABN.
3. The date Brandi moved into the house directly across from Danny's house.

QUOTE

When he started to see her,


And when was that date?

QUOTE

the master manipulator jumped at that opportunity to start the rumors that something must have been going on before. She jumped on it like a dog on a bone, next thing you know all these rumors are circulating which had never been circulated before this. There was nothing to circulate. The puppeteer seen her chance to take the heat off of herself and to play the victim. What better way to be vindicated than to start the rumor that Danny was having an affair and wanted to get rid of

her. Brilliant strategy.

Oh, my oh my, what venom and what outrageous claims -- that someone (who might that be?) is manipulating all of us here who question the ethics of Dan's third marriage.



QUOTE

Especially for those of you that have taken her or her friends word for it. You ask for information, I have permission to share it. But what difference will it make. When we show proof that the puppeteer has lied you either ignore it or start grasping at straws like your statement about airbrushing.

Again, **that was Glenetta's straw man statement! And she's dancing with YOU, according to OUR puppeteer -- since that's the analogy YOU like to use.** I don't recall any of us here talking about puppeteers. That's *your outrageous analogy*. But perhaps you know a thing or two from experience? Things like having one's strings pulled?

You know, your analogy is much more fitting to your situation at 3ABN. Dan holds the power there. But Linda holds no power over us. And you say she pulls *our strings*?

suggest you employ just a little common sense before posting here.

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 08:23 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 9 2007, 03:50 AM)

Your little game of confusion won't work here!

I had already written my replies to show how false you are, but I decided to delete it for now. It would be much better to meet a judge in court to have him decide who is telling the truth - or who is making such a distortion of the facts, that the total picture becomes a great falsehood!

See again in court, if that is your pleasure!

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 8 2007, 08:24 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 8 2007, 08:01 PM)



You heard the large print. Guess you can PM me.

Welcome again dear glenetta. It is obvious to understand that you **All Late and Wrong** as most

newbies tend to be. We know you are new here and do not know your place, so please sit a while, read and maybe learn a few things before these foot in mouth experiences become habit forming.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:24 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 8 2007, 08:16 PM)

exactly.... from day one here at BSDA 3 yrs ago stated that she was not being treated as she should

Clay, it's not clear..WHO stated what she was not being treated fair? The actual source of our conversation or one of the puppets. Well that's it it must be gospel.

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:25 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:21 PM)

Spike, she was treated wonderfully considering what they knew. They could have exposed everything they had, they didn't. She could have been dismissed without any severence because of the morality question. Instead she was given a more than generous, severence. There are receipts for out of state counseling, and the Pastors and others that counseled with them are prepared to sign sworn statements as such. Every opportunity was given for help and reconciliation. She was given a more than fair amount of time to act on this and did not take it.

So once again, that she was not treated christlike when it all first happened is yet another rumor that started from the same source as all the others. Once the rumors and deceit were told, put in print, taken to the internet and repeated a hundred times over, of course 3abn had to start defending themselves against senseless accusations. Wouldn't you? Their was and is only one way to do that.

just when I thought you couldn't sound any more stupid... you surpassed yourself.... now you would have us believe that she was treated Christlike.... I think you and Danny must be sharing the same water, air, bed.... something....

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:26 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 08:23 PM)

I had already written my replies to show how false you are, but I decided to delete it for now. It would be much better to meet a judge in court to have him decide who is telling the truth - or who is making such a distortion of the facts, that the total picture becomes a great falsehood!

See again in court, if that is your pleasure!

We agree. I have been saying that all along but everyone here kept demanding details...I believe I have said several times and so has bystander that proof should be shown somewhere that counts...but they all just keep pushin

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:27 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:24 PM)

Clay, it's not clear..WHO stated what she was not being treated fair? The actual source of our conversation or one of the puppets. Well that's it it must be gospel.

tell Danny we said hi when you give him your evening report.....

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:28 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 8 2007, 08:25 PM)

just when I thought you couldn't sound any more stupid... you surpassed yourself.... now you would have us believe that she was treated Christlike.... I think you and Danny must be sharing the same water, air, bed.... something....

And are you and she sharing the same water, air, bed...something?

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:30 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:28 PM)

And are you and she sharing the same water, air, bed...something?

you know everything else you would know if I was....tell Danny it aint workin....

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 08:31 PM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 8 2007, 08:27 PM)

tell Danny we said hi when you give him your evening report.....

I don't give reports. Unlike you, I think for myself and I can what is right and what is wrong.

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 8 2007, 08:30 PM)

you know everything else you would know if I was....tell Danny it aint workin....

The Truth always works.

Posted by: Clay Feb 8 2007, 08:31 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:30 PM)

I don't give reports. Unlike you, I think for myself and I can what is right and what is wrong.

you wouldnt know what thinking for yourself is and wouldnt know a clue if it slapped you.....

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 08:31 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 9 2007, 04:21 AM)

- - - the Pastors and others that counseled with them are prepared to sign sworn statements as such. - - -

I challenge you to get such a statement from the pastor whom Danny asked to pray for Linda's return when he had chased her away from Camp Meeting. Danny has frequently told the world that he had counselled Linda.

Posted by: Spike Feb 8 2007, 08:36 PM

I was going to reply back to WWJD but I have to agree with Clay and tiresome they are. Plus I know of too many of the dealings of DS and his treatment of others but it's not my story to tell it's theirs when they are ready. So some of us do know better no matter what they come and say.

Posted by: Hersheys99 Feb 8 2007, 08:42 PM

You got that right Spike. They don't like it that we pay attention in here so they have to do what they can to try to blow smoke so the real issues get buried under it all & they hope we will all go away.

Posted by: Johann Feb 8 2007, 08:52 PM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 9 2007, 04:31 AM)

I don't give reports. Unlike you, I think for myself and I can what is right and what is wrong.
The Truth always works.

Why don't you give Danny your reports? Does he know it all without you saying anything?

Posted by: sister Feb 8 2007, 09:11 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 7 2007, 09:21 AM)

I did not write the following, but am merely passing it on. It explains the above quote from HOTY that appeared in another 3ABN thread. This is yet another example of the true character of Danny Shelton and what goes on behind the scenes at 3ABN, which are diametrically opposed to the remarks he and Mollie recently made on a Thursday night "live" concerning the unimportance of online posting in regard to the situation at 3ABN. It also illustrates his continual threats of harassment toward, his ex-wife, Linda Shelton.

Sister

Have you heard about the "deeply spiritual" worship that took place today at 3abn and how the master himself actually CAME TO WORSHIP??? He does not go to the weekly worship you know...but he did today!!! And with vengeance!!

"Dan did worship today and it was all about how he is going after "Linda and her team." He also said that he's bringing charges against the employees that post on BSDA. It was over an hour and was all about the scandals going on. Dan said that charges had already been filed. He said he was going after Linda and those that post in her favor. Then to close it all, John Lomacang said a prayer that was basically a warning against people speaking out. He said charges were filed against Linda's team and others who support her and post on this site. He didn't name names, but he did say "I'm going after Linda." He did say that someone is giving them (Linda's team) information and are always one step ahead.

I know that if the employees didn't know something was up, they do now. Everyone was talking and a few friends were saying how administration is

furious."

Why are WWJD and Glenetta fighting so hard to keep our discussion away from the facts in the above post with which I began this thread? What does the above quote say about the characters of both Danny Shelton and John Lomacang? Let me make one suggestion and see where it leads us.

From the above description of John Lomacang's "prayer" is obvious why it is unwise to have the 3ABN church as a separate church district of its own---with its own pastor, with 3ABN paying half of his salary---within an established church district, where the next district church is less than a 10 minute drive away. **Judging by this situation alone, there is an obvious conflict of interest on the part of John Lomacang.** Pollyanna said, "No one can own a church." But she never said it is impossible to own the Pastor, especially if he has his own program on 3ABN, sings on 3ABN and his recordings are promoted on 3ABN. **What does this say about the credibility of John Lomacang?** Any thoughts, folks?

Sister

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 8 2007, 11:11 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 07:11 PM)

Why are WWJD and Glenetta fighting so hard to keep our discussion away from the facts in the above post with which I began this thread? What does the above quote say about the characters of both Danny Shelton and John Lomacang? Let me make one suggestion and see where it leads us.

From the above description of John Lomacang's "prayer" is obvious why it is unwise to have the 3ABN church as a separate church district of its own---with its own pastor, with 3ABN paying half of his salary---within an established church district, where the next district church is less than a 10 minute drive away. **Judging by this situation alone, there is an obvious conflict of interest on the part of John Lomacang.** Pollyanna said, "No one can own a church." But she never said it is impossible to own the Pastor, especially if he has his own program on 3ABN, sings on 3ABN and his recordings are promoted on 3ABN. **What does this say about the credibility of John Lomacang?** Any thoughts, folks?

Sister

I used to love to hear John L. and wife interview people. He sounded so much nicer than Danny ever would. I used to love hearing him sing. Now I am ashamed of him and the blatant lies and disgusting terrible things he says against Linda on world wide television. So to me the prayer just confirms my feelings about him. I lost all respect for the man. He dishonored God and himself and everyone else who listened to his "prayer".

The agitators in this topic forum are just wisps of smoke. They talk big but don't back up their talk.

Posted by: wwjd Feb 8 2007, 11:23 PM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 08:31 PM)

I challenge you to get such a statement from the pastor whom Danny asked to pray for Linda's return when he had chased her away from Camp Meeting. Danny has frequently told the world that he had counselled Linda.

I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

Johann, this would never have had to be told had you not challenged a statement from this pastor..Maybe it would be best for you and others to back away before you hurt her more than help her.

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Feb 8 2007, 11:11 PM) □

I used to love to hear John L. and wife interview people. He sounded so much nicer than Danny ever could. I used to love hearing him sing. Now I am ashamed of him and the blatant lies and discusting terrible things he says against Linda on world wide television. So to me the prayer just confirms my feelings about him. I lost all respect for the man. He dishonored God and himself and everyone else who listened to his "prayer".

The agitators in this topic forum are just whisps of smoke. They talk big but don't back up their talk.

What "prayer" are you talking about? The one at Worship? Were you there? If not you are strictly going on rumor again. Several that were there said that John in no way prayed a "warning" prayer. They said Danny never said he was going after Linda and her team. More than several said it wasn't true. They witnessed it. So again, sister or someone who fed her the info twisted it to their own liking.

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 12:01 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 8 2007, 08:50 PM) □

You ask for information, I have permission to share it.

So bring on some **real** information and **significant information!** So far, we've only seen spin and a picking on insignificant details like slips of the tongue/typing finger ..

QUOTE

But what difference will it make. When we show proof that the puppeteer

You know, when you start with such an insulting premise, to you really expect us to listen to you?



If you start that far out in left field, how do you expect to ever get to first base?

QUOTE

has lied you either ignore it or start grasping at straws like your statement about airbrushing.

Again, **you're grasping at straws** because it was **your partner in spin, Glenetta, who inserted the airbrushing scenario!** Having said that, I don't doubt the possibility, considering all the verbal spin and outright lying we've had to put up with.

QUOTE

Every thing that has been posted that has said you can call and check it out, or the things that have been posted with facts and figures about her salary and perks compared to his, you just ignore or try to poke holes in.

We've never been that seriously interested in comparative salaries. So why should we make a big deal of it just because you want us to??

QUOTE

So now, I see, that even if we were to post pictures of the obvious you all will say they have been doctored. What a joke. That's ok.

So you are preparing the way for *not posting pictures* because you don't have anything significant -- nothing that would be convincing to a thinking person!

QUOTE

Close your eyes, don't even entertain the possibiltiy that the master puppeteer has been pulling your strings whether you know it or not.

There you go again with your insults, and *you expect us to listen to you??* (to be continued)

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 12:12 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 9 2007, 12:23 AM)

I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

Do you know the content of those three 45-minute phone calls? Areyou **sure** that Mark Finlay will testify that Linda had an "an inappropriate relationship"?

You see this is the story that we've been told from the beginning. And I believe it's a slanderous statement about Mark Finlay.

I believe that Mark Finlay was not duped, as you seem to testify he was. Thus I doubt very much that he'll be "happy to verify" *your story*. I rather suspect that he recognizes that Dan Shelton had no biblical grounds for divorce. In fact, it wouldn't surprise me if he had told Dan just that.

You've told us what you think, and I've told you what I think.

The truth will eventually come out.

In the meantime, I suspect that, based on our individual records, your credibility here may be just a little lower than mine. (We reap what we sow.)

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 12:21 AM

Inga:

Don't forget **BiBystander** ... he, and wwjd are sharing a computer. You may have noticed, a day or two ago, when one was talking then the other got on and, then one or both of them, "forgot" to log off, thus the other esponded by mistake under the other persons name.

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 8 2007, 08:13 PM)

Yes, Sister. I suspect that Glenetta's and WWJD's coming here to muddy the waters was hardly accidental... [/b]

Posted by: roxe Feb 9 2007, 01:55 AM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 8 2007, 11:21 PM)

Don't forget **BiBystander** ... he, and wwjd are sharing a computer.

hmmm... wonder if all the Danny apologists are coaching each other as they type?

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 05:07 AM

Re: "I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

Johann, this would never have had to be told had you not challenged a statement from this pastor..Maybe it would be best for you and others to back away before you hurt her more than help her."

Mark Finley is a respected SDA leader. Many of us would like to see what he says, and if he supports your version of events.

In reality, Linda is hurt more by statements such as the above. Why? Because she can not challenge undocumented statemetns as to what Mark Finley would say. We on the so-called Linda's Team want to know the truth, whatever it is. We are willing for that truth to come out.

Does Mark Finley beleive that he cannot make a public statement without permission from Linda? O.K. Ask him to contact me. I will ask Linda to give him permission. If he wishes, ask him to send me his statement. I, again, will ask Linda to grant permission for me to post it. After she gives it, I will post it exactly as Mark Finley wrote it. Of course, after it is posted, anyone else may comment on it.

Once Mark Finley's statement is posted, we will know exactly what he has said. We will not be in doubt. We can comment on it in any way.

Is my proposal fair? Tell me if it is not.

By the way: In fairness to Linda, ask Mark Finley to be specific. Generalized statemets such as: "Linda had an inappropriate relationship . . ." are so general that it is hard to respond to them.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 9 2007, 05:22 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 9 2007, 05:07 AM) □

Re: "I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

Johann, this would never have had to be told had you not challenged a statement from this pastor..Maybe it would be best for you and others to back away before you hurt her more than help her."

Mark Finley is a respected SDA leader. Many of us would like to see what he says, and if he supports your version of events.

In reality, Linda is hurt more by statements such as the above. Why? Because she can not challenge undocumented statemetns as to what Mark Finley would say. We on the so-called Linda's Team want to know the truth, whatever it is. We are willing for that truth to come out.

Does Mark Finley beleive that he cannot make a public statement without permission from Linda? O.K. Ask him to contact me. I will ask Linda to give him permission. If he wishes, ask him to send me his statement. I, again, will ask Linda to grant permission for me to post it. After she gives it, I will post it exactly as Mark Finley wrote it. Of course, after it is posted, anyone else may comment on it.

Once Mark Finley's statement is posted, we will know exactly what he has said. We will not be in doubt. We can comment on it in any way.

Is my proposal fair? Tell me if it is not.

By the way: In fairness to Linda, ask Mark Finley to be specific. Generalized statemets such as: "Linda had an inappropriate relationship . . ." are so general that it is hard to respond to them.

Pastor Finley has been contacted and provided with a copy of the post by wwjd. I'll let you know when and if I get a response.

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 07:00 AM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 9 2007, 04:22 AM)

Pastor Finley has been contacted and provided with a copy of the post by wwjd. I'll let you know when and if I get a response.

I have sent Mark Finley a similar message, if I had his correct e-mail address.

I shall wait to see if it comes back to me as an incorrect address, or if he responds to me.

Posted by: Clay Feb 9 2007, 07:21 AM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 9 2007, 12:21 AM)

Inga:

Don't forget **BiBystander** ... he, and wwjd are sharing a computer. You may have noticed, a day or two ago, when one was talking then the other got on and, then one or both of them, "forgot" to log off, thus the other responded by mistake under the other person's name.

and what is sooooo wild is that they both make the same spelling error with Johann's name, spelling it Yohann..... isn't that creepy or what?

Posted by: Johann Feb 9 2007, 08:24 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 9 2007, 07:23 AM)

Johann, this would never have had to be told had you not challenged a statement from this pastor..Maybe it would be best for you and others to back away before you hurt her more than help her.

I would never have challenged this if that pastor had not told me that he had never had a real counselling session with Linda. Was he telling me a lie? Perhaps he knows better than you what counselling is?

Posted by: Clay Feb 9 2007, 09:09 AM

counseling via phone? I don't think so....

Posted by: YogusBearus Feb 9 2007, 09:16 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 9 2007, 09:09 AM)

counseling via phone? I don't think so...

Similar to violin lessons via correspondence I guess.

-Yogi

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 09:36 AM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 9 2007, 08:16 AM)

Similar to violin lessons via correspondence I guess.

-Yogi

Don't laugh. I once worked with a person who signed up to learn to play the piano through a correspondence course.

As to counseling, some think it is the following:

Counselee: What should I do?

Counselor: You should

Counselee: O.K. Thanks.

That is why I talk about professional counseling. It is much more. But, if we can get a statement from Mark Finley, we can understand what went on, and discuss it.

Without that statement, Linda is at a disadvantage and cannot respond to anything.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 09:42 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 8 2007, 07:31 PM)

What dates are you talking about? Sure, the three of us had meetings to discuss what to do about Danny's official lies about us. All three of us were in the same boat - made by Danny Shelton. My wife, Irmgard, had also been involved, but she was too sick to travel.

That is the dates I am talking about the three of you meeting! Would this not be a date, is there such a thing as a date book which you write engagements down in. Coming here to get answers can be very difficult. I am finding that, it seems, seems many have only one agenda and its not to allow others to ask questions. Thank you for being considerate enough to respond civil. I am sorry to hear that your wife was sick. Probably in this day and age, another women along would have made a different light as well. At these "meetings" did the group ever come up with a plan to deal

with the situation?

Those pictures were taken by Danny's Private Investigators when we came to attend the 3ABN Camp Meeting in 2004. By using the right kind of a telephoto lens they avoided getting me into the picture. That is easy for an expensive Private Investigator.

Have you seen the pictures that were taken? I guess that it would be a zoom in shot. I imagen that the picture would be Linda and her Dr. Arild, very large. I would like to see them, I know what Linda looks like but would like to see the Doctor.

Hey is that where you were at when you talked about Thompsonville? 3abn Camp Meeting? So you were with Linda, Dr. Arild at camp meeting?

According to Pastor John Lomacang Danny had already made up his mind he wanted to get rid of Linda before we got into the picture. Danny was only waiting for an opportunity where he could make it look like he had biblical grounds for a divorce, and it appeared to him that he could use Dr. Arild Abrahamsen as his scapegoat.

This is the confusion and commenting on this could get frizzy hair going on. I am told that this is a public forum and that I should PM but I don't see where others do a lot of that. People just talk freely. Did Danny have biblical grounds for the divorce, yes or no? You were there was the Dr. Arild and Linda ever alone? If so now this could be confused with That is why we are to be careful about appearance. I can't imagen Linda doing that, she seemed to be so spiritual on TV. I man eric said a few days ago though. What you see on TV and in reality is two different things, and I would say to some point that could be true.

I do not understand how you get the impression from what I said that the doctor and Linda were at fault? Danny made it appear like they were at fault by issuing false statements.

My impression is wide open. I am trying to get an impression and believe me, like many people, I may need some clarification to support my thoughts. Seems there have been many things twisted by many people and that's how this seems to be going. Did you read about Linda getting her house broke into. Forged documents ect. Well now this makes me wonder about the documents here and everywhere, are they FORGED? See for the unknowing all of this is just hard to believe. You have first hand info. Talk to me friend.

Danny got so angry at me for refusing to help him verify this false accusation, that he had Dr. Walt Thompson fire me from my employment at 3ABN.

You have got to be kidding Dr. Walt Thompson fired you from 3ABN because Danny was angry? Tell me more about this! Now I heard that Linda terminated peoples employment when she was there, but then this was after she was gone. There was one gentleman that lives in Texas and Linda was not happy with the way he talked to her. So she fired him in a snappy pappy minute. He was so hurt. Anyway that was when she was there. Tell me about your experience>

Posted by: Clay Feb 9 2007, 09:47 AM

Glenetta..... go back to the shallow end of the pool.... grown folks business down here in the deep end and you have shown you aren't ready just yet....

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 10:17 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 9 2007, 08:24 AM)

I would never have challenged this if that pastor had not told me that he had never had a real counselling session with Linda. Was he telling me a lie? Perhaps he knows better than you what counselling is?

What on earth is going on here. Now Mark Finley is deceiving people? What kind of place is this SDA forum. Johann here I am trying to build some trust in your statements and now your saying that other leaders within the denomination are corrupt also. Tell me how all of these people are so bad and everyone here is so good and know the truth. Something is not right!
I read at the beginning about Corporate Worship which to me is an excellent idea to implement into any business, ministry and families around the world. Here I see it turned into some awful thing. Now Mark Finley is being degraded. Come on tell me, does anyone love Jesus here?

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 10:31 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 09:17 AM)

What on earth is going on here. Now Mark Finley is deceiving people? What kind of place is this SDA forum. Johann here I am trying to build some trust in your statements and now your saying that other leaders within the denomination are corrupt also. Tell me how all of these people are so bad and everyone here is so good and know the truth. Something is not right!
I read at the beginning about Corporate Worship which to me is an excellent idea to implement into any business, ministry and families around the world. Here I see it turned into some awful thing. Now Mark Finley is being degraded. Come on tell me, does anyone love Jesus here?

Glenetta get off of your high horse. No one is remotely degrading Mark Finley. He is a respected SDA evangelist and leader. We have been told that he is willing to make a statement in regard to the counseling that it is claimed he did with Linda. I, who am one of those on the so-called Linda's Team, would like to see his statement. I have never said anything that can be remotely considered to be an implication that Mark Finley has lied. As a respected leader, who we are told is willing to make a statement, I want to see what he has to say. If he is willing to give such to us, I will comment on it. There is nothing degrading about that.

As for Johann, he has not degraded Mark Finley. He simply brought up a question that needs to be clarified. Surely Elder Finley will not take offense at the request for clarification. Johann, Bob Pickle, GAJ, and I are all interested in the truth, whatever that is.

Posted by: Denny Feb 9 2007, 10:33 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 04:17 PM)

[color=#000099]What on earth is going on here. Now Mark Finley is deceiving people? What kind of place is this SDA forum. Johann here I am trying to build some trust in your statements and now your saying that other leaders within the denomination are corrupt also. Tell me how all of these

people are so bad and everyone here is so good and know the truth. Something is not right!
 I read at the beginning about Corporate Worship which to me is an excellent idea to implement into
 any business, ministry and families around the world. Here I see it turned into some awful thing.
 Now Mark Finley is being degraded. **Come on tell me, does anyone love Jesus here?**[/color]

You must be a fast reader, you just joined on Feb 7 and have read all the historical posts on here
 about 3abn and are ready to discuss said posts and judge people's relationship with Jesus! wow!

Posted by: awesumtenor Feb 9 2007, 10:48 AM

QUOTE(Denny @ Feb 9 2007, 11:33 AM)

You must be a fast reader, you just joined on Feb 7 and have read all the historical posts on here
 about 3abn and are ready to discuss said posts and judge people's relationship with Jesus! wow!

It's that Evelyn Wood Speed reading course...

In His service,
 Mr. J

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 10:58 AM

QUOTE(Denny @ Feb 9 2007, 10:33 AM)

You must be a fast reader, you just joined on Feb 7 and have read all the historical posts on here
 about 3abn and are ready to discuss said posts and **judge people's relationship with Jesus!**
 wow!

Yes I have spent some time reading the many post and judgment of people's relationship with Jesus, I
 think not. I would have to say though "fruit's" are little but hey "some fruit" develop slow. I once had
 an orange tree and I never did think that it would produce and you know what eventually it did. As long
 as there is a stump there can always be branches.

I don't want to follow the crowd Denny, I want to have my own personal view of things. I guess if that
 does not agree with you, well that is your problem.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 10:59 AM

QUOTE(YogusBearus @ Feb 9 2007, 10:16 AM)

Similar to violin lessons via correspondence I guess.

-Yogi

And, let us not forget, divorce by correspondence.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 9 2007, 11:12 AM

In post#218, Glenetta wrote:

" I am told that this is a public forum and that I should PM but I don't see where others do a lot of that."

How in the world would you know how many PMs are sent between people? I assure you that yesterday I sent more PMs asking for information and especially clarification than I wrote posts in the 3ABN area.

There is a great deal of fellowship and support to be found here at BlackSDA. The last time I checked not only have you been posting a lot since joining but 100% of those posts are in the 3ABN forum.

Why not visit some other areas and get to know people here before making accusations about anyone's faith or relationship to their creator in this highly volatile topic.

You might find your questions and opinions are more welcomed after you establish a relationship with the people here. **That** is what social media is all about.

nw

Also please note that I think it is very important in these heated discussions to quote things exactly rather than put words in peoples mouths by paraphrasing what you thought they meant. Just a suggestion/hint.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 11:19 AM

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 9 2007, 10:31 AM)

Glenetta get off of your high horse. No one is remotely degrading Mark Finley. He is a respected SDA evangelist and leader. We have been told that he is willing to make a statement in regard to the counseling that it is claimed he did with Linda. I, who am one of those on the so-called Linda's Team, would like to **see his statement. I have never said anything that can be remotely considered to be an implication that Mark Finley has lied.** As a respected leader, who we are told is willing to make a statement, I want to see what he has to say. If he is willing to give such to us, I will comment on it. There is nothing degrading about that.

As for Johann, he has not degraded Mark Finley. He simply brought up a question that needs to be clarified. Surely Elder Finley will not take offense at the request for clarification. Johann, Bob Pickle, GAJ, and I are all interested in the truth, whatever that is.

If he is respected like you have said, why would you want to "see" his statement? And Johann did ask, "DID HE LIE"? It was a question but what a question for a highly regarded, highly respected individual of the denomination. I imagen if there is a court proceeding, the statement will be there and being you are representing Linda you will see it then. I can't imagen what would be said about Elder Finley on here, if, that statement was posted here. I am in fear that this is becoming . . . more than just a forum of discussion. When SDA's are becoming so liberal that they feel they can evaluate "anyone" and "everyone" that is getting serious.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 11:19 AM

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 9 2007, 10:47 AM)

Glenetta..... go back to the shallow end of the pool.... grown folks business down here in the deep end and you have shown you aren't ready just yet....

Have you not heard "that the very young do not always do as they are told".

The Nox - Star Gate SG-1

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 11:30 AM


Re: "If he is respected like you have said, why would you want to "see" his statement?"

- 1) Because he is respected.
- 2) Because we have been told that he would be willing to make a statement.
- 3) Because the statement that he is said to be willing to make, and may already have made to others, concerns Linda. Should she be made aware of what he has already said about her, or is willing to say about her? I think that she should be aware of such.
- 4) As I am said to be on Linda's Team, and one who advises her, it is appropriate for me to ask to see the statement.
- 5) I will point out: The attempt of ASI to mediate broke down in part because Linda wanted full public disclosure regardless of which way it went. I find it of interest that the other side (not Linda's side) appears unwilling to state the facts and wants to only disclose to a few people. If Mark Finley is willing to make a statement, and Linda is willing for such a statement to be public, why not?

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 9 2007, 11:32 AM


QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 12:19 PM)

If he is respected like you have said, why would you want to "see" his statement? And Johann did ask, "DID HE LIE"? It was a question but what a question for a highly regarded, highly respected individual of the denomination. I imagen if there is a court proceeding, the statement will be there and being you are representing Linda you will see it then. I can't imagen what would be said about Elder Finley on here, if, that statement was posted here. I am in fear that this is becoming . . . more than just a forum of discussion. When SDA's are becoming so liberal that they feel they can evaluate "anyone" and "everyone" that is getting serious.

Get over yourself already...you've been here less than a week and already you've shown that you have no interest in actually reading what's posted here...instead you twist and manipulate words and other people's post to present a completely different message (Example: insisting that Johann had "dates"; quoting Sister's posts incorrectly). That is wrong, just wrong...  The one thing that I really respect Calvin for on these boards is that he holds **everybody** to the same standard of integrity, respect, and honesty. You need to remember that.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 11:46 AM


QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 9 2007, 11:19 AM) 


Have you not heard "that the very young do not always do as they are told". 

The Nox - Star Gate SG-1

Why do I have to be ready? I'll just jump on your back and let you do all the swimming! Then when we get to the shallow end I'll jump off and comment on what a good girl am I, while you are still trying to get your breath.

I have heard the young do not always do as they are told, **and young I am.**

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 9 2007, 11:32 AM) 

Get over yourself already...you've been here less than a week and already you've shown that you have no interest in actually reading what's posted here...instead you twist and manipulate words and other people's post to present a completely different message (Example: insisting that Johann had "dates"; quoting Sister's posts incorrectly). That is wrong, just wrong...  The one thing that I really respect Calvin for on these boards is that he holds **everybody** to the same standard of integrity, respect, and honesty. You need to remember that.

I have read enough post to know that I read how Linda had a swimming pool at her manision, Joe Smith called it huge. Pickle decided it was all compared to Joe Smith had none. So I guess it would all be in the eye of the beholder. Now what appears to be a huge contribution by Gailon Joy is very small in the eyes of another, including me. I give myself and his donation was small peanuts. Now as far as twisting words, I am not, read the post as the post was made **and the proceeding post**. I referred to the dates because they were dates that the 3 meet. Now if you are thinking of a date, date then 2 is a company 3 is a crowd. Well in most cases.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 11:52 AM

What in the world are you talking about?

QUOTE

Inga, I am surprised that you would put so many accusations in your post. Again I ask not where are you getting your information but what is the original source or are you just repeating what you have heard? Do you hear yourself and this is to **princess di** also when you talk about airbrushing people and when you accuse danny of getting rid of linda because he was having an affair with Brandi? All I hear is show proof from your side. Where is your proof on those accusations?.....

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 12:02 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:17 AM)

What on earth is going on here. Now Mark Finley is deceiving people?

That Elder Mark Finlay disagrees with Dan Shelton and his team does not mean he is being deceptive. It means he disagrees. That **could** mean that **either** Dan Shelton **or** Mark Finlay is being deceptive.

I'm betting that Mark Finlay is being truthful and Dan Shelton is being deceptive.

Let other readers judge for themselves.

Posted by: caribbean sda Feb 9 2007, 12:05 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 12:17 PM)

What on earth is going on here. What kind of place is this SDA forum. Come on tell me, does anyone love Jesus here?

Give it a rest, lady. This forum is what you make it. Why don't you take a break from the 3ABN saga and visit some other threads, you will see "what kind of place is this SDA forum." Oh yeah, and you'll discover that we all love Jesus here.

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 12:06 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 11:02 AM)

That Elder Mark Finlay disagrees with Dan Shelton and his team does not mean he is being deceptive. It means he disagrees. That **could** mean that **either** Dan Shelton **or** Mark Finlay is being deceptive.

I'm betting that Mark Finlay is being truthful and Dan Shelton is being deceptive.

Let other readers judge for themselves.

And honest people can disagree.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 12:08 PM

....more like disrespectful...

QUOTE(Clay @ Feb 9 2007, 05:21 AM)

and what is sooooo wild is that they both make the same spelling error with Johann's name, spelling it Yohann..... isn't that creepy or what?

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 12:09 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 12:46 PM)

I referred to the dates because they were dates that the 3 meet. Now if you are thinking of a date, date then 2 is a company 3 is a crowd. Well in most cases.

Glenetta, you are again trying to confuse the issue. You suggested they "had dates," and that means something very different from a meeting of 3 or more people on specific dates. Such meetings are through *appointments* and are not called *dates*. which I suspect you already know, since you seem to be well enough acquainted with the English language and American modes of expression, albeit not the educated version.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 12:16 PM

But the kicker is what they are considering counselling is people or a group of people steadily calling Linda to meetings telling her to stop talking to the Dr. treating her son. That is not counselling. I can't get over that!

QUOTE(Observer @ Feb 9 2007, 07:36 AM)

Don't laugh. I once worked with a person who signed up to learn to play the piano through a correspondence course.

As to counseling, some think it is the following:

Counselee: What should I do?

Counselor: You should

Counselee: O.K. Thanks.

That is why I talk about professional counseling. It is much more. But, if we can get a statement

from Mark Finley, we can understand what went on, and discuss it.

Without that statement, Linda is at a disadvantage and cannot respond to anything.

Posted by: Noahswife Feb 9 2007, 12:33 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 9 2007, 01:16 PM) □

But the kicker is what they are considering counselling is people or a group of people steadily calling Linda to meetings telling her to stop talking to the Dr. treating her son. That is not counselling. I can't get over that!

And I second that statement.

✕

So do we need to define what is appropriate "counselling" in this situation? And whose opinion should be considered? A trained therapist and if so, what are their credentials? A pastor whose experience is just that, his experience (IMO which has no weight here ☐)? There are definitely going to be different definitions so probably each side will claim they were right on this issue.

I hate to keep bringing up my mother but I cannot tell you how many times she has brought up the counselling she heard/knows Linda got as a reason my mother believes that DS loved his wife and never wanted any of this to happen. But despite the counselling, Linda would not GIVE UP her relationship. Ergo, in my mother's mind that equals affair.

Before I ever came to BlackSDA and learned more I wondered about what type of "counseling" Linda was provided and kept my tongue as to what I would guess it might have involved. If in fact Danny believes that a wife spending too much time on the phone with a man that was not her husband (was it 15-20 minutes?) constitutes having an affair and individuals with similar mindthink believe the same and were doing the counselling, I only know what my personal reaction would be and those words ain't and yes I said that) allowed on this board.

rw

Posted by: Observer Feb 9 2007, 01:05 PM

What is professional counseling?

I will attempt to make a brief statement in the context of Linda and Danny as to what I would do if I had been involved in counseling them. I will begin with Linda first:

1) I would do an intake. That would be a personal history which would include social aspects of Linda's background as well as other issues. As Linda have been married prior to her marriage to Danny, that would be included. It would be comprehensive.

That purpose of that would be to identify to me issues associated with Linda's life and background that were pertinent to the issues of today.

2) I would attempt to get Linda to verbalize the relationship that she had with Dr. A.

The purpose would be in part to inform me. But it would also be an attempt to get Linda to fix in her mind the structural reality of that relationship.

3) I would attempt to get Linda to verbalize the emotional aspects of that relationship.

All relationships have an emotional aspect. Anger, etc. is an emotional aspect. I would do that in an attempt to get Linda to recognize how her emotions were involved in the relationship. and what those emotions were.

4) Then we would do the same for her marriage to Danny.

5) Next we would explore how the answers to the above issues impacted upon her marriage to Danny.

6) Then we would explore where Linda wanted to go in her relationship with Danny and also her relationship with Dr. A.

7) I have focused, so far, on Linda. I would take a similar approach to Danny.

8) Once I had helped both Linda and Danny clarify in their minds the reality, and the direction that they wanted to go, I would help Linda and Danny explore their marriage and where they wanted to go with it.

If I had been effective, we would know where each one wanted to go with the marriage.

9) Once we knew where the marriage was going I would help them to explore how they would continue to relate to each other.

If they both wanted to build their marriage, I would help them to explore how to do such.

If they had decided to end the marriage, I would help them to explore how they would relate to each other in the future. The bottom line is that what we have seen here is an example of how people who plan to get a divorce should NOT relate to each other. If I was effective in this, Linda and Danny would be allowed to get on with their lives, without interference from the other, and they would relate to each other in a healthy manner. They might not be friends. There might be anger and pain. But, they would be moving on in health, and not in what we have seen.

Folks, this is a brief statement that I simply wrote off the top of my head, so to speak. If I were to think about it for a week, I would likely revise it. But, I will suggest that it gives you an idea as to what happens in professional counseling

Yes, while I am a SDA clergy person, I will still say that most SDA pastors are not qualified to do professional counseling.

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 01:07 PM

Glenetta, since you are new here I am going to help you out:
In post #187 wwjd said this:

QUOTE

.....There are receipts for out of state counseling, and the Pastors and others that counseled with

them are prepared to sign sworn statements as such.....

To which Johann replied in Post #199:

QUOTE

I challenge you to get such a statement from the pastor whom Danny asked to pray for Linda's return when he had chased her away from Camp Meeting. Danny has frequently told the world that he had counselled Linda.

Then is post #205 wwjd responded:

QUOTE

I will take you up on that because it's not even a challenge. The pastor you refer to is MARK FINLEY. He will be happy to verify that he counseled with Linda by phone, 3 different times for 45 minutes to an hour. MARK FINLEY . HAS HE BEEN DUPED TOO? He will also be happy, if it comes to that, to verify that she did, indeed, have an inappropriate relationship with Arild that went way beyond a doctor treating her son. He can also verify that she was not willing to "give him up" to restore her marriage and/or her place in the ministry.

To which Observer responded In post #210:

QUOTE

.....Mark Finley is a respected SDA leader. Many of us would like to see what he says, and if he supports your version of events.....Once Mark Finley's statement is posted, we will know exactly what he has said. We will not be in doubt. We can comment on it in any way.

Is my proposal fair? Tell me if it is not.

To which Duane responded in Post #211:

QUOTE

Pastor Finley has been contacted and provided with a copy of the post by wwjd. I'll let you know when and if I get a response.

And Observer again responded in Post #212:

QUOTE

I have sent Mark Finley a similar message, if I had his correct e-mail address.

I shall wait to see if it comes back to me as an incorrect address, or if he responds to me.

Now we are awaiting a response from Mark Finley. Now , please keep up!

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 09:19 AM)

If he is respected like you have said, why would you want to "see" his statement? And Johann did ask, "DID HE LIE"? It was a question but what a question for a highly regarded, highly respected individual of the denomination. I imagen if there is a court proceeding, the statement will be there and being you are representing Linda you will see it then. I can't imagen what would be said about Elder Finley on here, if, that statement was posted here. I am in fear that this is becoming . . . more than just a forum of discussion. When SDA's are becoming so liberal that they feel they can evaluate "anyone" and "everyone" that is getting serious.

Posted by: Chez Feb 9 2007, 01:09 PM

QUOTE(Rosyroi @ Feb 8 2007, 11:11 PM)

I used to love to hear John L. and wife interview people. He sounded so much nicer than Danny ever could. I used to love hearing him sing. Now I am ashamed of him and the blatant lies and discusting terrible things he says against Linda on world wide television. So to me the prayer just confirms my feelings about him. I lost all respect for the man. He dishonored God and himself and everyone else who listened to his "prayer".
The agitators in this topic forum are just whisps of smoke. They talk big but don't back up their talk.

When Lomacang is on 3ABN, I turn the channel. When Danny Shelton is on 3ABN, I turn the channel. They are in the same boat. I am ashamed that John Lomacang would support such a vindictive action by Danny Shelton. As a "minister" of the Gospel, he should take the high road and not engage in such vindictive action or rhetoric. In addition, the 3ABN church (I think) is a part of the Illinois Conference. Therefore, Lomacang should be conducting himself according to the culture and practice of the conference not of a vindictive ex-husband. Note: I didn't refer to him as a "pastor". A true servant of God would not conduct himself/herself in such a manner using a "prayer" to bully and harass people. This sounds like Jim Jones and David Koresh.

Posted by: Chez Feb 9 2007, 01:23 PM

QUOTE(Chez @ Feb 9 2007, 01:09 PM)

When Lomacang is on 3ABN, I turn the channel. When Danny Shelton is on 3ABN, I turn the channel. They are in the same boat. I am ashamed that John Lomacang would support such a vindictive action by Danny Shelton. As a "minister" of the Gospel, he should take the high road and not engage in such vindictive action or rhetoric. In addition, the 3ABN church (I think) is a part of the Illinois Conference. Therefore, Lomacang should be conducting himself according to the culture and practice of the conference not of a vindictive ex-husband. Note: I didn't refer to him as a "pastor". A true servant of God would not conduct himself/herself in such a manner using a "prayer" to bully and harass people. This sounds like Jim Jones and David Koresh.

Off Topic: Where is Aletheia? We lost Aletheia and Glenetta shows up. Hmmmm.... Back on Topic!

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 9 2007, 01:30 PM




Glenetta said:

QUOTE

Inga, I am surprised that you would put so many accusations in your post. Again I ask not where are you getting your information but what is the original source or are you just repeating what you have heard? Do you hear yourself and **this is to princess di also when you talk about airbrushing people** and when you accuse danny of getting rid of linda because he was having an affair with Brandi? All I hear is show proof from your side. Where is your proof on those accusations?.....

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 9 2007, 12:52 PM) □

What in the world are you talking about?

Di, have you been hiding your talents from us? I mean, I know you're a princess and everthing, but I didn't know you could "airbrush" people?   

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 01:42 PM




Who told you this and why? PMs(Private Messages) are an option here and only those who name appear in the To or CC sections will know they have recieved them. The only ones you need concern yourself with are those that arrive in your Inbox. Now, if you are confused as to thier use, ask and it will be explained to you.

QUOTE

I am told that this is a public forum and that I should PM but I don't see where others do a lot of that. People just talk freely.

Gurl!!! I can do their hair.....for a price..now that I am officially retired. LOL!!!

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 9 2007, 11:30 AM) □

Di, have you been hiding your talents from us? I mean, I know you're a princess and everthing, but I didn't know you could "airbrush" people?   

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 9 2007, 01:43 PM

QUOTE(wwjid @ Feb 8 2007, 07:50 PM)

Since Johann is a foreigner maybe he doesn't understand that Licensed PI's dont lie.

Still laughing out loud... What country do YOU live in? I happen to be in United States where licensed PIs have a notorious reputation! LICENSED PI'S DON'T LIE???? I suppose you think licensed attorneys don't lie??? Or licensed accountants??? rofl

Posted by: gardener Feb 9 2007, 01:45 PM

Does anyone besides me think Glenetta sounds a lot like Aletheia?

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 9 2007, 01:46 PM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 9 2007, 02:42 PM)

Gurl!!! I can do their hair....for a price..now that I am officially retired. LOL!!!

LOL!! Where's Madea when we need her? There a certain phrase that would be appropriate right about now...


Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 02:04 PM

QUOTE(sister @ Feb 8 2007, 10:11 PM)

Why are WWJD and Glenetta fighting so hard to keep our discussion away from the facts in the above post with which I began this thread? What does the above quote say about the characters of both Danny Shelton and John Lomacang? Let me make one suggestion and see where it leads us.

From the above description of John Lomacang's "prayer" is is obvious why it is unwise to have the 3ABN church as a separate church district of it's own---with it's own pastor, with 3ABN paying half of his salary---within an established church district, where the next district church is less then a 10 minute drive away. **Judging by this situation alone, there is an obvious conflict of interest on the part of John Lomacang.** Pollyanna said, "No one can own a church." But she never said it is impossible to own the Pastor, especially if he has his own program on 3ABN, sings on 3ABN and his recordings are promoted on 3ABN. **What does this say about the credibility of John Lomacang?** Any thoughts, folks?

Sister

Interesting questions to say the least... 

Posted by: Snoopy Feb 9 2007, 03:10 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:46 AM)

Now what appears to be a huge contribution by Gailon Joy is very small in the eyes of another, including me. I give myself and **his donation was small peanuts** .

Hhhmmm...another with access to private donor contribution information... 

<http://www.blacksda.com/forums/index.php?s=&showtopic=12434&view=findpost&p=176311>

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 12:02 PM)

That Elder Mark Finlay disagrees with Dan Shelton and his team does not mean he is being deceptive. It means he disagrees. That **could** mean that **either** Dan Shelton **or** Mark Finlay is being deceptive.

I'm betting that Mark Finlay is being truthful and Dan Shelton is being deceptive.

Let other readers judge for themselves.

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. **Maybe** the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 9 2007, 04:21 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 04:14 PM)

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

Maybe you should ask wwjd.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 04:25 PM

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 01:02 PM)

That Elder Mark Finley disagrees with Dan Shelton and his team does not mean he is being deceptive. It means he disagrees. That **could** mean that **either** Dan Shelton **or** Mark Finley is being deceptive.

I'm betting that Mark Finley is being truthful and Dan Shelton is being deceptive.

Let other readers judge for themselves.

inga, don't even waste your time, she doesn't get it. And it would take much more time than we have here.

Foot in mouth disease can't be cured without the persons consent to take the help. All I'm saying is it just can't happen when they don't want it.

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 05:14 PM)

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. **Maybe** the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 04:27 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 05:14 PM)

Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors.

Interesting that **you should know about all the receipts 3ABN has.**

We've heard the stories about "so-called" counseling for more than two years now. That doesn't make

it any more likely that Linda received counseling from disinterested parties.

The **one person** who would be recognized as disinterested is Mark Finley. And it would be helpful if he could lay the story of his "counseling" to rest once and for all. And that's what we're waiting for. WWJD suggested it, and we're waiting for the evidence.

About all those "other counselors": John Lomacang and Walt Thompson hardly cut it as "counselors" by any standard. And "counseling sessions" with Lnda and Danny where Danny did all the talking hardly cut it either. (Would you happen to know how much Danny donated to the ministry of the "counselors" they saw?)

So far, there has been **no credible evidence that Linda received any counseling whatsoever before being summarily fired and divorced all at once.**

Posted by: glenetta Feb 9 2007, 04:41 PM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 9 2007, 04:25 PM)

Inga, don't even waist your time, she doesn't get it. And it would take much more time than we have here.

Foot in mouth disease can't be cured without the persons consent to take the help. All I'm saying is it just can't happen when they don't want it.

Oh there is time, look how much you have spent so far, may as well stay in it for the long haul, huh. Tell me do you have proof that Linda did not refuse to continue in couseling? Do you have proof she did not couel at all? I know of proof that says, she did, and she refused to put any effort into her marriage. Then just a bit ago I read that she would not put her marriage or her ministry over her grown male/child!

Oh my lands, now I know that the Board of 3ABN made the right choice. When one goes into ministry GOD COMES FIRST, then your marraige, then your grown up male sons and daughters. Its not like her son is young and not able to make his own choices. HE is/was A GROWN MAN! It cost her, and apparently she had to pay with more, than money.

QUOTE(inga @ Feb 9 2007, 04:27 PM)

Interesting that **you should know about all the receipts 3ABN has.**

We've heard the stories about "so-called" counseling for more than two years now. That doesn't make it any more likely that Linda received counseling from disinterested parties.

The **one person** who would be recognized as disinterested is Mark Finley. And it would be helpful if he could lay the story of his "counseling" to rest once and for all. And that's what we're waiting for. WWJD suggested it, and we're waiting for the evidence.

About all those "other counselors": John Lomacang and Walt Thompson hardly cut it as "counselors" by any standard. And "counseling sessions" with Lnda and Danny where Danny did all the talking hardly cut it either. (Would you happen to know how much Danny donated to the ministry of the "counselors" they saw?)

So far, there has been **no credible evidence that Linda received any counseling whatsoever before being summarily fired and divorced all at once.**

Out of STATE was my words. OUT OF STATE. John Lomacang and Wat Thompson are not figured in that equation. Maybe you should do some looking and searching before refuting something you have not read or seen! Oh a maybe you should read the post as well.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 9 2007, 04:54 PM

QUOTE(Nuggie @ Feb 9 2007, 12:32 PM)

Get over yourself already...you've been here less than a week and already you've shown that you have no interest in actually reading what's posted here...instead you twist and manipulate words and other people's post to present a completely different message...

Nuggie in all honesty I don't believe she gets it at all. The poor dear may be trying but she really is not getting it. Keep the sista in prayer and the rest of them in prayer, and let us leave the blind to lead the blind shall we.

Posted by: inga Feb 9 2007, 05:46 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 05:41 PM)

Out of STATE was my words. OUT OF STATE. John Lomacang and Wat Thompson are not figured in that equation. Maybe you should do some looking and searching before refuting something you have not read or seen! Oh a maybe you should read the post as well.

Well, I apologize for not breaking it down for you into bite sizes.
I mentioned the counselors where Danny did all the talking, and I do understand they were in another state. I also asked you whether you happened to know how much Danny donated to their ministry, seeing you seem to have access to a lot of information.

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 9 2007, 09:20 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 9 2007, 04:22 AM)

Pastor Finley has been contacted and provided with a copy of the post by wwjd. I'll let you know when and if I get a response.

Glad you made the contact. We will all be interested in Pastor Finley's response!

Posted by: roxe Feb 9 2007, 10:59 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 10:46 AM)

...and young I am.

young?? ... who then is old??

~~"young" Brandi, perhaps??~~

sorry for speculating...

back to topic

Posted by: princessdi Feb 9 2007, 11:04 PM

Roxe, Roxe, Gurl you are a true Heathen! LOL!!!!

QUOTE(roxe @ Feb 9 2007, 08:59 PM)

young?? ... who then is old??

~~"young" Brandi, perhaps??~~

sorry for speculating...

back to topic

Posted by: Grace Feb 10 2007, 01:23 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 11:14 PM)

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors. And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. **Maybe the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.**

Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

Glenetta, it sounds like you're working for the devil himself. This has been his job since the beginning, trying to subtly implant lies in peoples minds. You have been doing it so much that you make me think that you came here exactly for that purpose. I'm praying for you.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 10 2007, 01:32 AM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 03:14 PM)

[color=#000099] **Maybe** the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.

Well you just did ~~imagine~~ think it. And it is **not** appreciated.

Posted by: Nuggie Feb 10 2007, 06:34 AM

QUOTE(seraph|m @ Feb 9 2007, 05:54 PM)

Nuggie in all honesty I don't believe she gets it at all. The poor dear may be trying but she really is not getting it. Keep the sista in prayer and the rest of them in prayer, and let us leave the blind to lead the blind shall we.

Yep...let the blind lead the blind right off the cliff...

Posted by: Johann Feb 10 2007, 07:54 AM


QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 07:19 PM)

--And Johann did ask, "DID HE LIE"? It was a question but what a question for a highly regarded, highly respected individual of the denomination. I imagine if there is a court proceeding, the statement will be there and being you are representing Linda you will see it then. I can't imagine what would be said about Elder Finley on here, if, that statement was posted here. I am in fear that this is becoming . . . more than just a forum of discussion. When SDA's are becoming so liberal that they feel they can evaluate "anyone" and "everyone" that is getting serious.


Again, you are taking my words out of context. Mark Finlay called me and told me that he had not counselled Linda Shelton, but Danny Shelton claims he has. So one of them must be saying something which is not quite true. Since WWJS still claims that Mark Finlay was counselling Linda, then I asked, Did Mark Finlay then lie in what he told me, since he then denied what Danny Shelton claims he did?

One of the two must be telling a lie, either Danny Shelton or Mark Finlay. It is up to you to decide which one of them it was. Since you are saying, which I agree with, that it could not be Mark Finlay, then it must be Danny Shelton. At least he is claiming something which Mark Finlay did not agree with when he called me.

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 10 2007, 09:11 AM



To those of us seeking to go in, by way of the straight gate, consider the following:
 Pro 9:8
 Do not correct a scoffer, lest he hate you;
 Rebuke a wise man, and he will love you.
 Pro 9:9
 Give instruction to a wise man, and he will be still wiser;
 Teach a just man, and he will increase in learning.

May the Lord richly bless you all today. 

Posted by: Clay Feb 10 2007, 09:15 AM

words of wisdom indeed Sera.... thanks for reminding us....

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 10 2007, 02:38 PM

I agree Sera....

Many don't want to know "the truth" or the real version of "the truth".....


There's gonna be disappointment on all sides when the "truth" comes out.....

ALL I KEEP REQUESTING IS PROOF FROM BOTH SIDES!!! & it seems that one side "hears" it and will provide proof of substantial accounts...while the other side cannot.

So far...as follows....

1. Mark Finley states that he did not provide counseling to Linda.
2. If **big IF** Mark Finley did speak on the phone w/ Linda Bro. Finley didn't call this "counseling" and never charged for it.
3. "Receipts" that people are speaking of in regards to the said counseling of Linda by Mark Finley do not exist or were "made up" in the minds/by these people.
4. Someone is lying big time in regards to Mark Finley doing counseling.

Am I correct this far? Am I correct as of recent?



Posted by: Bystander Feb 10 2007, 04:14 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 10 2007, 01:38 PM)

1. Mark Finley states that he did not provide counseling to Linda.

ACCORDING TO THE WAY JOHANN UNDERSTOOD IT.

2. If **big IF** Mark Finley did speak on the phone w/ Linda Bro. Finley didn't call this "counseling" and never charged for it.

MARK HAS NEVER DENIED TALKING TO LINDA ON THE PHONE SO YOUR BIG "IF" DOESN'T APPLY HERE. HE HAS ALSO NEVER DENIED IT WAS FROM 45 MINUTES TO AN HOUR EACH TIME.

3. "Receipts" that people are speaking of in regards to the said counseling of Linda by Mark Finley do not exist or were "made up" in the minds/by these people.

RECEIPTS FROM MARK'S COUNSELING ARE LUDICROUS. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID THAT. IN THE FIRST PLACE MARK WAS A FRIEND OF BOTH DANNY AND LINDA AND WANTED TO HELP. THERE WOULD NEVER BEEN A 'CHARGE' FOR HIS COUNSELING AND ADVICE THE RECEIPTS ARE FOR CHRISTIAN COUNSELORS OUT OF STATE AND WERE FOR A TOTAL OF 8 HOURS WITH 2 PROFFESIONALLY LICENSED COUNSELORS.

4. Someone is lying big time in regards to Mark Finley doing counseling.

Am I correct this far? Am I correct as of recent?

AS ADDRESSED YOU WERE TOTALLY INCORRECT.



Posted by: ex3ABNemployee Feb 10 2007, 05:00 PM

I thought we had been warned about shouting.

Posted by: Bystander Feb 10 2007, 05:13 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 10 2007, 05:00 PM)

I thought we had been warned about shouting.

capped to seperate my words from the other poster

Posted by: Ralph Feb 10 2007, 08:57 PM

QUOTE(Bystander @ Feb 10 2007, 04:13 PM)

I capped to seperate my words from the other poster

Color, *italics* or **bold** will do that better than caps. Do take the time to figure out how to insert quotes is I mentioned in a post that I sent yesterday.

wrote:

QUOTE

For Newbies, try this if you wish to split a post. (I'll put spaces in this so it goes through.)

At the beginning of a quote, insert [quote] (That's a square bracket, the word, "quote", square bracket)

To end quote [/ quote] (That's square bracket, backslash, quote, square bracket.)

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 10 2007, 10:14 PM

While you cannot use the "quote function" correctly Bystander....I can. refer to Glenetta's post about "receipts" below.....

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 9 2007, 06:14 PM)

inga I have read through the post. I read Danny and Linda counseled with licensed, trained counselors, whom were out of the state, 2 of them to be exact and then I don't know if I heard it or read it that it totalled a 8 hour period of time. Then because I want to know truth **I can tell you 3ABN can verify this all with receipts from the counselors.** And by the way it was Linda who refused to go back. **Maybe** the pregnancy test was already used, and the rabbit died. What a horrible thought!!! I just would never have thought it of her.
Why drag Elder Finley into the mess, let him alone so he can reach souls for Christ.

So below...where you took MY post and added in your comments....like thus...

QUOTE

1. Mark Finley states that he did not provide counseling to Linda.

ACCORDING TO THE WAY JOHANN UNDERSTOOD IT.

2. If big IF Mark Finley did speak on the phone w/ Linda Bro. Finley didn't call this "counseling" and never charged for it.

MARK HAS NEVER DENIED TALKING TO LINDA ON THE PHONE SO YOUR BIG "IF" DOESN'T APPLY HERE. HE HAS ALSO NEVER DENIED IT WAS FROM 45 MINUTES TO AN HOUR EACH TIME.

3. "Receipts" that people are speaking of in regards to the said counseling of Linda by Mark Finley do not exist or were "made up" in the minds/by these people.


RECEIPTS FROM MARK'S COUNSELING ARE LUDICROUS. NO ONE HAS EVER SAID THAT. IN THE FIRST PLACE MARK WAS A FRIEND OF BOTH DANNY AND LINDA AND WANTED TO HELP. THERE WOULD NEVER BEEN A 'CHARGE' FOR HIS COUNSELING AND ADVICE THE RECEIPTS ARE FOR CHRISTIAN COUNSELORS OUT OF STATE AND WERE FOR A TOTAL OF 8 HOURS WITH 2 PROFFESIONALLY LICENSED COUNSELORS.4. Someone is lying big time in regards to Mark Finley doing counseling.

Am I correct this far? Am I correct as of recent?

AS ADDRESSED YOU WERE TOTALLY INCORRECT.

Receipts from "other" counselors have been mentioned...yet - I still have to hear/see the proof of

that. Kay Kuzma was supposed to be one of those "therapists"...but everyone knows - (if you know anything about HIPPA) that she truthfully wasn't supposed to be able to "confirm or deny" treating the couple as a matter of privacy. She has since admitted to "treating" the couple....that throws her credibility way off to me.....



If their are any "receipts" then this would be proof that Linda received counseling. This would also be proof that "they tried" to make it work. Scan them, put them here, put them at the other site.

...all other "evidence" has been talked about and beat to death like horse paste.... **Put the evidence out there!** That is all I ask.... & again - so far.... **No One Wants To Put The Proof Out There and Get It Over With!!!**



Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 10 2007, 10:24 PM

All I keep asking for is the "proof"...the "real deal" that would seal this and end it.

You can talk about the woman like a dog.
 You can talk about her having an affair.
 You can talk about the woman and her "monthly" cycle.
 You can talk about the woman and her "problems" within her family.
 You can talk about the woman and how she had an "expensive" lifestyle.

Put the real proof out there.....
 Does she have a sex tape?
 Does their exist tape recordings of conversations that were illicit?
 Are these tapes not doctored?
 Can these tapes be made public?
 Are their letters that would prove that an affair was going on?
 What of plane tickets and **receipts** from Hotel rooms and such?
 Any lipstick smears on shirts?
 What of others within the family that "don't care" and will tell all business just to get this entire mess to "shut up"?

I WANT PROOF!

Posted by: seraph|m Feb 10 2007, 10:41 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 10 2007, 11:24 PM)


All I keep asking for is the "proof"...the "real deal" that would seal this and end it.

You can talk about the woman like a dog.
 You can talk about her having an affair.
 You can talk about the woman and her "monthly" cycle.
 You can talk about the woman and her "problems" within her family.
 You can talk about the woman and how she had an "expensive" lifestyle.

Put the real proof out there.....
 Does she have a sex tape?
 Does their exist tape recordings of conversations that were illicit?
 Are these tapes not doctored?

Can these tapes be made public?
 Are their letters that would prove that an affair was going on?
 What of plane tickets and **receipts** from Hotel rooms and such?
 Any lipstick smears on shirts?
 What of others within the family that "don't care" and will tell all business just to get this entire mess to "shut up"?

I WANT PROOF!



Posted by: sister Feb 10 2007, 11:00 PM

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 10 2007, 11:24 PM)

All I keep asking for is the "proof"...the "real deal" that would seal this and end it.

You can talk about the woman like a dog.
 You can talk about her having an affair.
 You can talk about the woman and her "monthly" cycle.
 You can talk about the woman and her "problems" within her family.
 You can talk about the woman and how she had an "expensive" lifestyle.

Put the real proof out there.....
 Does she have a sex tape?
 Does their exist tape recordings of conversations that were illicit?
 Are these tapes not doctored?
 Can these tapes be made public?
 Are their letters that would prove that an affair was going on?
 What of plane tickets and **receipts** from Hotel rooms and such?
 Any lipstick smears on shirts?
 What of others within the family that "don't care" and will tell all business just to get this entire mess to "shut up"?

I WANT PROOF!

I agree, where is the proof? I want the proof, too. Why doesn't Bystander bring the evidence here, for everyone to see? Because there is none. All he has produced so far is empty allegations and innuendos.

sister

Posted by: erik Feb 10 2007, 11:03 PM


QUOTE(sister @ Feb 10 2007, 09:00 PM)

I agree, where is the proof? I want the proof, too. Why doesn't Bystander bring the evidence here, for everyone to see? Because there is none. All he has produced so far is empty allegations and innuendos.

Sister

Sister,

Remember he is protecting linda!!!!!!!!!!



Erik

Posted by: princessdi Feb 10 2007, 11:26 PM

No, Erik, he is protecting innocents like children, etc. You know the people Danny never thinks about when he is making his weekly inuendos.....

 A single square button containing a small 'x' icon.

QUOTE(erik @ Feb 10 2007, 09:03 PM)

Sister,
 Remember he is protecting linda!!!!!!!!!!

 Three square buttons, each containing the text 'rofl' and a small 'x' icon in the top-left corner.


Erik

Posted by: Rosyroi Feb 10 2007, 11:54 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 7 2007, 11:42 AM)

wwjd I don't know what SOP would say for the age and time we live in, but because the ministry has nothing to hide, my prayer is that they do not give up and that they endure. The people here are just moving mouths with thoughts, which are unsupported and disconnected. When you read many of the post you will sense the jellousy of a thriving ministry, some who maybe are looking to make a free buck, and others who are bitter because they were dismissed from employment due to bad choices they made while working there. Just my thoughts and my moving mouth as well.

Jelousy? looking to make a free buck? bitter?



Posted by: princessdi Feb 11 2007, 12:03 AM

Princessdi said:

QUOTE

All are free to come here and post their side. Now if they come with guns blazing questioning folks christianity and Adventism, they will get as good as they give. BSDA is neither pro Danny or Linda or visa versa. Obviously individual members have chosen sides, some have not. I have said a few times now that i am nto pro Linda. **I don't know anything about Linda except what her now ex husband did to get out of their marriage, and that was wrong.** No reflection on her being right or wrong, but holding him accountable in the position of SDA christian leardership he has taken up. i can't make any judgements on Linda's words or actions, mainly because she has not been allowed to speak or act in her own behalf. I can only go on what I see and hear.

wwjd responded:

QUOTE

Another perfect example of just taking what you have read/heard as fact. How do you know what transpired to end that marriage? You "know" by rumor, gossip and innuendo. that is all it takes for some of you to make such statements. I hope that you find out just how wrong you are. Trust me, you have been fooled

Princessdi's response:

I missed this response a couple of days ago, and I believe it needs a response. Just to explain to you, just in case you weren't here when I expalined it before. "Spiritual adultery" is a sin against God. It describes what the COI were doing each time they waundered after the gods of the neighboring countries. Danny is not God or even a god, therefore Linda could not commit spiritual adultery against him. Hence, the methods Danny used to exist his marriage to Linda was wrong, and he yet and still lacks biblical grounds for that divorce, and subsequently his remarriage. Even in their own defense Danny and those at 3ABN who give their version of the events, say that Linda had committed no physical adultery, but they warned her that continued conversations with the Dr. would. He can't divorce her on what he "thought" might happen. So, if I was fooled about Danny's reasons for divorcing Linda, I was fooled by Danny. He is the one who came up with the reason. Are you saying he was lying?

Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 01:31 AM

QUOTE(princessdi @ Feb 11 2007, 12:03 AM)

Princessdi said:

The board referred to spiritual adultery for Linda's sake. They felt it would give her a better chance to move on and make some things right without marring her reputation further. You are very wrong when you state that the board/Danny said they knew she did not commit physical adultery. They never ever said she did not. When the marriage broke up, not for 1 minute in time did Danny think they were divorcing because of "spiritual" adultery. If that is where a lot of confusion on this forum comes, in, please don't lose a minute more of sleep on the subject. Danny knew what he knew and so did others. He knows and God knows he had grounds to remarry. As soon as the divorce was final, guess who went to Norway, alone, without her son. A copy of a ticket is no problem to get. And guess who she ask to take her to the airport and help her get her things situated for international flying? Danny. Guess who took her? Danny. Guess who tried to talk her out of going all the way there? Guess whether it worked or not. It didn't. And while I am on the subject, Inga said he was married within days of the divorce, I proved different as to when the guam divorce was final. Inga said she meant the Illinois divorce. There should have been no suit for an Illinois divorce. As proven when the courts considered the matter. The judge decreed that the guam divorce was 100% legal.

Posted by: lurker Feb 11 2007, 02:19 AM

wwjd, since you seem to know so much about the whole matter and are giving specific information such as when Brandy arrived at 3ABN, let me ask you something that has been bothering me. When she got to 3ABN, where did she live? I was told that some time before she married Danny, he moved her into a house owned by 3ABN accross the street from his home. This, in my opinion is not avoiding the appearance of evil.

That aside, how long did she live accross the street from his house? Did she move into this house as soon as she got to 3ABN from Florida? Can you prove that she lived somewhere else? Did she live in other 3ABN housing or private housing? Are new employees normally given a place to stay at 3ABN? You gave November 11 as the date when she got there. Danny said that her mother called about 2 or 3 weeks before she left for 3ABN. This would be immediately after Brandy's divorce if your date is correct. Had anybody arranged for housing for her before she left since Danny said that Carolyn had told him that Brandy was on her way?

Whether or not Danny had grounds for divorce, it is his actions both before and after the divorce that are in question since he is still the president of 3ABN. Another question I have is "Did Brandy have biblical grounds for divorce?"

Posted by: wwjd Feb 11 2007, 02:43 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 11 2007, 02:19 AM) □

That aside, how long did she live accross the street from his house? Did she move into this house as soon as she got to 3ABN from Florida? Can you prove that she lived somewhere else? Did she live in other 3ABN housing or private housing? Are new employees normally given a place to stay at 3ABN? You gave November 11 as the date when she got there. Danny said that her mother called about 2 or 3 weeks before she left for 3ABN. This would be immediately after Brandy's divorce if your date is correct. ds for divorce?

Lurker, I will try to answer the questions as best I can. Brandi's mother did call Danny and say her daughter had made up her mind to start over and would be coming there and applying for a job. Danny had not seen her since she was a child of (I believe) 11 when her family came to record at his music studio. Whether or not there is an opening in any of the departments is not Danny's job. That

is the job of the General Manager. Danny told the GM that this lady would be coming and if there was an opening great, but if there wasn't Brandi might have to wait until someone quit or whatever. There was no housing arrangements made of any kind in advance. When she showed up, I believe Mollie interviewed her and felt she could fill a space needed as the phone operator in the main building, and/or the call center which takes orders and sends them out. I believe she worked both places according to where she was needed at the time. She did not rent the house across the street for quite a while but I can't tell you exactly where she lived except, I think it was in West Frankfort which is about 10 miles from the 3abn facility. (I am not 100% sure on that) but it is what I seem to remember about it. It is my understanding that 3ABN owned the house across the street and a little ways down the road from Danny's residence and had always been rented out to people that had moved to thompsonville to work at 3abn. The last renters I believe were mike & tereasa Wilson that run the vegetarian restaurant in thompsonville. They decided to make living quarters above the restaurant, so had moved out of the house. word went fast that the house was empty and Brandi decided to see if she and her girls could rent it and they did. I am guessing on times here but it probably was about a year that she lived there before they married. Both of her children lived with her. Another couple that the husband works at 3abn now rent it. Yes Brandi did have grounds. Her husband was unfaithful. I hope I have answered your questions to your satisfaction

Posted by: husbandoftheyear Feb 11 2007, 03:13 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 03:43 AM) □

Lurker, I will try to answer the questions as best I can. Brandi's mother did call Danny and say her daughter had made up her mind to start over and would be coming there and applying for a job. Danny had not seen her since she was a child of (I believe) 11 when her family came to record at his music studio. Whether or not there is an opening in any of the departments is not Danny's job. That is the job of the General Manager. Danny told the GM that this lady would be coming and if there was an opening great, but if there wasn't Brandi might have to wait until someone quit or whatever. There was no housing arrangements made of any kind in advance. When she showed up, I believe Mollie interviewed her and felt she could fill a space needed as the phone operator in the main building, and/or the call center which takes orders and sends them out. I believe she worked both places according to where she was needed at the time. She did not rent the house across the street for quite a while but I can't tell you exactly where she lived except, I think it was in West Frankfort which is about 10 miles from the 3abn facility. (I am not 100% sure on that) but it is what I seem to remember about it. It is my understanding that 3ABN owned the house across the street and a little ways down the road from Danny's residence and had always been rented out to people that had moved to thompsonville to work at 3abn. The last renters I believe were mike & tereasa Wilson that run the vegetarian restaurant in thompsonville. They decided to make living quarters above the restaurant, so had moved out of the house. word went fast that the house was empty and Brandi decided to see if she and her girls could rent it and they did. I am guessing on times here but it probably was about a year that she lived there before they married. Both of her children lived with her. Another couple that the husband works at 3abn now rent it. Yes Brandi did have grounds. Her husband was unfaithful. I hope I have answered your questions to your satisfaction

Not only was a job and home arranged for Brandi, but the furniture in the lobby of 3ABN was suddenly removed one afternoon and ended up in Brandi's house.

Posted by: Johann Feb 11 2007, 03:28 AM

QUOTE(wwjd @ Feb 11 2007, 09:31 AM) □

The board referred to spiritual adultery for Linda's sake. They felt it would give her a better chance to move on and make some things right without marring her reputation further. You are very wrong when you state that the board/Danny said they knew she did not commit physical adultery. They never ever said she did not. When the marriage broke up, not for 1 minute in time did Danny think they were divorcing because of "spiritual" adultery. If that is where a lot of confusion on this forum comes, in, please don't lose a minute more of sleep on the subject. Danny knew what he knew and so did others.

- - -

It is interesting to see what information Mr. Danny Shelton is providing you with, WWJW. I received e-mails from Danny claiming it was spiritual adultery, and he even made Linda write to me stating that Danny was trying to convince her this was spiritual adultery.

It is also quite interesting how you people are trying to make use of Mark Finlay. I did not mention him by name, but you - or Bystander - did.

Now we have two witnesses who heard Mark Finlay state his personal conviction that Linda Shelton is innocent of the accusations against her. Are you trying to twist this as meaning he was actually supporting Danny's claims by making that statement?

Yes, Linda called Mark Finlay long after the sad events around the divorce, and Mark confirmed this when we talked. Linda asked Mark Finlay to plead on her behalf that the board of 3ABN would reconsider . . . Mark Finlay pleaded in vain, and I did not get this information from Linda. It seemed like nobody at 3ABN would listen to him.

Keep on making your claims, wwjd. They add to the drama of this uncanny soap opera!!!

Posted by: Observer Feb 11 2007, 05:14 AM

QUOTE

Another question I have is "Did Brandy have biblical grounds for divorce?"

Folks, that above question, in my mind is irrelevant, and in appropriate.

1) The focus on all of this should not be on Brandy. Make it on Danny/3-ABN if you wish. That is legitimate. But, it should not be on Brandy. She is not a primary factor in what are the major issues. Yes, as she is now Danny's wife, she is tangentially involved. But it is inappropriate to focus on her. If you have something to say, say it about her husband, Danny.

2) The question as to whether or not Brandy had Biblical grounds to divorce her husband (for all I know, he divorced her) is irrelevant. Brandy was not a Seventh-day Adventist at the time she and her former husband were divorced. She should not be held accountable in regard to that divorce, for SDA Biblical standards.

3) For the purpose of this discussion, let us say that Brandy did not have Biblical grounds for the divorce to her former husband. So what? It happened. She was not SDA. We can assume that she did

not know and understand the Biblical standards at that time. She became a SDA by baptism. At that time her past was put behind her--whatever it was. She became a new creature. She had a new beginning. Regardless of her marital past, she could now begin all over again. That means that she could marry again.

4) Folks, as far as specifics are concerned, leave Brandy alone. Don't tell me that her baptism was a fraud. That is between Brandy, God, and the SDA pastor who baptized her, and presumably is leading her in her spiritual nurture. I do not care what Brandy's life was like before she became a SDA. That is not important. She should not be the focus. How would any of you feel if you were in Brandy's place, a new SDA, and people are asking is you had Biblical grounds in a prior divorce, and other stuff!

Posted by: Observer Feb 11 2007, 05:36 AM

QUOTE(Johann @ Feb 11 2007, 02:28 AM)

It is interesting to see what information Mr. Danny Shelton is providing you with, WWJW. I received e-mails from Danny claiming it was spiritual adultery, and he even made Linda write to me stating that Danny was trying to convince her this was spiritual adultery.

It is also quite interesting how you people are trying to make use of Mark Finlay. I did not mention him by name, but you - or Bystander - did.

Now we have two witnesses who heard Mark Finlay state his personal conviction that Linda Shelton is innocent of the accusations against her. Are you trying to twist this as meaning he was actually supporting Danny's claims by making that statement?

Yes, Linda called Mark Finlay long after the sad events around the divorce, and Mark confirmed this when we talked. Linda asked Mark Finlay to plead on her behalf that the board of 3ABN would reconsider . . . Mark Finlay pleaded in vain, and I did not get this information from Linda. It seemed like nobody at 3ABN would listen to him.

Keep on making your claims, wwjd. They add to the drama of this uncanny soap opera!!!

There is another interesting factor involved in all of this. To comment on it, I am going back to the days when discussions regarding the divorce and 3-ABN were going on in Club Adventist, which I moderated. As is known, Club Adventist closed down that discussion. I am only going by memory which may be faulty. Here it is as I remember it:

1) Statements were made in regard to Kay Kuzma counseling Linda, and that Dr. Kuzma was a member of the 3-ABN Board, and had been charged by the Board with counseling Linda that if she did not break off the claimed relationship with Dr. A, she would lose both her marriage and her position at 3-ABN.

2) I stated that the above presented a violation of standard ethical relationships for counselors in regard to the ethical principle of a dual role. I therefore went on to say that Dr. Kuzma could not in any way be assumed to have provided Linda with professional counseling unless she violated that ethical standard. I went on to say that I did not believe that Dr. Kuzma would have violated that standard, and therefore, she did not professionally enter into a counseling relationship with Linda. It can only be said that she approached Linda from the standpoint of a representative of the 3-ABN Board to advise Linda as I stated in # 1.

3) Following my posting of the above, I was informed that Dr. Kuzma had stated in a private letter to

someone that she had not entered into a professional counseling relationship with Linda, and had only acted as a representative of the 3-ABN Board. As I recall, I sent a message to Dr. Kuzma and asked her to confirm that she had written the letter that I have referenced. She did not respond to me. So, I cannot say whether or not what I was told is accurate.

4) Folks, in most cases professional counseling is highly regulated by the State in which it occurs. State law will often require that professional counselors enter into a written contract with the counselee. That contract will contain various provisions, as the local law requires. Those provisions may vary from place to place. I believe that in some places there may be no such requirement. But, in the places where such is required, violation of those requirements is a crime. If Dr. Kuzma provided Linda with professional counseling, there should exist whatever contract is required in the locality where that counseling took place.

Posted by: Richard Sherwin Feb 11 2007, 08:10 AM

You folks are scaring me with these proof requests. You put so much on them producing proof of their innocence. What will happen when and if they produce it? At that point we've backed ourselves into a corner. Anyone can produce written records, whether or not they are real or not is another story but by begging for documents we need to be careful we don't get what we're asking for. I really don't think any of Danny's critic want to see the proof, but if he produces it what then? Do we just poo poo away what we've been demanding? Just a note of caution.

Richard

QUOTE(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 10 2007, 11:24 PM) □

All I keep asking for is the "proof"...the "real deal" that would seal this and end it.

You can talk about the woman like a dog.

You can talk about her having an affair.

You can talk about the woman and her "monthly" cycle.

You can talk about the woman and her "problems" within her family.

You can talk about the woman and how she had an "expensive" lifestyle.

Put the real proof out there.....

Does she have a sex tape?

Does their exist tape recordings of conversations that were illicit?

Are these tapes not doctored?

Can these tapes be made public?

Are their letters that would prove that an affair was going on?

What of plane tickets and **receipts** from Hotel rooms and such?

Any lipstick smears on shirts?

What of others within the family that "don't care" and will tell all business just to get this entire mess to "shut up"?

I WANT PROOF!

Posted by: lurker Feb 11 2007, 09:09 AM

I'm sorry you feel that Brandy should not be the focus, Observer, perhaps you feel her role in all this should not be questioned at all. I was told that Brandy had become a Christian before she came to 3ABN. Not a Seventh-day Adventist but a Christian. Wwjd said that she had biblical grounds for divorce. Don't you think that if this is true it shows her and Danny to have a better right to marry than if wwjd had said "lets not look into this". I wouldn't have asked about this if her divorce hadn't been just before wwjd said that she appeared at 3ABN.

I certainly never said her baptism was a fraud. She may very well truly be converted. Dr Arild Abrahamsen should not be the focus of a discussion about Linda's behavior either but you can't talk about Linda's behavior and not discuss him at all either. I was also told in late February 2005 that Danny had a new girlfriend who he was buying furniture for and who he was helping buy a car. Wwjd says they began dating **months** after Nov 11. This appears to be barely possible but if he was helping her with major purchases so soon, it was a whirlwind romance, 14-15 weeks from her arrival. Not just casual dating. What is important is how Danny behaved with her and when. I don't know how she expected a christian courtship to be conducted. Her previous marriages would not have prepared her for this. She is now the new "first lady at 3ABN" is she not? When she married Danny, she became a public figure.

Her divorce final Oct 14 2004

Mid to late October 2004 Brandy's mother calls 3ABN about Brandy

Wwjd says she arrived at 3ABN November 11 2004

Late February 2005 "Danny has a girlfriend" and is helping her in a major way.

Posted by: Observer Feb 11 2007, 09:29 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 11 2007, 08:09 AM) □

I'm sorry you feel that Brandy should not be the focus, Observer, perhaps you feel her role in all this should not be questioned at all. I was told that Brandy had become a Christian before she came to 3ABN. Not a Seventh-day Adventist but a Christian. Wwjd said that she had biblical grounds for divorce. Don't you think that if this is true it shows her and Danny to have a better right to marry than if wwjd had said "lets not look into this". I wouldn't have asked about this if her divorce hadn't been just before wwjd said that she appeared at 3ABN.

I am not making any judgment in regard to whether or not Brandy was a Christian prior to her marriage to Danny. The issue of Biblical grounds for divorce, and their application to people today is a subject upon which Christians disagree. So, it is possible that we might say that Brandy was a Christian prior to coming to 3-ABN. If so, let us say that she was living up to her knowledge of Christianity. Let us also say that she obtained a greater knowledge of Christina beliefs in regard to divorce and remarriage after becoming a SDA. My point is that regardless of all of that, Brandy's prior life should not be subject to discussion and debate in regard to whether or not she lived by SDA standards prior to her becoming an SDA.

The reality is that Seventh-day Adventists disagree on some aspects of Biblical grounds for divorce.

If you want to talk about a right to remarry, do in in regard to Danny. I consider it inappropriate to do so in regard to Brandy. Yes, I know that one can do it. I am simply suggesting that we not do so. People who disagree with me will continue to do so, and they have the right to do so. I am simply suggesting that they consider not doing so.

QUOTE

I certainly never said her baptism was a fraud. She may very well truly be converted. Dr Arild Abrahamsen should not be the focus of a discussion about Linda's behavior either but you can't talk

about Linda's behavior and not discuss him at all either. I was also told in late February 2005 that Danny had a new girlfriend who he was buying furniture for and who he was helping buy a car. Wwjd says they began dating **months** after Nov 11. This appears to be barely possible but if he was helping her with major purchases so soon, it was a whirlwind romance, 14-15 weeks from her arrival. Not just casual dating. What is important is how Danny behaved with her and when. I don't know how she expected a christian courtship to be conducted. Her previous marriages would not have prepared her for this. She is now the new "first lady at 3ABN" is she not? When she married Danny, she became a public figure.

was making a broad statement. I did not intend to imply that you had ever said that her baptism was a fraud.

Danny claims that Linda's actions with Dr. A. gave him the Biblical grounds to divorce and remarry. These claims are fair game for both sides. Sorry, the situation with Dr. A. differs from that with Brandy.

As First Lady of 3-ABN her behavior may be subject to public discussion. But, I will again say that it is not necessary to go back into her past life. As far as Brandy is concerned, focus on the "now," not the past.

You bring up Danny's relationship with Brandy prior to his marriage to her. O.K. When you focus on that, make it on Danny, and not Brandy. If you talk about it, make Brandy tangential to your comments.

Just my thoughts--Gregory Matthews.

Posted by: sister Feb 11 2007, 09:36 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 11 2007, 09:10 AM) □

You folks are scaring me with these proof requests. You put so much on them producing proof of their innocence. What will happen when and if they produce it? At that point we've backed ourselves into a corner. Anyone can produce written records, whether or not they are real or not is another story but by begging for documents we need to be careful we don't get what we're asking for. I really don't think any of Danny's critic want to see the proof, but if he produces it what then? Do we just poo poo away what we've been demanding? Just a note of caution.

Richard

Richard, citing a quotation from Aunt B:

QUOTE

"Truth welcomes examination and doesn't need to defend itself, while deception hides in darkness and blames everyone else."

believe in the innocence of Linda Shelton in regard to transgressing her marriage vows to Danny Shelton and that Danny had no Biblical grounds to divorce his wife. Also, the following allegations against her are unfounded in fact, except in the unbalanced mind of a mentally disturbed individual.

QUOTE

(PrincessDrRe @ Feb 10 2007, 11:24 PM)

All I keep asking for is the "proof"...the "real deal" that would seal this and end it.

You can talk about the woman like a dog.

You can talk about her having an affair.

You can talk about the woman and her "monthly" cycle.

You can talk about the woman and her "problems" within her family.

You can talk about the woman and how she had an "expensive" lifestyle.

Put the real proof out there.....

Does she have a sex tape?

Does their exist tape recordings of conversations that were illicit?

Are these tapes not doctored?

Can these tapes be made public?

Are their letters that would prove that an affair was going on?

What of plane tickets and receipts from Hotel rooms and such?

Any lipstick smears on shirts?

What of others within the family that "don't care" and will tell all business just to get this entire mess to "shut up"?

I WANT PROOF!

I, along with PrincessDrRe and others, continue to demand to see the proof. Crudely phrased, I believe the expression is "put up or shut up". Bystander and Eye Witness, you always seem to disappear when it is time for the "rubber to meet the road". Here is your opportunity to provide the evidence as the Princess has outlined it. Everyone is waiting...

Sister

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 11 2007, 09:42 AM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 11 2007, 10:10 AM)

You folks are scaring me with these proof requests. You put so much on them producing proof of their innocence. **What will happen when and if they produce it? At that point we've backed ourselves into a corner.** Anyone can produce written records, whether or not they are real or not is another story but by begging for documents we need to be careful we don't get what we're asking for. I really don't think any of Danny's critic want to see the proof, but if he produces it what then? Do we just poo poo away what we've been demanding? Just a note of caution.

If it's "true" evidence then it will be proven truth. If it is "made up" evidence then it will be proven that it is false.

It will come out in the wash. I just want **something** to wash..... I'm tired of he say/she say.

If you got proof that Linda is dirty - put it out there and make it plain.

If you got proof that Danny is dirty - put it out there and make it plain.

So far much proof is existing that Danny is dirty. I just want to see **all proof** REGARDLESS....

Posted by: Clay Feb 11 2007, 10:08 AM

Observer,
I asked Kuzma if she provided counseling early on.... you can search here because I posted my request, and her response...

Posted by: inga Feb 11 2007, 11:29 AM

QUOTE(lurker @ Feb 11 2007, 10:09 AM)

I was also told in late February 2005 that Danny had a new girlfriend who he was buying furniture for and who he was helping buy a car. Wwjd says they began dating **months** after Nov 11. This appears to be barely possible but if he was helping her with major purchases so soon, it was a whirlwind romance, 14-15 weeks from her arrival. Not just casual dating. What is important is how Danny behaved with her and when.<snip>
Her divorce final Oct 14 2004
Mid to late October 2004 Brandy's mother calls 3ABN about Brandy
Wwjd says she arrived at 3ABN November 11 2004
Late February 2005 "Danny has a girlfriend" and is helping her in a major way.

Buying a woman furntirue adn helping her buy a car is **not the way to treat a mere "girl friend."** Such behavior suggests a much deeper involvement.

By the way, is February 2005 the date that Brandi moved into the house directly opposite Danny's house in a private area sheltered by trees?

Others have said that Brandi arrived at 3ABN much earlier than November 2004, by the way. Do we have any witnesses on here that can confirm an approximate date?

Posted by: Lee Feb 11 2007, 11:51 AM

Well Well! Yes, we all want the truth on this board. But every time some truth is brought forward it is either conveniently deleted or the thread is locked. Or the person bringing it is banned. Ask questions? Nope--you'll get banned like Cindy was.

You want truth? Really? I couldn't have guessed.

Sorry, you are not convincing me. When I see real fairness in allowing the truth to be expressed here, then and **ONLY** then will I believe you really want truth.

Posted by: glenetta Feb 11 2007, 12:09 PM

QUOTE(ex3ABNemployee @ Feb 9 2007, 04:21 PM)

Maybe you should ask wwjd.

Well. I'm not WWJD, but I want to ask the same question, why bring Elder Finley in on this? I understand the you ,Duane, are pastoring a Baptist church now, is this so?

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 11 2007, 12:12 PM

QUOTE(Richard Sherwin @ Feb 11 2007, 07:10 AM) □

You folks are scaring me with these proof requests. You put so much on them producing proof of their innocence. What will happen when and if they produce it? At that point we've backed ourselves into a corner. Anyone can produce written records, whether or not they are real or not is another story but by begging for documents we need to be careful we don't get what we're asking for. I really don't think any of Danny's critic want to see the proof, but if he produces it what then? Do we just poo poo away what we've been demanding? Just a note of caution.

Richard

I believe that anyone who wants to know the truth should be willing to accept verifiable proof, no matter where it falls. I don't want an edited "version" of the truth, I want to see the truth. If this exonerates Danny - great! If it exonerates Linda - great! If it shows them both to be complicit in behavior inappropriate for Seventh-day Adventist Christians - sad, but it would be the truth nonetheless.

Claims without proof don't have the muscle that verification provides, for either side.

PB

Posted by: erik Feb 11 2007, 12:15 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 11 2007, 09:51 AM) □

Well Well! Yes, we all want the truth on this board. But every time some truth is brought forward it is either conveniently deleted or the thread is locked. Or the person bringing it is banned. Ask questions? Nope--you'll get banned like Cindy was.

You want truth? Really? I couldn't have guessed.

Sorry, you are not convincing me. When I see real fairness in allowing the truth to be expressed here, then and ONLY then will I believe you really want truth.

Lee,

Any one getting banned was clearly warned ahead of time, and they choose to move forward to areas that the Admin. had clearly not allowed.

Asking questions is not what people get banned for, at least from were i am sitting it does not look that way.

Are the still holes in both sides story yes, does ones sides story have more holes in yes.

So the hunt for the truth goes on, the truth will must likely be in the middle but closer to one side

then the other.

Erik

QUOTE(PeacefullyBewildered @ Feb 11 2007, 10:12 AM)

I believe that anyone who wants to know the truth should be willing to accept verifiable proof, no matter where it falls. I don't want an edited "version" of the truth, I want to see the truth. If this exonerates Danny - great! If it exonerates Linda - great! If it shows them both to be complicit in behavior inappropriate for Seventh-day Adventist Christians - sad, but it would be the truth nonetheless.

Claims without proof don't have the muscle that verification provides, for either side.

PB

PB,

Amen i fell the same way just wish both sides would more clearly lay out the dates times of things.

Erik

Posted by: PeacefullyBewildered Feb 11 2007, 12:20 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 11 2007, 10:51 AM)

Well Well! Yes, we all want the truth on this board. But every time some truth is brought forward it is either conveniently deleted or the thread is locked. Or the person bringing it is banned. Ask questions? Nope--you'll get banned like Cindy was.

You want truth? Really? I couldn't have guessed.

Sorry, you are not convincing me. When I see real fairness in allowing the truth to be expressed here, then and ONLY then will I believe you really want truth.

There is a huge difference between truth and slanderous claims which have not been proven.

Can you cite members that were banned along with the "truth" they were telling that got them banned?

What I have seen is some people not willing to play by Calvin's Forum Rules one too many times.

Posted by: Ralph Feb 11 2007, 12:20 PM

QUOTE(glenetta @ Feb 11 2007, 11:09 AM)

Well. I'm not WWJD, but I want to ask the same question, why bring Elder Finley in on this? I understand the you ,Duane, are pastoring a Baptist church now, is this so?

lenetta, why are you dragging Duane into this? Is it any concern of yours what kind of church he is pastoring?

Posted by: PrincessDrRe Feb 11 2007, 12:24 PM

QUOTE(Lee @ Feb 11 2007, 01:51 PM)

Well Well! Yes, we all want the truth on this board. But every time some truth is brought forward it is either conveniently deleted or the thread is locked. Or the person bringing it is banned. Ask questions? Nope--you'll get banned like Cindy was.

You want truth? Really? I couldn't have guessed.

Sorry, you are not convincing me. When I see real fairness in allowing the truth to be expressed here, then and ONLY then will I believe you really want truth.

don't have to convince you Lee. Truthfully speaking - although I am asking for truth and "evidence" none of yall... (whether pro Linda or pro Danny) have anything to prove to me. All you have to prove is directly toward GOD.

That is the lone judge and the only one to answer to.

So truthfully speaking - I don't need proof. I have been asking for it. Sho' have. But I don't need it. GOD sees all and will be the judge in the end..... and GOD doesn't have to "break rules" to try and make his point. He (GOD) made the rules...thus he does what he does.

AMEN

Powered by Invision Power Board (<http://www.invisionboard.com>)
© Invision Power Services (<http://www.invisionpower.com>)