Ca	se 4:07-cv-40098-FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 1 of 19
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
3	Three Angels Broadcasting)
4	Network, Inc., and) Danny Lee Shelton,) Plaintiffs,)
5	
6	vs.) Case No. 07cv40098-FDS
7	Gailon Arthur Joy,)
8	and Robert Pickle,) Defendants.)
9	
10	
11	BEFORE: The Honorable F. Dennis Saylor, IV
12	
13	Status conference/Motion for Voluntary Dismissal
14	
15	United States District Court Courtroom No. 2
16	595 Main Street Worcester, Massachusetts
17	October 30, 2008
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR
23	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
24	595 Main Street, Room 514A Worcester, MA 01608-2093
25	508-929-3399 Mechanical Steno - Transcript by Computer

Ca	a se 4:07-cv-40098-FDS-	Document 141	Filed 11/28/2008	Page 2 of 19
1	APPEARANCES:			
2	(via telephone)			
3	Siegel, Brill, Gr M. Gregory Simpso	on, Esquire		
4	100 Washington Av Minneapolis, Minr		Suite 1300	
5	for the Plaintiff and Danny Lee She	fs, Three Ange	els Broadcasting	Network, Inc.,
6	Fierst, Pucci & H			
7	John P. Pucci, Es 64 Gothic Street,	Suite 4		
8	Northampton, Mass for the Plaintiff and Danny Lee She	fs, Three Ange		Network, Inc.,
9	(via telephone)			
10	Gailon Arthur Joy P.O. Box 1425	7		
11	Sterling, Massach	nusetts 01564		
12	Pro Se			
13	(via telephone) Robert Pickle			
14	1354 County Highw Halstad, Minnesot	-		
15	Pro Se			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 4:07-cv-40098-FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 3 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE CLERK: Case No. 07-40098, Three Angels 3 Broadcasting versus Joy. 4 5 Counsel and defendants, please identify yourself for 6 the record. MR. SIMPSON: This is M. Gregory Simpson, on behalf of 7 the plaintiffs, Three Angels Broadcasting Network and Danny Lee 8 9 Shelton. 10 MR. PUCCI: And John Pucci here in chambers, on behalf 11 of the same parties. 12 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 13 MR. JOY: Gailon Arthur Joy, pro se. 14 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 15 MR. PICKLE: And Bob Pickle, pro se. 16 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. 17 All right. This is -- it was originally scheduled as 18 a status conference in this case. I now have pending a motion 19 for a voluntary dismissal. 20 Do the defendants wish to be heard on that? I've read 21 the papers. 22 Mr. Pickle and Mr. Joy? 23 MR. JOY: Yes, sir. 24 THE COURT: Who -- who's this? 25 MR. JOY: I'm sorry. This is Mr. Joy, sir.

Ca	se 4:07 cv 40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 4 of 19
	4
1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. JOY: Your Honor, I think you'll find that we have
3	filed an opposition, including a memorandum and affidavits
4	along with exhibits.
5	THE COURT: When was that filed?
6	MR. JOY: It was
7	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, I did see it. I'm
8	sorry. Yes.
9	MR. JOY: I'm sorry.
10	THE COURT: Yes. Okay.
11	MR. JOY: In summary, the difficulty here is that this
12	is really just another maneuver on the part of the plaintiffs
13	to very simply avoid their duty of discovery, and they're doing
14	it at a point in the case where, frankly, we should have been
15	close to a completion, which the case law clearly indicates is
16	an inappropriate situation and prejudices the defendants'
17	scenario, particularly reserve the right to relitigate at a
18	future point.
19	So, for that reason, we feel it's imperative that
20	the that the obviously, the dismissal be denied to
21	preserve our rights, obviously, and to prevent the the great
22	prejudice that has incurred to us, if this had to be
23	relitigated in the future, which frankly we believe it's going
24	to have to be.
25	THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

Ca	se 4:07 cv-40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 5 of 19
	5
1	MR. SIMPSON: This is Mr. Simpson
2	THE COURT: Well, before I
3	MR. SIMPSON: Sure.
4	THE COURT: Anything else from the defendants?
5	MR. JOY: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. JOY: I think you know, I think we've outlined
8	specifically our basis for that in the memorandum, in
9	support or pardon me in our opposition, and it's quite
10	exhaustive. I'm sure you don't want us to go through that, but
11	in any event, I think it pretty well outlines the case law as
12	well as the basis for the case law applying in this particular
13	case where it's already over 18 months in, and we're getting
14	ready for trial.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Simpson, why should this
16	not be with prejudice, if I dismiss it?
17	MR. SIMPSON: Well, let me just begin by saying that
18	the that I think that is the issue whether it should be with
19	or without prejudice. If this is to my reading of the case
20	law, it's a factor of the test, so it's within the discretion
21	of the court to determine whether it should be with or without
22	prejudice.
23	The case looks a lot older than it really is, because
24	it was filed in May of '07, and you had us submit
25	interrogatories and some documents exchanged and mandatory

Case 4:07-cv-40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 6 of 1

6

1 discovery exchange; and then Mr. Joy filed for bankruptcy, and there was a stay in effect until almost December; and then 2 3 there was a four-month period where we were working on getting that confidentiality order out. When that was finally signed, 4 5 and, in fact, it was already April, and then there has been a 6 period of document discovery since then, and depositions were scheduled, and they were canceled, because there was -- because 7 the document exchange had not been completed. 8

So, it's not as old as -- as the date of filing would 9 10 indicate. We're actually at the preliminary stages in terms of 11 discovery. The factor test, if you run through it, and I'm 12 sure you will, would indicate that it should be, I think, 13 without prejudice. If it's with prejudice, I don't think the 14 litigation ends, because there has been repeated threats, including in the brief that was just filed today by Mr. Pickle 15 16 and Mr. Joy, that there will be a malicious prosecution 17 counterclaim or a new lawsuit filed raising that issue, Judge; 18 and so if the case is dismissed without prejudice, there 19 would -- the elements of that tort would not be present, 20 because one of the elements of a malicious prosecution tort is 21 dismissal of the underlying -- there's a favorable resolution 22 of the underlying lawsuit.

23 So, if the lawsuit is resolved with prejudice, that 24 could give them one of the elements necessary to continue 25 this -- this dispute, and the dispute would not end. The question, I believe, for the court is a legal matter; and so, that would be a strategic or a tactical reason why the case would not end. There would still be litigation if the case were not dismissed without prejudice.

As a legal matter, Rule 41 is concerned with alleviating any prejudice to the defendants, and the Court is empowered to impose such terms and conditions as it feels will alleviate any prejudice that results from a dismissal. So, the question really is whether dismissal with prejudice is necessary to alleviate any prejudice.

11 And the cases say that in talking about prejudice, 12 we're not talking about -- we're not talking about the prospect 13 of a second lawsuit. That's not the kind of prejudice that the 14 rule is concerned with, nor is it concerned with a technical 15 advantage to the plaintiff. That should not bar dismissal. 16 That's not the kind of prejudice we're talking about in legal 17 prejudice; that is, are they worse off as a legal matter if 18 it's dismissed with prejudice versus without prejudice. In 19 other words, is it necessary to dismiss it with prejudice in 20 order to alleviate them from legal prejudice, and the answer to 21 that is just simply no. They are no worse off than they were 22 before the lawsuit began. They're in exactly the same legal 23 position whether -- in fact, they're in a better position 24 legally than when the case began, because the three years 25 statute of limitations for defamation has expired as to some of

1 the, if not all, of the original statements that they've made.
2 So, there is no legal prejudice, which is what the
3 rule is concerned about, if the case were to be dismissed
4 without prejudice.

5 THE COURT: Well, my concern, obviously, is I -- I 6 strongly encourage both sides to, if that's what they want to 7 do, to walk away from this dispute in whole or in part. Mv concern, obviously, is I don't know, and I'm just -- I'm not 8 stating this because I -- I mean this in a pejorative way, or I 9 10 don't -- I have any particular reason to distrust you, but I'm 11 concerned that the same claim or -- or -- or a similar claim 12 could simply be brought in some other forum, and that's the 13 most obvious danger to me is that there's, you know, the 14 possibility of some tactical issue going on here where 15 plaintiffs decide they'd rather be in a different court. 16 MR. PICKLE: Your Honor, could I address that?

THE COURT: Well, let me hear from Mr. Simpson first. MR. SIMPSON: Well, I -- I can assure you that that's not the concern. The only concern is that these gentlemen have

17

18

19

indicated throughout and in the most recent filing that they intend to sue us for malicious prosecution, and they said that they were going to file counterclaims in this lawsuit, and they said then they were going to -- now, they said they're going to commence a separate lawsuit, but if we don't have at least a prospect of raising affirmative claims against them, I think

ase 4:07 cv 40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 9 of 1

1 that would keep them in check. Maybe it would keep them in 2 check. They would have to think twice about filing a lawsuit. 3 I can tell you that there is no forum shopping going on, and I 4 think Rule 41 also has some -- something to say about that.

5 The costs -- if we bring a second lawsuit after 6 dismissing the first one, costs would ordinarily be imposed. We would have to reimburse them for all of that that occurred 7 in the first lawsuit. So, there's -- so, there's mechanisms 8 for dealing with that, and I think we would have quite a bit of 9 10 explaining to do to a subsequent court if we were -- if we were 11 to pull -- pull a fast one, and I can just tell you that that's 12 not -- that's not the intent.

13 THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. Do one of the 14 defendants wish to be heard?

15

16

MR. PICKLE: Yes, your Honor. This is Bob Pickle. THE COURT: Yes.

17 MR. PICKLE: In our memorandum, we've outlined eight 18 different factors, I believe, that are supposed to be taken 19 into consideration regarding legal prejudice or that different 20 circuits have taken into consideration. One of those is adequacy of the plaintiffs' explanation for the need to 21 22 dismiss; and one of the explanations they gave is that they've 23 achieved one of the goals of their -- their suit. That is just 24 one -- one aspect that we bring out in the memorandum. And 25 they say that through the bankruptcy, they bought the domain

names, save3abn.com and save3abn.org. What they don't tell the Court is that there are at least 16 times as many save3abn websites now than when the plaintiffs filed suit, and these other websites were in operation prior to their purchase of save3abn.com.

6 And so I do have definite concern of a dismissal of 7 this case without prejudice, and their referencing, well, you 8 know, they say that, you know, a technical -- if they gain a technical advantage, that shouldn't be an obstacle. You know, 9 10 that just raises red flags to me. And what you express about 11 them raising the same claims in another forum, I really don't want to face that. I'd like to have the -- these issues 12 13 resolved once and for all.

> MR. SIMPSON: May I just say, your Honor --THE COURT: Yes.

14

15

16 MR. SIMPSON: -- I wouldn't oppose the court imposing a restriction that if we were to bring an affirmative claim 17 18 arising out of the same events that it would have to be brought 19 in the same court. That would be -- that would seem perfectly 20 fine and appropriate as a remedy as a -- to make sure we don't 21 do that. I think that if -- if the plaintiffs -- I mean the 22 defendants here, Mr. Pickle and Mr. Joy, were to bring a 23 separate lawsuit for malicious prosecution, it probably would 24 have to be brought in state court, because they wouldn't 25 meet -- well, I'm just thinking they wouldn't have diversity or

1 jurisdiction. Maybe they would be able to get jurisdiction in the federal court. So, it's not -- it's not -- if we 2 were -- if the plaintiffs were to want to raise their 3 defamation claims by way of a counterclaim, as a defensive 4 5 matter, we couldn't guarantee that it would be in the same 6 court. It would be in your court, but I think if we -- I think 7 the court could impose a restriction on dismissal that if we were to refile the same claims or any claims arising out of the 8 same operative set of facts, it would have to be brought in the 9 10 same court. I think that would be appropriate.

11 THE COURT: All right. Here's what I'm going to do. 12 I'm going to grant the motion. I'm going to dismiss it without 13 prejudice and with some conditions, which include the condition 14 that any claims brought by the plaintiffs, based on the same 15 facts and circumstances or -- or -- or nucleus of operative 16 events may only be brought in the Central Division of 17 Massachusetts, but let me be more formal about that.

18 The motion for voluntary dismissal is granted. Т 19 order that this lawsuit be dismissed without prejudice. I make 20 no finding of any kind as to the merits or lack of merits of 21 any of the claims or factual defenses set forth in the 22 pleadings, and I'm dismissing the claim principally based on 23 the representation by the plaintiff that there is no longer any 24 purpose for the litigation, because plaintiffs do not believe 25 that they can accomplish -- or achieve any meaningful relief

1 based on the facts and circumstances as they now exist, 2 including, but not limited to, the bankruptcy of one of the 3 defendants.

I am imposing this dismissal with the condition that 4 5 any claim or claims brought by plaintiffs based on the same or 6 similar facts and circumstances may only be brought in the Central Division of the District of Massachusetts, so that if 7 this lawsuit in some ways comes back to life, it will be in 8 front of me, and I'll have all the facts and circumstances at 9 10 my disposal at that point and can make such orders as I think 11 are just under the circumstances.

I will order that all materials produced in discovery that were designated as confidential under the confidentiality and protective order issued in this case on April 17th will be returned, as set forth in that order.

Destruction of the documents will only be permitted if consistent with the terms of the order; and similarly, any photocopying or other copying of any such materials will only be permitted if permitted under that order.

Any pending third-party subpoenas are deemed moot, and the party will -- any party having issued such a third-party subpoena will take reasonable steps to notify the recipient of the subpoena that the lawsuit has been dismissed, and the subpoenas are no longer in effect.

25

MR. PICKLE: Your Honor, could I -- could I --

-Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Case 4:07-cv-40098-FDS-13 1 THE COURT: Let me -- let me just finish. And any records that were delivered under seal and that are in the 2 3 custody of the magistrate judge shall be returned to the party that produced those documents. 4 5 Yes, sir. Is this Mr. Pickle? 6 MR. PICKLE: Yes, it is. 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 MR. PICKLE: Your Honor, one of the concerns that the case law brings up is that -- see -- a voluntarily dismissal 9 10 without prejudice, one of the questions is well, will there be 11 plain legal prejudice to the defendants, and one of the things 12 that is, like, undue expense. 13 We've had -- and one of the factors they look at is 14 amount of time and effort and expense the defendants have 15 We bring this out in our memorandum. Okay. What expended. 16 the -- what the plaintiffs are doing -- see, our basis for 17 counterclaim --18 THE COURT: Hold on. Hold on, Mr. Pickle. There's no counterclaim filed, as I understand; is that right? 19 20 MR. PUCCI: Right. 21 THE COURT: In this case. 22 MR. PICKLE: That is correct, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: You know, and -- and, you know, whether 24 you have some future claim against the plaintiffs, I make no 25 comment on of any kind whatsoever.

14

MR. PICKLE: It is --

1

THE COURT: In terms of -- just let -- let me, if I 2 3 Just in terms of your costs and expense and attorney's can. fees, my understanding is that but for a brief appearance by 4 5 Mr. Heal, I think, at the beginning of the litigation, you've been proceeding pro se; and let me add as a further condition 6 7 that I will at least permit defendants to seek recovery of reasonable costs, fees, expenses -- reasonable cost of 8 attorney's fees or expenses, if they file something within 21 9 10 days of the date of this order. I'm not promising that I will 11 allow those to be paid, and I'll permit plaintiffs to oppose 12 it, but I will give you the opportunity to make that argument 13 formally and with a specific itemized detailing of your costs 14 and expenses.

MR. PICKLE: Okay. Your Honor, if the discovery in this case and work product is not transferable to -- to the other -- the future actions, either by the plaintiff or ourselves, that would prejudice the defendants.

19 THE COURT: Well, it's -- it is transferable, unless 20 it's subject to the confidentiality order. If it's subject to 21 the confidentiality order, you have to return it, or do 22 whatever the order says you're supposed to do with it; and, you 23 know, you have gained presumably a certain amount of 24 information. You're not required to erase it from your brain, 25 and you can use it consistent with the terms of the order

Cas	re 4:07-cv-40098-FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 15 of 19
	15
1	as as may be permitted by that order, but that's
2	MR. PICKLE: That would mean, your Honor, that we
3	would have to spend months and months litigating again to get
4	the documents from Remnant, for example.
5	THE COURT: There is going to be no lawsuit pending.
6	You'll have we'll have to wait and see how that plays out
7	and in what court.
8	MR. PICKLE: And the one other thing, your Honor, is
9	that the MidCountry Bank records, as far as I know, they were
10	never designated confidential by MidCountry Bank, and it cost
11	us \$3,500 to get those.
12	THE COURT: Again, I'm giving you 21 days to file
13	something with me setting forth what you believe are your
14	reasonable costs, expenses, and attorney's fees incurred in
15	this litigation.
16	Again, I'm not promising I'm going to pay any of them,
17	or permit them to be paid, but I will entertain any filing you
18	wish to make.
19	MR. JOY: Your Honor, are you looking for this is
20	now Gailon Joy again.
21	Are you looking for our motion's total cost or
22	THE COURT: Please characterize it as a motion, so
23	that it under the computer system, it it's flagged as
24	something requiring my action.
25	MR. JOY: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: But you can, you know, designate it however you wish or think it's appropriate, and I'll permit 2 plaintiffs to oppose whatever it is you file, and I'll make 3 whatever decision I think is right under the circumstances. 4 5 I'll simply give you that opportunity is all I'm doing at this 6 point. Okay? And if I do award -- decide to award any kind of costs 7 or expenses or fees, it will obviously be a further condition 8 of the order of voluntary dismissal, but we'll -- we'll take 9 10 that up as it comes. 11 MR. SIMPSON: Thank you, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And I'll retain jurisdiction for that 13 purpose. 14 Okay. All right. If there's nothing further, then 15 we'll stand in recess. 16 MR. SIMPSON: Nothing further from the plaintiffs. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. JOY: Your Honor, I do have another question. I 19 was noticing this week, I think it was, that there are three 20 items on the docket that aren't visible on Pacer. Nos. -- I 21 think it's Nos. 22, 28, and 88, and at some point are those 22 unsealed? 23 THE COURT: Not unless someone -- if they're sealed, 24 they're not going to be unsealed, unless someone moves to 25 unseal them.

Cas	e 4:07 cv 40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 17 of 19
	17
1	MR. JOY: Thank you, your Honor.
2	MR. PICKLE: And, your Honor, this is Bob Pickle
3	again.
4	Attorney Simpson told me on Friday, the 17th well,
5	he called me up and made a settlement proposal, and one thing
6	he said was that if we didn't agree, you know, to settle, that
7	one thing that the plaintiffs could do is to file a motion to
8	dismiss, and it would be just kind of automatic, and there
9	wouldn't be anything further we could do about it. So, I point
10	blank asked him, Are you going to file a a motion to
11	dismiss? And he told me no. And then six days later, he went
12	ahead and filed it, and it just took us by surprise.
13	In our opinion, he didn't follow and he never
14	talked to Mr. Joy about it at all. In our opinion, he did not
15	comply with local Rule 7.1.
16	MR. SIMPSON: May I address that, your Honor?
17	THE COURT: Very very briefly, yes.
18	MR. SIMPSON: Just, it's a certain Alice in Wonderland
19	quality to this whole litigation and hearing my conversations
20	with Mr. Pickle translated back to you, your Honor, that's not
21	at all what the conversation was like.
22	I read the rule to Mr. Pickle, Rule 41, including the
23	terms and conditions, and we discussed whether there was any
24	possible possible basis on which they would agree to the
25	dismissal of the lawsuit. He said that he would speak with Mr.

Cas	C 4:07 cv 40098 FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 18 of 19
1	
1	Joy over the weekend, get back to me on Monday, if there was an
2	interest; and he didn't get back to me and continued to move
3	forward with the lawsuit.
4	THE COURT: All right. All right.
5	MR. SIMPSON: So that's that's all I want to say.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I've heard enough. My order will
7	issue. It will be an electronic order, as indicated, and we'll
8	stand in recess.
9	Thank you.
10	MR. SIMPSON: Thank you, Judge.
11	MR. JOY: Thank you.
12	MR. SIMPSON: Bye-bye.
13	
14	(At 3:33 p.m., Court was adjourned.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Cas	e 4:07-cv-40098-FDS Document 141 Filed 11/28/2008 Page 19 of 19
	19
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR, Official Court
4	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript,
5	consisting of 18 pages, is a true and accurate transcription of
6	my stenographic notes in Case No. 07cv40098-FDS, Three Angels
7	Broadcasting Network, Inc., and Danny Lee Shelton versus Gailon
8	Arthur Joy and Robert Pickle, before F. Dennis Saylor, IV, on
9	October 30, 2008, to the best of my skill, knowledge, and
10	ability.
11	
12	
13	/s/ Marianne Kusa-Ryll
14	Marianne Kusa-Ryll, RDR, CRR
15	Official Court Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	